

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Diabetes. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 January 13

Published in final edited form as: *Diabetes*. 2005 November ; 54(11): 3103–3111.

Glycation and Carboxymethyllysine Levels in Skin Collagen Predict the Risk of Future 10-Year Progression of Diabetic Retinopathy and Nephropathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial and Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Participants With Type 1 Diabetes

Saul Genuth¹, Wanjie Sun², Patricia Cleary², David R. Sell³, William Dahms⁴, John Malone⁵, William Sivitz⁶, and Vincent M. Monnier^{3,7} for the DCCT Skin Collagen Ancillary Study Group

¹From the Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio ²the Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, Rockville, Maryland ³the Department of Pathology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio ⁴the Department of Pediatrics, Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital and University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland, Ohio ⁵the Department of Pediatrics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida ⁶the Department of Medicine, Veterans Administration Hospital, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa ⁷the Department of Biochemistry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio.

Abstract

Several mechanistic pathways linking hyperglycemia to diabetes complications, including glycation of proteins and formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs), have been proposed. We investigated the hypothesis that skin collagen glycation and AGEs predict the risk of progression of microvascular disease. We measured glycation products in the skin collagen of 211 Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) volunteers in 1992 who continued to be followed in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study for 10 years. We determined whether the earlier measurements of glycated collagen and AGE levels correlated with the risk of progression of retinopathy and nephropathy from the end of the DCCT to 10 years later. In multivariate analyses, the combination of furosine (glycated collagen) and carboxymethyllysine (CML) predicted the progression of retinopathy ($\chi^2 = 59.4$, P < 0.0001) and nephropathy ($\chi^2 = 18.2$, P = 0.0001), even after adjustment for mean HbA_{1c} (A1C) ($\chi^2 = 32.7$, P < 0.0001 for retinopathy) and ($\chi^2 = 12.8$, P = 0.0016 for nephropathy). The predictive effect of A1C vanished after adjustment for furosine and CML ($\chi^2 = 0.0002$, P = 0.987 for retinopathy and $\chi^2 = 0.0002$, P = 0.964 for nephropathy). Furosine explained more of the variation in the 10-year progression of retinopathy and nephropathy than did CML. These results strengthen the role of glycation of proteins and AGE formation in the pathogenesis of retinopathy and nephropathy. Glycation and subsequent AGE formation may explain the risk of these complications associated with prior A1C and provide a rational basis for the phenomenon of "metabolic memory" in the pathogenesis of these diabetes complications.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Saul Genuth, MD, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106. E-mail: smg15@cwru.edu..

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Nonenzymatic glycation of proteins and subsequent formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) is one of the pathogenetic mechanisms thought to link hyperglycemia to diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy (1,2). Inhibitors of AGE formation (3-8) and breakers of AGE-protein cross-links (9,10) reduce both microvascular complications in experimental diabetic models. The relationship of long-term intensive control of glycemia and its effect on these complications with indicators of skin collagen glycation (furosine), glycoxidation and AGE formation (pentosidine and carboxymethyllysine [CML]), and cross-linking (acid and pepsin solubility) were previously examined in 215 patients with type 1 diabetes from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) (11) who underwent a skin biopsy ~1 year before the close of the trial. Compared with conventional treatment, intensive treatment was associated with significantly lower levels of furosine, pentosidine, CML, and relative fluorescence and with higher levels of acid- and pepsin-soluble collagen (11). Age- and duration-adjusted collagen variables were significantly associated with the A1C value closest in time to the biopsy and with mean DCCT A1C. Retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy outcomes as dependent variables were significantly associated with the full set of collagen measurements as independent variables, even after adjustment for A1C levels (11). The early Amadori product of glycation, as well as AGEs, contributed to the cross-sectional associations.

To further test the glycation/AGE hypothesis, we have monitored these subjects for development and progression of complications in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study to determine whether skin glycation products could be better predictors of the future risk of complications than A1C. We now report the relationship of the incidence of retinopathy and nephropathy progression during 10 years of follow-up to the previous levels of skin collagen glycation and AGEs.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

A total of 216 subjects (53% of those available in eight DCCT clinics) with type 1 diabetes volunteered to undergo a skin biopsy in 1991–1992. Informed consent was obtained. One hundred twenty-two had originally been assigned to the intensive and 94 to the conventional treatment groups of the DCCT. Of the intensive group subjects, 65 (53%) were from the primary prevention cohort that had no retinopathy or microalbuminuria at the DCCT baseline and 57 (47%) were from the secondary intervention cohort of the DCCT with mild to moderate nonproliferative retinopathy and albumin excretion rates (AERs) <200 mg/24 h (12). Of those in the conventional group, 58 (62%) were from the primary prevention cohort and 36 (38%) were from the secondary prevention cohort. Forty nondiabetic subjects between 20 and 51 years of age served as age-matched control subjects.

During the EDIC study, fundus photographs were performed in the whole cohort at years 4 and 10 and in one-fourth of the cohort in rotation annually. Four-hour timed urine collections for AER were obtained biannually. Of the skin biopsy cohort, four participants died and one became inactive. Of those subjects who had not undergone scatter laser photocoagulation during the DCCT, 184 had fundus photographs at the EDIC study year 10, including 87% of those who had previously been on intensive and 85% of those on conventional therapy. Of 196 subjects who had no microalbuminuria at the DCCT close out, 185 had renal assessments at the EDIC study years 9–10, including 95% of those who had previously been on intensive and 94% of those on conventional therapy.

Assessment of complications

Retinopathy and nephropathy were assessed as during the DCCT (12), and EDIC study outcomes were predefined (13). Progression of retinopathy during the EDIC study was defined as a three-step or greater worsening at any time from the DCCT close out to the EDIC study

year 10 on the final Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Scale of retinopathy severity (12) or initial scatter laser therapy during this interval. Progression of nephropathy was defined as the initial development of microalbuminuria (AER \geq 40 mg/24 h), albuminuria (AER \geq 300 mg/24 h), or dialysis/transplant at any time between the DCCT close out and the EDIC study years 9 or 10. For both outcomes, the cumulative incidence of events from the DCCT close out was analyzed.

Skin biopsy procedure

A 4-mm skin punch biopsy was taken from the buttocks 10 mm below the iliac crest and immediately rinsed in saline and frozen. Skin collagen levels and methodology for furosine, CML, pentosidine, relative fluorescence at 370/440 nm exitation/emission wavelengths, percent pepsin solubility, and percent acid solubility were previously reported (11). A1C was measured as previously reported (14).

Statistical methods

Covariance adjustment of collagen variables for age and diabetes duration was performed by analysis of residuals from a simple linear model (1). These adjusted skin collagen variables were used in all analyses. Univariate differences between groups with respect to a quantitative variable were assessed using Wilcoxon's rank-sum test for continuous or ordinal variables and χ^2 /Fisher's exact test for categorical variables (15). The Mantel-Haenszel method was used to calculate stratified adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with test-based confidence limits (15). The Breslow Day test was used to test for homogeneity of ORs over strata (15). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to assess the effects of covariates on the odds of retinopathic and nephropathic outcomes, and the proportion of variation in risk was assessed using the entropy R^2 (15). Significance of effects of specific covariate blocks, unadjusted as well as adjusted for other covariates, were evaluated using likelihood ratio tests (15). The effects of A1C on outcomes were explored using the mean DCCT A1C, the mean EDIC study A1C, and the timeweighted mean DCCT-EDIC A1C. The DCCT mean A1C is the mean of all quarterly DCCT measurements. The EDIC study mean A1C is the mean of all EDIC study annual measurements. The combined mean A1C is computed as the average of the DCCT and current EDIC study mean values, weighted by the time in DCCT and that in EDIC study. All analyses were performed using SAS software.

RESULTS

Study subject characteristics

The characteristics of the skin biopsy participants with 10-year EDIC study follow-up at the DCCT baseline and the DCCT close-out examinations are shown in Table 1. By comparison, with the nonparticipating DCCT subjects active in the EDIC study at 10 years, the participants at baseline were slightly older, had slightly shorter duration of diabetes, had slightly higher systolic blood pressure, and had slightly lower doses of insulin. The mean A1C and plasma glucose levels were not significantly different. At the DCCT close out, the participants had slightly lower serum triglycerides, a lower percentage of severe nonproliferative retinopathy, and lower AER. Intensive versus conventional treatment group skin biopsy study participants had lower mean A1C levels (7.1 vs. 9.3%, P < 0.0001), lower mean plasma glucose levels (150 vs. 231 mg/dl, P < 0.0001), and were more obese (male 120 vs. 113% ideal body weight, P = 0.017; female 119 vs. 109% ideal body weight, P = 0.003) at the DCCT close out.

