RESEARCH PAPER



Gating of aquaporins by light and reactive oxygen species in leaf parenchyma cells of the midrib of *Zea mays*

Yangmin X. Kim and Ernst Steudle*

Department of Plant Ecology, Bayreuth University, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany

Received 15 July 2008; Revised 29 October 2008; Accepted 31 October 2008

Abstract

Changes of the water permeability aquaporin (AQP) activity of leaf cells were investigated in response to different light regimes (low versus high). Using a cell pressure probe, hydraulic properties (half-time of water exchange, $T_{1/2}$ ∞ 1/water permeability) of parenchyma cells in the midrib tissue of maize (Zea mays L.) leaves have been measured. A new perfusion technique was applied to excised leaves to keep turgor constant and to modify the environment around cells by perfusing solutions using a pressure chamber. In response to low light (LL) of 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, $T_{1/2}$ decreased during the perfusion of a control solution of 0.5 mM CaCl₂ by a factor of two. This was in line with earlier results from leaf cells of intact maize plants at a constant turgor. In contrast, high light (HL) at intensities of 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ increased the $T_{1/2}$ in two-thirds of cells by factors of 14 and 35, respectively. The effects of HL on $T_{1/2}$ were similar to those caused by H_2O_2 treatment in the presence of Fe²⁺, which produced OH (Fenton reaction; reversible oxidative gating of aquaporins). Treatments with 20 mM H₂O₂ following Fe^{2+} pre-treatments increased the $T_{1/2}$ by a factor of 30. Those increased $T_{1/2}$ values could be partly recovered, either when the perfusion solution was changed back to the control solutuion or when LL was applied. 3mM of the antioxidant glutathione also reversed the effects of HL. The data suggest that HL could induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as OH, and they affected water relations. The results provide evidence that the varying light climate adjusts water flow at the cell level; that is, water flow is maximized at a certain light intensity and then reduced again by HL. Light effects are discussed in terms of an oxidative gating of aquaporins by ROS.

Key words: Aquaporin, cell pressure probe, glutathione, hydraulic conductivity, hydrogen peroxide, light, oxidative gating, reactive oxygen species, *Zea mays*.

Introduction

Plants experience various regimes of light intensity, which is an important issue during photosynthesis. In response to light, stomata open to fix carbon diffusing in as CO₂, and plants lose substantial amounts of water using the same pathway. Therefore, plants developed strategies to manage the use of water efficiently in response to changes in the light regime. It has been shown that the overall hydraulic conductance of leaves is affected by light (Sack *et al.*, 2002; Lo Gullo *et al.*, 2005; Nardini *et al.*, 2005; Tyree *et al.*, 2005; Sack and Holbrook, 2006; Cochard *et al.*, 2007). In part, this could have happened either by a change of the conductance of the vascular system (Zwieniecki *et al.*, 2001) or by changes of water permeability of the living cells of xylem parenchyma or bundle sheath (cell Lp; Nardini *et al.*, 2005; Tyree *et al.*, 2005). The change in cell Lp in response to light was speculated to occur via a gating of water channel activity sitting in the cell membranes (aquaporins, AQPs). However, there has been, to date, no direct evidence of a gating of AQPs by light. According to Cochard *et al.* (2007), the level of AQP transcripts increased in response to light. Kim and Steudle (2007) recently started to fill the gap by measuring changes in cell Lp in response to light. In leaf cells of maize, they found that turgor as well as light affected cell Lp. To separate the effects of light from those of turgor, they kept the turgor constant and found that, when light was varied at low absolute values of light intensity, AQP activity increased

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ernst.steudle@uni-bayreuth.de © 2008 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/uk/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

as the light intensity increased, which was in agreement with earlier results obtained at the whole leaf level (see above).

There is increasing evidence that many environmental factors affect AQPs (Steudle, 2000; Maurel and Chrispeels, 2001; Tournaire-Roux et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2005). Light could be one of them. It is plausible that a gating of AQPs by light may involve an oxidative gating of AQP activity (Henzler et al., 2004; Ye and Steudle, 2006). As photosynthesis produces O_2 and reduction equivalents, damage by reactive oxygen species (ROS) may be anticipated by reactions involving the partial or complete reduction of O_2 and the production of ROS (such as superoxide, H₂O₂, and the hydroxyl radical ·OH; Foyer and Noctor, 2000; Dietz, 2008). In the Chara internode and maize roots, an oxidative gating of AQPs has been demonstrated by Henzler et al. (2004) and Ye and Steudle (2006). These authors showed that AQPs could be closed by H_2O_2/OH , and that closure was reversible. According to Aroca et al. (2005), treatment with 100 μ M H₂O₂ decreased the root hydraulic conductance in a chilling-sensitive, but not in a chilling-tolerant maize genotype. Oxidative gating may be a common response to different kinds of stresses (Pastori and Foyer, 2002; Xiong et al., 2002), and it may provide appropriate adjustments in water relations (Ye and Steudle, 2006).

