

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Magn Reson Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Magn Reson Med. 2009 March ; 61(3): 560–569. doi:10.1002/mrm.21847.

Transverse Relaxation and Magnetization Transfer in Skeletal Muscle: Effect of pH

Elizabeth A. Louie1,2, **Daniel F. Gochberg**1,2,3,4, **Mark D. Does**1,2,4,5, and **Bruce M. Damon**1,2,4,5,6

¹ Institute of Imaging Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

² Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, **Tennessee**

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

⁴ Program in Chemical and Physical Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

⁵ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

⁶ Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

Abstract

Exercise increases the intracellular T_2 (T_2 ,*i*) of contracting muscles. The mechanism(s) for the T_2 , increase have not been fully described, and may include increased intracellular free water and acidification. These changes may alter chemical exchange processes between intracellular free water and proteins. In this study, the hypotheses were tested that 1) pH changes $T_{2,i}$ by affecting the rate of magnetization transfer (MT) between free intracellular water and intracellular proteins and 2) the magnitude of the $T_{2,i}$ effect depends on acquisition mode (localized or non-localized) and echo spacing. Frog gastrocnemius muscles were excised and their intracellular *pH* was either kept at physiological *pH* (7.0) or modified to model exercising muscle (*pH* 6.5). The intracellular transverse relaxation rate $(R_{2i} = 1/T_{2i})$ always decreased in the acidic muscles, but the changes were greater when measured using more rapid refocusing rates. The MT rate from the macromolecular proton pool to the free water proton pool, its reverse rate, and the spin-lattice relaxation rate of water decreased in acidic muscles. It is concluded that intracellular acidification alters the *R2,i* of muscle water in a refocusing rate-dependent manner and that the *R2,i* changes are correlated with changes in the MT rate between macromolecules and free intracellular water.

Keywords

intracellular pH; T_2 ; magnetization transfer; muscle functional MRI

INTRODUCTION

The proton T_2 of water increases in exercising muscles by up to 30% (1,2). This robust change in *T2* in response to a normal physiological perturbation has allowed exercising muscle and models of exercising muscle to be outstanding experimental paradigms for studying the biophysical basis of transverse relaxation. Moreover, measuring *T2* or *T2*-

Correspondence to: Bruce M. Damon, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University Institute of Imaging Science, 1161 21st Ave South, Medical Center North AA1105, Nashville, TN 37232-2310, Ph. (615) 322-9570, FAX (615) 322-0734, E-mail: bruce.damon@vanderbilt.edu.

Several hypotheses regarding the mechanism of the *T2* increase have been proposed. Several researchers have shown that increases in intracellular muscle water content may be the most important determinant of exercise-induced *T2* changes (5–7). During exercise, intracellular water accumulation may result from osmotically $(7,8)$ and/or hydrostatically driven fluid shifts. For a system containing free intracellular water and macromolecular protons exchanging rapidly by way of a third pool of interfacial water, such fluid shifts will increase the apparent *T2* of the free intracellular water by changing the relative populations and/or exchange rates between the pools (9,10). Support for the hypothesis that osmotically induced intracellular water accumulation increases T_2 during exercise comes from 1) greater levels of metabolite accumulation, volume change, and *T2* change in predominantly glycolytic muscles than in predominantly oxidative muscles (6); 2) greater levels of *T2* and relative metabolite accumulation (and, presumably, volume change) in exercised fresh water than marine invertebrates (8); and 3) the direct relationship between T_2 and intracellular volume in frog sartorius muscles during osmotic shock experiments (7).

In addition, intense exercise decreases the intracellular *pH* (*pHⁱ*) in healthy subjects. Support for a *pH* effect on *T2* comes from the inverse relationship between *pHⁱ* and *T2* following exercise (11) and during direct manipulations of pH in isolated muscles (7,12); we proposed that pH affects the T_2 by modulating chemical exchange pathways between macromolecules and free water (7). However, during incremental arm ergometer exercise, *pHⁱ* changes lag *T²* changes and following exercise, *pHⁱ* recovers more rapidly than *T2* (13). Also, Meyer *et al.* have shown that there is no additional effect of pH_i on the *in vivo T*₂ changes measured during imaging experiments (8) . These discrepancies concerning the role of pH_i changes in the T_2 change of exercise may be due to differences in the T_2 measurement techniques employed. Because the sensitivity of *T2* to chemical exchange effects depends on the refocusing rate (14), the rapid refocusing pulses used the Damon *et al.* study may have been sensitive to a pH_i effect on T_2 , while the slower refocusing rates using the imaging studies of Meyer *et al.* may not have been.

Therefore, in order to understand better the mechanism of the increased T_2 in exercising muscle and more specifically the effect of pH_i on muscle T_2 , the T_2 of *ex vivo* frog muscle was measured at two different pH_i values using non-localized and localized Carr-Purcell Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequences with different echo spacing. A second reason for the study was to relate pH_i -induced T_2 changes with changes in the magnetization transfer (MT) rate between the immobile macromolecular proton pool and the free water proton pool. *pH*_{*i*} values of 7.0 and 6.5 were chosen to model resting and exercise conditions, respectively. Frog muscle was used because it is a valid model for many aspects of mammalian muscle physiology and because it is more robust *ex vivo* than mammalian tissue. The results of the study show that intracellular acidification increases the intracellular *T²* (T_2_i) without changes in muscle density, and that the magnitude of the effect depends on echo spacing. These *T2,i* changes are accompanied by changes in the MT rate between the macromolecular and free intracellular water proton pools and in the longitudinal relaxation rate (R_I) of water.