Progression of retinopathy

Sixty-seven skin biopsy participants had progression of retinopathy (28 [27%] from the former intensive treatment group and 39 [49%] from the former conventional treatment group, P =

0.0023). Figure 1 and Table 2 present comparisons of skin collagen parameters, adjusted for age and diabetes duration in those who did and did not show progression of retinopathy between the DCCT close out and EDIC study year 10. Mean levels of furosine, CML, pentosidine, and fluorescence in those whose retinopathy progressed were significantly higher than in those without retinopathy progression. The mean level of pepsin solubility was significantly lower in the group of progressors, indicating a worse physicochemical collagen abnormality, than in nonprogressors. The acid-soluble levels were not different.

Univariate risk factors for progression of retinopathy are shown in Table 2. Progressors were more likely to have been on the DCCT conventional treatment and to have had higher mean DCCT and EDIC study A1C levels. At the DCCT close out, retinopathy progressors were also more likely to have had microalbuminuria (17.9 vs. 4.3%, P = 0.0023) and neuropathy (16.4 vs. 4.3%, P = 0.0052). There were no significant differences in blood pressure, lipid levels, or prevalence of overweight.

Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine which skin collagen parameters measured before the close out of the DCCT were predictive of subsequent progression of retinopathy during the EDIC study (Table 3). The dependent retinopathy outcome was predicted by the mean DCCT A1C level ($R^2 = 0.14$, P < 0.0001). The entire panel of six collagen parameters was a highly significant and even stronger predictor of retinopathy progression ($R^2 = 0.26$, P < 0.0001).

When examined in subblocks, furosine plus CML ($R^2 = 0.25$, P < 0.0001) and fluorescence, pentosidine, acid, and pepsin solubility ($R^2 = 0.09$, P = 0.0002) were highly significant. However, the variation in risk ascribable to the whole collagen block was explained by furosine and CML alone, and the significance of the other four—collagen parameter subblock was lost when adjusted for furosine and CML ($R^2 = 0.02$, P = 0.3034). By contrast, furosine and CML remained significant when adjusted for the other four collagen parameters ($R^2 = 0.19$, P < 0.0001). Importantly, the predictive ability of the combination of furosine and CML was independent of the DCCT mean A1C ($R^2 = 0.14$, P < 0.0001); however, the predictive value of the DCCT mean A1C was lost after adjusting for furosine and CML ($R^2 = 0.0000$, P = 0.9874). Likewise, the predictive ability of furosine and CML was independent of the EDIC study mean A1C ($R^2 = 0.19$, P < 0.0001), but that of the EDIC study mean A1C remained significant after adjustment for furosine and CML ($R^2 = 0.11$, P < 0.0001) because its influence was closer in time to the outcome and followed that of the glycation products.

When originally measured, furosine and CML each individually correlated with the preceding mean DCCT A1C (11). Therefore, it is noteworthy that furosine alone predicted retinopathy worsening ($R^2 = 0.22$, P < 0.0001) and, after ($R^2 = 0.09$, P < 0.0001) adjustment, for the DCCT mean A1C. Similarly, the effect of CML alone was significant even with adjustment for the DCCT mean A1C, but the magnitude of the effect was less than that of furosine alone. Furosine and CML both predicted the risk of retinopathy progression over the 10 years of the EDIC study, independent of the mean A1C. Mean EDIC study A1C remained a significant predictor of retinopathy, adjusted for either furosine or CML, whereas the mean DCCT A1C effect vanished after adjustment for furosine but remained significant after adjustment for CML. For each 1-SD increase in furosine or CML, the ORs for progression of retinopathy were 3.52 (95% CI 2.32–5.34) (P < 0.0001) and 2.45 (1.68–3.57) (P < 0.0001), respectively.

Figure 2 further illustrates the predictive ability of furosine and the DCCT mean A1C on retinopathy progression. The risk of retinopathy progression in the upper quartile of furosine (>Q3) is compared with the progression in the lower three quartiles of furosine (<Q3). The comparison was first made within the upper quartile of A1C (OR 10.1 [95% CI 1.8–57.9], P = 0.0038) and then in the lower three quartiles of A1C (3.0 [0.8–10.9], P = 0.0890). Both

comparisons show greater risk in the upper quartile than the lower three quartiles of furosine, though the second comparison was not significant due to the smaller number of events in the lower three quartiles of A1C. When stratified by A1C, the overall OR of retinopathy progression for furosine >Q3 vs. <Q3 remains significant (4.8 [1.8–13.2], P = 0.0014, homogeneity of OR across strata tested by Breslow-Day test: P = 0.2636). By contrast, the overall OR for the DCCT mean A1C (>Q3 vs. <Q3) stratified by furosine is not significant anymore (1.6 [0.6–4.5], P = 0.3664, Breslow-Day P value = 0.2643), even though the DCCT mean A1C was a highly significant predictor of retinopathy progression by itself (4.8 [2.4–9.9], P < 0.0001). These results further demonstrated the dominance of furosine over A1C as a risk factor for retinopathy progression.

Progression of nephropathy

A total of 34 participants had progression of nephropathy (18 [17%] from the former intensive group and 16 [20%] from the former conventional group, P = 0.5698). Figure 3 and Table 2 present comparisons of the mean skin collagen parameters adjusted for age and diabetes duration in those subjects who did and did not develop nephropathy up to EDIC study years 9–10. The results were comparable with those for retinopathy. Mean values of furosine, CML, pentosidine, and fluorescence were significantly higher in the nephropathy progressors, and the mean value of pepsin solubility but not acid solubility was significantly lower in the progressors.

Univariate risk factors for progression of nephropathy in the skin biopsy cohort are shown in Table 2. The mean A1C level during the DCCT and during the EDIC study were both higher in progressors. The prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher (25.0 vs. 8.6%, P = 0.0281), but mean blood pressure was higher with only borderline statistical significance (92.7 vs. 88.2, P = 0.0555) at close out of the DCCT in the progressors. LDL cholesterol and AER were also significantly higher at that time.

In multivariate logistic regression analyses (Table 4), nephropathy progression was predicted by the DCCT mean A1C ($R^2 = 0.06$, P = 0.0012), more strongly by the EDIC study mean A1C ($R^2 = 0.19$, P < 0.0001), and by the log of the DCCT close-out AER ($R^2 = 0.08$, P = 0.0002). The pattern of prediction by collagen parameters was similar to that of the retinopathy outcomes. The furosine and CML combination was again a significant predictor of progression of nephropathy, explaining most of the variation in risk attributable to the whole panel of collagen parameters ($R^2 = 0.11$, P = 0.0001) and independent of the mean DCCT A1C and DCCT close-out AER ($R^2 = 0.08$, P = 0.0014). By contrast, DCCT A1C was no longer predictive of nephropathy progression when adjusted for the combination of furosine and CML ($R^2 = 0.0000$, P = 0.9643). The remaining four—collagen parameter subblock was not significantly predictive of nephropathy progression after adjustment for furosine and CML. The furosine and CML combination was a significant nephropathy predictor, independent of the EDIC study mean A1C, but the latter also remained significant after adjustment for the two collagen parameters.

Furosine alone ($R^2 = 0.08$, P = 0.0002) and CML alone ($R^2 = 0.06$, P = 0.0014) each predicted development of nephropathy, independently of the mean DCCT A1C (furosine $R^2 = 0.04$, P = 0.0079 and CML $R^2 = 0.04$, P = 0.0123). Furosine and CML each predicted nephropathy after adjustment for mean EDIC study A1C. However, whereas the mean DCCT A1C lost its significance when adjusted for furosine and CML, mean EDIC study A1C did not. For each 1-SD increase of furosine or CML, the ORs for progression of nephropathy were 2.03 (95% CI 1.38–2.98, P = 0.0003) and 1.81 (1.24–2.64, P = 0.002), respectively.

