

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

*Cancer Causes Control*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 February 1

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Causes Control. 2009 February ; 20(1): 27-34. doi:10.1007/s10552-008-9213-y.

# Oral Contraceptives and the Risk of All Cancers Combined and Site-Specific Cancers in Shanghai

Karin A. Rosenblatt<sup>1</sup>, Dao L. Gao<sup>2</sup>, Roberta M. Ray<sup>3</sup>, Zakia C. Nelson<sup>3</sup>, Karen J. Wernli<sup>3,4</sup>, Wenjin Li<sup>3</sup>, and David B. Thomas<sup>3,4</sup>

Performed in the Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign and Program in Epidemiology, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

1 Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, Champaign IL, 61820

2 Department of Epidemiology, Zhong Shan Hospital Cancer Center, People's Republic of China

3 Program in Epidemiology, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research, Seattle WA, 98109

4 Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle WA, 98195

#### Abstract

From 1998 to 1991, an in-person baseline interview was administered to approximately 267,400 female textile workers in Shanghai, China.. The cohort was followed until July, 2000 for incident cancer cases. Incidence rate ratios (RR) for 12 types of cancer in users of oral contraceptives (OCs) were calculated using Cox Proportional Hazards analysis. There was a reduced risk of uterine corpus cancer for women who had ever used OCs (RR=0.68, 95% CI=0.45–1.04) and a trend of decreasing risk with increasing duration of use (p=0.015). There was an increased risk of colon cancer in women who had used OCs for 10 years or more (RR=1.56, 95% CI=1.01–2.40) and an increased risk of rectal cancer in women who had ever used OCs (RR=1.31, 95% CI=0.98–1.75), with a trend of increasing risk with increasing duration of use (p=0.017), but these associations may have been due to uncontrolled confounding by physical activity or other non-causal factors. No associations were observed between OCs and the risk of all cancers combined or for any of the 9 other cancers. It is unlikely that the use of OCs has contributed to the temporal trends in cancer incidence in China in recent decades.

#### Keywords

neoplasms; oral contraceptives; cohort studies; China

#### Introduction

Combined oral contraceptives (OCs) have been associated with a reduced risk of ovarian and endometrial cancers, an increased risk of cervical cancer and liver cancers, and an increased risk of breast cancer among recent users [1].

Pill No. 1, the main OC used in China, consisted of 0.625 mg. of norethisterone and 0.035 mg. ethinyl estradiol until 1974 and 0.3 mg. norethisterone and 0.03 mg. ethinyl estradiol after 1974 [2]. Few women used other formulations, providing the opportunity to investigate the possible influence of a single product (albeit in two doses) on the risk of cancer. Virtually all prior studies have been of multiple formulations, and their possible associations with various neoplasms were only assessed in the aggregate.

Most prior studies of OCs and cancer have been conducted in developed countries with relatively high rates of many of the cancers of interest, including those of the breast, ovary, and endometrium. The relatively few studies in developing countries, with different patterns of cancer occurrence than in western countries, have been case-control studies [3]. The cohort design, which we used in our study and which is less subject to potential reporting biases than case-control studies, has rarely been used in developing countries, and to our knowledge has not previously been used to investigate the relationship between oral contraceptives and cancer in China.

The purpose of this study was to assess the possible relationship between use of OCs and the risk of all cancers combined, and the risk of twelve site-specific cancers, in a cohort of approximately 267,400 Chinese women.

#### Methods

#### **Study Design**

The methods used in this study have been described previously [4]. Between October 1989 and October 1991, approximately 267,400 current and retired employees of the Shanghai Textile Industry Bureau (STIB), who were born between 1925 and 1958, and who worked in one of 519 factories of the STIB, were enrolled in a randomized trial of breast self-examination (BSE) [5]. After receiving training from study workers, approximately 500 factory clinic medical workers orally administered a four-page optically-scanned questionnaire to all eligible women to ascertain information on menstrual and reproductive history, use of alcohol and tobacco, and contraceptive use. The questions on oral contraceptives ascertained whether they were used (current and previous use) and the duration of use (less than 1 year, at least 1 year but less than 2 years, at least 2 years but less than 5 years, at least 5 years but less than 10 years, at least 10 years but less than 15 years, or 15 years or more), and type of oral contraceptive used (Pill No. 1, other, or both).

