Acinetobacter baumannii has emerged worldwide as an important nosocomial and opportunistic pathogen, particularly in intensive care units (7). Treatment is difficult due to the high rate of multidrug resistance (including carbapenems) (4, 7). As a result, polymyxins have been prescribed as the agent of last resort. Nevertheless, overprescription and the lack of an optimal dosing could lead to colistin resistance (3, 5, 9).

Tigecycline has been tested against Acinetobacter spp. (4). However, there are scarce data regarding colistin- and pandrug-resistant (PDR) A. baumannii clinical isolates (12, 13). We tested tigecycline, minocycline, and tigecycline-colistin combination against A. baumannii isolates displaying different antimicrobial profiles, including colistin resistance.

A total of 150 nonduplicate A. baumannii clinical isolates were tested, including 89 colistin-susceptible isolates (randomly selected) and 61 colistin-resistant isolates (MICs of 4 to 256 µg/ml). They were collected from our 2,037-bed tertiary care hospital between May 2000 and November 2006 (a period in which tigecycline was not licensed in Spain yet). MicroScan (Dade-Behring) was used for identification and for susceptibility testing to the following antibiotics: ampicillin/sulbactam, piperacillin-tazobactam, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, cefepime, imipenem, meropenem, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, tetracycline, gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin. The colistin-resistant isolates were confirmed as described previously (2). Tigecycline (Wyeth), minocycline, and colistin (Sigma) MICs were measured by the broth microdilution method according to CLSI, using fresh cation-adjusted Müller-Hinton broth (Becton-Dickinson).

The results are presented in Table 1. Overall, tigecycline MICs were three dilutions lower than minocycline MICs. Due to the colistin resistance displayed out of the PDR group, the whole collection was also categorized according to the colistin phenotype. Both the colistin-resistant and -susceptible isolates showed equal tigecycline MIC_{50/90}s ($1/2 \mu g/ml$, respectively).

TABLE 1. Results of in vitro susceptibility testing of 150 A. baumannii group clinical isolates

	п	Tigecycline ^b MIC (μg/ml) ^c			Minocycline			
Isolate ^a					MIC (µg/ml) ^c			%
		Range	50%	90%	Range	50%	90%	Susceptible
PDR	46	0.5-8	1	2	0.25-16	8	16	8.7
XDR	40	0.25 - 4	1	2	0.25 - 32	8	16	30
IPM-S	64	0.03–2	1	2	$\leq 0.06 - 16$	4	8	60.9
Total	150	0.03-8	1	2	≤0.06-32	8	16	36.6

^a The isolates were classified according to the susceptibility to the antimicrobials listed in the text (excluding tigecycline and minocycline) as follows: PDR, resistant to all antibiotics including colistin; extensively drug resistant (XDR), only susceptible to colistin; and (for the rest of the isolates), imipenem susceptible (IPM-S), displaying variable susceptibility to the remaining agents.

No susceptibility breakpoint for Acinetobacter has been provided by CLSI.

^c 50% and 90%, MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀, respectively.

Although both groups displayed comparable $MIC_{50/90}s$ to minocycline (8/16 and 8/8 µg/ml, respectively), the susceptibility rates were 18 and 49.4%, respectively.

Tigecycline and colistin could eventually be prescribed simultaneously. Therefore, we assessed their interactions with the checkerboard assay, using 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, and $4 \times MIC$ concentrations and a final inoculum of 5 \times 10⁵ CFU/ml. All 35 isolates (selected by colistin MICs of 0.12 to 4 µg/ml) tested displayed indifference, with fractional inhibitory concentration indices ranging from 0.75 to 2. These results agree with those reported using Etest methodology (10) and time-kill studies (11). Although synergy has been described using the checkerboard method when testing colistin- and polymyxin B-tigecycline combinations (8), none of these were confirmed to be synergistic by time-kill kinetics.

Here we report tigecycline MICs against truly PDR A. baumannii isolates. Due to the lack of CLSI breakpoints for Acinetobacter, no susceptibility rate could be inferred. It should be noted that our MIC_{90} (2 $\mu\text{g/ml}),$ equal to those in most studies (4), is higher than the achievable concentration of tigecycline in serum at normal dosing $(0.63 \ \mu g/ml)$ (7). In fact, clinical failures and emergence of resistance have been reported (1, 4, 6). Conversely, tigecycline could provide higher concentration in tissues, implying a theoretical role for tissue-based infections (7). Nevertheless, the only granted use of tigecycline so far is for the treatment of complicated skin/skin structure and intra-abdominal infections caused by bacteria other than Acinetobacter (the U.S. Food and Drug Administration).

