# Assessing Cancer Stage and Screening Disparities Among Native American Cancer Patients

B. Ashleigh Guadagnolo, MD, MPH<sup>a,b</sup> Kristin Cina, BS<sup>c</sup> Petra Helbig, Dipl Psych<sup>c</sup> Kevin Molloy, RN<sup>c</sup> Mary Reiner, BA, CCRP<sup>c</sup> E. Francis Cook, ScD<sup>d</sup> Daniel G. Petereit, MD<sup>c,c</sup>

## **SYNOPSIS**

**Objective.** Disparities in cancer-related health outcomes exist among Native Americans. This article assesses barriers to timely and effective cancer care among Native American cancer patients.

**Methods.** We conducted a community-based participatory survey of newly diagnosed cancer patients to assess their basic knowledge of cancer screening and their beliefs about cancer management. Sociodemographic and cancerrelated information was obtained from medical records. Mean scores for correct answers to the screening knowledge battery were tabulated and analyzed by race/ethnicity and sociodemographic characteristics. Multivariable regression models were used to adjust for sociodemographic characteristics in evaluating the association between screening knowledge and race/ethnicity.

**Results.** The survey response rate was 62%. Of 165 patients, 52 were Native American and 113 were white. Native Americans with cancers for which a screening test is available presented with significantly higher rates of advanced-stage cancer (p=0.04). Native Americans scored lower on the cancer screening knowledge battery (p=0.0001). In multivariable analyses adjusting for age, gender, income, education level, employment status, and geographic distance from the cancer center, Native American race/ethnicity was the only factor significantly predictive of lower screening knowledge. Native Americans expressed more negative attitudes toward cancer treatment in some of the items regarding impacts and burden of cancer treatment.

**Conclusions.** Native American cancer patients presented with higher rates of advanced-stage disease for screening-detectable cancers, lower levels of basic cancer screening knowledge, and more negative attitudes about cancer treatment than white patients. Public health interventions regarding screening and cancer education are needed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX (current affiliation)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA (during first year of research reported in this article)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>John T. Vucurevich Cancer Care Institute, Rapid City Regional Hospital, Rapid City, SD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Department of Human Oncology, University of Wisconsin Paul P. Carbone Comprehensive Cancer Center, Madison, WI Address correspondence to: B. Ashleigh Guadagnolo, MD, MPH, c/o Daniel G. Petereit, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology, John T. Vucurevich Cancer Care Institute, Rapid City Regional Hospital, Rapid City, SD 57701; tel. 605-719-2360; fax 605-719-2310; e-mail <a guadagn@mdanderson.org>.

<sup>©2009</sup> Association of Schools of Public Health

Population-based studies of cancer-related health outcomes have consistently identified that Native Americans have cancer mortality rates that are relatively high compared with other racial and ethnic groups. 1-3 Poorer cancer-related survival persists, even when adjustments are made for the influence of poverty.<sup>1</sup> In the most recent report to the nation on the status of cancer by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, analyses of cancer death rates from 1975 to 2004 revealed declining trends in cancer death rates for all racial/ethnic groups except American Indians and Alaska Natives, for whom cancer death rate trends remained level.<sup>4</sup> This suggests that advances in cancer screening, diagnosis, and treatment that are positively affecting cancer outcomes in the U.S. are not reaching this subset of the population. Furthermore, American Indians in the U.S. Northern Plains, where many large reservations are located, have age-adjusted mortality rates that are significantly higher than those for white Americans for cancers for which an effective screening test exists. Specifically, the cancer-specific mortality rates for Native Americans in that region are 79% higher for cervical cancer, 58% higher for colorectal cancer, and 49% higher for prostate cancer.<sup>5</sup>

Upstream of the cancer mortality disparity, investigators also have consistently shown lower rates of screening utilization among Native Americans, 1,4,6-10 and thus, not surprisingly, have found that Native Americans present with disparately high rates of advanced-stage cancer. 1-3,11,12 Root causes for these disparities are multiple, and a growing literature is dedicated to examining and solving the patient-, physician-, and health-care system-related factors that contribute to inequities in health outcomes by race/ethnicity. 13-15 However, relatively few studies focus specifically on Native American health disparities, and even more scant are reports on disparities among Native American cancer patients. More information is needed to understand and address disparate cancer-related health outcomes in this vulnerable population.

In 2003, a review of the tumor registry (from 1990 to 2000) of Rapid City Regional Hospital (RCRH) in Rapid City, South Dakota, revealed that 50% of Native American cancer patients presented with stage III or IV breast, colorectal, prostate, cervical, and lung cancer compared with 36% of non-Native Americans presenting with advanced-stage disease for those malignancies. 12,16 This regional facility provides secondary and tertiary cancer care for approximately 60,000 adult Native Americans living in nearby reservations, surrounding rural communities, and Rapid City itself. In 2003, RCRH was awarded a Cancer Disparities Research Partnership grant to study the causes of cancer-related disparities and to develop effective interventions to eliminate these inequities. Since that time, a multifaceted, community-based participatory research and intervention effort has been undertaken to explore root causes of disparities, promote screening and prevention, enroll Native Americans in clinical trials, and provide patient navigation through cancer treatment. 11,12,16,17 As part of this effort, we prospectively surveyed patients presenting for cancer treatment to the RCRH Cancer Care Institute (CCI) to determine whether there were differences by race/ethnicity in cancer-related screening knowledge and attitudes about cancer treatment. We hypothesized that Native Americans would have persistent stage disparities for screening-detectable cancers, demonstrate less basic screening knowledge, and express more negative attitudes about cancer treatment and outcomes than their non-Native American counterparts.

