



Published in final edited form as:

J Eval Clin Pract. 2008 August ; 14(4): 552–562. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2753.2007.00917.x.

Assessing walking speed in clinical research: a systematic review

James E. Graham, PhD¹, Glenn V. Ostir, PhD², Steven R. Fisher, MPT³, and Kenneth J. Ottenbacher, PhD⁴

¹ Postdoctoral Fellow, Division of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

² Associate Professor, Division of Rehabilitation Sciences, Sealy Center on Aging, and Division of Geriatrics, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

³ Graduate Student, Division of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

⁴ Professor, Division of Rehabilitation Sciences, Associate Director, Sealy Center on Aging, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

Abstract

Objective—To provide a systematic review and describe how assessments of walking speed are reported in the health care literature.

Methods—MEDLINE electronic database and bibliographies of select articles were searched for terms describing walking speed and distances walked. The search was limited to English language journals from 1996 to 2006. The initial title search yielded 793 articles. A review of the abstracts reduced the number to 154 articles. Of these, 108 provided sufficient information for inclusion in the current review.

Results—Of the 108 studies included in the review 61 were descriptive, 39 intervention and 8 randomized controlled trials. Neurological ($n = 55$) and geriatric ($n = 27$) were the two most frequent participant groups in the studies reviewed. Instruction to walk at a usual or normal speed was reported in 55 of the studies, while 31 studies did not describe speed instructions. A static (standing) start was slightly more common than a dynamic (rolling) start (30 vs 26 studies); however, half of the studies did not describe the starting protocol. Walking 10, 6 and 4 m was the most common distances used, and reported in 37, 20 and 11 studies respectively. Only four studies included information on whether verbal encouragement was given during the walking task.

Conclusions—Tests of walking speed have been used in a wide range of populations. However, methodologies and descriptions of walking tests vary widely from study to study, which makes comparison difficult. There is a need to find consensus for a standardized walking test methodology.

Keywords

assessment; clinical evaluation; gait; mobility; review; walking

Introduction

Walking is a fundamental part of normal everyday living [1–3]. Its importance is not limited by age, gender, race or medical condition. A decline in walking speed is associated with a

number of poor health outcomes including hospitalization, falls, nursing home placement, mobility disability and mortality [4–9]. Because walking speed is a quick and easy test to administer, not limited to a specific health care discipline, and is a reliable, valid and sensitive measure [10–14], it is often included in clinical and epidemiological research studies [5–7].

Review aim

The purpose of this article is to provide a systematic review of the research literature and describe how tests of walking speed are used and reported. This summary will highlight the different approaches used in the assessment of walking speed and examine the extent test methodologies vary. This is the first step in determining the feasibility of developing a standardized approach to walking speed assessment in clinical research.

Methods

Literature review

We conducted a comprehensive literature search within MEDLINE for journal articles that included a measure of walking speed. In these articles walking speed could be used as an independent measure or as a primary or secondary outcome. It is important to note that walking speed is not one of the National Library of Medicine's medical subject headings (i.e. MeSH terms) within the OVID databases. Our search terms included various combinations of numerical (e.g. 1–20,25,30,40 and 50) and scale (e.g. m, meter, metre, ft, foot and feet) distances along with the root word 'walk'. We limited the search to English language non-review articles from years 1996 to 2006. This approach yielded 793 articles.

Study selection

Abstracts were reviewed in order to assess the likelihood of success in extracting information on the walk test methodology and results. A total of 154 full text articles were collected and reviewed through electronic records, university library stacks and interlibrary loan. Studies were excluded if they did not provide a sufficient description of walk test methodology or ratio-scale results, for example, some articles included traditional tests of walking speed, but performance ranges were converted to an ordinal scale (summary score) for reporting. Articles were also removed if it was concluded that a single sample of subjects was reported in other studies. A total of 108 articles were retained for review and analysis.

Data extraction

Relevant data were extracted from each article and stored in a customized database (Microsoft Office Access 2003) for easy search and summary reporting. Extracted information included authors, article source, publication year, study type, description and age of participants, sample size, timed-walk distance, test protocol, pace instructions, verbal encouragement provided, mean and standard deviation of performance, and additional outcomes.

Data management

Study types were categorized as descriptive, intervention or randomized controlled trials. Test protocols were classified as static start, where timing begins with a verbal 'go' command; dynamic start, where timing begins as the subject crosses a predefined start line; and turn protocols, where subjects walk a specified distance, turn around and return to the start/end line. Instructions for walking pace were dichotomized as usual/comfortable or fast. Verbal encouragement was also dichotomized (yes vs. no). Distance walked was recorded in metres.

Results

A brief description, including author, year of publication, sample size, study participants and primary outcomes, of all 108 articles is provided in Table 1.

Descriptive studies were the most frequent type of study (61 studies), followed by intervention (39 studies) and randomized controlled trials (eight studies). There were 126 participant groups within the 108 articles. The participant groups categorize studies by common health domains to facilitate the presentation of results. The 'cardiovascular' group includes patients with congestive heart failure (one study), intermittent claudication (one study), and peripheral arterial disease (seven studies). 'Joint' describes groups with hip fracture/joint replacement (two studies), osteoarthritis (eight studies), and rheumatoid arthritis (two studies). The 'neurological' group includes persons with the following conditions: Alzheimer's (one study), brain tumour (one study), developmental problems (one study), multiple sclerosis (eight studies), myelopathy (two studies), neuromuscular conditions (one study), neuropathy (three studies), Parkinson's (five studies), post-polio (three studies), spinal cord injury (three studies), stroke (22 studies), stroke/tumour (two studies), and traumatic brain injury (three studies). The 'miscellaneous' group includes patients with cancer (one study), fasciitis (one study), low back pain (one study), lymphoma (one study), obesity (one study), and renal failure (one study). Two relatively homogenous groups, 'aged' (27 studies) and 'healthy control' (17 studies), were also included.

Many studies assessed walking speed using more than one protocol and/or pace. This, combined with more than one participant group in some studies, yielded 156 total observations from the 108 studies. Table 2 shows the frequency of different study parameters in total and stratified across participant groups. Usual/comfortable walking speed was selected more frequently than fast pace; instructed pace was not described in 29% of the articles. Nearly half of the articles did not describe the testing protocol used to assess walking speed so it is difficult to convey a clear preference. Among those that did describe the timing protocol, a static start was slightly more common than dynamic. A distance of 10 m was the most common distance chosen. Distances stratified across participant groups demonstrate subject-specific patterns. For example, the 4-m walk was the most common in the cardiovascular group, the 6-m walk was used most in the aged group, and the 10-meter walk was the most common in the neurological group. Only four studies reported the use of verbal encouragement (three no, one yes); these data are not included in the summary table.

Discussion

There are two primary findings of this review: (1) walking speed is a commonly used measure in health care research, and (2) there is great variation in the methodology of walking speed measurement and in describing that methodology. Our review revealed a wide range of variability in walk test methodologies including pace, timing protocol and distance covered. This variability makes comparison of walking speed across studies difficult. Our review also found omissions in how tests of walking speed are reported in the research literature. We describe the general implications of the findings and propose recommendations for future research in the discussion below.

