

Review Article

Opiates and the Development of Post-Injury Complications: a Review

Martin G. Schwacha

Department of Surgery and Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35852, USA

Received December 14, 2007; accepted January 10, 2008; available online January 20, 2008

Abstract: Opiates are the analgesic of choice for the treatment of post-burn, -trauma and -surgical pain, however, it is also well-established that opiates can induce immune complications. These complications, independent of the analgesic regime, are also associated with severe traumatic injuries, such as burns. Recent findings suggest that opiates can contribute to immune and infectious complications in experimental and clinical settings. Based on the immunomodulatory properties of opiate analgesics their therapeutic use/misuse post-injury may contribute to the development of complications leading to increased morbidity and mortality in this patient population. An improved understanding of the relationship(s) between opiates and complications following major injury, such as burn trauma is likely to contribute towards an improvement in existing, as well as the development of new therapeutic regimes. This review will focus on the role of opiate analgesic usage and abuse and in the development of complications following major traumatic injury with a particular emphasis on burn injury.

Key Words: Morphine, immune, infection, macrophage T-cell, burn

Introduction

Opiate analgesics (i.e., morphine and other opiate derivatives) are the preferred treatment for the management of patient pain associated with burn injury, major trauma, surgical trauma and cancer [1-4]. Nonetheless, while these drugs have excellent analgesic efficacy, it is well-documented that chronic or therapeutic use of opiates can compromise a wide range of immune functional parameters [5-9]. In this regard, studies have shown that morphine can induce the following: immunosuppression [10-14], an immunosuppressive Th-2 cytokine profile (i.e., increased IL-4, IL-10 and/or decreased IL-2, IFN- γ) [15,16], suppressed macrophage functions [17-21], and increased susceptibility to infection [2,22,23]. Since opiates are the primary analgesic used in trauma patients, it is important to point out that these opiate-induced immune complications are also associated with major traumatic injury, such as thermal injury [24-35].

Post-burn immune complications, such as these listed above, appear to be related to the

activation of a macrophage-dependent pro-inflammatory cascade [25,36]. The release of inflammatory cytokines by macrophages is an important mechanism by which they regulate the inflammatory response and aspects of both innate and acquired immunity. Macrophage hyperactivity has been implicated in the increased susceptibility to sepsis following thermal injury [37,38] and is consistent with a "two-hit" phenomenon where trauma (1st hit) "primes" the host to exhibit an abnormal response to a 2nd hit (i.e., sepsis) that is contained by a healthy host, but not a compromised host leading to the development of multiple organ failure and death [39]. Previous studies have examined cellular priming and peripheral organ dysfunction in two-hit models where the initial injury (i.e., ischemia, hemorrhage, burn) was followed by wound infection, cecal ligation and puncture, or endotoxin challenge [29,40-43].

Clinical Perspectives on Opiates and Post-Injury Complications

A recently completed retrospective clinical study on the impact of opiate analgesics on

the development of post-burn infections suggests that opiates can increase burn patient morbidity [44]. A total of 237 cases and matched controls were included in the study. Patients who developed infections were 20% more likely to be in the high opiate intake group. Interestingly, this association was modified by burn severity. Among patients with smaller burns ($\leq 13\%$ total body surface area, TBSA) who were in the high opiate use group were 80% more likely to develop an infection. Among patients with moderate burns (14% to 26% TBSA), the high opiate use group was 34% more likely to develop an infection and those with large burns ($>26\%$ TBSA), the high opiate use group use was similar between those who did and did not develop infections. The results of this clinical study suggest that burn patients who use large amounts of opiate analgesics are at increased risk of infection.

In support of this observation, a recent retrospective clinical study has shown that morphine for the treatment of chest pain in heart patients increases the risk of mortality [45]. These findings strongly support the concept that therapeutic opiates can adversely affect outcome following injury. Patients hospitalized for a heart attack have long been treated with morphine to relieve chest pain. In their analysis of more than 57,000 high-risk heart attack patients, approximately 30% of who had received morphine within the first 24 hours of hospitalization, they observed that those who received morphine had a 6.8% mortality rate, as compared to a 3.8% mortality rate for patients receiving nitroglycerin. The researchers found that patients who were given morphine had 48 percent higher risk of dying and 34 percent higher risk of suffering another heart attack while in the hospital. Moreover, the increase in mortality persisted even after adjustment for the patients' baseline clinical risk.

