

Research article

Open Access

## Chromosomal evidence for a putative cryptic species in the *Gymnotus carapo* species-complex (Gymnotiformes, Gymnotidae)

Susana SR Milhomem<sup>2</sup>, Julio C Pieczarka<sup>1</sup>, William GR Crampton<sup>3</sup>, Danillo S Silva<sup>4</sup>, Augusto CP De Souza<sup>5</sup>, Jaime R Carvalho Jr<sup>6</sup> and Cleusa Y Nagamachi\*<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal do Pará, Departamento de Genética, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>Universidade Federal do Pará, Departamento de Genética, Brazil, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, 32816-2368, USA, <sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal do Pará, Departamento de Genética, Brazil, <sup>5</sup>Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica – CEFET, Brazil and <sup>6</sup>Universidade Federal do Pará, Departamento de Genética, Brazil

Email: Susana SR Milhomem - susanamilhomem@yahoo.com.br; Julio C Pieczarka - juliopieczarka@pesquisador.cnpq.br; William GR Crampton - crampton@mail.ucf.edu; Danillo S Silva - dssufpa@yahoo.com.br; Augusto CP De Souza - augustoacari@yahoo.com.br; Jaime R Carvalho - jaimejr@ufpa.br; Cleusa Y Nagamachi\* - cleusanagamachi@pq.cnpq.br

\* Corresponding author

Published: 25 November 2008

Received: 31 May 2008

BMC Genetics 2008, 9:75 doi:10.1186/1471-2156-9-75

Accepted: 25 November 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2156/9/75>

© 2008 Milhomem et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

**Background:** In this study we examined the karyotypes of morphologically indistinguishable populations of the electric knifefish *Gymnotus carapo sensu stricto* from the Eastern Amazon of Brazil. These were identified unambiguously on the basis of external morphology, meristics, and pigmentation.

**Results:** Specimens from one of five localities exhibited a karyotype previously not documented for *Gymnotus* species in the Amazon basin:  $2n = 40$  (34M/SM+6ST/A). Samples from the other four localities exhibited a different karyotype:  $2n = 42$  (30M/SM+12ST/A), which we had previously described. Specimens from all five localities presented constitutive heterochromatin in the centromeric region of almost all chromosomes, including in the distal and interstitial regions. Staining with 4'6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole revealed C-positive banding. In both karyotypes the Nucleolar Organizer Region (NOR) was located on the short arm of pair 20, and Chromomycin A<sub>3</sub> stained the NORs. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization with telomeric probes showed an Interstitial Telomeric Sequence (ITS) in the proximal short arm of a metacentric pair in the  $2n = 40$  karyotype.

**Conclusion:** The difference between the two karyotypes on the diploid number and chromosome morphology can be explained by rearrangements of the fusion-fission type and also by pericentric inversions. The presence of ITS in a metacentric pair of the  $2n = 40$  karyotype suggests that the difference in the diploid number of the karyotypes results from a fusion. The consistent  $2n = 42$  karyotype at four localities suggests an interbreeding population. However, because fusion-fission and pericentric inversions of this nature typically result in reproductive isolation, we speculate that the form with the  $2n = 40$  karyotype is a different species to that of the  $2n = 42$  form. Nonetheless, we did not observe evident differences in external morphology, meristics and pigmentation between the two forms, which suggest that they represent cryptic sympatric species in the *G. carapo* species complex. We speculate that the chromosomal speciation occurred recently, allowing insufficient time for the fixation of other differences following post-zygotic isolation.

## Background

*Gymnotus* (Gymnotiformes, Gymnotidae) is the most diverse known Neotropical electric knife fish genus. It currently holds 33 valid described species and many additional undescribed species are known from museum collections [1-4]. *Gymnotus* has the ability to generate a pulsed electrostatic field from a specialized electric organ and detect electrostatic fields with electroreceptors. These Electric Organ Discharges (EODs) permit electrolocation, the detection of objects within the electrostatic field, and also electrocommunication (review in [5]; [3]).

The diploid number of chromosomes in *Gymnotus* has been documented to vary from  $2n = 39-40$  (with sex chromosomes of the type  $X_1X_2Y$ ) to  $2n = 54$ , exhibiting variation in the karyotype formula, the quantity of heterochromatin, and the position of the Nucleolar Organization Region (NOR) [6-9].