Study types

Walking speed spans the spectrum of measurement outcomes: dependent, independent, primary, secondary, and/or predictor variable. A majority of studies (61 of 108) from this review are descriptive in nature. Thus, much of the research utilizing walking speed is aimed at describing differences in walking speed between certain patient populations or describing the relationships between walking speed and other health-related and/or functional outcomes.

Walking speed is also frequently used as an indicator of intervention effectiveness; there are 47 intervention/randomized controlled trials studies in the current review that assessed walking speed.

Patient groups

Both floor [15] and ceiling [16] effects for measures of walking speed have been reported in certain patient groups leading some to suggest that short-walk tests have a narrow range of application; that is, they are only appropriate for patients who are able to perform the test, yet find walking challenging [14]. However, as Table 1 shows and others have reported, there is a broad range of people (patient groups) for whom timed walking is a valid and sensitive outcome measure [17,18]. Our review found a large number of studies involving patients with neurological problems: 55 of the 126 total participant groups were neurological. This is in agreement with previous reports stating that walking speed is an established and recommended clinical outcome measure for patients with neurological conditions [11], particularly in stroke rehabilitation programmes [12]. Walking speed is also commonly used as an indicator of functional ability and/or predictor of disability in ageing studies [5,19,20]; the aged group (27 groups) was the second most common cohort of participants reported in the current review.

Test methodologies

There is considerable variation in testing procedures including pace, protocol and distance among studies in the current review (see Table 2). While each aspect affects the difficulty of the task, virtually all versions of these short, distance-based walk tests have demonstrated high (>0.90) test-retest and inter-rater reliabilities [8,12,21–24]. Thus, the variation in methodologies may be more a reflection of tester preference and convenience than perceived methodology-related influences on performance. Additional studies are needed to determine if differences in testing methods yield predictable and meaningful differences in the distribution of performance scores.

The lack of perceived methodological influence is perhaps best shown by the lack of detailed description of the walk test procedures in many of the studies. This is particularly evident regarding verbal encouragement; only four of the 108 articles addressed the use of verbal motivation. Although encouragement may not influence results when subjects are asked to walk at their usual or normal pace, in studies that tests the individual's ability to walk as fast as they can results are likely to be affected. Guyatt *et al.* [25] showed that verbal encouragement significantly increased distance walked during a 6-minute walking test in patients with chronic heart failure and lung disease. Further research is needed to determine if tester involvement, via verbal encouragement, affects performance on shorter, distance-based walk tests.

It should be noted that the outcome measures and methodologies in peer-reviewed journals may not be representative of daily clinical practice. Turner-Stokes and Turner-Stokes [26] conducted a survey (postal questionnaire) of rehabilitation providers in the UK to determine which outcome measurements are routinely used in clinical practice. Results from 182 centres were summarized: 77% reported that standardized measures were part of routine clinical practice. Of those centres, 46% commonly used one or more mobility tests. In accordance with what we observed in the published literature, the 10-m walk was the most common measure of mobility; 68% of centres collecting mobility data reported using the 10-m distance. The primary reasons cited for not conducting standardized assessments were lack of time and/or knowledge to perform standardized measures. Thus, there is a need to find consensus and promote a standardized walking test methodology.

Our review focused on short, distance-based assessments of walking speed, which reflects lower extremity function. There is a comparable volume of literature, however, involving

longer, time-based walks (e.g. the 6-minute walk), which are more measures of fitness [27] than functional performance (see Solway *et al.* [28] for descriptive summaries of endurance-based walk tests). Table 1 demonstrates that both categories of walking test are often used in the same research study. Although the longer endurance walks are considered sub-maximal measures of exercise capacity [29], influenced by factors beyond lower extremity function and muscle strength (e.g. motivation, cardiovascular fitness and respiratory function), strong associations with short measures of walking speed have been described. Eng *et al.* [30], for example, reported that self-selected 6-m walk time was highly correlated ($r = 0.92$) with 6-minute walk distance in stroke patients and van Hedel *et al.* [23] found a similar relationship ($\rho = -0.95$) between 10-m and 6-minute walks in patients with spinal cord injury.

Generalization

While short-distance walking speed is indicative of functional independence within the home [4], one of the primary criticisms of these clinic-/lab-based measures of walking speed is that relative performance may not be representative of independence within the community [2,4, 11]. Not only is basic mobility an essential component for community participation, but in many circumstances there are time constraints imposed upon walking ability [31], for example, the time available to cross a signalled intersection. In the only study (in the current review) comparing clinic- and community-based mobility, Moseley *et al.* [32] evaluated walking speed from common clinical protocols (6 minutes, comfortable 10 m, and fast 10 m) and 'real-life environments' (corridor in a rehabilitation unit, parking lot of a shopping centre, and inside a shopping centre) in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI). The following conclusions were reported: (1) able-bodied pedestrians walk significantly faster than patients with TBI under normal conditions in real-world environments, (2) persons with TBI walk significantly faster during clinical testing compared with normal environment conditions, (3) agreement between clinical tests and natural environments is poor, and (4) the best agreement between clinical and natural settings is observed between the comfortable 10 m and corridor of rehabilitation unit trials. Thus, while there appear to be noteworthy differences between walking speed under clinical and real-world conditions, additional study is needed to determine if this discrepancy is associated with community independence.

Conclusion and recommendations

Walking speed is a commonly used outcome across different types of studies and among numerous health-related disciplines and patient populations. The methodology used to assess walking speed as well as the detail in describing the testing procedures, however, is quite variable. This review examined 108 articles relevant to walking speed as an outcome measure. Based on the frequency of responses reported in the studies examined we propose the following tentative recommendations regarding the development of a standardized protocol to assess walking speed:

1. Adopt the 10-m straight line walk.
2. Use a static start with timing commencing at the start.
3. Usual or comfortable pace be used as the standard, and fast paced be used as appropriate for specific research questions.
4. Walking protocol be reported in detail including pace instructions, verbal or other encouragement, and specific timing procedures.

It is hoped that these recommendations will stimulate additional study and debate concerning the appropriate use and optimal design of walking speed assessments. In follow-up research we plan to assess if the observed variations in walk-test methodology yield clinically meaningful differences in overall performance (mean velocity) and/or the distribution of

performance scores. The results of this analysis will help refine and extend the recommendations presented above.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by grants from the National Institute on Aging (grants R01-AG10939, R01-AG17638). K. Ottenbacher's work is supported by K02-AG019736 (National Institutes of Health), S. Fisher's work by T32-HD007539 (National Institutes of Health), G. Ostir's work by K01-HD046682 (National Institutes of Health), and J. Graham's work by H133P040003 (Department of Education).

