# Drosophila PCH2 Is Required for a Pachytene Checkpoint That Monitors Double-Strand-Break-Independent Events Leading to Meiotic Crossover Formation

## Eric F. Joyce and Kim S. McKim<sup>1</sup>

Waksman Institute and Department of Genetics, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-8020 Manuscript received June 25, 2008 Accepted for publication October 25, 2008

#### ABSTRACT

During meiosis, programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are repaired to create at least one crossover per chromosome arm. Crossovers mature into chiasmata, which hold and orient the homologous chromosomes on the meiotic spindle to ensure proper segregation at meiosis I. This process is usually monitored by one or more checkpoints that ensure that DSBs are repaired prior to the meiotic divisions. We show here that mutations in Drosophila genes required to process DSBs into crossovers delay two important steps in meiotic progression: a chromatin-remodeling process associated with DSB formation and the final steps of oocyte selection. Consistent with the hypothesis that a checkpoint has been activated, the delays in meiotic progression are suppressed by a mutation in the Drosophila homolog of *pch2*. The PCH2dependent delays also require proteins thought to regulate the number and distribution of crossovers, suggesting that this checkpoint monitors events leading to crossover formation. Surprisingly, two lines of evidence suggest that the PCH2-dependent checkpoint does not reflect the accumulation of unprocessed recombination intermediates: the delays in meiotic progression do not depend on DSB formation or on mei-41, the Drosophila ATR homolog, which is required for the checkpoint response to unrepaired DSBs. We propose that the sites and/or conditions required to promote crossovers are established independently of DSB formation early in meiotic prophase. Furthermore, the PCH2-dependent checkpoint is activated by these events and pachytene progression is delayed until the DSB repair complexes required to generate crossovers are assembled. Interestingly, PCH2-dependent delays in prophase may allow additional crossovers to form.

EIOTIC crossovers promote genetic variation and mature into chiasmata, which hold the homologous chromosomes together at metaphase I and direct their segregation at anaphase I. In the absence of chiasmata, homologs may segregate randomly, resulting in aneuploidy, which can lead to infertility, severe developmental consequences, or lethality. Therefore, it is not surprising that crossover formation is a tightly regulated process. The formation of crossovers depends on the repair of programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) through homologous recombination (McKIM and HAYASHI-HAGIHARA 1998; KEENEY 2001). DSBs are believed to be catalyzed by the Spo11 protein, a suspected paralog of a type II topoisomerase from archaebacteria. DSBs that do not become crossovers are repaired as noncrossovers, often referred to as "gene conversions."

The mechanism for repairing DSBs to generate crossovers during meiotic prophase probably involves some kind of double Holliday junction intermediate (STAHL 1996; HEYER *et al.* 2003; HOLLINGSWORTH and

E-mail: mckim@rci.rutgers.edu

Genetics 181: 39–51 (January 2009)

BRILL 2004; WHITBY 2005). By contrast, noncrossovers can be generated by a combination of repair pathways such as synthesis-dependent strand annealing. The meiotic DSB repair program involves proteins specialized for the generation of crossovers as well as generic DSB repair proteins. In Drosophila, the former group of "crossover proteins" have been identified by mutations that cause reductions in the frequency of crossovers but not non-crossovers (reviewed in MEHROTRA *et al.* 2007). The latter group includes proteins such as members of the Rad51 family, required to repair all DSBs (HOFFMANN and BORTS 2004; KUNZ and SCHAR 2004).

Drosophila genes required for crossing over have been divided into two general classes: precondition and exchange genes (SANDLER *et al.* 1968; CARPENTER and SANDLER 1974). The distinction between the precondition and exchange classes has been based mainly on the effects of mutations on the distribution of crossovers. The few crossovers observed in the progeny of females homozygous for precondition mutants show an altered distribution, while the few crossovers generated by mothers homozygous for exchange mutants show a relatively normal distribution. Therefore, precondition genes may have a role in establishing the crossover

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Waksman Institute, Rutgers University, 190 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854.

distribution, while exchange genes are required later to carry out the reaction that generates crossovers.

Meiotic DSB repair in Drosophila is monitored by at least one checkpoint. When there is a defect in repairing meiotic DSBs in Drosophila females, the ATR/MEI-41dependent DSB repair checkpoint is activated (JANG *et al.* 2003), resulting in a variety of developmental defects, including the failure of the oocyte to establish dorsal-ventral polarity (GHABRIAL and SCHUPBACH 1999). This checkpoint pathway may also have a more direct role in DSB repair since mutations in the *mei-41* gene cause a reduction in crossing over (BAKER and CARPENTER 1972). In budding yeast, checkpoint proteins may also have a role in determining whether repair occurs using the sister chromatid or the homolog (GRUSHCOW *et al.* 1999).

We have found evidence for a new meiotic prophase checkpoint in Drosophila females. Mutations in DSB repair genes and exchange genes cause delays in two meiotic events: a chromatin-remodeling response to DSBs and oocyte selection. Both of these phenotypes may be a consequence of a general delay in pachytene progression, suggestive of an activated checkpoint. Surprisingly, the delay in pachytene progression in DSB repair and exchange mutants is independent of DSB formation but requires precondition genes like mei-218 and rec. This suggests that the checkpoint is not the canonical DSB-repair checkpoint that depends on ATR/MEI-41 (GHABRIAL and SCHUPBACH 1999). Instead, we propose that this delay is the result of a second checkpoint associated with the pathway leading to crossovers. We show that this DSB-independent checkpoint requires the Drosophila homolog of PCH2, an AAA-adenosine triphosphatase.

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Caenorhabditis elegans, it has been suggested that a PCH2-dependent checkpoint pathway responds to synapsis defects independent of DSBs (BHALLA and DERNBURG 2005; Wu and BURGESS 2006). However, some Drosophila mutants with PCH2dependent delays in pachytene do not have obvious defects in synapsis. Thus, our results point to a defect in the pathway leading to crossover formation as the trigger that activates the checkpoint. Interestingly, the synapsis mutants analyzed in other organisms also have crossover defects, suggesting that there may be a common mechanism related to crossover specification for triggering the checkpoint in all three species.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Fly stocks and genetic techniques:** The following mutations were used and have been previously described:  $hdm^{g7}$  (Liu *et al.* 2000; JOYCE *et al.* 2009, accompanying article in this issue); *mei-W68*<sup>4572</sup> (BHAGAT *et al.* 2004); *mei-P22*<sup>103</sup> (Liu *et al.* 2002); *okr<sup>WS</sup>*, *spn-A*<sup>1</sup>, *spn-B<sup>BU</sup>*, and *spn-D*<sup>349</sup> (GHABRIAL *et al.* 1998; ABDU *et al.* 2003; JANG *et al.* 2003; STAEVA-VIEIRA *et al.* 2003); *mei-41*<sup>D3</sup> (SIBON *et al.* 1999); *mei-218*<sup>1</sup> (CARPENTER and SANDLER 1974; MCKIM *et al.* 1996); *rec*<sup>1</sup> and *rec*<sup>2</sup> (BLANTON *et al.* 2005); *mei-9*<sup>a</sup>,

*mei*-9<sup>A2</sup>, *mei*-9<sup>12</sup>, and *mei*-9<sup>RT1</sup> (YILDIZ *et al.* 2004); and *mus312<sup>D1</sup>* (YILDIZ *et al.* 2002). Experiments were done with both *mei*-9<sup>42</sup> and *mei*-9<sup>A2</sup> since both are genetic null alleles. Experiments with *rec* were done with *rec<sup>1</sup>/rec<sup>2</sup>* heterozygotes. The deficiency *Df(3R)p-XT103* deletes cytological bands 85A2–85C2, which includes the *pch2* locus. All crosses were raised at 25°. The frequency of X-chromosome nondisjunction is calculated as  $2(Bar^+ \text{ females} + Bar \text{ males}) / [2(Bar^+ \text{ females} + Bar \text{ males}) + Bar \text{ females} + Bar^+ \text{ males}].$ 

**Irradiation of oocytes:** Females were exposed to a dose of 10 Gy of X rays (at a dose rate of 1 Gy/min) and were dissected and fixed at 1, 5, or 24 hr after irradiation.

