A MODEL OF PSYCHOSOCIAL AND CULTURAL ANTECEDENTS OF BLOOD PRESSURE CONTROL

Hayden B. Bosworth, PhD and Eugene Z. Oddone, MD, MHS Durham, North Carolina

Hypertension is a major modifiable risk factor for stroke, congestive heart failure, and end-stage renal disease. Hypertension is particularly prevalent and deadly among African Americans. Effective treatment for hypertension has been available for decades, yet only one fourth of all individuals have their blood pressure under control. Despite the potential impact of hypertension, interventions to improve control have had limited success. We present a model of how to understand antecedents of blood pressure control according to three interrelated categories: patient characteristics, social and cultural environment, and medical environment. This theoretical paper was conducted using a literature review and a model to explain psychosocial antecedents of blood pressure control coupled with technological advances, such as tailored interventions, provide clinicians with a tool that may lead to improved blood pressure control. These interventions will require the involvement of clinicians and consideration of sociocultural factors to be successful. *(J Natl Med Assoc.* 2002;94:236–248.)

Key words: hypertension ♦ psychosocial factors ♦ social environment ♦ medical environment ♦ adherence ♦ interventions

Hypertension is the major modifiable risk factor for stroke and one of the major risk factors for coronary heart disease, congestive heart failure, and renal disease. These diseases increase in prevalence and incidence with age^{1–3} and are more prevalent among African Americans.^{4–6} Age-adjusted stroke rates have risen slightly since 1993, and the rate of decline in coronary heart disease appears to be leveling.⁷ The incidence of end-stage renal disease has increased, for which blood pressure (BP) is the second most common antecedent.⁸ The prevalence of heart failure, in which the large majority of patients have antecedent hypertension, has also increased.⁹ The increased incidence and prevalence of these diseases may reflect worsening rates of BP control in hypertensive patients. African Americans are more susceptible to these diseases than other races.

There are approximately 50 to 60 million Americans with hypertension, and the prevalence of hypertension in adults 65 years of age

^{© 2002.} From the Center for Health Services Research in Primary Care, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, and from the Department of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, the Center for Aging and Human Development, and the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Hayden B. Bosworth, PhD, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center (152), Center for Health Services Research in Primary Care, 508 Fulton St., Durham NC 27705.

	NHANES II (1976-1980)	NHANES III (Phase I) (1988–1991)	NHANES III (Phase 2) (1992–1994)
Awareness	51%	73%	68.4%
Treatment	31%	55%	53.6%
Control [†]	10%	29%	27.4%

Table 1. National Data on Awareness, Treatment, and			
Control of Hypertension*			

* SBP below 140 mm Hg and DBP below 90 mm Hg.

[†] Data are for adults age 18 to 74 years with SBP of 140 mm Hg or greater, DBP of 90 mm Hg or greater, or taking antihypertensive medications.

[‡] SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

and older exceeds 50%.10 The prevalence of hypertension in African Americans is among the highest in the world.^{5,6} Although there have been improvements in terms of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension between the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and NHANES III, phase 1, BP control in hypertensive patients remains low, and rates have not improved or have worsened between NHANES III, phase 1, and NHANES III, phase 2 (see Table 1). On average, only approximately one fourth of all hypertensive patients have their BP under effective control,^{11,12} and although age-adjusted prevalence of hypertension is 40%–50% higher in African Americans compared with whites, an equal percentage of African Americans and whites have poor BP control.¹² The Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (INC VI) defines BP control as less than 140/90 mm Hg and 130/85 mm Hg for diabetics.¹¹

A MODEL OF PSYCHOSOCIAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS EXPLAINING POOR BLOOD PRESSURE CONTROL

Although there have been many empirical studies examining poor BP control, a comprehensive model of psychosocial and cultural factors related to poor control is lacking. A clear understanding of psychosocial and cultural factors has not been forthcoming, in part because most studies of antecedents of poor BP control have focused on narrow measures,^{1,13,14} adherence for one single-treatment modality,^{15,16} or have not paid attention to sociocultural factors. Without a comprehensive model, interventions to improve BP control and decrease health risks and costs can not be effectively designed because potentially important interactions that influence poor control may be missed.

This comprehensive model can be organized by grouping contributing factors according to three general categories: patient characteristics, social-cultural environment, and medical environment (Table 2). Although there are known clinical factors (e.g., type of antihypertensive medication, salt intake, alcohol use, smoking, etc.) and provider behaviors (i.e., following evidence-based guidelines) related to BP control, we will not review them here given the amount of attention they have received previously. Rather, we will focus on the psychosocial and cultural factors related to poor BP control because of the inability of clinical and provider factors to improve BP control.

The review will focus on BP control as an outcome measure when possible, as opposed to adherence, because the use of treatment adherence is problematic for three major reasons. First, the focus on adherence is devoted to examining particular patient characteristics and neglects cultural, medical, and physician characteristics that impact hypertension control. Second, there is no agreed upon "gold standard" measure of adherence. Third, there is evidence that in some situations, patients who adhere to treatment regimen may still maintain poor BP control; adherence is not synonymous with control.

We present a model that explains the antecedent factors of BP control based upon a review of the literature. This model will help clinicians and health care systems identify and address these psychosocial and cultural factors to achieve better BP control for their patients. We conducted a literature review using Medline, Psycinfo, and Pubmed, cross-referencing terms such as psychosocial factors, cultural factors, and social factors with blood pressure. We limited the search from 1995 through 2001 and examined articles that included humans and were written in English. This resulted in 594 articles that were reviewed and used to help formulate a model for identifying psychosocial and cultural factors related to blood pressure control.

