FRANÇOISE DROMER,¹ JEANNINE CHARREIRE,² ALAIN CONTREPOIS,¹ CLAUDE CARBON,¹ AND PATRICK YENI^{1*}

Laboratoire des Infections Expérimentales, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale U13, Faculté Xavier Bichat, 75018 Paris,¹ and Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U283, Hôpital Cochin, 75014 Paris,² France

Received 28 July 1986/Accepted 2 December 1986

Humoral immunity does not play a prominent role during experimental cryptococcosis. However, previous studies have shown that immunoglobulin G (IgG) anti-*Cryptococcus neoformans* antibodies can mediate cell-dependent yeast killing in vitro. Therefore, the protective effect of a previously described monoclonal IgG1 anti-*C. neoformans* antibody (E₁) administered intraperitoneally 24 h before intravenous infection with a *C. neoformans* serotype A strain was evaluated in mice. Heavily infected (3×10^6 cells) untreated mice died in 2.9 \pm 0.5 (standard deviation) days. Survival time was 17.9 ± 1.6 days for mice treated with 100 µg of E₁ and 3.0 \pm 0.7 days for mice treated with 100 µg of a monoclonal IgG1 anti-thyroglobulin antibody used as a control. Protection was dose dependent and required at least 10 µg of E₁ (mean antibody concentration in serum \pm standard deviation, $6.6 \pm 2.3 \mu g/m$). Insufficient concentrations of IgG anti-*C. neoformans* antibody could explain previous negative results obtained with polyclonal immune serum. After infection with a smaller inoculum (5×10^3 to 5×10^4), the protective effect of E₁ was confirmed by the presence of fewer CFUs in the spleens and brains of treated mice than in those of controls. CFU were still detected in the brains of protected mice 5 days after infection, although soluble antigen was negative in sera. These results suggest that passive serotherapy with monoclonal IgG antibodies could participate in the prevention or treatment of experimental cryptococcosis.

Cryptococcus neoformans is a yeastlike fungus responsible for disseminated cryptococcosis, a fatal infection unless treated. Amphotericin B, with or without 5-fluorocytosine, is the treatment of choice, but treated cases still have a 20% mortality rate. Therefore, other forms of therapy deserve to be evaluated in association with amphotericin B. Enhancement of specific humoral immunity could be a logical approach, because in contrast with studies showing very low levels of anti-C. neoformans antibodies in serum during cryptococcosis (2, 6), many in vitro studies suggest that anti-C. neoformans antibodies, essentially immunoglobulin G (IgG), could, if present, participate in yeast killing in vivo (5, 15, 19, 21). However, previous attempts to protect mice against experimental cryptococcosis by hyperimmunization or passively transferred immune serum led to controversial but rather negative results (8), which could be explained by low levels of circulating IgG anti-C. neoformans antibodies. We therefore decided to investigate whether passive serotherapy performed with the monoclonal IgG anti-C. neoformans antibody described in the accompanying paper (7) would be more protective against experimental murine cryptococcosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organism. The virulent strain (271) of *C. neoformans* serotype A used in this study was kindly donated by J. E. Bennett, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Md. The culture was maintained by bimonthly transfer on Sabouraud agar slants (Diagnostic Pasteur, Paris, France). Yeast cells for animal inoculation were harvested from a 48-h culture at 37° C on the same

medium and washed three times in sterile physiological saline solution (SPSS). The washed cells were counted in a hemacytometer and adjusted to give the desired concentration in a 0.1-ml volume. The precise amount of viable inoculated cells was retrospectively determined by duplicate-plate counts on Sabouraud-chloramphenicol agar.

Monoclonal antibody. The monoclonal anti-*C. neoformans* capsular polysaccharide (CNPS) antibody used for passive immunization has been previously described (7). This IgG1 (E_1) reacts strongly with CNPS serotype A. It was diluted in 0.2 ml of SPSS and injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) 24 h before the infectious challenge. A mouse IgG1 anti-thyroglobulin monoclonal antibody (anti-Tg) (J. Salamero, J. J. Remy, and J. Charreire, Clin. Immunol. Immunopathol., in press) unable to bind to CNPS serotype A (data not shown) served as a negative control throughout the study.