Comparisons between the baseline questionnaire (administered between 1989 and 1991) and a more detailed questionnaire subsequently administered to women enrolled in a case-control study of breast cancer nested in the cohort (data collection stopped in 1999) [6] showed a 97.5% agreement for ever/never having been pregnant, and, among women who had ever been pregnant, a 99.8% agreement of ever/never having a live birth. The data on oral contraceptives showed a 90.1% agreement for ever/never use (unpublished data, not shown).

Women in the cohort initially consulted medical workers in their factory when they became ill and were referred to one of several hospitals associated with the textile industry if cancer was suspected. Surveillance for breast cancer was accomplished as part of the BSE trial through active reporting by the medical workers in each factory. For other cancers, case finding was through a Tumor and Death Registry operated by the STIB, which received annual reports from each factory, of all cases of cancer and deaths that had occurred in the cohort members during the previous year. This information was supplemented by periodic reviews of the records of the Shanghai Cancer Registry, which meets the standards for inclusion of data in Cancer Incidence in Five Continents [7].

Diagnoses of cancers that were detected through December 31, 1998 were confirmed by computer matching to the Shanghai Cancer Registry, and by review of medical records if the diagnosis differed from that in the STIB Tumor and Death Registry or if the cancer could not be found in the Shanghai Cancer Registry. Hospital records were also reviewed for tumors coded as "uterine cancer, unspecified" and "intestinal cancer, unspecified". The percentage of initially reported diagnoses that were corrected was 5.4% for all cancers combined, and varied from 0.3% (for breast cancer) to 13.5% (for gallbladder cancer). Because we were not able to

validate the diagnoses from January 1, 1999 onward, the cancer site as reported by the STIB registry was used for cancers that were identified from that date to the end of follow-up on July 31, 2000. Cancers selected for specific analyses included those that may have a hormonal etiology (breast, colon, gallbladder, liver, ovary, thyroid, uterine cervix, and uterine corpus) and those that occurred commonly in this population (lung, pancreas, rectum, and stomach). Information on censored observations was obtained from personnel records (i.e. whether the woman left the STIB) and from the STIB Tumor and Death Registry (i.e. deaths). Only 7.5% of the subjects transferred out of the STIB and were lost to follow-up [5].

#### Statistical Analyses

Cox Proportional Hazards models [8] were used to calculate cumulative risk ratios with the number of months of follow-up being the time scale variable. All models were controlled for the potentially confounding effects of age (using linear splines with knots at 5-year periods) and parity. Splines allow for a change in slope of the age-cancer risk relationship at five year intervals and provide better adjustment for age than traditional age adjustment techniques [9]. Age at first live birth, duration of breastfeeding, the use of various contraceptive methods (injectable contraceptives and intrauterine devices), spontaneous abortions, induced abortions, use of injections during pregnancy, tobacco use, and alcohol drinking were considered as potential confounders. Variables that altered the rate ratio (RR) of cancer in relation to ever having used OCs by more than 5% were retained as confounding variables in the model in addition to age and parity. The joint effects of OC use and injectable contraceptive use were evaluated by using likelihood ratio tests.

Analyses were restricted to those subjects who were at risk for newly diagnosed cancer and therefore, women who had had a previous mastectomy were excluded from the breast cancer analysis, women who had had a previous bilateral oophorectomy were excluded from the ovarian cancer analysis, and women who had had a previous hysterectomy were excluded from the uterine cervix and uterine corpus analyses.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, and the Station for Prevention and Treatment of Cancer of the STIB in accordance with an assurance filed with the Office for the Protection from Research Risks (OPRR) of the National Institutes of Health.

#### Results

Included in this analysis were the 258,956 women who answered the question on ever use of oral contraceptives and had at least one month of follow-up time. Of these women, 37,319 of them had ever used oral contraceptives. There were 2,410,072 person years of follow-up in this cohort, with 352,695 person years being contributed by oral contraceptive users.

Of women in the cohort who did not develop cancer, 1.1% were current users of OCs at the time the baseline questionnaire was administered, and 13.4% had used them in the past. The median length of time women who did not develop cancer used OCs was 27.2 months. In women without cancer who had used OCs, 87.6% had used Pill No. 1, 9.4% had used other oral contraceptives, and 2.6% had used both (see introduction). There was a reduced risk of all cancers combined, of borderline statistical significance, in women who ever used OCs.