In the present study, the experiments of Kim and Steudle (2007) have been extended from low to high levels of light intensity to provide further insights into the mechanism(s) of a gating of cell Lp via changes of AQP activity. Measured cells were parenchyma cells in the midrib of maize leaves (Westgate and Steudle, 1985; Wei et al., 1999). These cells are (i) located in the vicinity of photosynthetically active cells, stomata, and xylem vessels; (ii) easy to puncture due to their large size; and (iii) also suitable for long-term measurements in single cells (up to 6 h), since the midrib tissue could be well fixed, and has, therefore, been used as a model system by Kim and Steudle (2007). A cell pressure probe was applied to access cell Lp. The perfusion of excised leaves allowed infiltration of leaf tissue via cut xylem vessels to adjust apoplastic concentrations and to apply H₂O₂ and the antioxidant glutathione (GSH). Most importantly, turgor could be kept constant by infiltration, i.e., this variable affecting AQP activity was excluded during light treatments. Different effects of light at two light regimes were investigated [low light (LL), up to 650 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; and high light (HL), 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹]. In response to LL, cell Lp increased. HL regimes, however, caused a decrease, as did the infiltration of solutions of H_2O_2/OH . The data are discussed in terms of gating of AQPs by ROS.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Maize (*Zea mays* L. cv. symphony) plants were grown from caryopses in soil in a greenhouse of Bayreuth University as described by Kim and Steudle (2007). In the lab, where the experiments were performed, the ambient light intensity was

~5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (20–25 °C; relative humidity = 30–60%). Experiments were conducted on 4- to 8-week-old plants, which were 0.8–1.2 m tall and had about eight leaves.

The parenchyma cells used in the pressure probe experiments were located in the midrib region 100–200 mm behind the tip of leaves. Cells measured were located at a distance of 100–200 μ m from the abaxial surface of the midrib, i.e. in the same range as those used by Kim and Steudle (2007). They usually contained no chlorophyll, but they were close to photosynthetically active cells (~50 μ m away; see cross-section in Fig. 1 of Kim and Steudle, 2007). Third or fourth leaves from the plants were used for experiments. Leaf blades were cut to a length of ~0.3–0.4 m. About 40 mm of the leaf tip was cut and removed to enhance transport through xylem vessels by perfusion.

Experimental set-up using a CPP

A Leitz manipulator (Wetzler, Germany) was used to carry a cell pressure probe (CPP; Kim and Steudle, 2007). It was fixed on a thick iron plate placed on a heavy stone table to minimize vibrations during the CPP measurements. Using magnetic bars, a cut leaf was fixed upside down on a metal sledge to expose the midrib securely for measurements of cell hydraulics. The basal cut end of the leaf was encased in a pressure chamber (Fig. 1), where it was immersed in



Fig. 1. Experimental set-up used. A pressure chamber was used to perfuse a leaf tissue at constant turgor pressure during illumination and to provide a particular ionic apoplastic environment. The chamber was provided with different solutions, which were infiltrated at a pressure of 0.1-0.2 MPa above atmospheric. When pressurizing the basal cut end of the xylem, guttation droplets appeared at the leaf margin and at the cut surface of the leaf outside the pressure chamber. During perfusion, water flow across the tissue was substantial, tending to exchange the solution of the apoplast within 5–20 min (see Materials and methods). A cell pressure probe was used to measure turgor and hydraulic conductivity of a cell (cell *Lp*). The meniscus between the cell sap and silicone oil in the probe was controlled while observing it with a stereo microscope.

a solution of defined composition (see below). The microcapillary attached to the CPP was filled with silicone oil (oil type AS4 from Wacker, Munich, Germany). It had a fine tip of up to $\sim 8 \ \mu m$ in diameter. When midrib cells were punctured, a meniscus formed between the cell sap and oil within the tip and tended to move away from the cell. Using the metal rod of the CPP, the meniscus was re-adjusted at a position close to the surface of the midrib. This restored cell sap volume close to its original value. The pressure transducer of the CPP measured turgor pressure (P), which was recorded by a computer. To investigate the hydraulic conductivity of cell membranes (Lp), hydrostatic relaxations of turgor were induced by instantaneously moving the meniscus to another position and keeping it there. To avoid effects of large pressure pulses ('energy injection'; Wan et al., 2004), peak sizes of hydrostatic relaxations were < 0.1 MPa. The half-times of hydrostatic relaxation, i.e. the time taken for pressure pulses to reach half of the original peak $(T_{1/2}s)$, were inversely proportional to Lp. Further details of the function of the CPP can be found in previous studies (e.g. Steudle, 1993; Henzler and Steudle, 1995). In this study, $T_{1/2}$ was used as a direct measure of changes of Lp to reduce the effect of error propagation when calculating Lp (Wan et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Ye and Steudle, 2006). This could be accepted, as the volumetric elastic modulus of the cell (ε) , which is also a parameter to determine Lp, did not change significantly during measurements (Kim and Steudle, 2007). To avoid variations between cells, relative changes of $T_{1/2}$ rather than absolute changes were often compared. Treatments were performed on individual cells. This required measurement of hydraulic parameters of a given cell for up to 4 h.

Perfusion of leaves with solutions of defined composition (defined apoplastic environment)

The apoplastic environment of cells was varied during treatments, for example by infiltration of AQP inhibitors. In order to perfuse a leaf tissue at constant turgor pressure during illumination and to provide a certain ionic apoplastic environment, the pressure chamber (see above) was provided with different solutions, which were infiltrated at a pressure of 0.1-0.2 MPa above atmospheric. When pressurizing the basal cut end of the xylem, guttation droplets appeared at the leaf margin and at the cut surface of the leaf outside of the pressure chamber. To test how long it took for the perfusion solution to move across the tissue, 70 mM H₂O₂ solution was injected in the chamber. The appearance of H_2O_2 in the other cut end and leaf margins was qualitatively tested with KI and starch solution. Droplets in the midrib of the cut end were collected and added to KI-starch solution. The presence of H_2O_2 in the droplets changed the solution to a blue colour. According to the tests, the time required for the solution to move across the tissue and to modify the apoplastic solution was 5-20 min, depending on the pressure applied to the pressure chamber and resistance in the water pathway of the leaf. During 1 h perfusion at 0.2 MPa, the amount of solution that passed through the leaf tissue was of an order of magnitude which was similar to the volume of the excised leaf. Assuming the apoplast was 10% of the leaf volume (Kosala Ranathunge, University of Bonn, personal communication), this was equivalent to 10 times the volume of the apoplast. The perfusion solution could be exchanged via a tube leading into the chamber, which could be closed by a valve, when the chamber was pressurized. This allowed exchange of the apoplastic solution quickly and also for the solution to be changed back to the original solution during measurements with an individual cell. To minimize variability between cells, it was intended to measure effects and reversibility on individual cells.