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Demographic Factors

Hypertension is common in older Americans; among Americans age 60 and older examined in the NHANES III, elevated BP was found in approximately 60% of non-Hispanic whites, 71% of non-Hispanic African Americans, and 61% of Hispanic Americans.¹² Although hypertension is related to increased age, there are relatively few gender differences in terms of prevalence. In addition, large, longterm clinical trials of antihypertensive treatment have not demonstrated clinically significant sex differences in BP response and outcomes.¹⁷

Treatment nonadherence tends to increase as the number of medications taken increases, suggesting that nonadherence and subsequent poor BP control may be a greater problem for the elderly. The elderly are at increased risk of poor BP control because of the increased likelihood of multiple medication usage as a result of increased disease comorbidities, including more severe hypertension.

The prevalence of hypertension in African Americans is among the highest in the world. Compared with whites, hypertension develops earlier in life and average blood pressures are much higher in African Americans. African Americans also have higher rates of stage 3 hypertension (Systolic BP >180 or Diastolic BP >110 mm Hg) than whites, causing a greater burden of hypertension complications.^{12,18} This earlier onset, higher prevalence, and greater rate of stage 3 hypertension in African Americans is accompanied by an 80% higher stroke mortality rate, a 50% higher heart disease mortality rate, and a 320% greater rate of hypertension-related end-stage renal disease than seen in the general population.^{5,6} Available evidence indicates that African Americans receiving adequate treatment will achieve overall declines in BP and may experience a lower incidence of cardiovascular disease, similar to whites.^{19,20} Although African Americans are more likely to be affected by hypertension, ample evidence suggests that environmental modifiers and other factors such as access to health care, socioeconomic status, racism, stress, salt intake, alcohol, age, gender, and obesity account for some of the racial/ethnic differences in hypertension prevalence worldwide.²¹ No unifying hypothesis about the impact of envion hypertension ronmental factors has evolved.4

Individuals with low income and patients with low levels of education²² are more likely to be nonadherent and subsequently lack BP control. Race and socioeconomic status in these situations, however, often are associated with increased barriers to care such as lack of insurance,²³ decreased likelihood of having a regular source of care,^{24,25} or reporting cost of antihypertensive medications as being a deterrent,^{25,26} which are all factors related to worse hypertension control.

Perceived Risk of Hypertension

Before individuals can decide what to do about their hypertension, they need to be aware that they have the disease. As seen in Table 1, only 68% of those sampled in the NHANES II (1992–1994) were aware that they had hypertension, and only 54% of these individuals were being treated for hypertension.¹² The probability that advice will be followed is a function of the patient's perceptions of susceptibility to the disease, the likely severity (clinical and social) of the disease, and the benefits and barriers likely to be encountered as a result of the recommended action. Stason and colleagues²⁷ found that patients who received more intense treatment regimens or suffered complications of hypertension were more likely

to remain in Veterans Affairs Hypertension Screening and Treatment Clinics. This is consistent with the assertion that factors that increase the salience of disease also increase adherence. The salience of hypertension, however, is often difficult for patients to envision due to lack of substantial immediate benefits of antihypertensive medication and the asymptomatic nature of the disease. Patients must believe that, by following a particular set of health recommendations, they will abolish or at least reduce the threat or severity of hypertension and its consequences. Finally, patients must believe in the efficacy of the treatment.^{28,29}

Motivation

Motivation encompasses self-regulatory processes involving the selection, activation, and sustained direction of behavior toward certain goals.³⁰Numerous theoretical perspectives have been proposed to better understand human motivation. Among them, the health belief model^{31,32} and the social cognitive theory,³³ have received a great deal of attention.

People take action when they perceive they are susceptible to a health threat and that, when considering the course of action available, they weigh the benefits and barriers or "pros" and "cons" to make a decision.^{31,32} Similarly, Bandura's social cognitive theory³⁰ assigns a pivotal role to self-regulative processes in which beliefs about the outcomes of behaving in a certain way are considered. According to the social cognitive theory, people adopt a behavior, such as increasing their physical activity level or taking their antihypertensive medication when scheduled, if they believe that they have much to gain and little to lose.

A key element of social-cognition theory is the concept of self-efficacy, which involves a judgment of one's abilities to meet a goal.³⁰ According to Bandura,^{30,33} self-efficacy contributes to motivation in several ways: (1) shaping aspirations and goals; (2) determining the amount of effort and perseverance one will expend in a given endeavor; and (3) shaping

the outcomes expected from one's efforts. People who perceive themselves as being highly efficacious will expect favorable outcomes, whereas those with less confidence in their performance capabilities will envision negative outcomes.^{34,35} Support for the importance of self-efficacy in BP control comes from several studies showing that lower levels of self-efficacy are associated with higher heart rate, elevated BP, and serum catecholamine levels in threatening situations.³⁶⁻³⁸ Gerin et al.,³⁸ for example, reported in a sample of 40 subjects engaged in a mental arithmetic task that subjects with low self-efficacy for the mental arithmetic task evidenced cardiovascular changes that were significantly greater than those of the high self-efficacy group 8.0 vs. 14.8 mm Hg (systolic blood pressure), and 2.7 vs. 6.1 mm Hg (diastolic blood pressure).

Avoidance Coping Style

Studies of patients with serious medical conditions such as hypertension find that those who do not comply with medical advice tend to show a strong use of defense mechanisms.^{39,40} Patients who report using avoidance coping strategies (e.g., hoped for a miracle) tend to be less likely to adhere to doctor's recommendation and advice.⁴¹ Initially, this strategy may be adaptive by preventing the individual from becoming overwhelmed or anxious about the disease.⁴² Denial, however, has been related to long-term resistance to adherence and poor outcomes.43-46 Avoidance coping itself may take maladaptive paths, such as excessive drinking or drug use. It is beneficial for the clinician to know something about the patient's coping style, especially those styles that may interfere with treatment.⁴⁷ Unfortunately, little research has been conducted examining the relationship of coping style and BP control.