References

1. Kaufman KR, Hughes C, Morrey BF, Morrey M, An KN. Gait characteristics of patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Biomechanics* 2001;34 (7):907–915. [PubMed: 11410174]
2. Pirpiris M, Wilkinson AJ, Rodda J, Nguyen TC, Baker RJ, Natrass GR, Graham HK. Walking speed in children and young adults with neuromuscular disease: comparison between two assessment methods. *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics* 2003;23 (3):302–307. [PubMed: 12724591]
3. Wang AW, Gilbey HJ, Ackland TR. Perioperative exercise programs improve early return of ambulatory function after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, controlled trial. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2002;81 (11):801–806. [PubMed: 12394990]
4. Baer G, Smith M. The recovery of walking ability and subclassification of stroke. *Physiotherapy Research International* 2001;6 (3):135–144. [PubMed: 11725595]
5. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Penninx BW, et al. Prognostic value of usual gait speed in well-functioning older people –results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2005;53 (10):1675–1680. [PubMed: 16181165]
6. de Rekeneire N, Visser M, Peila R, Nevitt MC, Cauley JA, Tylavsky FA, Simonsick EM, Harris TB. Is a fall just a fall: correlates of falling in healthy older persons. *The Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2003;51 (6):841–846. [PubMed: 12757573]
7. Kressig RW, Wolf SL, Sattin RW, O'Grady M, Greenspan A, Curns A, Kutner M. Associations of demographic, functional, and behavioral characteristics with activity-related fear of falling among older adults transitioning to frailty. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2001;49 (11):1456–1462. [PubMed: 11890583]
8. Rolland YM, Cesari M, Miller ME, Penninx BW, Atkinson HH, Pahor M. Reliability of the 400-m usual-pace walk test as an assessment of mobility limitation in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2004;52 (6):972–976. [PubMed: 15161464]
9. Sayers SP, Jette AM, Haley SM, Heeren TC, Guralnik JM, Fielding RA. Validation of the late-life function and disability instrument. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2004;52 (9):1554–1559. [PubMed: 15341561]
10. Arnadottir SA, Mercer VS. Effects of footwear on measurements of balance and gait in women between the ages of 65 and 93 years. *Physical Therapy* 2000;80 (1):17–27. [PubMed: 10623957]
11. Dobkin BH. Short-distance walking speed and timed walking distance: redundant measures for clinical trials? *Neurology* 2006;66 (4):584–586. [PubMed: 16505318]
12. Green J, Forster A, Young J. Reliability of gait speed measured by a timed walking test in patients one year after stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2002;16 (3):306–314. [PubMed: 12017517]
13. Salbach NM, Mayo NE, Higgins J, Ahmed S, Finch LE, Richards CL. Responsiveness and predictability of gait speed and other disability measures in acute stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2001;82 (9):1204–1212. [PubMed: 11552192]
14. Tyson SF, DeSouza LH. Reliability and validity of functional balance tests post stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2004;18 (8):916–923. [PubMed: 15609847]
15. English CK, Hillier SL, Stiller K, Warden-Flood A. The sensitivity of three commonly used outcome measures to detect change amongst patients receiving inpatient rehabilitation following stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2006;20 (1):52–55. [PubMed: 16502750]

16. Erdmann PG, van Meeteren NL, Kalmijn S, Wokke JH, Helders PJ, van den Berg LH. Functional health status of patients with chronic inflammatory neuropathies. *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System* 2005;10 (2):181–189. [PubMed: 15958129]
17. van Hedel HJ, Wirz M, Curt A. Improving walking assessment in subjects with an incomplete spinal cord injury: responsiveness. *Spinal Cord* 2006;44 (6):352–356. [PubMed: 16304565]
18. Vos-Vromans DC, de Bie RA, Erdmann PG, van Meeteren NL. The responsiveness of the ten-meter walking test and other measures in patients with hemiparesis in the acute phase. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice* 2005;21 (3):173–180. [PubMed: 16389698]
19. Herman S, Kiely DK, Leveille S, O'Neill E, Cyberek S, Bean JF. Upper and lower limb muscle power relationships in mobility-limited older adults. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2005;60 (4):476–480.
20. Kuo HK, Leveille SG, Yen CJ, Chai HM, Chang CH, Yeh YC, Yu YH, Bean JF. Exploring how peak leg power and usual gait speed are linked to late-life disability: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 1999–2002. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2006;85 (8):650–658. [PubMed: 16865019]
21. McCarthy CJ, Oldham JA. The reliability, validity and responsiveness of an aggregated locomotor function (ALF) score in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Rheumatology* 2004;43 (4):514–517. [PubMed: 14722348]
22. Sherrington C, Lord SR. Reliability of simple portable tests of physical performance in older people after hip fracture. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2005;19 (5):496–504. [PubMed: 16119405]
23. van Hedel HJ, Wirz M, Dietz V. Assessing walking ability in subjects with spinal cord injury: validity and reliability of 3 walking tests. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2005;86 (2):190–196. [PubMed: 15706542]
24. van Loo MA, Moseley AM, Bosman JM, de Bie RA, Hassett L. Test-re-test reliability of walking speed, step length and step width measurement after traumatic brain injury: a pilot study. *Brain Injury* 2004;18 (10):1041–1048. [PubMed: 15370902]
25. Guyatt GH, Sullivan MJ, Thompson PJ, Fallen EL, Pugsley SO, Taylor DW, Berman LB. The 6-minute walk: a new measure of exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1985;132 (8):919–923. [PubMed: 3978515]
26. Turner-Stokes L, Turner-Stokes T. The use of standardized outcome measures in rehabilitation centres in the UK. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 1997;11 (4):306–313. [PubMed: 9408671]
27. Simonsick EM, Montgomery PS, Newman AB, Bauer DC, Harris T. Measuring fitness in healthy older adults: the Health ABC Long Distance Corridor Walk. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2001;49 (11):1544–1548. [PubMed: 11890597]
28. Solway S, Brooks D, Lacasse Y, Thomas S. A qualitative systematic overview of the measurement properties of functional walk tests used in the cardiorespiratory domain. *Chest* 2001;119 (1):256–270. [PubMed: 11157613]
29. Newman AB, Haggerty CL, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt MC, Simonsick EM. Health A. B. C. & Collaborative Research Group. Walking performance and cardiovascular response: associations with age and morbidity – the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2003;58 (8):715–720.
30. Eng JJ, Chu KS, Dawson AS, Kim CM, Hepburn KE. Functional walk tests in individuals with stroke: relation to perceived exertion and myocardial exertion. *Stroke* 2002;33 (3):756–761. [PubMed: 11872900]
31. Willen C, Stibrant SK, Ekman C, Grimby G. How is walking speed related to muscle strength? A study of healthy persons and persons with late effects of polio. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2004;85 (12):1923–1928. [PubMed: 15605327]
32. Moseley AM, Lanzarone S, Bosman JM, van Loo MA, de Bie RA, Hassett L, Caplan B. Ecological validity of walking speed assessment after traumatic brain injury: a pilot study. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 2004;19 (4):341–348. [PubMed: 15263861]
33. Arokoski MH, Haara M, Helminen HJ, Arokoski JP. Physical function in men with and without hip osteoarthritis. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2004;85 (4):574–581. [PubMed: 15083432]