Opiates and Immune Function

Abusers of opiates have an increased incidence of infectious complications [46,47]. This observation has been confirmed in animal studies demonstrating that opiate treatment decreases resistance to infections by microbial agents [2,22,48]. Both therapeutic and chronic opiate use has a broad range of effects upon the immune system [6,9,49]. In animal models, morphine treatment has been shown to suppress immune parameters such

as delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH), lymphocyte proliferation, natural killer (NK) cell cytotoxicity, antibody production, cytokine production, phagocytic function, induce lymphoid organ atrophy and diminish CD4+/CD8+ ratios [5,6,17,50-54].

Recent data suggests that activation of opiate receptors on immune cells induces altered intracellular Ca^{+2} levels, activation of cAMP-dependent pathways and changes in MAP kinase activation [55-59]. Morphine can negatively regulate IFN- γ promoter activity by decreasing either NF- κ B signaling or MAP kinase/AP-1 signaling [56]. In contrast, others have shown that morphine sulfate can induce activation of the ERK pathway [55]. Shahabi et al., [57] have recently shown that δ -opioid receptors modulate T-cell receptor signaling through a JNK/SAPK-dependent pathway leading to activation of ATF-2. Roy et al. [60] have shown that morphine increases LPS-induced expression of IL-6 and TNF- α through the NF- κ B pathway. Macrophage nitric oxide, IL-12 and TNF- α production are also enhanced by morphine under basal and LPS-activated states [14,61]. A major concern with opiates is their profound immunosuppressive properties. The opiate-induced suppression in immune function has been demonstrated at the level of T-cell proliferative responses [12,19,62], development of an immunosuppressive Th-2 profile [15,62], and decreased production of antibodies in response to antigenic stimuli [11,63]. With regard to burn injury, morphine treatment of mice with a small burn induced immune dysfunction that was not evident in untreated burned or sham animals receiving only morphine sulfate [64]. In this study mice were subjected to a small 6.25% total body surface area (TBSA) burn and treated with morphine sulfate (2 mg/kg bwt/day). Neither burn injury nor morphine treatment alone altered splenic T-cell proliferation. In contrast, morphine treatment of burned mice suppressed splenic T-cell proliferation at 4 and 7 days post-injury. The suppressed T-cell response correlated with increased nitric oxide production and expression of a Th-2 type phenotype. These findings demonstrated that mice treated with a clinically relevant dosage of morphine sulfate after an "immunologically insignificant" burn injury displayed significant immune derangements and immunosuppression.

The mechanisms responsible for opiate-

induced alterations are not completely understood. They appear to be related to the direct action on immunocompetent cells and indirect action through the central nervous system (CNS) and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis [65-71]. HPA activation induces ACTH production from the pituitary, and subsequent release of immunosuppressive glucocorticoids by the adrenals. Moreover, primary and secondary lymphoid organs (i.e., spleen) have sympathetic innervations which upon activation by opiates can produce catecholamines that are also immunosuppressive [72]. In this regard, Tracey [73] has proposed an important role for sympathetic innervation in the control of the inflammatory response.

The majority of burn patients receive opiate analgesics for the treatment of pain associated with the initial injury as well as for post-injury procedures, such as wound debridement. Since opiates have profound immunomodulatory effects, it is likely that treatment of burn patients with these drugs alters their immune response to the injury. Earlier studies examined the impact of opiates on post-burn immune function [74,75]. However, these studies were directed towards understanding the potential role of endogenous opiates such as β -endorphin, rather than the impact of exogenous opiates administered to burn patients in the ICU (i.e., morphine sulfate, fentanyl, hydromorphone, meperidine). There is evidence that neuropeptides, especially the opiate receptor agonists, are involved in wound healing [76-80]. Opioid peptides have been used in animal models in treatment of wounds, as they induce fibroblast proliferation and growth of capillaries, and accelerate the maturation of granulation tissue and the epithelization of the defect. Interestingly, Poonawala et al., [81] observed that topical application of opiates accelerates wound healing by up-regulating nitric oxide synthase and the vascular endothelial-derived growth factor receptor Flk1 in the healing wounds. In contrast, however, Kramer et al., [82] have shown that the intraoperative use of morphine nerve paste might delay wound healing and increase postoperative morbidity. The impact of opiates on wound healing is unclear.

Interestingly, several studies suggest that opiates can have positive effects in brain injury, as they can modify some trauma-

induced cellular events and pathologies [83-85]. More recently Zohar et al., [86] have shown that morphine administered to mice immediately after brain injury protected them from long-term cognitive deficits. These findings suggest that activation of the endogenous opiate system may influence the pathogenesis of traumatic brain injury. Thus, whereas opiates may be detrimental in organ injury, burns and hemorrhage, they may prove to be beneficial in cases of traumatic brain injury.

