

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cell Cycle. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 1

Published in final edited form as: *Cell Cycle*. 2008 December ; 7(23): 3737–3746.

Differential post-transcriptional regulation of two Ink4 proteins,

p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d}

Antoine Forget¹, Olivier Ayrault¹, Willem den Besten^{1,2}, Mei-Ling Kuo^{1,2}, Charles J. Sherr^{1,2}, and Martine F. Roussel^{1,*}

1Department of Tumor Cell Biology and Genetics, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital; Memphis, Tennessee USA

2Howard Hughes Medical Institute, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital; Memphis, Tennessee USA

Abstract

Cyclin(-D-)-dependent kinase (Cdk) inhibitors of the Ink4 family specifically bind to Cdk4 and Cdk6, but not to other Cdks. *Ink4c* and *Ink4d* mRNAs are maximally and periodically expressed during the G₂/M phase of the cell division cycle, but the abundance of their encoded proteins is regulated through distinct mechanisms. Both proteins undergo polyubiquitination, but the half life of p18^{Ink4c} (~10 hours) is much longer than that of p19^{Ink4d} (~2.5 hours). Lysines 46 and 112 are preferred sites of ubiquitin conjugation in p18^{Ink4c}, although substitution of these and other lysine residues with arginine, particularly in combination, triggers protein misfolding and accelerates p18^{Ink4c} degradation. When tethered to either catalytically active or inactive Cdk4 or Cdk6, polyubiquitination of p18^{Ink4c} is inhibited, and the protein is further stabilized. Conversely, in competing with p18^{Ink4c} for binding to Cdks, cyclin D1 accelerates p18^{Ink4c} turnover. In direct contrast, polyubiquitination of p19^{Ink4d} degradation. Although it has been generally assumed that p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} are biochemically similar Cdk inhibitors, the major differences in their stability and turnover are likely key to understanding their distinct biological functions.

Keywords

Ink4 genes; p18^{Ink4c}; p19^{Ink4d}; ubiquitin-proteasome pathway; Cdk4; Cdk6; cyclin D1

Introduction

Progression through the mammalian cell division cycle is positively regulated by a family of serine/threonine protein kinases, the cyclin-dependant kinases (Cdks), whose activities are opposed in turn by two general classes of polypeptide Cdk inhibitors.^{1,2} The mitotic and S-phase holoenzymes (comprised of Cdk1 and Cdk2, respectively, which are allosterically regulated by cyclins B, A and E) can be restrained by proteins of the Cip/Kip family $(p21^{Cip1}, p27^{Kip1})$. These polypeptides inhibit kinase activity and substrate selection by binding to both the Cdk and cyclin subunits of the assembled cyclin-Cdk holoenzymes.^{3,4} In contrast, the activities of the cyclin D-dependent G₁ phase kinases, Cdk4 and Cdk6, are not readily blocked by Cip/Kip proteins,^{2,5,6} but instead are specifically inhibited by members of the Ink4 family (originally named for their ability to inhibit Cdk4).

^{*}Correspondence to: Martine F. Roussel; Danny Thomas Research Center Room 5006C; Mail Stop #350; 262 Danny Thomas Place; Memphis, Tennessee 38105 USA; Tel.: 901.595.3481; Email: martine.roussel@stjude.org.

Previously published online as a Cell Cycle E-publication: http://www.landesbioscience.com/journals/cc/article/7187

The Ink4 protein family is comprised of four members, $p16^{Ink4a}$, $p15^{Ink4b}$, $p18^{Ink4c}$ and $p19^{Ink4d}$, whose molar potencies as Cdk inhibitors in a test tube are essentially indistinguishable. $^{11-15}$ The first discovered members, $p16^{Ink4a}$ and $p15^{Ink4b}$, primarily consist of four tandem ankyrin repeat units and are encoded by two closely linked homologous genes (*Ink4a* and *Ink4b* in the mouse or *CDKN2A* and *CDKN2B* in humans) that likely arose through duplication.⁷ These two genes are not generally expressed during fetal development or in young adult tissues, although they are now recognized to accumulate as animals age. $^{16-20}$ They are induced by various forms of oncogenic stress and their expression facilitates the temporal evolution of a complex cellular senescence program that helps to eliminate incipient tumor cells.²¹ As such, *Ink4a*, in particular, is a potent tumor suppressor gene whose loss-of-function by mutation, deletion or epigenetic silencing frequently occurs in many different forms of cancer. Recent data indicate that the activity of the *Ink4b* gene provides a second line of defense against mutations that inactivate *Ink4a*, thereby compensating for its loss.²²

In contrast, the remaining Ink4 family members, $p18^{Ink4c}$ and $p19^{Ink4d}$ are composed of five rather than four ankyrin repeats, are encoded by genes located on other chromosomes, and share less amino acid sequence homology with $p16^{Ink4a}$, $p15^{Ink4b}$ or with each other. 13-15 The *Ink4c* and *Ink4d* genes are highly and ubiquitously expressed in stereotypic patterns during organismal development, and their loss of function is associated with specific abnormalities that affect different tissues. 16,23-28 Inactivation of *Ink4c* predisposes to tumor development, but, perhaps paradoxically, *Ink4d* has not been revealed to have any tumor suppressive function. 29

Although the spatial and temporal patterns of expression of the Ink4 genes have been characterized in detail, post-transcriptional regulation of the Ink4 proteins has been less well studied. Both p16^{Ink4a} and p15^{Ink4b} are highly stable proteins.^{30–32} Proteasomal degradation of p16^{Ink4a} has been reported to be either ubiq-uitin-dependent, due to its N-terminal polyubiquitination,³³ or ubiquitin-independent, through its direct binding to the 11S proteasomal lid REGy/PA28y.³⁴ In human mammary epithelial cells, the half-life of p15^{Ink4b} is 8.5 hours; however, in response to cellular stimulation by the anti-proliferative cytokine, transforming growth factor- β (TGF β), the half-life of p15^{lnk4b} is extended to 34 hours, thereby enhancing cell cycle arrest.³² Expression of $p_1 8^{Ink4c}$ protein increases in differentiating versus proliferating oligodendrocytes without overt changes in its mRNA levels, 35 implying that post-transcriptional mechanisms play the dominant role in regulating protein abundance. However, there is evidence that the turnover of p19^{Ink4d} is more dynamic than that of the other Ink4 proteins. In proliferating cells, p19^{Ink4d} levels oscillate throughout the cell cycle with the lowest levels observed in G_1 phase and the highest in late S and G_2 phases.¹⁴, ³⁶ In G₁ phase, *Ink4d* mRNA is nonabundant and the protein is subjected to rapid ubiquitindependent proteasomal degradation, while in S and G₂ phases, *Ink4d* mRNA levels rise and the rate of protein turnover is coordinately diminished. Here we show that p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} protein levels are not only differentially regulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, but are subject to completely opposite modes of regulation when associated with their target Cdks. These differences point to a greater degree of functional complexity than previously suspected.

Results

Expression of p18^{lnk4c} and p19^{lnk4d} is differentially regulated

The synthesis of both mouse and human *Ink4d* mRNAs and their encoded p19*Ink4d* polypeptides are periodically expressed throughout the cell cycle with peak levels of both *Ink4d* mRNA and protein being achieved during G₂/M phase.^{14,36} In contrast, changes in p18^{Ink4c} protein levels can occur without concurrent alterations in its mRNA expression,³⁵ implying that, unlike p19^{Ink4d}, the abundance of the p18^{Ink4c} protein is more dependent upon its post-transcriptional regulation. We therefore set out to characterize the parameters responsible for the differences in behavior of these two related Ink4 family members.

We compared the patterns of *Ink4c* and *Ink4d* mRNA and protein expression in cultured mouse NIH-3T3 cells that were made quiescent by serum starvation and then restimulated to synchronously enter the cell cycle. Expression of the Ink4 mRNAs was evaluated by Northern blotting (Fig. 1A), and immunoblotting was used to compare p18^{Ink4c} protein levels to those of p19^{Ink4d} and other cell cycle regulators (Fig. 1B, parts a and b). Progression through the cell cycle was determined by flow cytometric analysis of DNA content in samples harvested at different times after serum stimulation (Fig. 1B, part c).