Animals. DBA/2 male mice were obtained from IFFA-CREDO, Lyon, France, and were maintained in the animal facility of our institute until use at 8 to 10 weeks of age.

Survival study. Mice (9 or 10 animals) were injected intravenously (i.v.) in a lateral tail vein with approximately 5 $\times 10^6$ C. neoformans 24 h after an i.p. injection of 100 µg of E₁ or 0.2 ml of SPSS (experiment A). In experiment B, the effects of 100 µg of E₁ and 100 µg of anti-Tg were compared in groups of 10 mice infected with 3 $\times 10^6$ yeast cells. In experiment C, groups of 7 mice each received 0.1 to 100 µg of E₁ 24 h before infection with 5 $\times 10^6$ C. neoformans to define the minimal dose of E₁ capable of modifying the course of infection. Cages were monitored at least once daily to evaluate the viability of the animals. Brains and spleens were cultured on Sabouraud-chloramphenicol agar to confirm that death was due to C. neoformans.

^{*} Corresponding author.



FIG. 1. Survival after i.v. challenge of DBA/2 mice with 5×10^6 C. neoformans CFUs (experiment A). Mice were treated i.p. with 100 µg of monoclonal anti-CNPS antibody (9 mice; •) or 0.2 ml of sterile saline (10 mice; •) 24 h before infection.

Serum CNPS antigen and antibody levels. Anti-CNPS antibody levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (7) 6 days after passive i.p. immunization (100 μ g of E₁ or 100 μ g of anti-Tg) in sera collected individually from uninfected mice, as well as from mice infected with 5 × 10⁶, 5 × 10⁴, or 5 × 10³ C. neoformans 24 h after immunization. Antibody levels were expressed in micrograms per milliliter by using a logit-log formulation of a standard calibration curve. The latex agglutination test to measure circulating CNPS levels (Meridian Diagnostics Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio; 25) was performed with sera from all mice 6 days after passive immunization. Results were expressed in micrograms of CNPS per milliliter after comparison with a standard CNPS A solution.

Effects of E_1 on *C. neoformans* tissue counts 5 days after infection. The protective effect of E_1 for an inoculum size of 5×10^4 or 5×10^3 was evaluated by counting *C. neoformans* CFU in the spleen and brain 5 days after infection. Therefore, groups of five mice each were injected i.p. with 100 µg of either E_1 or anti-Tg and challenged 24 h later with 5×10^4 or 5×10^3 *C. neoformans* injected i.v. The mice were killed 5 days later by cervical dislocation, and the CFU were counted by 10-fold dilutions of spleen and brain tissue homogenates plated in duplicate on Sabouraud-chloramphenicol agar. Fewer than 10 CFU per organ could not be detected.

Statistical analysis. The results of the experiments on survival time are expressed as mean survival times in days \pm the standard deviations. The Student's *t* test for unpaired values was used to compare mean tissue counts and mean survival times.

RESULTS

Effect of anti-CNPS antibody on survival. Deaths occurred in less than 2 days in 100% of the DBA/2 mice infected i.v. with 5×10^6 C. *neoformans*. Mice injected i.p. with 100 µg of E₁ 24 h before infection with 5×10^6 CN survived longer than mice injected with 0.2 ml of SPSS (P < 0.001; Fig. 1, experiment A). Since anti-Tg had no protective effect, the same difference was observed when 100 µg of E₁ was compared with 100 µg of anti-Tg (Table 1, experiment B). A dose-response study (Table 1, experiment C) performed with

TABLE 1.	. Survival of mice treated i.p.	with E_1 or anti-Tg 24 h
before	e i.v. challenge with C. neofo	ormans serotype A