Cytology and immunofluorescence: For immunolocalization experiments, females were aged at room temperature for ~16 hr and ovaries were dissected and fixed using using Buffer A (BELMONT *et al.* 1989; MCKIM *et al.* 2008). The antibody to  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV was described by MEHROTRA and MCKIM (2006) and used at a 1:500 dilution. Additional primary antibodies included mouse anti-C(3)G antibody used at 1:500 (PAGE and HAWLEY 2001), rabbit anti-C(2)M antibody used at 1:400 (MANHEIM and MCKIM 2003), and a combination of two mouse anti-ORB antibodies (4H8 and 6H4) used at 1:100 (LANTZ *et al.* 1994).

The secondary antibodies were Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit (Jackson Labs) used at 1:250 and FITC-labeled goat antimouse (Vector Labs) used at 1:125. Chromosomes were stained with Hoechst at 1:5000 (10 mg/ml solution) for 7 min at room temperature. Images were collected using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope with a  $\times$ 63, N.A. 1.3 lens. In most cases, whole germaria were imaged by collecting optical sections through the entire tissue. These data sets are shown as maximum-intensity projections. The analysis of the images, however, was performed by examining one section at a time.

**Counting two oocytes and calculating** *P***values:** The oocytes were observed using an anti-C(3)G antibody. A cell was scored as an oocyte if complete SC filaments were clear and distinct. *P*-values were calculated using the Fisher's exact test. The *P*-value from the test compares the ratio of one-oocyte to two-oocyte cysts that were observed in two genotypes. In experiments where C(3)G staining was not visible [such as in the c(3)Gnull mutant], a concentration of ORB staining in the cytoplasm of a cell was used to identify the oocytes (GONZALEZ-REYES *et al.* 1997).

**Counting**  $\gamma$ -**HIS2AV foci:** The  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci were counted from germaria where the foci were clear and distinct. Foci numbers in wild type were at a maximum in region 2a (early pachytene) and few foci were visible by region 2b (mid-pachytene). Therefore, to compare foci numbers in different genotypes, we used a method that includes all cysts with  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci, averaging the number in each pair of pro-oocytes. We compared the average number of foci in all the pro-oocytes or oocytes of each germarium, starting with the youngest cysts at the anterior end, by examining a full series of optical sections.

**Plotting γ-HIS2AV foci as a function of relative cyst age:** Since the position of a cyst in the germarium is only a rough estimate of its meiotic stage, the foci were first counted in all the pro-oocytes/oocytes [identified by C(3)G staining] in the germarium. The meiotic stage of each pro-oocyte was then normalized according to the relative position of the entire cyst within the germarium since the relative position is more important than the absolute position. The pro-oocytes from 13 wild-type germaria, 18 hdm<sup>g7</sup>, 6 mei-218<sup>1</sup>, 5 hdm<sup>g7</sup> mei-218<sup>1</sup>, 5 spn-D<sup>349</sup>, 4 mei-218<sup>1</sup>; spn-D<sup>349</sup>, 5 pch2<sup>EY01788a</sup>, 6 hdm<sup>g7</sup>; pch2<sup>EY01788a</sup>, 5 mei-9<sup>A2</sup>, and 5 mei-9<sup>A2</sup>; pch2<sup>EY01788a</sup> were arranged according to their relative age. The average number of γ-HIS2AV foci per prooocyte at each stage was then calculated and plotted as a function of relative cyst age.

**Isolation of a** *pch2* **insertion allele:** We used an allele of *pch2* in which the coding region was disrupted by 473 bp of a



FIGURE 1.—SC formation and the two-oocyte phenotype in *hdm* mutants. (A and B) Maximum-intensity projections showing a merge of all the confocal optical sections through a wild-type and *hdm* mutant germarium stained for SC [C(3)G] in green and DNA in blue. A cartoon of oocyte development in wild-type and *hdm* mutant germaria is next to its corresponding image. Oocyte development begins in the germarium, where four incomplete divisions form a 16-cell cyst (region 1, not shown). Two of the cells in each cyst have four interconnections, or ring canals, and become the pro-oocytes. Changes in cyst morphology differentiate regions 2a, 2b, and 3. In region 2a, both pro-oocytes enter meiosis, including zygotene and early pachytene, where the SC assembles between homologs and meiotic recombination initiates. Region 2a cysts are round, region 2b cysts flatten out, and region 3 cysts become round again before leaving the germarium into the vitellarium (stages 2–14). (A) In some region 2b and most region 3 wild-type cysts, one cell is identifiable as the oocyte by robust localization of the SC component C(3)G protein. The white arrow indicates the "loser" pro-oocyte in region 2b, which shows trace amounts of C(3)G staining, and the white arrowhead indicates a region 3 oocyte with robust C(3)G staining. (B) In *hdm* mutants, there are two late pachytene cells (oocytes) with robust C(3)G staining in most region 3 cysts (white arrowheads). Bars, 10 µm.

partially deleted *P* element (*P*{*EPgy2*}*CG31453*[*EY01788a*]) inserted toward the end of the first exon (Bellen et al. 2004). This *pch2<sup>EY01788a</sup>* mutation causes a frameshift early in the protein and is therefore likely a null allele. The original stock contained two third-chromosome insertions (P{EPgy2}-CG31453<sup>EY01788a</sup> P{EPgy2}EY01788b). One insertion, EY01788a, was located inside the coding region of CG31453 at 85A3. The other insertion, EY01788b, was located at 95F1 near CG5524. To isolate the *pch2* (CG31453) insertion, we crossed  $y^1 w^{67c23}$ ; P{EPgy2}CG31453<sup>EY01788a</sup> P{EPgy2}EY01788b males to cu e ca/ TM6B females. The  $Tb^+$  female progeny were then crossed to cue Pr ca/TM6B males, and  $cu^+$  e ca recombinants were collected and crossed individually to yw; Dr/TM3 females. Five stocks were made from crossing  $Pr^+$  Sb females to their  $Pr^+$  Sb brothers. We confirmed the presence of EY01788a by isolating DNA from homozygote pch2<sup>EY01788a</sup> e ca females. In all five lines, PCR revealed an  $\sim$ 500-bp insertion in the *pch2* locus. Sequence analysis showed that there was 473 bp of a deleted  $P\{Epgy2\}$ element inserted into the coding region of *pch2*, which is expected to cause a frameshift mutation.

#### RESULTS

Many of the exchange genes have been shown to encode proteins with informative biochemical functions. MEI-9 and ERCC1 form an endonuclease, supporting the hypothesis that exchange proteins play direct roles in the recombination process. In contrast, the function of precondition genes has been ambiguous and confusing because their biochemical functions are not known. Furthermore, the distinction between the precondition and exchange type genes is based mostly on the distribution of crossing over in the mutants although the mechanistic basis for this difference is not known.

To establish meaningful parameters to distinguish precondition from exchange genes and gain insights into how these genes promote crossover formation, we used cytological tools to characterize synaptonemal complex (SC) formation and DSB repair in mutations required for crossing over. This was done by comparing the progression through meiotic pachytene in wild-type and mutant females. Whole-mounted ovaries were stained with antibodies recognizing the SC components C(3)G and C(2)M to observe synapsis, identify the prooocytes, and estimate the meiotic stage of each nucleus. DSB formation and repair was monitored using an antibody to  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV. Phosphorylation of HIS2AV (or H2AX in mammals) is a rapid chromatin-remodeling response to DSBs that appears during the pachytene stage in Drosophila female meiosis (ROGAKOU et al. 1999; MADIGAN et al. 2002; JANG et al. 2003).