In serum-starved NIH-3T3 cells, two *Ink4c* spliced mRNA variants of 1.2 kb and 2.4 kb were detected (Fig. 1A); these mRNAs are known to differ in their 5'-untranslated sequences, with the shorter form being more efficiently translated than the longer form.³⁷ Expression of both the 1.2 and 2.4 kb *Ink4c* RNAs decreased when cells reentered the cell cycle. However, by twenty-four hours after serum restimulation, the longer *Ink4c* mRNA was again abundantly expressed (Fig. 1A), in agreement with previous findings that the 2.4 kb transcript, as well as the mRNA encoding p19^{Ink4d}, are periodically expressed and are most abundant during the G₂/M phase of the cell division cycle.^{14,36}

Although Ink4c mRNAs, while expressed in G₀ cells, became difficult to detect by mid-G₁ (Fig. 1A), p18^{Ink4c} protein levels declined much more slowly as the cells advanced through G_1 and S phase, suggesting that $p18^{Ink4c}$ is a stable protein (Fig. 1B, parts a and b). The relatively low level of p18^{Ink4c} observed by 24 hours after cell cycle re-entry was maintained throughout subsequent cell cycles in asynchronously dividing cells, despite oscillations in the 2.4 kb mRNA. By comparison, $p19^{Ink4d}$ protein levels were low in G₀ cells, were barely detectable in G_1 phase, but then rose rapidly in late S phase to peak at G_2/M (Fig. 1B, parts a and b). There was only a 4-fold overall difference between the maximal and minimal levels of p18^{Ink4c} during the 24 hour period following cell cycle reentry, whereas p19^{Ink4d} expression was significantly more dynamic, increasing at least 20 fold (Fig. 1B, parts a and b) and then declining again as cells entered the next division cycle (data not shown).¹⁴ As expected, p27^{Kip1} levels were highest in serum-starved cells and subsequently decreased.^{38,39} The cyclin D-dependent catalytic subunits, Cdk4 and Cdk6, which are resistant to inhibition by p27^{Kip1} (reviewed in ref.²), were detected in serum-starved cells and throughout the ensuing cell division cycle. By contrast, cyclin D1 was barely expressed in G0 cells but accumulated during G_1 phase in response to mitogen stimulation, ⁴⁰ consistent with progressive cyclin Ddependent assembly and allosteric activation of cyclin D1-Cdk holoenzymes as NIH-3T3 cells approach the G_1/S boundary.⁴¹

We next turned our attention to primary, proliferating granule neuron progenitors (GNPs) purified from the cerebella of wild type C57BL/6 mice at different days after birth. Unlike other organs, the cerebellum is largely formed in neonatal animals, primarily reflecting the massive proliferation of GNPs, which is maximal at postnatal day-5 (P5) and declines thereafter as GNPs exit the division cycle and differentiate. In the mouse, formation of the cerebellum is largely complete by P30. Previous studies indicated that *Ink4c* mRNA is expressed as GNPs

in the external germinal layer of the developing cerebellum exit the division cycle.⁴² Indeed, *Ink4c* mRNA was expressed at postnatal day 7 (P7) and at P11, but was extinguished by P14, a time when most of the cells have become postmitotic (Fig. 2A). In contrast, $p18^{Ink4c}$ protein levels remained high at P14 (Fig. 2B) despite the absence of its mRNA, again implying that $p18^{Ink4c}$ is a stable protein. The overall levels of other cell cycle regulators including cyclin D1 and Cdk4 were decreased by P14, whereas, like $p18^{Ink4c}$, $p27^{Kip1}$ protein expression increased at P11 and P14, again consistent with the progressive accumulation of post-mitotic granule neurons. Interestingly, although $p27^{Kip1}$ expression is maintained in mature granule neurons throughout life, $p18^{Ink4c}$ protein is not detected by P28 (negative data not shown). In contrast, *Ink4d* is not expressed in GNPs, even though it is continually synthesized in cortical neurons elsewhere in the brain.²³,25

p18^{lnk4c} is polyubiquitinated in vitro

We next evaluated whether the turnover of p18^{Ink4c} depends on the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. To this end, we enforced the expression of p18^{Ink4c} in human 293T cells together with a vector expressing ubiquitin (Ub) tagged with six tandem histidine residues (His₆) at the N-terminus. Two days after transfection, cells were either left untreated or were exposed to the proteasome inhibitor MG132 for 12 hours prior to their harvest. Cell pellets were lysed in buffer containing 8 M urea to inhibit the activity of de-ubiquitinating enzymes, and His₆-tagged ubiquitinated protein species were recovered with nickel agarose beads, electrophoretically separated on denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and blotted with antibodies to p18^{Ink4c}. We observed a ladder of polyubiquitinated p18^{Ink4c} forms, the intensity of which was significantly increased after treatment of the cells with MG132 (Fig. 3, left, lanes 4 and 5). Equivalent levels of enforced p18^{Ink4c} protein expression in the transfected cells were confirmed by immunoblotting (bottom, lanes 3–6). A longer exposure of the same blot also revealed the presence of very low levels of the endogenous polyubiquitinated human p18^{Ink4c} species (Fig. 3, right, lane 8). Thus, both exogenously expressed and endogenous p18^{Ink4c} undergo polyubiquitination.

Lysines 46 and 112 are the major acceptors of polyubiquitin chains in p18^{lnk4c}

Covalent attachment of Ub to target proteins generally occurs on internal lysine residues,⁴³ although Ub conjugation to the N-termini of proteins can also occur.⁴⁴ Mouse p18^{Ink4c} contains five lysine residues at positions 46, 66, 112, 124 and 136. All of these lysines have cognates in human p18^{INK4c}, but these specific residues are not conserved in the other three human INK4 family members.⁹ To identify the lysine residues in p18^{Ink4c} that can be conjugated by Ub, we mutated each of the five lysines individually ("single K" mutants); eliminated four of the five lysines leaving only one intact ("1K remaining"); and generated a lysine-less mutant (KL). Lysines were substituted by arginines so as to maintain basic residues at each position.

cDNAs encoding p18^{Ink4c} mutants in pcDNA3 expression vectors were transfected with His_{6} -Ub into 293T cells, and ubiquitinated proteins were evaluated after affinity purification. Analysis of single K mutants demonstrated that p18^{Ink4c} ubiquitination was not restricted to a unique lysine residue, since none of the individual arginine for lysine substitutions abolished p18^{Ink4c} ubiquitination (Fig. 4A, lanes 4–8). Immunoblotting of the unfractionated lysates with antibodies to p18^{Ink4c} confirmed that similar levels of the wild type and mutated proteins were expressed following transfection (Fig. 4A, bottom, lanes 2–8). In turn, the ubiquitination profiles obtained with mutants retaining only a single lysine further revealed that each could be polyubiquitinated to some extent (Fig. 4A, lanes 9–13). Despite the fact that the transfection conditions for vectors expressing the mutants with only 1K remaining were identical to those used to express the single K to R substitutions, significantly lower levels of mutant p18^{Ink4c} proteins were achieved (Fig. 4A, bottom, lanes 9–13, and see below). This necessitated longer

exposure of the immunoblot to visualize polyubiquitin ladders (Fig. 4A, top, lanes 9–14). Using this series of mutants, lysines 46 and 112 appeared to represent the major Ub acceptors based on the relative efficiencies of conjugation (lanes 9 and 11). Notably, retention of K112 seemed to favor monoubiquitination (lane 11), whereas ladders formed on K46 were more uniform (lane 9). In agreement with these findings, ubiquitination of p18^{Ink4c} was almost completely abolished when lysines 46 and 112 were both eliminated (Fig. 4B). Substitution of all five lysines to arginines (p18^{Ink4c}-KL) also reduced detection of polyubiquitinated species (Fig. 4A, lane 14). The faint residual ladder detected after relatively long exposure of the latter blot corresponds in intensity to the signal emanating from endogenous human p18^{INK4C} (compare Fig. 4A, lane 14 to Fig. 3, lane 8); however, we cannot formally exclude that the KL mutant is ubiquitinated at a low level at its N-terminus. Together, these findings indicate that lysines 46 and 112 are the preferred acceptors for Ub conjugation.