DISCUSSION

The present study provides the first demonstration, to our knowledge, that skin levels of glycated collagen (furosine), an Amadori product of glucose, and of a specific AGE, namely CML, can predict the subsequent progression of diabetic microvascular complications in people with type 1 diabetes, even for as long as the ensuing 10 years. The strength and consistency of the association in multivariate analyses and the biological gradient it exhibits support the inference that a causal relationship of glycation and AGE formation with the risks of retinopathy and nephropathy may exist. While levels of glycation products could not be measured in the retina or the kidney of the DCCT subjects, previous studies have revealed correlations among various adducts in diabetic human tissues (16).

The independence of the predictive association of skin collagen glycation and AGE formation with progression of complications from frequently measured A1C both before and after the skin biopsy suggests that this relationship is not just a reflection of chronically elevated plasma glucose levels. This is an important distinction because hyperglycemia clearly drives glycation of hemoglobin as well as glycation of collagen and AGE formation. Indeed, skin collagen furosine and AGEs correlate well with preceding A1C (11). However, when preceding chronic hyperglycemia (expressed as the mean DCCT A1C) is adjusted for the furosine and CML levels it led to, its own strong predictive power can be substituted for by the skin collagen glycation product and the AGE. By contrast, subsequent hyperglycemia (expressed as the mean EDIC study A1C) retained its predictive power after adjustment for the preceding skin collagen levels of furosine and CML. Most previous studies (17-19) have shown only cross-sectional associations between AGEs and complications in various cohorts of type 1 and type 2 diabetic individuals and have not taken into account the coexisting correlations between AGEs and A1C. In our own previous cross-sectional study, the associations between complications and AGEs were largely independent of A1C (11). Another study (20) reported an inverse association between CML concentrations in protein from memory T-cells and years of retinopathy-free diabetes that was also independent of A1C.

The possible pathogenetic significance of glucose bound to collagen (furosine) as a predictor of complications qualitatively parallels that of glucose bound to hemoglobin (A1C) as a predictor, since both are simply glycated molecules and not AGEs. However, as illustrated in Fig. 2, a high furosine level (>Q3) with a low level of A1C (<Q3) was associated with a higher prevalence of progression of retinopathy of 50% than was a high level of A1C (>Q3) with a low level of furosine (<Q3), where the prevalence was only 22%. The level of furosine in skin collagen correlated strongly with the A1C concentration measured for up to 1 year before the biopsy (11). Nonetheless, furosine was an independent predictor, even after adjustment for A1C (Table 3) and at both the highest and lowest levels of A1C (Fig. 2). Furosine also contributed more to the variation in retinopathy than did A1C and was a stronger predictor (Table 3). This at least suggests that the proclivity to be glycated of collagen, or other extracellular or intracellular proteins for which collagen might only be a marker, is a factor, in addition to and independent of the actual ambient glucose level, in the pathogenesis of microvascular complications. Glycation of mesangium, in fact, has been proposed as one mediator in the development of diabetic nephropathy (21). Furthermore, ACE inhibitors (22) and pyridoxamine (23,24) reduce the development of diabetic nephropathy, concurrent with a decreased accumulation of AGEs resulting from glycation.

Nonetheless, it must be noted that there are other reasons why A1C and furosine could have different relations to average glycemic levels and different predictive powers for complications. For example, interindividual differences in glycation of hemoglobin at similar blood glucose levels that are not explained by differences in erythrocyte survival have been reported (25). Moreover, A1C may be partially enzymatically deglycated (26–28), while no

(29).

such reaction has thus far been discovered for furosine incorporated within extracellular collagen. The role of glycation may also be questioned on the grounds that high-dose thiamine and benfotiamine can prevent diabetic nephropathy without decreasing A1C or furosine levels

Although CML is in part a downstream product of furosine, thus arising from hyperglycemia, CML is also a product of lipid peroxidation and glycoxidation (30) and of metal and peroxynitrite catalyzed oxidative stress (31); overproduction of reactive oxygen species in mitochondria exposed to excessive cellular flux of glucose contributes to CML formation (32,33). However, other products of oxidative stress such as ortho-tyrosine and methionine sulfoxide have not been elevated in skin collagen from diabetic humans, even though CML was present in excess (34). CML, whatever its origin, and Amadori products of glycation are found in increased amounts in the retina and kidneys of diabetic animals (35-37), along with other damaging AGEs, and in human diabetic retinopathy (35) and nephropathy (38). CML has been found to have detrimental biological effects by activating the RAGE receptor in one study (39) but not another (40), where endotoxin-free ribose-BSA was the ligand used. CML may perpetuate a vicious proinflammatory cycle and stimulate extracellular matrix production and thereby contribute to progression of nephropathy (40-42). Small increases in glomerular protein CML were partially reversed in the course of benfotiamine therapy that prevented diabetic nephropathy in rats (29). The present findings support the relevance of such CML observations in animals to human type 1 diabetes.

The multivariate modeling results consistently suggest that variation in the risks of progression of both retinopathy and nephropathy attributable to preceding glycemia can be partly explained by the skin collagen levels of furosine and CML. A single pathway or similar pathways could lead to both complications. However, furosine and CML account for only a portion of the variance in retinopathy and nephropathy. Alternatively, it is possible that CML and other AGEs in collagen may be long-lived biomarkers of damage produced by other molecular mechanisms in the patients' past, for example stemming from methylglyoxal, which is also present in elevated levels in diabetic tissues (43). Glycation of extracellular collagen may also only be a marker for glycation of intracellular proteins and other macromolecules, with harmful cellular consequences. Moreover, glycation and AGE formation (1,2) are not the sole mechanisms of hyperglycemic damage that have been uncovered (44). Other pathways demonstrated in diabetic animals (1,2,32) need to be further studied in humans. The roles of protein kinase C and aldose reductase activation, hexosamine production, insufficient activity of superoxide dismutase, and increased oxidative stress should be explored with emphasis on the abilities of unique biomarkers of each pathway to predict the development and progression of complications over time. Nonetheless, the present results reaffirm the possibility that developing safe drugs that block glycation (5) or break AGE cross-links (45) or that interfere with a damaging pathway that includes CML as a component may have the potential to reduce the development or progression of both retinopathy and nephropathy. In a randomized clinical trial (46), aminoguanidine, an inhibitor of AGE formation, reduced urinary protein excretion and progression of retinopathy but failed to benefit significantly the primary outcome measure of a doubling of serum creatinine.

The DCCT/EDIC study has clearly shown that prior intensive treatment and lower mean A1C during the DCCT period continue to exert a beneficial effect during the EDIC study on retinopathy for at least 7 years (47) and on nephropathy for at least 7–8 years (48). This beneficial effect persists even though the large differences in A1C between the two formerly randomized treatment groups dissipated during the EDIC study (48). Our findings suggest a plausible mechanism for this phenomenon that we call "metabolic memory." A damaging effect of AGE (e.g., CML) formation in long-lived protein molecules such as collagen, which has a half-life of 15 years in normal human skin (49), could explain why the beneficial effects

of intensive therapy and the deleterious effects of conventional therapy persist. On the other hand, this would not apply to simple glycated collagen (furosine), which has a much shorter half-life. Thus, furosine in collagen may be reporting preceding periods of hyperglycemic damage without necessarily mediating that damage itself.

Certain limitations of these results should be noted. Skin collagen parameter measurements were available from only a single biopsy at a single point in time. We have thus far not been able to determine the variability in these levels. We do not know whether skin collagen changes in humans quantitatively represent similar changes in their retina and kidneys, although diabetic animal studies (35,36) demonstrate a wide tissue distribution of AGEs. Finally, whether the observations in this research cohort are generalizable to the whole population with type 1 diabetes is unknown.

In summary, measurements of skin collagen furosine (glycated collagen) and AGEs, especially CML, predict the risk of future progression of retinopathy over 10 years and nephropathy over 9–10 years in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Their effects are independent of the preceding A1C levels or those later present during progression of these complications. Thus, they may have effects downstream from hyperglycemia. These human data strengthen the hypothesis that glycation and AGE formation may play pathogenetic roles in the development of these complications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the American Diabetes Association and grants and a contract from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK UO1 AM 30628), the National Institute of Aging (AG 05601 and AG 18629), National Institutes of Health (DK 57733), and the participating Clinical Research Centers at University Hospitals of Cleveland (M01 RR00080), MA General Hospital (M01 RR01066), Albert Einstein College of Medicine (RR12248), Mayo Clinic (RR01066), University of California San Diego (RR00827), University of Iowa (RR00059), and Washington University (RR00036).