34. Askim T, Morkved S, Indredavik B. Does an extended stroke unit service with early supported discharge have any effect on balance or walking speed? *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2006;38 (6):368–374. [PubMed: 17067970]
35. Bateman A, Culpan FJ, Pickering AD, Powell JH, Scott OM, Greenwood RJ. The effect of aerobic training on rehabilitation outcomes after recent severe brain injury: a randomized controlled evaluation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2001;82 (2):174–182. [PubMed: 11239307]
36. Bessou P, Dupui P, Montoya R, Pages B. Simultaneous recording of longitudinal displacements of both feet during human walking. *Journal de Physiologie* 1988;83 (2):102–110. [PubMed: 3251039]
37. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dietrich T, Orav EJ, Hu FB, Zhang Y, Karlson EW, wson-Hughes B. Higher 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations are associated with better lower-extremity function in both active and inactive persons aged > or =60 y. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2004;80 (3): 752–758. [PubMed: 15321818]
38. Bonaroti D, Akers JM, Smith BT, Mulcahey MJ, Betz RR. Comparison of functional electrical stimulation to long leg braces for upright mobility for children with complete thoracic level spinal injuries. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1999;80 (9):1047–1053. [PubMed: 10489007]
39. Brach JS, Simonsick EM, Kritchevsky S, Yaffe K, Newman AB. The association between physical function and lifestyle activity and exercise in the health, aging and body composition study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2004;52 (4):502–509. [PubMed: 15066063]
40. Bressel E, McNair PJ. The effect of prolonged static and cyclic stretching on ankle joint stiffness, torque relaxation, and gait in people with stroke. *Physical Therapy* 2002;82 (9):880–887. [PubMed: 12201802]
41. Brill PA, Probst JC, Greenhouse DL, Schell B, Macera CA. Clinical feasibility of a free-weight strength-training program for older adults. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 1998;11 (6):445–451. [PubMed: 9875999]
42. Chang M, Cohen-Mansfield J, Ferrucci L, Leveille S, de Volpato SRN, Guralnik JM. Incidence of loss of ability to walk 400 meters in a functionally limited older population. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2004;52 (12):2094–2098. [PubMed: 15571549]
43. Deley G, Kervio G, Verges B, Hannequin A, Petitdant MF, Salmi-Belmihoub S, Grassi B, Casillas JM. Comparison of low-frequency electrical myostimulation and conventional aerobic exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation* 2005;12 (3):226–233. [PubMed: 15942420]
44. Dolan NC, Liu K, Criqui MH, Greenland P, Guralnik JM, Chan C, Schneider JR, Mandapat AL, Martin G, McDermott MM. Peripheral artery disease, diabetes, and reduced lower extremity functioning. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25 (1):113–120. [PubMed: 11772911]
45. Dolin SJ, Bacon RA, Drage M. Rehabilitation of chronic low back pain using continuous epidural analgesia. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 1998;20 (4):151–157. [PubMed: 9571382]
46. Duncan P, Richards L, Wallace D, Stoker-Yates J, Pohl P, Luchies C, Ogle A, Studenski S. A randomized, controlled pilot study of a home-based exercise program for individuals with mild and moderate stroke. *Stroke* 1998;29 (10):2055–2060. [PubMed: 9756581]
47. Duncan P, Studenski S, Richards L, et al. Randomized clinical trial of therapeutic exercise in subacute stroke. *Stroke* 2003;34 (9):2173–2180. [PubMed: 12920254]
48. Einarsson U, von Gottberg KKL, Fredrikson S, Ytterberg C, Jin YP, Andersson M, Holmqvist LW. Cognitive and motor function in people with multiple sclerosis in Stockholm County. *Multiple Sclerosis* 2006;12 (3):340–353. [PubMed: 16764349]
49. Elkayam O, Wigler I, Tishler M, Rosenblum I, Caspi D, Segal R, Fishel B, Yaron M. Effect of spa therapy in Tiberias on patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Journal of Rheumatology* 1991;18 (12):1799–1803. [PubMed: 1795315]
50. Gajdosik RL, Vander Linden DW, McNair PJ, Williams AK, Riggin TJ. Effects of an eight-week stretching program on the passive-elastic properties and function of the calf muscles of older women. *Clinical Biomechanics* 2005;20 (9):973–983. [PubMed: 16054737]

51. Galvao DA, Taaffe DR. Resistance exercise dosage in older adults: single- versus multiset effects on physical performance and body composition. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2005;53 (12):2090–2097. [PubMed: 16398892]
52. Galvao DA, Nosaka K, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Kristjanson LJ, McGuigan MR, Suzuki K, Yamaya K, Newton RU. Resistance training and reduction of treatment side effects in prostate cancer patients. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2006;38 (12):2045–2052. [PubMed: 17146309]
53. Gardner AW, Montgomery PS, Killewich LA. Natural history of physical function in older men with intermittent claudication. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 2004;40 (1):73–78. [PubMed: 15218465]
54. Gold SM, Schulz H, Monch A, Schulz KH, Heesen C. Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis does not affect reliability and validity of self-report health measures. *Multiple Sclerosis* 2003;9 (4): 404–410. [PubMed: 12926847]
55. Goldie PA, Matyas TA, Evans OM. Deficit and change in gait velocity during rehabilitation after stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1996;77 (10):1074–1082. [PubMed: 8857890]
56. Grant R, Slattery J, Gregor A, Whittle IR. Recording neurological impairment in clinical trials of glioma. *Journal of Neuro-Oncology* 1994;19 (1):37–49. [PubMed: 7815103]
57. Grant S, Todd K, Aitchison TC, Kelly P, Stoddart D. The effects of a 12-week group exercise programme on physiological and psychological variables and function in overweight women. *Public Health* 2004;118 (1):31–42. [PubMed: 14643625]
58. Gross MT, Byers JM, Krafft JL, Lackey EJ, Melton KM. The impact of custom semirigid foot orthotics on pain and disability for individuals with plantar fasciitis. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy* 2002;32 (4):149–157. [PubMed: 11949663]
59. Gur H, Cakin N. Muscle mass, isokinetic torque, and functional capacity in women with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2003;84 (10):1534–1541. [PubMed: 14586923]
60. Hadden RD, Sharrack B, Bensa S, Soudain SE, Hughes RA. Randomized trial of interferon beta-1a in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Neurology* 1999;53 (1):57–61. [PubMed: 10408537]
61. Henwood TR, Taaffe DR. Improved physical performance in older adults undertaking a short-term programme of high-velocity resistance training. *Gerontology* 2005;51 (2):108–115. [PubMed: 15711077]
62. Hruda KV, Hicks AL, McCartney N. Training for muscle power in older adults: effects on functional abilities. *Canadian Journal of Applied Physiology* 2003;28 (2):178–189. [PubMed: 12825328]
63. Kadanka Z, Bednarik J, Vohanka S, et al. Conservative treatment versus surgery in spondylotic cervical myelopathy: a prospective randomised study. *European Spine Journal* 2000;9 (6):538–544. [PubMed: 11189924]
64. Kadanka Z, Mares M, Bednarik J, et al. Approaches to spondylotic cervical myelopathy: conservative versus surgical results in a 3-year follow-up study. *Spine* 2002;27 (20):2205–2210. [PubMed: 12394893]
65. Kilidireas C, Anagnostopoulos A, Karandreas N, Mouselimi L, Dimopoulos MA. Rituximab therapy in monoclonal IgM-related neuropathies. *Leukemia and Lymphoma* 2006;47 (5):859–864. [PubMed: 16753870]
66. Kollen B, Kwakkel G, Lindeman E. Hemiplegic gait after stroke: is measurement of maximum speed required? *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2006;87 (3):358–363. [PubMed: 16500169]
67. Leary SM, Miller DH, Stevenson VL, Brex PA, Chard DT, Thompson AJ. Interferon beta-1a in primary progressive MS: an exploratory, randomized, controlled trial. *Neurology* 2003;60 (1):44–51. [PubMed: 12525716]
68. Lee JQ, Simmonds MJ, Wang XS, Novy DM. Differences in physical performance between men and women with and without lymphoma. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2003;84 (12): 1747–1752. [PubMed: 14669178]
69. McConvey J, Bennett SE. Reliability of the Dynamic Gait Index in individuals with multiple sclerosis. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2005;86 (1):130–133. [PubMed: 15641003]