Although our naïve prediction was that elimination of ubiquitination by lysine mutagenesis would further stabilize p18^{Ink4c}, all mutants that retained only single lysine residues were instead expressed at lower levels than the single K or wild type proteins (Fig. 4A, lower, lanes 9–13). Elimination of both lysines 46 and 112, while greatly attenuating ubiquitination, was similarly unaccompanied by increased p18^{Ink4c} expression (Fig. 4B), while, paradoxically, the lysine-less mutant was the least able to accumulate following transfection (Fig. 4A, bottom, lane 14). When cells expressing these mutants were treated with MG132, inhibition of the proteasome led to their further stabilization, arguing that even the lysine-less mutant remained subject to proteasomal degradation (Fig. 4C). Studies with the related family member, p16^{INK4A}, have indicated that most, if not all, cancer-associated point mutations that are distributed widely throughout the polypeptide inactivate its tumor suppressive function by triggering its misfolding and proteasomal degradation.⁴⁵ Mutants in p18^{Ink4c} can also affect its proper folding and stability,⁴⁶ so we suspect that the arginine for lysine substitutions accelerate the degradation of misfolded species in the proteasome, possibly through an Ub-independent pathway.

Kinetics of p18^{lnk4c} and p19^{lnk4d} turnover

Although, the half-life of p18^{Ink4c} is recognized to be >4 hours, ³⁶ it has not been precisely determined. To characterize the rate of turnover of wild type p18^{Ink4c} and selected lysine mutants, 293T cells expressing hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged p18^{Ink4c} were metabolically labeled for 2 hours with [³⁵S]-methionine 48 hours after transfection. Cells transferred to radiolabel-free medium containing a >100-fold excess of unlabelled methionine were harvested at different times over the ensuing 24 hour period, and p18^{Ink4c} precipitated with antibodies to the HA-tag was resolved on denaturing gels and detected by autoradiography. In 293T cells, the half-life of HA-tagged wild type p18^{Ink4c} protein expressed in NIH-3T3 cells (data not shown). In contrast, the half-life of the HA-tagged p18^{Ink4c}-KL mutant expressed in 293T cells was only 2 hours (Fig. 5B), whereas the K46R-112R double mutant exhibited an intermediate turnover rate of 5.5 hrs (Fig. 5C). These results directly confirm that the arginine for lysine substitutions in p18^{Ink4c} that limit its polyubiquitination nonetheless destabilize the protein.

Because lysine-less $p16^{Ink4a}$ can be degraded by the REG γ /PA28 γ proteasome, ³⁴ we compared the levels of endogenous $p18^{Ink4c}$ in immortalized mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from wild type mice and from those in which the gene encoding REG γ had been disrupted. ⁴⁷ In turn, we transfected 293T cells with expression vectors encoding both $p18^{Ink4c}$ and REG γ . In each case, we saw no differences in $p18^{Ink4c}$ expression, implying that the polyubiquitinated wild-type protein is not detectably degraded by the REG γ -capped proteasome (negative data not shown).

Unlike p18^{Ink4c}, p19^{Ink4d} was reported to have a short half-life.³⁶ We therefore characterized the turnover of endogenous p19^{Ink4d} in NIH-3T3 cells and of exogenously expressed p19^{Ink4d} in 293T cells in the presence of cycloheximide, an inhibitor of protein synthesis. We found that exogenously expressed p19^{Ink4d} had a half-life of 2.5 hours (Fig. 5D), equivalent to that of the endogenous protein (data not shown). These results confirm that p19^{Ink4d} has a much shorter half-life than p18^{Ink4c}, consistent with their differential regulation in NIH-3T3 cells (Fig. 1).¹⁴

Interactions of p18^{lnk4c} and p19^{lnk4d} with Cdk4 differentially affect their ubiquitination and stability

Binding of p19^{Ink4d} to Cdk4 increases its polyubiquitination and turnover; in turn, competition for Cdk4 binding by cyclin D1 can abolish Cdk4-dependent p19^{Ink4d} ubiquitination and stabilize the protein.³⁶ We therefore addressed whether the polyubiquitination and turnover of p18^{Ink4c} would similarly be increased through interactions with Cdk4 and Cdk6. We performed parallel ubiquitination assays for p19^{Ink4d} and p18^{Ink4c} in 293T cells in the presence or absence of overexpressed Cdk4, Cdk6 and/or cyclin D1. Surprisingly, ubiquitination of p18^{Ink4c} was reduced when the protein was coexpressed with Cdk4 or Cdk6 (Fig. 6A, top, lanes 2 and 3 versus lane 1), but was increased when p18^{Ink4c} was coexpressed with cyclin D1 alone (Fig. 6A, top, lane 4). When cyclin D1 was coexpressed with Cdk4 or Cdk6, p18^{Ink4c} polyubiquitination was again limited (Fig. 6A, compare lanes 5 and 6 with lanes 2 and 3). Immunoblotting of the cell lysates confirmed that Cdk4, Cdk6 and cyclin D1 were overexpressed (Fig. 6A, lower). Importantly, reduced levels of p18^{Ink4c} protein correlated with its polyubiquitination (lanes 1 and 4 versus other lanes).

These results were in striking contrast with those reported for p19^{Ink4d}. Indeed, we reproduced the previously described enhancement of p19^{Ink4d} ubiquitination in the presence of overexpressed Cdk4 or Cdk6 (Fig. 6B, lanes 2 and 3 versus lane 1).³⁶ Enforced expression of cyclin D1 did not detectably limit the polyubiquitination of p19^{Ink4d} when it was coexpressed with Cdk4 (Fig. 6B, top, compare lanes 5 and 2) but did reduce p19^{Ink4d} polyubiquitination in the presence of Cdk6 (compare lanes 6 and 3). Under these experimental conditions, we were unable to correlate the net levels of p19^{Ink4d} with its degree of ubiquitination (Fig. 6B, lower).

To test whether the Cdk4-mediated inhibition of $p18^{Ink4c}$ polyubiquitination depended on Cdk4 kinase activity or simply on its association with Cdk4, we used three missense point mutants of Cdk4. These included Cdk4-R24C (or ΔI) which is unable to interact with Ink4 proteins, 48,49 Cdk4-D158N (or ΔK) that is devoid of kinase activity, 48 and a double-mutant, Cdk4-R24C/D158N (or DM) that is defective for both properties (Fig. 6C). Whereas Cdk4- ΔI and Cdk4-DM were unable to affect the levels of ubiquitination of $p18^{Ink4c}$ (Fig. 6C, top, lanes 3 and 5), Cdk4- ΔK , like the wild type catalytic subunit, was able to do so (Fig. 5C, top, lanes 2 and 4). Thus, the ability of Cdk4 to inhibit $p18^{Ink4c}$ polyubiquitination depends upon its ability to bind $p18^{Ink4c}$ but not on Cdk4 kinase activity. Similar results were observed with the corresponding double mutant of Cdk6 (R31C, D163N) (data not shown).

Because the interaction of the two Ink4 proteins with Cdk4/6 and Cyclin D1 had opposite effects, polyubiquitination of p19^{Ink4d} and p18^{Ink4c} must be regulated by different mechanisms. We therefore analyzed the effect of Cdk4 or Cyclin D1 overexpression on the turnover of p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} in an attempt to link their propensity to undergo ubiquitination with their stability. When Cdk4 was co-expressed with p18^{Ink4c} in 293T cells, the half-life of p18^{Ink4c} was increased (p < 0.04) more than threefold (Fig. 5E versus A). Therefore, Cdk4-mediated interference of p18^{Ink4c} ubiquitination can stabilize the protein. In contrast, Cdk4 had no significant effect on the already rapid turnover of p19^{Ink4d} (Fig. 5F versus D). In turn, when p18^{Ink4c} was co-expressed with cyclin D1, its half-life slightly decreased to ~8 hours (Fig. 5G versus A), whereas the half life of p19^{Ink4d} more than doubled (p < 0.04) (Fig. 5H versus D).