We thank Dr. David Nathan and Dr. John Lachin for their critical review of the manuscript and Theresa Bongorno for its skillful preparation.

APPENDIX

The DCCT Skin Collagen Ancillary Study Group. Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY: H. Shamoon and H. Duffy; Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH: S.G., W.D., and E. Brown; Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA: D. Nathan and M. Larkin; Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN: F.J. Service and A.L. Schmidt; University of California, San Diego, CA: O. Kolterman and G. Lorenzi; University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA: W.S., R. Zeitler, and M. Bayless; University of South Florida, Tampa, FL: J.M. and N. Grove; Washington University, St. Louis, MO: J. Santiago,[†] J. McGill, and L. Levandoski.

Glossary

AER, albumin excretion rate; AGE, advanced glycation end product; CML, N^ε-(carboxymethyl)-lysine; DCCT, Diabetes Control and Complications Trial; EDIC, Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications.

REFERENCES

1. Brownlee M. Negative consequences of glycation. Metabolism 2000;49:9-13. [PubMed: 10693913]

Diabetes. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 January 13.

[†]Deceased.

- King GL, Brownlee M. The cellular and molecular mechanisms of diabetes complications. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 1996;25:255–270. [PubMed: 8799700]
- Wilkinson-Berka JL, Kelly DJ, Koerner SM, Jaworski K, Davis B, Thallas V, Cooper ME. ALT-946 and aminoguanidine, inhibitors of advanced glycation, improve severe nephropathy in the diabetic transgenic (mREN-2)27 rat. Diabetes 2002;51:3283–3289. [PubMed: 12401720]
- Twigg SM, Cao Z, MCLennan SV, Burns WC, Brammar G, Forbes JM, Cooper ME. Renal connective tissue growth factor induction in experimental diabetes is prevented by aminoguanidine. Endocrinology 2002;143:4907–4915. [PubMed: 12446618]
- 5. Thornalley PJ. Use of aminoguanidine (Pimagedine) to prevent the formation of advanced glycation endproducts. Arch Biochem Biophys 2003;419:31–40. [PubMed: 14568006]
- Gardiner TA, Anderson HR, Stitt AW. Inhibition of advanced glycation end-products protects against retinal capillary basement membrane expansion during long-term diabetes. J Pathol 2003;201:328– 333. [PubMed: 14517851]
- Hammes HP, Brownlee M, Edelstein D, Saleck M, Martin S, Federlin K. Aminoguanidine treatment inhibits the development of experimental diabetic retinopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1991;88:11555– 11558. [PubMed: 1763069]
- Kern TS, Engerman RL. Pharmacological inhibition of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes 2001;50:1636– 1642. [PubMed: 11423486]
- Forbes JM, Thallas V, Thomas MC, Founds HW, Burns WC, Jerums G, Cooper ME. The breakdown
 of preexisting advanced glycation end products is associated with reduced renal fibrosis in
 experimental diabetes. FASEB 2003;17:1762–1764.
- Thallas-Bonke V, Lindschau C, Rizkalla B, Bach LA, Boner G, Meier M, Haller H, Cooper ME, Forbes JM. Attenuation of extracellular matrix accumulation in diabetic nephropathy by the advanced glycation end product cross-link breaker ALT-711 via a protein kinase C-α–dependent pathway. Diabetes 2004;53:2921–2930. [PubMed: 15504973]
- 11. Monnier VM, Bautista O, Kenny D, Sell DR, Fogarty J, Dahms W, Cleary PA, Lachin J, Genuth S. Skin collagen glycation, glycoxidation, and cross-linking are lower in subjects with long-term intensive versus conventional therapy of type 1 diabetes: relevance of glycated collagen products versus HbA1c as markers of diabetic complications. DCCT Skin Collagen Ancillary Study Group. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Diabetes 1999;48:870–880. [PubMed: 10102706]
- The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329:977–986. [PubMed: 8366922]
- Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC): design, implementation, and preliminary results of a long-term follow-up of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial cohort. Diabetes Care 1999;22:99–111. [PubMed: 10333910]
- The DCCT Research Group. Feasibility of centralized measurements of glycated hemoglobin in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial: a multicenter study. Clin Chem 1987;33:2267–2271. [PubMed: 3319291]
- Lachin, JM. Biostatistical Methods: The Assessment of Relative Risks. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 2000.
- Sell DR, Biemel KM, Reihl O, Lederer MO, Strauch CM, Monnier VM. Glucosepane is a major protein cross-link of the senescent human extra-cellular matrix. J Biol Chem 2005;280:12310–12315. [PubMed: 15677467]
- Buckingham B, Reiser KM. Relationship between the content of lysyl oxidase-dependent cross-links in skin collagen, nonenzymatic glycosylation, and long-term complications in type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest 1990;86:1046–1054. [PubMed: 1976653]
- Beisswenger PJ, Moore LL, Brinkck-Johnsen T, Curphey TJ. Increased collagen-linked pentosidine levels and advanced glycosylation end products in early diabetic nephropathy. J Clin Invest 1993;92:212–217. [PubMed: 8325987]
- 19. Beisswenger PJ, Makita Z, Curphey TJ, Moore LL, Jean S, Brinck-Johnsen T, Bucala R, Vlassara H. Formation of immunochemical advanced glycosylation end products precedes and correlates with

early manifestations of renal and retinal disease in diabetes. Diabetes 1995;44:824–829. [PubMed: 7789650]

- Hammes HP, Brownlee M, Lin J, Schleicher E, Bretzel RG. Diabetic retinopathy risk correlates with intracellular concentrations of the glycoxidation product N^ε-(carboxymethyl) lysine independently of glycohaemoglobin concentrations. Diabetologia 1999;42:603–607. [PubMed: 10333054]
- McLennan SV, Martell SKY, Yue DK. Effects of mesangium glycation on matrix metalloproteinase activities: possible role in diabetic nephropathy. Diabetes 2002;51:2612–2618. [PubMed: 12145178]
- 22. Forbes JM, Cooper ME, Thallas V, Burns WC, Thomas MC, Brammar GC, Lee F, Grant SL, Burrell LA, Jerums G, Osicka TM. Reduction of the accumulation of advanced glycation end products by ACE inhibition in experimental diabetic nephropathy. Diabetes 2002;51:3274–3282. [PubMed: 12401719]
- 23. Stitt A, Gardiner TA, Alderson NL, Canning P, Frizzell N, Duffy N, Boyle C, Januszewski AS, Chachich M, Baynes JW, Thorpe SR. The AGE inhibitor pyridoxamine inhibits development of retinopathy in experimental diabetes. Diabetes 2002;51:2826–2832. [PubMed: 12196477]
- Degenhardt TP, Alderson NL, Arrington DD, Beattie RJ, Basgen JM, Steffes MW, Thorpe SR, Baynes JW. Pyridoxamine inhibits early renal disease and dyslipidemia in the streptozotocin-diabetic rat. Kidney Int 2002;61:939–950. [PubMed: 11849448]
- Hempe JM, Gomez R, McCarter RJ Jr, Chalew SA. High and low hemoglobin glycation phenotypes in type 1 diabetes: a challenge for interpretation of glycemic control. J Diabetes Complications 2002;16:313–320. [PubMed: 12200073]
- Delpierre G, Collard F, Fortpied J, Van Schaftingen E. Fructosamine 3-kinase is involved in an intracellular deglycation pathway in human erythrocytes. Biochem J 2002;365:801–808. [PubMed: 11975663]
- Delpierrre G, Vertommen D, Communi D, Rider MH, Van Schaftingen E. Identification of fructosamine residues deglycated by fructosamine-3-kinase in human hemoglobin. J Biol Chem 2004;279:27613–27620. [PubMed: 15102834]
- 28. Wu X, Monnier VM. Enzymatic deglycation of proteins. Arch Biochem Biophys 2003;419:16–24. [PubMed: 14568004]
- Babaei-Jadidi R, Karachalias N, Ahmed N, Battah S, Thornalley PJ. Prevention of incipient diabetic nephropathy by high-dose thiamine and benfotiamine. Diabetes 2003;52:2110–2120. [PubMed: 12882930]
- Fu MX, Requena JR, Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Baynes JW, Thorpe SR. The advanced glycation end product, N^ε-(carboxymethyl)lysine, is a product of both lipid peroxidation and glycoxidation reactions. J Biol Chem 1996;271:9982–9986. [PubMed: 8626637]
- 31. Nagai R, Unno Y, Hayashi MC, Masuda S, Hayase F, Kinae N, Horiuchi S. Peroxynitrite induces formation of N^ε-(carboxymethyl)lysine by the cleavage of Amadori product and generation of glucosone and glyoxal from glucose: novel pathways for protein modification by peroxynitrite. Diabetes 2002;51:2833–2839. [PubMed: 12196478]
- Brownlee M. Biochemistry and molecular cell biology of diabetes complications. Nature 2001;414:813–820. [PubMed: 11742414]
- 33. Nagai R, Ikeda K, Higashi T, Sano H, Jinnouchi Y, Araki T, Horiuchi S. Hydroxyl radical mediates N^c-(carboxymethyl)lysine formation from Amadori product. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 1997;234:167–172. [PubMed: 9168983]
- Wells-Knecht MC, Lyons TJ, McCance DR, Thorpe SR, Baynes JW. Age-dependent increase in Ortho-tyrosine and Methionine sulfoxide in human skin collagen is not accelerated in diabetes: evidence against a generalized increase in oxidative stress in diabetes. J Clin Invest 1997;100:839– 846. [PubMed: 9259583]
- Hammes HP, Alt A, Niwa T, Clausen JT, Bretzel RG, Brownlee M, Schleicher ED. Differential accumulation of advanced glycation end products in the course of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetologia 1999;42:728–736. [PubMed: 10382593]
- Karachalias N, Babaei-Jadidi R, Ahmed N, Thornalley PJ. Accumulation of frucotsyl-lysine and advanced glycation end products in the kidney, retina and peripheral nerve of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Biochem Soc Trans 2003;31:1423–1425. [PubMed: 14641079]