There was no relationship between ever use of OCs and cancers of the breast, colon gall bladder, liver, lung, ovary, pancreas, stomach, or thyroid (Table 1). There was a reduction in risk of uterine cervix and uterine corpus cancers, of borderline statistical significance, associated with ever use of OCs, although there were few uterine cervix cases in the cohort. There was a borderline elevation in risk of rectal cancer associated with ever using OCs.

There was no trend in the risk of all cancers combined, or in the risk of cancers of the breast, colon, liver, lung, ovary, pancreas, stomach or thyroid with duration of use (Table 2). There was an elevation in risk of colon cancer in women who used OCs for 10 or more years that was of borderline statistical significance. A significant increasing trend in risk with increasing duration of use was seen for rectal cancer. There was a reduced risk of uterine corpus cancer in women who used OCs for more than one year, and a decreasing trend in risk with increasing duration of use of OCs, both of which were statistically significant. There were too few women with other cancers who had used OCs to assess risk of those neoplasms in relation to duration of use.

In addition to controlling for use of injectable contraceptives, the joint effects of oral and injectable contraceptives on risks of all cancers combined, and on cancers of the breast, colon, rectum, ovary, and corpus uteri were investigated. No statistically significant interaction (in multiplicative models – not shown) were observed, although the number of women that used both products was small, and the power to detect such interactions was low.

#### Discussion

Our study did not detect an increased risk of all cancers combined or of breast, colon, gall bladder, liver, lung, ovarian, pancreatic, rectal, stomach, thyroid, or cervical cancers in women who had used OCs. We detected a significant reduction in risk of cancer of the uterine corpus in users of OCs and there was a trend of decreasing risk with increasing duration of use.

The reduction in risk of cancers of the uterine corpus associated with OC use has been noted in a previous analysis of a subset of our study data [10]. The reduced risk of uterine corpus cancer, which can be assumed to consist largely of endometrial cancer, is similar to conclusions from an International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group[1]. The Working Group concluded that the reduction in risk in oral contraceptive users may occur through promotion of "atrophic and antiproliferative effects" on the endometrium. The Working Group also noted that the level of reduction in risk of endometrial cancer increased with duration of use of oral contraceptives and this was confirmed in our study.

We found no association with ever having used OCs and risk of colon cancer. There was an increased risk in users of over 10 years duration, but it was only of borderline statistical significance. A previous study in China [11] observed a reduction in risk of colon cancer in OC users, but this was also not statistically significant. Studies conducted elsewhere have found either no association with OC use, or a reduction in risk [1,12,13]; a meta-analysis published in 2001 [13] found significant heterogeneity of results among studies.

Although we observed a statistically significant increasing trend in risk of rectal cancer with duration of OC use, the trend was not monotonic and our findings differ from previous observations of a negative or no association in China [11] and elsewhere [12,13].

The associations between OC use and the risks of cancers of the colon and rectum may be explained, at least in part, by uncontrolled confounding by physical activity. We found increased physical activity to be associated with decreased use of OCs (analyses not shown) in controls selected for a case-control study of benign and malignant breast diseases in our cohort [14] and we were unable to control for physical activity in our present study (since we did not collect this information on all cohort members). In this group of controls, we were also able to investigate whether body mass index (BMI) and various nutrient levels from diet were associated with OC use. They were not, and therefore, uncontrolled confounding by these variables is not a likely explanation for our findings. Given the potential for confounding by physical activity and the inconsistent results of other studies, it seems unlikely that the use of OCs alters the risk of cancers of the colon and rectum.

We observed no association between use of OCs and risk of breast cancer. A large pooled analysis [15] also found no overall increase in risk with OC use, nor did two prior studies in China [16,17]. The pooled analysis did show a small increase in risk in recent OC users [15]. The prospective nature of our study, with no collection of exposure data after baseline, precluded assessment of risk in recent users in our investigation.

We observed no association between OC use and gallbladder cancer and this finding is consistent with previous studies [18]. We also did not observe an increased risk of liver cancer associated with OC use. This is consistent with results of a meta-analysis [19], which found no increased risk in hepatitis B endemic areas like China.

We observed no association between OC use and lung cancer. Although Kreuzer et al. [20] detected a decreased risk of lung cancer in women who ever used OCs, there was no relationship with duration of use in that study. Elliott and Hannaford [21] and Vessey et al. [22] did not detect an association with OC use and lung cancer, nor did a study by Wu-Williams et al. [23] in China.