Expt	No. of mice	C. neoformans inoculum (10 ⁶)	Treatment ^a (µg)	Mean survival time ± SD (days)
В	10	3	E ₁ (100)	17.9 ± 1.6^{b}
	10	3	Anti-Tg (100)	$3.0 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$
	10	3	Saline	2.9 ± 0.5
С	7	5	E ₁ (100)	12.4 ± 1.0^{b}
	7	5	E_1 (10)	6.2 ± 1.6^{b}
	7	5	$E_1(1)$	$1.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
	7	5	E_1 (0.1)	1.5 ± 0^{c}
	7	5	Saline	1.5 ± 0

^a Mice were treated i.p. with various doses of anti-CNPS antibody (E₁), 100 μ g of anti-Tg, or sterile saline. ^b P < 0.001 versus control group treated with saline (by Student's *t* test for

^b P < 0.001 versus control group treated with saline (by Student's *t* test for unpaired values).

 $^{c} P > 0.05$ versus control group.

injections of E_1 ranging from 0.1 to 100 µg showed that less than 10 µg of E_1 had no protective effect. Cultures of brains and spleens from treated and untreated animals confirmed that deaths were due to cryptococcosis.

CNPS antigen and antibody levels in serum. The lowest protective dose of E_1 (10 µg) yielded, 6 days after i.p. injection, an antibody concentration of 6.6 µg/ml in the sera of uninfected mice (Table 2). A very low level of anti-CNPS antibodies was detected in the sera of infected animals treated with anti-Tg. Comparison of the results from infected and uninfected mice treated with 100 µg of E_1 showed that 92% of the anti-CNPS antibody activity had disappeared from the serum 5 days after infection with 5×10^6 C. neoformans compared with 38 and 23% after infection with 5×10^6 C. neoformans, respectively. CNPS antigen was only detected in sera from mice infected with 5×10^6 C. neoformans and in anti-Tg-treated mice infected with 5×10^4 C. neoformans.

Effect of anti-CNPS antibody on the number of tissue CFU. Groups of mice received 100 μ g of either E₁ or anti-Tg i.p. and were infected 24 h later with 5 × 10³ and 5 × 10⁴ C. *neoformans* injected i.v. CFU were counted in the spleens and brains 5 days later. Animals receiving E₁ had fewer CFU in their spleens and brains than did their counterparts receiving anti-Tg. No CFU were detected in the spleens of mice receiving E₁ and 5 × 10³ C. *neoformans* (Table 3).

TABLE 2. Anti-CNPS antibody levels measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in the sera of mice 6 days after injection of E_1 or anti-Tg with or without subsequent challenge with *C. neoformans*

Antibody	No. of mice	C. neoformans inoculum	Mean concn $(\mu g/ml) \pm SD$ in serum of:	
injected (µg)			Anti-CNPS antibody	CNPS antigen
E_1 (100)	4	0	73 ± 11	0
$E_1(10)$	4	0	6.6 ± 2.3	0
$E_{1}(1)$	4	0	0.35 ± 0.12	0
E ₁ (0.1)	4	0	0.03 ± 0.01	0
E ₁ (100)	5	5×10^{6}	5.7 ± 2.9	4.0 ± 2.4
E_1 (100)	5	5×10^4	45 ± 7	0
Anti-Tg (100)	5	5×10^4	0.03 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.1
E ₁ (100)	5	5×10^{3}	56 ± 10	0
Anti-Tg (100)	5	5×10^{3}	0.03 ± 0.01	0