Health-Related Literacy

There is evidence to suggest that a large percentage of patients, particularly the elderly, do not understand directions. In the National Adult Literacy Study, a cross-sectional study of

the United States population, the proportion of Americans who read at the lowest reading level ranged from 16% among those 45 to 54 years old to 26% among those 55 to 64 years old, to 44% among those age 65 and older.48 In terms of health-related issues, Williams⁴⁹ found that only 42% of the patients in two public hospitals understood directions for taking medication on an empty stomach, and 26% were unable to understand information regarding when a next appointment was scheduled. Basic skills in reading are particularly important in the health care setting in which patient participation in planning and implementing therapeutic regimens is critical for success. Functional health literacy means being able to read and understand health-related materials such as prescriptions, appointment cards, medicine labels, and directions for home health care.⁵⁰ Besides being prevalent, functional health illiteracy is related to poorer health status,^{51,52} less health-promoting behaviors,⁵³ poorer knowledge of hypertension,54 and in one study, higher systolic BP (155 mm/hg vs. 147 mm/hg).54

In addition to literacy, patients must be able to integrate information and make inferences about treatment directions. If a patient, for example, is required to take a beta blocker two times a day and the individual takes the pill at 8 AM, the patient must infer that she must takes the next pill at 8 PM. Although there is ample research documenting the importance of health-related literacy and treatment adherence, limited research has been conducted to assess the impact of low literacy on maintaining specific hypertension-treatment regimens.

Memory

Besides understanding the purpose and treatment direction of regimens, patients must remember to engage in these activities. Memory plays an important role in hypertension control, especially for older adults. Declines in memory function have been documented and may contribute to missed doses.^{55,56} Furthermore, because older adults may be more likely

than younger adults to be prescribed multiple medications, the process of taking medication correctly may be particularly difficult for older patients because of the cognitive demands required for organizing and maintaining complex medical regimens.⁵⁷ In fact, it has been estimated that patients recall only approximately 50% of what they are told by their providers.^{58,59} In data from the Framingham Heart Study, Farmer et al.⁶⁰ reported a strong graded relation between cognitive performance, including memory, and the probability of having stopped antihypertensive medication use; those in the lowest 10th percentile of education-adjusted cognitive performance were more than three times as likely to have stopped treatment than those in the normal performance group. In the Medical Outcome Study, recall of taking medications regularly was high (95%), but recollection of advice to change life-styles (e.g., follow a low-salt diet, 74%; exercise regularly, 63%; and cut down on stress, 37%) was substantially lower.¹⁶

Side Effects of Antihypertensive Medication

Medication nonadherence is a barrier to hypertension management because hypertension has few symptoms and is seemingly "invisible" to the individual, yet the patient feels medication side effects. Physicians rarely assess patients' experience with medication side effects. A recent study reported that nearly half of all patients in a primary care setting were not asked about how their medications were helping, and that more than two thirds of the patients were not asked any questions about barriers to taking medications or side effects related to medication.⁶¹ These results have important clinical implications, especially because many patients are reluctant to ask physicians about their medication⁶¹ and antihypertensive drugs may cause undesirable symptoms. Donovan and Blake⁶² reported that the most common reason for not taking drugs or dosages prescribed is patients' fear of side effects. Many antihypertensive agents may impair sexual function.63 Centrally acting drugs may impair mental

acuity, and beta blockers may reduce exercise tolerance. Older adults may be at increased risk for side effects from antihypertensive medications, based on differences in pharmacokinetics (i.e., drug metabolism) and pharmacodynamics (i.e., increased sensitivity).⁶⁴

The proportion of hypertensive patients who change or discontinue treatment because of side effects is difficult to estimate. For patients enrolled in clinical trials, data suggests that discontinuation occurs in approximately 15% of patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, 15% to 20% of those taking diuretics, 20% to 25% of those taking beta blockers after 6 months to 1 year of treatment,65 and 20% using calcium channel blockers after 4 years of treatment.⁶⁶ There is little effectiveness data about medication side effects as reasons for discontinuation of treatment. The rates of discontinuation, however, are likely to be higher in practice than they are in clinical trials. Medication side effects are more likely to indirectly influence BP control by decreasing medication adherence.

Depression

Depression not only is directly related to increased cardiovascular-related outcomes,67,68 but also is related to nonadherence with medical treatment. Although limited research has been conducted examining the direct affects of depression on hypertension treatment adherence, the relationship of depression and treatment adherence has been examined for antidepressant treatment. DiMatteo et al.69 for example, reported that the relationship between depression and nonadherence to antidepressant treatment was substantial, with an odds ratio of 3.03 (95%) confidence intervals = 1.96-4.89). Depression may increase nonadherence with treatment for multiple reasons. First, positive expectations and beliefs in the benefits and efficacy of treatment have been shown to be essential to patient adherence.70 Depressed patients often feel hopeless, and adherence might be difficult or impossible for a patient who holds little optimism that any action will be worthwhile. Second, considerable research^{71,72} suggests the importance of support from the family and social network in a patient's attempts to adhere to medical treatments. Considerable social isolation and withdrawal from the very individuals who would be essential in providing emotional support and assistance often accompanies depression. Third, depression might be associated with reductions in the cognitive functioning essential to remembering and following through with treatment recommendations (e.g., taking medication). Although depression effects adherence, its affect on BP control is less clear.

SOCIAL/CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT

Racial and socioeconomic status (SES) disparities related to adherence to prescribed behavioral and medical regimens are often based on financial, logistical, and cultural barriers. Although not unique to minorities or the poor, these disparities occur more frequently or have greater impact in poor and minority communities. Low SES has been associated with higher BP and higher rates of cardiovascular disease.^{73,74} Colhoun et al.,⁷⁴ for example, reported that in examining data from 1966 to 1996, lower SES was associated with higher mean BPs in almost all studies in developed countries. This inverse gradient was both stronger and more consistently found in women than in men. The magnitude of the association varied, but generally was quite small, with ageadjusted mean systolic BP differences of approximately 2 to 3 mm Hg between the highest and lowest SES groups. This small difference in BP, however, is important at the population level.