We observed no association between OC use and the risk of ovarian cancer. This differs from the results of most other studies [1,12,24], that consistently show a reduced risk in users of OCs. However, our findings are similar to those of two previous case-control studies in China [25,26]. Since use of OCs in China is generally of short duration, findings from a large pooled analysis [27] suggesting a lack of a negative association with short duration of use may explain ours and other Chinese findings.

There was no increased risk of pancreatic cancer associated with OC use in our study. This observation is consistent with the results of two studies in the United States [28,29], although the results of both of these studies and ours differ from those of Kreiger et al. [30], who found a reduced risk in OC users. Only one study, a case-control study in China [31], observed an increased risk. Since this finding was not confirmed by our prospective study in the same country, or by any other study, it seems unlikely that use of OCs increases the risk of pancreatic cancer.

We observed no association between OC use and stomach cancer. Studies in Canada [32] and Italy [33] had similar results.

Although an earlier case-control study in Shanghai [34] found an increased risk of thyroid cancer in OC users, this was not confirmed by our cohort study in the same city or by other studies [35] or the results of a pooled analysis [36].

Our study is limited by the small percentage of OC users in our cohort, the relatively short duration of use, and the lack of data on use after the baseline questionnaire was administered. These features limited our ability to study the possible carcinogenic effects of long term and recent use of oral contraceptives. The prospective nature of this study eliminated the possible influence of differential recall of OC use by cases and controls on our results. Additional advantages of our study are that it included large numbers of subjects and provided the opportunity to investigate the possible effects of largely a single formulation of OCs on risks of all cancers combined and of twelve different sites of cancer. Even so, for some of the rarer cancers in this population (e.g. cervix and gallbladder), we did not have sufficiently large enough numbers of cancers to determine whether there was a trend in risk with duration of use.

Another limitation of the present study is the inability to control for the potentially confounding effects of some risk factors associated with some of the site-specific cancers. Most of the risk factors ascertained in the baseline questionnaire were for breast cancer. However, many of these factors are also risk factors for ovarian, endometrial, and some other cancers. We also

had information on alcohol and tobacco use. The prevalence of tobacco use (2.8% ever use) and alcohol drinking (4.2% once a week or more) was infrequent, making them unlikely to be confounders. As mentioned previously, we did not observe an association between dietary intake or BMI and OC use in a sample of the cohort, and it is therefore unlikely that confounding by these factors are an explanation for any of our findings. Although we also did not acertain information on family history of cancers other than those of the breast, there is no reason to suspect that such a family history or most other missing risk factors would be related to having used OCs in China. It is therefore unlikely that confounding by other unmeasured risk factors obscured true relationships between OCs contraceptives and the risk of any specific cancer. The potential bias associated with not obtaining information on physical activity was discussed previously with respect to the colon and rectal cancer results.

It is reassuring that we observed no increased risk for all cancers combined in users of OCs contraceptives, and no trend of increasing risk with increasing duration of use. This suggests that OCs, as they have been used in China, have not contributed to the temporal trends in cancer incidence occurring in that country in recent decades [37].

#### Acknowledgements

Karin Rosenblatt, PhD, Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, 127 Huff Hall, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, 1206 S. Fourth St., Champaign IL 61820. This work was supported by National Cancer Institute grants R03-CA80637, R01-CA46823, and R01-CA80180.