#### **METHODS**

## Study population

We surveyed Native American and non-Native American cancer patients presenting for cancer treatment at the RCRH CCI from February 2005 to October 2007. Patients were eligible to be surveyed if they were 18 years of age or older and planning to return to the CCI for further care (surveys were administered at a subsequent visit). To minimize bias potentially incurred from contact with CCI staff and programs, patients were surveyed prior to or within two weeks of the initiation of cancer treatment. Patients were excluded if they had a prior history of a malignancy (except for nonmelanoma skin cancers). All adult cancer patients presenting to the CCI were approached upon registration about whether they would be willing to participate. If a patient agreed to participate, the individual was then contacted by research staff to schedule an appointment for a survey interview.

All surveys were administered in a face-to-face interview with research staff trained in administration of the instrument. Patients received \$15 upon completion of the survey. Both the instrument and study protocol were approved by the following entities prior to initiation of this study: the Institutional Review Board of RCRH, the Institutional Review Board of the Aberdeen Area Indian Health Service (IHS), the Aberdeen Area IHS Tribal Chairman's Health Board, Tribal Councils and Health Departments (Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Oglala Sioux Tribe, and Rosebud Sioux Tribe), and IHS Hospital Chief Executive Officers (Cheyenne River IHS Hospital, Pine Ridge [Oglala] IHS Hospital, Rapid City Sioux San IHS Hospital, and Rosebud IHS Hospital). Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to collecting any data.

## Data source

The data for this analysis came from a novel instrument developed after reviewing the literature and exploratory meetings with focus groups of Native American cancer patients as well as research program staff of both Native American and non-Native American background. Use of a previously validated instrument was not possible because no instruments existed that were specific to Native Americans. Furthermore, community participation required that Native American community members have input into the development of the survey. The eight-item battery assessing basic screening knowledge was partially adapted from items in the 2003 National Health Interview Survey. 18 Eight items were included in the survey to assess beliefs and attitudes regarding cancer treatment and impact on the individual diagnosed with cancer. These items were developed from focus group meetings with community members, cancer patients, and research staff experienced with health-care literacy in the local Native American and non-Native American populations.

Novel items were generated using general principles of survey development, 19,20 as determined to be appro-

priate by the focus groups and research program staff. Two focus groups were enlisted to pilot test the final survey. The first focus group consisted of eight Native American and non-Native American members of the community research staff. The second focus group to pilot test and approve the survey consisted of eight Native American community members, four of whom were cancer survivors. Sociodemographic and medical information was obtained from the medical record as well as from items in the survey itself. Race/ethnicity and tribal enrollment status were self-reported by participants.

Study measures. The major dependent variables in the study were basic knowledge of cancer screening and attitudes toward cancer treatment as measured by the batteries and items described previously. Basic knowledge of cancer screening was measured by tabulating a score for an eight-item battery of basic screening questions. The score was determined by summing the number of correct answers to the four items shown in Table 1 and adding that to the number of "yes" answers to the items shown in Table 2. Attitudes toward cancer treatment were assessed using an eight-item battery, whereby respondents were asked to rate their agreement with items on a five-point scale of "strongly

Table 1. Cancer screening knowledge, true/false battery for cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

|                                                                                                             | Native American (n=52) | White (n=113) |         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Screening knowledge statement                                                                               | N (percent)            | N (percent)   | P-value |
| Screening tests for cancer should only be done for people who have symptoms that might be caused by cancer. |                        |               |         |
| True                                                                                                        | 9 (17)                 | 12 (11)       | 0.23    |
| False/I don't know <sup>a</sup>                                                                             | 43 (83)                | 101 (89)      |         |
| Screening tests for cancer only need to be done once in a person's lifetime.                                |                        |               |         |
| True                                                                                                        | 4 (8)                  | 0 (0)         | 0.003   |
| False/I don't know <sup>a</sup>                                                                             | 48 (92)                | 113 (100)     |         |
| People who do not have a family history of cancer still need to be screened for cancer.                     |                        |               |         |
| True <sup>a</sup>                                                                                           | 48 (92)                | 109 (96)      | 0.49    |
| False/I don't know                                                                                          | 3 (6)                  | 4 (4)         |         |
| Prefer not to answer                                                                                        | 1 (2)                  | 0 (0)         |         |
| Only people who smoke need to be screened for cancer.                                                       |                        |               |         |
| True                                                                                                        | 5 (10)                 | 1 (1)         |         |
| False/I don't know <sup>a</sup>                                                                             | 46 (88)                | 112 (99)      | 0.005   |
| Prefer not to answer                                                                                        | 1 (2)                  | 0 (0)         |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Denotes the correct answer, which was given a score of 1 for tabulation of the scores for the battery for screening knowledge. All other answers were given a score of zero.