METHODS

Tissue Preparation

These procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Vanderbilt University Medical Center. *Rana pipiens* were purchased from Carolina Biological Supply (Burlington, NC), anesthetized in a solution of Fenquil 1 g/L for 15–20

min, and then decapitated and doubly pithed. Pairs of gastrocnemius muscles $(<0.5$ cm (diameter, d) \times ~4.5 cm (length, *l*) were removed and the tendons of origin and insertion were tied with nylon sutures. The muscles were bathed in Ringer's solution and oxygenated in an ice bath for 1 hour and 45 minutes. The Ringer's solution contained (in mM) 115 NaCl, 2.0 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 2.15 Na₂HPO₄, and 0.85 NaH₂PO₄ (*pH* 7.0 at 20 °C). A modified Ringer's solution was used to acidify the muscles (15) and it contained (in mM) 75 NaCl, 40 NH₄Cl, 2.0 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 2.15 Na₂HPO₄, and 0.85 NaH₂PO₄ (*pH* 7.0 at 20 °C). The muscles were refrigerated in either the Ringer's solution or modified Ringer's solution at 4 °C for ~12 hours. The muscle stored in modified Ringer's solution was rinsed several times in Ringer's solution for at least 3 hours prior to experiment, acidifying the muscle (15). The muscle stored in Ringer's solution was maintained in its preceding condition during the experiment.

The muscle was placed inside a 12 mm (d) × 7 cm (l) NMR tube (Wilmad, Buena, NJ) with open ends. The tendon sutures were held in place by the tube's end caps, ensuring that the muscle remained securely in place. This inner tube was placed in an outer tubular holder (15 mm (*d*) \times 8 cm (*l*)), also containing Ringer's solution. Holes in the end caps of the inner tube and in the top portion of the outer tube allowed air bubbles to escape, improving B_0 homogeneity. The muscle tube assembly was placed in a Chemagnetics (Fort Collins, CO) 40 mm inner diameter millipede coil, parallel to the long axis of the RF coil, and the entire assembly was parallel to B_0 .

Experiments were performed at room temperature. Temperature measurements were made by placing a non-magnetic thermocouple probe in the sample holder adjacent to the muscle and were used to verify the thermal stability of the sample and to determine the negative log of the equilibrium acid constant of carnosine $(pK_{a,c})$ for the calculation of pH_i , as described below.

MR Data Acquisition

General—All MR experiments were made over a three-hour period using a 120 mm, horizontal bore 4.7 T superconducting magnet (Magnex Scientific, Oxfordshire, UK) with a Varian Inova console (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Prior to all studies, global shimming was done using a single pulse-acquire sequence until the water linewidth was less than 20 Hz. For measurements requiring slice selection, localized shimming was performed using a PRESS sequence on $10 \times 10 \times 30$ mm voxel until the water linewidth was less than 9 Hz.

Total Muscle Volume—Muscle volume was measured by using a single spin-echo multislice (SEMS) sequence. The experimental parameters included a 4 ms Gaussian pulse, echo time (TE)/repetition time (TR) = $30/2000$ ms, 128×128 matrix size, $46 - 50$ slices, 1 sliceinterleaved acquisition with thickness = 1 mm and no gap, number of excitations (N_{EX}) = 1, and field of view (FOV) = 18 mm \times 18 mm.

¹H MR Spectroscopy—At the middle and conclusion of the MR experiments, 1H water suppressed spectra were obtained using a PRESS sequence modified with MEGA (16) water saturation. The experimental parameters included a 2 ms sinc excitation pulse with 8 ms Gaussian saturation pulse, TE/TR = 28/3000 ms, N_{EX} = 64 – 128 and voxel size = 7 mm \times 7 $mm \times 20$ mm.

Localized T2—Transverse relaxation decay data were obtained using a single-slice, multiecho CPMG sequence. The experimental parameters included a 1 ms sinc excitation pulse followed by 300 μs duration, 90°-180°-90° composite refocusing pulses. For all studies, TR $=$ 3s; for the 8 ms echo spacing, the number of echoes (N_E) = 50, and for the 30 ms echo

spacing, N_E = 30. The FOV was 16 mm × 16 mm with a 2 mm slice thickness, matrix = 64 × 64 matrix, and N_{EX} = 4. The power was calibrated for a 300 μ s, 90°-180°-90° composite pulse with maximum signal intensity profile attained with a 4 mm slice and FOV of 10 mm \times 10 mm that included as much of the muscle sample and as little of the Ringer's solution as possible.

Non-localized T2—Transverse relaxation data were obtained by using a CPMG sequence having a 37.5 – 40 μs hard excitation pulse and refocusing pulses of 75 – 80 μs. For the echo time spacing of 2 ms, $N_E = 4096$ echoes and for the echo time spacing of 8 ms, $N_E = 2048$. The TR was 5 s with $N_{EX} = 16$.

Quantitative MT (qMT)—The MT imaging data were acquired with a selective inversion recovery preparation (a 1 ms hard inversion (180°) pulse) followed by a variable inversion time (*TI*) and then a fast spin echo readout (a 500 μs Gaussian (90°) pulse with a train of eight 180° Gaussian refocusing pulses separated by 10 ms) (17). Twenty-five *TI* values were used, with 21 points logarithmically spaced between 3.5 ms and 150 ms with additional times of 300 ms, 1 s, 2 s and 8 s. Other parameters included a predelay (PD) = 3 s, N_{EX} = 4, 64×64 matrix, FOV of 18 mm \times 18 mm, and 1 mm thick slice.

Data Analysis

Muscle mass, volume, and density—Intracellular acidification brought about by transient exposure of muscle cells to NH₄Cl activates Na⁺-H⁺ exchange as the principal pH_i regulatory mechanism (18), which in turn may lead to a regulatory volume increase. Because the density of muscle under control conditions is greater than that of water, intracellular water accumulation would be reflected in a decrease in muscle density. Therefore, the mass of the muscles (*mm*) was measured at the end of NMR experiments and the muscle volume (V_m) was calculated from the SEMS data by defining a region of interest (ROI) around the muscle in each slice. The volume of each ROI was computed from the *FOV*, slice thickness, and matrix size and used to calculate *Vm*. Muscle density was calculated as $\rho_m = m_m/V_m$.