#### References

- Cogliano V, Grosse Y, Baan R, Straif K, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F. Carcinogenicity of combined oestrogen-progestagen contraceptives and menopausal treatment. Lancet Oncol 2005 Aug;6(8):552– 3. [PubMed: 16094770]
- Coriaty Nelson Z, Ray RM, Gao DL, Thomas DB. Risk factors for fibroadenoma in a cohort of female textile workers in Shanghai, China. Am J Epidemiol 2002 Oct 1;156(7):599–605. [PubMed: 12244028]
- Li H, Thomas DB. Tubal ligation and risk of cervical cancer. The World Health Organiztion Collaborative Study of Neoplasia and Steroid Contraceptives. Contraception 2000 May;61(5):323–8. [PubMed: 10906503]
- Rosenblatt KA, Gao DL, Ray RM, Rowland MR, Nelson ZC, Wernli KJ, et al. Induced abortions and the risk of all cancers combined and site-specific cancers in Shanghai. Cancer Causes Control 2006 Dec;17(10):1275–80. [PubMed: 17111259]
- 5. Thomas DB, Gao DL, Ray RM, Wang WW, Allison CJ, Chen FL, et al. Randomized trial of breast self-examination in Shanghai: final results. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002 Oct 2;94(19):1445–57. [PubMed: 12359854]
- Ye Z, Gao DL, Qin Q, Ray RM, Thomas DB. Breast cancer in relation to induced abortions in a cohort of Chinese women. Br J Cancer 2002 Oct 21;87(9):977–81. [PubMed: 12434288]
- 7. Parkin, DM.; Whelan, S.; Ferlay, J.; Teppo, L.; Thomas, DB., editors. Cancer Incidence in Five Continents. 1. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2005.
- 8. Breslow, NE.; Day, NE. The Design and Analysis of Cohort Studies. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1987.
- Rothman, KJ.; Greenland, S., editors. Modern Epidemiology. 2. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams, and Wilkins; 1998.
- Wernli KJ, Ray RM, Gao DL, De Roos AJ, Checkoway H, Thomas DB. Menstrual and reproductive factors in relation to risk of endometrial cancer in Chinese women. Cancer Causes Control 2006 Sep; 17(7):949–55. [PubMed: 16841262]
- Wu-Williams AH, Lee M, Whittemore AS, Gallagher RP, Jiao DA, Zheng S, et al. Reproductive factors and colorectal cancer risk among Chinese females. Cancer Res 1991 May 1;51(9):2307–11. [PubMed: 2015594]

- 12. Lacey, JV.; Colditz, GA.; Schottenfeld, D. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. Exogenous hormones; p. 468-88.
- Fernandez E, La Vecchia C, Balducci A, Chatenoud L, Franceschi S, Negri E. Oral contraceptives and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2001 Mar 2;84(5):722–7. [PubMed: 11237397]
- 14. Li W, Ray RM, Lampe JW, Lin MG, Gao DL, Wu C, et al. Dietary and other risk factors in women having fibrocystic breast conditions with and without concurrent breast cancer: a nested case-control study in Shanghai, China. Int J Cancer 2005 Jul 20;115(6):981–93. [PubMed: 15723298]
- 15. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormonal contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of individual data on 53 297 women with breast cancer and 100 239 women without breast cancer from 54 epidemiological studies. Lancet 1996 Jun 22;347 (9017):1713–27. [PubMed: 8656904]
- Yuan JM, Yu MC, Ross RK, Gao YT, Henderson BE. Risk factors for breast cancer in Chinese women in Shanghai. Cancer Res 1988 Apr 1;48(7):1949–53. [PubMed: 3349468]
- Wang QS, Ross RK, Yu MC, Ning JP, Henderson BE, Kimm HT. A case-control study of breast cancer in Tianjin, China. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1992 Sep-Oct;1(6):435–9. [PubMed: 1302554]
- Hsing, AW.; Rashid, A.; Devesa, SS.; Faumeni, JF. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. Biliary Tract Cancer; p. 787-800.
- Yu MC, Yuan JM. Environmental factors and risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2004 Nov;127(5 Suppl 1):S72–8. [PubMed: 15508106]
- 20. Kreuzer M, Gerken M, Heinrich J, Kreienbrock L, Wichmann HE. Hormonal factors and risk of lung cancer among women? Int J Epidemiol 2003 Apr;32(2):263–71. [PubMed: 12714547]
- Elliott AM, Hannaford PC. Use of exogenous hormones by women and lung cancer: evidence from the Royal College of General Practitioners' Oral Contraception Study. Contraception 2006 Apr;73 (4):331–5. [PubMed: 16531161]
- Vessey M, Painter R, Yeates D. Mortality in relation to oral contraceptive use and cigarette smoking. Lancet 2003 Jul 19;362(9379):185–91. [PubMed: 12885478]
- Wu-Williams AH, Dai XD, Blot W, Xu ZY, Sun XW, Xiao HP, et al. Lung cancer among women in north-east China. Br J Cancer 1990 Dec;62(6):982–7. [PubMed: 2257230]
- 24. Hankinson, SE.; Danforth, KM. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. Ovarian Cancer; p. 1013-26.
- 25. Chen Y, Wu PC, Lang JH, Ge WJ, Hartge P, Brinton LA. Risk factors for epithelial ovarian cancer in Beijing, China. Int J Epidemiol 1992 Feb;21(1):23–9. [PubMed: 1544753]
- Shu XO, Brinton LA, Gao YT, Yuan JM. Population-based case-control study of ovarian cancer in Shanghai. Cancer Res 1989 Jul 1;49(13):3670–4. [PubMed: 2731180]
- 27. Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian C, Beral V, Doll R, Hermon C, Peto R, Reeves G. Ovarian cancer and oral contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of data from 45 epidemiological studies including 23,257 women with ovarian cancer and 87,303 controls. Lancet 2008 Jan 26;371(9609):303–14. [PubMed: 18294997]
- Duell EJ, Holly EA. Reproductive and menstrual risk factors for pancreatic cancer: a populationbased study of San Francisco Bay Area women. Am J Epidemiol 2005 Apr 15;161(8):741–7. [PubMed: 15800266]
- Skinner HG, Michaud DS, Colditz GA, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, et al. Parity, reproductive factors, and the risk of pancreatic cancer in women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003 May;12(5):433–8. [PubMed: 12750238]
- Kreiger N, Lacroix J, Sloan M. Hormonal factors and pancreatic cancer in women. Ann Epidemiol 2001 Nov;11(8):563–7. [PubMed: 11709276]
- Ji BT, Hatch MC, Chow WH, McLaughlin JK, Dai Q, Howe GR, et al. Anthropometric and reproductive factors and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control study in Shanghai, China. Int J Cancer 1996 May 16;66(4):432–7. [PubMed: 8635856]
- 32. Frise S, Kreiger N, Gallinger S, Tomlinson G, Cotterchio M. Menstrual and reproductive risk factors and risk for gastric adenocarcinoma in women: findings from the canadian national enhanced cancer surveillance system. Ann Epidemiol 2006 Dec;16(12):908–16. [PubMed: 16843679]