Illumination experiments

In order to run the CPP experiments, both the microcapillary and tissue near the cell punctured had to be illuminated using an Osram halogen lamp (150 W, Xenophot HLX, Munich, Germany) through glass fibre optics (Schott, Mainz, Germany). The light intensity at the tissue level was ~50 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The $T_{1/2}$ s were continuously measured after a cell was punctured. Using the glass fibre optics, the light intensity could be increased to values as high as 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (LL). Usually, the effects of light treatment were measured following changes of $T_{1/2}$. To apply light intensities which are similar to that in the field during a bright day (~2000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Nobel, 1999), a screen projector as used for powerpoint presentations (AstroBeamX211 with 200 W UHP lamp, A+K, UK) was positioned at a distance of 200-300 mm from the specimen. This was usually turned on for 15 min to produce light intensities of between 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s^{-1} at the tissue level [as regulated by the distance of the light source from the leaf surface (HL)]. The UV content of the light source (UVA and UVB of the spectrum from 290 nm to 390 nm) was measured by a UV light meter (PeakTech, Ahrensburg, Germany). It was verified that the light source contained less UV light $(1-2 \text{ W m}^{-2})$ than the sunlight measured outside on a bright day (PAR=1900 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, UV=47 W m⁻²). Relaxations ($T_{1/2}$ s) were continuously measured during light and other treatments.

Perfusion solutions

As a control, leaves were perfused with a solution of 0.5 mM CaCl₂ solution, which resulted in a constant turgor pressure for at least 4 h in a given cell, even under conditions of high rates of transpiration such as occur at HL. Effects of H_2O_2 were measured by changing from 0.5 mM CaCl₂ to solutions that contained either 20 mM or 70 mM H_2O_2 in addition to the CaCl₂. In the presence of natural levels of Fe^{2+} , this should produce \cdot OH (Fenton reaction: $H_2O_2+Fe^{2+}=Fe^{3+}+OH^-+\cdot OH$). In order to enhance the level of \cdot OH, leaves were perfused with 0.5 mM CaCl₂+3 mM FeSO₄ for 1–2 h, and then the perfusion solution was changed to 0.5 mM CaCl₂+20 mM H_2O_2 to increase the oxidative stress. To see the recovery from stress

treatment, solutions were changed back to 0.5 mM CaCl_2 . To demonstrate the effects of the antioxidant GSH, leaves were perfused by 3 mM GSH and 0.5 mM CaCl₂, and the perfusion solution was then changed back to the control solution. The solution containing GSH had a pH of 3.5. Other solutions had a pH of 4.8, which was similar to the known apoplastic pH of leaves in different plant species (4.7–5.1; Felle and Hanstein, 2007).

Results

Half-times of hydrostatic relaxations, $T_{1/2} \propto 1/Lp$

From the pressure relaxations, Lp values could be worked out, when the elastic modulus (ε) was also measured. However, in most cases, $T_{1/2}$ s (inversely proportional to cell Lp) were taken as a measure of cell Lp because ε did not change during treatments for a given cell (Kim and Steudle, 2007). In most cases, there was no transient effect of puncturing on $T_{1/2}$ (*Lp*) as observed with young maize roots (Wan et al., 2004). When there was such an effect, it took 10 min, which was sufficient to achieve a constant $T_{1/2}$. At the ambient light intensity of 50 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, the $T_{1/2}$ s of cells from leaves infiltrated with 0.5 mM CaCl₂ ranged from 0.3 s to 35 s (mean \pm SD, 4.8 \pm 7.7 s, n=31 cells; Fig. 2). The variability of $T_{1/2}$ was also known in the same tissue of intact maize plants (Kim and Steudle, 2007). However, >75% of those cells (24 out of 31 cells with 0.5 mM CaCl₂) had $T_{1/2}$ s of <4 s, and one-third of cells had $T_{1/2}$ s between 1.0 s and 2.0 s (12 out of 31 cells; Figs 2, 3A). Cells infiltrated with 0.5 mM CaCl₂/3 mM FeSO₄ had $T_{1/2}$ s similar to those infiltrated with 0.5 mM CaCl₂ (range=0.8-17 s; mean \pm SD, 3.6 \pm 3.8 s, n=24 cells; 21 out of 24 cells had a $T_{1/2} < 4$ s; Figs 2, 3B). Usually, cells probed from one



Fig. 2. Frequency histogram of half-time of water exchange, $T_{1/2}$ (inversely proportional to cell *Lp*). More than 80% of cells from excised leaves which were perfused with either 0.5 mM CaCl₂ or 0.5 mM CaCl₂+3 mM FeSO₄ had $T_{1/2}$ s of <4 s. There were a few leaves showing only large $T_{1/2}$ s.

leaf had similar $T_{1/2}$ s. For example, six cells probed from the same leaf had $T_{1/2}$ s ranging from 0.9 s to 2.3 s. The large $T_{1/2}$ s occasionally measured were observed in a few leaves and they were probably caused by a closure of AQPs, even in the absence of inhibitors or HL. Those $T_{1/2}$ s could be reduced by light treatment of up to 650 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, according to the earlier results of Kim and Steudle (2007).