**Meiotic progression in wild-type females:** During wild-type oogenesis, oocytes differentiate within a 16-cell germline cyst (see Figure 1 for description of oogenesis). Several cysts are contained in each germarium and are arranged in temporal order, with the earliest cysts located in the most anterior positions. These germarium cysts can be separated into three stages on the basis of their morphology. First, within region 2a cysts, two pro-oocytes initially appear equivalent as both enter meiosis and reach early pachytene. At

TABLE 1	
---------	--

Comparison of crossover-defective mutant phenotypes

		SC formation	Crossover levels	Crossover distribution	Pachyter			
Class	Mutant				Delayed γ-HIS2AV	Persistence of γ-HIS2AV foci <sup>a</sup>	Two oocytes	Suppresses pachytene delay phenotypes
Synapsis	c(3)G	No	None	None <sup>b</sup>	ND	0.0	No	ND
DSB formation	mei-W68	Yes	None	None <sup>b</sup>	None <sup>b</sup>	None <sup>b</sup>	No	No
	mei-P22	Yes	None	None <sup>b</sup>	None <sup>b</sup>	None <sup>b</sup>	No	No
Precondition	mei-218	Yes	Reduction	Abnormal	No	0.0	No	Yes
	rec	Yes	Reduction	Abnormal	No	0.0	No	Yes
DSB repair	spn-A	Yes	$ND^c$	$ND^c$	Yes	21.0	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
	spn-D <sup>e</sup>	Yes	Reduction	Abnormal	Yes	20.9	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
	okra	Yes	Reduction <sup>c</sup>	Abnormal <sup>c</sup>	Yes	22.0	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
Exchange	mei-9 <sup>e</sup>	Yes	Reduction	Normal	Yes	1.3	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
0	hdm	Yes	Reduction	Normal	Yes	1.4	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
Surveillance	mei-41	Yes	Reduction <sup>c</sup>	$Abnormal^{c}$	Yes	21.0	Yes	$\mathbf{NA}^{d}$
mechanisms	pch2	Yes	Normal	Normal	No	0.0	No	Yes

ND, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Average no. of foci that persist into late pachytene (region 3) oocytes.

<sup>b</sup> Crossing over is eliminated by null alleles but the crossover distribution is altered by hypomorphic alleles (LIU *et al.* 2002; BHAGAT *et al.* 2004).

<sup>c</sup> Crossover distribution in null mutants is not known because they are sterile. However, *mei-41* and *okr* hypomorphs are fertile and have reduced crossing over with an altered distribution (BAKER and CARPENTER 1972; BHAGAT *et al.* 2004).

<sup>*d*</sup> These mutants exhibit delay phenotypes.

<sup>e</sup> Results with spn-B were similar to spn-D and with mus312 were similar to mei-9.

this time the SC forms and is observed as complete filaments of C(3)G or C(2)M staining in each pair of pro-oocytes. Second, within region 2b cysts, one of the two pro-oocytes begins to exit meiosis, converts to a nurse cell fate, and loses staining of SC proteins. Third, within region 3 cysts, located in the most posterior position of the germarium, oocyte selection has occurred. This is characterized by the presence of only a single oocyte with SC staining (Figure 1).

In the progression from early (region 2a) to late (region 3) pachytene, there is also a characteristic pattern of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining in the pro-oocytes and oocyte (MEHROTRA and MCKIM 2006).  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci are most abundant at early pachytene (region 2a) and by late pachytene (region 3) no  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci are observed. We used cyst morphology, SC, and  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining to compare the relative timing of progression through pachytene in wild-type and recombination-defective mutants (summarized in Table 1).

Mutations in the exchange and DSB repair classes of genes result in a delay in oocyte selection during pachytene: Meiotic progression was examined in females homozygous for mutations in each of three exchange class genes: two previously characterized genes, *mei-9* and *mus312*, and *hold'em* (*hdm*), a new member of the exchange class that we recently identified (Jovce *et al.* 2009, accompanying article in this issue). Ovaries from these exchange mutant females were stained for C(3)G. As in wild-type, zygotene was an infrequently

observed stage, and complete threads of C(3)G staining were observed in most region 2a pro-oocytes of each exchange mutant (Figure 1). This indicates that SC formation and synapsis occurred rapidly and without notable delay, as expected from previous electron microscopy studies of SC formation in *mei-9* homozygotes (CARPENTER 1979).

In all three exchange mutants, however, oocyte selection was delayed; the choice between the two prooocytes occurred later than in wild type. For example, in hdm mutant females, two pro-oocytes were visible by C(3)G staining in 62.5% of region 3 cysts (Figure 1; Figure 2), which was significantly greater than the frequency of 9.5% observed in wild type (P = 0.0005, Fisher's exact test). Similarly, both the mei-9 and mus312 mutants showed a high frequency of two oocytes in region 3 cysts (69.6%, P = 0.00006, and 75.0%, P =0.00002, respectively; Figure 2). The presence of two oocytes was associated with the failure of either prooocyte to localize to the posterior end of the cyst and frequently showed weaker C(3)G staining in region 3 compared either to wild-type region 3 oocytes or to earlier-stage oocytes in the same mutant germarium (Figure 3A). These two observations indicate that the delay affects both pro-oocytes. The presence of two prooocytes in region 3 cysts will be referred to as the "twooocyte" phenotype in this article.

All known exchange gene products have roles in both somatic DNA repair and meiotic crossover production.



FIGURE 2.—Two-oocyte phenotype in region 3 cysts of wild-type and crossoverdefective single mutant females. The percentage of region 3 cysts with two oocytes is based on C(3)G staining. Asterisks located above each bar correspond to a genotype that gave a Pvalue <0.05 when compared to wild type. The number of cysts (which is equivalent to the number of germaria) counted is shown at the bottom of each bar. (A) Two-oocyte phenotype in single-mutant females. The mutants are grouped by their primary defect in recombination. A significantly high frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype was found in DSB repair and exchange class mutants, but not in mutants of the DSB formation and precondition groups. The two-oocyte phenotype appears to be robust and reproducible. The frequencies reported here are similar to those in HUYNH and ST. JOHNSTON (2000). (B) Two-oocyte phenotype in double-mutant females. The high frequency of two oocytes observed in exchange mutants  $(hdm^{g7} \text{ or } mei-\tilde{9}^{a})$ was suppressed by mei-2181 or  $rec^{1/2}$  but not by mei-W684572. Similarly, the twooocyte phenotypes of DSB repair mutants  $(spn-A^1)$  or  $spn-B^{BU}$ ) were suppressed by  $rec^{1/2}$  and  $mei-218^{1}$  but not by mei-P22103.

To examine whether the two-oocyte phenotype reflected the loss of the meiotic recombination functions, we tested two special *mei-9* alleles (YILDIZ *et al.* 2004). The *mei-9<sup>12</sup>* mutant, which is defective for meiotic crossover formation but proficient in somatic DNA repair, had a high frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype (52.9%, P = 0.005). The *mei-9<sup>RT1</sup>* mutant, which is proficient in meiotic crossover formation but is defective in somatic DNA repair, had a low frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype (28.6%, P = 0.21). These results suggest that the delay in oocyte selection is due to a defect in a meiotic function of the exchange genes.

We next examined mutations in four genes required for meiotic DSB repair for the two-oocyte phenotype:



FIGURE 3.-Pattern of y-HIS2AV staining in wild-type and crossover-defective mutants. (A) Representative examples of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining (red) at different stages of pachytene in wild-type and  $hdm^{g7}$  mutants, with SC staining [C(3)G] in green and DNA in blue. Each image shows a projection of all confocal sections through the oocyte nucleus. The panels of each genotype were cropped from the same germarium and image stack. When two oocytes were observed, the C(3)G staining often had reduced intensity relative to other oocytes in the same cyst. Bar, 5 µм. (B) The average number of y-HIS2AV foci is plotted relative to cyst age in hdm<sup>g7</sup> and mei-2181 mutants. Cyst 1 is the first to have complete SC, cyst 8 is in late pachytene (region 3), and cysts 9-11 are in later-stage cysts (stages 2-4), which have left the germarium. The age difference between each cyst is  $\sim 12$  hr (KING 1970). Because the oocytes are arranged in temporal order in the ovary, the lower number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in early stage oocytes (cysts 2–4) of *hdm*<sup>g7</sup> mutants indicates that there is a delay in their appearance. mei-2181 suppresses the delayed onset and persistence of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV in  $hdm^{g7}$  mutants. (C) Same graph as B but for spn-D<sup>D349</sup> and mei-2181 mutants. mei-2181 suppresses the delayed onset of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV in *spn-D*<sup>349</sup> mutants. Because *spn-D*<sup>349</sup> has a DSB repair defect, the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci persist in high numbers into late stages of pachytene. Error bars denote the standard error of the mean.

the *Rad51* ortholog *spn-A*, *Rad51* paralogs *spn-B* and *spn-D*, and the *Rad54* ortholog *okr*. In all of these DSB repair mutants, complete threads of C(3)G staining were observed in most region 2a pro-ocytes, indicating that SC formation and synapsis occurred normally. Like the exchange mutants, each DSB repair mutant exhibited a high frequency of the two-ocyte phenotype (52.5–69.6%, each P < 0.05 compared to wild type; Figure 2). Indeed, a two-ocyte phenotype has previously been de-

scribed in some of these mutants using different markers for the oocyte, such as the cytoplasmic ORB protein (GONZALEZ-REYES *et al.* 1997; MCCAFFREY *et al.* 2006) or a different SC antibody (HUYNH and ST. JOHNSTON 2000).