NOTE: The tabulated score of Table 1 was summed with the tabulated score of Table 2 to comprise the total score for screening knowledge.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute

Table 2. Cancer screening knowledge/screening test familiarity battery for cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Native American (n=52) | White (n=113) |            |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|--|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | N (percent)            | N (percent)   | P-value    |  |
| A PSA test is a screening test of your blood that tests for prostate cancer in men. Before today, have you ever heard of a PSA test?                                                                                                |                        |               |            |  |
| Yesa                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 31 (60)                | 102 (90)      | 0.0001     |  |
| No/I don't know                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 21 (40)                | 11 (10)       | 0.000.     |  |
| A pap test is a screening test that tests for cervical cancer in women. Before today, have you ever heard of a pap test?                                                                                                            |                        |               |            |  |
| Yesa                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 50 (96)                | 112 (99)      | 0.19       |  |
| No/I don't know                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 2 (4)                  | 1 (1)         |            |  |
| A mammogram is a screening test that tests for breast cancer in women. It is done by pressing the breasts between plastic plates while an x-ray of the breast is taken. Before today, have you ever heard of a mammogram?           |                        |               |            |  |
| Yesa                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 52 (100)               | 113 (100)     | No         |  |
| No/I don't know                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)         | difference |  |
| A stool blood test can be used to screen for cancer in the bowels or rectum. This can be done by yourself at home using a kit or by your doctor in the hospital or clinic. Before today, have you ever heard of a stool blood test? |                        |               |            |  |
| Yes <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 43 (83)                | 107 (95)      | 0.01       |  |
| No/I don't know                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 9 (17)                 | 6 (5)         | 5.01       |  |
| NO/T GOTT C KITOW                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | / (1/)                 | 0 (3)         |            |  |

<sup>a</sup>A "yes" answer was given a score of 1 in tabulation of scores for battery for screening knowledge. All other answers were given a score of zero.

NOTE: The tabulated score of Table 1 was summed with the tabulated score of Table 2 to comprise the total score for screening knowledge.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute

PSA = prostate-specific antigen

agree," "agree," "I don't have an opinion about this," "disagree," or "strongly disagree." For this analysis, the responses to these eight items were collapsed into three categories: agree ("strongly agree" plus "agree"), neutral ("I don't have an opinion about this"), and disagree ("disagree" plus "strongly disagree").

The main independent variable was race/ethnicity. Other variables including gender, age, income, education level, employment status, and distance of the patient's home from the CCI were also collected.

Statistical analysis. Data analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0.<sup>21</sup> The survey batteries showed good content validity, as determined by the focus group review by both non-Native American and Native American research staff and cancer patients. Mean scale scores for screening knowledge and continuous variables were compared using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test.<sup>22</sup> Differences between proportions for categorical variables were analyzed using the Chisquare statistic or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. Multivariable analyses examining the effects on mean scale scores when adjustments were made for confounding

by variables other than race/ethnicity were conducted using multiple linear regression models.

## **RESULTS**

# Participation and characteristics of the study population

Of 2,046 cancer patients who were approached to participate in the study, 776 (38%) patients declined participation. There was a significant difference by race/ethnicity in the number of patients who declined to participate in the study. Only eight of 159 (5%) Native American patients declined participation, while 768 of 1,887 (41%) non-Native American patients declined participation (p<0.0001). Of the 1,270 patients who agreed to participate, 1,105 patients were determined to be ineligible due to a previous cancer diagnosis and treatment, having been under treatment for longer than two weeks for a current cancer diagnosis, or not planning to return to RCRH for further care. Thus, the study population comprised 165 patients—52 Native Americans and 113 non-Native Americans. All

>

52 Native Americans were enrolled members of a federally recognized tribe. All 113 non-Native Americans were identified as non-Hispanic white.

Sociodemographic characteristics of the patients who took the survey are shown in Table 3. Native Americans had a significantly lower median annual income (p=0.0001) and lived significantly farther from the CCI compared with white patients (p=0.0001). Also, a higher proportion of Native Americans had less than a high school or equivalent education compared with white patients (p=0.03).

## Cancer-related characteristics of the study population

For the entire cohort, there was no significant difference by race/ethnicity in the proportion of patients presenting with advanced-stage disease (stage III or IV) as shown in Table 4. A separate analysis of cancer stage at presentation was performed for patients with cancers for which a screening test is available (i.e., breast, cervical, colorectal, and prostate.) A total of 88 patients—29 Native American and 59 white patients—presented with breast, prostate, colorectal, or cervical cancers. Of

Table 3. Sociodemographic characteristics of cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