DISCUSSION

Cellular immunity is believed to be the most important specific host defense mechanism involved during cryptococcosis. Nude mice (3, 10) are more susceptible to infection than are normal mice, and cryptococcosis is more frequent in patients with cellular immunodeficiencies (17), including acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (26). Conversely, the role of humoral immunity is not prominent; the course of infection is not altered in B-cell-deficient mice (20), and cryptococcosis is rare in patients with humoral immunodeficiencies. The following evidence favors a quantitative defect of humoral immunity. Patients with cryptococcosis have little or no serum anti-C. neoformans antibodies (6), and low levels of antibody are produced in mice (14) and humans (12) after active immunization with C. neoformans or CNPS. However, in vivo, the presence of serum anti-C. neoformans antibodies is correlated with a favorable outcome in patients with cryptococcosis (6). In vitro experiments suggest that anti-CNPS antibodies and especially IgG could, if present, play a major role in host defenses. The antibodies enhance phagocytosis of C. neoformans by macrophages (15) and polymorphonuclear leukocytes (16), and an antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity has been demonstrated with human peripheral blood lymphocytes (4, 5) and polymorphonuclear leukocytes (19). Finally, Nabavi and Murphy (21) recently showed that C. neoformans growth inhibition mediated by natural killer cells is augmented by IgG anti-cryptococcal antibody.

Given the above considerations, it is tempting to speculate that a high level of anti-CNPS antibody might be protective during experimental cryptococcosis. Previous studies dealing with active or passive immunization gave conflicting but, on the whole, rather negative results. Hyperimmunization of mice with CNPS-bovine gammaglobulin conjugates (9) or of rabbits with Formalin-killed C. neoformans (22) elicits significant antibody production (serum titer, <1:1,000 by agglutination) but is not protective. The effect of passive serotherapy with polyclonal immune serum is also controversial; protected mice have fewer CFU in their spleens compared with unprotected mice when serotherapy precedes infection (1), whereas no effect on survival was obtained by Louria and Kaminski (18) when mice were treated 24 h after infection. Graybill et al. (11) showed that a partial protection is demonstrated only if the antibodies are present at the time of challenge and injected at the site of challenge. Taken together, the findings suggest that protection conferred by immune serum is at least difficult to obtain. However, it should be noted that the precise concentration of circulating anti-CNPS antibodies and the proportion of IgG are unknown in the above-mentioned studies. In our hands, less than 1 µg of IgG antibody per ml of serum was obtained in high-responder strains of mice after an optimal immunization. We therefore decided to reassess the protective effect of passively transferred anti-CNPS antibodies by using a monoclonal IgG antibody at various concentrations.

The monoclonal IgG1 anti-CNPS antibody (E_1) used throughout this study has previously been described (7). E_1 binding to CNPS was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in the range of nanograms per milliliter. Its ability to protect mice against experimental cryptococcosis was compared with that of anti-Tg (25), which did not bind to CNPS. DBA/2 mice were chosen for protective studies because they have been shown to be extremely sensitive to experimental cryptococcosis owing to a complement deficiency (24). Moreover, we found (unpublished data) that

TABLE 3. C. neoformans CFU counted 5 days after infection in spleens and brains of mice treated i.p. with 100 μ g of either E₁ or anti-Tg 24 h before i.v. infection

C. neoformans	Organ	CFU/organ after treatment with ^a :		
inoculum			Anti-Tg	
5×10^{4}	Spleen	236 ± 137^b	709 ± 312	
5×10^{3}	Spleen	<10 ^c	55 ± 34	
5×10^4	Brain	37,000 ± 13,000 ^b	62,000 ± 15,000	
5×10^3	Brain	6,000 ± 2,000	12,000 ± 7,000	

^a Mean values are the means \pm the standard deviations for five mice in each group.

^b $\dot{P} < 0.05$ versus the control group treated with anti-Tg.

 $^{c}P < 0.02$ versus the control group treated with anti-Tg (10 CFU was the limit of sensitivity).

DBA/2 mice had low levels of anti-CNPS antibodies after priming with 1 μ g of CNPS given i.v. (mean concentration in serum \pm the standard deviation on day 7, 22 \pm 11 ng/ml), auguring that infection by itself would not lead to a high anti-*C. neoformans* antibody response in this strain of mice. Results reported in Table 2 show that this deduction is correct.