In all mutant females analyzed, SC staining was limited to one cell at stage 2 of oogenesis, which is shortly after a cyst leaves the germarium. Thus, one of the two pro-oocytes does eventually become a nurse cell. These results suggest that exchange and DSB repair mutations delay but do not block the pro-oocyte-tooocyte decision, causing region 3 oocytes to be in midpachytene rather than late pachytene.

In contrast to exchange and DSB repair mutants, the two precondition class mutants that we examined, *mei-218* and *rec*, showed a frequency of two oocytes in region 3 that was not significantly greater than wild type (14.3%, P = 1, and 18.5%, P = 0.44, respectively; Figure 2). Similarly, mutants with defects in DSB formation, *mei-W68* and *mei-P22*, also showed a low frequency of two oocytes in region 3 (24.0%, P = 0.26, and 23.8%, P = 0.41, respectively). Taken together, our results indicate that only mutations in DSB repair and exchange class genes induce a significant delay in oocyte selection. In contrast, neither defects in DSB formation or precondition genes cause such a delay.

Delayed oocyte selection in crossover mutants is DSB independent: The delay in oocyte selection caused by mutations in exchange and DSB repair genes could be caused by the activation of a checkpoint sensitive to the accumulation of repair intermediates. This hypothesis was tested by eliminating meiotic DSBs using mutants defective in DSB formation. In Drosophila, the programmed DSBs that initiate meiotic recombination require the genes mei-W68 (encoding a Spo11 ortholog) and mei-P22 (MCKIM et al. 1998; LIU et al. 2002). A high frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype was still observed in hdm; mei-W68 (51.5%, P = 0.420 compared to hdm) and mei-P22 spn-A (82.6%, P = 0.491 compared to spn-A) double mutants (Figure 2). A similar result was previously observed in a mei-W68; mus301 double mutant (mus301 is also required for meiotic DSB repair; McCAFFREY et al. 2006). These results demonstrate that the delay in oocyte selection does not depend on the induction of DSBs or persistent repair intermediates.

Exchange and DSB repair mutants exhibit a delay in the chromatin-remodeling response to DSBs: To determine if exchange and DSB-repair mutations, which caused a delay in oocyte selection, delayed other aspects of meiotic progression, we examined the dynamics of DSB formation and repair by staining for  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV. In wild-type oocytes,  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci were most abundant at early pachytene (region 2a, cyst 3 in Figure 3) and absent by late pachytene (region 3, cyst 8 in Figure 3). Interestingly, in the exchange mutants, hdm (Figure 3B), mei-9 (see supplemental Figure S1), and mus312 (data not shown), y-HIS2AV foci did not reach maximum numbers until cyst 5 (approximately the last cyst in region 2a). These mutants did not affect the total number of y-HIS2AV foci (JOYCE et al. 2009, accompanying article in this issue), only the timing of their appearance. Thus, exchange mutant females exhibited a delay in the appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in region 2a.

MEHROTRA and MCKIM (2006) previously reported a delay in the appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining in DSB repair mutants. To examine this in more detail and compare to the exchange mutants, we examined the



FIGURE 4.—*hdm* delays the response to X-ray-induced DSBs. The average number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in *mei-W68<sup>4572</sup>* (solid) and *hdmg<sup>7</sup>; mei-W68<sup>4572</sup>* (shaded) females at 1, 5, and 24 hr after irradiation. The data at the 1- and 5-hr time points are from the first cyst with pro-oocytes in pachytene (the first pair of region 2a pro-oocytes with SC staining). At 5 hr after irradiation, *hdmg<sup>7</sup>; mei-W68<sup>4572</sup>* pro-oocytes had 18.9% of the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci found in *mei-W68<sup>4572</sup>*. The data at the 24-hr time point are from the third cyst with pro-oocytes in pachytene, which, due to movement down the germarium, was approximately the first cyst at the time of irradiation. Error bars denote the standard error of the mean.

effect on  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining of mutations in *spn-D* (Figure 3C) and *spn-B* (data not shown). These and other mutations in DSB repair genes, such as *spn-A* and *okr*, have two effects on  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci. First, they cause a delay in the appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci, much like that observed in exchange mutants. Second, they cause large numbers of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci to accumulate into late stages of pachytene because DSBs are not repaired.

Exchange mutants show only the first phenotype observed in DSB repair mutants: the delay in the appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci. In contrast to what we observed in DSB repair mutants, only a few  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci (1.4 foci/region 3 oocyte, n = 26) persisted into late pachytene (region 3) oocytes in hdm mutant cysts (Table 1). mei-9 mutants also showed the persistence of only a few  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci (1.3 foci/region 3 oocyte, n = 10). In wild type, the persistence of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in region 3 oocytes was extremely rare (0.04 foci/region 3 oocyte, n = 26). These observations are consistent with the conclusion that mutants like spn-A and spn-B have a block in DSB repair while exchange mutants like hdm and mei-9 have a delay only in DSB repair.

Unlike the DSB repair and the exchange mutants, precondition mutants *mei-218* and *rec* did not exhibit a delay in either the appearance or disappearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci staining, with the exception of a reproducibly tighter curve from cyst 2 through 4 (Figure 3 and data not shown).

The delayed appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in exchange and DSB repair mutants could represent either a delay in DSB formation or a delay in the response to DSBs. To test for a delayed response to DSB formation,

we compared  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining in *hdm; mei-W68* double mutants to mei-W68 single mutants following irradiation. Because mei-W68 mutants do not generate meiotic DSBs, the only DSBs in this experiment were induced by irradiation. Furthermore, the X-ray treatment would induce the same average number of DSBs in early pachytene (region 2a) cells as in late pachytene (region 3) cells of the germarium, allowing for a direct comparison of the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs at different stages of meiotic prophase. Specifically, we examined the effects of exchange mutations on X-ray-induced  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in the first pachytene pro-oocytes (same as cyst 1 in Figure 3). mei-W68 single-mutant pro-oocytes in early pachytene (region 2a) showed a low number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci at 1 hr after irradiation and did not reach maximum numbers until  $\sim 5$  hr after irradiation (Figure 4; see supplemental Figure S2). This is in agreement with MEHROTRA and MCKIM's observation (2006) that early pachytene oocytes respond to X-ray-induced DSBs more slowly than late pachytene oocytes or somatic cells (MADIGAN *et al.* 2002).

If hdm mutations delayed only the formation of DSBs, we would have expected the response time to X-rayinduced breaks to be the same in hdm; mei-W68 and mei-W68 single mutants at all time points. At 1 hr after irradiation, the hdm; mei-W68 mutant had the same low number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in early pachytene oocytes as the mei-W68 mutant (Figure 4). At 5 hr after irradiation, however, the number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in hdm; mei-W68 mutants was significantly reduced compared to mei-W68 mutants. At 24 hr after irradiation, the number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci in both *mei-W68* and *hdm; mei-W68* mutants was again similar throughout the germarium, indicating that the same number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci develop in hdm mutants as in wild type, but take longer to appear. A strikingly similar delayed response time to X-ray-induced DSBs was previously found in the DSB repair mutants spn-B and okr (MEHROTRA and MCKIM 2006). Thus, along with the delay in oocyte selection, mutations in exchange genes such as hdm and mei-9 and DSB repair genes such as spn-B and spn-D cause a delay in the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs. It remains possible that these mutations also cause delays in DSB formation, but this cannot currently be tested.