| Characteristic                     | Total sample<br>(n=165)<br>N (percent) <sup>a</sup> | Native American<br>(n=52)<br>N (percent) <sup>a</sup> | Non-Hispanic white<br>(n=113)<br>N (percent)ª | P-value  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|
| Characteristic                     | 14 (percent)                                        | ту (регсепт)                                          | т (регсепт)                                   | 1 -value |
| Sex                                |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| Male                               | 73 (44)                                             | 19 (37)                                               | 54 (48)                                       | 0.18     |
| Female                             | 92 (56)                                             | 33 (64)                                               | 59 (52)                                       |          |
| Median age in years                | 64                                                  | 59                                                    | 66                                            | 0.009    |
| Age range in years                 | 27–86                                               | 34–86                                                 | 27–84                                         |          |
| Education                          |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| Less than high school              | 26 (16)                                             | 13 (25)                                               | 13 (12)                                       | 0.07     |
| High school/GED                    | 49 (30)                                             | 11 (21)                                               | 38 (34)                                       |          |
| Some college/associate's degree    | 50 (30)                                             | 18 (35)                                               | 32 (28)                                       |          |
| Bachelor's degree or higher        | 40 (24)                                             | 10 (19)                                               | 30 (27)                                       |          |
| Education (dichotomized)           |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| Less than high school              | 26 (16)                                             | 13 (25)                                               | 13 (12)                                       | 0.03     |
| High school/GED or more            | 139 (84)                                            | 39 (75)                                               | 100 (89)                                      |          |
| Median annual income <sup>b</sup>  | \$30,000                                            | \$16,800                                              | \$35,000                                      | 0.0001   |
| Annual income range                | \$0-\$150,000                                       | \$0-\$100,000                                         | \$6,000-\$150,000                             |          |
| Annual income <sup>b</sup>         |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| <\$10,000                          | 15 (10)                                             | 9 (21)                                                | 6 (6)                                         | 0.0001   |
| \$10,000-\$24,999                  | 46 (32)                                             | 21 (48)                                               | 25 (25)                                       |          |
| \$25,000–50,000                    | 51 (35)                                             | 7 (16)                                                | 44 (44)                                       |          |
| >\$50,000                          | 33 (23)                                             | 7 (16)                                                | 26 (26)                                       |          |
| Employment status                  |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| Yes                                | 48 (29)                                             | 16 (31)                                               | 32 (28)                                       | 0.01     |
| No                                 | 36 (22)                                             | 18 (35)                                               | 18 (16)                                       |          |
| Retired                            | 81 (49)                                             | 18 (35)                                               | 63 (56)                                       |          |
| Distance from home to CCI in miles |                                                     |                                                       |                                               |          |
| 0–10                               | 59 (36)                                             | 6 (12)                                                | 53 (47)                                       | 0.0001   |
| 11–50                              | 31 (19)                                             | 2 (4)                                                 | 29 (26)                                       |          |
| 51–100                             | 32 (19)                                             | 9 (17)                                                | 23 (20)                                       |          |
| 101–150                            | 22 (13)                                             | 17 (33)                                               | 5 (4)                                         |          |
| >150                               | 21 (13)                                             | 18 (35)                                               | 3 (3)                                         |          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Only 145 patients supplied information regarding income.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute

GED = general educational development

Table 4. Cancer-related characteristics of cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI by race/ethnicity, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

| Characteristic                         | Total sample<br>(n=165)<br>N (percent)ª | Native American<br>(n=52)<br>N (percent)ª | Non-Hispanic white<br>(n=113)<br>N (percent)ª | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Primary site of cancer                 |                                         |                                           |                                               |         |
| Bladder                                | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         | NS      |
| Breast                                 | 34 (21)                                 | 12 (23)                                   | 22 (20)                                       | 143     |
| Central nervous system                 | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Colorectal                             | 23 (14)                                 | 9 (17)                                    | 14 (12)                                       |         |
| Gastric                                | 7 (4)                                   | 2 (4)                                     | 5 (4)                                         |         |
| Gynecologic                            | 10 (6)                                  | 6 (12)                                    | 4 (4)                                         |         |
| Head and neck                          | 4 (2)                                   | 2 (4)                                     | 2 (2)                                         |         |
| Hematologic                            | 19 (12)                                 | 4 (8)                                     | 15 (13)                                       |         |
| Hepatobiliary                          | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Lung                                   | 28 (17)                                 | 10 (19)                                   | 18 (16)                                       |         |
| Melanoma                               | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Pancreatic                             | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Prostate                               | 28 (17)                                 | 6 (12)                                    | 22 (20)                                       |         |
| Seminoma                               | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Unknown primary site                   | 5 (3)                                   | 1 (2)                                     | 4 (4)                                         |         |
| Other (atypical carcinoid)             | 1 (1)                                   | 0 (0)                                     | 1 (1)                                         |         |
| Stage at presentation                  |                                         |                                           |                                               |         |
| Stage I–II (includes DCIS)             | 80 (48)                                 | 21 (40)                                   | 59 (52)                                       | 0.26    |
| Stage III–IV                           | 80 (48)                                 | 30 (58)                                   | 50 (44)                                       |         |
| Not staged                             | 5 (3)                                   | 1 (2)                                     | 4 (5)                                         |         |
| Stage at presentation for breast, cerv | vical, colorectal, and prostate         | b                                         |                                               |         |
| Stage I–II                             | 61 (69)                                 | 16 (55)                                   | 45 (76)                                       | 0.04    |
| Stage III–IV                           | 27 (31)                                 | 13 (45)                                   | 14 (24)                                       |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute

NS = not significant

DCIS = ductal carcinoma in situ

these 88 patients, 13 of the 29 Native Americans (45%) presented with advanced-stage disease compared with 14 of the 59 white patients (24%) (p=0.04). Among these patients with screening-detectable cancers, data on the type of diagnostic test that identified their malignancy were available for 84 patients. (For prostate cancer, the screening detection method included digital rectal examination, prostate-specific antigen testing, or both.) The diagnostic test identifying the patient's cancer was a screening test for 39 of 57 (68%) white patients compared with 10 of 27 (37%) Native American patients (p=0.006).

## Cancer screening knowledge

There was a significant difference by race/ethnicity in the mean score for the battery of questions assessing basic screening knowledge, with Native American patients scoring lower than white patients (p=0.0001)

as shown in Table 5. On univariate analysis, no other factor was associated with significantly lower levels of screening knowledge. Multivariable analysis including race/ethnicity, gender, age, education level, employment status, income, and geographic remoteness from the CCI revealed that only Native American race/ethnicity was significantly associated with lower scores on the screening knowledge scale as shown in Table 6. Of note, the magnitude of the decrement in the unadjusted mean scale score by race/ethnicity (univariate analysis)—shown by a lower mean score of 7.0 for Native Americans compared with a mean score of 7.7 for white patients, a decrement of 0.7—was similar in magnitude to the difference in mean screening knowledge scores by race/ethnicity when adjustments were made for other variables (a decrement of 0.6 in mean scale score for Native Americans compared with white patients in the multivariate analysis). This suggests that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Cancers for which there is a screening test. For prostate cancer detection, screening method included either digital rectal examination, prostate-specific antigen testing, or both.