The species *Gymnotus carapo* (L.) *sensu stricto*, as currently defined [10], occurs over large areas of northern South America: in the Amazon and Orinoco basins, the coastal drainages of the Guyanas, and some coastal basins of Northeastern Brazil. The Linnaean syntypes of *G. carapo* were collected in the first half of the 18<sup>th</sup> Century near Paramaribo, Surinam [10].

A complex of additional morphologically similar or cryptic species that closely resemble *G. carapo sensu stricto* are known to extend as far south as Northern Argentina (Albert, Crampton, pers. obs). Likewise, some populations within the currently defined range of *G. carapo sensu stricto* may also prove to be distinct species within this species-complex. This *G. carapo* species-complex is not to be confused with the *G. carapo* species-group, which currently comprises 18 well-defined species in which there are two (vs. one) laterosensory pores in the dorsoposterior portion of the preopercle (and which includes *G. carapo sensu stricto*). *G. carapo sensu stricto* can be distinguished from all other members of the *G. carapo* species-group by a combination of characters that are listed in the description of the species [10]. It has a distinct color pattern comprising 16-27 dark obliquely oriented pigment bands or band-pairs, with irregular wavy margins, often broken into spots above the lateral line. This distinct pigmentation pattern is shared only with *G. arapaima* and *G. diamantinensis*, from which *G. carapo sensu stricto* can be distinguished on the basis of morphological and meristic characters.

At least four new species from the *G. carapo* species-group are currently being described from southern Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina (Crampton, Albert, Cognato and Richer-de-Forges, in review). However, many taxonomic uncertainties will be resolved only by using a combina-


tion of traditional taxonomy (based on morphology), molecular systematic techniques, cytogenetic analysis, and an examination of the diversity of EODs.

Cytogenetic variation has probably played an important role in the diversification of species in the *G. carapo* species-complex. Previous studies have indicated that forms identified as *G. carapo* exhibit different karyotypes (e.g.  $2n = 48$  in Amazonas,  $2n = 42$  in Pará,  $2n = 54$  and  $2n = 52$  from Southern Brazil) (reviewed in [9]). Whether this diversity is the manifestation of cryptic species diversity in a *G. carapo* species-complex or, alternatively, intraspecific cytogenetic diversity is currently unknown. Nonetheless, this question is of great relevance to our understanding of diversification in the genus. In this contribution, which forms the second in a series on the evolutionary cytogenetics of *Gymnotus*, we describe a novel karyotype in a population of *Gymnotus carapo* from the Eastern Amazon. We speculate that this may have evolved from chromosomal rearrangement of the karyotype of a more common, sympatrically co-occurring form.

## Methods

We performed cytogenetic analysis on 17 specimens of *Gymnotus* from five localities in Pará State, Brazil, in the Eastern Amazon basin (Table 1, Figure 1). Morphological measurements and meristic counts were undertaken from specimens fixed in 10% formaldehyde, and then preserved in 70% ethanol. Morphometric data were taken as point-to-point linear distances from standardized landmarks on the left side of adult specimens using digital calipers to the nearest mm. Protocols for measurements follow those of [10,11] and [12] and abbreviations are given in Table 2. Two additional morphometric measurements were included: Head depth measured vertically at the mid point of the eye and Eye diameter measured horizontally from the anterior to posterior extremities of the orbital margin. Morphological measurements as a proportion of TL were not included for analysis in specimens with damage to the caudal appendage exceeding an estimated 5% of intact TL. Meristic and scale count procedures follow [11], and abbreviations are given in Table 3. We refer to the vertical bars of *Gymnotus* as 'bands', as has become the standard in the literature on this genus. Principal component analysis of morphological and meristic data was undertaken using Statistica 7.1 (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK). The sex of each specimen was determined by dissection under a stereo microscope. Female ovaries contain yellowish eggs, while male testes are smooth and pinkish white.

Metaphase chromosomal preparations were undertaken following the methods described by [13]. In brief: specimens were injected with a 0.025% colchicine solution in the proportion 0.5 ml/100 g body weight. After dissec-



**Figure 1**  
**Map showing the localities of species *Gymnotus* with known cytogenetic formulas.** Data are taken from a review of the cytogenetic literature by Milhomem *et al.* (2007), from Margarido *et al.* (2007) and Lacerda & Maistro (2007), and from the species discussed in this paper. See Table 4 for chromosome numbers of these species, and further locality information. At some localities the coordinates are approximate because detailed information was not available from the respective papers.

