



Published in final edited form as:

*Anesthesiology*. 2008 September ; 109(3): 361–362. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181829f0d.

## Diabetes Mellitus and Subclinical Neuropathy: A Call for New Paths in Peripheral Nerve Block Research

Brian A. Williams, M.D., M.B.A.\* and Beth B. Murinson, M.S., M.D., Ph.D.#

\* *Department of Anesthesiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania* # *Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland*

Peripheral nerve blocks have become a popular anesthetic option in the peri-operative management of patients with diabetes mellitus (Types 1 and 2), since blocks provide better postoperative analgesia than does general anesthesia, while avoiding the cardiopulmonary and insulin-resistance effects of general anesthesia. Despite widespread clinical application of peripheral nerve blocks, important limits persist in our knowledge concerning their use in these patients. First, whether local anesthetics themselves are more toxic to peripheral nerves in diabetic and other preneuropathic patients is unknown, although this has been suggested by a recent report of sensorimotor nerve damage for patients with previously undiagnosed polyneuropathy.<sup>1</sup> Second, we do not know whether the dose of local anesthetic for effective peripheral nerve block differs in the presence of diabetes mellitus. Third, a recent report suggests that standard (nerve stimulator) approaches to localizing nerves for injection exhibit reduced effectiveness in diabetic patients.<sup>2</sup>

In this issue of *Anesthesiology*, Rigaud et al.<sup>3</sup> take an essential step toward better understanding of the suggested problem related to needle localization using nerve stimulators in the presence of diabetes mellitus. Rigaud et al. first show that in normal dogs, nerve stimulation with electrical current levels in the range of 0.33–1.0 mA results in needle placement sufficiently close to the sciatic nerve in 23 of 24 insertions, with unwanted epineural penetration occurring in 1 of these nerve trials. Of note, the lower threshold for electrical perineural stimulation (i.e., 0.50 mA) did not result in distinguishably better needle positioning. The authors then demonstrate that – in the presence of *hyperglycemia* induced by streptozotocin and alloxan – low-threshold (0.5 mA) stimulation in the hyperglycemic animals uniformly resulted in intraneural injections. The hyperglycemic animals were unfortunately not tested with high-threshold (i.e., 1.0 mA, or higher) electrical current. The application of the study findings directly to *diabetic patients*, however, is problematic due to the uncertainty of relevance of acute changes in streptozotocin-hyperglycemia in animals to long standing diabetes mellitus in people. Nonetheless, these preliminary observations provide the impetus for future research into the safety of nerve stimulation to guide needle placement for peripheral nerve blocks in patients with diabetes mellitus.

---

Corresponding Author: Brian A. Williams, M.D., M.B.A., Department of Anesthesiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Outpatient Regional Anesthesia Services, UPMC South Side, Department of Anesthesiology, 2000 Mary Street, Suite 2302, Pittsburgh, PA 15203, Phone: 412-488-5374, Fax: 412-488-5945, Email: williamsba@anes.upmc.edu.

The authors wish to acknowledge Michael S. Gold, Ph.D. (Associate Professor, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) for introducing the coauthors to each other.

To Accompany: MS #200712077, Rigaud M et al., Guidance of Block Needle Insertion by Electrical Nerve Stimulation: a Pilot Study of the Resulting Distribution of Injected Solution in Dogs.

Statement of conflicts of interest: the authors are not supported by, nor maintain any financial interest in, any commercial activity that may be associated with the topic of this article.

Follow up studies will need to address a number of important questions regarding the implications of hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus for the utility, conduct, and safety of local anesthetics in peripheral nerve blocks. Well-designed studies in this area would likely be considered highly significant for funding by the Foundation for Anesthesia Education and Research and the American Society for Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. A central problem is the use of animal models to emulate the clinical conditions of human diabetes mellitus. There is currently no perfect research model for diabetes mellitus, let alone diabetic neuropathy. Perhaps the closest diabetes mellitus model to the human condition is the naturally occurring diabetes mellitus that develops in rhesus monkeys fed an *ad libitum* diet.<sup>4</sup> These primates develop Type 2 diabetes mellitus following several years of over-feeding; however the expenses associated with this model system make it impractical for most research questions. One interesting recently-reported Type 2 diabetic rat strain is the Zucker Diabetic Fatty rat<sup>5</sup>, and an example of a useful Type 1 diabetic mouse model involves autoimmunity of the NOD/SCID mouse to a glutamic acid decarboxylase peptide.<sup>6</sup> Generally, these small animal models have been derived by selective breeding. While these strains are valuable for study, they also have particular characteristics that may or may not pertain to desired abnormalities in glucose metabolism. Recently, mouse models for obesity and Type 2 diabetes mellitus have been reviewed<sup>7</sup>; these models involve genetic manipulation to create transgenic mice with selective disruption of various genes related to insulin signal transduction. For example, mice with complete loss of insulin receptors die shortly after birth, and selective knock-out approaches have been used for understanding the role of the insulin receptor in various organs. However, whether any such mouse models develop peripheral neuropathy is unknown.

