

Report

A beetle-inspired solution for underwater adhesion

M. Varenberg* and S. Gorb

Department of Thin Films and Biological Systems, Max Planck Institute for Metals Research, Heisenbergstrasse 3, Stuttgart 70569, Germany

Glue-free reversible adhesion was achieved underwater using a beetle-inspired mushroom-shaped fibrillar microstructure. Structured surfaces reveal a 25% increase in pull-off force when immersed in water and their underwater attachment is 20 times more effective than that of flat surfaces. The van der Waals interaction that underlies the adhesion of the mushroom-shaped fibrillar microstructure is significantly enhanced by a suction effect when underwater. This results in a higher adhesive capability of the material, with potential in medicine, bio- and marine technologies and a range of applications in liquid-dominated environments.

Keywords: biomimetics; surface patterning; polymer; adhesion; underwater

1. INTRODUCTION

Underwater adhesion is greatly complicated by the difficulty in displacing water from the adhesive interface and water's ability to weaken many forms of chemical bonds (Smith & Callow 2006). Nevertheless, adhesion is a way of life in aquatic environments, and nature provides many examples of both permanent and temporary underwater attachment based on complex polymer glues (Waite 1987; Flammang 1996; Smith & Callow 2006). In terrestrial environments, many organisms have developed efficient fibrillar adhesive mechanisms based on contact splitting (Arzt *et al.*) 2003), which allow them to benefit from secretionmediated capillary attractive forces and van der Waals interactions (Stork 1980*a*; Autumn *et al.* 2000, 2002). Here, we report that the idea of contact splitting can also be adapted for the generation of glue-free reversible underwater adhesion.

Following the progress made in understanding the mechanism behind the ability of some terrestrial animals to attach dynamically to uneven surfaces (Autumn *et al.* 2000, 2002; Beutel & Gorb 2001), it was experimentally confirmed that adhesion-oriented geometry of biological fibrillar attachment systems may

be employed to manufacture artificial, self-cleaning, re-attachable dry adhesives (Geim *et al.* 2003). However, only recently, an effective biomimetic fibrillar adhesive microstructure was produced (Gorb *et al.* 2007). This surface microstructure is based on the analysis of the functional morphology of the tarsal hairs found in numerous species of beetles from the family Chrysomelidae (Stork 1980*b*; Gorb 2001) and is defined by mushroom-shaped elastic microfibres covering the surface. Considering that intermolecular van der Waals forces should act across different media, we examined this beetle-inspired dry adhesive to determine the extent to which contact splitting affects adhesion underwater.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Figure 1 shows our beetle-inspired fibrillar adhesive microstructure made of polyvinylsiloxane (PVS). The structured surface (Gottlieb Binder GmbH, Holzgerlingen, Germany) consisted of hexagonally distributed mushroom-shaped microfibres of approximately $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ in height, bearing terminal contact plates of $48 \,\mu\text{m}$ in mean diameter. The mean area density of the terminal contact plates was 43%. Four structured and four flat PVS samples were tested in contact with hydrophilic and hydrophobic (silanized) flat glass substrates (water contact angle of 7° and 110°, respectively) in ambient air at 25% relative humidity, and in de-ionized water. Each of the 32 tests was repeated at least four times. For the underwater experiments, the PVS sample and glass substrate were submerged entirely in water. The rourhness average $(R_{\rm a})$ of the PVS and glass surface was approximately 1 and 85 nm, respectively. Each test consisted of preloading a PVS disc sample 1 mm in height and 2 mm in diameter with 90 mN, and then determining the pull-off force while withdrawing the glass substrate from the contact at a velocity of $100 \ \mu m \ s^{-1}$. This was done with a home-made microtribometer (Varenberg & Gorb 2007) using a self-aligning system of sample holders to measure contact forces in a flat-on-flat contact scheme.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In contrast to the results obtained in air, where the structured surfaces revealed twice the effective pull-off force than the flat ones, the underwater adhesion of the structured surfaces was 20 times higher than that of the flat ones (figure 2a; table 1). Flat surfaces retained only 12% of their attachment ability in water when compared with their attachment ability in air. This reduction could not have been caused by changes in capillary attraction as similar attachment forces were measured on both hydrophilic and hydrophobic substrates (table 1), and is attributed to the effect of water on van der Waals dispersion forces. This is confirmed by the Hamaker constants (Israelachvili 1992) for the interaction of PVS and glass across air and water $(H_{\rm a}, 59 \times 10^{-21} \, \text{J}, \text{ and } H_{\rm w}, 8 \times 10^{-21} \, \text{J}, \text{ respectively}),$ which predict an 86% decrease in van der Waals adhesion underwater, which closely matches the experimental results. Structured surfaces, however, gained in their attachment ability underwater, thus

^{*}Author for correspondence (varenberg@mf.mpg.de).



