

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Org Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 21

J Org Chem. 2008 November 21; 73(22): 8838–8850. doi:10.1021/jo801551r.

How the Arming Participating Moieties can Broaden the Scope of Chemoselective Oligosaccharide Synthesis by Allowing the Inverse Armed-Disarmed Approach

James T. Smoot and Alexei V. Demchenko

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Missouri – St. Louis, One University Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63121, USA; E-mail: demchenkoa@umsl.edu

Abstract



A new method for stereocontrolled glycosylation and chemoselective oligosaccharide synthesis has been developed. It has been determined that complete 1,2-trans selectivity can be achieved with the use of a 2-O-picolyl moiety, a novel neighboring group that is capable of efficient participation via a six-membered intermediate. The application of the picolyl concept to glycosidations of thioimidoyl, thioglycosyl, and trichloroacetimidoyl glycosyl donors is demonstrated. The picolyl moiety also retains the glycosyl donor in the armed state, as opposed to conventional acyl participating moieties. We name this new approach - "inverse armed-disarmed" strategy, because it allows for the chemoselective introduction of a 1,2-trans glycosidic linkage prior to other linkages. In the context of the oligosaccharide synthesis, the strategy provides trans-trans and trans-cis patterned oligosaccharides as opposed to classic Fraser-Reid's armed-disarmed approach leading to cis-trans and cis-cis linkages.

Introduction

The involvement of complex glycostructures, polysaccharides and glycoconjugates, in many cellular processes has been directly linked to the progression of many fatal diseases of the 21st century including AIDS, cancer, meningitis, hepatitis, septicemia, etc.^{1,2} Elucidation of the exact mechanisms of the disease pathogenesis in many cases would be significantly facilitated if scientists could rely on the comprehensive knowledge of the structure, conformation, and properties of the carbohydrate molecules involved. One of the main drawbacks in studying the biological functions of complex glycostructures is their limited availability in pure form from natural sources. Therefore, there are expectations that efficient chemical or chemo-enzymatic syntheses would make complex carbohydrates more accessible to keep pace with exploding areas of glycosciences.³ Amongst possible solutions for this need is the development of reliable methods for stereoselective glycosylation⁴ and efficient strategies for expeditious oligosaccharide assembly.^{5,6} In spite of significant progress that has already been made in the area of synthetic carbohydrate chemistry, complex glycostructures remain among the most challenging targets of modern synthetic chemistry.²

Many known expeditious strategies for oligosaccharide synthesis are based on the selective activation of one leaving group over another, which significantly reduces the number of synthetic steps required for assembly.⁵ Amongst the most efficient procedures developed to date, Fraser-Reid's *armed-disarmed approach*, is based on the chemoselectivity principle.⁷, ⁸ It was determined that a benzylated (electronically activated, armed) glycosyl donor can be chemoselectively activated over an acylated (electronically deactivated, disarmed) derivative bearing the same type of leaving group. At this stage, 1,2-*cis*-linked disaccharide is preferentially obtained due to the necessity to use the ether-type substituent (arming non-participating group, Figure 1). A key feature of such an approach is the availability of a suitable mild activator (promoter) that would allow for differentiating the reactivity levels between the armed and disarmed building blocks.

Initially being designed for *O*-pentenyl glycosides, this concept was further explored in chemoselective glycosidations of other glycosyl donors, including thioglycosides,⁹ selenoglycosides,¹⁰ fluorides,¹¹ phosphoroamidates,¹² substituted thioformimidates,¹³ glycals,¹⁴ S-benzoxazolyl glycosides,¹⁵ and S-thiazolinyl (STaz) glycosides.¹⁶ The obtained 1,2-*cis* linked disaccharides (usually a mixture) can be then used for 1,2-*trans* glycosylation directly in the presence of a more potent promoter, capable of activating the disarmed leaving group. This two-step activation leads to efficient assembly of *cis-trans*-patterned oligosaccharide sequences. In the context of the glycoside synthesis in general, the use of an acyl-type disarming participating group (Figure 1) remains virtually the only common approach to achieve excellent 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity.

The attractive concept of chemoselective oligosaccharide synthesis was further analyzed, summarized and expanded by Ley,¹⁷ Wong,¹⁸ and others.¹⁹ As a result, excellent programmable multi-step reactivity-based techniques including highly efficient one-pot approaches have become available. The armed-disarmed strategy thus offers an efficient tool for the synthesis of oligosaccharides with a *cis-trans* glycosylation pattern. While the synthesis of *cis-cis*-linked derivatives is also possible by means of additional protecting group manipulations,^{7,8} this concept is not directly applicable to the synthesis of *trans-cis-* or *trans-trans*-linked oligosaccharide fragments. This arguably limits its versatility and flexibility in the context of practical oligosaccharide synthesis, whereas the use of other, usually more laborious techniques, such as orthogonal,²⁰ semi-orthogonal,²¹ and two-step activation (or pre-activation)^{22,23} strategies allow for the synthesis of such patterns.

In order to overcome this major drawback of chemoselective glycosylations and expand the scope and applicability of this excellent technique to other oligosaccharide sequences (*trans-trans* and *trans-cis*), we initiated studies of an approach that we call "inverse armed-disarmed strategy". Conceptually, we should be able to perform the chemoselective introduction of 1,2-*trans* linkage prior to another 1,2-*trans* or 1,2-*cis* linkage if a neighboring substituent capable of both activation and participation (arming participating moiety, APG, Figure 1) were available. Our preliminary results related to this approach have been already been communicated.²⁴ Herein we present the full account of these studies and our initial steps toward broadening the concept in the context of its application to other classes of compounds and targets. Other types of moieties that allow for excellent β -stereoselectivities while keeping the glycosyl donor in the armed state have recently been developed.²⁵

Results and Discussion

Since the inverse armed-disarmed approach would require the use of a neighboring substituent capable of both activation and participation, we investigated a number of substituents to be used as an APG. We reasoned that since the benzyl substituent has proven to be excellent arming moiety, the investigation of modified benzyls would be a good starting point. It should

be appreciated that the modification at the benzylic α -carbon however could result in altering its arming properties; hence, in order to design a suitable moiety that would be capable of participation, and to investigate its possible influence on the outcome of glycosylations, we decided to focus these studies on the ortho-substituted/modified benzyl ethers.

Investigation of thioglycosides

This novel concept was first investigated using ethyl thioglycosides, which are known to be common and versatile glycosyl donors.^{23,26} The synthesis of the 2-hydroxyl intermediate **4** was achieved from known thioorthoester $1a^{,27}$ as shown in Scheme 1. Thus, a deacetylation-benzylation sequence followed by opening of the resulting orthoester **2a** afforded 2-acetyl thioglycoside **3**. Deacetylation of the latter compound resulted in the formation of the key intermediate **4**. Subsequently, alkylation of the 2-hydroxyl under typical reaction conditions (alkyl bromide, NaH in DMF) afforded 2-*O*-(*o*-bromobenzyl, BrBn) **6**, 2-*O*(*o*,*o*-dimethoxybenzyl, DMB) **7**, and 2-*O*-picolyl (2'-pyridylmethyl, Pic) derivatives **8** in 98, 77, and 83%, respectively (Scheme 1).

Preliminary experiments with novel glycosyl donors 6-8 were performed in direct comparison with standard glycosidation of per-benzylated glycosyl donor 5.²⁸ These couplings were performed using model glycosyl acceptor **9** in the presence of iodonium(di- γ -collidine) perchlorate (IDCP).^{9,29} Although glycosyl donors **6** and **7** allowed slightly improved β stereoselectivity in comparison to that achieved with 2-benzyl donor 5 (Table 1, entries 1-6), it remained uncertain whether this marginally improved β -stereoselectivity should be attributed to the anticipated participation via a seven-membered intermediate (Figure 1) or would rather be a result of the increased steric hindrance of the bottom face of the ring. Not being able to differentiate between these two possible pathways, and being discouraged by the negligibly improved stereoselectivity of the formation of disaccharides 11 and 12 in comparison to that of 10 (Table 1), our subsequent studies refocused on the investigation of the picolylated glycosyl donor 8. We reasoned that the picolyl moiety would offer a more efficient participation via six-membered cyclic intermediate (Figure 1) while being about the same size as conventional benzyl substituent. Therefore, if the anticipated increase in stereoselectivity takes place, this could be exclusively attributed to the anchimeric participation, but not steric hindrance. The synthesis of the glycosyl donor 8 bearing a picolyl substituent at C-2 was achieved as described in Scheme 1 with the commercial picolyl bromide hydrobromide.

Unfortunately, nearly all our attempts to glycosidate donor **8** have failed. Thus, common promoters for thioglycoside activation²⁶ have been screened, but each experiment performed at ambient temperature led to the reaction between the electrophilic promoter and nucleophilic nitrogen in the pyridine moiety in lieu of the anomeric sulfur atom (entries 7–10, Table 1). Mildly electrophilic dimethyl(thiomethyl)sulfonium triflate (DMTST)³⁰ commonly used as the promoter for thioglycosides,³¹ was the most promising in this respect, but even upon prolonged heating at 45 °C (16 h) the reaction provided the disaccharide **13** in a modest yield of 45% (entry 11). The stereoselectivity though exceeded all of our expectations as the reaction was found to be completely β -stereoselective. In this context, although an approach for controlling the anomeric stereoselectivity with a neighboring substituent capable of the six-membered participation is relatively unexplored, a number of moieties for stereoselective α -glycosylation have recently been reported.³²

Investigation of 2-O-picolylated glycosyl donors

Although the latter result could serve as the ultimate proof of the concept, low efficiency would the major burden for subsequent practical applications. In order to investigate this concept further and with the hopes to avoid the interference of the picolyl group with the leaving group activation we decided to apply these findings to S-thiazolinyl (STaz) glycosyl donors. The

rationale for selecting the STaz moiety for subsequent studies was the fact that this type of leaving group can be activated by mildly electrophilic metal salt-based promoters.³³ Another important motivation for selecting the STaz glycosides was related to their excellent glycosyl donor properties and the compatibility with the classic Fraser-Reid's armed-disarmed strategy. 16

For the purpose of synthesizing picolylated STaz glycosyl donor, the orthoester **2a** was opened with 2-mercaptothiazoline (HSTaz) in the presence of TMSOTf to give the intermediate **14a** in 90% yield. The deacetylation of **14a** was carried out over 72 h with NaOMe/MeOH to give compound **15a** (95%). Although the STaz moiety was generally found to be stable in the presence of strong bases, ¹⁶ careful optimization of the following transformation allowed us to obtain the target 2-picolylated derivative **16a** in an excellent yield of 90%. Thus, picolyl bromide hydrobromide was first reacted with NaH in DMF to quench the HBr and to exclude possible interference of the STaz group at this stage. Subsequently, the 2-hydroxyl derivative **15a** was added to the resulting mixture at -30 °C followed by further addition of NaH to give the target glycosyl donor **16a**.