Streptozotocin-induced hyperglycemia is quite rapid, and has the advantage that studies can be done with treated and untreated animals examined in parallel. This approach has been used in a wide range of species (e.g., rat, pig, dog). At higher doses, streptozotocin is associated with damage to liver and kidneys<sup>8</sup>, yet streptozotocin-induced diabetes models<sup>9</sup> are still in widespread use, despite limitations when using behavioral study methods (e.g., nociceptive testing) due to end organ damage. Most pertinently, however, streptozotocin models are *known* to develop peripheral neuropathy. The study of the potential for nerve damage in hyperglycemic dogs reported by Rigaud et al. in this issue<sup>3</sup> is one example of the usefulness of the streptozotocin model.

The next questions to be addressed have immediate clinical relevance. First is whether the use of ultrasound for needle localization is equally (or more) effective when compared with electrical stimulation at higher thresholds, as one may suspect based on the case report by Sites et al.<sup>2</sup> One can now assume thousands of similar cases per day that are not reported in the literature, given the extent of the obesity-diabetes mellitus (i.e., Type 2) epidemic, and the increasing popularity of ultrasound-guided neurolocation. Secondly, is the use of local anesthetics inherently safe in hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus whether or not the epineurium is penetrated? Concerns regarding perineural local anesthetic toxicity are not new. In 1992, an important bench science report by Kalichmann and Calcutt landed on the pages of *Anesthesiology*.<sup>10</sup> This report concluded that in rat, the traditional local anesthetics procaine and lidocaine were highly toxic (based on light microscopy) to the sciatic nerve in animals that were hyperglycemic following exposure to streptozotocin.<sup>10</sup> Given the prevalence and increasing incidence of diabetes mellitus, it is surprising that no one to date has followed up on the 1992 toxicity study<sup>10</sup> with an appropriate dose-response evaluation of *any* local anesthetics for neuropathic conditions such as sustained hyperglycemia or diabetes mellitus. Such studies should have been considered a research priority based on this 1992 report, and are therefore long overdue.

If local anesthetics are indeed toxic in the setting of diabetes mellitus at doses that are considered safe in healthy patients, then another potential avenue for basic research would

involve the co-administration of perineural adjuvants which may include one or more of the following: clonidine, dexmedetomidine, buprenorphine, midazolam, tramadol, ketamine, ziconotide, and/or etanercept, but probably not dexamethasone. If such multimodal perineural adjuvants reduce local anesthetic toxicity and/or reduce the required local anesthetic dose in neuropathic (and preneuropathic) patients, then a potentially important public health advance would be possible. In the meantime, given the increasing incidence, prevalence, and associated neuropathic risks of diabetes mellitus, studies are urgently needed to determine whether or not high-threshold electrical current will help avoid intraneural injection in the diabetic nerve. Once this is known, we will have a better perspective as to whether perineural imaging technologies<sup>2</sup> with or without electrical stimulation would be a logical way to partially protect patients with diabetes mellitus from unintentional nerve injury.

## Acknowledgements

Supported was provided by departmental sources and National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, Maryland) grant 5K08NS48146 (Dr. Murinson).

## References

1. Blumenthal S, Borgeat A, Maurer K, Beck-Schimmer B, Kliesch U, Marquardt M, Urech J. Preexisting subclinical neuropathy as a risk factor for nerve injury after continuous ropivacaine administration through a femoral nerve catheter. *Anesthesiology* 2006;105:1053–6. [PubMed: 17065901]
2. Sites BD, Gallagher J, Sparks M. Ultrasound-guided popliteal block demonstrates an atypical motor response to nerve stimulation in 2 patients with diabetes mellitus. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2003;28:479–82. [PubMed: 14556142]
3. Rigaud M, Filip P, Lirk P, Fuchs A, Gemes G, Hogan Q. Guidance of block needle insertion by electrical nerve stimulation: A pilot study of the resulting distribution of injected solution in dogs. *Anesthesiology* 2008;109:XXXXXX.
4. Pare M, Albrecht PJ, Noto CJ, Bodkin NL, Pittenger GL, Schreyer DJ, Tigno XT, Hansen BC, Rice FL. Differential hypertrophy and atrophy among all types of cutaneous innervation in the glabrous skin of the monkey hand during aging and naturally occurring type 2 diabetes. *J Comp Neurol* 2007;501:543–67. [PubMed: 17278131]
5. Brussee V, Guo G, Dong Y, Cheng C, Martinez J, Smith D, Glazner G, Fernyhough P, Zochodne D. Distal degenerative sensory neuropathy in a long term Type 2 diabetes rat model. *Diabetes*. 2008[Epub ahead of print]
6. Zekzer D, Wong FS, Ayalon O, Millet I, Altieri M, Shintani S, Solimena M, Sherwin RS. GAD-reactive CD4+ Th1 cells induce diabetes in NOD/SCID mice. *J Clin Invest* 1998;101:68–73. [PubMed: 9421467]
7. LeRoith D, Gavrilova O. Mouse models created to study the pathophysiology of Type 2 diabetes. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2006;38:904–12. [PubMed: 16103004]
8. Hara H, Lin YJ, Zhu X, Tai HC, Ezzelarab M, Balamurugan AN, Bottino R, Houser SL, Cooper DK. Safe induction of diabetes by high-dose streptozotocin in pigs. *Pancreas* 2008;36:31–8. [PubMed: 18192878]
9. Calcutt NA. Modeling diabetic sensory neuropathy in rats. *Methods Mol Med* 2004;99:55–65. [PubMed: 15131329]
10. Kalichman MW, Calcutt NA. Local anesthetic-induced conduction block and nerve fiber injury in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Anesthesiology* 1992;77:941–7. [PubMed: 1443749]