CASE REPORT



World J Gastroenterol 2009 February 21; 15(7): 882-884 World Journal of Gastroenterology ISSN 1007-9327 © 2009 The WJG Press and Baishideng. All rights reserved.

A rare case of duodenal duplication treated surgically

Mehmet Ali Uzun, Neset Koksal, Munire Kayahan, Atilla Celik, Gamze Kılıcoglu, Selvinaz Ozkara

Mehmet Ali Uzun, Neset Koksal, Munire Kayahan, Atilla Celik, 2nd Department of General Surgery, Haydarpasa Numune Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul 34783, Turkey Gamze Kılıcoglu, Department of Radiology, Haydarpasa Numune Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul 34783, Turkey Selvinaz Ozkara, Department of Pathology, Haydarpasa Numune Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul 34783, Turkey Author contributions: Uzun MA, Koksal N performed the operation; Kılıcoglu G performed the radiological detection; Ozkara S performed the pathological detection; Uzun MA, Kayahan M and Celik A wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Mehmet Ali Uzun, Hamidiye Mah. Gurbuz Sk. Bolelli Camlik Evleri B Blok D: 12 Cekmekoy, Umraniye, Istanbul 34783, Turkey. mauzun@ttmail.com Telephone: +90-216-6423077 Fax: +90-216-3475144 Received: June 14, 2008 Revised: January 20, 2009 Accepted: January 27, 2009 Published online: February 21, 2009

Abstract

Duodenal duplication, a rare congenital malformation, can also be observed in adulthood. Although it can be cystic or tubular, communicating or noncommunicating, cystic and non-communicating forms are the most common. Several complications, such as obstruction, bleeding, perforation and pancreatitis, may result. Optimal treatment is total excision, although endoscopic procedures have also been described in appropriate cases. If total excision is not possible, subtotal excision and internal derivation can be performed. The 38-year-old woman presented here had occasional attacks of abdominal pain and obstruction, and we considered the diagnosis of duodenal duplication by abdominal computerized tomography. As we confirmed the diagnosis with operative findings and histopathological signs, we treated her with subtotal excision and intraduodenal cystoduodenostomy.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2009 The WJG Press and Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Duodenum; Duplication; Subtotal excision; Intraduodenal cystoduodenostomy

Peer reviewer: Ibrahim A Al Mofleh, Professor, Deaprtment of Medicine, College of Medicine, King Saud University, PO Box 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia

Uzun MA, Koksal N, Kayahan M, Celik A, Kılıcoglu G, Ozkara S. A rare case of duodenal duplication treated surgically. *World*

J Gastroenterol 2009; 15(7): 882-884 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/15/882.asp DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.15.882

INTRODUCTION

Duplications of the gastrointestinal system are rare congenital malformations observed in one out of 25 000 deliveries. About 33% of the cases are reported in adults above 20 years of age. Duodenal duplication constitutes 5%-7% of all gastrointestinal duplications. Its etiology is as yet unknown. Treatment is mainly surgical and total excision, if possible, is the procedure of choice. However, in some cases, alternative procedures, such as subtotal removal or digestive derivation, are required because of extensive size or location^[11]. Here, we present a rare case of duodenal duplication in which the treatment was subtotal excision with intraduodenal cystoduodenostomy.

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old woman who had occasional abdominal pain was referred to us with a clinical diagnosis of gastric outlet obstruction. The epigastrium was mildly sensitive on physical examination. Laboratory findings were normal but abdominal ultrasonography (US) showed gastric distension. Initial endoscopy was useless because of remnants of food. After nasogastric decompression, we repeated endoscopy but found no abnormalities. Upon abdominal computerized tomography (CT), a cystic lesion of 5 cm \times 8 cm \times 9 cm in diameter was observed, which extended along the lateral wall of the first and second parts of the duodenum. Remnants of food and orally taken contrast media were found within the lesion, and we observed the nasogastric tube entering the lesion through a defect between the duodenum and the cyst (Figure 1). We operated on the patient and found a cystic dilatation, 10 cm \times 12 cm in diameter, anterolateral to the first and second parts of the duodenum (Figure 2A). We performed cystotomy and observed it make contact with the normally located duodenum at the posteromedial side of the cyst, through a defect of 2 cm \times 2 cm in diameter. The wall between the duodenum and the cyst beneath the opening was covered with mucosa on both sides (Figure 2B). Thus, the diagnosis was cystic and communicating duodenal duplication. The wall between



Figure 1 Oral and intravenous contrast-enhanced abdominal CT imaging. The nasogastric tube was extended into the cyst, which was filled with oral contrast medium, through the defect between the duodenum and the cyst.