Opiate Derivatives

While morphine sulfate is the most widely used opiate for pain management in adults, other types of "pure-opioids" are also employed under such conditions. They include meperidine, fentanyl, and hydromorphone. Meperidine has lower analgesic potency than morphine, whereas fentanyl and hydromorphone have greater potency than morphine. To date, only a few studies have examined the immunomodulatory properties of these non-morphine opiates [87-89]. Yeager et al., [88] demonstrated in human volunteers that fentanyl increased NK cell cytotoxicity and circulating CD16+ lymphocytes. Other immune parameters, such as T-cell proliferation and neutrophil cytotoxicity were unaltered. In contrast, in a murine system, fentanyl treatment of splenocytes has been shown to suppress T-cell proliferation, IL-2 production and NK cell function [87]. Meperidine had no such effect on immune function. Sacerdote et al., [89] examined the effect of a range of opiate drugs including morphine sulfate and hydromorphone in mice. They found that treatment with morphine suppressed splenic T-cell proliferation, IL-2 production and NK cell activity. In contrast, hydromorphone, while analgesic, did not alter immune parameters at any dose tested (2.5-20 mg/kg bwt). These studies suggest that different commonly used opiate drugs have different effects on immune function. Nonetheless, these studies were done in normal volunteers or animals and it remains unknown if similar responses would be observed in an injured patient.

Opiate Receptors

The pharmacological effects of opiates are mediated by several kinds of receptors. The primary receptors are the μ -, δ -, and κ -receptors [7,9,90]. These receptors belong to

the family of G-protein linked receptors and are related in structure to receptors for other neurotransmitters [90]. A wide range of second messengers and signal transduction pathways (i.e., cAMP, Ca²⁺, MAP kinases, NF- κ B, AP-1 etc) are involved in the activation and regulation of inflammatory genes such as IL-6, TNF- α , iNOS, COX-2 [28,36,91,92].

Therapeutic opiates produce their activity by mimicking the action of endogenous opioid peptides (i.e., met-enkephalin, β -endorphin, dynorphin) at receptors in the CNS, immune system and elsewhere. The three main types of opiate receptors, each with their own subtypes, are mu (μ , μ 1, μ 2), delta (δ , δ 1, δ 2) and kappa (κ , κ 1-4). In vitro studies in humans and rodents support the concept that all three main types of opiate receptors can modulate immune function [93-96]. Taub et al., [96] showed that the opiate-induced suppression of murine splenic antibody responses can be mediated through either the μ - or κ -opioid receptor. Additional findings by this group have demonstrated that the δ -receptor effect is through the δ 2 receptor subtype [95]. In contrast, studies by other investigators [97] suggest that the immunomodulatory effects of morphine are through the μ receptor. These studies demonstrated suppressed NK cell activity and T-cell proliferation, diminished CD4⁺/CD8⁺ ratio and lymphoid atrophy. However, these studies used either a μ receptor knockout mouse or administration of a μ receptor agonist to the left ventricle of the brain. Thus, they do not preclude a role for the other opioid receptors on peripheral immune cells. While traditionally, it is thought that a given response to an opiate results from an interaction with a single type of receptor (μ , κ , δ), Smith and Lee [90] have recently proposed that interactions between receptor types play a major role in opiate action. These interactions may be local (i.e., same tissue such as immune cells) or non-local (i.e., different tissues such as CNS and immune cells). Non-local interactions would involve inter-cellular mechanisms, whereas local interactions would potentially involve both inter- and intracellular interactions. Thus, it is plausible that therapeutic opiates may exert their action on one particular receptor type in the CNS and another receptor type in the immune compartment, which both ultimately influence immune functions by differing mechanisms.

Drug Abuse and the Trauma Patient

Studies suggest that the number of burn patients reported as abusing drugs prior to admission is on the increase [98]. The patients identified as drug abusers had increased morbidity as compared to non-abusers. More recently, burn units are increasingly treating a new type of patient, individuals injured in explosions/fires from homemade laboratories producing methamphetamines [99]. One major difference in this patient population is that the patients injured in methamphetamine lab explosions are typically drug users and need to undergo withdrawal during treatment. A recent study by Santos et al., [100] indicates that increasing incidences of burn injuries are related to methamphetamine laboratory accidents. In this patient sub-population, most patients are also positive for poly-substance abuse. Specifically, all the methamphetamine patients were positive for methamphetamine and most of them had a positive screen for two or more drugs compared with the control group. The most commonly abused recreational drugs with methamphetamine were opiates, benzodiazepines, and cannabis. These patients required higher levels of resuscitation as well as sedation. In addition, methamphetamine lab patients tended to have a higher skin graft loss as compared with the graft loss rate in the control group. Future studies assessing the impact of drugs of abuse, and particularly opiates, on trauma patient morbidity are clearly warranted.