Delays in meiotic progression require the precondition class of crossover genes: Mutations in the precondition genes *mei-218* and *rec* did not lead to a significant increase in the frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype or delayed  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs. Therefore, we examined whether precondition mutations could suppress these delays in DSB repair and exchange mutants. In all cases, *mei-218* and *rec* mutations suppressed the delay phenotypes of DSB repair or exchange mutants. For example, a *mei-218* mutation reduced the frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype in *mei-9* and *hdm* mutants to 15.0% (P = 0.0006 compared to *mei-9*) and 14.3% (P = 0.002 compared to *hdm*), respectively, which is not significantly different from wild type (Figure 2). Similarly, we observed a reduced frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype in the hdm; rec mutant (18.8%, P = 0.0097 compared to hdm). Furthermore, while hdm; mei-W68 mutant females had a high frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype, hdm mei-218; *mei-W68* mutant females did not (Figure 2; 20.7%, P =0.033 compared to hdm; mei-W68), indicating that the suppression of the two-oocyte phenotype by a mei-218 mutation did not depend on DSBs. Precondition mutations also reduced the frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype in DSB repair mutants, such as in rec spn-A (16.0%, P=0.0003 compared to spn-A) and mei-218; spn-B double mutants (21.4%, P = 0.039 compared to *spn-B*) (Figure 2). Similar results were observed using  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining. A mei-218 mutation suppressed the delayed onset of y-HIS2AV foci in hdm and spn-D mutants (Figure 3, B and C). We have not determined why the high numbers of persistent foci typical of DSB repair mutants disappear in the *mei-218; spn-D* double mutant.

Precondition mutations could suppress the delay phenotypes indirectly by accelerating progression through pachytene. This hypothesis was tested by ascertaining the frequency of region 2b (mid-pachytene) cysts with two oocytes in mei-218 mutants and wild type. If progression through pachytene was accelerated in a *mei-218* mutant, we would expect a lower frequency of region 2b cysts with two oocytes compared to wild type. Instead, we found two oocytes in 75 and 87.5% of the wild-type and mei-218 mutant region 2b cysts, respectively, indicating no significant change in progression through pachytene in *mei-218* mutant females (P = 0.63). Furthermore, the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response in these mutants was not faster than wild type (Figure 3). Therefore, precondition genes are required for the mechanism, which delays pachytene progression in exchange and DSB repair mutants.

pch2 is required for the pachytene delay observed in DSB repair and exchange mutants: Mutations in DSB repair but not the exchange genes activate a DSB repair checkpoint pathway that requires the Drosophila ATR homolog mei-41 (GHABRIAL and SCHUPBACH 1999). However, the *mei-41*-dependent DSB repair checkpoint pathway is not responsible for the delays that we observed in pachytene progression because this phenotype occurs independently of DSBs. In support of this conclusion, mei-41 mutants showed a high frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype (73% region 3 cysts with two oocytes; Figure 2) and also showed a pattern of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining in oocytes similar to that seen in DSB repair mutants, including delayed onset and persistence of foci into late pachytene (see supplemental Figure S3). These results suggest that MEI-41 is required for the repair of DSBs during meiosis in addition to its role in the DSB repair checkpoint (see also LAROCQUE et al. 2007).

A DSB-independent surveillance mechanism has been proposed to monitor pachytene events in S.

#### TABLE 2

Crossing over in *hdm* and *pch2* mutants

	Nondisjunction and crossing over on the X chromosome									
Genotype	% X-chromosome ND <sup>a</sup>	pn-cv <sup>b</sup>	си-т	m-f	<i>f-y</i> +	Total pn-y+	Total ් progeny <sup>a</sup>			
Wild type	0.1 (2440)	15.3 (100)	21.6 (100)	19.1 (100)	6.9 (100)	62.9 (100)	1479			
hdm <sup>g7 c</sup>	7.2 (3524)	3.9 (25.5)	15.0 (69.2)	6.8 (35.3)	4.2 (60.1)	29.9 (47.5)	1656			
$pch2^{EY01788a}/Df$	0.3 (2098)	12.6 (82.4)	25.2 (116.7)	19.5 (102.1)	7.8 (113.0)	65.1 (103.5)	960			
$hdm^{g'}; pch2^{E101788a}/Df$	15.0 (2305)	0.7 (4.6)	9.6(44.4)	5.1 (26.7)	3.6(52.2)	19.0(30.2)	1107			

For wild-type or  $pch2^{EYO1788a}/Df$ ,  $y/y \ pn \ cv \ mf \cdot y^+$  females were crossed to C(1:Y)I, vf B; C(4)RM,  $ci \ ey$  males. For hdm and  $hdm^{g7}$ ;  $pch2^{EYO1788a}/Df$ ,  $y \ pn \ cv \ hdm^{g7}/y \ hdm^{g7} \ mf \ y^+$  females were crossed to C(1:Y)I, vf B; C(4)RM,  $ci \ ey$  males. Only the male progeny counts were used to calculate the crossover frequency.

<sup>*a*</sup> Nondisjunction and crossing over were measured in the same experiment. Total progeny for nondisjunction are shown in parentheses. Total progeny for crossing over are only the male progeny from this experiment (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

<sup>b</sup> Crossing over is expressed as map units across the intervals shown. Numbers in parentheses denote the percentage of wild-type crossover frequency.

<sup>e</sup> Data on hdm single mutant are from JOYCE et al. (2009, accompanying article in this issue).

*cerevisiae* and *C. elegans*. The conserved *pch2* gene, which encodes an AAA–adenosine triphosphatase, is essential for a pachytene arrest in response to mutants with synapsis defects in *S. cerevisiae* (Wu and BURGESS 2006). In *C. elegans, pch-2* is required for a DSB-independent checkpoint pathway that induces apoptosis in response to mutations that cause synapsis defects (BHALLA and DERNBURG 2005). The Drosophila ortholog of *pch2* is CG31453, which encodes a predicted protein that is 22.4% identical and 35.2% similar to *S. cerevisiae* Pch2, and 34.4% identical and 48.3% similar to *C. elegans* PCH-2 (see supplemental Figure S4).

We determined whether a mutation in *pch2* could suppress the delay in oocyte selection and the delayed  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs in DSB repair and exchange mutants. Females homozygous for a pch2 null allele (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) were fully viable and fertile with a normal distribution and frequency of X-chromosome crossing over (Table 2), showing that pch2 is not required for meiotic recombination. Furthermore, the pch2 mutant did not exhibit a delay in oocyte selection or the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs (Figure 5). However, the frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype in the hdm; *pch2* double mutant was reduced to 23.3% (P = 0.003) compared to *hdm* and P = 0.31 compared to wild type) (Figure 5A). Consistent with this result, hdm; pch2 mutants did not show the delayed onset of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining observed in hdm single mutants (Figure 5B). Similar results were found with *mei-9*; *pch2* and *okr*; *pch2* double mutants in which the pachytene delay phenotypes observed in the mei-9 and okr single mutants were suppressed (Figure 5A; see supplemental Figure S1). These results show that *pch2* is required for both of the pachytene delay phenotypes observed in hdm, mei-9, and okr mutants. In the course of these experiments, we also found that okr; pch2 mutants had the same number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci (23.3 ± 6.9) in region 3 oocytes as *okr*  mutants (22.0  $\pm$  2.2), suggesting that *pch2* mutations do not affect the number of DSBs.

The pch2 mutation exacerbates the crossover recombination defect in hdm mutants: Checkpoints often function to slow progression through the cell cycle so that a problem can be corrected. Thus, a defective checkpoint can increase the severity of a mutation that would normally activate the checkpoint. This situation was observed in the hdm; pch2 double mutant, in which X-chromosome nondisjunction was increased from 7.2 to 15.0% and crossing over was reduced a further 36% relative to the hdm single mutant (Table 2). Although pch2 is not required for crossing over in a wild-type background, this result demonstrates that it is required for some of the crossovers that occur in hdm mutants.