- 37. Thornalley PJ, Battah S, Ahmed N, Karachalias N, Agalou S, Babaei-Jadidi R, Dawnay A. Quantitative screening of advanced glycation endproducts in cellular and extracellular proteins by tandem mass spectrometry. Biochem J 2003;375:581–592. [PubMed: 12885296]
- Suzuki D, Miyata T, Saotome N, Horie K, Inagi R, Yasuda Y, Uchida K, Izuhara Y, Yagame M, Sakai H, Kurokawa K. Immunohistochemical evidence for an increased oxidative stress and carbonyl modification of proteins in diabetic glomerular lesions. J Am Soc Nephrol 1999;10:822–832. [PubMed: 10203367]
- 39. Kislinger T, Fu C, Huber B, Qu W, Taguchi A, Du Yan S, Hofmann M, Yan SF, Pischetsrieder M, Stern D, Schmidt AM. N^ε (carboxymethyl)lysine modifications of proteins are ligands for RAGE that activate cell signaling pathways and modulate gene expression. J Biol Chem 1999;274:31740– 31749. [PubMed: 10531386]
- 40. Valencia JV, Mone M, Zhang J, Weetall M, Buxton FP, Hughes TE. Divergent pathways of gene expression are activated by the RAGE ligands S100b and AGE-BSA. Diabetes 2004;53:743–751. [PubMed: 14988260]
- 41. Lassila M, Seah KK, Allen TJ, Thallas V, Thomas MC, Candido R, Burns WC, Forbes JM, Calkin AC, Cooper ME, Jandeleit-Dahm KA. Accelerated nephropathy in diabetic apolipoprotein E-knockout mouse: role of advanced glycation end products. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004;15:2125–2138. [PubMed: 15284298]
- 42. Wendt TM, Tanji N, Guo J, Kislinger TR, Qu W, Lu Y, Bucciarelli LG, Rong LL, Moser B, Markowitz GS, Stein G, Bierhaus A, Liliensiek B, Arnold B, Nawroth PP, Stern DM, D'Agati VD, Schmidt AM. RAGE drives the development of glomerulosclerosis and implicates podocyte activation in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. Am J Pathol 2003;162:1123–1137. [PubMed: 12651605]
- Shamsi FA, Partal A, Sady C, Glomb MA, Nagaraj RH. Immunological evidence for methylglyoxalderived modifications in vivo: determination of antigenic epitopes. J Biol Chem 1998;273:6928– 6936. [PubMed: 9506998]
- 44. Sheetz MJ, King GL. Molecular understanding of hyperglycemia's adverse effects for diabetes complications. JAMA 2002;288:2579–2588. [PubMed: 12444865]
- 45. Vasan S, Foiles P, Founds H. Therapeutic potential of breakers of advanced glycation end productprotein crosslinks. Arch Biochem Biophys 2003;419:89–96. [PubMed: 14568012]
- 46. Bolton WK, Cattran DC, Williams ME, Adler SG, Appel GB, Cartwright K, Foiles PG, Freedman BI, Raskin P, Ratner RE, Spinowitz BS, Whittier FC, Wuerth JP. the ACTION I Investigator Group: Randomized trial of an inhibitor of formation of advanced glycation end products in diabetic nephropathy. Am J Nephrol 2004;24:32–40. [PubMed: 14685005]
- Writing Team for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Effect of intensive therapy on the microvascular complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus. JAMA 2002;287:2563–2569. [PubMed: 12020338]
- 48. Writing Team for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Sustained effect of intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes mellitus on development and progression of diabetic nephropathy: the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study. JAMA 2003;290:2159–2167. [PubMed: 14570951]
- Verzijl N, DeGroot J, Thorpe SR, Bank RA, Shaw JN, Lyons TJ, Bijlsma JW, Lafeber FP, Baynes JW, TeKoppele JM. Effect of collagen turnover on the accumulation of advanced glycation end products. J Biol Chem 2000;275:39027–39031. [PubMed: 10976109]

Genuth et al.



FIG. 1.

Distribution of skin collagens by retinopathy progression status. The mean and SD of each skin collagen parameter are compared in those participants whose retinopathy progressed (red bars) three or more steps on the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Scale scale and/or required retinal photocoagulation between the end of the DCCT and year 10 of EDIC versus those whose retinopathy did not progress (a). All values are adjusted for age and diabetes duration at the time of the biopsy.

Genuth et al.



FIG. 2.

Risk of retinopathy progression by DCCT mean A1C and furosine. Shown is the risk of progression of retinopathy in the upper quartile of skin collagen furosine (>Q3) (blue bars) compared with the progression in the lower three quartiles of furosine (<Q3) (yellow bars). The comparison is made in the upper (>Q3) and lower three (<Q3) quartiles of A1C separately. **P* value is from a between-group furosine (>Q3 vs. <Q3) comparison within each A1C (HBA1c) strata using the χ^2 test. The greatest risk of progression occurs when both furosine and A1C are >Q3, but the dominance of furosine over A1C as a risk factor is evident.

Genuth et al.



FIG. 3.

Distribution of skin collagens by nephropathy progression status. The mean and SD of each skin collagen parameter are compared in those participants who developed microalbuminuria or worse (red bars) between the end of the DCCT and EDIC year 9–10 versus those who did not develop microalbuminuria (a). All values are adjusted for age and diabetes duration at the time of the biopsy.