Thus, the ubiquitination and stabilities of p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} are regulated in an opposite manner through interactions with their Cdk targets.

Discussion

Progression through the cell division cycle requires an appropriate balance between the expression of both positive (Cyclin-Cdks) and negative (Cdk inhibitors) regulators, the activities of which are governed by both transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms. Although cells in G_1 phase are highly attuned to their extracellular environment and are regulated through receptor-mediated signals, cells that enter S phase are committed to completing the division cycle, and their further progression is largely governed by cell-autonomous feed forward and feedback mechanisms. In order for proliferating cells to execute these functions properly, the key regulators of the division cycle must oscillate dynamically and be expressed with defined periodicities that are coordinated with the cell's position in the cycle.⁵⁰ It logically follows that stably elevated expression of a Cdk inhibitor would be incompatible with cell cycle progression and might instead prove important for cell cycle entry or exit, and for maintaining differentiated cells in a quiescent, post-mitotic state.

Three of the four Ink4 proteins are stably expressed. Whereas p16^{Ink4a} and p15^{Ink4b} act to inhibit the cell cycle in response to various forms of oncogenic stress, p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} are engaged in regulating various aspects of organismal development.^{24–28,42} Notably, inactivation of the *Ink4a* and *Ink4b* genes, while predisposing to tumor formation, leads to no overt developmental abnormalities, whereas mice lacking *Ink4c* exhibit organomegally and focal developmental defects affecting male germ cells, the kidney, and various endocrine organs.^{24,26,27} Our findings indicate that, like p16^{Ink4a} and p15^{Ink4b}, p18^{Ink4c} is a highly stable protein whose temporal decay during cell cycle entry of cultured NIH-3T3 cells on the one hand and increased expression in primary cerebellar granule neuron precursors exiting the cycle on the other are largely determined by post-transcriptional mechanisms involving the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway.

Unlike the other Ink4 family members, $p19^{Ink4d}$ is an outlier, as it is an unstable protein whose levels dynamically oscillate as much as 20-fold, peaking late in the cycle as cells approach mitosis and decreasing again as they reenter an ensuing G₁ phase.^{14,36} p19^{Ink4d} is the only Ink4 protein to have been implicated in DNA repair and cell survival after UV irradiation.⁵¹, ⁵² Its short half life would be advantageous for regulating transient processes by allowing easy clearance of the protein once its activity is no longer needed. Notably, of the four Ink4 proteins, only p19^{Ink4d} lacks a tumor suppressive function, implying that its ephemeral expression may be incompatible with such a role. In turn, the predisposition to cancer development associated with inactivation of the other three family members is likely linked to their regulation of cell cycle entry and exit rather than progression through the division cycle per se or acute checkpoint responses.

Based on experiments in which single or multiple lysine residues within $p18^{Ink4c}$ were mutated to arginines, we were able to conclude that its ubiquitination occurs mainly on lysine residues 46 and 112. A double mutant lacking only these two lysines was found to be essentially devoid of ubiquitin, despite the fact that all internal lysines could act as ubiquitin acceptors at some level. The formation of ubiquitination ladders observed with $p18^{Ink4c}$ proteins containing only single lysine residues also revealed that, despite the possibility of its multi-monoubiquitination, $p18^{Ink4c}$ undergoes polyubiquitination, a process required for proteasomal recognition and consistent with the observed accumulation of $p18^{Ink4c}$ in the face of proteasome inhibition. 5^3 We therefore expected that mutants of $p18^{Ink4c}$ that lacked lysines 46 and 112 would be degraded more slowly than their wild type counterpart. However, this was not the case, as all such mutants had reduced half-lives and accumulated to comparatively reduced levels

following their enforced expression. Similar findings have been made with p16^{Ink4a}, which can sustain inactivating mutations that are widely distributed throughout the molecule. Regardless of the many codons so affected, these mutations disrupt the folding of ankyrin repeats, destabilizing the protein and accelerating its turnover.⁴⁵ We reason, then, that arginine to lysine substitutions within p18^{Ink4c} similarly subvert its proper folding.⁴⁶ Given that the lysine-less p18^{Ink4c} mutant proved to be highly unstable despite its lack of polyubiquitination and yet accumulated in response to proteasomal inhibition, the turnover of misfolded p18^{Ink4c} variants likely occurs through an ubiquitin-independent pathway.

The ubiquitination and turnover of p18^{Ink4c} were significantly retarded in response to the enforced co-expression of Cdks. This effect depended on the ability of Cdks to bind to p18^{Ink4c} but did not require Cdk enzymatic activity. The enforced expression of cyclin D1 had the opposite effect, increasing p18^{Ink4c} polyubiquitination and turnover and overcoming the effects of Cdks. This is consistent with observations that Ink4 proteins and D-type cyclins respectively exert their anti- and pro-proliferative effects by competing with one another in forming binary complexes with their targeted Cdks.^{2,7,54} Competition between D-type cyclins and p18^{Ink4c} for binding to Cdks may well explain how p18^{Ink4c} expression is maintained for many days in the absence of new Ink4c mRNA synthesis during postnatal cerebellar development. The degradation of D-type cyclins as GNPs exit the cell cycle should favor maintenance of inhibitory p18^{Ink4c}-Cdk4/6 complexes during the period in which GNPs migrate to their final positions and establish neuronal connections in the cerebellum. An analogous mechanism leading to stabilization of p15^{Ink4b}-Cdk complexes in response to TGF β signaling^{12,32} implies that regulation of Ink4 protein turnover in response to environmental cues may be a general feature underlying a variety of anti-proliferative and differentiative processes.

Surprisingly, these findings with p18^{Ink4c} directly contrast with what occurs with p19^{Ink4d}. whose polyubiquitination was instead reported to be increased by Cdk binding and decreased in the presence of cyclin D1.³⁶ We confirmed that polyubiquitination of p19^{Ink4d} was increased in response to Cdk coexpression, although in our hands, we did not detect statistically significant effects of Cdks on its already rapid rate of turnover. Enforced expression of cyclin D1 almost doubled the stability of $p19^{Ink4d}$ (p < 0.05). How can these diametrically opposed responses to p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} ubiquitination in the presence of Cdks and D-type cyclins be explained? The structures of both p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} have been solved in complexes with Cdk6.^{8,10} Lysine 62, the principle ubiquitin acceptor within p19^{Ink4d,36} is exposed at the surface of the p19^{Ink4d}-Cdk6 complex, whereas lysine 46 in p18^{Ink4c} lies buried within the p18^{Ink4c}-Cdk6 interface. Thus, it seems more likely that p19^{Ink4d} can be ubiquitinated when complexed to Cdk6, whereas ubiquitination of lysine 46 and possibly lysine 112 of p18^{Ink4c} should be sterically hindered. If so, ubiquitination of the unbound form of p18^{Ink4c} may be favored, consistent with the interpretation that competitive dissociation of the complex by cyclin D1 accelerates p18^{Ink4c} ubiquitination and degradation. Overall, these differences in protein turnover help explain why, despite very similar mRNA expression profiles, p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d} proteins play very different biological roles.