Table 5. Univariate analysis of mean scale scores for screening knowledge among cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

| Characteristic                                                       | Screening knowledge score <sup>a</sup> (range of 0–8 possible) | P-value <sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Entire cohort                                                        | 7.5 (2–8)                                                      | _                    |
| Race/ethnicity<br>Native American<br>White                           | 7.0 (2–8)<br>7.7 (6–8)                                         | 0.0001               |
| Gender<br>Male<br>Female                                             | 7.6 (6–8)<br>7.4 (2–8)                                         | 0.19                 |
| Annual income <sup>c</sup><br><\$30,000<br>≥\$30,000                 | 7.3 (2–8)<br>7.6 (6–8)                                         | 0.06                 |
| Education level<br><high ged<br="" school="">≥High school/GED</high> | 7.0 (2–8)<br>7.6 (4–8)                                         | 0.09                 |
| Distance from home to<br>≤50 miles<br>>50 miles                      | 7.6 (6–8)<br>7.3 (2–8)                                         | 0.06                 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>A higher score represents a greater knowledge of cancer screening and screening rationale.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute GED = general educational development

the deficit in screening knowledge by race/ethnicity (i.e., lower scores for Native Americans) is not attributable to other sociodemographic characteristics such as income level, educational level, or geographic remoteness from the cancer center. Categorized responses to the individual items in this battery are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

# Attitudes and beliefs about cancer management and control

The data presented in Table 7 show the differences in attitudes and beliefs about cancer control by race/ethnicity. The first four items probed attitudes regarding prevention, early detection, long-term benefits of cancer treatment, as well as willingness to sustain the rigors of cancer treatment. When asked whether there were things a person could do to lower the risk of getting cancer, there was no difference in the percentage of Native American (83%) and white (85%) patients who agreed with the statement (p=0.42). A high proportion of patients of both racial/ethnic groups agreed that there is a good chance of survival

if cancer is found and treated early (100% of Native Americans and 97% of white patients agreed, p=0.39). A high proportion of both Native American (94%) and white (98%) patients agreed that a good life was possible after surviving cancer (p=0.16). Patients from both races/ethnicities predominantly agreed that many people would undergo cancer treatment even with the considerable emotional and physical burden associated with such treatment (90% of Native Americans agreed and 96% of white patients agreed, p=0.43).

There were significant differences by race/ethnicity in beliefs about the immediate impact of a cancer diagnosis and treatment on a person's daily life. Sixty-four percent of Native American patients agreed that cancer treatment "always takes many weeks of daily treatment" compared with 41% of white patients who

Table 6. Multivariable analysis showing changes in mean screening knowledge scores and 95% CIs among cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

| Predictor                                             | Adjustment in mean<br>score (95% CI) <sup>a</sup> | P-value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Race/ethnicity<br>Native American<br>White            | -0.62 (-1.0, -0.25)<br>Ref.                       | 0.001   |
| Gender<br>Female<br>Male                              | -0.18 (-0.47, 0.11)<br>Ref.                       | 0.23    |
| Age (linear)                                          | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.02)                                | 0.45    |
| Education (ordinal)                                   | 0.05 (-0.11, 0.20)                                | 0.54    |
| Employment status<br>Not employed/retired<br>Employed | -0.10 (-0.47, 0.27)<br>Ref.                       | 0.60    |
| Annual income <sup>b</sup><br><\$30,000<br>≥\$30,000  | Ref.<br>0.16 (-0.16, 0.49)                        | 0.32    |
| Distance from home to CCI<br>≤50 miles<br>>50 miles   | Ref.<br>0.07 (-0.27, 0.40)                        | 0.69    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The influence of each variable on mean scores is adjusted for confounding by other variables using multiple linear regression. An increase in screening knowledge mean scale score represents an increase in knowledge of cancer screening tests and rationale as measured by this scale.

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute Ref. = reference group

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>P-values are derived from the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$ Twenty patients did not supply income information (n=145 for this characteristic; n=165 for all others).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Twenty patients did not supply income information. Multivariable analyses were also performed excluding income from the model to see if the absence of these participants in the model influenced the model results. The models not including income showed similar results to the analysis above and are thus not presented here.

CI = confidence interval

agreed with this statement (p=0.009). Twenty-nine percent of Native Americans agreed with the statement that cancer treatments "always make people so sick that they are unable to go about their daily lives," while only 1% of white respondents agreed with this statement (p=0.0001). Native American patients (39%)

expressed a belief that cancer treatment "always causes a person's hair to fall out" in much higher proportions compared with white patients (1%) (p=0.0001). A significantly higher proportion of Native American (40%) compared with white (16%) patients also expressed a belief that a cancer diagnosis can result in other people