Conclusion

Traumatic injury occurs with alarming frequency in the United States. In this regard, burn injury is a significant health problem in the United States with approximately 70,000 people sustaining such injuries requiring hospitalization each year [101]. Improvements in therapeutic procedures for the treatment of burn victims have improved patient prognosis, however, high morbidity and mortality rates remain as major concerns. Some of the causative factors for post-burn morbidity and mortality may be in part related to therapeutic regimens employed in the treatment of such patients. In this regard, opiates (the analgesic of choice for the treatment of post-burn pain) can induce immune complications and increased infectious complications. Nonetheless, pain management in trauma patients is a primary concern that should take precedence over the potential immune complications that opiates might induce. In the

future, the development of novel analgesic regimes that maximize analgesic efficacy and minimize immune/infectious complications is warranted and likely to lead to decreased morbidity and mortality in this unique patient population.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grant R01GM079122, Department of Defense grant PRO034212, and the University of Alabama at Birmingham Department of Surgery.

Address correspondence to: Martin G. Schwacha, PhD, The University of Alabama at Birmingham Center for Surgical Research, Department of Surgery G094 Volker Hall, 1670 University Blvd. Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, Fax: (205) 975-9715, E-mail: schwacha.uab.edu.

References

- [1] Latarjet J, Choinere M. Pain in burn patients. *Burns* 1995; 21(5):344-348.
- [2] Roy S, Charboneau RG, Barke RA. Morphine synergizes with lipopolysaccharide in a chronic endotoxemia model. *J Neuroimmunol* 1999; 95(1-2):107-114.
- [3] Liu LL, Gropper MA. Postoperative analgesia and sedation in the adult intensive care unit: a guide to drug selection. *Drugs* 2003; 63(8):755-767.
- [4] Wong KC. Anesthesia—past, present and future. *J Chin Med Assoc* 2003; 66(3):135-139.
- [5] Eisenstein TK, Hilburger ME. Opioid modulation of immune responses: effects on phagocyte and lymphoid cell populations. *J Neuroimmunol* 1998; 83(1-2):36-44.
- [6] Roy S, Loh HH. Effects of opioids on the immune system. *Neurochem Res* 1996; 21(11):1375-1386.
- [7] Sharp BM. Opioid receptor expression and intracellular signaling by cells involved in host defense and immunity. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2003; 521:98-105.
- [8] Sharp BM, Roy S, Bidlack JM. Evidence for opioid receptors on cells involved in host defense and the immune system. *J Neuroimmunol* 1998; 83(1-2):45-56.
- [9] McCarthy L, Wetzel M, Sliker JK, Eisenstein TK, Rogers TJ. Opioids, opioid receptors, and the immune response. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2001; 62(2):111-123.