Responses to $\cdot OH$ (Fe²⁺ and H₂O₂)

When adding 20 mM H₂O₂ to the reference solution (0.5 mM CaCl₂) at a light intensity of 50 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, there was no effect on $T_{1/2}$ (four cells tested). Most probably, this means that Fe²⁺ in apoplasts was not adequate to produce a sufficiently high level of ·OH (Fenton reaction; H₂O₂+Fe²⁺=Fe³⁺+OH⁻⁺·OH). However, when the concentration of H₂O₂ was raised to 70 mM, $T_{1/2}$ increased by a factor of 4. When perfusing with 3 mM FeSO₄+0.5 mM CaCl₂, $T_{1/2}$ s were similar to those when using only 0.5 mM CaCl₂ (see above). There was a marked increase in $T_{1/2}$ when 20 mM H₂O₂ solutions were perfused following 2 h treatments with Fe²⁺ (Fig. 3C). It can be seen



Fig. 3. Representative relaxation curves used to measure halftimes of water exchange, $T_{1/2}$ s. (A) The half-time of cells from excised leaves which were perfused with 0.5 mM CaCl₂ typically ranged between 1.0 s and 2.0 s. A reversible oxidative gating of AQPs was demonstrated by following an individual cell in B to D. (B) Half-times of cells in the presence of Fe²⁺ were similar to those in CaCl₂ and this was used as the control. (C) On the same cell as in B, addition of 20 mM H₂O₂ produced OH· by the Fenton reaction and caused a substantial increase in $T_{1/2}$ by a factor of 27, i.e. *Lp* was reduced by the same factor. (D) Subsequent exchange to 0.5 mM CaCl₂ to remove radicals resulted in a partial recovery of $T_{1/2}$ (*Lp*), i.e. the effect was reversible, at least to some extent.

from the figure that $T_{1/2}$ increased by a factor of as much as 14 most likley due to the action of ·OH (Fig. 3C). Changing back to 0.5 mM CaCl₂ again reduced $T_{1/2}$ by 50% within 30 min, to a value of 700% of the original (Fig. 3D). Recovery was observed for only up to 30 min, because longterm measurements following oxidative responses and recovery in individual cells were demanding; however, there could have been further recovery during long-term measurements. It were stressed that, during measurements, turgor pressure was kept constant. Similar experiments showing inhibition by H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} treatment and partial recovery were repeated in three different cells. Overall, $T_{1/2}$ increased due to the treatment by a factor of 30, and recovered to 600% of the original value within 30 min after changing back to the control perfusion solution (Fig. 4). More data showing substantial increases in $T_{1/2}$ due to $H_2O_2/FeSO_4$ treatment are shown for other cells in Fig. 5A (see below).

Responses to low light of 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹

The response to LL (200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was measured in cells which originally had a low $T_{1/2}$ of ~1 s in the FeSO₄/ CaCl₂ solution, but were then inhibited to have a large $T_{1/2}$ by the addition of 20 mM H₂O₂. When cells exhibited long $T_{1/2}$ s in the presence of H₂O₂/FeSO₄, LL of 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ caused a significant reduction of $T_{1/2}$ by a factor of 7 within 30 min (Fig. 5A, n=3 cells). In one experiment, $T_{1/2}$ increased by a factor of 4 caused by treatment with 70 mM H₂O₂ could be recovered to its original value by LL of 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (data not shown). For cells which already had a large $T_{1/2}$ of >2 s in the control solution (AQPs already closed), LL treatment reduced the $T_{1/2}$ (Kim and



Fig. 4. Summary of effects of H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ on cell *Lp*. Values are means ±SD from three independent experiments as in Fig. 2B–D, and are shown as fold changes in $T_{1/2}$ taking the value in the presence of FeSO₄/CaCl₂ solution as the reference. There was a significant effect of H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (*P* <0.05, *t*-test, *n*=3 cells). The effects of treatments were measured following individual cells. The absolute values of $T_{1/2}$ are shown in the inset.

Steudle, 2007). It can be seen from Fig. 5B that $T_{1/2}$ s were reduced by a factor of 2 within 30 min (n=4 cells). In one of those four cells, $T_{1/2}$ levelled off to a small value within 30 min of light treatment, but not in the others. Longer light treatments may further reduce $T_{1/2}$. It can be seen from these results that although light effects were substantial, there was a considerable variability in the LL responses.



Fig. 5. Low light (LL) treatment of 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ reduced $T_{1/2}$, at constant turgor, as in the whole-plant experiments of Kim and Steudle (2007). (A) Cells having a $T_{1/2} < 2$ s at the ambient light (AL) intensity of 50 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ were manipulated to have a $T_{1/2} > 2$ s by H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ treatment as shown in Fig. 3. The increased $T_{1/2}$ was reduced by 30 min LL treatment by a factor of 7 (different letters indicate significant difference j *t*-test at *P* <0.05, *n*=3 cells). (B) Cells originally having a $T_{1/2} > 2$ s at AL in CaCl₂ solution showed a significant reduction in $T_{1/2}$ during 30 min LL by a factor of 2 (*P* <0.05, *t*-test, *n*=4 cells). Values are means ±SD and are shown as fold changes. The absolute values of $T_{1/2}$ are shown in the inset.