TABLE 1

DCCT baseline and close-out characteristics of participants versus nonparticipants active as of year 10 of the EDIC study

	Skin biopsy study				
DCCT baseline	Participants	Nonparticipants	Р		
n	211	1,143	-		
DCCT baseline					
Age (years)	28±7	27±7	0.006		
Women	48	48	0.979		
Diabetes duration (years)	5.0±4.1	5.7±4.1	0.013		
xStimulated C-peptide (pmol/ml)	0.12±0.11	0.11±0.12	0.376		
Insulin dosage (units/kg)	0.63±0.23	0.67±0.25	0.008		
A1C	8.8±1.7	8.9±1.6	0.922		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	116±11	114±12	0.011		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	73±9	72±9	0.268		
Triglycerides (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	81±52	80±47	0.825		
HDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	51±12	50±12	0.634		
LDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	111±30	109±29	0.409		
No retinopathy (10/10)	57	49	-		
Microaneurisms only <(20/20)	25	32	-		
Mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy <(30/30)	10	10	-		
Moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy <(45/45)	7	8	0.145		
Albuminuria (mg/24 h)	15±14	16±20	0.225		
Creatinine clearance (ml/min)	131±32	128±28	0.248		
AER > 40 mg/day	4.3	5.1	0.619		
Presence of clinical neuropathy	8.1	5.8	0.201		
DCCT close out (EDIC baseline)					
DCCT mean A1C	8.0±1.6	8.2±1.4	0.400		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	117±11	116±12	0.670		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75±9	74±9	0.192		
Triglycerides (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	79±42	87±53	0.017		
HDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	51±13	51±13	0.944		
LDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	111±29	114±29	0.098		
Severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy or worse $(53/<53+)$	1.9	5.5	0.032		
Laser (focal or scatter)	2.8	5.5	0.105		
Albuminuria (mg/24 h)	25±102	49±329	0.047		
AER >40 mg/dl	9.5	9.8	0.877		

Data are means±SD or percent.

_
/
_
_
U
<u> </u>
~
-
-
D
<u> </u>
_
_
_
_
-
()
-
_
_
~
\sim
_
01
<u> </u>
_
_
_
10
0
0
\mathbf{O}
<u> </u>

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Genuth et al.

TABLE 2 Univariate analysis of risk factors versus retinopathy and nephropathy outcome