Having synthesized 2-picolyl STaz glycoside **16a**, we turned our attention to studying its glycosyl donor properties. For this purpose, a range of differently protected glycosyl acceptors **18–22** was selected (Figure 2).^{9,34–37} Per-benzylated STaz glycosyl donor **17**¹⁶ was used as a stereoselectivity/reactivity control. After screening a variety of suitable activators ranging from common activators for the thioglycoside activation to metal salts that are unique for the thioimidates, we chose Cu(OTf)₂ to be applied alone or in combination with catalytic TfOH. To our encouragement, all glycosylations summarized in Table 2 proceeded with complete 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity: no traces of the α-anomer were detected in every reaction. The corresponding disaccharide derivatives **23–28** (Figure 3) were isolated in good yields in the range of 56–85%. In comparison, glycosidations of benzylated STaz glycoside **17** provided predominantly α-linked disaccharide with predominant α-stereoselectivity ($\alpha/\beta = 2-4/1$).¹⁶

We have also noticed that glycosidation of **16a** required significantly more time at rt than that of its per-benzylated counterpart **17** (48 h vs. 1–3 h, respectively). To enhance the efficiency of this approach and shorten the reaction time, all glycosylations with **16a** were performed in 1,2-dichloroethane at elevated temperature. It was determined that the reaction temperature of 42 °C was optimal in terms of both conversion rate – all couplings were completed in 12–16 h – and yields (see Table 2). While the efficiency of silver(I) triflate was similar to that achieved with Cu(OTf)₂ (compare entries 1 and 3), in a variety of cases the use of catalytic TfOH additive along with stoichiometric Cu(OTf)₂ resulted in significantly higher reaction yields (e.g. entries 1, 2, 7 and 8). It should be noted that all reactions were performed with each promoter (or promoter combination) mentioned herein, yet only the most representative results are summarized in Table 2. Upon completion, the glycosylations were quenched with an excess of triethylamine (~20 equiv.) to ensure that the copper-pyridinium complex of the product with promoter that could have been formed during the prolonged reactions has been completely decomposed.

Being satisfied with the utilization of an array of structurally diverse glycosyl acceptors, we decided to evaluate whether the developed technique could be applied to other classes of glycosyl donors. First of all, we decided to investigate glycosyl donor of the D-galacto series, which is amongst the most abundant monosaccharides in nature, thus representing an important target. As in the case of the D-gluco counterpart **16a**, the synthesis of picolylated galactosyl donor **16b** (compound numbers for the D-galacto series are essentially the same as shown for the D-gluco series and designated with index **b** and **a**, respectively) was initiated from the derivatization of the orthoester. Thus, the orthoester **1b** was deacetylated and benzylated without the need for the intermediate purification to give **2b** in 79% yield. The latter orthoester

then was opened to give STaz galactoside **14b** in 83% yield, which was deprotected to give 2hydroxyl derivative **15b** in 95% yield. The picolyl group was then installed to give the desired galactose donor **16b** in 85% yield. As indicated in Table 2, the galactosyl donor **16b** was glycosidated with acceptor **18** to give the desired disaccharide **24** with complete 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity in 76% yield (entry 12).

Having demonstrated that the picolyl moiety could be simply introduced under conventional alkylation conditions and applied to the successful stereoselective synthesis of 1,2-*trans*-linked disaccharides, we decided to investigate the pathways for its deprotection. Indeed, the development of a novel protecting group would be totally impractical if it could not be removed. Along these lines, we determined that the 2-O-picolyl moiety could be removed under conventional catalytic hydrogenation conditions (Pd/C). Thus, hydrogenolysis of *O*-picolyl moiety in **23** was achieved along with concomitant removal of benzyls affording a fully unprotected disaccharide **29** (Figure 3) in 98 % yield.

Upon establishing the reaction conditions with the STaz glycosides, we decided to investigate the compatibility of the picolyl moiety with other types of glycosylations. First of all, we have re-investigated the thioglycoside donor by applying a procedure similar to that developed for the STaz glycosides. When 2-picolyl thioglycoside **8** and acceptor **18** were mixed with DMTST at room temperature followed by heating at 42 °C for 18 h, only modest yield of the disaccharide **23** could be obtained (~ 50%). However, a significantly improved yield was reached by cooling the reaction mixture to -50° C prior to the addition of promoter followed by slow warming to rt and subsequent heating at 42 °C for 18 h. This modified approach led to the disaccharide **23** in a significantly improved yield of 70% (entry 14, Table 2).

We then turned our attention to the investigation of the trichloroacetimidate approach to glycosylation, arguably the most widespread method of glycosylation.³⁸ The trichloroacetimidate donor **31** was obtained from the STaz (or SEt) glycoside **16a** (or **8**) via sequential hydrolysis of the anomeric moiety with Cu(OTf)₂ (or DMTST) leading to the hemiacetal **30** followed by the introduction of the trichloroacetoimidoyl moiety by reaction with trichloroacetoinitile in the presence of potassium carbonate as a base (Scheme 3). The target trichloroacetimidate **31** was sufficiently stable to be purified by chromatographic column, and the α - and β -isomers could be isolated individually in 48% and 34% yield, respectively. A TESOTf-promoted glycosidation of **31** with glycosyl acceptor **18** led to the formation of disaccharide **23** with complete 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity in a yield of 84% (entry 15, Table 2).

Novel applications of chemoselective 1,2-trans glycosylations in oligosaccharide synthesis

Having established general conventions for simple one-step 1,2-*trans* glycosylations, we turned our attention to investigating the applicability of this novel methodology to expeditious oligosaccharide synthesis. As aforementioned, the major motivation for these studies was to invent new chemoselective pathways for the rapid synthesis of various oligosaccharide sequences. As aforementioned, in our previous work we have already established that the STaz glycosides also follow general armed-disarmed strategy.¹⁶ Thus, the armed STaz glycoside donor **17** was chemoselectively activated over the disarmed STaz glycosyl acceptor **32**¹⁶ in the presence of Cu(OTf)₂ or AgOTf (Scheme 4). As a result, the disaccharide **33** was obtained in 88% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.5/1$) and 79% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 2.0/1$) respectively. The disarmed reducing end of the intermediate **33** could be activated with a more potent promoter AgOTf for the coupling with glycosyl acceptor **18** that resulted in the formation of the *cis-trans*-patterned trisaccharide **34** in 83% yield.¹⁶

Since in our simple glycosylation experiments we have noticed that glycosidation of picolylated glycosyl donors required prolonged experiments in comparison to that of their per-

(Scheme 4). Subsequently, the activation of the disarmed disaccharide **35** for the reaction with **18** in the presence of AgOTf afforded the *trans-trans-*linked trisaccharide **36** in 91% yield. It should be noted that prior to our studies, this type of sequence could not be accessed via chemoselective armed-disarmed activations.

After successfully obtaining both the *cis-trans* and *trans-trans* oligosaccharide sequences we turned our focus to the investigation of the other sequences i.e. *cis-cis* and *trans-cis*, the latter being "inverse oligosaccharide pattern" as opposed to that achieved by the classic Fraser-Reid approach (*cis-trans*). In this context, although the synthesis of the *cis-cis* pattern does not require the use of APG, its exploration herein seemed essential as it would complete the comprehensive tool-kit for synthesizing all types of sequences using a simple set of building blocks requiring only one type of anomeric moiety. Recently we demonstrated the two-step synthesis of the *cis-cis* linked oligosaccharide by exploring a new effect that we call O-2/O-5 cooperative effect in glycosylation, ¹⁵ yet its application to the STaz methodology had not been explored. Alternatively, the same concept could be realized by using the so-called disarming non-participating moieties in the glycosyl acceptor unit.³⁹

Utilizing the general principle of the cooperative effect we obtained 3,4-di-*O*-benzoyl-2-*O*-benzyl protected STaz glycosyl acceptor **38** from known derivative **37**¹⁶ via conventional tritylation-acylation-detritylation sequence (78% over-all yield, Scheme 5). Performing direct chemoselective activations substantiated our anticipation that the building block **38**, bearing the remote electron-withdrawing acyl groups, would be less reactive than the per-benzylated derivative **17**. The reaction of glycosyl donor **17** with glycosyl acceptor **38** was performed in the presence of Cu(OTf)₂ in 1,2-dichloroethane/dioxane to give predominantly α -linked disaccharide **39** in 89% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 2/1$, Scheme 5). The disarmed disaccharide **39** was then glycosidated with standard glycosyl acceptor **18** in the presence of AgOTf to give the desired *cis-cis*-linked trisaccharide **40** in 75% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.6/1$).

Lastly, we needed to combine the *trans*-directing picolyl functionality of glycosyl donor **16a** and the *cis*-directing functionality of the cooperative glycosyl acceptor **38** that could potentially lead to the inverse *trans-cis* glycosylation pattern. To achieve this, glycosyl donor **16a** was coupled with glycosyl acceptor **38** in the presence of stoichiometric Cu(OTf)₂ and catalytic TfOH at 30 °C for 18 h to give the disaccharide **41** in 70% yield (Scheme 5). The yield could be further increased by executing the pre-activation of the glycosyl donor **16a** followed by the addition of the glycosyl acceptor **38**. Although deemed unnecessary, the latter modification allows one to significantly reduce the impact of the major competing side reaction of the 1,6-anhydro derivative. The disaccharide **41** was then coupled to the glycosyl acceptor **18** in the presence of AgOTf to give the desired trisaccharide **42** in 54% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.7/1$).