Responses to high light of 800 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ and 1800 $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$

Although there was an overall trend of a reduction of $T_{1/2}$ following HL treatment, this was statistically not significant. As during the LL treatment, there was a substantial variability between cells. For example, HL of 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ reduced the $T_{1/2}$ during the first 5–10 min of the 15 min period of illumination, but then $T_{1/2}$ increased substantially by factors of 14 and 35, respectively (Fig. 6A, n=3-4 cells; type-1 response). In total, 10 cells showed an increase in $T_{1/2}$ due to HL. The 15 min treatment was chosen as the maximum treatment which could be applied at constant turgor and with stable cells. Longer HL treatments caused a continuous decrease in turgor pressure, which indicated damage to the cells. In this respect, punctured cells could have been more prone to damage in the presence of light stress than other cells (see Discussion). In eight out of 10 cells, the $T_{1/2}$ s remained large when light was turned off for 30 min, which was the maximum period of time measured. There were, however, cells, which were hardly affected by HL, as seen in Fig. 6B (n=5 cells; type-2 response). In those cells, there was only a 37-86% reduction within 15 min. Those five cells were from two leaves, and no cells in those leaves showed a type-1 response. Maximum temperature changes on the leaf surface due to illumination with 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ were 3 °C and 7 °C, respectively.

Responses to GSH

Cells pre-treated with the antioxidant GSH were exposed to a 15 min period of illumination at the intensity of 1800 µmol m^{-2} s⁻¹. As seen in Fig. 7, a cell which had been perfused with 3 mM GSH+0.5 mM CaCl₂ for 0.5-1.0 h increased its $T_{1/2}$ by a factor of 46 by HL, as in the absence of GSH. However, in the presence of GSH, $T_{1/2}$ recovered back to its original level within 15 min when the light was turned off (n=5 cells). This was different from treatment in the absence of GSH. Pre-treatment with 3 mM GSH of 24 h duration had pronounced ameliorative effects. In contrast to short treatments, $T_{1/2}$ s showed no significant increase with HL (n=4 cells). To confirm that the lack of response was due to the presence of GSH rather than a coincidence originating from the variable responses between cells (one-third of cells did not react to HL; see above), by following one cell tests were conducted to determine whether the $T_{1/2}$ in control solution increased in response to HL, and then that the addition of GSH caused recovery of the $T_{1/2}$ to its original value. On the same cell, light treatment in the presence of GSH caused only a temporary increase in $T_{1/2}$, which eventually recovered to the original value at the ambient light intensity (data not shown). In the reverse type of experiment using 3 mM GSH, there was no response of the $T_{1/2}$ by 15 min HL treatment following treatment with 3 mM GSH solution for 3 h. On the same cell, the exchange of GSH solution by the reference solution and waiting for its complete removal (~1 h) caused a substantial increase of $T_{1/2}$ by 15 min HL treatment (data not shown). Overall, the results indicate that there was a clear ameliorative effect of GSH on cell Lp ($T_{1/2}$). It is unlikely that the effect of the GSH solution is due to changes in pH (from 4.8 to 3.5), since cytosolic acidification is known to reduce water permeability by a gating of AQPs (Tournaire-Roux C *et al.*, 2003). The effects of HL may be related to oxidative stress in the presence of HL (see Discussion).



Fig. 6. Two types of response to high light (HL) treatment. (A) HL of 800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (black bars, n=4 cells) and 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (grey bars, n=3 cells) increased the $T_{1/2}$ at constant turgor in CaCl₂ solution. The increase in $T_{1/2}$ began ~10 min after HL was turned on. The largest $T_{1/2}$ s caused by HL were significantly larger than those measured at ambient light (AL) intensity before HL treatments (P <0.05, t-test). During 15 min after the light was switched off, $T_{1/2}$ s remained large, i.e. not reversible within 15 min. (B) There were cells in which $T_{1/2}$ did not increase but decreased due to the effect of HL of 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (grey bars, n=5 cells). Values are means ±SD and are shown as relative changes. The absolute values of $T_{1/2}$ are shown in the inset.



Fig. 7. In the presence of the antioxidant glutathione (GSH), the effects of HL treatment at 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ could be reversed. Cells pre-treated with 3 mM GSH+0.5 mM CaCl₂ for 0.5–1.0 h (black bars, *n*=4 cells) had an increased *T*_{1/2} due to HL as in the absence of GSH (as in Fig. 5). In the presence of GSH, in contrast, there was a recovery within 15 min after the light was switched off. Cells pre-treated with GSH for 24 h (grey bars, *n*=5 cells) did not show an increase in *T*_{1/2} by HL (*P* <0.05, *t*-test). Values are means ±SD and are shown as fold changes. The absolute values of *T*_{1/2} are shown in the inset.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that HL intensity inhibits the AQPs in perfused leaves of maize plants. This extends earlier findings of Kim and Steudle (2007), who showed that, at constant turgor, LL intensity had an ameliorative effect on cell Lp, most probably by acting on AQPs. The treatment of the tissue with oxidants (H₂O₂ and ·OH as produced by the Fenton reaction; $H_2O_2+Fe^{2+}=$ $Fe^{3+}+OH^{-}+OH$) had an effect similar to HL. Perfusion with a solution of the antioxidant GSH increased cell Lp (reduced $T_{1/2}$) in cells having a long $T_{1/2}$. The presence of the antioxidant tended to prevent the inhibition by HL. This may indicate that there was indeed an action of ROS on AQPs in the leaf caused by HL. Similar findings of an oxidative gating of AQPs have been shown with Chara internodes and maize roots (Henzler et al., 2004; Ye and Steudle, 2006). In *Chara*, H_2O_2 in the presence of Fe²⁺ caused a reversible oxidative gating and reduced cell Lp by >90%. In the presence of rapidly permeating solutes, anomalous (negative) osmosis could be observed when AQPs were closed (Henzler et al., 2004). Ye and Steudle (2006) showed that in root cells, AOP activity was reduced by a factor of 9. At the whole root level, the reduction was smaller by a factor of 3, as expected from the composite transport model of the root. In the present study, effects of HL on cell Lp in leaves were shown for the first time, suggesting that this may also be related to an oxidative gating. It is known that during light stress, ROS develop in

leaves by the partial reduction of oxygen or from hydrogen peroxide produced in metabolic reactions (Foyer and Noctor, 2000).