Intracellular pH—Time domain data were processed in Matlab v. 7.0 (The Mathworks, Inc. Natick MA) using 0.2 Hz exponential line broadening, baseline correction, and Fourier transformation. Chemical shifts were referenced to creatine $-R-MH₂$ at 3.02 ppm (19). The C-2 carnosine proton peak was fitted to a Lorentzian function and the chemical shift of the maximum peak height (δ_c) was used to calculate pH_i , using

$$
pH = pK_{a,c} + \log\left(\frac{\delta_a - \delta_c}{\delta_c - \delta_b}\right)
$$
 [1]

where δ_a is the acidic limiting chemical shift (8.58 ppm) and δ_b is the basic limiting chemical shift (7.66 ppm) (19). The $pK_{a,c}$ was calculated from the information provided in reference (19) and the temperature.

T2—A multi-component *T2* model and a non-negative least squares (NNLS) algorithm (20) were used. The value for the regularizer term, μ , was $\sim 10/\text{SNR}$ ($\mu = 0.01$ and 0.05 for the nonlocalized and localized data, respectively). The non-localized transverse relaxation decay data were fitted to 128 *T2* values logarithmically spaced between the first TE (2 or 8 ms) and 5 s. The localized transverse relaxation decay data were obtained by specifying an ROI around the muscle borders (ROI size range $460 - 745$ pixels). These data were fitted to 250

T2 values logarithmically spaced between 8 or 30 ms and 1 s. To characterize the information in the T_2 spectra simply, regions of short and long biological T_2 values, assumed to represent intracellular and extracellular water, were defined. The intracellular T_2 (T_2 ,*i*) regions were defined as: $15 - 52$ ms (non-localized, TE spacing $= 2$ ms); $15 - 70$ ms (nonlocalized, TE spacing = 8 ms); $15 - 57$ ms (control, localized, TE spacing = 8 ms); $15 - 45$ ms (acidic, localized, TE spacing $= 8$ ms); $30 - 45$ ms (localized, TE spacing $= 30$ ms). The extracellular T_2 (T_2 _e) regions were defined as the remaining values less than 400 ms. The rationale for the thresholds distinguishing T_{2i} and T_{2e} is illustrated in the Results section. The 400 ms upper value for $T_{2,e}$ was set on the basis of T_2 spectra from phantom studies in which a vial containing a short T_2 species (~75 ms) placed within Ringer's solution (T_2 ~2.1 s). The T_2 spectra contained an extra peak at ~ 617 ms when T_2 was measured using nonlocalized acquisitions, but not with localized acquisitions (results not shown). This 400 ms threshold is approximately two times greater than the largest value of *T2,e* that has been reported in the literature. Within each region, the average T_2 value (weighted by relative signal intensity) was calculated and the transverse relaxation rates were calculated as $R_2 \equiv 1/2$ *T2*. All echoes were used in the *T2* analysis since pilot studies revealed similar results regardless of whether all echoes, even echoes-only or odd echoes-only were used.

Intracellular volume fraction—The intracellular and extracellular water contents were assumed to relate directly to the areas under the curve in the $T_{2,i}$ and $T_{2,e}$ spectral regions. The intracellular water fraction, F_i , was calculated as $100\% \times$ the ratio of the area of intracellular region to the total area under the curve.

qMT Analysis—The analysis described in reference (17) was applied to the selective inversion recovery data. This analysis assumes that the sample can be described as containing a macromolecular pool with population fraction p_m and a free water pool with population fraction *p^f* . The data were fit on a pixel-by-pixel basis using Matlab's built-in Nelder-Mead Simplex search algorithm to a bi-exponential function of *TI* as

$$
\frac{M_f(TI)}{M_{f\infty}} = b_f^+ \exp(-R_1^+ * TI) + b_f^- \exp(-R_1^- * TI) + 1,
$$
\n[2]

where $M_f(TI)$ is the longitudinal magnetization at time TI , $M_{f\infty}$ is the equilibrium

magnetization, R_t^+ and R_t^- are the slow and fast recovery rates, respectively, and b_f^+ and $b_f^$ are the corresponding amplitudes (17). The MT rate from the macromolecular pool to the free water pool $(k_{m}f)$ and the pool size ratio (p_{m}/p_{f}) were determined by using Eqs. 4 and 10 of reference (17); the reverse rate (k_{fm}) was calculated as $k_{fm} = (p_m/p_f) \cdot k_{mf}$.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics include the mean and standard deviation (SD). Paired, two-tailed Student's *t*-tests were performed to compare the mean values of pH_i , ρ_M , R_2 , k_{mfs} , and R_1^- in the control and acidic muscles. A one-way analysis of variance was used to compare the mean values of the R_2 _{*i*} difference between control and acidic muscle (ΔR_2 _{*i*}) and for the different echo time spacings and acquisition type. The hypotheses that *kmf* is linearly related to $[H^+]$ and pH_i were tested by calculating linear correlations between pH_i and k_{mf} and between [H+] and *kmf*, separately for the acidic muscles, control muscles, and all muscles. Because *kfm* is calculated from *pm*/*p^f* and *kmf* and is therefore not an independent measurement, statistics for this variable were not calculated. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Intracellular pH

Figure 1a shows typical ${}^{1}H$ NMR water-suppressed spectra for the control (black line) and acidic (gray line) muscles. Figure 1b shows the carnosine C-2 proton resonance in a zoomed region $(7.5 - 9$ ppm) of the spectra in Figure 1a. The chemical shift of the C-2 proton is 8.10 ppm for the control muscle (*pHⁱ* 7.03) and shifts to 8.30 ppm for the acidic muscle (*pHⁱ* 6.58). Figure 1c shows the mean and SD for the pH_i of all muscles. The control muscles had a mean *pH_i* of 7.04 \pm 0.07 whereas the acidic muscles had a mean *pH_i* of 6.51 \pm 0.09 (*P* < 0.001). During the course of the MR experiments, the pH_i did not vary more than 0.05 pH units.