Mechanistic investigations

Being encouraged by the complete 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity achieved in all glycosidations of 2-*O*-picolylated glycosyl donors, we decided to investigate whether this remarkable selectivity is actually due to the anticipated anchimeric participation. We also had hoped that the in depth mechanistic studies would help to answer other questions that have surfaced during the preliminary experimentations. These include relatively slow glycosidation reaction, partial complexation/salt formation, and good, yet not exceptional yields in glycosylations. Amongst

these, low reactivity was particularly intriguing because while the direct chemoselective activation of **16a** over benzoylated acceptor **32** was possible, the stand alone glycosylations with per-benzoylated STaz glycoside were significantly faster $(1-20 \text{ h at rt})^{33}$ than those with **16a** (24–48 h at rt). Therefore, this discrepancy could not be simply rationalized by the lower reactivity of 2-*O*-picolylated glycosyl donors.

As aforementioned, our working hypothesis for these studies was based on the anticipation that upon promoter-assisted leaving group departure, the oxocarbenium ion is converted into a stable intermediate of the six-membered cyclic structure **A** (Scheme 6). Although this pathway involving formal participation of the nitrogen atom on the O-2 protecting moiety via the anomeric center seemed very credible, we could not entirely eliminate other possibilities. For example, an alternative explanation exploring the possibility of the intramolecular promoter complexation of the two nitrogen atoms of the glycosyl donor has also come to our attention. We believed that the bidentate complex depicted in Scheme 6 would cause sufficient disturbance to the leaving group to stimulate its departure followed by the formation of the anomeric α -triflate **B** (Scheme 6). Since glycosyl triflates are known to allow for the concerted bimolecular nucleophilic substitution, ⁴⁰ the second pathway also deemed as a viable explanation to the stereoselective formation of the 1,2-*trans*-linked products.

At this stage it seemed impossible to differentiate between the two plausible intermediates **A** and **B**, therefore, to clarify this we decided to undertake mechanistic studies. We anticipated that if it were possible to trap the reaction intermediate, this would provide sufficient information to clarify the reaction pathway. A number of recent reports described the spectral properties of the anomeric triflates,⁴¹ hence we thought that if our reaction were proceeding via the intermediate **B**, it could be easily detected by recording a simple proton NMR spectrum. The spectral properties of the previously reported glycosyl triflates differ based on their chemical structure, but what they all had in common is small coupling constant doublet (or even a singlet) corresponding to the anomeric proton (H-1) in a relatively low field (between 6.0-6.3 ppm).⁴¹

Having recorded the proton spectrum of the glycosyl donor **16a** (Figure 4a), we noted that no signals were present between 5.5 and 7.0 ppm. This would significantly facilitate the monitoring of the formation of plausible glycosyl triflate species by avoiding undesirable signal overlaps that is often an unavoidable burden in complex molecules. Subsequently, AgOTf (2 equiv) was added to the standard 5 mm NMR tube containing **16a** and CDCl₃, and upon complete disappearance of the glycosyl donor (1 h, TLC analysis) the spectrum was recorded again. Indeed, as can be seen in Figure 4b, no starting material remained at this stage; as a matter of fact, we determined that **16a** gets entirely consumed in about 1 h regardless of the temperature (studied range 0–50 °C). At this stage, the ¹H NMR spectrum clearly shows the anomeric signals of two compounds: (major, 6.15 ppm, J = 4.6 Hz) and H-1 α (minor, 5.75 ppm, J = 8.2 Hz), corresponding to the α - and β -glycosyl linkage, respectively. The anomeric ratio, determined by comparison of the integral intensities of the corresponding signals in proton spectra, varied depending on the reaction conditions from 5/1 (50 °C) to 20/1 (rt or 0 ° C).

Apparently, experimental data acquired at this stage has not yet provided sufficient information to distinguish whether the obtained reaction intermediate is postulated **A**, **B**, or neither. In principle, both anomeric triflate and α -*N*-glycoside could display H-1 signals in the observed region. Therefore, the NMR studies were continued by using advanced two-dimensional techniques. These NMR determinations we found to be very informative and allowed for the unambiguous structure elucidation of the reaction intermediate. Thus, correlations in the NOESY experiment between protons H-1 (δ 6.15 ppm) and the aromatic proton adjacent to the picolyl nitrogen atom (H_c, δ 9.00 ppm) indicated that these two protons were situated in

Page 8

close proximity (Figure 4d). This has become good, yet not explicit indication on the reaction intermediate being the proposed six-membered cyclic intermediate **A** (Figure 4e). Also, the TOCSY data revealed that H-1 and the benzylic protons of the picolyl group (H_a and H_b, δ 5.15 ppm) are a part of the same spin system (Figure 4c and e) – another indication on the ring system. Also from the 1D data (Figure 4a and b), it was evident that the α -benzylic protons underwent significant modifications reflected in their spectral properties (singlet in **16a** vs. double of doublets in **A/B**). This was another indication on the ring system and the equivalent protons H_{a,b} have become "fixed" in a more rigid cyclic substructure and thus could be differentiated in the proton spectrum. Finally, by determining the long range coupling between C-1 (δ 84.89 ppm) and H_c in the HMBC spectrum (Figure 4f) we confirmed that the C-1 and H_c atoms are positioned three bonds apart.⁴²

Based on the experimental spectral data presented herein, we conclude that there is a solid evidence for the α -*N*-picolyl glycosidic linkage, as in **A** (Figure 4e), a clear indication for the cyclic intermediate that has formed as a result of the anchimeric assistance of the 2-*O*-picolyl participatory moiety.

Furthermore, the bicyclic intermediate A (43) could be isolated in the pure form from the reaction of 16a with Cu(OTf)₂ (1 h, rt) followed by conventional post-glycosylation work-up and flash column chromatography on silica gel. Although we do not yet have experimental evidence regarding the counter anion of 43, the isolated intermediate was found to be reactive with potent nucleophiles such as NaOMe, to allow glycoside 44 (Scheme 7), even in the absence of the promoter. Similarly, we determined that compound 43 could be obtained from glycosyl donor 16a by reaction with bromine.

It was also determined that minor NMR signals (e.g. doublet at 5.75 ppm) seen in the spectrum of A/43 (Figure 4b) correspond to the β -linked cyclic intermediate 45 (Scheme 7). It is noteworthy that ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 43 and 45 are in good correlation with those recorded for α - and β -*N*-pyridinium salts.⁴³ Interestingly, while 43 was found to be reactive leading to 1,2-trans glycosides, the β -intermediate 45 remained completely inert and could be typically isolated from the final reaction mixture. Even purposeful prolonged reactions performed with potent charged nucleophiles in refluxing DMF did not stimulate compound 45 to react. It is possible that this stability is to be attributed to the reverse anomeric effect that favors charged β -*N*-glycosidic species,⁴⁴ although no direct experimental data clarifying this high stability of 45 is yet available.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a new method for stereocontrolled and chemoselective glycosylation has been developed. It has been demonstrated that complete 1,2-trans selectivity can be achieved with the use of a 2-O-picolyl moiety, a novel neighboring group that is capable of efficient participation via a six-membered intermediate while retaining the glycosyl donor in the armed state, as opposed to the conventional acyl participating moieties. Initially developed for the S-thiazolinyl glycosides, this approach was also proven compatible with the most common glycosylation methodologies developed to date based on thioglycosides and glycosyl trichloroacetimidates. The application of a novel arming participating moiety to complement chemoselective oligosaccharide synthesis has been also developed. This new armed-disarmed glycosylation strategy allows for chemoselective introduction of a 1,2-trans glycosidic linkage prior to other linkages, as opposed to the classic Fraser-Reid approach. Based on our chemoselective studies, we conclude that the developed technique, along with the classic armed-disarmed approach, allows for expeditious access to any oligosaccharide sequence (*ciscis, cis-trans, trans-trans*, and *trans-cis*) from only one type of a leaving group. Thorough

mechanistic studies led to the discovery that the picolyl-assisted 1,2-trans stereoselective glycosylations proceed via the formal six-membered cyclic intermediate.

Experimental Section

Preparation of glycosyl donors containing APG

Ethyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-(O-bromobenzyl)-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (6)-To a solution of the thioglycoside 4 (50 mg, 0.101 mmol) in DMF (1.0 mL) NaH (60 % in mineral oil, 8.1 mg, 0.203 mol) and 2-bromobenzyl bromide (30 µL, 0.122 mmol) were added at rt. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min, then poured into ice-water (20 mL), stirred for 30 min, and extracted with EtOAc/Et₂O (1/1, v/v, 3×15 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with cold water (3×20 mL), separated, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/toluene gradient elution) to allow the title compound as a white amorphous solid in 98% yield. Analytical data for **6**: $R_f = 0.49$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/4, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -11.7^{\circ}$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r: δ, 1.34 (t, 3H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.78 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.50–3.57(m, 2H, H-1, 5), 3.63-3.85 (m, 5H, H-2, 3, 4, 6a, 6b), 4.51 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.56-4.65 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.82–5.03 (d, 5H, CH₂Ph), 7.11–7.37 (m, 17H, aromatic) 7.53 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.63 (dd, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: δ, 15.4, 25.1, 69.3, 73.6, 74.4, 75.2, 75.9, 78.2, 79.3, 82.1, 85.0, 86.8, 122.3, 127.5, 127.8, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6, 129.0, 129.5, 132.5, 138.0, 138.2, 138.4, 138.5 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₃₆H₄₀BrNO₅S [M+H]⁺: 663.1780, found 663.1802.

Ethyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-(O,O-dimethoxybenzyl)-1-thio-β-D-

glucopyranoside (7)—To a solution of the thioglycoside 4 (150 mg, 0.304 mmol) and 2,6dimethoxybenzyl bromide (105 mg, 0.456 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (1.5 mL) and NaH (60 % in mineral oil, 24 mg, 0.609 mmol) was added at rt. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, then quenched with ice (5 g) and extracted with EtOAc/Et₂O (1/1, v/v, 3×25 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with cold water $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, separated, dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/toluene gradient elution) to afford the title compound as colorless syrup (77%). Analytical data for 7: $R_f = 0.48$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -9.1^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r: δ , 1.31 (t, 3H, J = 7.1, SCH₂CH₃), 2.75 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.45 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.58–3.86 (m, 5H, H-3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b), 3.76 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.93 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₃), 4.45 (d, 1H, J₁ ₂= 9.1 Hz, H-1), 4.70 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.76 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.01–5.06 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 6.51 (d, 2H, aromatic), 7.11 (m, 2H, aromatic), 7.18–7.34 (m, 14H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: δ, 15.3, 25.0, 29.9, 55.8, 63.6, 69.6, 73.6, 75.2, 75.4, 77.4, 78.2, 79.2, 82.0, 85.5, 87.0, 103.9, 114.6, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 130.0, 138.4, 138.5, 139.4, 159.6 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₃₈H₄₄O₇SNa [M+Na]⁺: 667.2705 found 667.2700 m/z.

Ethyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (8)—To a solution of the thioglycoside **4** (150 mg, 0.304 mmol) in DMF (4 ml) NaH (60 % in mineral oil, 36 mg, 0.912 mmol) and picolyl bromide hydrobromide (231 mg, 0.365 mmol) were added at rt. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, then quenched with ice (5 g) and extracted with EtOAc/ Et₂O (1/1, v/v, 3 × 25 mL,). The combined organic extract was washed with cold water (3 × 20 mL), separated, dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane gradient) to give the title compound as off-white amorphous solid (83%). Analytical data for **8**: R_f = 0.20 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/4, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ = +7.0° (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r: δ, 1.44 (t, 3H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.77 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.47–3.55 (m, 2H, H-2, 5), 3.60–3.79 (m, 4H, H-3, 4, 6a, 6b), 4.40 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 9.7, H-1) 4.53–4.64 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.83 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.93 (d,

1H, CH_2 Ph), 4.94 (d, 1H, CH_2 Ph), 7.28–7.47 (m, 16H, aromatic) 7.60 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.76 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.65 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 15.3, 25.0, 69.3, 73.6, 75.2, 75.9, 76.2, 78.1, 79.3, 82.4, 85.0, 86.6, 121.9, 122.5, 127.8, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 136.6, 138.2, 138.4, 138.5, 149.2, 158.4, ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for $C_{35}H_{39}NO_5S$ [M+H]⁺: 586.2549 found 586.2548 m/z.

Thiazolinyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (16a)—A solution of 15a (1.40 g, 2.55 mmol) in DMF (22 mL) was added to a stirred suspension of NaH (0.32 g, 7.90 mmol) and picolyl bromide hydrobromide (1.94 g, 7.65 mmol) in DMF (22 mL) at -25 °C. Additional NaH (60 % in mineral oil, 0.20 g, 5.01 mmol) was added, the reaction mixture was stirred 30 min and then allowed to warm to rt over 90 min. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched by adding crushed ice (10 g), stirred until cessation of H₂ evolution, and then extracted with ethyl acetate/diethyl ether ($1/1 \text{ v/v}, 3 \times 80 \text{ mL}$). The combined organic phase was washed with water $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$, separated, dried with MgSO₄, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetatehexane gradient elution) to afford the title compound as colorless syrup (1.39 g, 90%). Analytical data for **16a**: $R_f = 0.37$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 2/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +13.2^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r: d, 3.34 (t, 2H, SCH₂), 3.62 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.65–3.83 (m, 5H, H-2, 3, 4, 6a, 6b), 4.21 (m, 2H, CH₂N), 4.60 (dd, 2H, J2 = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.70 (dd, 2H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.87 (dd, 2H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.00 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 5.32 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 9.7 Hz, H-1), 7.15–7.38 (m, 16H, aromatic) 7.48 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.66 (m, 1H, aromatic), 8.55 (br. d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 35.2, 64.5, 68.8, 73.6, 75.2, 75.9, 76.2, 77.4, 79.7, 81.6, 84.7, 86.6, 122.0, 122.6, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 136.8, 138.3, 138.4, 149.1, 158.1, 163.9, ppm; HR-FAB MS [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₃₆H₃₉N₂O₅S₂ 643.2300, found 643.2293.

Thiazolinyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-1-thio-\beta-D-galactopyranoside (16b) was

prepared as yield off-white amorphous solids (85%) as described for the synthesis of **16a**. Analytical data for **16b**: $R_f = 0.29$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 3/2, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +11.9^{\circ}$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r: δ , 3.32 (t, 2H, J_{CH2CH2N} = 8.0, SCH₂CH₂), 3.62–3.75 (m, 4H, H-3, 5, 6a, 6b), 3.95 (d, 1H, H-2), 4.02 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.07–4.28 (m, 2H, CH₂N), 4.41 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph) 4.60 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.71 (s, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.91–5.05 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 5.37 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 9.8 Hz, H-1), 7.17 (m, 1H, aromatic), 7.22–7.39 (m, 15H, aromatic) 7.46 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.62 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.54 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: δ , 35.3, 64.5, 68.5, 72.8, 73.7, 73.7, 74.9, 76.6, 77.4, 77.8, 78.6, 83.9, 85.2, 122.0, 122.4, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.6, 128.6, 136.6, 138.1, 138.2, 138.8, 149.1, 158.6, 164.2, ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₃₆H₃₇N₂O₅S₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 665.2120, found 665.2124.

3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-a and β -D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (a- and

β-31)—To a suspension of the hemiacetal *α*,*β*-30 (51 mg, 0.094 mmol), in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) trichloroacetonitrile (95 μL, 0.942 mmol) was added followed by the addition of K₂CO₃ (26 mg, 0.1885 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and washed with water (2 × 20 mL). The organic phase was separated, dried and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) to yield *β*-31 as colorless syrup (34%) and *α*-31 as light brown syrup (48%). Analytical data for *β*-31: R_f = 0.32 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/2, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +11.5^{\circ}$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.69 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.76–3.83 (m, 5H, H-2, 3, 4, 6a, 6b), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 15.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.81 (d, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.83 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.89 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 13.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.01 (d, 1H, J = 13.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.84 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 8.4 Hz, H-1), 7.13–7.21 (m, 3H, aromatic), 7.26–7.34 (m, 13H, aromatic), 7.43 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.57 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.53 (d, 1H, aromatic), 8.68 (s, 1H, NH), ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.; d, 29.9, 68.4, 73.6, 75.2, 75.1, 76.2, 77.4, 77.5, 81.9, 84.6, 91.1, 98.5, 121.4, 122.5, 127.8, 128.0, 128.1,

128.1, 128.2, 128.6, 128.6, 136.7, 138.2, 138.3, 138.5, 149.2, 158.5, 161.5 ppm; FAB MS [M +H]⁺ HR-FAB MS calc for $C_{35}H_{35}Cl_{3}N_2O_6Na$ [M+Na]⁺: 707.1458 found 707.1412. Analytical data for *a*-**31**: $R_f = 0.14$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/2, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +47.7^{\circ}$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.64 (dd, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, H-6a), 3.74–3.82 (m, 3H, H-2, 4, 6b), 3.87 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.56 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.3 Hz, H-3), 4.42–4.60 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.78–4.93 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 6.57 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.4 Hz, H-1), 7.10–7.29 (m, 16H, aromatic), 7.40 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.55 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.47 (d, 1H, aromatic), 8.52 (s, 1H, NH) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.; d, 29.9, 68.2, 73.5, 73.7, 73.9, 75.6, 75.9, 77.1, 77.4, 80.1, 81.5, 91.5, 94.5, 121.3, 122.5, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 128.6, 128.6, 136.8, 138.1, 138.2, 138.7, 149.1, 158.5, 161.4 ppm; FAB MS [M+H]⁺ HR-FAB MS calc for $C_{35}H_{35}Cl_3N_2O_6Na$ [M+Na]⁺: 707.1458 found 707.1412.

Preparation of di- and trisaccharides

Method A: Typical Cu(OTf)₂-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the STaz glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in DCE (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h followed by the addition of freshly conditioned Cu(OTf)₂ (0.39 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at 40 °C, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 min. The mixture was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water, the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

Method B: Typical Cu(OTf)₂/TfOH-promoted glycosylation procedure

(activation of the STaz glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in DCE (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. TfOH (0.08 mmol) was then added and stirred at rt until donor was fully complexed. Freshly conditioned Cu(OTf)₂ (0.26 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at 40 °C. The reaction was quenched with TEA, stirred for additional 30 min, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4×10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-toluene gradient elution).

Method C: Typical AgOTf-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the STaz glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (3Å, 200 mg) in DCE (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. Freshly conditioned AgOTf (0.26 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 16 hr. at rt, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4×10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-toluene gradient elution).

Method D: Typical DMTST-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the SEt glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. The mixture was chilled to -50 °C (or started at rt) and DMTST (0.39 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 1 h and stirred for additional 16 h at 42 °C, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4 × 10 mL), the organic phase

was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

Method E: Typical TESOTf-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the glycosyl trichloroacetimidates)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in DCE (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. TESOTf (0.065 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at 40 °C. The reaction was quenched with TEA, stirred for additional 30 min, diluted with CH_2Cl_2 , the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4 × 10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with $MgSO_4$ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

Method F: Typical IDCP-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the SEt glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. The mixture was chilled to -40 °C (or started directly at rt, see Table 1) and IDCP (0.39 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 1 h and stirred for additional 16 h at 42 °C, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4 × 10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

Method G: Typical MeOTf-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the SEt glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. MeOTf (0.39 mmol) was added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 1 h and stirred for additional 16 h at 42 °C, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4 × 10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

Method H: Typical NIS/TfOH-promoted glycosylation procedure (activation of the SEt glycosides)—A mixture the glycosyl donor (0.13 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.10 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (4Å, 200 mg) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.6 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of argon for 1 h. NIS (0.26 mmol) and TfOH (0.013 mmol) were added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 1 h and stirred for additional 16 h at 42 ° C, then quenched with TEA and stirred 30 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 , the solid was filtered-off and the residue was washed with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined filtrate (30 mL) was washed with water (4 × 10 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried with $MgSO_4$ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane gradient elution).