70. McDermott MM, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Criqui MH, Greenland P, Tian L, Liu K, Tan J. Functional decline in lower-extremity peripheral arterial disease: associations with comorbidity, gender, and race. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 2005;42 (6):1131–1137. [PubMed: 16376203]
71. McDermott MM, Liu K, Ferrucci L, et al. Physical performance in peripheral arterial disease: a slower rate of decline in patients who walk more. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2006;144 (1):10–20. [PubMed: 16389250]
72. McDermott MM, Liu K, Guralnik JM, et al. Functional decline in patients with and without peripheral arterial disease: predictive value of annual changes in levels of C-reactive protein and D-dimer. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2006;61 (4):374–379.
73. McDermott MM, Liu K, Guralnik JM, Martin GJ, Criqui MH, Greenland P. Measurement of walking endurance and walking velocity with questionnaire: validation of the walking impairment questionnaire in men and women with peripheral arterial disease. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 1998;28 (6):1072–1081. [PubMed: 9845659]
74. McDermott MM, Liu K, Guralnik JM, Mehta S, Criqui MH, Martin GJ, Greenland P. The ankle brachial index independently predicts walking velocity and walking endurance in peripheral arterial disease. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1998;46 (11):1355–1362. [PubMed: 9809756]
75. Meeuwse IB, Samson MM, Duursma SA, Verhaar HJ. Tibolone does not affect muscle power and functional ability in healthy postmenopausal women. *Clinical Science* 2002;102 (2):135–141. [PubMed: 11834133]
76. Menz HB, Lord SR. The contribution of foot problems to mobility impairment and falls in community-dwelling older people. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2001;49 (12):1651–1656. [PubMed: 11843999]
77. Miyai I, Fujimoto Y, Ueda Y, Yamamoto H, Nozaki S, Saito T, Kang J. Treadmill training with body weight support: its effect on Parkinson's disease. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2000;81 (7):849–852. [PubMed: 10895994]
78. Miyai I, Fujimoto Y, Yamamoto H, Ueda Y, Saito T, Nozaki S, Kang J. Long-term effect of body weight-supported treadmill training in Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2002;83 (10):1370–1373. [PubMed: 12370870]
79. Morey MC, Zhu CW. Improved fitness narrows the symptom-reporting gap between older men and women. *Journal of Women's Health* 2003;12 (4):381–390.
80. Nelson ME, Layne JE, Bernstein MJ, et al. The effects of multidimensional home-based exercise on functional performance in elderly people. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2004;59 (2):154–160.
81. Nieuwenhuis MMTH, Sorensen PS, Ravnborg M. The six spot step test: a new measurement for walking ability in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis* 2006;12 (4):495–500. [PubMed: 16900764]
82. Osthega Y, Dillon CF, Lindle R, Carroll M, Hurley BF. Isokinetic leg muscle strength in older americans and its relationship to a standardized walk test: data from the national health and nutrition examination survey 1999–2000. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2004;52 (6):977–982. [PubMed: 15161465]
83. Partridge C, Mackenzie M, Edwards S, Reid A, Jayawardena S, Guck N, Potter J. Is dosage of physiotherapy a critical factor in deciding patterns of recovery from stroke: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Physiotherapy Research International* 2000;5 (4):230–240.
84. Pellicchia MT, Grasso A, Biancardi LG, Squillante M, Bonavita V, Barone P. Physical therapy in Parkinson's disease: an open long-term rehabilitation trial. *Journal of Neurology* 2004;251 (5):595–598. [PubMed: 15164194]
85. Perlman AI, Sabina A, Williams AL, Njike VY, Katz DL. Massage therapy for osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2006;166 (22):2533–2538. [PubMed: 17159021]
86. Perron M, Malouin F, Moffet H. Assessing advanced locomotor recovery after total hip arthroplasty with the timed stair test. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2003;17 (7):780–786. [PubMed: 14606746]
87. Petrella RJ, Bartha C. Home based exercise therapy for older patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Rheumatology* 2000;27 (9):2215–2221. [PubMed: 10990236]