Mass, Volume, Density, Temperature

The masses of the control and acidic muscles were 1.63 ± 0.20 and 1.68 ± 0.23 g, respectively $(P = 0.019)$. The average volumes for the control and acidic muscles were 1.32 \pm 0.15 cm³ and 1.34 \pm 0.16 cm³, respectively (*P* = 0.033). The density for the control muscles was 1.23 ± 0.02 g/cm³ and for the acidic muscles was 1.25 ± 0.03 g/cm³ ($P = 0.22$). The muscle temperature did not vary more than 0.5° within an individual experiment and for all experiments ranged between $20 - 23$ °C.

Transverse Relaxation Rates and Derived Measures

Semi-log plots illustrating transverse magnetization decay data for TE<300 ms are shown for non-localized experiments with echo spacing times of 2 ms and 8 ms in Figures 2a and 2b, respectively. Representative T_2 decay data for localized experiments with echo spacings of 8 ms and 30 ms are shown in Figures 2c and 2d, respectively. In all four plots, the decay is non-monoexponential.

Representative non-localized *T2* spectra from a control muscle (black line) and the paired acidic muscle (gray line) are shown as a semilog plot in Figures 3a and 3b for echo spacing times of 2 ms and 8 ms, respectively. There is a peak representing $T_{2,i}$ at \sim 30 ms and a peak representing $T_{2,e}$ at ~125 ms. Other peaks that appeared but are not shown include a third apparent T_2 component between 400 ms and 1 s and a large peak with a T_2 of \sim 2 s that resulted from the Ringer's solution. The summed T_2 spectra are shown in Figures 3c and 3d for the experiments performed at 2 ms and 8 ms, respectively. The vertical lines indicate the boundaries of the $T_{2,i}$ region.

Representative *T2* spectra from a control muscle (black line) and the paired acidic muscle (gray line) are shown in Figures 4a and 4b for localized acquisitions using echo time spacings of 8 ms and 30 ms, respectively. The two peaks represent the $T_{2,i}$ component at \sim 30 ms and the $T_{2,e}$ component at 80 to 150 ms. The summed T_2 spectra measured with echo spacing times of 8 and 30 ms are shown in Figures 4c and 4d, respectively. The vertical lines indicate the $T_{2,i}$ region. For both echo spacings, the summed T_2 spectrum of the acidic muscles show that the $T_{2,i}$ increases and the $T_{2,e}$ decreases as compared to the control muscles.

The mean and SD of the *R2,i* values are reported in Table 1. Regardless of echo spacing or whether the experiments were localized or non-localized, $R_{2,i}$ decreased as pH_i decreased. In non-localized experiments, the difference in $R_{2,i}$ between the acidic and control muscles $(AR_{2,i})$ was 8.1 ± 1.5 s⁻¹ for the 2 ms TE spacing and 3.4 ± 1.0 s⁻¹ for the 8 ms TE spacing $(P = 0.002$ and 0.013, respectively). For localized experiments, the $\Delta R_{2,i}$ values were 4.5 ± 1.9 s⁻¹ and 3.6 ± 0.8 s⁻¹ for the TE = 8 ms and 30 ms spacings, respectively. The $\Delta R_{2,i}$ values are shown in Figure 5 and reveal that *ΔR2,i* was significantly greater for the non-

localized, TE = 2 ms spacing than for the non-localized, TE = 8 ms spacing ($P = 0.020$) and for the localized, 30 ms TE spacing $(P = 0.023)$; a similar trend was noted for the 8 ms TE, localized experiment ($P = 0.066$).

In general, $R_{2,e}$ did not change significantly with pH_i . The only exception was the localized experiment with an echo spacing of 8 ms, in which the $R_{2,e}$ for the control muscle was 8.3 \pm 2.2 s⁻¹ and for the acidic muscle was 13.7 ± 3.3 s⁻¹ ($P < 0.001$). Irrespective of the type of measurement, F_i was $\sim 80\%$ in the control condition. In the non-localized measurements the F_i values did not differ significantly between the control and acidic muscles. However, the F_i values derived from the localized $R_{2,i}$ measurements differed significantly between the control and acidic muscles, decreasing to 60% in the acidic condition.

Magnetization Transfer

Sample selective inversion recovery data are shown for the acidic and control conditions in Figure 6. Figure 7 presents the raw data illustrating the relationships among *kmf*, *pHⁱ* , and [H+] and Table 2 reports the MT parameters under control and acidic conditions. A data point in the control group (the circled point in Figure 7) was identified as an outlier with respect to *kmf*, and so the data from it and its paired, acidic muscle were removed from the following statistical comparisons. k_{mf} and R_I^- decreased in acidic muscles; p_{mf}/p_f did not change. Table 2 also reports the correlations between k_{mf} and pH_i and between k_{mf} and [H⁺]. Significant or nearly-significant linear correlations existed between each variable pair, regardless of whether the acidic muscles only, control muscles only, or all muscles were considered.

DISCUSSION

An important finding of this study is that decreases in pH_i decrease $R_{2,i}$ in isolated muscle preparations. This finding is consistent with that of Fung and Puon's study of permeabilized muscle strips in solutions with *pH* values of 5, 7 and 9, in which they showed that the R_2 is directly related to *pHⁱ* (12); with the observations of Bertram *et al*, who demonstrated that the *T2* of extracted myofibril preparations also increases at low *pH* (21); and with those of our previous work at 7.0T, in which $R_{2,i}$ was directly related to pH_i throughout the physiological range $(6.5 - 7.4)$ (7) . In addition, this study shows that the influence of pH_i on $R_{2,i}$ exists for all acquisition conditions studied, including localized and non-localized data acquisitions and for refocusing intervals ranging from 2 – 30 ms. The magnitude of *R2,i* varied with the refocusing period, however, with the pH_i effect on $R_{2,i}$ being larger at 2 ms intervals than with longer intervals. Additionally, the pH_i induced variations in $R_{2,i}$ correspond to changes in the rates of MT between macromolecular protons and free intracellular water and of longitudinal relaxation for free intracellular water. Collectively, these data provide new insights into the specific biophysical phenomena that contribute to the *R2,i* change of exercise, the exchange processes among the various tissue proton pools, and the biophysical influences on relaxation in general.