Materials and Methods

Expression plasmids

Ink4c point mutants in which individual or multiple lysine residues were substituted by arginine were generated by a two-step PCR method using a pBluescript plasmid (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) containing mouse *Ink4c* cDNA as template.¹⁴ For the first step, the reaction mix of 50 μ L included *Ink4c* cDNA (100 ng), 25 pmol primers (either the complementary sense or antissense primers encoding lysine to arginine mutation in combination with T3 or T7 primer, respectively), 200 μ M of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, and 2.5 U of Pfu turbo in Pfu

buffer (Stratagene). The reaction mix was subjected to 34 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 95° C, 1 min annealing at 52°C, and 2 min extension at 72°C. PCR products were gel-purified, and T3-sense and T7-anti-sense product pairs were mixed with T3 and T7 primers for a second PCR reaction consisting of 15 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 95°C, 1 min annealing at 37°C, and 2 min extension at 72°C, followed by 34 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 95°C, 1 min annealing at 52°C, and 2 min extension at 72°C. The final PCR products were gel-purified, digested with BamHI and EcoRI, and cloned into pCDNA3.1+ (V790-20, Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA) or an MSCV-IRES-GFP retroviral vector, ⁵⁵ based on the mouse stem cell virus (MSCV) and containing an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) that allows translation of green fluorescent protein (GFP). To convert lysine to arginine (underlined codons) primers were designed to include 12 complementary bases on the 5' and 3' sides of the lysine codon as follows: K46R: 5'-CTG CAG GTT ATG AGG CTT GGA AAT CCG (sense) and 5'-CGG ATT TCC AAG CCT CAT AAC CTG CAG (anti-sense); K66R: 5'-AAT CCC AAT TTG AGG GAT GGA ACT GGT (sense) and 5'-ACC AGT TCC ATC CCT CAA ATT GGG ATT (anti-sense); K112R: 5'-CAC TTG GCT GCC AGG GAA GGC CAC CTC (sense) and 5'-GAG GTG GCC TTC CCT GGC AGC CAA GTG (anti-sense); K124R: 5'-GAG TTC CTT ATG AGG CAC ACA GCC TGC (sense) and 5'-GCA GGC TGT GTG CCT CAT AAG GAA CTC (anti-sense); K136R: 5'-CAT CGG AAC CAT AGG GGG GAC ACC GCC (sense) and 5'-GGC GGT GTC CCC CCT ATG GTT CCG ATG (anti-sense).

Cdk4 and Cdk6 mutants were generated using the same approach as that described above using the following primers: Cdk4 R24C, 5'-GTG TAC AAA GCC TGC GAT CCC CAC AGT (sense) and 5'-ACT GTG GGG ATC GCA GGC TTT GTA CAC (anti-sense); Cdk4 D158N, 5'-GTC AAG CTG GCT AAC TTT GGC CTA GCT (sense) and 5'-AGC TAA GCC AAA GTT AGC CAG CTT GAC (anti-sense); Cdk6 R31C, 5'-GTG TTC AAG GCC TGC GAC CTG AAG AAC (sense) and 5'-GTT CTT CAG GTC GCA GGC CTT GAA CAC (anti-sense); Cdk6 D163N, 5'-ATA AAG CTG GCT AA CTT TGG CCT TGCC (sense) and 5'-GGC AAG GCC AAA GTT AGC CAG CTT TAT (anti-sense); Wild type Ink4c and a lysine-less (KL) variant tagged with a hemagglutinin (HA) epitope at their C-terminus were synthesized by PCR using 5'-GAA TTC AAG CGT AGT CTG GGA CGT CGT ATG GGT ACT GCA GGC TTG TGG CTC CCCC (anti-sense) and a T7 primer. PCR products were first cloned into pCR2.1 (TOPO TA cloning kit K450001, Invitrogen), and then the BamHI-EcoRI fragment was cloned into the MSCV-IRES-GFP vector. The identities of all mutations were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. A vector expressing ubiquitin (Ub) tagged with six tandem histidine residues (His₆) at the N-terminus⁵⁶ was provided by Dr. Dick Bohmann (University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry).

Cell culture and cell cycle analysis

Mouse NIH-3T3 fibroblasts and human kidney 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modification of Eagle's medium (DMEM) (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, Waltham MA), 4 mM glutamine, and 100 units each of penicillin and streptomycin (Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA) in an 8% humidified incubator. MG132 (474790, Calbiochem EMD, Gibbstown, NJ) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxazole (DMSO) prior to its addition to the culture medium at 10 μ M final concentration. Primary cerebellar granule neuron progenitors (GNPs) were purified from the cerebella of 7, 11 and 14 day old C57BL/6 mice and lysed immediately after purification without any culture steps, as previously described.⁴²

To achieve synchronous entry into the cell cycle from quiescence (G₀), NIH-3T3 cells were maintained at confluence for 48 hrs and serum-starved for 24 hrs in starvation medium [(DMEM with 0.1% FBS and 400 μ g/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA)]. Cells were then trypsinized and seeded at sub-confluence (1 × 10⁶ cells in 10 cm dishes) in complete serum-

containing medium to allow them to reenter into and progress through the cell division cycle. To check for synchrony, samples were stained with propidium iodide and their DNA content was determined by fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS). MODFIT (Verity Software, Topsham, ME) was used to estimate the fraction of cells in G_1 (2N), S or G_2/M (4N) phase.

Immunoblotting

Cells were harvested, pelleted and either immediately frozen in dry ice and kept at -80° C for later analysis or directly lysed. Lysis was performed with RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride (NaCl), 1.0% Nonidet-P40 (NP40), 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)] containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.2 U of Aprotinin per mL, 10 mM β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium fluoride (NaF), and 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Na₃VO₄). Protein concentration was quantified by BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford IL), and samples were electrophoretically separated on 4%–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen), transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Billerica MA) and detected using antibodies to p18^{Ink4c} (39–3400, Zymed, S. San Francisco CA), p19^{Ink4d} (39–3100, Zymed), Cdk4 (sc-260), Cdk6 (sc-177), Cyclin D1 (sc-450), Actin (sc1615) (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and p27^{Kip1} (610242, BD Transduction Lab, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

In vivo ubiquitination assays

293T cells were cotransfected with 3 µg of a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter-driven His₆ubiquitin plasmid⁵⁶ together with 1.5 µg of *Ink4c*, *Cdk4*, *Cdk6* and/or *cyclin D1* expression plasmids (pcDNA3.1⁺ backbone) using Fugene-6 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells treated 48 h post-transfection with either 10 µM MG132 or DMSO for 12 h were harvested in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). 10% of the cell suspension was used for direct p18^{Ink4c} immunoblotting, and the rest was resuspended in urea lysis buffer (100 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, with 8 M urea, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing 10 mM imidazole and sonicated to reduce viscosity. Protein concentration was assessed with Bradford reagent (BioRad, Hercules CA). Equal amounts of protein (2 to 3 mg) in 1 mL of lysis buffer were added to 50 µL of Ni-NTA beads (QIAGEN, Valencia CA) and rotated for 4 hours at room temperature. Beads were washed with urea lysis buffer containing 20 mM imidazole. His-tagged proteins were eluted once in 75 µL of elution buffer (0.15 M Tris-HCl at pH 6.7, 5% SDS, 30% glycerol, 0.72 M β-mercaptoethanol, 200 mM imidazole) for 30 min at room temperature with shaking. 20 μ L of the elution product was then mixed with 5 μ L of 4X sample buffer (NP0007, Invitrogen), separated on 4%-12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen), transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore), and detected with monoclonal antibody to p18^{Ink4c} (39–3400, Zymed) or p19^{Ink4d} (39–3100 Zymed).