Characteristics Fourt Yauke Fourt Nuevent Yauke n n n 117 v 34 151 v n n n 117 v 34 153 44π 101 v 117 117 117 1117 1117 1117 1117 1117 1117 11117 11117 11117 111177 111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 1111177 11111777 11111777 11111777 11111777 111117777 $1111177777777777777777777777777777777$		Thre progres from	ee or more—step ETDR(sion or scatter laser then DCCT close out throug EDIC study year 10	s apy h	Develop worse fi]	ment of microalbuminu rom DCCT close out thr EDIC study year 9–10	ria or ough
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Characteristics	Event	Nonevent	P value	Event	Nonevent	P value
	и	67	117		34	151	
Age at DIC study baseline 342.63 340.265 340.265 340.266 0.3833 Woma (W) Woma (W) Woma (W) 343.266 343.666 0.3833 340.265 0.3833 Debress function at DIC study baseline (vens) 11.3 ± 44 11.0 ± 50 0.569 0.559 347.666 0.3833 Derress function at DIC study baseline (vens) 11.3 ± 44 11.0 ± 50 0.0023 52.9 88.3 0.726 0.3833 DCC study was AIC up to EDIC study year 90 ± 17 7.6 ± 1.2 0.0013 8.2 ± 1.6 7.8 ± 1.6 0.3833 P-10 (W) Wom mag(U) 88 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.0 0.0013 88 ± 1.6 0.005 P-10 (W) Wom mag(U) 11.2 ± 1.0 0.77 0.0113 0.2543 0.0163 P-10 (W) Statiat 0.77 0.357 0.3233 0.3573 0.3243 0.3924 P-10 (Editor intro	Demographics						
Woment (w) 55.2 4.73 0.1950 55.9 4.77 0.2875 Diversion (a) ECT remain group 1.3 ± 4.4 1.6 ± 3.5 0.3679 55.5 4.73 0.3875 Determine group 0.3679 55.5 0.3679 55.9 47.7 0.5688 Determine group 0.117 7.6 ± 1.2 0.0001 2.2 ± 1.3 0.5688 Dick study mean AIC up to EDIC study year 38 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.9 0.2213 2.9 ± 1.3 0.5688 Dick study mean AIC up to EDIC study year 88 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.9 0.0011 $-7 \pm -7 \pm 1.4$ 0.0001 Dick study mean AIC up to EDIC study year 38 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.9 0.2243 0.3670 Dick study mean AIC up to EDIC study year 5.8 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.9 0.0124 3.8 ± 1.4 7.7 ± 0.9 0.0001 Dick study mean AIC up to EDIC study year 5.8 ± 1.4 0.7246 0.0001 0.726 0.0001 Medical all EDC bashine 0.7247 0.22413 0.22413 <t< td=""><td>Age at EDIC study baseline</td><td>34.4 ± 6.8</td><td>34.0 ± 6.5</td><td>0.7777</td><td>34.1 ± 6.3</td><td>34.6 ± 6.6</td><td>0.5883</td></t<>	Age at EDIC study baseline	34.4 ± 6.8	34.0 ± 6.5	0.7777	34.1 ± 6.3	34.6 ± 6.6	0.5883
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Women (%)	55.2	45.3	0.1950	55.9	47.7	0.3875
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Diabetes duration at EDIC study baseline (years)	11.3 ± 4.4	11.0 ± 5.0	0.3679	10.5 ± 4.0	11.0 ± 4.8	0.7269
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} Control Con$	DCC1 treatment group Intensive (%)	41.8	65.0	0.0023	57 0	58.3	0 5698
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Diff} C (with mark 1C (w)) & 0 = 1/7 & 7.6 \pm 1.2 & 0.0001 & 8.8 \pm 1.6 & 7.8 \pm 1.4 & 0.006 \\ \mbox{Diff} C (with mean AIC up to EDIC study year AIC up to EDIC study with MID (now in mgd(1) 112 ± 29 0 0.1208 86.445.4 73.9 ± 0.1009 Migration ever (%) 0.1000 0.1208 86.445.4 73.9 ± 0.1000 0.1168 Migration to nov in mgd(1) 0.112 ± 29 0 0.055 0.0357 0.112 \pm 122 0.0457 0.116 \pm 122 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0556 0.0001 0.0002 0.0556 0.0001 0.0001 0.056 0.00001 0.056 0.00001 0.056 0.00001 0.0001 $	Glycemic control	0.14	0.00	C700.0	74.7	0.00	0/07:0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DCCT mean A1C (%)	9.0 ± 1.7	7.6 ± 1.2	<0.001	8.8 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 1.4	0.0005
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EDIC study mean A1C up to EDIC study year						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 (%)	8.8 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 0.9	<0.0001			·
	EDIC Study Incall AIC up to EDIC study year $9-10.(\%)$,			9.2 ± 1.3	7.8 + 1.1	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Medical at EDIC baseline						-
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} Hypertension (\operatorname{ever}(\mathfrak{h})) & 11, 49, 7, 7, 7, 10, 10, 208 & 25, 3, 7, 3, 0, 0045 \\ Hypertension (\operatorname{mov}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}(\operatorname{d})) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}(\operatorname{m})) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m}) (\operatorname{mov} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{m} m$	Mean blood pressure (mmHg)	90.7 ± 9.3	88.1 ± 8.0	0.1174	92.6 ± 8.4	88.0 ± 8.2	0.0089
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} Triglycerides (mmol) (now in mg(d)) \\ Triglycerides (mmol) (now in mg(d)) \\ UDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg(d)) \\ Si30 \pm 14.2 \\ Si30 \pm 12.2 \\ Si30 \pm 14.2 \\ Si30 \pm 12.2 \\ Si30 \pm 1$	Hypertension ever (%)	14.9	7.7	0.1208	25.3	7.3	0.0048
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Triglycerides (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	81.0 ± 44.0	76.6 ± 43.3	0.6882	86.6 ± 45.4	73.9 ± 39.1	0.1165
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} {\rm LDL cholesterol (moub) (now in mg(d)) & 112 \pm 29 & 108 \pm 29 & 0.3577 & 116 \pm 30 & 108 \pm 28 & 0.0055 \\ {\rm Smoker at DCT close out (%) & 26.9 & 15.4 & 0.0589 & 2.3.5 & 37.1 & 0.9004 \\ {\rm Reinopathy at EDIC sudy baseline & 25.4 & 30.8 & - & 17.7 & 33.1 & - & \\ {\rm No retinopathy (0/10) (\%) & 29.9 & 41.0 & - & 32.8 & 30.8 & - & 32.8 & 30.8 \\ {\rm No retinopathy (0/10) (\%) & 29.9 & 41.0 & - & 32.8 & 30.8 & - & 28.2 & 0.0711 & 44.1 & 27.8 & 0.1007 \\ {\rm Reinal at EDIC baseline & 0.0711 & 44.1 & 27.8 & 0.1007 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 16.4 & 4.3 & 0.0023 & 1.4.1 & 27.8 & 0.1007 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 16.4 & 4.3 & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ {\rm Abluminuria (m/24 h) & 17.9 & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.24.5 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.24.5 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 0.0002 & 13.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ {\rm Abluminuria (m/24 h) & 17.9 & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.0001 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 0.0002 & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC baseline (\%) & 0.0002 & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0003 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0003 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 0.3 & 0.0002 & 201 \pm 45 & 181 \pm 50 & 0.0003 \\ {\rm Read at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 0.3 & 0.0002 & 201 \pm 45 & 181 \pm 50 & 0.0003 \\ {\rm Read at exoluble collagen (\%) & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 3.3 & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.1554 & 0.01353 \\ {\rm Resoluble collagen (\%) & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.11 \pm 3.4 & 0.0135 \\ {\rm Resoluble collagen (\%) & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 3.3 & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.3 & 0.0103 \\ {\rm Resoluble collagen (\%) & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 3.3 & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.3 & 0.0103 \\ {\rm Resoluble collagen (\%) & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 3.3 & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.1354 & 0.1354 & 0.1354 & 0.1354 & 0.1354 & 0.1354 & 0.0103 \\ {\rm Resoluble collagen (\%) & 0$	HDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	53.0 ± 14.2	51.2 ± 12.2	0.4670	51.8 ± 12.4	51.6 ± 12.9	0.9218
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \text{Vervegut}(\%) & \text{UNIV}(\%) & \text$	LDL cholesterol (mmol) (now in mg/dl)	112 ± 29	108 ± 29	0.3577	116 ± 30	108 ± 28	0.0856
Reinford Constraint Constrai	Overweight (%)	35.8	35.0	0.9154	38.2	37.1	0.9004
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definition of EDIC chieft beceling	6.02	4.CI	68CU.U	C.C2	11.2	1066.0
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \text{Witroaneurisms only $(20)(96)} & 29.9 & 41.0 & 5 & 38.2 & 39.1 & 5 \\ \text{Witroaneurisms only $(20)(20)(86)} & 29.9 & 41.0 & 5 & 38.2 & 39.1 & 5 \\ \text{Witroaneurisms only $(20)(20)(86)} & 28.2 & 0.0711 & 44.1 & 27.8 & 0.1007 \\ \text{retinopathy or vorse } (35/s35+) (%6) & 44.7 \pm 166 & 11.7 \pm 21.1 & 0.0002 & 13.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \text{ABM minuita} (mg24 h) & 17.9 & 4.3 & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{AER $\geq 40 (\%) } & 17.9 & 4.3 & 0.0022 & 13.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \text{AER $\geq 40 (\%) } & 17.9 & 4.3 & 0.0022 & 13.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \text{AER $\geq 40 (\%) } & 17.9 & 0.0022 & 13.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \text{AER $\geq 40 (\%) } & 17.9 & 0.0022 & 14.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{Neuropathy at EDIC baseline (mol/mg & 0.0023 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{Neuropathy at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ \text{Fursine} & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ \text{Fursine} & 0.0001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ \text{Fursine} & 0.0001 & 866 & 24.7 \pm 6.8 & 0.0003 \\ \text{Pursine} & 0.0001 & 8.6 & 0.142 & 0.0023 & 24.3 \pm 5.9 & 0.0001 \\ \text{Person-soluble collagen (%) } & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 0.3 & 0.1599 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.1879 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen (\%) } & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm$	No retinonathy (10/10) (%)	75 1	30.8	I	L T 1	33.1	1
Mild to moderate nony force diabetic 0.0001 0.0711 44.1 27.8 0.1007 retinopathy or worse $(35/-35+)$ (%) 44.7 ± 166 11.7 ± 21.1 0.0002 13.6 ± 9.4 8.3 ± 5.1 0.0014 Renal at EDIC baseline 17.9 4.7 ± 166 11.7 ± 21.1 0.0002 13.6 ± 9.4 8.3 ± 5.1 0.0014 Renal at EDIC baseline (mg/24 h) 17.9 4.7 ± 166 11.7 ± 21.1 0.0002 13.6 ± 9.4 8.3 ± 5.1 0.0014 Renal at EDIC baseline (mg/24 h) 17.9 4.3 0.0002 13.6 ± 9.4 8.3 ± 5.1 0.0014 Renal at EDIC baseline (mg/24 h) 17.9 4.3 0.0002 13.6 ± 9.4 8.3 ± 5.1 0.0014 Renopathy at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg 669 ± 172 0.0002 14.7 8.7 0.2845 Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg 924 ± 253 669 ± 172 60.0001 878 ± 242 717 ± 205 0.0001 Furcisine 0.0021 24.3 ± 6.9 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0001 Collagen 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0003 Permosidine 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0033 0.0002 Permosidine 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0003 Permosidine 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0003 Permosidine 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0033 Permosidine 0.0001 5.9 ± 3.9 $7.3 \pm 3.$	Microanentieme only (20/20) (%)	t.07	41.0		38.7	30.1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mild to moderate nonproliferative diabetic						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	retinopathy or worse $(35/<35+)$ (%)	44.8	28.2	0.0711	44.1	27.8	0.1007
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mbox{Albumura} (mg/24 \ h) & 44.7 \pm 166 & 11.7 \pm 21.1 & 0.0002 & 15.6 \pm 9.4 & 8.3 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \mbox{AER} \geq 40 \ (\%) & 17.9 & 4.3 & 0.0023 & 1.47 & 8.7 \pm 5.1 & 0.0014 \\ \mbox{Neuropathy at EDIC baseline (\%)} & 16.4 & 4.3 & 0.0023 & 1.47 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \mbox{Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.0012 & 0.0023 & 1.47 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \mbox{collagen} & 0.0023 & 1.47 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \mbox{Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 0.0023 & 1.47 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \mbox{collagen} & 0.001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.001 \\ \mbox{Eurosine} & 0.001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.001 \\ \mbox{CML} & 0.001 & 8.6 & 24.7 \pm 6.8 & 0.0001 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 197 \pm 40 & 176 \pm 37 & 0.0001 & 8.6 & 24.7 \pm 6.8 & 0.0003 \\ \mbox{Fuorescence} & 197 \pm 40 & 176 \pm 37 & 0.0001 & 8.6 & 24.7 \pm 6.8 & 0.0003 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 0.3 & 0.1325 & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.6 \pm 0.3 & 0.1329 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.6 \pm 0.4 & 0.5 \pm 3.0 & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.018 \ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 0.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 0.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0001 & 0.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 \\ \mbox{Removine} & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.0187 & 0.018$	Renal at EDIC baseline						
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{NeuroParticle} (\%) & 11.7 & 4.3 & 0.0052 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{NeuroParticle} (\%) & 16.4 & 4.3 & 0.0052 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & collagen) & 0.0052 & 1.4.7 & 8.7 & 0.2845 \\ \text{Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg & 669 \pm 172 & <0.001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.001 \\ \text{Furosine} & 0.001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.001 \\ \text{Furosine} & 0.001 & 878 \pm 242 & 717 \pm 205 & 0.0001 \\ \text{Furosine} & 0.001 & 8.6 \pm 126 & 514 \pm 129 & 0.0003 \\ \text{Penosidine} & 0.002 & 201 \pm 45 & 181 \pm 50 & 0.0033 \\ \text{Rein soluble collagen } (\%) & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & 0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \text{Pepsin-soluble collagen } (\%) & 5.9 \pm 3.9 & 7.3 \pm 3.0 & <0.001 & 6.0 \pm 2.8 & 7.1 \pm 3.4 & 0.0187 \\ \end{array}$	Albuminuria (mg/24 h)	44.7 ± 166	11.7 ± 21.1	0.002	13.6 ± 9.4	8.3 ± 5.1	0.0014
Neuroplany at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg10.44.30.0021.4.10.10.10.2043Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mgcollagent) 924 ± 253 669 \pm 172 <0.0001 878 ± 242 717 ± 205 0.0001 Furosine 0.7 ± 134 501 ± 124 501 ± 124 0.0001 878 ± 242 717 ± 205 0.0001 CML 0.0001 596 ± 126 514 ± 129 0.0007 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0003 Pentosine 197 ± 40 176 ± 37 0.0001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.0033 Rutorscine 197 ± 40 176 ± 37 0.0001 2.01 ± 45 181 ± 50 0.0033 Acid-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 0.1325 0.6 ± 0.4 0.6 ± 0.3 0.1599 Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 $c.0.001$ 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 3.4 0.0187	$AEK \geq 40 (\%)$	11.9	4.5	6700.0		- 0	
	Neuropaury at EDIC baseline (%) Skin collagens at EDIC study baseline (pmol/mg	10.4	C.4	70000	14./	0.1	0.2040
Furosine 924 ± 253 669 ± 172 <0.001 878 ± 242 717 ± 205 0.001 CMLCML 607 ± 134 $501 (120)$ <0.001 878 ± 242 717 ± 205 0.001 Pentosidine 607 ± 134 $501 (120)$ <0.001 596 ± 126 514 ± 129 0.007 Pentosidine 29.0 ± 82 24.3 ± 6.9 <0.001 8.6 24.7 ± 6.8 0.003 Rhorescence 197 ± 40 176 ± 37 0.0002 201 ± 45 181 ± 50 0.003 Acid-soluble collagen (%) 0.6 ± 0.4 0.5 ± 0.3 0.1325 0.6 ± 0.4 0.6 ± 0.3 0.1639 Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 <0.0001 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 3.4 0.187	collagen)						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Furosine	924 ± 253	669 ± 172	< 0.001	878 ± 242	717 ± 205	0.0001
Pentosicine 29.0 ± 8.2 24.3 ± 6.9 20.0001 8.6 24.1 ± 6.8 0.0003 Fluorescence 197 ± 40 176 ± 37 0.0002 201 ± 45 181 ± 50 0.0033 Acid-soluble collagen (%) 0.6 ± 0.4 0.5 ± 0.3 0.1325 0.6 ± 0.4 0.6 ± 0.3 0.1329 Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 <0.0001 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 3.4 0.0187	CML	607 ± 134	501(120)	<0.001	596 ± 126	514 ± 129	0.0007
Fluorescence 191 ± 40 $1/6 \pm 5/$ 0.0002 201 ± 45 181 ± 50 0.035 Acid-soluble collagen (%) 0.6 ± 0.4 0.5 ± 0.3 0.1325 0.6 ± 0.4 0.6 ± 0.3 0.181 ± 50 Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 $c.0001$ 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 3.4 0.0187	Pentosidine	29.0 ± 8.2	24.3 ± 6.9	<0.001	8.6	24.7 ± 6.8	0.0003
Acid-soluble collagen (%) 0.6 ± 0.4 0.5 ± 0.3 0.1325 0.6 ± 0.4 0.6 ± 0.3 0.11879 Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 3.9 7.3 ± 3.0 $c.0.0001$ 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 3.4 0.0187	Fluorescence	197 ± 40	$1/6 \pm 37$	0.002	201 ± 45	181 ± 50	0.0033
Pepsin-soluble collagen (%) 5.9 ± 5.9 1.5 ± 5.0 6.0 ± 2.8 7.1 ± 5.4 0.018	Acid-soluble collagen (%)	0.6 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.3	0.1325	0.6 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.3	0.1599
	Pepsin-soluble collagen (%)	0.9 ± 3.9	1.3 ± 3.0	1000.0>	0.0 ± 2.8	1.1 ± 5.4	/810.0