Asynapsis does not activate the pch2-dependent checkpoint: The *pch2*-dependent checkpoint in *C*. elegans and S. cerevisiae has been proposed to respond to defects in synapsis (BHALLA and DERNBURG 2005; WU and BURGESS 2006). We tested whether asynapsis could cause delays in Drosophila meiotic prophase by examining a c(3)G mutant. C(3)G encodes a transverse filament protein that may be the functional homolog of S. cerevisiae Zip1 (PAGE and HAWLEY 2004). Because synapsis is abolished in  $c(3)G^{68}$  mutant females, we used ORB staining to mark the oocytes (LANTZ et al. 1994). The oocyte is identified by a concentration of cytoplasmic ORB protein around 1 cell in the 16-cell cyst. To confirm the efficacy of ORB staining at identifying the two-oocyte phenotype, we assayed how often ORB protein concentrated in the cytoplasm of 2 cells rather than 1 cell in latepachytene hdm mutant cysts. We found a two-oocyte phenotype in 20% (n = 30) of region 3 cysts in hdm mutants, comparable to the frequencies previously reported in some DSB repair mutants (GONZALEZ-REYES et al. 1997; McCAFFREY et al. 2006). In contrast, we did not observe any region 3 cysts with two oocytes in  $c(3)G^{68}$ 



FIGURE 5.—A *pch2* mutation suppresses the pachytene delay phenotypes in hdm, mei-9, and okr mutants. (A) For each genotype, the percentage of region 3 cysts with two oocytes is given on the basis of C(3)G staining.  $pch2^{EY01788a}$  mutations have no effect on the frequency of the two-oocyte phenotype and suppress the high frequency of two oocytes observed in  $hdm^{g7}$ , mei-9<sup>42</sup>, and okr<sup>WS</sup> mutants. Asterisks above each bar correspond to a mutant giving a *P*-value < 0.05 compared to wild type and the number of cysts counted is at the bottom of each bar. (B) The average number of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci relative to oocyte age in  $hdm^{g7}$  and pch2EY01788a mutants (see Figure 3B for details). pch2EY01788a mutations do not alter the wild-type  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV staining pattern and suppress the delayed onset and persistence of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV in  $hdm^{g7}$  mutants.  $pch2^{EY01788a}$  also suppressed the delayed onset of γ-HIS2AV in *mei-9<sup>A2</sup>* mutants (supplemental Figure S4). Error bars denote the standard error of the mean.

mutant (0%, n = 71) or wild-type control females (0%, n = 43), indicating that asynapsis does not cause pachytene delay phenotypes in Drosophila.

#### DISCUSSION

Mutations in exchange class and DSB repair genes cause delays in pachytene progression: As in most other cell types, there is a checkpoint response to unrepaired DSBs in Drosophila female meiosis (GHABRIAL and SCHUPBACH 1999). Our results define a second and distinct DSB-independent checkpoint that operates during pachytene. Mutations in exchange class (*e.g.*, *hdm*, *mei-9*, and *mus312*) and DSB repair genes (*e.g.*, *okr*, *spn-A*, *spn-B*, *spn-D*, and *mei-41*) cause a delay in the timing of at least two events: the chromatin-remodeling response to DSBs (phosphorylation of HIS2AV) and, through a process that is DSB independent, the selection of a single oocyte. Both of the delay phenotypes that we have observed can be explained if their timing is linked to the progression through pachytene (Figure 6). A delay in pachytene has also been proposed to explain why Rad51 foci, DSB response markers, persist into late pachytene in synapsis-defective *C. elegans* mutants (CARLTON *et al.* 2006).

pch2 is required for a pachytene checkpoint that is independent of DSBs: Our results show that the proposed pachytene checkpoint depends on the Drosophila pch2 ortholog. In C. elegans and S. cerevisiae, pch2 is required for a DSB-independent checkpoint pathway that responds to synapsis defects (BHALLA and DERNBURG 2005; Wu and Burgess 2006). In Drosophila, however, two sets of observations suggest that synapsis defects may not be the trigger of the PCH2-dependent checkpoint. First, our immunofluorescent studies using the SC components C(2)M (data not shown) and C(3)G suggest that exchange mutants (e.g., hdm and mei-9) and DSB repair mutants (e.g., okr and spn-D) are able to form SC. Indeed, complete reconstructions from electron micrographs have shown that *mei-9* mutants synapse their chromosomes normally (CARPENTER 1979). Second, c(3)G mutations, which abolish synapsis in Drosophila, do not trigger pachytene delays.

Because the exchange mutants have reduced crossover formation but no detectable synapsis defects, our results point to a defect in the pathway that leads to crossovers as the mechanism that triggers pachytene delays. Interestingly, the synapsis mutants analyzed in *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* also have defects in crossover production, suggesting there may be a common mechanism to activate the PCH2-dependent checkpoint in all three species. In fact, a non-null crossover-defective *zip1* allele in budding yeast was reported to exhibit normal synapsis by immunofluorescence but still activated the PCH2dependent checkpoint (MITRA and ROEDER 2007). In *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae*, it could be a secondary consequence of the synapsis defects on the crossover pathway that triggers the *pch2*-dependent checkpoint pathway.

A model for the determination and monitoring of crossover formation: As with most checkpoints, there are two components to the PCH2-dependent checkpoint. First, activation of the checkpoint signal must depend on a specific substrate in the cell (such as a DSB in the canonical DNA repair checkpoint). Second, there must be a process that turns off the checkpoint signal. The first component of the PCH2-dependent checkpoint depends on the precondition genes, but not on DSB formation. This is based on the observation that mutations in the precondition genes *mei-218* and *rec* 



FIGURE 6.—Model for how precondition genes, the PCH2dependent checkpoint, and the exchange genes interact to affect pachytene progression. Crossover determination requires the precondition gene products MEI-218 and REC. Crossover determination generates a substrate, which activates the PCH2-dependent checkpoint and delays pachytene progression until the early functions of DSB repair and exchange proteins alleviate the activator of PCH2. This process-from crossover determination to the early function of the DSB repair and exchange genes-is DSB independent, but the timing relative to DSB formation is not known. Since the consequence of a DSB repair or exchange mutant is observed in early pachytene (the  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV response to DSBs), their activity may turn off the checkpoint close to this time. In DSB repair and exchange mutants, the PCH2-dependent checkpoint remains active, which has several effects on prophase events. Activation of the PCH2-dependent checkpoint early in pachytene leads to the delayed appearance of  $\gamma$ -HIS2AV foci observed in DSB repair and exchange mutants. In the case of the two-oocyte phenotype, the transition into late pachytene could be required for one of the two pro-oocytes to convert to a nurse cell fate. When PCH2 remains active, the transition into late pachytene is delayed. Finally, the active PCH2-dependent checkpoint may prolong the "crossover determination" phase, which in some cases can result in additional crossovers.

suppress the pachytene delay phenotypes while mutations in the DSB formation genes *mei-P22* and *mei-W68* do not (Table 1). Similar to the original proposal by CARPENTER and SANDLER (1974), precondition genes such as *mei-218* and *rec* may be required for establishing the pattern of crossovers, such as their distribution and frequency. Both MEI-218 and REC have homology to MCM proteins (BLANTON *et al.* 2005) and recently a hypomorphic allele of the Drosophila *mcm5* gene has been found to have a precondition mutant phenotype (LAKE *et al.* 2007). In addition to their role in DNA replication, MCM proteins affect chromosome structure in as yet poorly defined ways (BAILIS and FORSBURG 2004) and may interact with checkpoint and recombination proteins (BAILIS *et al.* 2008). Thus, the function of precondition gene products could include modifying the meiotic chromosome structure (see ZICKLER and KLECKNER 1999), which in turn interacts with and is required to activate the PCH2-dependent checkpoint signal.

These data are also consistent with previous models that place *mei-218* function upstream of exchange genes in the generation of crossovers (SEKELSKY et al. 1995; BHAGAT et al. 2004). However, mei-218 and rec also suppressed the pachytene delay phenotypes observed in the DSB repair mutants, spn-A and spn-D, which provides evidence for precondition gene products functioning early, during, or prior to the first steps of DSB repair. While it is possible that the effects of precondition mutations on pachytene progression and crossover formation are not related, the simplest model is that precondition genes function early in the repair process, close to the time of DSB formation, to commit a subset of DSBs to the crossover pathway (Figure 6). Such an early time for crossover decision has also been proposed in budding yeast (BISHOP and ZICKLER 2004; FUNG et al. 2004) and in C. elegans (COUTEAU and ZETKA 2005).