Analysis of protein turnover rates

NIH-3T3 cells or 293T cells transfected with the indicated expression plasmids were rinsed twice with PBS and were preincubated for 30 min with methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM containing 10% dialysed FBS and 4 mM L-glutamine. Cells were metabolically labeled for 2 hrs in the same medium supplemented with 200 μ Ci/mL of Tran³⁵S-Label (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA), washed with complete medium containing 2 mM cold methionine and cysteine, and again cultured in complete medium. At various times thereafter, cells were harvested, frozen in dry ice, and lysed in 100 μ L of denaturing buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 2 mM EDTA and 1% SDS) containing protease inhibitors, boiled for 10 min, and passed through a 21 gauge needle to shear DNA. 900 μ L of dilution buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 2 mM EDTA and 1% SDS) containing protease inhibitors, boiled for 10 min, and passed through a 21 gauge needle to shear DNA. 900 μ L of dilution buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 2 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 1%

deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS containing protease inhibitors) was added, lysates were incubated on ice for 10 min, and were centrifuged at 20,000 xg at 4°C for 10 min. Proteins were quantified by BCA assay (Pierce) and 200 µg were precipitated either with a rabbit polyclonal p18^{Ink4c} antibody¹⁴ and recovered with protein-A Sepharose (Amersham Bioscience, Piscataway, NJ) or, for epitope-tagged proteins, recovered with HA-agarose beads (A2095, Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Beads were washed four times with RIPA buffer, denaturated by heating, separated on 4%–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore). Dried membranes were coated with EN³HANCE (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences, Waltham, MA) and subjected to radio-fluorography at –80°C using intensifying screens or using a Kodak storage phosphor screen for 4 to 12 hrs and were subsequently scanned with a Storm 860 scanner (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). To determine the rate of degradation of p19^{Ink4d}, transfected 293T cells were treated 48 hrs later with 10 µM of cycloheximide (C4859, Sigma) for various periods of time and harvested. Lysates prepared as above were separated on 4%–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen), transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore) and protein was detected using antibody to p19^{Ink4d} (39–3100, Zymed).

RNA isolation, RNA blotting and real-time PCR

RNA from NIH-3T3 cells or from Percoll-gradient purified GNPs was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Glyoxylated total RNA (20 μ g) extracted from NIH-3T3 cells was separated on a 1.1% agarose gel and transferred to a Hybond-N⁺ membrane (Amersham Biosciences). Prehybridation, hybridation and washing were performed as previously described. ¹⁴ Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) performed with RNA extracted from purified GNPs was performed using a 7900HT Sequence Detection System and the TaqMan One Step PCR MasterMix reagent kit (both from ABI, Branchburg NJ). The primer probe sets for murine *Ink4c* were: 5'-GCG CTG CAG GTT ATG AAAC (sense), 5'-TTA GCA CCT CTG AGG AGA AGC (anti-sense) and 5'-CCT GGC AAT CTC CGG ATT TCA (TaqMan probe). Triplicate reactions were performed using 100 ng total RNA, 100 nM primers and 50 nM probe in 50 μ L reactions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Linda Hendershot, Beatriz Sosa-Pineda and Peter Murray for helpful comments and discussions; Mary Thomas and Allison Williams for comments on the manuscript; Deborah Yons, Shelly Wilkerson and Robert Jenson for technical assistance and mouse husbandry; Richard Ashmun and Ann-Marie Hamilton-Easton for flow cytometric analysis; Dick Bohmann for the His6-ubiquitin plasmid; and Lance Barton for REGγ-null MEFs.

This work was supported in part by NIH grants CA-096832 and CA-071907 (to M.F.R.), Cancer Center Core grant CA-21765, La Fondation pour la Recherche Medicale and the Gephardt Endowed Fellowship Signal Transduction (to O.A.) and the American Lebanese-Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC) of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital. C.J.S. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Sherr CJ, Roberts JM. Inhibitors of mammalian G₁ cyclin-dependent kinases. Genes Dev 1995;9:1149– 1163. [PubMed: 7758941]
- Sherr CJ, Roberts JM. CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G₁-phase progression. Genes Dev 1999;13:1501–1512. [PubMed: 10385618]
- Russo AA, Jeffrey PD, Patten AK, Massagué J, Pavletich NP. Crystal structure of the p27^{Kip1} cyclindependent-kinase inhibitor bound to the cyclin A-Cdk2 complex. Nature 1996;382:325–331. [PubMed: 8684460]
- 4. Pavletich NP. Mechanisms of cyclin-dependent kinase regulation: structures of Cdks, their cyclin activators, and cip and INK4 inhibitors. J Mol Biol 1999;287:821–828. [PubMed: 10222191]

- Grimmler M, Wang Y, Mund T, Cilensek Z, Keidel EM, Waddell MB, Jäkel H, Kullmann M, Kriwacki RW, Hengst L. Cdk-inhibitory activity and stability of p27^{Kip1} are directly regulated by oncogenic tyrosine kinases. Cell 2007;128:269–280. [PubMed: 17254966]
- Chu I, Sun J, Arnaout A, Kahn H, Hanna W, Narod S, Sun P, Tan CK, Hengst L, Slingerland J. p27 phosphorylation by Src regulates inhibition of cyclin E-Cdk2. Cell 2007;128:281–294. [PubMed: 17254967]
- Ruas M, Peters G. The p16^{INK4a}/CDKN2A tumor suppressor and its relatives. Biochim Biophys Acta 1998;1378:115–177.
- Russo AA, Tong L, Lee JO, Jeffrey PD, Pavletich NP. Structural basis for inhibition of dependent kinase Cdk6 by the tumour suppressor p16^{INK4a}. Nature 1998;395:237–243. [PubMed: 9751050]
- Venkataramani R, Swaminathan K, Marmorstein R. Crystal structure of the CDK4/6 inhibitory protein p18^{INK4c} provides insights into ankyrin-like repeat structure/function and tumor-derived p16^{INK4} mutations. Nat Struct Mol Biol 1998;5:74–81.
- Jeffrey PD, Tong L, Pavletich NP. Structural basis of inhibition of CDK-cyclin complexes by INK4 inhibitors. Genes Dev 2000;14:3115–3125. [PubMed: 11124804]
- Serrano M, Hannon GJ, Beach D. A new regulatory motif in cell cycle control causing specific inhibition of cyclin D/CDK4. Nature 1993;366:704–707. [PubMed: 8259215]
- Hannon GJ, Beach D. p15^{INK4B} is a potential effector of TGFβ-induced cell cycle arrest. Nature 1994;371:257–261. [PubMed: 8078588]
- Guan KL, Jenkins CW, Li Y, Nichols MA, Wu X, O'Keefe CL, Matera AG, Xiong Y. Growth suppression by p18, a p16^{INK4/MTS1}- and p14^{INK4B/MTS2}-related CDK6 inhibitor, correlates with wild-type pRb function. Genes Dev 1994;8:2939–2952. [PubMed: 8001816]
- Hirai H, Roussel MF, Kato JY, Ashmun RA, Sherr CJ. Novel INK4 proteins, p19 and p18, are specific inhibitors of the cyclin D-dependent kinases CDK4 and CDK6. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:2672–2681. [PubMed: 7739547]
- 15. Chan FK, Zhang J, Cheng L, Shapiro DN, Winoto A. Identification of human and mouse p19, a novel CDK4 and CDK6 inhibitor with homology to p16^{ink4}. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:2682–2688. [PubMed: 7739548]
- Zindy F, Quelle DE, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ. Expression of the p16^{INK4a} tumor suppressor versus other INK4 family members during mouse development and aging. Oncogene 1997;15:203–211. [PubMed: 9244355]
- Krishnamurthy J, Torrice C, Ramsey MR, Kovalev GI, Al-Regaiey K, Su L, Sharpless NE. *Ink4a/ Arf* expression is a biomarker of aging. J Clin Invest 2004;114:1299–1307. [PubMed: 15520862]
- Janzen V, Forkert R, Fleming HE, Saito Y, Waring MT, Dombkowski DM, Cheng T, DePinho RA, Sharpless NE, Scadden DT. Stem-cell ageing modified by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16^{INK4a}. Nature 2006;443:421–426. [PubMed: 16957735]
- Molofsky AV, Slutsky SG, Joseph NM, He S, Pardal R, Krishnamurthy J, Sharpless NE, Morrison SJ. Increasing p16^{INK4a} expression decreases forebrain progenitors and neurogenesis during ageing. Nature 2006;443:448–452. [PubMed: 16957738]
- Krishnamurthy J, Ramsey MR, Ligon KL, Torrice C, Koh A, Bonner-Weir S, Sharpless NE. p16^{INK4a} induces an age-dependent decline in islet regenerative potential. Nature 2006;443:453– 457. [PubMed: 16957737]
- 21. Lowe SW, Cepero E, Evan G. Intrinsic tumour suppression. Nature 2004;432:307–315. [PubMed: 15549092]
- Krimpenfort P, Ijpenberg A, Song JY, van der Valk M, Nawijn M, Zevenhoven J, Berns A. p15^{Ink4b} is a critical tumour suppressor in the absence of p16^{Ink4a}. Nature 2007;448:943–946. [PubMed: 17713536]
- Zindy F, Soares H, Herzog KH, Morgan J, Sherr CJ, Roussel MF. Expression of INK4 inhibitors of cyclin D-dependent kinases during mouse brain development. Cell Growth Differ 1997;8:1139– 1150. [PubMed: 9372237]
- 24. Franklin DS, Godfrey VL, Lee H, Kovalev GI, Schoonhoven R, Chen-Kiang S, Su L, Xiong Y. CDK inhibitors p18^{INK4c} and p27^{Kip1} mediate two separate pathways to collaboratively suppress pituitary tumorigenesis. Genes Dev 1998;12:2899–2911. [PubMed: 9744866]