<u>*O*-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidine-*a*-D-glucofuranoside (10): The title compound was obtained from donor 5 and acceptor 9 by Method F in 80% yield. The spectroscopic and analytical data for 10 were in good agreement with those reported previously.⁴⁵</u>

O-[3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-(*O*-bromobenzyl)-D-glucopyranosyl]-(1→3)-1,2:5,6-di-*O*isopropylidine-*a*-D-glucofuranoside (11): The title compound was obtained as a colorless syrup from donor **5** and acceptor **9** by Method F in 78% (α/β = 1.8/1, rt) or 87% (α/β = 2.3/1, -40 °C → rt) yield. Analytical data for *a*-11: R_f = 0.37 (ethyl acetate-hexane); ¹H-n.m.r. data: δ, 1.09 (s, 3H, Me), 1.28 (s, 3H, Me), 1.42 (s, 3H, Me), 1.49 (s, 3H, Me), 2.61–3.69 (m, 2H, H-2', 3'), 3.72–3.75 (m, 2H, H-6a', 6b'), 3.84 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.92 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 8.7 Hz, H-6a), 3.99–4.07 (m, 2H, H-4', 6b), 4.12 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, H-4), 4.26 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, H-3), 4.39–4.66 (m, 7H, H-2, 5, CH₂Ph), 4.71 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 6.3 Hz, H-2), 4.79–4.87 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.95 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.35 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 3.5 Hz, H-1'), 5.90 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.6 Hz, H-1), 7.13–7.36 (m, 20H, aromatic), 7.44–7.63 (m, 2H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 1.2, 14.3, 22.9, 25.2, 25.3, 26.4, 26.7, 26.9, 27.0, 27.2, 29.6, 29.9, 31.2, 32.2, 67.1, 68.9, 71.6, 72.4, 72.6, 80.6, 81.7, 83.9, 98.2, 101.6, 105.5, 109.2, 112.0, 127.6, 127.8, 128.0, 128.1, 129.0, 132.5, 137.9, 138.0, 138.1, 138.2, 138.4, 138.6, 138.7 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₄₆H₅₃BrO₁₁ [M +H]⁺: 860.2771 found 860.2777.

$\underline{O}\ [3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-O-(O,O-dimethoxybenzyl)-D-glucopyranosyl]\ (1\rightarrow 3)\ -1,2:5,6-D-glucopyranosyl]\ (1\rightarrow 3)\ -1,2:5,6-D-glucopyranosyl)\ (1\rightarrow 3)\ -1,2:5,6-D-glucopyran$

<u>di-O-isopropylidine-α-D-glucofuranose (12):</u></u> The title compound was obtained as a colorless semi-solid from donor 7 and acceptor **9** by Method F in 58–89% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.8-2.3/1$). Analytical data for **12**: R_f = 0.43 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 2/3, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r. data: δ, 1.23, (s, 3H, Me), 1.26 (s, 3H, Me), 1.40 (s, 3H, Me), 1.49 (s, 3H, Me), 3.50 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.7, H-3'), 3.62 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.7 Hz, H-2'), 3.67–3.84 (m, 4H, H-4', 5', 6a', 6b'), 3.75 (s, 6H, OMe), 4.03 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 8.6 Hz, H-4), 4.15 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 9.8 Hz, H-6a), 4.25 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 6.0 Hz, H-6b), 4.35–4.52 (m, 4H, H-3, 5, CH₂Ph), 4.57–4.65 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.73 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.6 Hz, H-2), 4.78–4.90 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 5.02 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 3.57, H-1'), 5.89 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.6 Hz, H-1), 6.54 (d, 2H, aromatic), 7.09–7.34 (m, 18H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 24.9, 25.5, 26.4, 26.8, 27.0, 29.9, 36.8, 55.9, 60.7, 66.7, 69.3, 71.1, 73.3, 72.7, 75.3, 75.4, 77.4, 80.3, 80.3, 81.4, 81.5, 81.9, 83.75, 98.6, 103.9, 105.5, 108.8, 111.8, 114.5, 127.4, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 128.6, 130.1, 138.21, 138.4, 159.5 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₄₈H₅₈O₁₃ [M +H]⁺: 842.3877 found 842.3881.

$\underline{O-(3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-1,2:5,6-di-O-picolyl-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-1,2:5,6-di-O-picolyl-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl-b-glucopy$

isopropylidine-*a***-D-glucofuranose (13):** The title compound was obtained as a colorless syrup from donor **8** and acceptor **9** by Method D in 45% yield. Analytical data for **13**: $R_f = 0.48$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 1/1, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r. data: δ , 1.26, (s, 3H, Me), 1.28 (s, 3H, Me), 1.32 (s, 3H, Me), 1.44 (s, 3H, Me), 3.46–3.54 (m, 2H, H-4,5'), 3.60–3.72 (m, 5H, H-5, 3', 4', 6a', 6b'), 3.81 (m, 1H, H-6a), 4.15–4.24 (m, 3H, H-6b, 1', 2'), 4.49–4.58 (m, 4H, H-2, 3, CH₂Ph), 4.63 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.78–4.95 (m, 4H, CH₂Ph), 5.17 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.97 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.7 Hz, H-1), 7.15 (m, 3H, aromatic), 7.26–7.35 (m, 13H, aromatic), 7.59 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.60 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.54 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 24.0, 24.1, 26.8, 27.4, 29.9, 69.1, 70.7, 71.8, 73.8, 75.1, 75.2, 75.8, 77.4, 78.0, 79.7, 82.7, 84.2, 84.6, 101.2, 104.3, 106.6, 112.4, 121.7, 122.3 127.8, 127.8, 128.0, 128.0, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 138.4, 138.8 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₄₅H₅₃NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺: 783.3619 found 783.3618 m/z.

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-picolyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-*α*-D-glucopyranoside (23): The title compound was obtained from 16a and methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside³⁴ (18) by Methods A, B and C as white amorphous solid in 80, 84 and 78% yield, respectively. Alternatively, the title compound was obtained from 8 and 18 by Method D and from *α*,β–31 and 18 by Method E in 70 and 84%, respectively. Analytical data for 23: $R_f = 0.36$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +14.3^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.28 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.39–3.70 (m, 9H, H-2, 2', 3', 4, 4', 5', 6b, 6a', 6b'), 3.76 (m, 1H, J_{5.6a} = 1.6 Hz, H-5), 3.92 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.2 Hz, H-3), 4.14 (dd, 1H, J_{6a.6b} = 11.0 Hz, H-6a),

4.37 (d, 1H, J_{1'2'} = 7.7 Hz, H-1'), 4.42–5.15 (m, 14H, CH₂Ph), 4.54 (d, 1H, H-1), 6.96–7.43

(m, 33H, aromatic), 8.42 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; 13 C-n.m.r.: d, 55.3, 69.2, 69.9, 73.6, 73.6, 75.0, 75.2, 75.3, 75.5, 75.8, 75.9, 77.4, 78.1, 78.1, 82.2, 82.5, 98.2, 103.8, 121.5, 122.3, 127.7, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.5, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 138.3, 138.4, 138.4, 138.5, 138.6, 158.5 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₆₁H₆₆NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺: 988.4636, found 988.4620.

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O***-benzyl-***6***-***O***-(3,4,6-tri-***O***-benzyl-***2***-***O***-picolyl-***β***-***D***-glucopyranosyl**)-*α***-D-galactopyranoside (24):** The title compound was obtained from **16b** and **18** via Method A as off-white amorphous solid in 76% yield (β-only). Analytical data for **24**: $R_f = 0.44$ (ethyl acetate-hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -9.3^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: δ, 3.21 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.29–3.57 (m, 7H, H-2, 4, 6a, 3', 4', 6a', 6b'), 3.71 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.77–3.89 (m, 3H, H-3, 2', 5'), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 10.7 Hz, H-6b), 4.27 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 7.6 Hz, H-1'), 4.34–4.53 (m, 4H, CH₂Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.6 Hz, H-1), 4.57–4.70 (d, 6H, CH₂Ph), 4.81–4.90 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 5.03 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 6.96 (m, 1H, aromatic), 7.07–7.26 (m, 31H, aromatic), 7.38 (d, 2H, aromatic), 8.38 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm. ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 55.4, 68.7, 68.8, 70.0, 72.9, 73.5, 73.6, 73.7, 74.8, 74.9, 75.8, 77.4, 78.3, 79.9, 80.1, 82.2, 82.4, 98.1, 104.2, 122.1, 122.5, 127.7, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 138.1, 138.4, 138.6, 138.6, 138.9, 139.1 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₆₁H₆₅NO₁₁Na [M+Na]⁺: 1010.4455 found 1010.4467.

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O***-benzyl-4***-O***-(3,4,6-tri-***O***-benzyl-2***-O***-picolyl-β-D**-glucopyranosyl)-α-**D**-glucopyranoside (25): was obtained from **16a** and methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside³⁵ (**19**) by Method A or B as white amorphous solid in 83 and 80% yield. Analytical data for **25**: $\mathbf{R}_f = 0.29$ (ethyl acetate/hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +12.5^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.28–4.02 (m, 12H, H-2, 2', 3, 3', 4, 4', 5, 5', 6a, 6a', 6b, 6b'), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.37–5.12 (m, 14H, CH₂Ph), 4.45 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 9.2 Hz, H-1'), 4.57 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.8 Hz, H-1), 7.11–7.68 (m, 33H, aromatic) 8.53 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 29.9, 55.5, 69.3, 70.1, 73.6, 73.8, 75.0, 75.5, 75.6, 75.8, 78.3, 79.1, 80.5, 83.2, 85.0, 98.7, 102.7, 121.5, 122.4, 127.3, 127.5, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.0, 128.2, 128.2, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7, 138.1, 138.6, 138.8, 139.8, 149.1, 158.9 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₆₁H₆₆NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺: 988.4636, found 988.4645.