Minority groups and people living in poverty encounter many stressors, both physical and psychological, on a daily basis. As a consequence of historical factors and the continued race consciousness of society, African Americans currently experience a greater array of stressors compared with whites. These chronic socioecological stressors include, among others, higher unemployment, higher poverty rates and lower income levels, lower status occupations and lower social status, residential crowding, and substandard housing.^{75–77} Many of these chronic social and environmental stressors have been associated with hypertension among African Americans.⁷⁸

Racism has been found to be directly related to increased blood pressure.^{79,80} In the CAR-DIA survey study, systolic blood pressure, for example, among working-class African-American adults reporting that they typically accepted unfair treatment and had experienced more racial discrimination was approximately 7 mm Hg higher than among those reporting that they challenged unfair treatment and experienced less racial discrimination. Among professional African-American adults, systolic blood pressure was 9 to 10 mm Hg lower among those reporting that they typically challenged unfair treatment and had not experienced racial discrimination.⁸⁰

Shift work, which is performed by disproportionate numbers of minorities and people from lower socioeconomic strata, frequently results in sleep deprivation. Lack of sleep is emerging as an important contributing factor to cardiovascular disease and diminished mental and physical health and may also play an important role in adherence to prescribed health recommendations and subsequent poor blood pressure control. Bosworth conducted reviews of the effects of other ecological factors on hypertension and treatment adherence.^{81,82}

There are cultural barriers that are likely to influence BP control. These cultural barriers include mistrust by some minority groups of medical personnel and medical systems,^{83,84} lack of cultural sensitivity on the part of health care providers, and differences between patients and health care providers regarding the meaning and importance of an illness, its causes, consequences, and treatment. Cultural practices and health knowledge and beliefs are all important determinants of the effectiveness of patient-physician interactions. Physicians may not fully recognize educational, social, cultural, or economic barriers to routinely prescribed therapy. Similarly, a patient's expectations and understandings of the physician or health provider's role may present additional obstacles. Issues such as the legacy of racial segregation, stigma associated with disease, and mistrust of health care facilities tend to alienate minorities from medical systems.

Trust in the Medical Care System

The seeking of medical care is associated with patients' trust that their health care providers are competent, take appropriate responsibility and control, and give their patients' welfare the highest priority.⁸³ Trust in one's health care provider makes possible an openness of communication that facilitates the exchange of information. Potential effects of a decline in patient-provider trust include lower likelihood of timeliness of seeking of medical care, lower patient and physician satisfaction, an increased disenrollment from health plans, and poorer patient adherence to treatment recommendations.⁸⁴

Social Support/Social Network

Increased social support has been found to be associated with decreased mortality⁸⁵ and less hospital expenditure.86Furthermore, perceived social support, either from family members^{87,88} or from health care provider,^{88,89} is related to medication adherence. Support from one's social network may provide necessary information, encouragement, or logistic means for ensuring medication adherence as well as increasing the likelihood of individuals seeking preventive health care services such as hypertensive screening and treatment. In addition, social support has been found to have both direct and indirect stress-buffering effects on resting blood pressure⁹⁰ and on cardiovascular reactivity.38,91,92 Strogatz et al.,90 for example, reported that differences in systolic blood pressure associated with low emotional and instrumental support ranged from 3.6 to 5.2 mm/Hg in women and 3.4 to 2.5 mm/Hg in men as compared to those reporting high emotional and instrumental support. Similar patterns were observed for diastolic blood pressure.

MEDICAL ENVIRONMENT Access to Care

Barriers to care and control of cardiovascular risk factors, especially high blood pressure, are well recognized^{24,93-95} and exist at the patient, provider, and organizational levels.96 Many individuals from lower socioeconomic strata lack health insurance and are unable to afford required medical devices and medications. The cost of therapy, the medical visit, and the time off from work may significantly reduce disposable income. Good health may become a low priority for the patient and/or the patient's family because of competing needs and limited resources. In addition to limited resources, health personnel and facilities must be available where people live and work. People must have the knowledge and means to get to those services and make use of them. In addition, not having access to a regular source of primary care has been consistently found to be associated with untreated and uncontrolled hypertension, later diagnosis and greater severity of illness.24,97,98

Healthcare Provider-Patient Relationship

There are several compelling reasons to consider the effectiveness and to identify ways to improve physician-patient communication, especially in the management of a chronic disease such as hypertension. First, many patients want a more active role in their medical care. Patients of physicians who involve them in treatment decisions have better health outcomes, both in psychological and functional status, than those whose physicians do not.99,100 Furthermore, patients who feel that they have participated in decision making are more likely to follow through on those decisions than those who do not.101,102 Second, hypertension requires substantial responsibility by the patient for implementing treatment regimens settled on during the physician-patient visit. Effective

physician-patient communication must include the transfer of sufficient information to hypertensive patients to permit them to implement the treatment regimen correctly, and equally important, must persuade patients that the agreed upon regimen should be carried out.¹⁰⁰ Conversely, short, impersonal consultations in which the patient's expectations remain unfulfilled have a detrimental effect on control.²⁷ Hall,¹⁰³ for example, observed greater adherence among patients of physicians who communicated positively (e.g., gave reassurance, support, and encouragement) and refrained from negative communications (e.g., anger and disapproval). Kaplan¹⁰⁰ reported that hypertensive patients whose physicians were less controlling or who allowed more patient participation during the office visits had better functional status and lower follow-up BP than patients of more controlling physicians.