- 25. Zindy F, Cunningham JJ, Sherr CJ, Jogal S, Smeyne RJ, Roussel MF. Postnatal neuronal proliferation in mice lacking Ink4d and Kip1 inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:13462–13467. [PubMed: 10557343]
- 26. Latres E, Malumbres M, Sotillo R, Martín J, Ortega S, Martín-Caballero J, Flores JM, Cordón-Cardo C, Barbacid M. Limited overlapping roles of p15^{INK4b} and p18^{INK4c} cell cycle inhibitors in proliferation and tumorigenesis. EMBO J 2000;19:3496–3506. [PubMed: 10880462]
- 27. Zindy F, den Besten W, Chen B, Rehg JE, Latres E, Barbacid M, Pollard JW, Sherr CJ, Cohen PE, Roussel MF. Control of spermatogenesis in mice by the cyclin D-dependent kinase inhibitors p18^{Ink4c} and p19^{Ink4d}. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:3244–3255. [PubMed: 11287627]
- Chen P, Zindy F, Abdala C, Liu F, Li X, Roussel MF, Segil N. Progressive hearing loss in mice lacking the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Ink4d. Nat Cell Biol 2003;5:422–426. [PubMed: 12717441]
- Zindy F, van Deursen J, Grosveld G, Sherr CJ, Roussel MF. *INK4d*-deficient mice are fertile despite testicular atrophy. Mol Cell Biol 2000;20:372–378. [PubMed: 10594039]
- Parry D, Bates S, Mann DJ, Peters G. Lack of cyclin D-Cdk complexes in Rb-negative cells correlates with high levels of p16^{INK4/MTS1} tumour suppressor gene product. EMBO J 1995;14:503–511. [PubMed: 7859739]
- Shapiro GI, Park JE, Edwards CD, Mao L, Merlo A, Sidransky D, Ewen ME, Rollins BJ. Multiple mechanisms of p16^{INK4A} inactivation in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines. Cancer Res 1995;55:6200–6209. [PubMed: 8521414]
- 32. Sandhu C, Garbe J, Bhattacharya N, Daksis J, Pan CH, Yaswen P, Koh J, Slingerland JM, Stampfer MR. Transforming growth factor β stabilizes p15^{INK4B} protein, increases p15^{INK4B}-cdk4 complexes, and inhibits cyclin D1-cdk4 association in human mammary epithelial cells. Mol Cell Biol 1997;17:2458–2467. [PubMed: 9111314]
- 33. Ben-Saadon R, Fajerman I, Ziv T, Hellman U, Schwartz AL, Ciechanover A. The tumor suppressor protein p16^{INK4a} and the human papillomavirus oncoprotein-58 E7 are naturally occurring lysineless proteins that are degraded by the ubiquitin system. Direct evidence for ubiquitination at the Nterminal residue. J Biol Chem 2004;279:41414–41421. [PubMed: 15254040]
- 34. Chen X, Barton LF, Chi Y, Clurman BE, Roberts JM. Ubiquitin-independent degradation of cell cycle inhibitors by the REGγ proteasome. Mol Cell 2007;26:843–852. [PubMed: 17588519]
- Tokumoto YM, Apperly JA, Gao FB, Raff MC. Posttranscriptional regulation of p18 and p27 Cdk inhibitor proteins and the timing of oligodendrocyte differentiation. Dev Biol 2002;245:224–234. [PubMed: 11969268]
- 36. Thullberg M, Bartek J, Lukas J. Ubiquitin/proteasome-mediated degradation of p19^{INK4d} determines its periodic expression during the cell cycle. Oncogene 2000;19:2870–2876. [PubMed: 10851091]
- Phelps DE, Hsiao KM, Li Y, Hu N, Franklin DS, Westphal E, Lee EY, Xiong Y. Coupled transcriptional and translational control of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p18^{INK4c} expression during myogenesis. Mol Cell Biol 1998;18:2334–2343. [PubMed: 9528803]
- Polyak K, Kato J-Y, Solomon MJ, Sherr CJ, Massague J, Roberts JM, Koff A. p27^{Kip1}, a cyclin-Cdk inhibitor, links transforming growth factor-beta and contact inhibition to cell cycle arrest. Genes Dev 1994;8:9–22. [PubMed: 8288131]
- 39. Toyoshima H, Hunter T. p27, a novel inhibitor of G¹ cyclin-Cdk protein kinase activity, is related to p21. Cell 1994;78:67–74. [PubMed: 8033213]
- 40. Matsushime H, Roussel MF, Ashmun RA, Sherr CJ. Colony-stimulating factor 1 regulates novel cyclins during the G¹ phase of the cell cycle. Cell 1991;65:701–713. [PubMed: 1827757]
- 41. Matsushime H, Quelle DE, Shurtleff SA, Shibuya M, Sherr CJ, Kato J-Y. D-type cyclin-dependent kinase activity in mammalian cells. Mol Cell Biol 1994;14:2066–2076. [PubMed: 8114738]
- 42. Uziel T, Zindy F, Xie S, Lee Y, Forget A, Magdaleno S, Rehg JE, Calabrese C, Solecki D, Eberhart CG, Sherr SE, Plimmer S, Clifford SC, Hatten ME, McKinnon PJ, Gilbertson RJ, Curran T, Sherr CJ, Roussel MF. The tumor suppressors *Ink4c* and *p53* collaborate independently with Patched to suppress medulloblastoma formation. Genes Dev 2005;19:2656–2667. [PubMed: 16260494]
- Pickart CM, Fushman D. Polyubiquitin chains: polymeric protein signals. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2004;8:610–616. [PubMed: 15556404]