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O***-benzyl-3***-O***-(3,4,6-tri-***O***-benzyl-2***-O***-picolyl-β-D**-glucopyranosyl)-α-**D**-glucopyranoside (26): The title compound was obtained from **16a** and methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside³⁶ (**20**) by Method B as white amorphous solid in 85% yield. Analytical data for **26**: $R_f = 0.45$ (ethyl acetate/hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +20.1^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.28 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.39–3.71 (m, 10H, H-2, 2', 3', 4, 4', 5', 6a, 6a', 6b, 6b'), 3.76 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.92 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 9.2 Hz, H-3), 4.37 (d, 1H, J_{1'2'} = 7.7 Hz, H-1'), 4.42–5.11 (m, 14H, CH₂Ph), 6.96–7.43 (m, 33H, aromatic) 8.42 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d 29.7, 35.7, 63.0, 64.0, 66.4, 71.2, 72.6, 76.8, 77.2, 82.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.4, 128.6, 128.8, 129.0, 129.8, 130.0, 133.0, 133.3, 133.5, 133.6, 162.5, 165.2, 165.5, 166.1 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₆₁H₆₆NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺: 988.4636, found 988.4660.

Methyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-*O***-(3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)**-*α*-**D-glucopyranoside (27):** The title compound was obtained from **16a** and methyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside⁴⁶ (**21**) by Method A, B and C as white amorphous solid in 69, 80 and 63 % yield. Analytical data for **27**: $R_f = 0.27$ (ethyl acetate - hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D$ $2^3 = +31.7^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.44 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.46 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.60–3.85 (m, 10H, H-2', 3', 4, 4', 5, 5', 6a, 6a', 6b, 6b'), 3.88 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.8 Hz, H-2), 4.06 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-3), 4.46–4.70 (m, 8H, CH₂Ph), 4.75–5.25 (m, 6H, CH₂Ph), 4.77 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 9.8 Hz, H-1'), 5.01 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.5 Hz, H-1), 7.02–7.46 (m, 33H, aromatic) 8.49 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 55.4, 68.8, 69.0, 70.1, 73.7, 73.8, 75.0, 75.1, 75.2, 75.3, 75.8,

77.4, 78.0, 78.4, 79.3, 81.9, 82.5, 85.1, 100.0, 104.3, 121.5, 122.1, 127.57, 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 136.5, 138.2, 138.3, 138.3, 38.5, 138.7, 138.9, 148.94, 158.7 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for $C_{61}H_{66}NO_{11}$ [M+H]⁺: 988.4636, found 988.4620.

Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O***-acetyl-6***O***-(3,4,6-tri-***O***-benzyl-2***-O***-picolyl-β-D**-glucopyranosyl)-1-thioβ-D-glucopyranoside (28a): The title compound was obtained from 16a and ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (22a)⁴⁷ by Method A as white amorphous solid in 56% yield. Analytical data for 28: $R_f = 0.28$ (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/1, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 1.12 (t, 3H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.00 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.00 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.05 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.54 (q, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.48 (m, 2H, H-2', 3'), 3.61–3.72 (m, 5H, H-4', 5', 6a, 6b, 6a'), 3.79 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.92 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 10.9 Hz, H-6b), 4.46–4.55 (m, 4H, H-1, 1', CH₂Ph), 4.63 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.78–5.00 (m, 6H, H-2, 3, CH₂Ph), 5.13 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.21 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.4 Hz, H-4), 7.11–7.18 (m, 3H, aromatic), 7.25–7.34 (m, 13H, aromatic), 7.49 (d, 1H, 1H, aromatic), 7.61 (dt, 1H, aromatic), 8.55 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 14.9, 20.9, 24.2, 29.9, 70.0, 69.5, 70.4, 73.7, 74.2, 75.1, 75.2, 75.6, 76.8, 77.4, 77.9, 82.9, 83.3, 84.7, 103.9, 127.8, 128.0, 128.0, 128.6, 128.6, 138.3, 138.3, 138.7, 169.6, 169.9, 170.4 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₄₇H₅₆NO₁₃S [M+H]⁺ 874.3472, found 874.3489.

Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O***-benzoyl-6-***O***-(3,4,6-tri-***O***-benzyl-2-***O***-picolyl-β-***D***-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio**-β-*D*-glucopyranoside (**28b**): The title compound was obtained from **16a** and ethyl 2,3,4tri-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside⁹ (**22b**) by Method A and C as white amorphous solid in 78 and 72% yield. Analytical data for **28**: $R_f = 0.36$ (ethyl acetate/hexane, 2/3, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +2.1^{\circ}$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 1.10 (t, 3H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.63 (q, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.40–3.68 (m, 6H, H-2', 3', 4', 5', 6a', 6b'), 3.85 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} = 7.7 Hz, J_{6a,6b} = 11.4 Hz, H-6b), 4.00–4.16 (m, 2H, H-5, 6a), 4.40–5.20 (m, 8H, CH₂Ph), 4.55 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 8.8 Hz, H-1'), 4.79 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 10.0 Hz, H-1), 5.41 (dd, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.8 Hz, H-4), 5.49 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.7 Hz, H-2), 5.89 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 7.09–7.95 (m, 33H, aromatic) 8.59 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 14.3, 32.2, 68.8, 69.1, 70.2, 73.7, 74.4, 75.1, 75.2, 75.9, 77.4, 77.9, 78.6, 82.9, 84.7, 104.0, 127.8, 128.0, 128.0, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 128.6, 129.0, 129.1, 129.5, 129.9, 130.1, 133.4, 133.4, 133.7, 138.3, 138.7, 165.4, 165.7, 166.0 ppm; HR-FAB MS [M+H]⁺ calc for C₆₂H₆₂NO₁₃S 1060.3942, found 1060.3932.

Thiazolinyl 2,3,4-tri-*O***-benzoyl-6***O***-(2,3,4,6-tetra-***O***-benzyl-β-D**-glucopyranosyl)-1-thioβ-D-glucopyranoside (33): The title compound was obtained from 17 and thiazolinyl 2,3,4tri-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio- β-D-glucopyranoside ¹⁶ (32) via Method A or C as white amorphous solid in 88% ($\alpha/\beta = 1.5/1$) or 79% ($\alpha/\beta = 2.0/1$) yields, respectively. The spectroscopic and analytical data for 33 were in good agreement with those reported previously.¹⁶

<u>Methyl O-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a/ β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-O-(2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (34): The title compound was obtained from 33 and 18 by Method C as white foam in 83 % yield. The spectroscopic and analytical data for 34 were in good agreement with those reported previously. 7</u>

Thiazolinyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-O-(3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-β-D-

glucopyranosyl)-1- thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (35): The title compound was obtained from **16a** and **32** by Method B as white amorphous solid in 74% yield. Analytical data for **35**: R_f = 0.40 (acetone-toluene, 1/4, v/v); [α]_D²⁴ = +31.5° (c = 0.71, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.13 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 3.38–3.46 (m, 2H, H-2', 4'), 3.57–3.67 (m, 4H, H-3', 5', 6a', 6b'), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} = 7.4 Hz, J_{6a,6b} = 12.0 Hz, H-6b), 4.00 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 4.11 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 1.8 Hz, H-6a), 4.21 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.41–4.58 (m, 4H, J_{1',2'} = 7.6 Hz, H-1', *CH*₂Ph), 4.72–4.90 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.10 (d, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.49 (dd, 1H,

 $\begin{array}{l} J_{4,5} = 9.7 \ \text{Hz}, \ \text{H-4}), \ 5.60 \ (\text{dd}, \ 1\text{H}, \ J_{2,3} = 10.0 \ \text{Hz}, \ \text{H-2}), \ 5.80 \ (\text{d}, \ 1\text{H}, \ J_{1,2} = 10.3 \ \text{Hz}, \ \text{H-1}), \ 5.94 \\ (\text{dd}, \ 1\text{H}, \ J_{3,4} = 9.4 \ \text{Hz}, \ \text{H-3}), \ 7.12 - 7.46 \ (\text{m}, \ 26\text{H}, \ \text{aromatic}), \ 7.63 \ (\text{m}, \ 1\text{H}, \ \text{aromatic}), \ 7.79 \ (\text{d}, \ 2\text{H}, \ \text{aromatic}), \ 7.92 \ (\text{t}, \ 4\text{H}, \ \text{aromatic}), \ 8.55 \ (\text{d}, \ 1\text{H}, \ \text{aromatic}) \ \text{ppm}; \ ^{13}\text{C-n.m.r.}; \ \text{d}, \ 35.4, \ 64.2, \\ 68.8, \ 69.9, \ 70.5, \ 73.7, \ 74.3, \ 75.1, \ 75.2, \ 75.7, \ 75.9, \ 77.4, \ 78.6, \ 83.0, \ 83.3, \ 84.5, \ 103.6, \ 122.5, \\ 122.7, \ 127.8, \ 127.9, \ 128.0, \ 128.1, \ 128.3, \ 128.5, \ 128.6, \ 128.7, \ 129.0, \ 129.1, \ 129.9, \ 130.1, \\ 130.2, \ 133.6, \ 133.7, \ 136.7, \ 138.4, \ 138.8, \ 149.2, \ 162.7, \ 165.4, \ 165.5, \ 165.9 \ \text{ppm}; \ \text{HR-FAB} \ \text{MS} \\ \text{calcd for } C_{63}\text{H}_{62}\text{N}_2\text{O}_{13}\text{S}_2 \ \ \text{[M+H]}^+; \ 1117.3615, \ \text{found} \ 1117.3625. \end{array}$

<u>Methyl *O*-(3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-picolyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-*O*-(2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (36): The</u>

title compound was obtained from **35** and **18** by Method C as white foam in 91 % yield. Analytical data for **36**: $R_f = 0.60$ (acetone-toluene, 1/4, v/v); $[\alpha]_D ^{23} = +3.0^\circ$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.12 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.52 (m, 4H), 3.55–3.67 (m, 4H), 3.81 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.86 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.12–4.17 (m, 4H), 4.31 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.48–4.90 (m, 14H, CH₂Ph), 5.16 (d, 1H, J = 12.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.38 (dd,1H, J = 9.8 Hz), 5.54 (dd, 1H, J = 7.9, 9.9 Hz), 5.86 (dd, 1H, J = 9.4, H-3), 6.90–7.54 (m, 42H, aromatic), 7.75–7.93 (m, 6H, aromatic), 8.50 (d, 1H) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 55.4, 68.7, 68.9, 69.6, 70.1, 72.1, 73.2, 73.6 (x 2), 73.7 (x 2), 74.8, 74.8, 75.1, 75.2, 75.2, 75.6, 76.0, 77.4, 79.8, 82.0, 84.6, 84.7, 98.3, 101.0, 104.1, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 129.0, 129.4, 129.8, 129.9, 130.1, 133.2, 133.4, 133.7, 138.3, 138.4, 138.5, 138.7, 139.1, 165.1, 166.0 ppm; HR-FAB MS calc for C₈₈H₈₈NO₁₉ [M +H]⁺: 1462.5951, found 1462.5951.