- [10] Bryant HU, Bernton EW, Holaday JW. Immunomodulatory effects of chronic morphine treatment: pharmacologic and mechanistic studies. *NIDA Res Monogr* 1990; 96:131-149.
- [11] Eisenstein TK, Bussiere JL, Rogers TJ, Adler MW. Immunosuppressive effects of morphine on immune responses in mice. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1993; 335:41-52.
- [12] Flores LR, Wahl SM, Bayer BM. Mechanisms of morphine-induced immunosuppression: effect of acute morphine administration on lymphocyte trafficking. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1995; 272(3):1246-1251.
- [13] Pruett SB, Han YC, Fuchs BA. Morphine suppresses primary humoral immune responses by a predominantly indirect mechanism. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1992; 262(3):923-928.
- [14] Wang J, Charboneau R, Balasubramanian S, Barke RA, Loh HH, Roy S. The immunosuppressive effects of chronic morphine treatment are partially dependent on corticosterone and mediated by the mu-opioid receptor. *J Leukoc Biol* 2002; 71(5):782-790.
- [15] Roy S, Balasubramanian S, Sumandeep S, Charboneau R, Wang J, Melnyk D, Beilman GJ, Vatassery R, Barke RA. Morphine directs T cells toward T(H2) differentiation. *Surgery* 2001; 130(2):304-309.
- [16] Pacifici R, di Carlo S, Bacosi A, Pichini S, Zuccaro P. Pharmacokinetics and cytokine production in heroin and morphine-treated mice. *Int J Immunopharmacol* 2000; 22(8):603-614.
- [17] Eisenstein TK, Rogers TJ, Meissler JJJ, Adler MW, Hilburger ME. Morphine depresses macrophage numbers and function in mouse spleens. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1998; 437:33-41.
- [18] Elliott GR, van Batenburg MJ, Dzoljic MR. Enhanced prostaglandin E2 and thromboxane B2 release from resident peritoneal macrophages isolated from morphine-dependent rats. *FEBS Lett* 1987; 217(1):6-10.
- [19] Fecho K, Maslonek KA, Dykstra LA, Lysle DT. Mechanisms whereby macrophage-derived nitric oxide is involved in morphine-induced immunomodulation. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1995; 373:149-153.
- [20] Kohnke A, Maier C, Palm S, Barth J. [In vitro investigations of the effect of morphine and its metabolites on the phagocytosis of peripheral mononuclear cells]. *Schmerz* 1999; 13(2):121-126.
- [21] Stefano GB, Salzet M, Rialas CM, Mattocks D, Fimiani C, Bilfinger TV. Macrophage behavior associated with acute and chronic exposure to HIV GP120, morphine and anandamide: endothelial implications. *Int J Cardiol* 1998; 64 Suppl 1:S3-13.
- [22] Hilburger ME, Adler MW, Truant AL, Meissler JJJ, Satishchandran V, Rogers TJ, Eisenstein TK. Morphine induces sepsis in mice. *J Infect Dis* 1997; 176(1):183-188.
- [23] Runkel NS, Moody FG, Smith GS, Rodriguez LF, Chen Y, Larocco MT, Miller TA. Alterations in rat intestinal transit by morphine promote bacterial translocation. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993; 38(8):1530-1536.
- [24] Schwacha MG, Somers SD. Thermal injury