**Table 1: Sample localities in the State of Pará, Brazil**

Site	Lot	N	2n	Locality	Darainage	Geographical Coordinates
1	MPEG 13332, 15099	2M	42	Ponta de Pedra	Rio Marajó-Ité	01° 20' 25.4" S, 048° 58' 06.2" W
2	MPEG 13333	1M	42	São Miguel do Guamá	Rio Guamá	01° 32' 09.5" S, 047° 36' 18.7" W
3	MPEG 13331, 15098	4M, 2F	42	Capanema	Lago Segredo and Lago Açaiteua	01° 07' 30" S, 047° 07' 30" W
4	MPEG 13330	1M	42	Benfica	Rio Murini	01° 16' 34.8" S, 048° 20' 17.0" W
5	MPEG 13329, 15100	1M, 6F	40	Almeirim	Rio Amazonas	01° 31' 34.2" S, 052° 33' 37.9" W

N = number of specimens submitted to cytogenetic analysis; F = Female, M = Male.

**Table 2: Morphometric data for adult specimens of two distinct karyotypic forms belonging to the *Gymnotus carapo* species complex from the Eastern Amazon (EA) (*G. carapo* 2n = 42 and *G. carapo* 2n = 40).**

	<i>G. carapo</i> 2n = 42		<i>G. carapo</i> 2n = 40		<i>G. carapo</i> EA	
	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
TL	160–365 (9)	-	240–300 (7)	-	165–253 (16)	-
HL	29.0–42.8 (9)	-	29.56–38.7 (7)	-	21.0–34.5 (16)	-
HL %*	11.7–12.7 (4)	12.3	12.1–12.8 (3)	12.4	11.7–13.6 (15)	12.7
PR %	34.0–38.5 (9)	35.6	32.6–38.5 (7)	35.6	32.9–35.7 (16)	34.2
MW %	39.7–47.5 (9)	43.8	40.6–46.5 (7)	42.9	41.0–46.1 (16)	43.7
PO %	58.7–63.4 (9)	61.2	60.3–62.5 (7)	61.5	60.0–65.5 (16)	62.3
IO %	33.7–40.2 (9)	37.4	34.5–38.9 (7)	36.8	34.4–40.6 (16)	37.1
BD %*	11.0–14.3 (4)	12.4	13.5–14.3 (3)	13.8	8.7–12.4 (16)	10.1
BW %*	52.3–77.1 (4)	65.2	56.5–67.9 (3)	63.0	6.2–8.6 (16)	7.2
BW/BD	0.59–0.73 (9)	0.65	0.55–0.61 (7)	0.58	0.67–0.76 (16)	0.72
HD %	55.3–64.8 (9)	60.0	58.6–63.2 (7)	60.5	50.8–60.9 (16)	55.2
HD2 %	36.0–40.6 (9)	38.4	37.4–42.3 (7)	40.7	NA	NA
HW %	56.2–66.8 (9)	60.7	54.2–59.7 (7)	58.0	53.3–64.4 (16)	56.3
PA %	63.0–88.2 (9)	78.8	64.2–89.5 (7)	75.6	76.5–99.2 (16)	89.0
BO%	31.8–47.3 (9)	39.3	34.2–46.4 (7)	41.2	34.7–38.1 (16)	36.5
PI %	44.4–50.1 (9)	47.8	46.0–58.6 (7)	51.8	42.7–49.1 (16)	45.7
AF %*	81.1–81.9 (4)	81.4	80.0–80.7 (3)	80.5	69.4–86.6 (16)	75.9
ED %	7.0–10.2 (9)	8.4	8.0–9.1 (7)	8.5	NA	NA

For reference we include data for EA populations of *G. carapo* taken from [10].