Rosenblatt et al.

- 33. La Vecchia C, D'Avanzo B, Franceschi S, Negri E, Parazzini F, Decarli A. Menstrual and reproductive factors and gastric-cancer risk in women. Int J Cancer 1994 Dec 15;59(6):761–4. [PubMed: 7989115]
- 34. Preston-Martin S, Jin F, Duda MJ, Mack WJ. A case-control study of thyroid cancer in women under age 55 in Shanghai (People's Republic of China). Cancer Causes Control 1993 Sep;4(5):431–40. [PubMed: 8218875]
- 35. Ron, ESA. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. Thyroid Cancer; p. 975-94.
- 36. La Vecchia C, Ron E, Franceschi S, Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Chatenoud L, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. III. Oral contraceptives, menopausal replacement therapy and other female hormones. Cancer Causes Control 1999 Apr;10(2):157–66. [PubMed: 10231164]
- 37. Jin F, Devesa SS, Chow WH, Zheng W, Ji BT, Fraumeni JF Jr, et al. Cancer incidence trends in urban shanghai, 1972–1994: an update. Int J Cancer 1999 Nov 12;83(4):435–40. [PubMed: 10508476]

 HIN
 Idiusing Mark
 Idiusing Mark

 Apple 1
 Table 1

 Ever Use of Oral Contraceptives and Cancer in the Shanghai Cohort

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

### Rosenblatt et al.

Cancer Site	Ever Use	Number of Cases	Number of Person-Years	RR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
All Cancers Combined	No Voc	6,669 070	2,048,373 352,600	1.00	0.00
Breast	No No	1,496	2,02,027	1.00	0.30, 1.01
Colon	Yes	563 563	550,/41 2,045,338	1.00	0.78, 1.03
Gall bladder	Yes No	92 121	352,851 2,045,817	1.09	0.86, 1.37
Liver	Yes No	10 420	353,015 1,173,637	0.73 1.00	0.38, 1.42
T ino	Yes No	48 746	1,226,787 2 048 263	$0.82^{b}$ 1.00	0.60, 1.13
c and a second se	Yes	82	353,284	0.87	0.69, 1.10
Ovary	No Yes	254 53	2,008,845 349,539	1.00	0.86.1.60
Pancreas	No	208	2,046,309	1.00	
Rectal	Yes No	30 306	353,106 2.045.266	1.11 1.00	0.74, 1.66
	Yes	62	352,877	1.31	0.98, 1.75
Stomach	No Ves	721 103	2,046,890 353 051	1.00	0.87 1.77
Thyroid	No	141	2,044,687 25,944,687	1.00 0.7 <i>ch</i>	0.46 1.73
Uterine Cervix	No	52 62	1,983,158	1.00	07.1 (01.0
	Yes		345,909	0.13	0.02, 0.96
Uterine Corpus	Yes	27	1,902,009 345,869	$0.68^{b}$	0.45, 1.04
<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for parity and age using	linear splines unless otherwise	noted			

Cancer Causes Control. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 February 1.