It may be argued that the huge effects caused by HL on cell Lp (AQP activity) could be an artefact caused by the fact that cells had to be punctured to measure water relations parameters, and that these cells were more susceptible to stress. If true, the effects on the 'intact' system could have been different. In principle, this may be true, but is unlikely, because cells punctured by the CPP had stable turgor for up to 6 h, indicating high membrane integrity and stability. Also, punctured cells showed reversible responses during treatments (light, inhibitors, and GSH), as expected. This was true, although there was a substantial variability between cells which could have been caused by other factors (see below). It appears that there is, at present, no alternative technique for measuring light responses at the level of individual, intact tissue cells, which is completely non-invasive.

Because a molecular analysis of AQPs was not provided, the interpretation of the present data in terms of a gating of AQPs may be questioned. In the present study, what was directly measured was the water permeability of parenchyma cells in the midrib of cut leaves from maize plants (cell Lp). However, it would be difficult to find an alternative plausible interpretation different from the one offered. In maize, AQPs were classified into four different groups of proteins, as plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs), tonoplast intrinsic proteins, Nod26-like intrinsic proteins, and small and basic intrinsic proteins (Chaumont et al., 2001). To date, there have been no data showing the effects of light on any of these AQPs. In walnut, the transcript abundance of two AQPs (JrPIP2,1 and JrPIP 2,2) in response to light was correlated to the overall leaf hydraulic conductance (Kleaf; Cochard et al., 2007). The activation of AQPs by light has been speculated from K_{leaf} measurements (Nardini et al., 2005; Tyree et al., 2005). Kim and Steudle (2007) started to fill the gap between the two levels by measuring changes in cell Lp in response to light. All the currently available data attribute changes in cell Lp to a gating of AQPs. The results of this study support this interpretation, namely by involving an oxidative gating of AQPs in addition to the light effect. HL decreased cell Lp most probably by closure of AQPs, whereas LL aided the recovery of cell Lp to a high value by opening of AQPs. The closure of AQPs by HL could be tightly connected to an oxidative gating by ROS produced during HL treatment (see below).

Earlier it was found that both turgor and light affect cell Lp, hence a perfusion technique in cut leaves was applied to keep the turgor constant. Kim and Steudle (2007) showed that, as transpiration increased during light treatment, turgor decreased, and this resulted in a decrease of cell Lp. Turgor had to be kept constant to separate the effects of turgor from those of light. The perfusion technique used in the present study allowed this. Furthermore, leaves could be perfused with solutions of defined composition. For example, when using the perfusion technique, either H₂O₂ or the

antioxidant GSH could be perfused. In the future, this technique could be used further to test other solutes such as heavy metals or solutions with different pH or pK_a . It is unlikely that the perfusion of leaf tissue with solutions saturated with oxygen could have caused the cells to be deprived of oxygen and carbon dioxide, but this point needs to be clarified in further experiments.

The light intensities used in this study were up to 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, which is comparable with outdoors on a bright day (~2000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Nobel, 1999). It is known that HL produces ROS. ROS are unstable partly reduced oxygen species, produced as by-products of photosynthesis (singlet oxygen, H₂O₂, hydroxyl radical, superoxide; Foyer and Noctor, 2000; Jiang and Zhang, 2001). In Arabidopsis, Fryer et al. (2002) could visualize the generation of ROS in response to a light intensity of 600 µmol m^{-2} s⁻¹ by digital imaging. ROS are known to cause oxidative damage such as lipid peroxidation, denaturation of proteins, and DNA mutation (Jiang and Zhang, 2001). Plants have protective mechanisms to get rid of stresses caused by ROS by an adjustment of antioxidants such as ascorbate (ASC) or GSH. In the present study, HL treatment resulted in a decrease of cell Lp, which was similar to that obtained by exogenous H_2O_2 (Fe²⁺) applied by perfusion. This supports the idea of an action of ROS during HL. In addition, the effects of HL were greater, when the Fe^{2+} level in the tissue was elevated. Further support for an action of ROS was derived from the fact that perfusion of the tissue with the antioxidant GSH protected AQPs from inhibition, probably by reducing ROS. Although the amounts of GSH which reached the inside of the cells were probably small (Gukasyan et al., 2002), the intracellular ratio GSH_{red}/GSH_{ox}, which determines the redox potential, could have been high and sufficient to reduce ROS. From the findings of Henzler et al. (2004) on Chara internodes, it is known that ROS (including ·OH) react on AOPs, even when ROS are present at a very low concentration. Overall, the different circumstantial evidence suggested that the response of cell Lp to HL was caused by ROS.