Abbreviations: TL: total length; HL: head length; PR: preorbital length; MW: mouth width; PO: postorbital length; IO: interorbital distance; BD: body depth; BW: body width; HD: head depth at nape; HD2: head depth at middle of eye; HW: head width; PA: preanal distance; BO: branchial opening; PI: pectoral-fin length; AF: anal fin base length; ED: eye diameter. TL and HL expressed in mm. Percentage measurements in HL or: if marked with an asterisk: in TL. BW/BD expressed as a ratio. N values (in parentheses) vary because measurements were excluded from specimens with damage or unusual preservation artifacts. NA = not available.

tion, the kidney cells were suspended in 0.075 M KCl and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The hypotonically treated cell suspension was then centrifuged and the pellet was re-suspended in fixative (3:1 methanol: acetic acid solution) and centrifuged twice. The final pellet was suspended in fresh fixative and dropped onto warmed slides. The slides were analyzed after conventional Giemsa staining, C-banding [14], silver staining of NORs [15], CMA3 staining [16], DAPI staining [17], and Fluorescent in situ Hybridization (FISH) with telomeric probes (All Telomere Probes, Oncor). Chromosomes were morphologically classified according to [18]. Fish specimens for which cytogenetic analysis was conducted were vouchered at the

Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi (MPEG) and the lot numbers are listed in Table 1.

## Results

The examined specimens measured between 150 and 350 mm total length and weighed from 10 to 160 g. All had fully developed gonads and were easily sexed.

Around 20 metaphase plates for each individual specimen were examined using the procedures described above. We found two distinct karyotypes. Photographs of specimens with the two karyotypes described here are illustrated in Figure 2. At sites 1–4 (Table 1, Figure 1) all specimens pre-

**Table 3: Meristic data for adult specimens of two distinct karyotypic forms belonging to the *Gymnotus carapo* species complex from the Eastern Amazon (EA) (*G. carapo* 2n = 42 and *G. carapo* 2n = 40).**

	<i>G. carapo</i> 2n = 42		<i>G. carapo</i> 2n = 40		<i>G. carapo</i> EA	
	Range	Median* Mode**	Range	Median* Mode**	Range	Median* Mode**
BND	19–27 (9)	22*	14–21 (7)	18.5*	21–25 (16)	23*
AFR	170–220 (3)	196.7*	190–225 (2)	202.5*	190–235 (10)	222*
PIR	13–16 (9)	14**	15–17 (7)	15**	13–15 (16)	14**
SAL	6–8 (9)	7**	6–8 (7)	7**	6–7 (16)	7**
CEP	3–4 (3)	3**	3–4 (3)	3**	3–4 (16)	3**
APS	7–9 (9)	9**	8–10 (7)	9**	7–8 (7)	8**
PCV	33 (1)	-	34 (1)	-	32–35 (9)	33
PLR	43–51 (9)	47*	40–48 (7)	45*	41–54 (15)	48*
PLL	87–104 (4)	93.5*	84–89 (3)	84	68–110 (15)	77*
VLR	7–9 (9)	9**	8–10 (7)	9**	0–8 (10)	4**

For reference we include data for EA populations of *G. carapo* taken from [10].

Abbreviations: BND: vertical bands; AFR: anal-fin rays; PIR: pectoral-fin rays; SAL: scale rows above lateral line; CEP: caudal electrocyte rows; APS: scale rows over anal-fin pterygiophores; PCV: pre-caudal vertebrae; PLR: pored lateral line scales to first ventral ramus of lateral line; PLL: total pored lateral line scales; VLR: ventral rami of lateral line. N values (in parentheses) vary because measurements were excluded from specimens with damage or unusual preservation artifacts. The mode and median are used as measures of central tendency following protocol for comparative studies of *Gymnotus*.

sented a karyotype with a 2n (diploid number) of 42, and FN (Fundamental Number) of 72, of which 30 chromosomes were metacentric/submetacentric, and 12 subtelocentric/acrocentric (Figure 3a). At site 5 (Almeirim, Table 1, Figure 1) all specimens presented a karyotype with 2n = 40 and FN = 74, of which 34 chromosomes were metacentric/submetacentric, and 6 subtelocentric/acrocentric (Figure 4a).

In both karyotypes the NOR is heteromorphic and is found in the short arm of pair 20. The 2n = 40 karyotype has an additional heterochromatin in the distal position of this pair (Figures 3a and 4a).


The C-banding in the 2n = 42 karyotype shows that the constitutive heterochromatin (CH) exhibits a centromeric location in all the chromosomes and interstitial regions on the short arms of chromosome 6 (Figure 3b). The C-banding in the 2n = 40 karyotype shows that the CH exhibits a centromeric location on almost all the chromosomes (with the exception of pairs 13, 14 and 17) and interstitial regions on the short arms of chromosome 9 (Figure 4b). One of the NOR-bearing chromosomes also possesses a block on the distal region of the short arm.