- 44. Ciechanover A, Ben-Saadon R. N-terminal ubiquitination: more protein substrates join in. Trends Cell Biol 2004;14:103–106. [PubMed: 15055197]
- 45. Tang KS, Guralnick BJ, Wang WK, Fersht AR, Itzhaki LS. Stability and folding of the tumour suppressor protein p16. J Mol Biol 1999;285:1869–1886. [PubMed: 9917418]
- Venkataramani RN, MacLachlan TK, Chai X, El-Deiry WS, Marmorstein R. Structure-based design of p18^{INK4c} proteins with increased thermodynamic stability and cell cycle inhibitory activity. J Biol Chem 2002;277:48827–48833. [PubMed: 12370184]
- 47. Barton LF, Runnels HA, Schell TD, Cho Y, Gibbons R, Tevethia SS, Deepe GS Jr, Monaco JJ. Immune defects in 28-kDa proteasome activator γ-deficient mice. J Immunol 2004;172:3948–3954. [PubMed: 15004203]
- 48. Wölfel T, Hauer M, Schneider J, Serrano M, Wölfel C, Klehmann-Hieb E, De Plaen E, Hankeln T, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH, Beach D. A p16^{INK4a}-insensitive CDK4 mutant targeted by cytolytic T lymphocytes in a human melanoma. Science 1995;269:1281–1284. [PubMed: 7652577]
- Ruas M, Gregory F, Jones R, Poolman R, Starborg M, Rowe J, Brookes S, Peters G. CDK4 and CDK6 delay senescence by kinase-dependent and p16^{INK4a}-independent mechanisms. Mol Cell Biol 2007;27:4273–4282. [PubMed: 17420273]
- 50. Murray AW. Recycling the cell cycle: cyclins revisited. Cell 2004;116:221–234. [PubMed: 14744433]
- Ceruti JM, Scassa ME, Fló JM, Varone CL, Cánepa ET. Induction of p19^{INK4d} in response to ultraviolet light improves DNA repair and confers resistance to apoptosis in neuroblastoma cells. Oncogene 2005;24:4065–4080. [PubMed: 15750620]
- 52. Scassa ME, Marazita MC, Ceruti JM, Carcagno AL, Sirkin PF, González-Cid M, Pignataro OP, Cánepa ET. Cell cycle inhibitor, p19^{INK4d}, promotes cell survival and decreases chromosomal aberrations after genotoxic insult due to enhanced DNA repair. DNA Repair 2007;6:626–638. [PubMed: 17218167]
- 53. Rechsteiner M, Hill CP. Mobilizing the proteolytic machine: cell biological roles of proteasome activators and inhibitors. Trends Cell Biol 2005;15:27–33. [PubMed: 15653075]
- Ragione FD, Russo GL, Oliva A, Mercurio C, Mastropietro S, Pietra VD, Zappia V. Biochemical characterization of p16^{INK4}- and p18-containing complexes in human cell lines. J Biol Chem 1996;271:15942–15949. [PubMed: 8663131]
- 55. Hawley RG, Lieu FH, Fong AZ, Hawley TS. Versatile retroviral vectors for potential use in gene therapy. Gene Ther 1994;1:136–138. [PubMed: 7584069]
- 56. Treier M, Staszewski LM, Bohmann D. Ubiquitin-dependent c-Jun degradation in vivo is mediated by the δ domain. Cell 1994;78:787–798. [PubMed: 8087846]



Figure 1. Dynamics of serum-induced cell cycle entry and progression

NIH-3T3 cells were made quiescent by contact inhibition and serum starvation and then restimulated to synchronously enter the cell division (A) *Ink4c* and *Ink4d* mRNA levels in NIH-3T3 cells were determined by Northern blotting. The positions of the two spliced *Ink4c* mRNAs of 2.4 and 1.2 Kb are indicated at the left margin and times (Hrs) following serum-stimulation are indicated at the top. (B) (part a) Antibodies directed to the proteins indicated at the left of the panels were used to track their expression by immunoblotting of lysates harvested at different times (Hrs) after cell cycle entry. All lanes contained similar amounts of total protein, and actin (bottom) was used as a loading control. (part b) Plot of the relative levels of expression of $p18^{Ink4c}$ (**a**) and $p19^{Ink4d}$ (**b**) quantified using a scanner. (part c) Cells taken

at the indicated times (Hrs) after serum stimulation (top) were stained with propidium iodide and their DNA content was determined by flow cytometry. The fraction of cells in G_1 (2N), G_2/M (4N) and S (intermediate ploidy) are indicated.



Figure 2. Expression of Ink4c and other cell cycle regulators in cerebellar GNPs

(A) RNA was extracted from primary GNPs purified from the developing cerebella of postnatal (P) mice at 7, 11 and 14 days after birth (indicated at the top of the panel), and quantitative real time PCR was used to quantify the relative level of expression of *Ink4c* RNA in the three samples. Cells stained with propidium iodide were examined by flow cytometry to estimate their DNA content, and the fraction of cells in different phases of the cell cycle is indicated at the bottom. (B) Antibodies directed to the proteins indicated to the left of the panels were used to quantify their expression in GNPs purified at the indicated days after birth.



Figure 3. Polyubiquitination of p18^{Ink4c}

Human 293T cells were cotransfected with vectors encoding His-tagged ubiquitin and/or p18^{Ink4c} as indicated above the panels. 48 hrs after transfection, cells were treated with MG132 or solvent for 12 hrs and harvested. Ubiquitinated proteins were recovered from denatured cell lysates by affinity chromatography on Ni-agarose beads, and proteins electrophoretically separated on denaturing gels were blotted with antibodies to p18^{Ink4c}. Expression of p18^{Ink4c} in unfractionated cell lysates is indicated in the bottom panel (lanes 1–6). A longer exposure of the same immunoblot is shown at the right (lanes 7–12) in order to document the significantly lower level of polyubiquitination of endogenous human p18^{INK4C} recovered from the same cells (lane 2 and 8).



Figure 4. Lysines 46 and 112 of p18^{Ink4c} are major targets of ubiquitination conjugation (A) Vectors encoding wild type (Wt) p18^{Ink4c} or different *Ink4c* mutants and His-tagged ubiquitin were co-transfected into human 293T cells (as indicated at the top of the panels). 48 hrs post-transfection, cells were harvested and affinity-purified. Ubiquitinated proteins were recovered, separated, and detected by immunoblotting as in Figure 3. As described in the text, "single K" mutants contained unique lysine to arginine substitutions, whereas "1K remaining" mutants contained only the single lysine indicated with the other four mutated to arginine. A lysine-less (KL) mutant lacking all five lysine residues was similarly analyzed. (B) Vectors encoding Wt p18^{Ink4c} or a double mutant lacking lysines 46 and 112 were analyzed as in (A). The relative levels of p18Ink4c expression in unfractionated cell lysates are indicated at the

bottom. (C) Cells expressing the indicated mutants were treated with MG132 or with solvent alone, and the levels of $p18^{Ink4c}$ in unfractionated cell lysates were determined by immunoblotting. Equal quantities of total protein were loaded in each lane of the gel.



Figure 5. Kinetic analyses of Ink4 protein turnover

Transfected human 293T cells expressing HA-tagged wild type p18^{Ink4c} (A) or either of two indicated HA-tagged p18^{Ink4c} mutants (B and C) were metabolically labeled with [³⁵S]-methionine for 2 hrs and "chased" for the indicated times with isotope-free medium. Proteins precipitated with antibodies to the HA epitope were electrophoretically separated on denaturing polyacrylamide gels, transferred to a membrane, and detected by autoradiography. The rate of turnover of p18^{Ink4c} was quantified by scanning the autoradiograms. (D) 293T cells expressing p19^{Ink4d} were treated with cycloheximide to inhibit protein synthesis. Cells were harvested at the indicated times after drug treatment, and the levels of p19^{Ink4d} were determined by immunoblotting of whole cell lysates and scanning of the images. Wild type Cdk4 or Cyclin

D1 were co-expressed with $p18^{Ink4c}$ (E and G) or with $p19^{Ink4d}$ (F and H) in human 293T cells and the half-lives of $p18^{Ink4c}$ and $p19^{Ink4d}$ were determined as above by kinetic "pulse-chase" analysis. Error bars in all panels indicate the standard deviations of the means for triplicate determinations; lines were fit by regression analysis using Graph Pad Prism 4 software.



Figure 6. Effects of Cdk and cyclin D1 coexpression on the polyubiquitination and accumulation of Ink4 proteins

Cells transfected with expression plasmids encoding proteins indicated at the top left of each panel together with a plasmid encoding His-Ub were lysed in urea-containing buffer, and covalently His-Ub-tagged proteins recovered by affinity chromatography were separated on denaturing gels and blotted with antibodies to either $p18^{Ink4c}$ (A and C) or $p19^{Ink4d}$ (B). The relative levels of the different proteins (indicated at the bottom) expressed in unfractionated cell lysates are also shown. Equal quantities of total protein were loaded and electrophoretically separated in all lanes. The Cdk4 mutants used for the experiment in (C) included one that is not bound or inhibited by Ink4 proteins (Δ I), one that is catalytically inactive (Δ K), and a double mutant (DM) containing both mutations.