INTERVENTIONS

Given the multitude of factors associated with BP control in patients with hypertension, what factors should interventions focus on to enhance control? A review of the medical literature reveals that no single-intervention strategy will assure either adherence or control.^{104,105} Roter et al.¹⁰⁶ conducted a metaanalysis of methods to enhance adherence. They evaluated education, behavioral, or provider interventions that specifically targeted adherence in patients with hypertension. The influence of these interventions as measured by summary effect size varied across outcome adherence measures. The effect size of these interventions on actual BP was 0.16, which is considered small.¹⁰⁷ Although this effect size is small, it does indicate clinically significant improvements in disease management. Interventions that focus not only on adherence but also on BP control need to be considered because of the increased prevalence of many hypertension-related diseases such as strokes, end-stage renal disease, and heart failure.7-9 More intensive, comprehensive approaches that combine several adherence-promoting strategies appear to be more successful than independent strategies.^{106,108} Tailoring the intervention to address the individual's reasons for not adhering may also be helpful. Furthermore, as interventions are refined, consideration of racial/ethnic differences in factors related to hypertension control need to be considered.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Given higher expectations on the number of patients to be seen per day, physicians have less time to communicate effectively, and they need accurate, current information about their patients. Advances in computer technology in the 1980s permitted the development of tailored communications, paralleling trends in marketing, referred to as customer-specific marketing.¹⁰⁹ Tailored health communications are likely to be an important component of future interventions because they can facilitate positive patient-provider communications and foster behavioral changes conducive to health. Specifically tailored telephone counseling and tailored print communications are targeted for individuals based on information about that particular person and his or her needs.¹⁰⁹ Over the past several years, the results of different studies of tailored communications in a variety of settings have been reported.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹³ Overall, the studies have demonstrated that tailored print interventions are more effective than generic health education materials, particularly for some important high-risk populations, such as people with low income and African Americans.110-113 Generally, the tailored communications have resulted in positive changes in one or more health behaviors.114

We are conducting a study, the Veterans Study to Improve the Control of Hypertension Study (V-STITCH), a nurse-administered tailored intervention to improve blood pressure. This 4-year randomized controlled trial will occur in a primary care setting among 544 diagnosed hypertensive veterans. Given the multitude of factors related to BP control, our intervention involves eight standardized telephone modules administered by a nurse case

manager. The modules consist of literacy, hypertension knowledge, memory, social support, patient/provider communication, missed appointments, and health behaviors. The computer-based intervention is an Access database with windows interface. The database consists of algorithms to ensure that each module that is problematic for the patient is tailored to their needs. For example, the literacy module is activated for baseline functionally illiterate patients when medications are changed; the intervention involves verbally explaining patients' medication instructions to both the patient and family member. Another example is the hypertension knowledge module; general information for everyone determined to lack essential hypertension information at baseline is provided, but the module also provides information tailored to each patient's risk profile (i.e., diabetic, African American). The database has the flexibility to consistently assess patients' hypertension needs and if a problem is determined, the particular module is activated at the nurse's next call. The intervention tracks information discussed at each phone call to provide a full understanding of the tailored intervention processes.

This nurse-administered tailored intervention is an innovative approach to enhance patients' adherence with prescribed hypertension regimen. Because it is telephone- and computer-based, it is easy to implement. Despite the known risk of poor BP control, many adults still do not have their BP under effective control. This study is an important step in testing the effectiveness of a patient intervention to improve BP control among older adults.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Blood pressure control remains poor in that only 25% of all hypertensive patients ever achieve a BP $\leq 140/90$ mm Hg.^{11,12} This is particularly problematic because those who lack BP control are at significantly greater risk for stroke, heart disease, and other related diseases. Potential factors that may influence poor BP control were discussed within the context of

Antecedents	Association Between Characteristics and BP Control	
Patient Characteristics		
Demographic factors		
Race	African-American–poorer control	
Age	Older age-poorer control	
Gender	No difference	
Psychological factors		
Perceived risk of hypertension	Low perceived–poorer control	
Motivation	Low motivation-poorer control	
Avoidance coping style	High avoidance-poorer control	
Memory	Memory difficulties-poorer control	
Health-related literacy	Health-related illiteracy–poorer control	
Perceived side effects of medication	High side effects-poorer control	
Depression	High depressive symptoms-poorer control	
Social/Cultural Environment		
Social economic status	Low SES–poorer control	
Trust in medical care system	Lack of trust–poorer control	
Social support/social network	Lack social network/social support–poorer control	
Medical Environment		
Access to care	Lack of access–poorer control	
Healthcare provider-patient relationship	Inadequate relationship–poorer control	

Table 2. Summary of Antecedents of Blood Pressure Control

* Although minority status (i.e., Atrican-American, Hispanic) is generally related to lower BP control, race/ethnicity is a proxy for other social/cultural and medical factors.

[†] BP, blood pressure; SES, socioeconomic status

a model describing potential antecedents of blood pressure control (see Table 2 for summary of antecedents). These antecedents may include patients' psychological/behavioral characteristics, such as perceived risk of hypertension, avoidance coping, and poor memory; patients' medical care environment, such as problems of access to health care and insurance, and; patients' social/cultural environment.

Although it is clear that hypertension is a greater burden on minorities, particularly African Americans, further research needs to be conducted to examine how sociocultural factors may be considered and incorporated into successful interventions. Technological advances provide health care providers a key role in identifying and working with the patient who may lack BP control. In addition, incorporating the patients' social and medical environment as well as the role of the physician into the patients' treatment regimen will enable us to

achieve and perhaps surpass the national health objectives for the year 2010—to increase the proportion of patients with controlled BP to at least 50%.¹¹⁵

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, HSR&D Service, Grant IIR 20–034. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

REFERENCES

1. Col N, Fanale JE, Kronholm P. The role of medication noncompliance and adverse drug reactions in hospitalizations of the elderly. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990;150:841–845.

2. Perry H, Roccella EJ. Conference report on stroke mortality in the southeastern United States. *Hypertension*. 1998;31: 1206–1215.

3. Kannel W. Blood pressure as a cardiovascular risk factor. Prevention and treatment. *JAMA*. 1996;275:1571–1576.

4. Rahman M, Douglas JG, Wright JT Jr. Pathophysiology and treatment implications of hypertension in the African-American population. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 1997;26:125–44.

5. Klag M, Whelton PK., Randall BL, et al. End-stage renal

disease in African-American and white men: 16-year MRFIT findings. JAMA. 1997;277:1293–1298.