The second component, which turns off the checkpoint signal, depends on a previously undescribed DSBindependent function of the DSB repair and exchange genes. If the initial activation of the checkpoint involves precondition gene-dependent changes in chromosome structure, then the DSB repair and exchange genes may function to reverse these changes or block how they interact with the checkpoint. Importantly, a defect in any one of the DSB repair or exchange proteins can trigger the checkpoint. One possibility is that all the proteins required for meiotic recombination preassemble for a "dry run" prior to the actual repair of DSBs. The absence of a functional DSB repair or exchange protein would result in a reduction or impairment of DSB repair complexes capable of generating crossovers and modifying the activity of the PCH2 checkpoint. In support of this hypothesis, exchange gene products are known to form a complex (SEKELSKY et al. 1995; YILDIZ et al. 2002; JOYCE et al. 2009, accompanying article in this issue). Whether the exchange and DSB repair proteins form one or multiple complexes has yet to be determined. Preassembling repair complexes before programmed DSB formation occurs could suppress alternative repair pathways as well as provide a mechanism to ensure the proper number of crossovers.

Another implication of the delay phenotypes is that the exchange and DSB repair genes are required in early pachytene before the phosphorylation of HIS2AV. A function at this time is not surprising for the DSB repair proteins, which are presumably recruited shortly after the break is formed. It is surprisingly early, however, for the exchange genes, considering they are thought to function in the resolution step, relatively late in the repair process (YILDIZ *et al.* 2004; JOYCE *et al.* 2009, accompanying article in this issue). However, if all the proteins required for crossover repair preassemble as proposed above, relatively early mutant phenotypes could be the result.

Although Drosophila *pch2* single mutants had no significant change in crossover distribution or frequency, the *hdm; pch2* double mutant had fewer crossovers than the *hdm* single mutant, establishing a functional link between the checkpoint and generating crossovers. The activated PCH2-dependent checkpoint may promote crossover formation in some situations, such as when crossover formation is compromised. Additional crossovers may form due to an extended "window of opportunity" to generate crossovers (LUCCHESI and SUZUKI 1968; CARLTON *et al.* 2006) (Figure 6) or the activation of additional crossover-promoting gene products.

Despite the sequence conservation of PCH2 in many organisms, a conservation of function is not clear. For example, the PCH2-dependent checkpoint causes a pachytene delay in flies and budding yeast (SAN-SEGUNDO and ROEDER 1999) but apoptosis in nematodes (BHALLA and DERNBURG 2005). Most surprising, the mouse PCH2 homolog is required to complete recombination events but may not have a checkpoint function (LI and SCHIMENTI 2007). One way to reconcile these differences may be that PCH2 has a role in regulating the timing of important transitions during pachytene. PCH2 may be constitutively active in early pachytene until turned off by activities of proteins involved in crossover formation. This is supported by the observation that mutations in budding yeast *pch2* cause delays in the progression of both the crossover and noncrossover pathways but do not affect the final frequency of these events (BORNER et al. 2008). In the future, it will be important to identify what the PCH2-dependent checkpoint responds to. In Drosophila, for example, it will be interesting to know if the proposed interaction of the precondition or repair gene products with the PCH2-dependent checkpoint is restricted to DSB sites or more generally dispersed along the chromosome.

We are grateful to Janet Jang and Li Nguyen for technical assistance and Scott Hawley, Sarah Radford, and Ruth Steward for comments on the manuscript. We also thank Jay Tischfield and members of his laboratory for use of the X-ray irradiator and R. Scott Hawley for antibodies. Some stocks used in this study were received from the Bloomington Stock Center and the ORB antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University of Iowa, developed under the auspices of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. A fellowship from the Busch Foundation to E.F.J. and a grant from the National Science Foundation to K.S.M. supported this work.

#### LITERATURE CITED

ABDU, U., A. GONZALEZ-REYES, A. GHABRIAL and T. SCHUPBACH, 2003 The Drosophila *spn-D* gene encodes a RAD51C-like protein that is required exclusively during meiosis. Genetics 165: 197–204.

- BAILIS, J. M., and S. L. FORSBURG, 2004 MCM proteins: DNA damage, mutagenesis and repair. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 14: 17–21.
- BAILIS, J. M., D. D. LUCHE, T. HUNTER and S. L. FORSBURG, 2008 Minichromosome maintenance proteins interact with checkpoint and recombination proteins to promote s-phase genome stability. Mol. Cell. Biol. 28: 1724–1738.
- BAKER, B. S., and A. T. C. CARPENTER, 1972 Genetic analysis of sex chromosomal meiotic mutants in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 71: 255–286.
- BELLEN, H. J., R. W. LEVIS, G. LIAO, Y. HE, J. W. CARLSON *et al.*, 2004 The BDGP gene disruption project: single transposon insertions associated with 40% of Drosophila genes. Genetics 167: 761–781.
- BELMONT, A. S., M. B. BRAUNFELD, J. W. SEDAT and D. A. AGARD, 1989 Large-scale chromatin structural domains within mitotic and interphase chromosomes in vivo and in vitro. Chromosoma 98: 129–143.
- BHAGAT, R., E. A. MANHEIM, D. E. SHERIZEN and K. S. MCKIM, 2004 Studies on crossover specific mutants and the distribution of crossing over in Drosophila females. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 107: 160–171.
- BHALLA, N., and A. F. DERNBURG, 2005 A conserved checkpoint monitors meiotic chromosome synapsis in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science 310: 1683–1686.
- BISHOP, D. K., and D. ZICKLER, 2004 Early decision: meiotic crossover interference prior to stable strand exchange and synapsis. Cell 117: 9–15.
- BLANTON, H. L., S. J. RADFORD, S. MCMAHAN, H. M. KEARNEY, J. G. IBRAHIM *et al.*, 2005 REC, Drosophila MCM8, drives formation of meiotic crossovers. PLoS Genet. 1: e40.
- BORNER, G. V., A. BAROT and N. KLECKNER, 2008 Yeast Pch2 promotes domainal axis organization, timely recombination progression, and arrest of defective recombinosomes during meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 3327–3332.
- CARLTON, P. M., A. P. FARRUGGIO and A. F. DERNBURG, 2006 A link between meiotic prophase progression and crossover control. PLoS Genet. 2: e12.
- CARPENTER, A. T. C., 1979 Recombination nodules and synaptonemal complex in recombination-defective females of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Chromosoma **75**: 259–292.
- CARPENTER, A. T. C., and L. SANDLER, 1974 On recombination-defective meiotic mutants in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 76: 453–475.
- COUTEAU, F., and M. ZETKA, 2005 HTP-1 coordinates synaptonemal complex assembly with homolog alignment during meiosis in C. elegans. Genes Dev. **19:** 2744–2756.
- FUNG, J. C., B. ROCKMILL, M. ODELL and G. S. ROEDER, 2004 Imposition of crossover interference through the nonrandom distribution of synapsis initiation complexes. Cell 116: 795–802.
- GHABRIAL, A., and T. SCHUPBACH, 1999 Activation of a meiotic checkpoint regulates translation of Gurken during Drosophila oogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 1: 354–357.
- GHABRIAL, A., R. P. RAY and T. SCHUPBACH, 1998 okra and spindle-B encode components of the RAD52 DNA repair pathway and affect meiosis and patterning in Drosophila oogenesis. Genes Dev. 12: 2711–2723.
- GONZALEZ-REYES, A., H. ELLIOT and D. ST. JOHNSTON, 1997 Oocyte determination and the origin of polarity in *Drosophila*: the role of the *spindle* genes. Development **124**: 4927–4937.
- GRUSHCOW, J. M., T. M. HOLZEN, K. J. PARK, T. WEINERT, M. LICHTEN et al., 1999 Saccharomyces cerevisiae checkpoint genes MEC1, RAD17 and RAD24 are required for normal meiotic recombination partner choice. Genetics 153: 607–620.
- HEYER, W. D., K. T. EHMSEN and J. A. SOLINGER, 2003 Holliday junctions in the eukaryotic nucleus: Resolution in sight? Trends Biochem. Sci. 28: 548–557.
- HOFFMANN, E. R., and R. H. BORTS, 2004 Meiotic recombination intermediates and mismatch repair proteins. Cytogenet. Genome Res. **107**: 232–248.
- HOLLINGSWORTH, N. M., and S. J. BRILL, 2004 The Mus81 solution to resolution: generating meiotic crossovers without Holliday junctions. Genes Dev. 18: 117–125.
- HUYNH, J., and D. ST. JOHNSTON, 2000 The role of BicD, Egl, Orb and the microtubules in the restriction of meiosis to the Drosophila oocyte. Development **127**: 2785–2794.