Figure 1. Beetle-inspired adhesive microstructure. Scanning electron micrograph presents mushroom-shaped microfibres near the edge of a structured sample, illustrating the two-level hierarchy of terminal contact plates and stalks of the microfibres. Inset displays the height image of a single terminal contact plate.



Figure 2. Adhesive performance of mushroom-shaped fibrillar microstructure. (a) Mean pull-off force of flat and structured samples measured on hydrophilic and hydrophobic substrates in air and de-ionized water. The error scales represent a standard deviation from mean values. Statistical significance of difference between the forces measured is summarized in table 1. (b) Behaviour of a single terminal contact plate detaching from substrate in air. Dark areas resulted from destructive interference of reflected white light in the glass–PVS interface visualize the real contact zones.

demonstrating some additional effect superimposed on van der Waals interaction.

As each mushroom-shaped microfibre is pulled off, a void is formed in the centre and grows towards the edge of the contact plate until it is finally detached from the substrate (figure 2b). Thus, despite being flat (figure 1 inset), each contact plate acts as a passive suction device when the thin, elastic contact plate lip does not allow the surrounding medium to enter the low-pressure zone formed due to the microfibre stalk tension. In water, which is sometimes described as having tensile strength, the decrease in pressure balances the expansive force until the pressure falls to the cavitation threshold (Smith 1991) and liquid water turns into vapour under the contact plate, thus allowing its deformation required for detachment. Estimating the effect of water on the pull-off force of the structured surface,

$$F_{\rm w}=F_{\rm a}\!\cdot\frac{H_{\rm w}}{H_{\rm a}}+(p_{\rm a}-p_{\rm s})\!\cdot\!A_{\rm c}, \eqno(1.1)$$

where $F_{\rm a}$ is the pull-off force in air (approximately 120 mN); $p_{\rm a}$ and $p_{\rm s}$ are the atmospheric and water saturation pressures (101 and 3 kPa, respectively) and $A_{\rm c}$ is the contact area of all microfibres (approx. 1.3 mm^2), we have $F_w = 144 \text{ mN}$. In fact, water can sometimes withstand even negative pressures (Smith 1991) before cavitation occurs, so using the pressure of water saturation, $p_{\rm s}$, we might underestimate the effect of suction in water. From the other side, some microfibres can detach earlier, making the effective contact area, A_c , smaller, which might lead to the overestimation of the suction effect. Nevertheless, the estimated pull-off force is nearly identical to the mean measured pull-off force of 151 mN. This calculation not only explains a 25% increase in the attachment forces of our material when underwater but also sheds light on one of the functions of fluid secretion found on the mushroomshaped fibrillar adhesive organs of some insects.

Interestingly, mushroom-shaped geometry of adhesive elements is also found in many marine organisms, such as mussels (Lin *et al.* 2007), sea stars (Flammang 1996), sea anemones (Bayer & Owre 1968), some algae (Mägdefrau 1992) and even bacteria (Tsang *et al.* 2006). Moreover, the most recent experiments reveal that mussels' adhesion, for instance, in some cases, is due to weak physical interactions rather than chemical bonding, and that the strong adhesion forces

Table 1. Statistical significance of difference between the pull-off forces presented in figure 2a.

substrate	sample	environment	significant difference
hydrophobic versus hydrophilic	flat	air	no $(p=0.727)^{a}$
hydrophobic versus hydrophilic	structured	air	no $(p=0.941)^{a}$
hydrophobic versus hydrophilic	flat	water	no $(p=1.000)^{b}$
hydrophobic versus hydrophilic	structured	water	no $(p=1.000)^{b}$
both	<i>flat versus structured</i>	air	yes $(p<0.001)^{a}$
both	<i>flat versus structured</i>	water	yes $(p<0.001)^{a}$
both	flat	<i>air versus water</i>	yes $(p<0.001)^{b}$
both	structured	<i>air versus water</i>	yes $(p=0.007)^{a}$

^a Calculated according to *t*-test (normal data distribution).