Available data on overall water transport in leaves in response to light (K_{leaf} ; see Introduction) suggest a trend for light increasing K_{leaf} . Light intensities used in those studies ranged from 400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ to 1400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for different herbaceous and woody species (Sack *et al.*, 2002, 1200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Nardini *et al.*, 2005, 400 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Tyree *et al.*, 2005, 1000–1200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Cochard et al., 2007, 1400 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). At first sight this seems to contradict the present findings (i.e. a decrease in cell Lp at HL of 800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1800 μ mol m⁻² s^{-1}). However, this may not be true for the following reasons. (i) There is an increase of cell Lp at lower light intensity (Fig. 5A; Kim and Steudle, 2007). It may be that there is a maximum cell Lp in response to light intensity, which may be species dependent. This has to be determined in future studies. (ii) K_{leaf} may incorporate effects other than those related to membranes (Zwieniecki et al., 2001; Tyree *et al.*, 2005). (iii) So far, increases of K_{leaf} have been demonstrated using the 'high pressure flow meter' (HPFM), where high pressures were applied during infiltration, which, in part, was different from the present measurements (Sack *et al.*, 2002, 0.5–0.6 MPa; Nardini *et al.*, 2005, 0.15 MPa; Tyree *et al.*, 2005, 0.3–0.5 MPa; Cochard *et al.*, 2007, 0.2 MPa). Using figleaf gourd, Lee *et al.* (2008) measured midrib cell Lp in response to a light intensity of 300 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Those authors observed a decrease in Lp. However, they did not control turgor, which may have decreased as transpiration increased with light.

There was a wide variability in response to light between cells. Light effects may be different not only for different species but also for tissues. For example, in Arabidopsis, singlet oxygen and superoxide production were primarily located in mesophyll tissue whereas hydrogen peroxide accumulation was localized in the vascular tissues (Frver et al., 2002). Maize leaf cells are known to have differential intercellular partitioning of GSH metabolism (Noctor et al., 2002). Glutathione reductase was localized only in leaf mesophyll cells, but other antioxidant enzymes could be restricted to bundle sheath cells. In the present study, there was also some variability in response to HL in that onethird of cells did not show stress response to HL. Although the former possibility cannot be neglected, there is an indication that this variability in response to HL could have originated from differences of individual leaves. All the five cells measured from two leaves showed no stress response. Those leaves could have been more resistant to HL. Problems related to variability of cells were in part solved by measuring the effects of treatments in individual cells, which required measurements to be taken for at least 1-4 h. Further investigations on the response of different types of cells to light (oxidative) stress are required. Although there have been attempts to work out the contribution of vessels and of non-vascular tissue in the overall measurements, it is still necessary to work out, in greater detail, the main hydraulic resistances in leaf water transport and how they depend on light intensity (Cochard et al., 2004; Nardini et al., 2005; Sack et al., 2005).

Although the exact mechanism(s) of how ROS react with AQPs are not yet known, it has been shown that AQPs reversibly close in the presence of ROS (Henzler et al., 2004; Ye and Steudle, 2006). ROS could be present in different amounts at different light levels. At LL intensity, the level of ROS could be low and ROS could be reduced by the antioxidants present such as ASC or GSH. However, regardless of the precise mechanisms of the action of ROS, the data indicate that there are interactions between light climate and water relations, which should be of key importance during photosynthesis. To maximize photosynthesis, enough water, carbon dioxide, and light should be gained. The open/closed state of stomata is regulated not only by light but largely by the water status of leaves. Stomata can only be kept open when there is enough water uptake. Plants need to manage the resources to maximize productivity. As the present results show, the management of water resources may be a complex process involving many factors required to maximize productivity, which requires an interaction between light intensity and water flow. The present data indicate that LL may promote water flow, but that, at HL, water flows are down-regulated using ROS as messengers, which may be a common 'alarm' signalling system to provide defences against harmful environmental challenges (Pastori and Foyer, 2002).

In conclusion, the study presents the first evidence of an inhibition of cell Lp by HL, which was most probably caused by an action of ROS on AQPs. HL responses of parenchyma cells in the midrib of the maize leaf were similar to those caused by H₂O₂/·OH treatment. On the other hand, the antioxidant GSH had an ameliorative effect. Unlike HL, AQP activity increased at LL, which was in agreement with earlier results from leaves of intact plants. There should be an optimal light intensity to maximize water flow across leaf cells, but enhanced water flow could be inhibited at a certain light intensity. One may speculate that, by acting on the redox status of leaves, the light climate directly interacts with the water status of plants in a way which is different from that via stomata. It involves an action on the water supply of leaves by triggering cell Lp (AQP activity).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Burkhard Stumpf (Department of Plant Ecology, University of Bayreuth) for his expert technical assistance. They are indebted to Dr Kosala Ranathunge (University of Bonn, Germany) for reading the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant of the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) to YXK.

References

Aroca R, Amodeo G, Fernandez-Illescas S, Herman EM,

Chaumont F, Chrispeels MJ. 2005. The role of aquaporins and membrane damage in chilling and hydrogen peroxide induced changes in the hydraulic conductance of maize roots. *Plant Physiology* **137**, 341–353.

Chaumont F, Barrieu F, Wojcik E, Chrispeels MJ, Jung R. 2001. Aquaporins constitute a large and highly divergent protein family in maize. *Plant Physiology* **125,** 1206–1215.

Cochard H, Nardini A, Coll L. 2004. Hydraulic architecture of leaf blades: where is the main resistance? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **27**, 1257–1267.

Cochard H, Venisse J-S, Barigah TS, Brunel N, Herbette S, Guilliot A, Tyree MT, Sakr S. 2007. Putative role of aquaporins in variable hydraulic conductance of leaves in response to light. *Plant Physiology* **143**, 122–133.

Dietz KJ. 2008. Redox signal integration: from stimulus to networks and genes. *Physiologia Plantarum* **133**, 459–468.