6. Singh G, Kochanek KD, MacDorman MF. Advance report of final mortality statistics. *Monthly Vital Statistics Rep.* 1994; 45:1–76.

7. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. *Fact Book Fiscal Year 1996.* Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, 1997.

8. U.S. Renal Data System. 1997 Annual Report. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease; 1997.

9. Levy D, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. The progression from hypertension to congestive heart failure. *JAMA*. 1996;275: 1557–1562.

10. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group report on hypertension in the elderly. *Hypertension*. 1994;23:275–285.

11. Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. The sixth report of the Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC VI). Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health; 1997.

12. Burt V, Whelton P., Roccella EJ, et al. Prevalence of hypertension in the US adult population: results from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1991. *Hypertension*. 1995:25:305–313.

13. Berlowitz D, Ash AS, Hickey EC, et al. Inadequate management of blood pressure in a hypertensive population. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339:1957–1963.

14. Eisen S, Miller DK, Woodward RS, et al. The effects of prescribed daily dose frequency on patient medication compliance. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990;150:1881–1884.

15. Eraker S, Kirscht JP, Becker MH. Understanding and improving patient compliance. *Ann Intern Med.* 1984;100:258–268.

16. Kravitz RL, Hays RD, Sherbourne CD, et al. Recall of recommendations and adherence to advice among patients with chronic medical conditions. *Arch Intern Med.* 1993;153:1869–1878.

17. Gueyffier F, Boutitie F, Boissel JP, et al. Effect of antihypertensive drug treatment on cardiovascular outcomes in women and men: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;126:761–767.

18. Hall W, Ferrario CM, Moore MA, et al. Hypertensionrelated morbidity and mortality in the southeastern United States. *Am J Med Sci.* 1997;313:195–209.

19. Hypertension Detection, and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group. Five-year dings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program: mortality by race-sex and blood pressure level: a further analysis. *J Community Health.* 1984;9:314–327.

20. Ooi W, Budner NS, Cohen H, et al. Impact of race on treatment response and cardiovascular disease among hypertensives. *Hypertension*. 1989;14:227–234.

21. Douglas J, Wright JT Jr. *Blacks and Hypertension*. Kalamazoo, MI: Upjohn Company; 1992.

22. Shulman N, Cutter G, Daugherty R, Sexton M, et al. Correlates of attendance and compliance in hypertension detection and follow-up program. *Controlled Clin Trials*. 1982;3:13–27.

23. Ahluwalia JS, McNagny SE, Rask KJ. Correlates of con-

trolled hypertension in indigent, inner-city hypertensive patients. J Gen Intern Med. 1997;12:7-14.

24. Shea S, Misra D, Ehrlich MH, et al. Predisposing factors for severe, uncontrolled hypertension in an inner-city minority population. *N Engl J Med.* 1992;327:776–781.

25. Moy E, Bartman BA, Weir MR. Access to hypertensive care: effects of income, insurance and source of care. *Arch Intern Med.* 1995;155:1497–1502.

26. Stason W. Cost and quality trade-offs in the treatment of hypertension. *Hypertension*. 1989;12:145–148.

27. Stason WB, Shepard DS, Perry HM Jr, et al. Effectiveness and costs of veterans affairs hypertension clinics. *Med Care.* 1994; 32:1197–215.

28. Becker M, Maiman L. Sociobehavioral determinants of compliance with health and medical care recommendations. *Med Care.* 1975;13:10–24.

29. Rosenstock I. Enhancing patient compliance with health recommendations. *J Pediatr Health Care.* 1988;2:67–72.

30. Bandura A. Self-efficacy. *The Exercise of Control.* New York: Freeman, 1997.

31. Rosenstock I. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. *Health Education Monogr.* 1974;2:354–386.

32. Strecher V, De Vellis BM, Becker MH, Rosenstock IM. The role of self-efficacy in achieving health behavior change. *Health Educ Q.* 1986;13:73–92.

33. Bandura A. Social Foundation of Thoughts and Actions: A Social Cognitive Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1986.

34. Williams G, Freedman ZR, Ryan RM, Deci EL. Supporting autonomy to motivate patients with diabetes for glucose control. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21:1644–1651.

35. Williams G, Rodin GC, Ryan R, et al. Autonomous regulation and long-term medication adherence in adult outpatients. *Health Psychol.* 1998;17:269–276.

36. Bandura A, Reese LB, Adams NE. Microanalysis of action and fear arousal as a function of differential levels of perceived self-efficacy. *J of Pers & Soc Psychol* 1982;43:5–21.

37. Bandura A, Taylor CB, Williams SL, et al. Catecholamine secretion as a function of perceived coping self-efficacy. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1985;53:406-414.

38. Gerin W, Litt MD, Deich J, Pickering TG. Self-efficacy as a moderator of perceived control effects on cardiovascular reactivity: is enhanced control always beneficial? *Psychosom Med.* 1995; 57:390–7.

39. Goldstein A. The "uncooperative" patient: self-destructive behavior in hemodialysis patients. In: Farberow N, ed. *The Many Faces of Suicide: Indirect Self-Destructive Behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1980:89–98.

40. Oettingen G. Positive fantasy and motivation. In: Gollwitzer P, Bargh JA, eds. *The Psychology of Action*. New York: Guilford Press; 1996:236–259.

41. Sherbourne C, Hays RD, Ordway L, et al. Antecedents and adherence to medical recommendations: results from the Medical Outcome Study. *J Behav Med.* 1992;15:447–468.

42. Krantz D, Deckel AW. Coping with coronary heart disease and stroke. In: TG, Burish LB, ed. *Coping with Chronic Disease*. New York: Academic Press; 1983.