Figure 2 Operative view of cyst. A: Duodenal duplication cyst; B: Inner surface of the cyst.

the cyst and duodenum was excised and the corners were sutured to form a cystoduodenostomy. The cystic wall is excised next to the pylorus above, duodenum laterally, pancreas medially and the third part of duodenum below, while the remnant is sutured primarily. The diagnosis was confirmed histopathologically by identifying a separate mucosa with its own muscularis mucosa on both sides of the wall between the cyst and duodenum and intervening connective tissue fibers (Figure 3). The patient was without complaint after 9 mo follow-up.

DISCUSSION

Duplications of the gastrointestinal system can be observed anywhere along the alimentary tract, and they



Figure 3 Common wall containing double mucosa with muscularis mucosa on each side and intervening connective tissue fibers (Hematoxylin and eosin, x 10).

are located most often in the ileum and least often in the duodenum. Duodenal duplications can be cystic or tubular, communicating or non-communicating, but the most common type is cystic and non-communicating. These are generally located at the medial border of the first and second parts of the duodenum and extend to the anterior or posterior side^[1-3]. Duodenal duplication observed in our case was cystic and located in the first and second parts of the duodenum, but it was of the communicating type and located on the antimesenteric side.

A variety of clinical manifestations have been reported that are determined by the type, site and size of the duplication. Generally, patients present with a palpable mass in the abdomen, signs of intestinal obstruction, or abdominal pain. Bleeding or perforation caused by peptic ulcer and jaundice, and pancreatitis caused by biliary obstruction may also be the manifestations^[1-6]. In the current case, occasional attacks of abdominal pain and gastrointestinal obstruction were present. Obstruction in non-communicating cystic duplications is defined by compression of the duplication cyst, which is distended by intracystic secretions^[1]. However, in our case, which was of the communicating type, it can be described by compression of the cyst, which was filled with the gastric contents but not drained.

Although radiological methods are helpful for diagnosis, preoperative diagnosis of duodenal duplication is rarely made accurately. In barium studies, in noncommunicating cysts, the first and the second parts of the duodenum can be seen as compressed and displaced by a mass, whereas, in the communicating type, the cyst itself can be observed as being filled with barium^[2,7]. In</sup> the current case, if barium studies had been performed, the communication between the duplication and duodenum would have been demonstrated better. Duodenal duplication is differentiated from other cystic lesions by the "gut signature" of its wall observed by abdominal or endoscopic US. Gut signature refers to the layered pattern of the wall, with the hyperechoic inner layer representing the submucosa and the hypoechoic outer layer representing the smooth muscle^[8-10]. Peristalsis

883

of the cyst wall noted upon real-time US is strongly suggestive of a duplication cyst^[11]. US is an operatordependent method and unfortunately it was not helpful in the diagnosis of our case. CT is valuable in identifying the type, location and the size of the duplication cyst. In the differential diagnosis of duodenal duplication, one should be mindful of choledochocele, pancreatic pseudocyst and intraluminal diverticulum^[12]. In our case, the location of the cystic lesion and CT images made us think of cystic, communicating duodenal duplication. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and gastroduodenoscopy are the other modalities that can be used for diagnosis, CT images were sufficient and MRI was not required in our case. Our not having a diagnostic sign upon endoscopy might have been caused by the fact that the endoscope we used was without lateral vision.

In spite of the diagnostic workup performed before the operation, accurate diagnosis of duodenal duplication is by histological examination. According to the analysis made by Merrot *et al*¹¹, two types of intraor juxta-duodenal duplications occur: (1) a common wall formed by two separate mucosae with their own muscularis mucosa and a layer of intervening connective tissue; and (2) a common wall that comprises two mucosal layers with two smooth muscle layers, but that also contains biliary and pancreatic ducts. In our case, histological diagnosis of intraduodenal duplication was made by observation of the mucosa on both sides, each with its own muscularis mucosa, and connective tissue fibers between the two layers.