Data are means \pm SD or percent, unless otherwise indicated. ETDRS, Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Scale. Values in bold indicate P < 0.05.

TABLE 3

Summary of multiple multivariate logistic regressions for retinopathy

Covariate effects from multiple models	df	χ ²	P value	R^2
Unadjusted effect				
DCCT mean A1C	1	34.3	< 0.0001	0.142
EDIC study mean A1C	1	40.5	< 0.0001	0.168
Furosine, CML, pentosidine,				
fluorescence, acid/pepsin				
soluble	6	62.2	< 0.0001	0.258
Pentosidine, fluorescence,				
acid/pepsin soluble	4	21.8	0.0002	0.090
Furosine, CML	2	59.4	< 0.0001	0.246
Furosine	1	52.2	< 0.0001	0.216
CML	1	27.7	< 0.0001	0.115
Adjusted effect				
DCCT mean A1C effect				
adjusted for				
Furosine, CML	1	0.0	0.9874	0.000
Furosine	1	0.4	0.5430	0.001
CML	1	17.4	< 0.0001	0.072
EDIC study mean A1C				
effect adjusted for		2.5.0	0.0001	0.100
Furosine, CML	l	26.0	<0.0001	0.108
Furosine	1	24.6	< 0.0001	0.102
CML	1	43.4	< 0.0001	0.180
Furosine, CML effect				
adjusted for				
Pentosidine, fluorescence,	2	16.2	0.0001	0.102
acid/pepsin soluble	2	46.3	<0.0001	0.192
DCCT mean ATC	2	32.7	< 0.0001	0.136
EDIC study mean ATC	2	45.9	<0.0001	0.190
Pentosidine, fluorescence,				
acid/pepsin soluble effect				
adjusted for	4	4.0	0 2024	0.020
Furosine, CML	4	4.8	0.3034	0.020
Furosine effect adjusted for	1	22.2	-0.0001	0.002
EDIC study mean A1C	1	22.2	<0.0001	0.092
CML affect adjusted for	1	55.5	<0.0001	0.139
CML effect adjusted for	1	14.4	-0.0001	0.061
EDIC atudu maan A1C	1	14.4	<0.0001	0.061
EDIC study mean AIC	1	27.0	<0.0001	0.114

Dependent variable: three or more-step progression of retinopathy on the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Scale or scatter laser therapy from

DCCT close out through EDIC study year 10. As defined in the statistical methods section, χ^2 and *P* values are from likelihood ratio test, and R^2 is entropy R^2 .

TABLE 4

Summary of multiple multivariate logistic regressions for nephropathy

Covariate effects from	J.C.	.2	D wolwo	\mathbf{p}^2
multiple models	di	χ	<i>P</i> value	ĸ
Unadjusted effect				
DCCT mean A1C	1	10.4	0.0012	0.062
EDIC study mean A1C	1	32.0	< 0.0001	0.189
Log DCCT close-out AER	1	13.6	0.0002	0.081
Furosine, CML, pentosidine,				
fluorescence, acid/pepsin				
soluble	6	19.3	0.0036	0.114
Pentosidine, fluorescence,				
acid/pepsin soluble	4	12.6	0.0135	0.075
Furosine, CML	2	18.2	0.0001	0.108
Furosine	1	13.4	0.0002	0.080
CML	1	10.2	0.0014	0.060
Adjusted effect				
DCCT mean A1C effect				
adjusted for				
Furosine, CML	1	0.0	0.9643	0.000
Furosine	1	0.2	0.6413	0.001
CML	1	4.8	0.0278	0.029
DCCT mean A1C, log AER				
effect adjusted for				
Furosine, CML	2	10.8	0.0046	0.064
EDIC study mean A1C				
effect adjusted for				
Furosine, CML	1	23.1	< 0.0001	0.137
Furosine	1	21.8	< 0.0001	0.129
CML	1	26.0	< 0.0001	0.154
Furosine, CML effect				
adjusted for				
Pentosidine, fluorescence,				
acid/pepsin soluble	2	11.0	0.0041	0.065
DCCT mean A1C, log				
AER	2	13.1	0.0014	0.078
DCCT mean A1C	2	12.8	0.0016	0.076
EDIC study mean A1C	2	14.4	0.0008	0.085
Pentosidine, fluorescence,				
acid/pepsin soluble effect				
adjusted for				
Furosine, CML	4	4.5	0.3394	0.027
Furosine effect adjusted for				
DCCT mean A1C	1	7.1	0.0079	0.042
EDIC study mean A1C	1	7.1	0.0076	0.042
CML effect adjusted for				
DCCT mean A1C	1	6.3	0.0123	0.037
EDIC study mean A1C	1	10.7	0.0011	0.064

Dependent variable: development of microalbuminuria or worse from DCCT close out through EDIC study year 10. As defined in the statistical methods section, χ^2 and *P* values are from likelihood ratio test, and R² is entropy R².