43. Croog SS, DS. Levine S. Denial among male heart patients. An empirical study. *Psychosom Med.* 1971;33:385–397.

44. Suls J, Fletcher B. The relative efficacy of avoidant and nonavoidant coping strategies: a meta-analysis. *Health Psychol.* 1985;4:249–289.

eds. Health Care for Women: Psychological, Social, and Behavioral Influences. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 1997:333–347.

46. Folkman S, Chesney M, Pollack L, Coates T. Stress, control, coping, and depressive mood in human immunodeficiency virus-positive and-negative gay men in San Francisco. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1993;181:409-416.

47. Fulde R, Junge A, Ahrens S. Coping strategies and defense mechanisms and their relevance for the recovery after discectomy. *J Psychosom Res.* 1995;39:819-826.

48. Kirsch I, Jungeblut A, Jenkins L, Kolstad A. Adult Literacy in America: A first Look at the Results of the National Adult Literacy Survey. Washington, DC: National Center for Education, U. S. Department of Education; 1993.

49. Williams M, Parker RM, Baker DW, et al. Inadequate functional health literacy among patients at two public hospitals. *JAMA*. 1995;274:1677–1682.

50. Parker R, Baker DW, Williams MV, Nurss JR. The test of functional health literacy in adults: a new instrument for measuring patients' literacy skills. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1995;10:537–541.

51. Baker D, Parker RM, Williams, MV, et al. The relationship of patient reading ability to self-reported health and use of services. *Am J Public Health*. 1997;87:1027–1030.

52. Weiss B, Hart G, McGee DL, D'Estelle S. Health status of illiterate adults: relation between illiteracy and health status among persons with low literacy skills. *J Am Board Fam Pract.* 1992;5:254–257.

53. Health literacy: Report of the Council on Scientific Affairs. Ad Hoc Committee on Health Literacy for the Council on Scientific Affairs, American Medical Association. *JAMA*. 1999; 281:552–557.

54. Williams M, Baker DW, Parker RM, Nurss JR. Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic disease: a study of patients with hypertension and diabetes. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158:166–172.

55. Park D. Self-regulation and control of rheumatic disorders. Int Rev Health Psychol. 1994;3:189–217.

56. Salthouse T. Theoretical Perspectives in Cognitive Aging. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1991.

57. Park D, Willis SL, Morrow D, et al. Cognitive function and medication usage in older adults. *J Appl Geront*. 1994;13:39–57.

58. Ley P. Memory for medical information. Brit J Soc Clin Psychol. 1979;18:245–255.

59. Post K, Roter D. Predictors of recall of medication regimens and recommendations for lifestyle change in elderly patients. *Gerontologist.* 1988;27:510–515.

60. Farmer ME, Kittner SJ, Abbott RD, et al. Longitudinally measured blood pressure, antihypertensive medication use, and cognitive performance: the Framingham Study. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1990;43:475–480.

61. Sleath B, Roter D, Chewning B, Svarstad B. Asking questions about medication: analysis of physician-patient interactions and physician perceptions. *Med Care.* 1999;37:1169–1173.

62. Donovan J, Blake DR. Patient non-compliance. Deviance or reasoned decision- making? *Soc Sci Med.* 1992;34:507– 513.

63. Fogari R, Zoppi A, Corradi L, et al. Sexual function in

hypertensive males treated with lisinopril or atenolol: a cross-over study. *Am J Hypertens*. 1998;11:1244–1247.

64. Schwartz J, Gallant SJ, Keita GP, Rayak-Schaler R. Principles of geriatric medicine and gerontology. In: WR Hazzard EB, Blass JP, eds. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1994:259–276.

65. Black D, Brand RJ, Greenlick M, et al. Compliance to treatment for hypertension in elderly patients: the SHEP pilot study. *J Gerontol.* 1987;42:552–557.

66. Neaton J, Grimm, RH Jr, Prineas, RJ, et al. For the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study Research Group. Treatment of mild hypertension: final results. *JAMA*. 1993;270:713–724.

67. Barefoot JC, Helms MJ, Mark DB, et al. Depression and long-term mortality risk in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 1996;78:613–617.

68. Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F, Talajic M. Depression following myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 1993;270:1819–1825.

69. DiMatteo M, Lepper HS, Croghan TW. Depression is a risk factor for noncompliance with medical treatment: metaanalysis of the effects of anxiety and depression on patient adherence. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160:2101–2107.

70. DiMatteo M, Hays RD, Gritz ER, Bastani R. Patient adherence to cancer control regimens: scale development and initial validation. *Psychol Assess*. 1993;5:102–112.

71. DiMatteo M. Enhancing patient adherence to medical recommendations. *JAMA*. 1994;271:79–83.

72. DiMatteo M, DiNicola DD. Achieving Patient Compliance. Elmsford, NY: Pergamon Press Inc; 1982.

73. Kaplan G, Kell JE. Socioeconomic factors and cardiovascular disease: a review of the literature. *Circulation*. 1993;88:1973– 1998.

74. Colhoun HM, Hemingway H, Poulter NR. Socioeconomic status and blood pressure: an overview analysis. *J Hum Hypertens*. 1998;12:91–110.

75. Bullard R. Dumping in Dixie. Race, Class, and Environmental Quality. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1994.

76. Farley R, Allen WR. *The Color Line and the Quality of Life in America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.

77. McLoyd V. The impact of economic hardship on black families and children: psychological distress, parenting, and socioemotional development. *Child Develop.* 1990;61:341–346.

78. Adams-Campbell L, Brambillam DJ, McKinlay SM. Correlates of the prevalence of self-reported hypertension among African-American and white women. *Ethnic Dis.* 1993;3:119–125.

79. Krieger N. Racial and gender discrimination: risk factors for high blood pressure? *Soc Sci Med.* 1990;30:1273–1281.

80. Krieger N, Sidney S. Racial discrimination and blood pressure: the CARDIA study of young black and white adults. *Am J Public Health.* 1996;86:1370–1378.

81. Bosworth HB. The social/environmental influences on hypertension. In: Miller JR, Lerner RM, Schiamberg LB, eds. Human Ecology: An Encyclopedia of Children, Families, Communities, and Environments. Santa Barbara, in press.