- JANG, J. K., D. E. SHERIZEN, R. BHAGAT, E. A. MANHEIM and K. S. MCKIM, 2003 Relationship of DNA double-strand breaks to synapsis in Drosophila. J. Cell Sci. 116: 3069–3077.
- JOYCE, E. F., S. NIKHILA TANNETI and K. S. MCKIM, 2009 Drosophila Hold'em is required for a subset of meiotic crossovers and interacts with the DNA repair endonuclease complex subunits MEI-9 and ERCC1. Genetics **181**: 335–340.
- KEENEY, S., 2001 Mechanism and control of meiotic recombination initiation. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 52: 1–53.
- KING, R. C., 1970 Ovarian Development in Drosophila melanogaster. Academic Press, New York.
- KUNZ, C., and P. SCHAR, 2004 Meiotic recombination: sealing the partnership at the junction. Curr. Biol. 14: R962–R964.
- LAKE, C. M., K. TEETER, S. L. PAGE, R. NIELSEN and R. S. HAWLEY, 2007 A genetic analysis of the Drosophila *mcm5* gene defines a domain specifically required for meiotic recombination. Genetics 176: 2151–2163.
- LANTZ, V., J. S. CHANG, J. I. HORABIN, D. BOPP and P. SCHEDL, 1994 The Drosophila ORB RNA-binding protein is required for the formation of the egg chamber and establishment of polarity. Genes Dev. 8: 598–613.
- LAROCQUE, J. R., B. JAKLEVIC, T. T. SU and J. SEKELSKY, 2007 Drosophila ATR in double-strand break repair. Genetics 175: 1023– 1033.
- LI, X., and J. C. SCHIMENTI, 2007 Mouse pachytene checkpoint 2 (trip13) is required for completing meiotic recombination but not synapsis. PLoS Genet. **3:** e130.
- LIU, H., J. K. JANG, J. GRAHAM, K. NYCZ and K. S. MCKIM, 2000 Two genes required for meiotic recombination in Drosophila are expressed from a dicistronic message. Genetics 154: 1735–1746.
- LIU, H., J. K. JANG, N. KATO and K. S. MCKIM, 2002 mei-P22 encodes a chromosome-associated protein required for the initiation of meiotic recombination in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 162: 245–258.
- LUCCHESI, J. C., and D. T. SUZUKI, 1968 The interchromosomal control of recombination. Annu. Rev. Genet. **2:** 53–86.
- MADIGAN, J. P., H. L. CHOTKOWSKI and R. L. GLASER, 2002 DNA double-strand break-induced phosphorylation of Drosophila histone variant H2Av helps prevent radiation-induced apoptosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 30: 3698–3705.
- MANHEIM, E. A., and K. S. MCKIM, 2003 The synaptonemal complex component C(2)M regulates meiotic crossing over in Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 13: 276–285.
- McCAFFREY, R., D. ST JOHNSTON and A. GONZALEZ-REYES, 2006 Drosophila mus301/spindle-C encodes a helicase with an essential role in double-strand DNA break repair and meiotic progression. Genetics **174**: 1273–1285.
- MCKIM, K. S., and A. HAYASHI-HAGIHARA, 1998 mei-W68 in Drosophila melanogaster encodes a Spol1 homolog: evidence that the mechanism for initiating meiotic recombination is conserved. Genes Dev. 12: 2932–2942.
- MCKIM, K. S., J. B. DAHMUS and R. S. HAWLEY, 1996 Cloning of the Drosophila melanogaster meiotic recombination gene mei-218: a genetic and molecular analysis of interval 15E. Genetics 144: 215– 228.
- MCKIM, K. S., B. L. GREEN-MARROQUIN, J. J. SEKELSKY, G. CHIN, C. STEINBERG *et al.*, 1998 Meiotic synapsis in the absence of recombination. Science **279**: 876–878.

- MCKIM, K., E. F. JOYCE and J. K. JANG (Editors), 2008 Cytological Analysis of Meiosis in Fixed Drosophila Ovaries. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- MEHROTRA, S., and K. S. MCKIM, 2006 Temporal analysis of meiotic DNA double-strand break formation and repair in Drosophila females. PLoS Genet. 2: e200.
- MEHROTRA, S., R. S. HAWLEY and K. S. MCKIM, 2007 Synapsis, double strand breaks and domains of crossover control in females, pp. 125–152 in *Recombination and Meiosis, Crossing-Over and Disjunction*, edited by R. EGEL and D. LANKENAU. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- MITRA, N., and G. S. ROEDER, 2007 A novel nonnull ZIP1 allele triggers meiotic arrest with synapsed chromosomes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 176: 773–787.
- PAGE, S. L., and R. S. HAWLEY, 2001 c(3)G encodes a Drosophila synaptonemal complex protein. Genes Dev. 15: 3130–3143.
- PAGE, S. L., and R. S. HAWLEY, 2004 The genetics and molecular biology of the synaptonemal complex. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20: 525–558.
- ROGAKOU, E. P., C. BOON, C. REDON and W. M. BONNER, 1999 Megabase chromatin domains involved in DNA double-strand breaks in vivo. J. Cell Biol. 146: 905–916.
- SANDLER, L., D. L. LINDSLEY, B. NICOLETTI and G. TRIPPA, 1968 Mutants affecting meiosis in natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 60: 525–558.
- SAN-SEGUNDO, P. A., and G. S. ROEDER, 1999 Pch2 links chromatin silencing to meiotic checkpoint control. Cell 97: 313–324.
- SEKELSKY, J. J., K. S. MCKIM, G. M. CHIN and R. S. HAWLEY, 1995 The Drosophila meiotic recombination gene *mei-9* encodes a homologue of the yeast excision repair protein Rad1. Genetics 141: 619–627.
- SIBON, O. C., A. LAURENCON, R. HAWLEY and W. E. THEURKAUF, 1999 The Drosophila ATM homologue Mei-41 has an essential checkpoint function at the midblastula transition. Curr. Biol. 9: 302–312.
- STAEVA-VIEIRA, E., S. YOO and R. LEHMANN, 2003 An essential role of DmRad51/SpnA in DNA repair and meiotic checkpoint control. EMBO J. 22: 5863–5874.
- STAHL, F., 1996 Meiotic recombination in yeast: coronation of the double-strand-break repair model. Cell 87: 965–968.
- WHITBY, M. C., 2005 Making crossovers during meiosis. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 33: 1451–1455.
- Wu, H. Y., and S. M. BURGESS, 2006 Two distinct surveillance mechanisms monitor meiotic chromosome metabolism in budding yeast. Curr. Biol. 16: 2473–2479.
- YILDIZ, O., S. MAJUMDER, B. KRAMER and J. J. SEKELSKY, 2002 Drosophila MUS312 interacts with the nucleotide excision repair endonuclease MEI-9 to generate meiotic crossovers. Mol. Cell 10: 1503–1509.
- YILDIZ, O., H. KEARNEY, B. C. KRAMER and J. J. SEKELSKY, 2004 Mutational analysis of the Drosophila DNA repair and recombination gene *mei-9*. Genetics **167**: 263–273.
- ZICKLER, D., and N. KLECKNER, 1999 Meiotic chromosomes: integrating structure and function. Annu. Rev. Genet. 33: 603–754.

Communicating editor: G. P. COPENHAVER