Multi-exponential Transverse Relaxation in Muscle

A common finding in transverse relaxometry studies of *ex vivo* muscle (7,9,22,23), and in certain studies of *in vivo* muscle (5,24), is that this tissue exhibits multi-exponential relaxation. In the present study, the ${}^{1}H$ transverse relaxation of muscle water was nonmonoexponential in all of the measurements performed, as reflected in the non-linear semilog plots in Figure 2 and the complex *T2* spectral patterns in Figures 3 and 4. We conducted phantom studies that demonstrated that T_2 components larger than 400 ms, but unassociated with the Ringer's solution $(T_2 \sim 2 \text{ s})$, were artifactual in origin. In order to characterize the information in the biological portion of the spectrum simply and in a manner that would

permit statistical comparisons, we interpreted the shorter T_2 portions as reflecting water in a single compartment of the intracellular space of muscle and the longer *T2* portion of the spectrum as representing water in a single compartment of the interstitial space (9,22,23). In addition, a *T2* component <10 ms has been inconsistently reported for muscle, which has been attributed to hydration shell of macromolecules (9). The long TE spacings used for most of the *T2* measurements in the present study precluded the observation of this component.

pHⁱ and Intracellular Water Content

Despite the potential for activation of $Na^+ - H^+$ exchange and regulatory volume changes in the cells, we argue for several reasons that the MT and R_2 changes observed in this study are a direct and exclusive effect of intracellular acidification. Most importantly, the densities of the control and acidic muscles did not differ significantly and were uncorrelated with the *R2* and MT findings. Second, intracellular water accumulation would tend to increase *Fⁱ* . However, F_i either did not change (non-localized R_2 measurements) or decreased (localized R_2 measurements). We consider these two possibilities further below; but for the present argument, the salient point that neither behavior is consistent with the requirement of a higher F_i under this alternative hypothesis. Finally, p_m/p_f should decrease with intracellular water accumulation; but this variable did not differ between the two conditions. For these reasons, we conclude that the decrease in pH_i was the most significant, and probably the only, effecter of the $R_{2,i}$ decrease in these experiments.

pHⁱ and R2,i

A consistent finding in this work is that the pH_i is directly related to $R_{2,i}$. Moreover, the magnitude of this effect varies with TE spacing, with larger effects being observed with shorter spacings. This finding resolves the discrepancy between our previous findings with short TE spacings (7), which indicated a direct effect of pH_i on $R_{2,i}$, and those of Meyer *et al.* with long TE spacings (8), which suggested that there was no additional effect of exercise-induced pH_i changes on the whole-muscle T_2 beyond that already exerted by intracellular water accumulation. Because the present data demonstrate that the dynamic range of the *pHⁱ* effect on *T2* at long TE spacings is small, it may have been that in the Meyer *et al*. study, the effect of *pHⁱ* on *T2* was below the limit of detectability. Moreover, the ability to resolve distinct intracellular and interstitial T_2 components in the present study may have increased the sensitivity of our measurement as well. The dependence of R_{2i} on *pHⁱ* under all acquisitions studied indicates that the possibility for systematic, group-wise variations in the pH_i response to exercise, such as might occur in metabolic conditions such as myophosphorylase deficiency and phosphofructokinase deficiency (25) or with peripheral vascular disease (26), must be taken into account when interpreting R_2 or T_2 data from exercising muscles.

While the analyses performed resulted consistently in the identification of a pronounced effect of pH_i on $R_{2,i}$, there was ambiguity with regard to the effects of pH_i on $R_{2,e}$ and F_i . In the non-localized experiments, neither $R_{2,e}$ nor F_i changed significantly, while in the localized experiments, $R_{2,e}$ decreased and F_i increased. This discrepancy may have been due to differences in SNR, difficulty in fitting the non-localized data due to the large signal contribution from the buffer, and/or B_1 inhomogeneity in the refocusing pulses used in the non-localized acquisitions. Without a standard measurement such as a sucrose or inulin space determination, it is not possible to resolve this discrepancy. For this reason, and because several studies using different methods have demonstrated that the *T2* change of exercise is primarily an intracellular phenomenon, we focus the remaining portions of this discussion dealing with transverse relaxation on *R2,i*.