Management of duodenal duplications depends on the volume, type, location and proximity to the duodenal wall, pancreas or biliary ducts. If there is no communication between the mass and the biliary or pancreatic ducts, and if the vasculature allows, total resection is the procedure of choice. However, if it is not possible, partial resection or internal derivation must be carried out. In partial resection, all of the cyst wall is removed wherever possible, while the area of maximum adherence to the duodenum is preserved^[1,13-15]. In our case, duplication was communicating and preservation of duodenal continuity would have been impossible if total resection had been performed. Thus, we performed partial resection with maximal removal of the cystic wall, which allowed secure closure. As the diameter of the communication was not efficient for adequate drainage of the cyst, the common wall between the cyst and the duodenum was excised and the corners were sutured to form a large cystoduodenostomy. This procedure is known as intraduodenally performed internal derivation, and it forms the basis of the endoscopic treatment of duodenal duplication^[16].

In conclusion, duodenal duplication should be

considered in the differential diagnosis of a patient who presents with abdominal symptoms when cystic structures neighboring the duodenum are demonstrated by radiology. Ideal treatment is total excision but, if not possible, subtotal excision and/or internal derivation should be performed.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Merrot T**, Anastasescu R, Pankevych T, Tercier S, Garcia S, Alessandrini P, Guys JM. Duodenal duplications. Clinical characteristics, embryological hypotheses, histological findings, treatment. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2006; **16**: 18-23
- 2 **Macpherson RI**. Gastrointestinal tract duplications: clinical, pathologic, etiologic, and radiologic considerations. *Radiographics* 1993; **13**: 1063-1080
- 3 **Bower RJ**, Sieber WK, Kiesewetter WB. Alimentary tract duplications in children. *Ann Surg* 1978; **188**: 669-674
- 4 Guarise A, Faccioli N, Ferrari M, Romano L, Parisi A, Falconi M. Duodenal duplication cyst causing severe pancreatitis: imaging findings and pathological correlation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; **12**: 1630-1633
- 5 Tanaka S, Goubaru M, Ohnishi A, Takahashi H, Takayama H, Nagahara T, Iwamuro M, Horiguchi S, Ohta T, Murakami I. Duodenal duplication cyst of the ampulla of Vater. *Intern Med* 2007; 46: 1979-1982
- 6 **Tang SJ**, Raman S, Reber HA, Bedford R, Roth BE. Duodenal duplication cyst. *Endoscopy* 2002; **34**: 1028-1029
- 7 al-Salem AH, Khwaja MS. Three faces of midgut duplication. Int Surg 1990; 75: 47-49
- 8 Ozel A, Uysal E, Tufaner O, Erturk SM, Yalcin M, Basak M. Duodenal duplication cyst: a rare cause of acute pancreatitis in children. J Clin Ultrasound 2008; 36: 584-586
- 9 Procacci C, Portuese A, Fugazzola C, Pederzoli P, Caudana R, Gallo E, Bergamo Andreis IA, Spiller M, Zonta L, Graziani R. Duodenal duplication in the adult: its relationship with pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Radiol* 1988; 13: 315-322
- 10 Barr LL, Hayden CK Jr, Stansberry SD, Swischuk LE. Enteric duplication cysts in children: are their ultrasonographic wall characteristics diagnostic? *Pediatr Radiol* 1990; 20: 326-328
- Spottswood SE. Peristalsis in duplication cyst: a new diagnostic sonographic finding. *Pediatr Radiol* 1994; 24: 344-345
- 12 Zissin R, Osadchy A, Gayer G, Shapiro-Feinberg M. Pictorial review. CT of duodenal pathology. *Br J Radiol* 2002; 75: 78-84
- 13 Ildstad ST, Tollerud DJ, Weiss RG, Ryan DP, McGowan MA, Martin LW. Duplications of the alimentary tract. Clinical characteristics, preferred treatment, and associated malformations. *Ann Surg* 1988; 208: 184-189
- 14 Taft DA, Hairston JT. Duplication of the alimentary tract. Am Surg 1976; 42: 455-462
- Frering V, Velecela E, Fouque P, Champetier P, Partensky C. [Upper digestive duplications in adults] *Ann Chir* 1995; 49: 928-935
- 16 **Antaki F**, Tringali A, Deprez P, Kwan V, Costamagna G, Le Moine O, Delhaye M, Cremer M, Deviere J. A case series of symptomatic intraluminal duodenal duplication cysts: presentation, endoscopic therapy, and long-term outcome (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; **67**: 163-168

S- Editor Cheng JX L- Editor Kerr C E- Editor Lin YP