- induced immunosuppression in mice: the role of macrophage derived reactive nitrogen intermediates. *J Leuko Biol* 1998; 63:51-58.
- [25] Schwacha MG, Chaudry IH. The cellular basis of post-burn immunosuppression: Macrophages and mediators (Review). *Int J Mol Med* 2002; 10(3):239-243.
- [26] Lederer JA, Rodrick ML, Mannick JA. The effects of injury on the adaptive immune response. *Shock* 1999; 11(3):153-159.
- [27] O'Sullivan ST, Lederer JA, Horgan AF, Chin DHL, Mannick JA, Rodrick ML. Major injury leads to predominance of the T helper-2 lymphocyte phenotype and diminished interleukin-12 production associated with decreased resistance to infection. *Ann Surg* 1995; 222:482-492.
- [28] Sayeed MM. Alterations in cell signaling and related effector functions in T lymphocytes in burn/trauma/septic injuries [editorial]. *Shock* 1996; 5(3):157-166.
- [29] Shoup M, He LK, Liu H, Shankar R, Gamelli R. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor NS-398 improves survival and restores leukocyte counts in burn infection. *J Trauma* 1998; 45(2):215-220.
- [30] Moss NM, Gough DB, Jordan AL, Grbic JT, Wood JJ, Rodrick ML, Mannick JA. Temporal correlation of impaired immune response after thermal injury with susceptibility to infection in a murine model. *Surgery* 1988; 104(5):882-887.
- [31] Tompkins RG, Burke JF, Schoenfeld DA, Bondoc CC, Quinby WC, Jr., Behringer GC, Ackroyd FW. Prompt eschar excision: a treatment system contributing to reduced burn mortality. A statistical evaluation of burn care at the Massachusetts General Hospital (1974-1984). *Ann Surg* 1986; 204(3):272-281.
- [32] Schwacha MG, Knoferl MW, Chaudry IH. Does burn wound excision after thermal injury attenuate subsequent macrophage hyperactivity and immunosuppression? *Shock* 2000; 14(6):623-628.
- [33] Jeschke MG, Herndon DN, Baer W, Barrow RE, Jauch KW. Possibilities of non-viral gene transfer to improve cutaneous wound healing. *Curr Gene Ther* 2001; 1(3):267-278.
- [34] Gibran NS, Heimbach DM. Current status of burn wound pathophysiology. *Clin Plast Surg* 2000; 27(1):11-22.
- [35] Tredget EE. Pathophysiology and treatment of fibroproliferative disorders following thermal injury. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1999; 888:165-182.
- [36] Schwacha MG. Macrophages and post-burn immune dysfunction. *Burns* 2003; 29(1):1-14.
- [37] O'Riordain M, Collins KH, Pitz M, Saporoschetz IB, Mannick JA, Rodrick ML. Modulation of macrophage hyperactivity improves survival in a burn-sepsis model. *Arch Surg* 1992; 127:152-157.
- [38] Schwacha MG, Schneider CP, Chaudry IH. Differential expression and tissue compartmentalization of the inflammatory response following thermal injury. *Cytokine* 2002; 17(5):266-274.
- [39] Deitch EA. Multiple organ failure: pathophysiology and potential future therapy. *Ann Surg* 1992; 216:117-134.
- [40] Deitch EA. Animal models of sepsis and shock: a review and lessons learned. *Shock* 1998; 9(1):1-11.
- [41] Chaudry IH, Ayala A. Mechanism of increased susceptibility to infection following hemorrhage. *Am J Surg* 1993; 165(2A Suppl):59S-67S.
- [42] Moss NM, Gough DB, Jordan AL, Grbic JT, Wood JJ, Rodrick ML, Mannick JA. Temporal correlation of impaired immune response after thermal injury with susceptibility to infection in a murine model. *Surgery* 1988; 104(5):882-887.
- [43] Mishima S, Xu D, Lu Q, Deitch EA. The relationships among nitric oxide production, bacterial translocation, and intestinal injury after endotoxin challenge in vivo. *J Trauma* 1998; 44(1):175-182.
- [44] Schwacha MG, McGwin G, Jr., Hutchinson CB, Cross JM, MacLennan PA, Rue LW, III. The contribution of opiate analgesics to the development of infectious complications in burn patients. *Am J Surg* 2006; 192:82-86.
- [45] Meine TJ, Roe MT, Chen AY, Patel MR, Washam JB, Ohman EM, Peacock WF, Pollack CV, Gibler WB, Peterson ED. Association of intravenous morphine use and outcomes in acute coronary syndromes: Results from the CRUSADE quality improvement initiative. *Am Heart J* 2005; 149:1043-1049.
- [46] Horsburgh CR, Jr., Anderson JR, Boyko EJ. Increased incidence of infections in intravenous drug users. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1989; 10(5):211-215.
- [47] Scheidegger C, Zimmerli W. Infectious complications in drug addicts: seven-year review of 269 hospitalized narcotics abusers in Switzerland. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989; 11(3):486-493.
- [48] MacFarlane AS, Peng X, Meissler JJ, Jr., Rogers TJ, Geller EB, Adler MW, Eisenstein TK. Morphine increases susceptibility to oral *Salmonella typhimurium* infection. *J Infect Dis* 2000; 181(4):1350-1358.
- [49] Sacerdote P, Limioli E, Gaspani L. Experimental evidence for immunomodulatory effects of opioids. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2003; 521:106-116.
- [50] Shavit Y, Lewis JW, Terman GW, Gale RP, Liebeskind JC. Opioid peptides mediate the suppressive effect of stress on natural killer cell cytotoxicity. *Science* 1984; 223(4632):188-190.
- [51] Pellis NR, Harper C, Dafny N. Suppression of the induction of delayed hypersensitivity in rats by repetitive morphine treatments. *Exp Neurol* 1986; 93(1):92-97.
- [52] Tubaro E, Borelli G, Croce C, Cavallo G, Santiangeli C. Effect of morphine on resistance