88. Peurala SH, Tarkka IM, Pitkanen K, Sivenius J. The effectiveness of body weight-supported gait training and floor walking in patients with chronic stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2005;86 (8):1557–1564. [PubMed: 16084808]
89. Peurala SH, Titianova EB, Mateev P, Pitkanen K, Sivenius J, Tarkka IM. Gait characteristics after gait-oriented rehabilitation in chronic stroke. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience* 2005;23 (2): 57–65. [PubMed: 15990412]
90. Protas EJ, Mitchell K, Williams A, Qureshy H, Caroline K, Lai EC. Gait and step training to reduce falls in Parkinson's disease. *Neurorehabilitation* 2005;20 (3):183–190. [PubMed: 16340099]
91. Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Izmirlian G, Williamson JD, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Fried LP. Association of muscle strength with maximum walking speed in disabled older women. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1998;77 (4):299–305. [PubMed: 9715919]
92. Riley PO, DellaCroce U, Kerrigan DC. Effect of age on lower extremity joint moment contributions to gait speed. *Gait and Posture* 2001;14 (3):264–270. [PubMed: 11600330]
93. Romberg A, Virtanen A, Ruutiainen J, Aunola S, Karppi SL, Vaara M, Surakka J, Pohjolainen T, Seppanen A. Effects of a 6-month exercise program on patients with multiple sclerosis: a randomized study. *Neurology* 2004;63 (11):2034–2038. [PubMed: 15596746]
94. Rudd AG, Wolfe CD, Tilling K, Beech R. Randomised controlled trial to evaluate early discharge scheme for patients with stroke. *British Medical Journal* 1997;315 (7115):1039–1044. [PubMed: 9366727]
95. Salbach NM, Mayo NE, Wood-Dauphinee S, Hanley JA, Richards CL, Cote R. A task-orientated intervention enhances walking distance and speed in the first year post stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2004;18 (5):509–519. [PubMed: 15293485]
96. Schenkman M, Morey M, Kuchibhatla M. Spinal flexibility and balance control among community-dwelling adults with and without Parkinson's disease. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2000;55 (8):M441–M445.
97. Scherer SA, Hiatt WR, Regensteiner JG. Lack of relationship between gait parameters and physical function in peripheral arterial disease. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 2006;44 (4):782–788. [PubMed: 17012002]
98. Simonsick EM, Fan E, Fleg JL. Estimating cardiorespiratory fitness in well-functioning older adults: treadmill validation of the long distance corridor walk. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2006;54 (1):127–132. [PubMed: 16420209]
99. Storer TW, Casaburi R, Sawelson S, Kopple JD. Endurance exercise training during haemodialysis improves strength, power, fatigability and physical performance in maintenance haemodialysis patients. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;20 (7):1429–1437.
100. Stratford PW, Kennedy DM. Does parallel item content on WOMAC's pain and function subscales limit its ability to detect change in functional status? *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2004;5:17. [PubMed: 15189563]
101. Sukenik S, Neumann L, Buskila D, Kleiner-Baumgarten A, Zimlichman S, Horowitz J. Dead Sea bath salts for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology* 1990;8 (4):353–357. [PubMed: 2397624]
102. Symons TB, Vandervoort AA, Rice CL, Overend TJ, Marsh GD. Effects of maximal isometric and isokinetic resistance training on strength and functional mobility in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2005;60 (6):777–781.
103. Taaffe DR, Newman AB, Haggerty CL, Colbert LH, de Rekeneire N, Visser M, Goodpaster BH, Nevitt MC, Tylavsky FA, Harris TB. Estrogen replacement, muscle composition, and physical function: The Health ABC Study. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2005;37 (10):1741–1747. [PubMed: 16260975]
104. Thompson N, Choudhary P, Hughes RA, Quinlivan RM. A novel trial design to study the effect of intravenous immunoglobulin in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Journal of Neurology* 1996;243 (3):280–285. [PubMed: 8936360]
105. Tiedemann A, Sherrington C, Lord SR. Physiological and psychological predictors of walking speed in older community-dwelling people. *Gerontology* 2005;51 (6):390–395. [PubMed: 16299420]

106. van den Berg M, Dawes H, Wade DT, Newman M, Burridge J, Izadi H, Sackley CM. Treadmill training for individuals with multiple sclerosis: a pilot randomised trial. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* 2006;77 (4):531–533.
107. van Herk I, Arendzen JH, Rispens P. Ten-metre walk, with or without a turn? *Clinical Rehabilitation* 1998;12 (1):30–35. [PubMed: 9549023]
108. Wang RY, Yen L, Lee CC, Lin PY, Wang MF, Yang YR. Effects of an ankle-foot orthosis on balance performance in patients with hemiparesis of different durations. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2005;19 (1):37–44. [PubMed: 15704507]
109. Webster KE, Merory JR, Wittwer JE. Gait variability in community dwelling adults with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders* 2006;20 (1):37–40. [PubMed: 16493234]
110. White AT, Petajan JH. Physiological measures of therapeutic response to interferon beta-1a treatment in remitting-relapsing MS. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 2004;115 (10):2364–2371. [PubMed: 15351379]
111. Willen C, Grimby G. Pain, physical activity, and disability in individuals with late effects of polio. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1998;79 (8):915–919. [PubMed: 9710162]
112. Willen C, Sunnerhagen KS, Grimby G. Dynamic water exercise in individuals with late poliomyelitis. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2001;82 (1):66–72. [PubMed: 11239288]
113. Winchester P, Kendall K, Peters H, Sears N, Winkley T. The effect of therapeutic horseback riding on gross motor function and gait speed in children who are developmentally delayed. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics* 2002;22 (3–4):37–50. [PubMed: 12506820]
114. Witte US, Carlsson JY. Self-selected walking speed in patients with hemiparesis after stroke. *Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 1997;29 (3):161–165. [PubMed: 9271150]
115. Wolf SL, Catlin PA, Gage K, Gurucharri K, Robertson R, Stephen K. Establishing the reliability and validity of measurements of walking time using the Emory Functional Ambulation Profile. *Physical Therapy* 1999;79 (12):1122–1133. [PubMed: 10630281]
116. Yanagita M, Willcox BJ, Masaki KH, Chen R, He Q, Rodriguez BL, Ueshima H, Curb JD. Disability and depression: investigating a complex relation using physical performance measures. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2006;14 (12):1060–1068. [PubMed: 17138811]