Table 7. Beliefs about cancer management and control among cancer patients surveyed at RCRH CCI by race/ethnicity, Rapid City, South Dakota, 2005–2007

|                                                                 | Native American (n=52) | White (n=113) |         |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Belief                                                          | N (percent)            | N (percent)   | P-value |
| There are things a person can do to lower the risk of getting   |                        |               |         |
| cancer.                                                         |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 43 (83)                | 96 (85)       | 0.42    |
| Neutral                                                         | 3 (6)                  | 10 (9)        |         |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 6 (11)                 | 7 (6)         |         |
| f you have cancer and it is found and treated early, there is a |                        |               |         |
| very good chance that you will survive.                         |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 52 (100)               | 109 (96)      | 0.39    |
| Neutral                                                         | 0 (0)                  | 3 (3)         |         |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 0 (0)                  | 1 (1)         |         |
| Many people who have survived cancer after undergoing           |                        |               |         |
| treatments are able to live a good life.                        |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 49 (94)                | 111 (98)      | 0.16    |
| Neutral                                                         | 3 (6)                  | 2 (2)         |         |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)         |         |
| Many people would go through cancer treatments even though      |                        |               |         |
| the treatments can be emotionally or physically uncomfortable.  |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 47 (90)                | 108 (95)      | 0.43    |
| Neutral                                                         | 4 (8)                  | 4 (4)         | 0.40    |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 1 (2)                  | 1 (1)         |         |
| Cancer treatment always takes many weeks of daily treatment.    |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 33 (63)                | 46 (41)       | 0.009   |
| Neutral                                                         | 5 (10)                 | 31 (27)       | 0.007   |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 14 (27)                | 36 (32)       |         |
| Cancer treatments always cause a person's hair to fall out.     |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 20 (38)                | 1 (1)         | 0.0001  |
| Neutral                                                         | 5 (10)                 | 17 (15)       | 3.0001  |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 27 (52)                | 95 (84)       |         |
| Cancer treatments always make people so sick that they are      |                        |               |         |
| unable to go on about their daily lives.                        |                        |               |         |
| Agree <sup>a</sup>                                              | 15 (29)                | 1 (1)         | 0.0001  |
| Neutral                                                         | 8 (15)                 | 9 (8)         | 5.000   |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 29 (56)                | 103 (91)      |         |
|                                                                 |                        |               |         |
| Once someone is diagnosed with cancer, people in the            |                        |               |         |
| community will treat that person in a way that makes him or her |                        |               |         |
| uncomfortable.                                                  | 04 (40)                | 40 (41)       | 0.001   |
| Agree                                                           | 21 (40)                | 18 (16)       | 0.001   |
| Neutral                                                         | 11 (21)                | 18 (16)       |         |
| Disagree <sup>b</sup>                                           | 20 (39)                | 77 (68)       |         |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}$ Agree = strongly agree plus agree

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Disagree = strongly disagree plus disagree

RCRH CCI = Rapid City Regional Hospital Cancer Care Institute

treating them in a way that makes them uncomfortable (p=0.001).

## **DISCUSSION**

This study of cancer patients presenting to a regional cancer center serving a large population of Native Americans showed that Native Americans demonstrated persistent stage disparities for cancers for which a screening test is available, and it documented that there is a concomitant screening disparity by race/ethnicity among patients with certain screening-detectable malignancies. Furthermore, we found that Native Americans presenting for cancer treatment have less basic knowledge about cancer screening. This disparity in screening knowledge remained significant even when adjustments were made for income, education level, and geographic remoteness from the CCI. While both Native American and white patients expressed positive attitudes regarding the benefits of prevention and early detection of cancer, their willingness to undergo cancer treatment, and beliefs about long-term outcomes of treatment, we found more negative attitudes about cancer's immediate impact upon an individual among Native American patients. We assert that these data showing a lack of screening knowledge and negative attitudes toward cancer care may present barriers to screening utilization as well as timely and effective cancer treatment in this vulnerable population.

Our finding of a significantly higher rate of presentation with advanced-stage disease for certain screening-detectable cancers among Native American cancer patients was consistent with population-based studies analyzing trends in stage at cancer presentation by race/ethnicity. 1-3,5 Also, the disparity we observed in proportions of cancers that were screening-detected among Native Americans compared with white patients was consistent with reports published by other investigators showing lower screening prevalence among Native Americans. 6-10 While limited access to preventive care could explain this inequity in some subpopulations of Native Americans, all of the Native American patients in this study were enrolled members of federally recognized tribes and thus eligible for free primary care and contract-care specialty services through the IHS in our region.<sup>23</sup> Therefore, other explanations for lower rates of screening and higher rates of presentation with advanced-stage disease must be explored. Our findings that Native Americans demonstrated less basic screening knowledge and expressed more negative attitudes toward some, perhaps culturally sensitive, aspects of cancer treatment could partially explain the cancerrelated health disparities observed in this analysis.

Other investigators have sought to examine predictors of screening utilization among Native Americans and have found that basic knowledge of cancer screening was poor<sup>6</sup> and that higher rates of screening were associated with more basic knowledge of cancer screening recommendations and rationale. Paskett and colleagues<sup>24</sup> evaluated knowledge and beliefs of breast carcinoma in a multiracial population and showed that Native Americans exhibited the lowest levels of accurate knowledge regarding breast cancer when compared with white and African American respondents. While lower educational levels, which may translate to lower levels of health literacy, have been associated with decreased use of preventive services and cancer screening specifically in vulnerable populations, 8,25,26 we found that the lower scores on a screening knowledge assessment were independent of educational attainment level in this investigation. Because the Native Americans in our study did live farther from the CCI in higher proportions than white patients, it could be postulated that geographic isolation may account for the observed disparate levels of cancer knowledge. However, when we adjusted for geographic remoteness in the multivariable analysis, race/ethnicity remained highly significantly associated with lower screening knowledge. The implication from our study is that appropriate education regarding cancer screening has not been accomplished in this population.