pHⁱ and MT

Gochberg and Gore (17) previously reported values for k_{mf} and p_m/p_f of 46 s⁻¹ and 0.108, respectively, in the skeletal muscle of a single ferret maintained at 37°C. The mean value for *k_{mf}* that we report here under control conditions, 42.88 s⁻¹, is slightly lower than the value reported by Gochberg and Gore and probably relates to the temperature difference between the experimental preparations. We found also that the R_I of water, k_{m} and k_{fm} all decreased with pH_i (that is, with increasing $[H^+]$). A likely explanation for this effect lies in hydrogen exchange between water and amide protons, as the reaction is base-catalyzed for *pH* values greater than ~5 (27–29) and a recent preliminary report has indicated the presence of a *pH*sensitive peak at the amide proton frequency in the CEST spectrum of similarly treated amphibian skeletal muscles (30). Also similar to the present observations, Gochberg *et al.* have observed decreases in R_I^+ ($\approx k_{m}$ *f*) in acrylamine and methacrylamine polymer gels with decreasing *pH* (31). While simple acid-base chemistry would predict that the reaction rate would depend inversely and linearly on [H⁺] and exponentially with *pH* (27–29,32), we observed linear dependences of k_{mf} with both pH and $[H^+]$, regardless of experimental condition (Table 2 and Figure 7). The linear dependence of k_{mf} on pH may exist if the proteins function as polyprotic acids, whose multiple *pKa*'s would make for a complex dependence of reaction rates on *pH* that could appear linear within the 0.8 *pH*-unit range that we studied. In addition, there are well recognized roles for acidic and basic side chains of proteins in determining protein structure, as their *pH*-dependent ionization states affect the number of opportunities for hydrogen bonding (33). In structural motifs such as the leucine zipper, there are periodic alterations in amide exchange rates that depend on the local tertiary structure, with the amide proton exchange rate corresponding to hydrogen bond length (34). Because the secondary and tertiary structures of muscle proteins including sperm whale apomyoglobin (35) and the myofibrillar proteins (21) are altered by *pH*, alternative and/or additional mechanisms for the non-exponential dependences of the MT rates on pH_i also exist. These include secondary or tertiary structural changes in the proteins that remove exchange opportunities between functional groups on the proteins and water or that alter the amount of interfacial (hydration) water.

pHi, R2,i, and MT

As noted above, the dependence of *R2,i* on TE spacing, the correspondence between the MT and R_2 *i* changes, and the field strength dependence of exercise-induced R_2 *i* changes previously reported (7) are consistent with a chemical exchange mechanism of transverse relaxation (14). However, it should be noted that alternative explanations exist, at least in principle. Diffusion through magnetic field gradients such as those generated by hemecontaining molecules would create a refocusing rate dependence of *R2*. However, this mechanism cannot explain the present findings, as Carr and Purcell (36) showed that the effect of diffusion on R_2 should increase with the square of the echo spacing time; conversely, we observed larger *R2,i* changes with lower echo spacing times. Also, while we did not measure the transmembrane water exchange rate explicitly, we consider that any such changes are unlikely, as there was no coherent pattern to the effect of pH_i on $R_{2,e}$ and the permeability of the aquaporin-4 water channel is not affected by acidic deviations from neutrality (37). In light of these considerations, and taking into account also the correspondence of the $R_{2,i}$ changes to the MT rate changes, we conclude that a pH_i -mediated effect on chemical exchange was the predominant mechanism of the transverse relaxation rate change.

The measured muscle MT and relaxation rates dependences on pH_i , with k_{mf} , k_{fm} , R_1^- , and $R_{2,i}$ all showing small decreases with pH_i , are similar to those seen previously in BIS gel dosimeters with varying chemical side groups (31) and in 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoylphosphatidylcholine linked with either cholesterol or galactocerebroside (38). This connection between

 k_{mf} and $R₂$ is consistent with a third small pool of interfacial protons providing a connection between the free water and macromolecular proton pools and effecting both MT and relaxation. However, a simple three pool model would predict that (*ΔR2*)/(*Δkmf*) would be roughly equal to the pool size ratio (31). Our results for (*ΔR2,i*)/(*Δkmf*) depend on the echo spacing, but are always at least an order of magnitude greater than the pool size ratio. These results preclude using a simple three pool model for explaining the relaxation and MT results. Instead, they are consistent with the possible existence of polyprotic acids and *pH*induced alterations in protein structure and interfacial water content discussed above.

Conclusions

We have shown that pH_i affects the intracellular muscle $R_{2,i}$ without significant changes in either the muscle density or the ratio of macromolecular to free protons. Further, the *R2,i* change occurs regardless of TE spacing and with both non-localized and localized CPMG pulse sequences; however, shorter TE spacings are more sensitive to pH_i changes than long TE spacings. There are corresponding changes in the MT properties of the muscle, with decreases in *kmf* and *kfm* with decreasing *pHⁱ* . These changes suggest a role for amide proton exchange in the MT and transverse relaxation processes in muscle, and that these processes are modulated by based-catalyzed exchange and/or changes in protein structure. Comparison of the MT and transverse relaxation data reveals that transverse relaxation in muscle cannot be explained by a simple three-pool model.

Acknowledgments

We thank Nathan A. Oyler for use of his Matlab code for processing NMR spectroscopy data and David Damon of Pfizer Global Research and Development (Groton, CT) for helpful discussions. Funding was provided by NIH/ NIAMS R01 AR050101 (BMD), NIH/NIBIB R01 EB001744 (MDD), NIH/NIBIB R01 EB001452 (DFG), and NIH/NIBIB T32 EB001628.

References

- 1. Bratton CB, Hopkins AL, Weinberg JW. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of living muscle. Science. 1965; 147:738–739. [PubMed: 14242018]
- 2. Fleckenstein JL, Canby RC, Parkey RW, Peshock RM. Acute effects of exercise on MR imaging of skeletal muscle in normal volunteers. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1988; 151(2):231–237. [PubMed: 3260716]
- 3. Damon BM, Gore JC. Physiological basis of muscle functional MRI: predictions using a computer model. J Appl Physiol. 2005; 98(1):264–273. [PubMed: 15333610]
- 4. Meyer RA, Prior BM. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of muscle. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2000; 28(2):89–92. [PubMed: 10902092]
- 5. Saab G, Thompson RT, Marsh GD. Effects of exercise on muscle transverse relaxation determined by MR imaging and in vivo relaxometry. J Appl Physiol. 2000; 88(1):226–233. [PubMed: 10642385]
- 6. Prior BM, Ploutz-Snyder LL, Cooper TG, Meyer RA. Fiber type and metabolic dependence of T_2 increases in stimulated rat muscles. J Appl Physiol. 2001; 90(2):615–623. [PubMed: 11160061]
- 7. Damon BM, Gregory CD, Hall KL, Stark HJ, Gulani V, Dawson MJ. Intracellular acidification and volume increases explain R2 decreases in exercising muscle. Magn Reson Med. 2002; 47(1):14–23. [PubMed: 11754438]
- 8. Meyer RA, Prior BM, Siles RI, Wiseman RW. Contraction increases the T₂ of muscle in fresh water but not in marine invertebrates. Nmr in Biomedicine. 2001; 14(3):199–203. [PubMed: 11357185]
- 9. Hazlewood CF, Chang DC, Nichols BL, Woessner DE. Nuclear magnetic resonance transverse relaxation times of water protons in skeletal muscle. Biophys J. 1974; 14(8):583–606. [PubMed: 4853385]
- 10. Woessner DE. Brownian motion and its effects in NMR chemical exchange and relaxation in liquids. Concepts in Magnetic Resonance. 1996; 8(6):397–421.