Table 1

Summary of reviewed studies using timed walk tests

Authors	n	Subjects	Outcomes
Arnadottir and Mercer (2000) [10]	35	Aged	10-m walk, functional reach, up and go
Arokoski <i>et al.</i> (2004) [33]	30	OA	25-m walk, WOMAC, ROM, balance, marching, long-jump, stairs, leg raises
Askim <i>et al.</i> (2006) [34]	27	Healthy	5-m walk, balance, movement
Baer and Smith (2001) [4]	62	Stroke	10-m walk
Bateman <i>et al.</i> (2001) [35]	185	Stroke	10-m walk, exercise capacity, spasticity, balance, mobility, FIM, ADL, fatigue, anxiety and depression
Bessou <i>et al.</i> (1988) [36]	142	TBI	6-m walk, kinetics/kinematics of gait
Bischoff-Ferrari <i>et al.</i> (2004) [37]	50	Healthy	8-ft walk, chair rise, vitamin D, physical activity, BMI
Bonaroti <i>et al.</i> (1999) [38]	4100	Aged	6-m walk, FIM, mobility skills
Brach <i>et al.</i> (2004) [39]	5	SCI	6-m and 400-m walk, physical activity, strength, chair rise, balance
Bressel and McNair (2002) [40]	3075	Aged	10-m walk, joint stiffness
Brill <i>et al.</i> (1998) [41]	10	Stroke	6-m walk, chair rise, stairs, grip, balance, physical activity
Cesari <i>et al.</i> (2005) [5]	25	Aged	6-m walk, mobility, death, hospitalization
Chang <i>et al.</i> (2004) [42]	3047	Aged	4-m and 400-m walk, physical and cognitive function, strength
Deley <i>et al.</i> (2005) [43]	24	CHF	200-m and 6-minute walk, VO2 max, strength
Dobkin (2006) [11]	24	Stroke	50-ft and 6-minute walk
Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2002) [44]	313	PAD	4-m and 6-minute walk, ABI, neuropathy score, mobility, physical function
Dolin <i>et al.</i> (1998) [45]	147	Diabetes (PAD)	50-m walk, chair rise, ROM, anxiety, insomnia, depression
Duncan <i>et al.</i> (1998) [46]	46	LBP	10-m and 6-minute walk, motor and hand function, ADL, IADL, QOL, balance
Duncan <i>et al.</i> (2003) [47]	20	Stroke	10-m and 6-minute walk strength, motor function, balance, reach, VO2
Einarsson <i>et al.</i> (2006) [48]	100	Stroke	10-m walk cognitive and motor function, memory, 9-HPT, 15-m walk, disease severity, grip, stiffness, lab measures, pain
Elkayam <i>et al.</i> (1991) [49]	166	MS	8-m, 6-minute and 12-minute walk, strength, balance, spasticity, HR, RPE
Eng <i>et al.</i> (2002) [30]	41	RA	5-m walk, balance, motor function
English <i>et al.</i> (2006) [15]	25	Stroke	10-m walk, agility, functional reach, ROM
Gajdosik <i>et al.</i> (2005) [50]	78	Aged	6 m, 6 m backwards, and 400-m walk, strength and endurance, chair rise, floor stand, stairs, body fat
Galvao and Taaffe (2005) [51]	19	Aged	6-m, 6-m backward, and 400-m walk, strength, endurance, chair rise, stairs, balance, body fat, blood labs
Galvao <i>et al.</i> (2006) [52]	10	Cancer	4-m and 6-minute walk, physical activity, mobility, balance, chair rise, ABI
Gardner <i>et al.</i> (2004) [53]	43	Claudication	8-m walk, hand function, QOL, anxiety and depression, EDSS, 9-HPT
Gold <i>et al.</i> (2003) [54]	187	MS	10-m walk, disability,
Goldie <i>et al.</i> (1996) [55]	42	Stroke	10-m walk, 9-HPT, memory, language, anxiety and depression, ADL
Grant <i>et al.</i> (1994) [56]	42	Healthy	20-m walk, BMI, skinfold, BP, cholesterol, chair rise, up and go, strength, stairs, sit and reach, life satisfaction
Grant <i>et al.</i> (2004) [57]	50	Brain tumour	10-m walk
Green <i>et al.</i> (2002) [12]	26	Obese	100-m walk, pain
Gross <i>et al.</i> (2002) [58]	22	Stroke	15-m walk, chair rise, stairs, pain, strength, muscle CSA
Gur and Cakin (2003) [59]	15	Fasciitis	10-m walk, mobility, 9-HPT, FIM, motor function, disability, QOL, NCV
Hadden <i>et al.</i> (1999) [60]	18	OA	6-m and 6-m backwards walk, strength and power, chair rise, floor rise, lift and reach
Henwood and Taaffe (2005) [61]	10	Neuropathy	4-m walk, strength and power, stairs, physical performance
Herman <i>et al.</i> (2005) [19]	37	Aged	6-m walk, strength and power, chair rise, up and go
Hruda <i>et al.</i> (2003) [62]	25	Aged	10-m walk, OA severity, ADL, X-rays
Kadanka <i>et al.</i> (2000) [63]	48	Myelopathy	10-m walk, OA severity, MRI
Kadanka <i>et al.</i> (2002) [64]	68	Myelopathy	12-m walk, stairs, kinematic and kinetic measures
Kaufman <i>et al.</i> (2001) [1]	139	OA	10-m walk, strength, 9-HPT, grip, vibration, sensory score, EMG
Kilidireas <i>et al.</i> (2006) [65]	20	Healthy	10-m walk, mobility, balance
Kollen <i>et al.</i> (2006) [66]	4	Neuropathy	10-m walk, fear of falling, depression, timed turn, functional reach, balance, chair rise
Kressig <i>et al.</i> (2001) [7]	81	Stroke	20-ft walk, disability, strength and power
Kuo <i>et al.</i> (2006) [20]	1753	Aged	10-m walk, EDSS, 9-HPT, MRI
Leary <i>et al.</i> (2003) [67]	50	MS	50-ft and 6-minute walk, chair rise, functional reach, belt tie, sock test, coin test, fatigue
Lee <i>et al.</i> (2003) [68]	51	Lymphoma	
	51	Healthy	