 $b_{\rm }$  Adjusted additionally for ever having had a tubal ligation  $^c$  Adjusted additionally for number of induced abortions

_
_
_
<b>T</b>
_
U
~
-
~
_
-
~
0
_
_
$\geq$
01
L L
_
-
<u> </u>
ŝ
0
-
_ <u>_</u> .
0
9
+

 upped Section
 tdiasonal Mathematical Mathem

Cancer Site	Number of Months of Use	Number of Cases	Number of Person-Years	RR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
All Cancers Combined	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	6,669 296 384 143 156	2,048,373 1133,981 133,176 42,602 42,447	1.00 0.88 0.95 1.04 0.99	0.78, 0.99 0.85, 1.05 0.88, 1.23 0.84, 1.16
Breast	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	1,496 73 112 34 34	2,032,357 133,492 132,404 42,318 42,033	1.00 0.71 1.04 0.97 0.94	p = 0.70 0.56, 0.90 0.66, 1.27 0.66, 1.32 0.66, 1.32
Colon	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	563 25 13 22	2,045,338 134,048 133,008 42,625 42,378	1.00 0.97 0.96 1.13 1.56	p = 0.55 $0.64, 1.47$ $0.67, 1.38$ $0.65, 1.97$ $1.01, 2.40$ $0.65, 0.65$
Liver	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	420 14 16 11 7	2,047,302 134,154 133,451 42,639 42,406	$1.00^{b}$ 0.86 0.68 1.34 0.67	p = 0.10 0.50, 1.48 0.41, 1.14 0.73, 2.45 0.32, 1.44 0.32, 1.44
Lung	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	746 25 14 14	2,048,263 134,223 133,484 42,667 42,417	1.00 0.96 0.77 1.05 0.83	p = 0.00 0.64, 1.45 0.53, 1.12 0.62, 1.79 0.49, 1.41
Ovary	None 1–11 12–59 60+	254 22 14	2,008,845 132,754 131,891 84,400	1.00 1.36 0.82 1.44	P = 0.27 0.87, 2.13 0.87, 2.39 0.87, 2.39 0.26
Pancreas	None 1–11 12–59 60+	208 7 16	2,046,309 134,161 133,439 85,013	1.00 0.94 1.46 0.81	p = 0.30 0.44, 2.04 0.87, 2.46 0.37, 1.74
Rectal	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	306 11 12 10	2,045,266 134,064 133,351 42,594 42,373	1.00 0.71 1.54 1.93 1.34	p=0.74 0.37, 1.34 1.04, 2.29 1.08, 3.46 0.71, 2.52
Stomach	None 1-11 12-59 60-119 120+	721 30 38 14 21	2,046,890 134,167 133,390 42,610 42,390	1.00 0.94 0.96 1.04 1.32	p = 0.017 0.65, 1.37 0.69, 1.33 0.61, 1.77 0.85, 2.05 p = 0.49

Author Manuscript	NIH-P/	Author Manuscript	NIH-PA A	Author Manuscript	NIH-PA A
Cancer Site	Number of Months of Use	Number of Cases	Number of Person-Years	RR <sup>a</sup>	12 %56
Thyroid	None	141 5	2,044,687	1.00	
	1-11 12+	5 15	134,094 218,289	2C.0 0.99	0.21, 1.27 0.57, 1.72 5-0.65
Uterine Corpus	None	236	1,982,639	$1.00^{\mathcal{C}}$	co.v – q
	1-11	14	131,423	1.15	0.65, 2.01
	12+	13	213,962	0.48	0.27, 0.85 p = 0.015

 $^{a}\mathrm{Adjusted}$  for parity and age using linear splines unless otherwise noted

 $^{b}{\rm Adjusted}$  additionally for ever having had a tubal ligation

 $^{c}{}_{\rm Adjusted}$  additionally for number of induced abortions