With respect to attitudes about cancer care, the data suggest differences that may have sociocultural implications for this population. Responses to the first two questions shown in Table 7 suggest that messages regarding benefits of prevention and early detection have reached Native Americans served by this regional cancer center; however, knowledge necessary to advocate for the necessary screening to realize these benefits is still lacking, as shown and discussed previously. Table 7 suggests some misconceptions may exist among Native Americans regarding the immediate impact of a cancer diagnosis on one's daily life. This may present a barrier among Native Americans in this region who live remote to the cancer center or who have limited resources to travel back and forth for treatments.

This is an educational point to be addressed because while some cancer treatment regimens are quite complex and involved, treatment for many early-stage cancers can involve single-modality therapies such as surgery, brachytherapy, or short-course radiation therapy on trials that have recently opened in the region. <sup>11,12</sup> Furthermore, not all cancer treatment regimens are associated with visible hair loss, nor do they necessarily result in side effects that impede activities of daily living. Culturally loaded beliefs regarding hair

loss and community response to an individual's cancer diagnosis may exist, as possibly reflected in Table 7, and these considerations must be incorporated into any educational intervention or comprehensive clinical care effort that serves this population.

While Native Americans represent a heterogeneous population with unique sociocultural considerations with respect to health care, 27-31 culturally competent programs can successfully improve health-care utilization in this population. 28,32 Burhansstipanov and colleagues developed an educational intervention among Native American women in the Denver metropolitan area and showed increased recruitment to mammography after implementation of their program.<sup>28</sup> Similarly, Dignan and associates implemented a culturally specific cancer screening education program in a rural Native American community in North Carolina with similar sociodemographic barriers to cancer care as that seen in our study population. They demonstrated that the educational program resulted in greater knowledge of cervical cancer (the target malignancy for the educational intervention) and greater proportions of Native American women obtaining Pap tests.<sup>32</sup> Furthermore, comprehensive patient navigation and outreach programs have demonstrated improved cancer-related health outcomes in similar vulnerable populations. 33,34 These types of interventions help to bridge gaps in the health-care system throughput (e.g., screening accession to follow-up, and cancer diagnosis to specialty care initiation) and generally serve as liaisons between community members and the continuum of cancer care.17,35

### Limitations

Our study had limitations that must be considered, including the fact that significantly higher rates of non-Native American patients declined participation in our study than Native Americans. This could possibly be explained by the fact that the patient-contact literature inviting participation in the study specifically stated that the purpose of the study was to examine causes of cancer disparities among Native Americans. Perhaps non-Native American patients felt their input was not relevant or were potentially not motivated to participate. We also used survey instruments that had not been previously validated to conduct our survey. However, to obtain community approval and engagement, it was necessary for Native American community members and patients to have input into developing the instrument. We also conducted focus groups to pilot test and approve the survey. Therefore, while we did not use a previously validated instrument, we are confident in our survey's cultural appropriateness and acceptability.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

This study identified lower levels of basic screening knowledge and more negative attitudes toward some aspects of cancer treatment among Native Americans, both factors that can impede preventive care utilization or accession of timely cancer treatment. We also found stage disparities for certain screening-detectable cancers among Native American cancer patients presenting for cancer treatment to the CCI. While causes of Native American health disparities are, no doubt, multifactorial, our investigation offers one definite point of focus for addressing poor cancer-specific health outcomes in this population.

Our findings that Native Americans have less basic knowledge of cancer screening and more negative attitudes toward the immediate impacts of cancer treatment underscore the need for culturally appropriate public health interventions in this vulnerable population. While Native Americans enrolled in a federally recognized tribe are eligible for free primary care at IHS facilities, public health interventions play a critical complementary role in ensuring that this population receives necessary preventive care. Education programs, screening program interventions, and patient navigation outreach are needed to provide Native Americans with the information they need to advocate for and access available screening tests as well as timely and effective cancer care.

This research was supported in part by National Institutes of Health training grant no. R5 R25 CA57711-11.

The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Indian Health Service, National Cancer Institute, or the National Institutes of Health.

## **REFERENCES**

- Ward E, Jemal A, Cokkinides V, Singh GK, Cardinez C, Ghafoor A, et al. Cancer disparities by race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status. CA Cancer J Clin 2004;54:78-93.
- Clegg LX, Li FP, Hankey BF, Chu K, Edwards BK. Cancer survival among US whites and minorities: a SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results) Program population-based study. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:1985-93.
- Li CI, Malone KE, Daling JR. Differences in breast cancer stage, treatment, and survival by race and ethnicity. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:49-56.
- 4. Espey DK, Wu XC, Swan J, Wiggins C, Jim MA, Ward E, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975–2004, featuring cancer in American Indians and Alaska Natives. Cancer 2007;110:2119-52.
- Espey D, Paisano R, Cobb N. Cancer mortality among American Indians and Alaska Natives: regional differences, 1994–1998 [IHS