Authors	n	Subjects	Outcomes
McCarthy and Oldham (2004) [21]	214	OA	8-m walk, WOMAC, SF-36, stairs, chair transfer, mobility
McConvey and Bennett (2005) [69]	10	MS	6-m walk, gait index
McDermott <i>et al.</i> (2005) [70]	397	PAD	4-m and 6-minute walk, ABI, BMI
McDermott <i>et al.</i> (2006) [71]	417	PAD	4-m and 6-minute walk, walking log
McDermott <i>et al.</i> (2006) [72]	296	PAD	4-m walk, ABI, comorbidities, chair rise, balance
	191	Healthy	
McDermott <i>et al.</i> (1998) [73]	145	PAD	4-m and 6-minute walk, ABI, mobility
	65	Healthy	
McDermott <i>et al.</i> (1998) [74]	158	PAD	4-m and 6-minute walk, ABI, leg symptoms, comorbidities
	70	Healthy	
Meeuwesen <i>et al.</i> (2002) [75]	85	Aged	3.5-m walk, physical activity, leg power, up and go, fitness
Menz and Lord (2001) [76]	135	Aged	6-m walk, postural sway, stability, stairs, vision, sensation, strength, reaction time
Miyai <i>et al.</i> (2000) [77]	10	Parkinson's	10-m walk, disease severity
Miyai <i>et al.</i> (2002) [78]	24	Parkinson's	10-m walk, disease severity
Morey and Zhu (2003) [79]	114	Aged	10-m walk, bed mobility, disability, symptoms
Moseley <i>et al.</i> (2004) [32]	10	TBI	10-m and 6-minute walk, real-world walking speed
Nelson <i>et al.</i> (2004) [80]	72	Aged	2-m and 6-minute walk, physical performance, strength, balance
Nieuwenhuis <i>et al.</i> (2006) [81]	151	MS	25-ft walk, agility, 9-HPT
	64	Healthy	
Osthega <i>et al.</i> (2004) [82]	1499	Aged	6-m walk, strength
Partridge <i>et al.</i> (2000) [83]	114	Stroke	5-m walk, body movement, reach, chair rise, anxiety and depression, perceived control
Pellecchia <i>et al.</i> (2004) [84]	20	Parkinson's	10-m walk, disease severity, depression
Perlman <i>et al.</i> (2006) [85]	68	OA	50-ft walk, WOMAC, pain, ROM, disease severity
Perron <i>et al.</i> (2003) [86]	18	Hip replace	10-m walk, stairs
	15	Healthy	
Petrella and Bartha (2000) [87]	179	OA	40-m walk, WOMAC, stiffness, ROM, pain, physical activity
Peurala <i>et al.</i> (2005) [88]	45	Stroke	10-m and 6-minute walk, spasticity, strength, postural sway, motor function, FIM
Peurala <i>et al.</i> (2005) [89]	37	Stroke	10-m walk, FIM, MMAS, gait kinematics
Pirpiris <i>et al.</i> (2003) [2]	109	Neuromuscular	10-m and 10-minute walk
Protas <i>et al.</i> (2005) [90]	18	Parkinson's	3-m walk, gait, step test, falls
Rantanen <i>et al.</i> (1998) [91]	1002	Aged	4-m walk, strength
Riley <i>et al.</i> (2001) [92]	14	Aged	10-m walk, kinematic and kinetic measures
	16	Young	
Rolland <i>et al.</i> (2004) [8]	60	Aged	4-m and 400-m walk
Romberg <i>et al.</i> (2004) [93]	95	MS	25-ft and 500-m walk, EDSS, strength and endurance, Box and Block, GXT, balance
Rudd <i>et al.</i> (1997) [94]	331	Stroke	5-m walk, ADL, motor and cognitive function, aphasia, ADL, anxiety and depression, health profile, caregiver index, satisfaction
Salbach <i>et al.</i> (2001) [13]	50	Stroke	5-m and 10-m walk, STREAM, balance, ADL, up and go, neurological scale, Albert's Test
Salbach <i>et al.</i> (2004) [95]	91	Stroke	5-m and 6-minute walk, balance, up and go
Schenkman <i>et al.</i> (2000) [96]	195	Aged	10-m walk, flexibility, functional reach, floor rise, balance
	56	Parkinson's	
Scherer <i>et al.</i> (2006) [97]	25	PAD	6-m and 6-minute walk, QOL, physical activity, strength, gait
	26	Healthy	
Sherrington and Lord (2005) [22]	30	Hip fracture	6-m walk, strength, balance, floor rise, chair rise
Simonsick <i>et al.</i> (2006) [98]	102	Aged	400-m and 2-minute walk, GXT, physical activity level
Storer <i>et al.</i> (2005) [99]	12	Renal failure	10-m walk, GXT, strength and power, stair climb, up and go
Stratford and Kennedy (2004) [100]	104	OA	40-m walk, WOMAC, stairs, up and go
Sukenik <i>et al.</i> (1990) [101]	30	RA	15-m walk, stiffness, grip, ADLs, disease severity, lab measures
Symons <i>et al.</i> (2005) [102]	30	Aged	80-m walk, strength, step test
Taaffe <i>et al.</i> (2005) [103]	840	Aged	6-m and narrow walk speed, chair rise, balance, physical activity, muscle CSA, strength
Thompson <i>et al.</i> (1996) [104]	7	Neuropathy	10-m walk, 9-HPT, mobility, myometry
	10	Healthy	
Tiedemann <i>et al.</i> (2005) [105]	684	Aged	6-m walk, sensory, strength, reaction time, balance, psychological profile
Tyson and DeSouza (2004) [14]	27	Stroke	5-m walk, balance, reach, tap test, step-up test
van den Berg <i>et al.</i> (2006) [106]	19	MS	10-m and 2-minute walk, mobility, fatigue
van Hedel <i>et al.</i> (2006) [17]	22	SCI	6-minute and 10-m walk, mobility, motor function
van Hedel <i>et al.</i> (2005) [23]	75	SCI	10-m and 6-minute walk, up and go
van Herk <i>et al.</i> (1998) [107]	43	Stroke	10-m walk
van Loo <i>et al.</i> (2004) [24]	13	TBI	10-m and 6-minute walk
Vos Vromans <i>et al.</i> (2005) [18]	19	Stroke and brain tumour	10-m walk, motor function, balance
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2002) [3]	28	OA	25-m and 6-minute walk
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2005) [108]	103	Stroke	10-m walk, balance
Webster <i>et al.</i> (2006) [109]	10	Alzheimer	8-m walk, gait
	10	Healthy	

Authors	<i>n</i>	Subjects	Outcomes
White and Petajan (2004) [110]	8	MS	25-ft walk, motor evoked potentials, finger tap, grip, fatigue
Willen <i>et al.</i> (1998) [111]	32	Post-polio	30-m walk, pain, strength, creatine kinase, physical activity, health profile
Willen and Crimby (2004) [31]	234	Post-polio	30-m walk, strength
Willen <i>et al.</i> (2001) [112]	144	Healthy	
	28	Post-polio	30-m walk, GXT, strength, balance, pain, physical activity, health profile
Winchester <i>et al.</i> (2002) [113]	7	Developmental	10-m walk, motor function
Witte and Carlsson (1997) [114]	18	Stroke	30-m walk, mobility, motor function, stiffness
	11	Healthy	
Wolf <i>et al.</i> (1999) [115]	28	Stroke	10-m walk, mobility, balance, functional reach
	28	Healthy	
Yanagita <i>et al.</i> (2006) [116]	2856	Aged	3-m walk, depression, chair rise, strength, physical activity

OA, osteoarthritis; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index; ROM, range of motion; TBI, traumatic brain injury; FIM, functional independence measure; ADL, activity of daily living; BMI, body mass index; SCI, spinal cord injury; CHF, congestive heart failure; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; ABI, ankle brachial index; LBP, low back pain; IADL, instrumental activity of daily living; QOL, quality of life; MS, multiple sclerosis; 9-HPT, 9-hole peg test; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; HR, heart rate; RPE, rate of perceived exertion; EDSS, expanded disability scale score; BP, back pain; CSA, cross-sectional area; NCV, nerve conduction velocity; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; EMG, electromyogram; MMAS, modified motor assessment scale; GXT, graded exercise test; STREAM, stroke rehabilitation assessment of movement.

Table 2
Frequency of reported test methodologies from studies in Table 1

	Studies	Total outcomes	Control	Aged	Neurological	Cardiovascular	Joint	Miscellaneous
Total	108	156	23	30	67	16	13	7
Pace								
Usual	55	69	12	14	27	9	5	2
Fast	43	51	7	11	19	7	3	4
n/r	31	36	4	5	21	0	5	1
Protocol								
Static	20	28	5	8	8	6	1	0
Static (turn)	10	11	1	2	3	0	2	3
Dynamic	26	40	4	5	23	0	5	3
n/r	53	77	13	15	33	10	5	1
Distance (m)								
2.0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2.4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
3.0	3	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
3.5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
4.0	11	23	6	4	0	13	0	0
5.0	7	9	0	0	9	0	0	0
6.0	20	32	7	12	6	2	2	3
7.6	3	4	1	0	3	0	0	0
8.0	3	3	0	0	2	0	1	0
10.0	37	47	3	7	36	0	1	0
12.0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
15.0	6	8	1	0	2	0	4	1
20.0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
25.0	2	3	1	0	0	0	2	0
30.0	4	10	3	0	7	0	0	0
40.0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
50.0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
80.0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
100.0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
200.0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
400.0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0

Study counts add up to more than 108 as some studies involved multiple methods.

n/r, not reported.