Survival studies performed in DBA/2 mice infected i.v. with 5×10^6 C. neoformans 24 h after an i.p. injection of 100 µg of E₁ showed that anti-CNPS antibody exerted a strong protective effect. It should be noted that protection occurred despite the use of a highly virulent C. neoformans challenge (100% mortality in 1.5 days for mice infected with 5×10^6 C. neoformans) and did not require the simultaneous injection of antibody and yeast cells through the same route. A dose-response study indicated that protection was dose dependent and necessitated an injection of at least 10 µg of E₁, giving an IgG anti-CNPS concentration in serum (6.6 µg/ml) higher than that obtained with immune serum.

Studies on the relative concentrations of CNPS antigen and antibody in the sera of infected mice showed that in the case of a large inoculum (5 \times 10⁶ C. neoformans), antigen and antibody were simultaneously detected 5 days after infection. The same situation has been described (2, 13) during human disseminated cryptococcosis. With a 5×10^4 C. neoformans challenge, antigen was clearly detected in the sera of unprotected mice in the absence of a significant amount of antibody, whereas only antibody was detected in the sera of protected mice. Both serum patterns of CNPS antigen and antibody can be observed during human cryptococcosis, in which both a rapidly negated latex agglutination test for CNPS and the presence of antibody are considered elements of a favorable outcome (2, 17). No circulating antigen was detected in mice, protected or un-protected, infected with 5×10^3 C. neoformans or in protected mice infected with 5×10^4 C. neoformans. Therefore, to assess whether protected mice were totally resistant to infection, CFU were counted in the spleens and brains 5 days after challenge with 5×10^3 and 5×10^4 C. neoformans; protected mice infected with 5×10^3 or 5×10^4 C. neoformans still had more than 10³ CFU in their brains. The results show that the absence of antigen from serum does not imply eradication of yeast cells.

In conclusion, this monoclonal anti-CNPS antibody was protective against experimental cryptococcosis, but protection was transient in heavily inoculated mice. Other experiments are under way to assess the effects of various protocols of serotherapy with or without amphotericin B in normal and immunodeficient mice. Owing to their cellular immunodeficiency, patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome are particularly prone to cryptococcosis. Treatment with amphotericin B often fails to cure these patients, and cryptococcosis returns after withdrawal of the drug (26). Extrapolation of our results to human cryptococcosis is difficult because experimental and human diseases differ in many respects (e.g., route of infection, inoculum size, and cause of death [23]). However, the results suggest that passive serotherapy with a monoclonal anti-*C. neoformans* antibody would be of value in treating acquired immunodeficiency syndrome patients if it could prevent disseminated cryptococcosis or improve the results obtained with amphotericin B.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported in part by grants from the Conseil Scientifique, Université Paris VII Paris, France, and the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer, Villejuif, France.

The authors are indebted to J. E. Bennett, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., for his generous gift of C. *neoformans* 271 used throughout the study and to Janet Jacobson for helpful comments.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrahams, I. 1966. Further studies on acquired resistance to murine cryptococcosis: enhancing effect of *Bordetella pertussis*. J. Immunol. 96:525-529.
- 2. Binschadler, D. D., and J. E. Bennett. 1968. Serology of human cryptococcosis. Ann. Intern. Med. 69:45-52.
- 3. Cauley, L. K., and J. W. Murphy. 1979. Response of congenitally athymic (nude) and phenotypically normal mice to *Cryptococcus neoformans* infection. Infect. Immun. 23:644– 651.
- Diamond, R. D. 1974. Antibody-dependent killing of Cryptococcus neoformans by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Nature (London) 247:148–149.
- 5. Diamond, R. D., and A. C. Allison. 1976. Nature of the effector cells responsible for antibody-dependent cell-mediated killing of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Infect. Immun. 14:716–720.
- Diamond, R. D., and J. E. Bennett. 1974. Prognostic factors in cryptococcal meningitis: a study in 111 cases. Ann. Intern. Med. 80:176-181.
- Dromer, F., J. Salamero, A. Contrepois, C. Carbon, and P. Yeni. Production, characterization and antibody specificity of a mouse monoclonal antibody reactive with *Cryptococcus neofor*mans capsular polysaccharide. Infect. Immun. 55:742–748.
- 8. Fromtling, R. A., and H. J. Shadomy. 1982. Immunity in cryptococcosis: an overview. Mycopathologia 77:183–190.
- 9. Goren, M. B. 1967. Experimental murine cryptococcosis: effect