- to infection. *J Infect Dis* 1983; 148(4):656-666.
- [53] Lorenzo P, Portoles A, Jr., Beneit JV, Ronda E, Portoles A. Physical dependence to morphine diminishes the interferon response in mice. *Immunopharmacology* 1987; 14(2):93-99.
- [54] Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Matthes HW, Peluso J, Kieffer BL. Abolition of morphine-immunosuppression in mice lacking the mu-opioid receptor gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998; 95(11):6326-6330.
- [55] Chuang LF, Killam KF, Jr., Chuang RY. Induction and activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases of human lymphocytes as one of the signaling pathways of the immunomodulatory effects of morphine sulfate. *J Biol Chem* 1997; 272(43):26815-26817.
- [56] Wang J, Barke RA, Charboneau R, Loh HH, Roy S. Morphine negatively regulates interferon-gamma promoter activity in activated murine T cells through two distinct cyclic AMP-dependent pathways. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(39):37622-37631.
- [57] Shahabi NA, McAllen K, Sharp BM. Phosphorylation of activating transcription factor in murine splenocytes through delta opioid receptors. *Cell Immunol* 2003; 221(2):122-127.
- [58] Jordan BA, Devi LA. G-protein-coupled receptor heterodimerization modulates receptor function. *Nature* 1999; 399(6737):697-700.
- [59] Sharp BM, McKean DJ, McAllen K, Shahabi NA. Signaling through delta opioid receptors on murine splenic T cells and stably transfected Jurkat cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1998; 840:420-424.
- [60] Roy S, Cain KJ, Chapin RB, Charboneau RG, Barke RA. Morphine modulates NF kappa B activation in macrophages. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1998; 245(2):392-396.
- [61] Singhal PC, Sharma P, Kapasi AA, Reddy K, Franki N, Gibbons N. Morphine enhances macrophage apoptosis. *J Immunol* 1998; 160(4):1886-1893.
- [62] Wang J, Charboneau R, Balasubramanian S, Barke RA, Loh HH, Roy S. Morphine modulates lymph node-derived T lymphocyte function: role of caspase-3, -8, and nitric oxide. *J Leukoc Biol* 2001; 70(4):527-536.
- [63] Peng X, Cebra JJ, Adler MW, Meissler JJ, Jr., Cowan A, Feng P, Eisenstein TK. Morphine inhibits mucosal antibody responses and TGF-beta mRNA in gut-associated lymphoid tissue following oral cholera toxin in mice. *J Immunol* 2001; 167(7):3677-3681.
- [64] Alexander M, Daniel T, Chaudry IH, Schwacha MG. Opiate analgesics contribute to the development of post-injury immunosuppression. *J Surg Res* 2005; 129(1):161-168.
- [65] Flores LR, Dretchen KL, Bayer BM. Potential role of the autonomic nervous system in the immunosuppressive effects of acute morphine administration. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1996; 318(2-3):437-446.
- [66] Weber RJ, Pert A. The periaqueductal gray matter mediates opiate-induced immunosuppression. *Science* 1989; 245(4914):188-190.
- [67] Carr DJ, Rogers TJ, Weber RJ. The relevance of opioids and opioid receptors on immunocompetence and immune homeostasis. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1996; 213(3):248-257.
- [68] Guan L, Townsend R, Eisenstein TK, Adler MW, Rogers TJ. The cellular basis for opioid-induced immunosuppression. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1995; 373:57-64.
- [69] Shavit Y, Depaulis A, Martin FC, Terman GW, Pechnick RN, Zane CJ, Gale RP, Liebeskind JC. Involvement of brain opiate receptors in the immune-suppressive effect of morphine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1986; 83(18):7114-7117.
- [70] Houghtling RA, Bayer BM. Rapid elevation of plasma interleukin-6 by morphine is dependent on autonomic stimulation of adrenal gland. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002; 300(1):213-219.
- [71] House SD, Mao X, Wu G, Espinelli D, Li WX, Chang SL. Chronic morphine potentiates the inflammatory response by disrupting interleukin-1beta modulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *J Neuroimmunol* 2001; 118(2):277-285.
- [72] Bergquist J, Tarkowski A, Ewing A, Ekman R. Catecholaminergic suppression of immunocompetent cells. *Immunol Today* 1998; 19(12):562-567.
- [73] Tracey KJ. The inflammatory reflex. *Nature* 2002; 420(6917):853-859.
- [74] Deitch EA, Xu D, Bridges RM. Opioids modulate human neutrophil and lymphocyte function: thermal injury alters plasma beta-endorphin levels. *Surgery* 1988; 104(1):41-48.
- [75] Hendrickson M, Shelby J, Sullivan JJ, Saffle JR. Naloxone inhibits the in vivo immunosuppressive effects of morphine and thermal injury in mice. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 1989; 10(6):494-498.
- [76] Bigliardi-Qi M, Sumanovski LT, Buchner S, Rufli T, Bigliardi PL. Mu-opiate receptor and Beta-endorphin expression in nerve endings and keratinocytes in human skin. *Dermatology* 2004; 209(3):183-189.
- [77] Bigliardi PL, Sumanovski LT, Buchner S, Rufli T, Bigliardi-Qi M. Different expression of mu-opiate receptor in chronic and acute wounds and the effect of beta-endorphin on transforming growth factor beta type II receptor and cytokeratin 16 expression. *J Invest Dermatol* 2003; 120(1):145-152.
- [78] Bigliardi PL, Bigliardi-Qi M, Buechner S, Rufli T. Expression of mu-opiate receptor in human epidermis and keratinocytes. *J Invest Dermatol* 1998; 111(2):297-301.
- [79] Nissen JB, Lund M, Stengaard-Pedersen K,