Thiazolinyl 2-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-benzoyl-6-O-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a/β-D-

glucopyranosyl)-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (39): The title compound was obtained from **17** and **38** by Method A as white amorphous solid in 89% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 2.0/1$). Analytical data for **39**: $R_f = 0.42$ (acetone-toluene, 1/2, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.42–3.61 (m, 6H, H-2, 6a, 2', 4', 5', 6a'), 3.68 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 11.2 Hz, H-6b), 3.73–3.92 (m, 4H, H-3', 6a', CH₂N), 3.96–4.08 (m, 3H, H-5, CH₂S), 4.31–4.38 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.48–4.61 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.66–4.70 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.75 (d, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.91 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.32 (dd, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, H-4), 5.41 (d, 1H, J = 10.1 Hz, H-1), 5.63 (dd, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, H-3), 7.03–7.31 (m, 29H, aromatic), 7.41 (t, 2H, aromatic), 7.82 (m, 4H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 22.9, 24.9, 29.2, 31.3, 31.8, 35.0, 36.9, 64.3, 67.1, 68.5, 69.4, 70.3, 73.2, 73.6, 75.3, 75.4, 75.9, 76.2, 77.4, 77.7, 78.3, 80.6, 82.0, 84.6, 97.3, 99.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 128.6, 129.9, 130.1, 133.4, 133.6, 137.1, 138.3, 138.6, 138.78, 139.2, 165.5, 165.7 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₆₄H₆₃NO₁₂S₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 1124.3689, found 1124.3668.

$\underline{Methyl \ O-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha/\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 6)-O-(2-O-benzyl-3,4-di-benzyl-3,4-$

*O***-benzoyl-α/β-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1→6)-2,3,4-tri-***O***-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (40):** The title compound was obtained from **39** and **18** by Method C as white amorphous solid in 75% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.6/1$). Analytical data for **40**: $R_f = 0.36$ (acetone-hexane, 3/7, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.16 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.39 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.48–4.00 (m, 13H, H-3, 4, 5, 6a, 2', 6a', 6b', 2", 3", 4", 5", 6a", 6b"), 4.12 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.82–4.57 (m, 9H, H-1, CH₂Ph), 4.61–4.84 (m, 9H, H-1", CH₂Ph), 5.10 (m, 1H, H-1'), 5.31 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.61 (dd, 1H, H-3'), 6.95–7.35 (m, 44H, aromatic), 7.49 (m, 2H, aromatic), 7.88 (m, 4H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 30.0, 55.3, 55.5, 66.1, 66.1, 66.6, 67.0, 68.3, 68.6, 68.6, 68.9, 68.9, 67.0, 69.1, 70.9, 71.0, 72.1, 72.2, 72.3, 72.5, 72.9, 73.1, 73.4, 73.6, 73.6, 74.6, 74.8, 74.9, 75.1, 75.2, 75.4, 75.8, 75.9, 77.5, 78.0, 78.0, 79.2, 80.0, 80.4, 82.0, 82.2, 82.4, 82.5, 84.8, 84.8, 96.9, 97.1, 97.4, 97.5, 98.2, 98.4, 103.5, 104.2, 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 128.2, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.7, 129.4, 129.6, 129.8, 130.0, 130.1, 133.1, 133.2, 133.3, 133.4, 133.5, 137.8, 137.9, 138.0, 138.2, 138.4, 138.4, 138.7, 138.8, 138.8, 139.1, 139.2, 165.5, 165.8, 166.0, 166.0 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₈₉H₉₀O₁₈ [M+Na]⁺: 1469.6025, found 1469.6023.

Thiazolinyl 2-*O***-benzyl-3,4-di-***O***-benzoyl-6-***O***-(3,4,6-tetra-***O***-benzyl-2-***O***-picolyl-β-Dglucopyranosyl)-1-thio-***a***/β-D-glucopyranoside (41): The title compound was obtained from 16a and 38 by Method B as white amorphous solid in 70% yield. Analytical data for 41: R_f = 0.42 (acetone-toluene, 2/3, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.17 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 3.28–3.41 (m, 3H, H-2', 3'), 3.45–3.62 (m, 4H, H-4', 5', 6a', 6b'), 3.63–3.79 (m, 2H, H-2, 6a), 3.91–4.13 (m, 4H, H-5, 6b, NCH₂), 4.32–4.49 (m, 6H, CH₂Ph, H-1'), 4.64–4.84 (m, 5H, CH₂Ph), 5.06 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 5.27 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz, H-4), 5.43 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 10.0 Hz, H-1), 5.66 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3), 7.04–7.30 (m, 25H, aromatic), 7.41 (m, 3H, aromatic), 7.59 (t, 1H, aromatic), 7.79 (m, 4H, aromatic), 8.48 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 29.5, 29.9, 35.3, 54.0, 64.4, 68.7 68.8, 69.9, 73.8, 75.1, 75.1, 75.2, 75.7, 76.0, 76.7, 77.5, 78.1, 78.3, 82.9, 84.4, 84.8, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.29, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.6, 129.1, 129.6, 129.9, 130.1, 137.1, 138.3, 138.8, 142.4, 165.7 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₆₃H₆₂N₂O₁₂S₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 1125.3641, found 1125.3661.**

<u>Methyl *O*-(3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-picolyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-*O*-(2-*O*-benzyl-3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α / β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 6)-2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-</u>

glucopyranoside (42): The title compound was obtained from 41 and 18 by Method C as white amorphous solid in 54% yield ($\alpha/\beta = 1.7/1$). Analytical data for 42: R_f = 0.43 (acetone-hexane, 2/3, v/v); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.18, 3.29 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.19–3.42 (m, 3H, H-4, 2", 3"), 3.46–3.71 (m, 9H, H-2, 5, 5', 6a', 6b', 4", 5", 6a", 6b"), 3.76–4.08 (m, 4H, H-3, 2', 6a', 6b'), 4.15 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.32–4.89 (m, 17H, H-1, 1", CH₂Ph), 4.99 (d, 1H, J_{1',2'} = 3.6 Hz, H-1'), 5.03 (m, 2H, H-4', CH₂Ph), 5.84 (dd, 1H, J_{2',3'} = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 6.86–7.26 (m, 44H, aromatic), 7.78 (m, 5H, aromatic), 8.40 (m, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 30.0, 55.4, 66.3, 68.3, 68.8, 70.0, 70.3, 71.0, 72.2, 73.6, 74.4, 74.8, 75.2, 75.6, 75.8, 77.4, 79.0, 80.0, 80.1, 82.2, 82.9, 84.7, 96.9, 98.3, 98.4, 103.4, 104.1, 121.6, 121.8, 122.3, 122.3, 127.9, 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 129.4, 130.0, 130.1, 133.1, 133.5, 133.6, 136.6, 137.6, 138.0, 138.4, 138.9, 139.1, 139.2, 149.2, 149.3, 159.2, 165.9 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₈₈H₈₉NO₁₈Na [M+Na]⁺: 1470.5977, found 1470.5945.

Methyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-O-picolyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (44) was obtained from 16a and dry MeOH by method A as white amorphous solid in 65% yield. Analytical data for **44**: $R_f = 0.40$ (acetone/toluene, 1/4, v/v); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +31.5$ (c = 0.71, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.42 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.58–3.82 (m, 5H, H-2, 4, 5, 6a, 6b), 4.03 (dd, 1H, J_{3.4} = 9.0 Hz, H-3), 4.46–5.03 (m, 8H, CH₂Ph), 4.83 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 8.9 Hz, H-1), 7.10–7.40 (m, 16H, aromatic), 7.53 (d, 1H, aromatic), 7.64 (t, 1H, aromatic), 8.54 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³C-n.m.r.: d, 55.4, 68.7, 70.4, 73.7, 75.3, 75.9, 77.4, 78.0, 80.8, 82.1, 97.9, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.1, 128.6, 138.2, 138.4, 139.0 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₃₄H₃₈NO₆ [M+H]⁺: 556.2699, found 556.2704. If the reaction mixture was quenched after 1 h, expected intermediate 43 could be isolated as a sole product in 80 % yield. Analytical data for 43: $R_f = 0.4$ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, 1/4, v/v); $[\alpha]_D$ $2^{27} = +20.9$ (c = 1, CHCl₃); ¹H-n.m.r.: d, 3.60 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} = 4.5 Hz, H-6b), 3.67 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-6a}, 3.76 \text{ (dd, 1H, } J_{4,5} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-4}), 3.81 \text{ (m, 1H, H-5)}, 4.10 \text{ (dd, 1H, } J_{4,5} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-4})$ J_{3,4} = 3.7 Hz, H-3), 4.43 (dd, 2H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.47 (dd, 2H, J = 11.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.72 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 5.7 Hz, H-2), 4.47 (dd, 2H, J = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.15 (dd, 2H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH_2Ph), 6.15 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 4.6$ Hz, H-1), 7.14–7.36 (m, 18H, aromatic), 7.88 (d, 1H, aromatic), 8.00 (m, 1H, aromatic), 8.48 (m, 1H, aromatic), 9.00 (d, 1H, aromatic) ppm; ¹³Cn.m.r.: d, 64.8, 70.1, 73.2, 74.1, 74.2, 74.5, 75.2, 77.0, 77.2, 77.7, 78.5, 84.9, 126.5, 128.1, 129.0, 129.2, 129.3, 129.4, 129.5, 129.6, 129.6, 129.7, 139.1, 139.2, 139.3, 144.2, 147.7, 154.4 ppm; HR-FAB MS calcd for C₃₃H₃₄NO₅ [M+H]⁺: 524.2431, found 524.2442.