82. Bosworth HB. The relationship between ecological factors and treatment adherence. In: Miller JR, Lerner RM, Schiamberg LB, eds. Human Ecology: An Encyclopedia of Children, Families, Communities, and Environments. Santa Barbara, In press.

83. Mechanic D, Schlesinger M. The impact of managed care on patients' trust in medical care and their physicians. *JAMA*. 1996;275:1693–1697.

84. Kaplan S, Greenfield S, Gandek B, et al. Characteristics

of physicians with participatory decision-making styles. *Ann Intern Med.* 1996;124:497–504.

85. Williams RB, Barefoot JC, Califf RM, et al. Prognostic importance of social and economic resources among medically treated patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease. *JAMA*. 1992;267:520–524.

86. Bosworth HB, Schaie KW. The relationship of social environment, social networks, and health outcomes in the Seattle Longitudinal Study: two analytical approaches. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 1997;52:197–205.

87. Trevino D, Young EG, Groff J, Jone RT. The association between marital adjustment and compliance with antihypertension regimens. *J Am Board Fam Pract.* 1990;1:17–25.

88. Stanton A. Determinants of adherence to medical regimens by hypertensive patients. *J Behav Med.* 1987;10:377–394.

89. Nagy V, Wolfe, GR. Cognitive predictors of compliance in chronic disease patients. *Med Care*. 1984;22:912–921.

90. Strogatz D, Croft JB, James SA, et al. Social support, stress, and blood pressure in black adults. *Epidemiology*. 1997;8: 482–487.

91. Kamarck T, Manuck SB, Jennings JR. Social support reduces cardiovascular reactivity to psychological challenge: a laboratory model. *Psychosom Med.* 1990;52:42–58.

92. McNeilly M, Robinson E, Anderson M, et al. Effects of racist provocation and social support on cardiovascular reactivity in African-American women. *Int J Behav Med.* 1995:2.

93. Hill MN, Bone LR, Kim MT, et al. Barriers to hypertension care and control in young urban black men. *Am J Hypertens*. 1999;12:951–958.

94. Burke L, Dunbar-Jacobs JM, Hill MN. Compliance with cardiovascular disease prevention strategies: A review of the research. *Ann Behav Med.* 1997;19:239–263.

95. Dunbar-Jacob J, Dwyer K, Dunning EJ. Compliance with antihypertensive regimen: a review of the research in the 1980s. *Ann Behav Med.* 1991;13:31–39.

96. Miller N, Hill MN, Kottke T, et al. The multilevel compliance challenge: recommendations for a call to action. A statement for healthcare professionals. *Circulation.* 1997;95:1085–1090.

97. Hyman D, Pavlik VN, Valbona C, et al. Blood pressure measurement and antihypertensive treatment in a low-income African-American population. *Am J Public Health*. 1998;88:292–294.

98. Blair S, Goodyear, NN, Gibbons, LW, Cooper, KH. Physical fitness and incidence of hypertension in healthy normotensive men and women. *JAMA*. 1984;252:487–490.

99. Joos S, Hickam DH, Gordon GH, Baker LH. Effects of physician communication intervention on patient care outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1996;11:147–155.

100. Kaplan S, Greenfield S, Ware JE Jr. Assessing the effects of physician-patient interactions on the outcomes of chronic disease. *Med Care*. 1989;27:S110–127.

101. Thom D, Campbell B. Patient and physician trust: an exploratory study. *J Fam Practice*. 1997;44:169–176.

102. Cooper-Patrick L, Gallo JJ, Gonzales JJ, et al. Race, gender, and partnership in the patient-physician relationship. *JAMA*. 1999;282:583–589.

103. Hall J, Roter DL, Katz NR. Meta-analysis of correlates of

provider behavior in medical encounters. *Med Care.* 1988;26:657-675.

104. Haynes R, Taylor DW, Sackett DL. Can simple clinical measurement detect patient noncompliance? *Hypertension*. 1980; 2:759–782.

105. Fleece L, Summers MA, Schnaper H, et al. Adherence to pharmacotherapeutic regimen: Assessment and intervention. *Ala J Med Sci.* 1988;25:389–393.

106. Roter DH, JA. Merisca R, Nordstrom B., et al. Effectiveness of interventions to improve patient compliance: a metaanalysis. *Med Care*. 1998;36:1138–61.

107. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York: Academic Press, 1969.

108. Mullen P, Mains DA, Velez R. A meta-analysis of controlled trials of cardiac patient education. *Patient Educ Counsel*. 1992;19:143–162.

109. Woolf B. Customer Specific Marketing. New York: Rand McNally; 1992.

110. Skinner C, Stretcher VJ, Hospers H. Physician recommendations for mammography: Do tailored messages make a difference? *Am J Public Health.* 1994;81:43–49.

111. Prochaska J, DiClemente CC, Velicer WF, et al. Standardized, individualized, interactive and personalized selfhelp programs for smoking cessation. *Health Psychol.* 1993;12:399– 405.

112. Strecher V, Kreuter MW, DenBoer CH, et al. The effects of computer-tailored smoking cessation messages in family practice settings. *J Fam Pract.* 1994;39:262–270.

113. Campbell M, DeVellis BM, Strecher VJ, et al. The impact of message tailoring on dietary behavior change for disease prevention in primary care settings. *Am J Public Health*. 1994;84: 783–787.

114. Rimer BK. Tailoring communications for primary care settings. *Methods Information Med.* 1998;37:171–177.

115. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People, 2010.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

We Welcome Your Comments

Journal of the National Medical Association welcomes your Letters to the Editor about articles that appear in the JNMA or issues relevant to minority health care.

Address correspondence to Editor-in-Chief, JNMA, 1012 Tenth St, NW, Washington, DC 20001; fax (202) 371-1162; or ktaylor @nmanet.org.