- 11. Weidman ER, Charles HC, Negro-Vilar R, Sullivan MJ, MacFall JR. Muscle activity localization with 31P spectroscopy and calculated T₂-weighted 1H images. Invest Radiol. 1991; 26(4):309– 316. [PubMed: 2032818]
- 12. Fung BM, Puon PS. Nuclear magnetic resonance transverse relaxation in muscle water. Biophys J. 1981; 33(1):27–37. [PubMed: 7272437]
- 13. Cheng HA, Robergs RA, Letellier JP, Caprihan A, Icenogle MV, Haseler LJ. Changes in muscle proton transverse relaxation times and acidosis during exercise and recovery. J Appl Physiol. 1995; 79(4):1370–1378. [PubMed: 8567585]
- 14. Meiboom S, Luz Z. Nuclear magnetic resonance study of the protolysis of trimethylammonium ion in aqueous solution - order of the reaction with respect to solvent. J Chem Phys. 1963; 39(2):366– 370.
- 15. Roos A, Boron WF. Intracellular pH. Physiol Rev. 1981; 61(2):296–434. [PubMed: 7012859]
- 16. Mescher M, Tannus A, Johnson MO, Garwood M. Solvent suppression using selective echo dephasing. J Magn Reson A. 1996; 123(2):226–229.
- 17. Gochberg DF, Gore JC. Quantitative magnetization transfer imaging via selective inversion recovery with short repetition times. Magn Reson Med. 2007; 57(2):437–441. [PubMed: 17260381]
- 18. Marjanovic M, Elliott AC, Dawson MJ. The temperature dependence of intracellular pH in isolated frog skeletal muscle: lessons concerning the Na^+H^+ exchanger. J Membr Biol. 1998; 161(3):215– 225. [PubMed: 9493127]
- 19. Damon BM, Hsu AC, Stark HJ, Dawson MJ. The carnosine C-2 proton's chemical shift reports intracellular pH in oxidative and glycolytic muscle fibers. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2003; 49(2):233–240. [PubMed: 12541242]
- 20. Whittall KP, Mackay AL. Quantitative interpretation of NMR relaxation data. J Magn Reson. 1989; 84(1):134–152.
- 21. Bertram HC, Kristensen M, Andersen HJ. Functionality of myofibrillar proteins as affected by pH, ionic strength and heat treatment - a low-field NMR study. Meat Science. 2004; 68(2):249–256.
- 22. Belton PS, Jackson RR, Packer KJ. Pulsed NMR studies of water in striated muscle. I Transverse nuclear spin relaxation times and freezing effects. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1972; 286(1):16–25. [PubMed: 4540622]
- 23. Cole WC, LeBlanc AD, Jhingran SG. The origin of biexponential T_2 relaxation in muscle water. Magn Reson Med. 1993; 29(1):19–24. [PubMed: 8419738]
- 24. Saab G, Thompson RT, Marsh GD. Multicomponent T_2 relaxation of in vivo skeletal muscle. Magn Reson Med. 1999; 42(1):150–157. [PubMed: 10398961]
- 25. Fleckenstein JL, Haller RG, Lewis SF, Archer BT, Barker BR, Payne J, Parkey RW, Peshock RM. Absence of exercise-induced MRI enhancement of skeletal muscle in McArdle's disease. J Appl Physiol. 1991; 71(3):961–969. [PubMed: 1757335]
- 26. Yoshioka H, Anno I, Kuramoto K, Matsumoto K, Jikuya T, Itai Y. Acute effects of exercise on muscle MRI in peripheral arterial occlusive disease. Magn Reson Imaging. 1995; 13(5):651–659. [PubMed: 8569440]
- 27. Englander SW, Downer NW, Teitelbaum H. Hydrogen exchange. Ann Rev Biochem. 1972; 41:903–924. [PubMed: 4563445]
- 28. Liepinsh E, Otting G. Proton exchange rates from amino acid side chains implications for image contrast. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 1996; 35(1):30–42. [PubMed: 8771020]
- 29. Mori S, van Zijl PCM, Shortle D. Measurement of water-amide proton exchange rates in the denatured state of staphylococcal nuclease by a magnetization transfer technique. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Genetics. 1997; 28(3):325–332.
- 30. Louie, EA.; Does, MD.; Gochberg, DF.; Damon, BM. Effect of pH on CEST in muscle. Toronto, ON: 2008. p. 2587
- 31. Gochberg DF, Kennan RP, Maryanski MJ, Gore JC. The role of specific side groups and pH in magnetization transfer in polymers. J Magn Reson. 1998; 131(2):191–198. [PubMed: 9571092]
- 32. McMahon MT, Gilad AA, Zhou J, Sun PZ, Bulte JWM, van Zijl PCM. Quantifying exchange rates in chemical exchange saturation transfer agents using the saturation time and saturation power dependencies of the magnetization transfer effect on the magnetic resonance imaging signal

(QUEST and QUESP): pH calibration for poly-L-lysine and a starburst dendrimer. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2006; 55(4):836–847. [PubMed: 16506187]