To date, only the British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy has provided criteria to designate tigecycline-susceptible and -resistant A. baumannii isolates (≤ 1 and $\geq 2 \mu g/ml$, respectively). Implementation of these breakpoints would severely limit the use of tigecycline. However, it could effectively be prescribed as salvage therapy for certain types of infections caused by PDR A. baumannii.

This study was supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER (REIPI RD06/0008).

REFERENCES

- 1. Anthony, K. B., N. O. Fishman, D. R. Linkin, L. B. Gasink, P. H. Edelstein, and E. Lautenbach. 2008. Clinical and microbiological outcomes of serious infections with multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms treated with tigecycline. Clin. Infect. Dis. 46:567-570.
- 2. Arroyo, L. A., A. García-Curiel, M. E. Pachón-Ibañez, A. C. Llanos, M. Ruiz, J. Pachón, and J. Aznar. 2005. Reliability of the E-test method for detection of colistin resistance in clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43:903-905.
- 3. Hawley, J. S., C. K. Murray, and J. H. Jorgensen. 2008. Colistin heteroresistance in Acinetobacter and its association with previous colistin therapy. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52:351-352.
- 4. Karageorgopoulos, D. E., T. Kelesidis, I. Kelesidis, and M. E. Falagas. 2008. Tigecycline for the treatment of multidrug-resistant (including carbapenemresistant) Acinetobacter infections: a review of the scientific evidence. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 62:45-55.
- 5. Matthaiou, D. K., A. Michalopoulos, P. I. Rafailidis, D. E. Karageorgopoulos, V. Papaioannou, G. Ntani, G. Samonis, and M. E. Falagas. 2008. Risk factors associated with the isolation of colistin-resistant gram-negative bacteria: a matched case-control study. Crit. Care Med. 36:807-811.

- Peleg, A. Y., B. A. Potoski, R. Rea, J. Adams, J. Sethi, B. Capitano, S. Husain, E. J. Kwak, S. V. Bhat, and D. L. Paterson. 2007. *Acinetobacter baumannii* bloodstream infection while receiving tigecycline: a cautionary report. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59:128–131.
- Peleg, A. Y., H. Seifert, and D. L. Paterson. 2008. Acinetobacter baumannii: emergence of a successful pathogen. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 21:538–582.
- Petersen, P. J., P. Labthavikul, C. H. Jones, and P. A. Bradford. 2006. In vitro antibacterial activities of tigecycline in combination with other antimicrobial agents determined by checkerboard and time-kill kinetic analysis. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 57:573–576.
- Reis, A. O., D. A. Luz, M. C. Tognim, H. S. Sader, and A. C. Gales. 2003. Polymyxin-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates: what is next? Emerg Infect. Dis. 9:1025–1027.
- Sands, M., Y. McCarter, and W. Sanchez. 2007. Synergy testing of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii against tigecycline and polymyxin B using an E-test methodology. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 26:521–522.
- Scheetz, M. H., C. Qi, J. R. Warren, M. J. Postelnick, T. Zembower, A. Obias, and G. A. Noskin. 2007. In vitro activities of various antimicrobials alone and in combination with tigecycline against carbapenem-intermediate or -resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51: 1621–1626.
- 12. Souli, M., F. V. Kontopidou, E. Koratzanis, A. Antoniadou, E. Giannitsioti, P. Evangelopoulou, S. Kannavaki, and H. Giamarellou. 2006. In vitro activity

of tigecycline against multiple-drug-resistant, including pan-resistant, gramnegative and gram-positive clinical isolates from Greek hospitals. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **50**:3166–3169.

 Taccone, F. S., H. Rodriguez-Villalobos, D. De Backer, V. De Moor, J. Deviere, J. L. Vincent, and F. Jacobs. 2006. Successful treatment of septic shock due to pan-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* using combined antimicrobial therapy including tigecycline. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 25:257–260.

> Luis A. Arroyo Ingeborg Mateos Verónica González Javier Aznar* Clinical Microbiology Service Virgen del Rocío University Hospitals Seville, Spain

*Phone: 34 955013204 Fax: 34 954377413 E-mail: jaznar@us.es

^v Published ahead of print on 15 December 2008.