Felle HH, Hanstein S. 2007. Probing apoplastic ion relations in *Vicia faba* as influenced by nutrition and gas exchange. In: Sattelmacher B, Horst WJ, eds. *The apoplast of higher plants: compartment of*

storage, transport and reactions. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 295–306.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2000. Tansley Review No. 112. Oxygen processing in photosynthesis: regulation and signalling. *New Phytologist* **146,** 359–388.

Fryer MJ, Oxborough K, Mullineaux PM, Baker NR. 2002. Imaging of photo-oxidative stress responses in leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53,** 1249–1254.

Gukasyan HJ, Lee VHL, Kim KJ, Kannan R. 2002. Net glutathione secretion across primary cultured rabbit conjunctival epithelial cell layers. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* **43**, 1154–1161.

Henzler T, Steudle E. 1995. Reversible closing of water channels in *Chara* internodes provides evidence for a composite transport model of the plasma membrane. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **46**, 199–209.

Henzler T, Ye Q, Steudle E. 2004. Oxidative gating of water channels (aquaporins) in *Chara* by hydroxyl radicals. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 27, 1184–1195.

Jiang MY, Zhang JH. 2001. Effect of abscisic acid on active oxygen species, antioxidative defence system and oxidative damage in leaves of maize seedlings. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **42**, 1265–1273.

Kim YX, Steudle E. 2007. Light and turgor affect the water permeability (aquaporins) of parenchyma cells in the midrib of leaves of *Zea mays. Journal of Experimental Botany* **58,** 4119–4129.

Lee SH, Chung GC, Steudle E. 2005. Low temperature and mechanical stresses differently gate aquaporins of root cortical cells of chilling-sensitive cucumber and -resistant figleaf gourd. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **28**, 1191–1202.

Lee SH, Zwiazek JJ, Chung GC. 2008. Light-induced transpiration alters cell water relations in figleaf gourd (*Cucurbita ficifolia*) seedlings exposed to low root temperatures. *Physiologia Plantarum* **133**, 354–362.

Lo Gullo MA, Nardini A, Trifilo P, Salleo S. 2005. Diurnal and seasonal variations in leaf hydraulic conductance in evergreen and deciduous trees. *Tree Physiology* **25**, 505–512.

Maurel C, Chrispeels MJ. 2001. Aquaporins. A molecular entry into plant water relations. *Plant Physiology* **125**, 135–138.

Nardini A, Salleo S, Andri S. 2005. Circadian regulation of leaf hydraulic conductance in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L. cv Margot). *Plant, Cell and Environment* **28**, 750–759.

Nobel PS. 1999. *Physicochemical and environmental plant physiol*ogy. San Diego: Academic Press.

Noctor G, Gomez L, Vanacker H, Foyer CH. 2002. Interactions between biosynthesis, compartmentation and transport in the control of glutathione homeostasis and signalling. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**, 1283–1304.

Pastori G, Foyer CH. 2002. Common components, networks, and pathways of cross-tolerance to stress: the central role of 'redox' and abscissic acid mediated controls. *Plant Physiology* **129**, 460–468.

Sack L, Holbrook NM. 2006. Leaf hydraulics. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 57, 361–381.

Sack L, Melcher PJ, Zwieniecki MA, Holbrook NM. 2002. The hydraulic conductance of the angiosperm leaf lamina: a comparison of

556 | Kim and Steudle

three measurement methods. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**, 2177–2184.

Sack L, Tyree MT, Holbrook NM. 2005. Leaf hydraulic architecture correlates with regeneration irradiance in tropical rainforest trees. *New Phytologist* **167**, 403–413.

Steudle E. 1993. Pressure probe techniques: basic principles and application to studies of water and solute relations at the cell, tissue and organ level. In: Smith JAC, Griffiths H, eds. *Water deficits: plant responses from cell to community*. Oxford: BIOS Scientific Publishers, 5–36.

Steudle E. 2000. Water uptake by roots: effects of water deficit. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **51**, 1531–1542.

Tournaire-Roux C, Sutka M, Javot H, Gout E, Gerbeau P, Luu DT, Bligny R, Maurel C. 2003. Cytosolic pH regulates root water transport during anoxic stress through gating of aquaporins. *Nature* **425**, 393–397.

Tyree MT, Nardini A, Salleo S, Sack L, Omari BE. 2005. The dependence of leaf hydraulic conductance on irradiance during HPFM

measurements: any role for stomatal response? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56**, 737–744.

Wan XC, Steudle E, Hartung W. 2004. Gating of water channels (aquaporins) in cortical cells of young corn roots by mechanical stimuli (pressure pulses): effects of ABA and of HgCl₂. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**, 411–422.

Wei C, Tyree MT, Steudle E. 1999. Direct measurement of xylem pressure in leaves of intact maize plants. A test of the cohesion–tension theory taking hydraulic architecture into consideration. *Plant Physiology* **121**, 1191–1205.

Westgate ME, Steudle E. 1985. Water transport in the midrib tissue of maize leaves. *Plant Physiology* **78**, 183–191.

Xiong LM, Schumaker KS, Zhu JK. 2002. Cell signaling during cold, drought and salt stress. *Plant Cell* **14(suppl.)**, S165–S183.

Ye Q, Steudle E. 2006. Oxidative gating of water channels (aquaporins) in corn roots. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **29,** 459–470.

Zwieniecki MA, Melcher PJ, Holbrook NM. 2001. Hydrogel control of xylem hydraulic resistance in plants. *Science* **291**, 1059–1062.