^b Calculated according to Mann–Whitney rank sum test (not normal data distribution).

of mussels' attachment plaques arise as a consequence of their geometry rather than a high intrinsic surface or adhesion energy (Lin *et al.* 2007). This is consistent with the results presented here and might also be explained by a superposition of adhesive and cohesive effects.

4. CONCLUSION

The superposition of van der Waals dispersion force and suction effect affords new perspectives for surface micropatterning. We believe that the ability to generate glue-free reversible underwater adhesion through the use of contact splitting has practical potential in medicine, bio- and marine technologies and a range of applications in liquid-dominated environments.

We thank A.P. Summers for helpful comments and D. Voigt for providing silanized glass substrates. This work was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Germany (project InspiRat 01RI0633C).

REFERENCES

- Arzt, E., Gorb, S. & Spolenak, R. 2003 From micro to nano contacts in biological attachment devices. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 10 603–10 606. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1534701100)
- Autumn, K., Liang, Y. A., Hsieh, S. T., Zesch, W., Chan, W. P., Kenny, T. W., Fearing, R. & Full, R. J. 2000 Adhesive force of a single gecko foot-hair. *Nature* 405, 681–685. (doi:10.1038/35015073)
- Autumn, K. et al. 2002 Evidence for van der Waals adhesion in gecko setae. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 99, 12 252–12 256. (doi:10.1073/pnas.192252799)
- Bayer, F. M. & Owre, H. B. 1968 The free-living lower invertebrates. New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Beutel, R. G. & Gorb, S. N. 2001 Ultrastructure of attachment specializations of hexapods (Arthropoda): evolutionary patterns inferred from a revised ordinal phylogeny. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 39, 177–207. (doi:10. 1046/j.1439-0469.2001.00155.x)

- Flammang, P. 1996 Adhesion in echinoderms. In *Echinoderm studies 5* (eds M. Jangoux & J. M. Lawrence), pp. 1–60. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: A. A. Balkema.
- Geim, A. K., Dubonos, S. V., Grigorieva, I. V., Novoselov, K. S., Zhukov, A. A. & Shapoval, S. Y. 2003 Microfabricated adhesive mimicking gecko foot-hair. *Nat. Mater.* 2, 461–463. (doi:10.1038/nmat917)
- Gorb, S. N. 2001 Attachment devices of insect cuticle. New York, NY: Springer.
- Gorb, S., Varenberg, M., Peressadko, A. & Tuma, J. 2007 Biomimetic mushroom-shaped fibrillar adhesive microstructure. J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 271–275. (doi:10.1098/ rsif.2006.0164)
- Israelachvili, J. 1992 Intermolecular and surface forces, pp. 176–212. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Lin, Q., Gourdon, D., Sun, C., Holten-Andersen, N., Anderson, T. H., Waite, J. H. & Israelachvili, J. N. 2007 Adhesion mechanisms of the mussel foot proteins mfp-1 and mfp-3. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 3782–3786. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0607852104)
- Mägdefrau, K. 1992 Geschichte der Botanik. Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fischer.
- Smith, A. M. 1991 Negative pressure generated by octopus suckers: a study of the tensile strength of water in nature. J. Exp. Biol. 157, 257–271.
- Smith, A. M. & Callow, J. A. (eds) 2006 Biological adhesives. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Stork, N. E. 1980a Experimental analysis of adhesion of *Chrysolina polita* (Chrysomelidae: Coleoptera) on a variety of surfaces. J. Exp. Biol. 88, 91–107.
- Stork, N. E. 1980b Scanning electron microscope study of tarsal adhesive setae in the Coleoptera. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 68, 173–306.
- Tsang, P. H., Li, G., Brun, Y. V., Freund, L. B. & Tang, J. X. 2006 Adhesion in single bacterial cells in the micronewton range. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 103, 5764–5768. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0601705103)
- Varenberg, M. & Gorb, S. 2007 Shearing of fibrillar adhesive microstructure: friction and shear-related changes in pulloff force. J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 721–725. (doi:10.1098/rsif. 2007.0222)
- Waite, J. H. 1987 Nature's underwater adhesive specialist. Int. J. Adhes. Adhes. 7, 9–14. (doi:10.1016/0143-7496 (87)90048-0)