of hyperimmunization to capsular polysaccharide. J. Immunol. **98:914–922**.

- 10. Graybill, J. R., and D. J. Drutz. 1978. Host defense in cryptococcosis. II. Cryptococcosis in the nude mouse. Cell. Immunol. 40:263-274.
- 11. Graybill, J. R., M. Hague, and D. J. Drutz. 1981. Passive immunization in murine cryptococcosis. Sabouraudia 19:237-244.
- Henderson, D. K., J. E. Bennett, and M. A. Huber. 1982. Longlasting specific immunologic unresponsiveness associated with cryptococcal meningitis. J. Clin. Invest. 69:1185–1190.
- Kaufman, L., and S. Blumer. 1968. Value and interpretation of serological tests for the diagnosis of cryptococcosis. Appl. Microbiol. 16:1907-1912.
- 14. Kozel, T. R., and J. Cazin, Jr. 1974. Induction of humoral antibody response by soluble polysaccharide to *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mycopathol. Mycol. Appl. 54:21-30.
- Kozel, T. R., and J. L. Follette. 1981. Opsonization of encapsulated Cryptococcus neoformans by specific anticapsular antibody. Infect. Immun. 31:978-984.
- Kozel, T. R., B. Highison, and C. J. Stratton. 1984. Localization on encapsulated *Cryptococcus neoformans* of serum components opsonic for phagocytosis by macrophages and neutrophils. Infect. Immun. 43:574–579.
- 17. Lewis, J. L., and S. Rabinovich. 1972. The wide spectrum of cryptococcosis. Am. J. Med. 53:315-322.
- Louria, D. B., and T. Kaminski. 1965. Passively-acquired immunity in experimental cryptococcosis. Sabouraudia 4:80-84.
- Miller, G. P. G., and S. Kohl. 1983. Antibody-dependent leukocyte killing of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. J. Immunol. 131:1455-1459.
- Monga, D. P., R. Kumar, L. N. Mohapatra, and A. N. Malaviya. 1979. Experimental cryptococcosis in normal and B-celldeficient mice. Infect. Immun. 26:1–3.
- 21. Nabavi, N., and J. W. Murphy. 1986. Antibody-dependent natural killer cell-mediated growth inhibition of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Infect. Immun. 51:556–562.
- Perfect, J. R., S. D. R. Lang, and D. T. Durack. 1981. Influence of agglutinating antibody in experimental cryptococcal meningitis. Br. J. Exp. Pathol. 62:595–599.
- Rhodes, J. C. 1985. Contribution of complement component C5 to the pathogenesis of experimental murine cryptococcosis. Sabouraudia 23:225–234.
- Rhodes, J. C., L. S. Wicker, and W. J. Urba. 1980. Genetic control of susceptibility to *Cryptococcus neoformans* in mice. Infect. Immun. 29:494–499.
- Wu, T. C., and S. Y. Koo. 1983. Comparison of three commercial cryptococcal latex kits for detection of cryptococcal antigen. J. Clin. Microbiol. 18:1127–1130.
- Zuger, A., E. Louie, R. S. Holzman, M. S. Simberkoff, and J. J. Rahal. 1986. Cryptococcal disease in patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: diagnostic features and outcome of treatment. Ann. Intern. Med. 104:234-240.