In both the 2n = 40 and 2n = 42 karyotypes, the CMA<sub>3</sub> (Figures 3d and 4d) indicates the presence of a region rich in Guanine-Cytosine (G-C) base pairs coincident with the location of the NOR. The DAPI banding (Figures 3c and 4c) is coincident with the C-band. This demonstrates that the CH of these *Gymnotus* is rich in Adenine-Thymine (A-T) base pairs. FISH with telomeric probes hybridized all the telomeres. Additionally, in the 2n = 40 karyotype, there is an Interstitial Telomeric Sequence (ITS) in a metacentric pair on the short arm, close to the centromere (Figure 5).

We observed no consistent differences in pigmentation or banding pattern between the animals with the two karyotypes described above (Figure 2). All exhibited the double bands with wavy indistinct margins characteristic of *G. carapo sensu stricto* populations from the Eastern Amazon (Figure 2) (see [10], p. 10). Morphometric and meristic analysis of the two karyotypic forms indicated overlap for every measured parameter (Tables 2, 3). Principal component analysis of morphological and meristic analysis also failed to recover generalized differences between the two forms (Figure 6). Morphological measurements and meristic counts for each karyotypic form fell within the ranges




**Figure 2**  
**Specimens of:** A. *Gymnotus carapo* from Capanema, Pará, Brazil ( $2n = 42$ ) (MPEG I3331); B. *Gymnotus carapo* from Almeirim, Pará, Brazil ( $2n = 40$ ) (MPEG I3329). Scale bar = 10 mm. Specimen A was photographed fresh, while specimen B was frozen and photographed after defrosting. This partially explains the color differences.




**Figure 3**  
**Karyotype of *Gymnotus carapo* from Benfica, Pará, Brazil, with a diploid number of  $2n = 42$ :** A. Conventional Giemsa stained karyotype with the NOR pair (20); B. Sequenced C-banding; C. DAPI stained karyotype, the arrows indicate distal and interstitial markings; D.  $CMA_3$  stained metaphase (the arrows indicate the NOR pair). M-SM = Metacentric – Submetacentric; ST-A = Subtelocentric – Acrocentric.






**Figure 4**  
**Karyotype of *Gymnotus carapo* from Almeirim, Pará, Brazil, with a diploid number of  $2n = 40$ :** A. Conventional Giemsa stained karyotype with the NOR pair (20); B. Sequenced C-banding; C. DAPI stained karyotype, the arrows indicate interstitial markings; D.  $CMA_3$  stained metaphase (the arrows indicate the NOR pair). M-SM = Metacentric – Submetacentric; ST-A = Subtelocentric – Acrocentric.



**Figure 5**  
**Telomeric FISH from:** A. *Gymnotus carapo* from Benfica ( $2n = 42$ ); B. *Gymnotus carapo* from Almeirim ( $2n = 40$ ). The arrows indicate interstitial marking.



**Figure 6**  
**Principal component scores from a correlation matrix of morphological and meristic data for two karyotypic forms of the *Gymnotus carapo* species complex from the Eastern Amazon.** The first three principal components represent 39.9%, 67.7%, and 90.1% of cumulative variance respectively. In all combinations of these four axes the two karyotypes exhibited substantial overlap. The following meristic counts were eliminated from this analysis due to a lack of variance: PIR, BAN, SAL, APS, CEP (see Table 2 for abbreviations).

published for Eastern Amazonian populations of *Gymnotus carapo* by [10], pp. 10–19 (Tables 2, 3). Morphological and meristic characters overlapped between the two forms. Finally, observation of the osteology of cleared and stained specimens did not reveal any obvious differences in the structure or organization of bony or cartilaginous elements. In sum, we found no evidence of morphological differentiation between the  $2n = 40$  and  $2n = 42$  karyotypic forms.