- Kragballe K. Enkephalin-like immunoreactivity in human skin is found selectively in a fraction of CD68-positive dermal cells: increase in enkephalin-positive cells in lesional psoriasis. *Arch Dermatol Res* 1997; 289(5):265-271.
- [80] Cepeda MS, Lipkowski AW, Langlade A, Osgood PF, Ehrlich HP, Hargreaves K, Szyfelbein SK, Carr DB. Local increases of subcutaneous beta-endorphin immunoactivity at the site of thermal injury. *Immunopharmacology* 1993; 25(3):205-213.
- [81] Poonawala T, Levay-Young BK, Hebbel RP, Gupta K. Opioids heal ischemic wounds in the rat. *Wound Repair Regen* 2005; 13(2):165-174.
- [82] Kramer MH, Mangram AJ, Pearson ML, Jarvis WR. Surgical-site complications associated with a morphine nerve paste used for postoperative pain control after laminectomy. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1999; 20(3):183-186.
- [83] Hall ED, Wolf DL, Althaus JS, Von Voigtlander PF. Beneficial effects of the kappa opioid receptor agonist U-50488H in experimental acute brain and spinal cord injury. *Brain Res* 1987; 435(1-2):174-180.
- [84] Hudson CJ, Von Voigtlander PF, Althaus JS, Scherch HM, Means ED. The kappa opioid-related anticonvulsants U-50488H and U-54494A attenuate N-methyl-D-aspartate induced brain injury in the neonatal rat. *Brain Res* 1991; 564(2):261-267.
- [85] Lyeth BG, Liu S, Hamm RJ. Combined scopolamine and morphine treatment of traumatic brain injury in the rat. *Brain Res* 1993; 617(1):69-75.
- [86] Zohar O, Getslev V, Miller AL, Schreiber S, Pick CG. Morphine protects for head trauma induced cognitive deficits in mice. *Neurosci Lett* 2006; 394(3):239-242.
- [87] House RV, Thomas PT, Bhargava HN. In vitro evaluation of fentanyl and meperidine for immunomodulatory activity. *Immunol Lett* 1995; 46(1-2):117-124.
- [88] Yeager MP, Procopio MA, DeLeo JA, Arruda JL, Hildebrandt L, Howell AL. Intravenous fentanyl increases natural killer cell cytotoxicity and circulating CD16(+) lymphocytes in humans. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94(1):94-99.
- [89] Sacerdote P, Manfredi B, Mantegazza P, Panerai AE. Antinociceptive and immunosuppressive effects of opiate drugs: a structure-related activity study. *Br J Pharmacol* 1997; 121(4):834-840.
- [90] Smith AP, Lee NM. Opioid receptor interactions: local and nonlocal, symmetric and asymmetric, physical and functional. *Life Sci* 2003; 73(15):1873-1893.
- [91] Monick MM, Hunninghake GW. Second messenger pathways in pulmonary host defense. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2003; 65:643-667.
- [92] Strassheim D, Park JS, Abraham E. Sepsis: current concepts in intracellular signaling. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2002; 34(12):1527-1533.
- [93] Wybran J, Appelboom T, Famaey JP, Govaerts A. Suggestive evidence for receptors for morphine and methionine-enkephalin on normal human blood T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 1979; 123(3):1068-1070.
- [94] Eisenstein TK, Meissler JJ, Jr., Bussiere JL, Rogers TJ, Geller EB, Adler MW. Mouse strain differences in in vivo and in vitro immunosuppressive effects of opioids. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1995; 373:115-121.
- [95] Rahim RT, Meissler JJ, Jr., Cowan A, Rogers TJ, Geller EB, Gaughan J, Adler MW, Eisenstein TK. Administration of mu-, kappa- or delta2-receptor agonists via osmotic minipumps suppresses murine splenic antibody responses. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2001; 1(11):2001-2009.
- [96] Taub DD, Eisenstein TK, Geller EB, Adler MW, Rogers TJ. Immunomodulatory activity of mu- and kappa-selective opioid agonists. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1991; 88(2):360-364.
- [97] Nelson CJ, Schneider GM, Lysle DT. Involvement of central mu- but not delta- or kappa-opioid receptors in immunomodulation. *Brain Behav Immun* 2000; 14(3):170-184.
- [98] Swenson JR, Dimsdale JE, Rockwell E, Carroll W, Hansbrough J. Drug and alcohol abuse in patients with acute burn injuries. *Psychosomatics* 1991; 32(3):287-293.
- [99] Mitka M. Meth lab fires put heat on burn centers. *JAMA* 2005; 294(16):2009-2010.
- [100] Santos AP, Wilson AK, Hornung CA, Polk HC, Jr., Rodriguez JL, Franklin GA. Methamphetamine laboratory explosions: a new and emerging burn injury. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 2005; 26(3):228-232.
- [101] Pruitt BA, Jr., Mason AD. Epidemiological, demographic and outcome characteristics of burn injury. In: Herndon DN, editor. *Total Burn Care*. London: WB Saunders Co, 1996: 5-15.