<u>Methyl 6-*O*-(β-D-glucopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (29):</u> Pd (10 % on charcoal, 100mg) was added to a stirred solution of **23** (20 mg, 0.093 mmol) and concentrated aq. HCl (50 µL) in ethanol/ethyl acetate (1/1, v/v, 3 mL). The reaction mixture was then purged with H₂ and stirred for 4 h at rt. Upon completion, the solid was filtered off and the filtrate was

concentrated under reduced pressure and dried to give the title compound as colorless film in 98% yield. The spectroscopic and analytical data for **29** were in agreement with those reported previously.^{12,48}

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Institutes of General Medical Sciences (GM077170) and ACS PRF (42397-G1) for financial support of this research; NSF for grants to purchase the NMR spectrometer (CHE-9974801) and the mass spectrometer (CHE-9708640) used in this work; Dr. R. S. Luo for assistance with 500 MHz 2D NMR experiments; and Dr. R. E. K. Winter and Mr. J. Kramer for HRMS determinations.

References

- Witczak, ZJ. Carbohydrates in Drug Design. Witczak, ZJ.; Nieforth, KA., editors. Marcel Dekker, Inc.; New York: 1997. p. 1-37.
- 2. Seeberger PH, Werz DB. Nature 2007;446:1046-1051. [PubMed: 17460666]
- 3. Bertozzi CR, Kiessling LL. Science 2001;291:2357–2364. [PubMed: 11269316]
- 4. Demchenko, AV., editor. Handbook of Chemical Glycosylation: Advances in Stereoselectivity and Therapeutic Relevance. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2008.
- 5. Demchenko AV. Lett Org Chem 2005;2:580-589.
- 6. Galonic DP, Gin DY. Nature 2007;446:1000-1007. [PubMed: 17460660]
- 7. Mootoo DR, Konradsson P, Udodong U, Fraser-Reid B. J Am Chem Soc 1988;110:5583-5584.
- Fraser-Reid B, Udodong UE, Wu ZF, Ottosson H, Merritt JR, Rao CS, Roberts C, Madsen R. Synlett 1992:927–942.and references therein
- 9. Veeneman GH, van Boom JH. Tetrahedron Lett 1990;31:275-278.
- Baeschlin DK, Chaperon AR, Charbonneau V, Green LG, Ley SV, Lucking U, Walther E. Angew Chem Int Edit 1998;37:3423–3428.
- 11. Barrena MI, Echarri R, Castillon S. Synlett 1996:675-676.
- Hashimoto SI, Sakamoto H, Honda T, Abe H, Nakamura SI, Ikegami S. Tetrahedron Lett 1997;38:8969–8972.
- 13. Chiba H, Funasaka S, Kiyota K, Mukaiyama T. Chem Lett 2002:746-747.
- 14. Friesen RW, Danishefsky SJ. J Am Chem Soc 1989;111:6656–6660.
- 15. Kamat MN, Demchenko AV. Org Lett 2005;7:3215-3218. [PubMed: 16018624]
- 16. Pornsuriyasak P, Demchenko AV. Chem Eur J 2006;12:6630-6646.
- 17. Douglas NL, Ley SV, Lucking U, Warriner SL. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1 1998:51-65.
- Zhang Z, Ollmann IR, Ye XS, Wischnat R, Baasov T, Wong CH. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:734– 753.Ye XS, Wong CH. J Org Chem 2000;65:2410–2431. [PubMed: 10789453]Burkhart F, Zhang A, Wacowich-Sgarbi S, Wong CH. Angew Chem Int Ed 2001;40:1274–1277.
- Fridman M, Solomon D, Yogev S, Baasov T. Org Lett 2002;4:281–283. [PubMed: 11796070]Wang Y, Huang X, Zhang LH, Ye XS. Org Lett 2004;6:4415–4417. [PubMed: 15548039]
- 20. Kanie O, Ito Y, Ogawa T. J Am Chem Soc 1994;116:12073-12074.
- 21. Demchenko AV, De Meo C. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:8819–8822.
- 22. Huang X, Huang L, Wang H, Ye XS. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2004;43:5221–5224.Huang L, Wang Z, Huang X. Chem Commun 2004:1960–1961.
- Codee JDC, Litjens REJN, van den Bos LJ, Overkleeft HS, van der Marel GA. Chem Soc Rev 2005;34:769–782. [PubMed: 16100617]
- 24. Smoot JT, Pornsuriyasak P, Demchenko AV. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:7123-7126.
- 25. Crich D, Jayalath P. J Org Chem 2005;70:7252–7259. [PubMed: 16122245]

- 26. Garegg PJ. Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem 1997;52:179–205. [PubMed: 9218334]Oscarson, S. Carbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology. Ernst, B.; Hart, GW.; Sinay, P., editors. 1. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, New York: 2000. p. 93-116.
- Thijssen MJL, Halkes KM, Kamerling JP, Vliegenthart JFG. Bioorg Med Chem 1994;2:1309–1317. [PubMed: 7757425]Kochetkov NK, Backinowsky LV, Tsvetkov YE. Tetrahedron Lett 1977;41:3681–3684.
- 28. Andersson F, Fugedi P, Garegg PJ, Nashed M. Tetrahedron Lett 1986;27:3919–3922.
- 29. Lemieux RU, Morgan AR. Can J Chem 1965;43:2190-2198.
- 30. Ravenscroft M, Roberts RMG, Tillett JG. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2;1982:1569–1972.
- 31. Fugedi P, Garegg PJ. Carbohydr Res 1986;149:c9–c12.
- 32. Kim JH, Yang H, Park J, Boons GJ. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:12090. [PubMed: 16117550]Kim JH, Yang H, Boons GJ. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:947–949.
- Demchenko AV, Pornsuriyasak P, De Meo C, Malysheva NN. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2004;43:3069– 3072.
- 34. Kuester JM, Dyong I. Justus Liebigs Ann Chem 1975:2179–2189.
- 35. Garegg PJ, Hultberg H. Carbohydr Res 1981;93:C10–C11.
- 36. Koto S, Takebe Y, Zen S. Bull Chem Soc J 1972;45:291–293.
- 37. Pearce AJ, Sinay P. Angew Chem Int Ed 2000;39:3610-3612.
- Schmidt, RR.; Jung, KH. Carbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology. Ernst, B.; Hart, GW.; Sinay, P., editors. 1. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, New York: 2000. p. 5-59.
- 39. Crich D, Hutton TK, Banerjee A, Jayalath P, Picione J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005;16:105–119.
- 40. Crich D. J Carbohydr Chem 2002;21:667–690.
- 41. Crich D, Sun S. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:11217–11223.Callam CS, Gadikota RR, Krein DM, Lowary TL. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:13112–13119. [PubMed: 14570484]Rencurosi A, Lay L, Russo G, Caneva E, Poletti L. Carbohydr Res 2006;341:903–908. [PubMed: 16529729]Nokami T, Shibuya A, Tsuyama H, Suga S, Bowers AA, Crich D, Yoshida J. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:10922– 10928. [PubMed: 17696345]
- 42. Bock K, Pedersen C. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2 1974:293–297.
- 43. Lemieux RU, Morgan AR. Can J Chem 1965;43:2205-2213.
- 44. Tvaroska I, Bleha T. Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem 1989;47:45–123.
- 45. Vankayalapati H, Singh G, Tranoy I. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001;12:1373–1381.
- 46. Sollogoub M, Das SK, Mallet JM, Sinay P. C R Acad Sci Ser 2 1999;2:441-448.
- 47. Pastuch G, Wandzik I, Szeja W. Polish J Chem 2003;77:995-999.
- 48. Jansson PE, Kenne L, Kolare I. Carbohydr Res 1994;257:163–174. [PubMed: 8013004]



Figure 1.

The concept of arming participating group



Figure 2. Glycosyl acceptors 17–22



Figure 3. Disaccharides 23–29







Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.



Scheme 4.





Scheme 5.



Scheme 6.



Scheme 7. Proposed reaction mechanism.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	ticipating group				α/β Ratio	2.7/1	1.8/1	2.3/1	1.8/1	2.3/1	1.8/1				β only	β only	
Table 1	arming part	OBI		10-13	Yield	80%	78%	87%	58%	87%	89%	$^{\mathrm{n.d.}b}$	n.d.	n.d.	5%	45%	
	ng various	Bno			Product	10	11	11	12	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	icolyl;
	onors 5-8 beari	/			Temp.	rt	rt	$-40 \text{ °C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$	rt	$-35 \ ^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$	$-40 ^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$	rt	rt	nt	nt	$rt \rightarrow 45 \ ^{\circ}C$	thoxybenzyl, Pic – p
	n of glycosyl d	HO)))))		Promoter	IDCP	IDCP	IDCP	IDCP	IDCP	IDCP	IDCP	MeOTf	NIS/TfOH	DMTST	DMTST	l, DMB – <i>o</i> , <i>o</i> -dime
	vestigatior	Ĺ	+ 11		\mathbb{R}^{d}	Bn	BrBn	BrBn	DMB	DMB	DMB	Pic	Pic	Pic	Pic	Pic	-bromobenzy
	In	OBN	5-8		Donor	S	6	9	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	ızyl, BrBn – o
		BnO	BnO		Entry	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	11	^a Bn –ben

J Org Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 21.

b not detected

I able Z	§ from 2-O-picolyl donors.
	23-28
	disaccharides 2
	1,2-trans-linked
	s of
	ynthesis
	S

les 23-28 II	Yield, %	80	84	78	83	80	85	69	80	63	56	78	72	76	70	84
disaccharic	Product	23	23	23	25	25	26	72	27	27	28a	28b	28b	24	23	23
1,2-trans-linked	Promoter	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Cu(OTf) ₂ /TfOH	AgOTf	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Cu(OTf) ₂ /TfOH	Cu(OTf) ₂ /TfOH	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Cu(OTf) ₂ /TfOH	AgOTf	$Cu(OTf)_2$	$Cu(OTf)_2$	AgOTf	$Cu(OTf)_2$	DMTST	TESOTf
Synthesis of	Acceptor	18	18	18	19	19	20	21	21	21	22a	22b	22b	18	18	18
	Donor	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16a	16b	8	31
	Entry	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15