>

- publication no. 97-615-28]. Rockville (MD): Indian Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services (US); 2003.
- Giuliano A, Papenfuss M, de Guernsey de Zapien J, Tilousi S, Nuvayestewa L. Breast cancer screening among southwest American Indian women living on-reservation. Prev Med 1998;27:135-43.
- Risendal B, Roe D, DeZapien J, Papenfuss M, Giuliano A. Influence of health care, cost, and culture on breast cancer screening: issues facing urban American Indian women. Prev Med 1999;29(6 Pt 1):501-9.
- 8. Coughlin SS, Uhler RJ, Blackman DK. Breast and cervical cancer screening practices among American Indian and Alaska Native women in the United States, 1992–1997 [published erratum appears in Prev Med 2000;30:348-52]. Prev Med 1999;29:287-95.
- Swan J, Breen N, Coates RJ, Rimer BK, Lee NC. Progress in cancer screening practices in the United States: results from the 2000 National Health Interview Survey. Cancer 2003;97:1528-40.
- Gilliland FD, Rosenberg RD, Hunt WC, Stauber P, Key CR. Patterns of mammography use among Hispanic, American Indian, and non-Hispanic white women in New Mexico, 1994–1997. Am J Epidemiol 2000;152:432-7.
- Petereit DG, Rogers D, Govern F, Coleman N, Osburn CH, Howard SP, et al. Increasing access to clinical cancer trials and emerging technologies for minority populations: the Native American Project. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:4452-5.
- Rogers D, Petereit DG. Cancer disparities research partnership in Lakota Country: clinical trials, patient services, and community education for the Oglala, Rosebud, and Cheyenne River Sioux tribes. Am J Public Health 2005;95:2129-32.
- Geiger HJ. Racial and ethnic disparities in diagnosis and treatment: a review of the evidence and a consideration of causes. In: Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, editors. Unequal treatment: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. Washington: National Academies Press; 2003. p. 417-54.
- Lannin DR, Mathews HF, Mitchell J, Swanson MS, Swanson FH, Edwards MS. Influence of socioeconomic and cultural factors on racial differences in late-stage presentation of breast cancer. JAMA 1998;279:1801-7.
- Blackman DJ, Masi CM. Racial and ethnic disparities in breast cancer mortality: are we doing enough to address the root causes? J Clin Oncol 2006;24:2170-8.
- Petereit DG, Rogers D, Burhansstipanov L, Kaur J, Govern F, Howard SP, et al. Walking forward: the South Dakota Native American project. J Cancer Educ 2005;20(1 Suppl):S65-70.
- 17. Molloy K, Reiner M, Ratteree K, Cina K, Helbig P, Miner R, et al. Patient navigation and cultural competence in cancer care. Oncology Issues 2007;22:38-41.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). 2003 National Health Interview Survey [cited 2005 May 1]. Available from: URL: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/nhis/quest\_data\_related\_ 1997\_forward.htm
- Fowler FJ Jr. Improving survey questions: design and evaluation. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage Publications, Inc.; 1995.

- DiIorio CK. Measurement in health behavior: methods for research and evaluation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2005.
- 21. SPSS Inc. SPSS: Version 12. Chicago: SPSS Inc.; 2004.
- Altman DG. Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 1991.
- Zuckerman S, Haley J, Roubideaux Y, Lillie-Blanton M. Health service access, use, and insurance coverage among American Indians/Alaska Natives and whites: what role does the Indian Health Service play? Am J Public Health 2004;94:53-9.
- Paskett ED, Tatum C, Rushing J, Michielutte R, Bell R, Foley KL, et al. Racial differences in knowledge, attitudes, and cancer screening practices among a triracial rural population. Cancer 2004;101:2650-9.
- Gornick ME, Eggers PW, Riley GF. Associations of race, education, and patterns of preventive service use with stage of cancer at time of diagnosis. Health Serv Res 2004;39:1403-27.
- Cornelius LJ, Smith PL, Simpson GM. What factors hinder women of color from obtaining preventive health care? Am J Public Health 2002;92:535-9.
- Seals BF, Burhansstipanov L, Satter DE, Chia YJ, Gatchell M. California American Indian and Alaska Natives tribal groups' care access and utilization of care: policy implications. J Cancer Educ 2006;21(1 Suppl):S15-21.
- Burhansstipanov L, Dignan MB, Wound DB, Tenney M, Vigil G. Native American recruitment into breast cancer screening: the NAWWA project. J Cancer Educ 2000;15:28-32.
- Michalek AM, Mahoney MC, Burhansstipanov L, Tenney M, Cobb N. Urban-based Native American cancer-control activities: services and perceptions. J Cancer Educ 1996;11:159-63.
- Call KT, McAlpine DD, Johnson PJ, Beebe TJ, McRae JA, Song Y. Barriers to care among American Indians in public health care programs. Med Care 2006;44:595-600.
- Lillie-Blanton M, Roubideaux Y. Understanding and addressing the health care needs of American Indians and Alaska Natives. Am J Public Health 2005;95:759-61.
- Dignan MB, Michielutte R, Wells HB, Sharp P, Blinson K, Case LD, et al. Health education to increase screening for cervical cancer among Lumbee Indian women in North Carolina. Health Educ Res 1998:13:545-56.
- Oluwole SF, Ali AO, Adu A, Blane BP, Barlow B, Oropeza R, et al. Impact of a cancer screening program on breast cancer stage at diagnosis in a medically underserved urban community. J Am Coll Surg 2003;196:180-8.
- Freeman HP. Patient navigation: a community centered approach to reducing cancer mortality. J Cancer Educ 2006;21(1 Suppl): \$11-4
- Ell K, Vourlekis B, Lee PJ, Xie B. Patient navigation and case management following an abnormal mammogram: a randomized clinical trial. Prev Med 2007;44:26-33.