- 33. Perutz MF. Electrostatic effects in proteins. Science. 1978; 201(4362):1187–1191. [PubMed: 694508]
- 34. Goodman EM, Kim PS. Periodicity of amide proton exchange rates in a coiled-coil leucine zipper peptide. Biochemistry. 1991; 30(50):11615–11620. [PubMed: 1661141]
- 35. Yang A-S, Honig B. Structural origins of pH and ionic strength effects on protein stability: acid denaturation of sperm whale apomyoglobin. J Molec Biol. 1994; 237(5):602–614. [PubMed: 8158640]
- 36. Carr H, Purcell E. Effects of diffusion on free precession in NMR experiments. Phys Rev. 1954; 94:630–638.
- 37. Nemeth-Cahalan KL, Kalman K, Hall JE. Molecular basis of pH and Ca^{2+} regulation of aquaporin water permeability. J Gen Physiol. 2004; 123(5):573–580. [PubMed: 15078916]
- 38. Kucharczyk W, Macdonald PM, Stanisz GJ, Henkelman RM. Relaxivity and magnetization transfer of white matter lipids at MR imaging: importance of cerebrosides and pH. Radiology. 1994; 192:521–529. [PubMed: 8029426]

Figure 1.

a) Representative ${}^{1}H$ NMR water suppressed spectra of control (black line) and acidic (gray line) muscles at *pHⁱ* 7.03 and 6.58, respectively. b) Zoomed-in region of Panel a, showing the shift of the C-2 carnosine resonance between the control (black line) and acidic (gray line) muscles. For panels a and b, the differences in peak heights reflect receiver gain differences only. c) Bar graph of pH_i of muscles $(n=7)$ showing mean and standard deviation of control (pH 7.04 \pm 0.07) and acidic (pH 6.51 \pm 0.09) muscles (P < 0.001).

Figure 2.

Representative semi-log plots of transverse magnetization decay data for a) 2 ms echo spacing of non-localized experiments; b) 8 ms echo spacing of non-localized experiments; c) 8 ms echo spacing of localized experiments; and d) 30 ms echo spacing of localized experiments. As indicated in the legend to Panel a, the data are shown for control and acidic conditions. For all plots, the TE region from 0–300 ms is shown, in order to highlight the transverse relaxation of the muscle water; note that non-localized acquisitions include contributions from Ringer's solution. Also, note that the differences in the Y intercepts result from differences in receiver gain settings and do not imply proton density differences between the conditions.

Figure 3.

Semi-log plots of *T2* spectra from representative (Panels a and b) and summed (Panels c and d; *n*=7) non-localized acquisitions with echo spacings of 2 ms (Panels a and c) and 8 ms (Panels b and d). As indicated in the legend to Panel a, the data are shown for control muscles (black lines) and acidic muscles (gray lines). In order to highlight the muscle region of the *T2* spectrum, the region from 10–400 ms is shown. The vertical dashed lines indicate the boundaries of the intracellular *T2* component, as discussed in the text.

Figure 4.

Semi-log plots of *T2* spectra from representative (Panels a and b) and summed (Panels c and d; *n*=7) non-localized acquisitions with echo spacings of 2 ms (Panels a and c) and 8 ms (Panels b and d). As indicated in the legend to Panel a, the data are shown for control muscles (black lines) and acidic muscles (gray lines). In order to highlight the muscle region of the *T2* spectrum, the region from 10–400 ms is shown. The vertical dashed lines indicate the boundaries of the intracellular *T2* component, as discussed in the text.

Louie et al. Page 17

Figure 5.

The differences in *R2,i* (*ΔR2,i*) between acidic and control muscles are plotted as a function of the refocusing rate for the non-localized and localized experiments. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. In both cases, localized and non-localized, as the echo spacing time is decreased, *ΔR2,i* becomes larger. The *P* values reported are relative to the shortest TE of 2 ms.

Figure 6.

Representative MT-inversion recovery data for control (squares and black lines) and acidic (gray points and lines) muscles. A) Full inversion-recovery curve. b) The early portion of the recovery $(0 - 200 \text{ ms})$ in Figure 7a, revealing the bilinear recovery resulting from MT.

Louie et al. Page 19

Figure 7.

Scatterplots illustrating relationships among pH and k_{mf} parameters. a) Plot of k_{mf} as a function of pH_i . The circled point was identified as an outlier and so the data from it and its acidic muscle pair were removed from all descriptive and inferential statistical tests involving the MT parameters. b) Plot of k_{mf} as a function of [H⁺].

Table 1

Influence of pH₁ on R_{2,i}, the latter assessed using non-localized and localized acquisitions and with different TE spacings. The first two rows of data *R2,i*, the latter assessed using non-localized and localized acquisitions and with different TE spacings. The first two rows of data report the mean and standard deviation $(N = 7)$. report the mean and standard deviation (Influence of *pH*i on

 $c_p = 0.052$

Table 2

 p_f) and in the reverse direction, respectively. The third and fourth rows report the correlations between pH_i , $[H^+]$, and the MT parameter estimates.. Pool size ratio (p_m/p) indicated with superscripts; the same notation as in Table 1 has been continued here. For means, significance reflects differences from control condition. indicated with superscripts; the same notation as in Table 1 has been continued here. For means, significance reflects differences from control condition. reverse direction, respectively. The third and fourth rows report the correlations between *pH*i, [H+], and the MT parameter estimates.. Pool size ratio (*p* p_f) and slow recovery rate (R_7) are also reported. Statistical tests reflect removal of outlying point and its pair (see Text). Significant findings are *pf*) and slow recovery rate () are also reported. Statistical tests reflect removal of outlying point and its pair (see Text). Significant findings are *m*) to the free water pool (with population fraction Note that because k_{fin} is not an independent measurement, statistics for this variable are not presented. Note that because k_{fm} is not an independent measurement, statistics for this variable are not presented. *p* Effect of *pHi* on the MT rates, *kmf* and *kfm*, from the solid pool (with population fraction