## Discussion

The karyotype with the diploid number of  $2n = 42$  (30M/SM+12ST/A) found in *G. carapo* from localities 1–4 (Table 1) is the same as that previously characterized from Santa Cruz do Arari, Ilha do Marajó [9]. An almost identical karyotype,  $2n = 42$  (32M/SM+10ST/A), was also described [6] from *G. carapo* collected near Belém, Pará. The difference in morphology of one chromosomal pair may be attributed to a pericentric inversion. Alternatively, the other authors [6] may have interpreted the morphological classification of the pair differently, perhaps due to different degrees of condensation of the metaphase chromosomes. In either case, the data suggest that there is one common form of *G. carapo* with a homogenous  $2n = 42$  karyotype in the Eastern Amazon region of Belém and Marajó Island.

The  $2n = 40$  karyotype (28M/SM+12ST/A) from Almeirim (locality 5, Table 1) has not previously been documented from *Gymnotus* of the Amazon basin. Nonetheless, this diploid number has previously been documented for other species from more southerly regions of South America, but with variation in the karyotypic formula. *G. sylvius* (38M/SM+2ST/A [19]; 30M/SM+10ST/A, [20,21]; 36M/SM+4ST/A [22,23]; *Gymnotus* sp (14M/SM+26ST/A [7,8]) and *G. pantanal* (14M/SM+26ST/A [24]; 14M/SM+26ST/A in females and 15M/SM+24ST/A in males, with a multiple sex chromosome system  $X_1X_1X_2X_2/X_1X_2Y$  [22]). The disparate phylogenetic positions of *G. sylvius*, *G. pantanal* and *G. carapo* *sensu stricto* hypothesized by [1] indicates that the diploid number of  $2n = 40$  is homoplastic.

The location of NOR in both the  $2n = 42$  and  $2n = 40$  karyotypes were detected in the short arm of a unique pair of chromosomes, resembling the condition for karyotypes previously described in other species of *Gymnotus* [6,8,9,19,22,25,26].

The banding with fluorescent stains CMA<sub>3</sub> and DAPI corroborates results previously obtained in other species of Neotropical freshwater fishes, where CMA<sub>3</sub> preferentially stains the NOR, and where DAPI exhibits a banding model similar to that of C-banding [27].

The occurrence and apparent abundance of the same  $2n = 42$  form of *G. carapo* at multiple localities around the mouth of the Amazon: Belém [6], Santa Cruz do Arari [9] and localities 1–4 (Table 1, Figure 1), and the absence of substantial morphological variation between or within these samples suggest that they together constitute an interbreeding population of a single species. This same situation has been reported by [19] for four species of *Gymnotus* occurring in southeast Brazilian drainages: *G. "carapo"* (in fact an undescribed species that is not *G. carapo*) from twelve localities; *G. inaequilabiatus* (in fact also an undescribed species that is not *G. inaequilabiatus*) from two localities, *G. sylvius* from eight localities, and *G. pantherinus* from four localities. The authors of this study also observed that individuals of the same species always possessed the same karyotype in different localities, for example,  $2n = 54$ , 52M/SM+2ST/A in *G. "carapo"*.

The difference in the diploid number ( $2n = 40$  and  $2n = 42$ ) reported here can be explained by a fusion-fission rearrangement. The presence of an ITS in a metacentric pair in the  $2n = 40$  karyotype (Figure 5b) supports the fusion hypothesis, where two ST/A pairs from the  $2n = 42$  karyotype fused originating a M/SM pair in the  $2n = 40$  karyotype. The morphologic variation in the karyotypic formula ( $2n = 40$ : 34M/SM+6ST/A e  $2n = 42$ : 30M/SM+12ST/A) can be explained also by pericentric inversions. According to [28], multiple pericentric inversions



**Table 4: Localities and diploid number for karyotypes of *Gymnotus* species in Brazil (see also Figure 1).**

Species	Localities and 2n
<i>G. carapo</i>	Miracatu-SP [6], Botucatu-SP [6], Jundiaí-SP [19], Rio Claro-SP [19], Americana-SP [19], Salto Grande-SP [19], Primeiro de Maio-SP [19], Mococa-SP [19], São Simão-SP [19], Santa Maria da Serra-SP [19], Jacareí-SP [19] (2n = 54); Pirassununga-SP [26] (2n = 54 e 2n = 81); Brotas-SP [6], (2n = 52); Humaitá-AM [6] (2n = 48); Belém-PA [6], Benfca-PA <sup>1</sup> , São Miguel do Guamá-PA <sup>1</sup> , Capanema-PA <sup>1</sup> , Ponta de Pedras-PA <sup>1</sup> , Santa Cruz do Arari-PA [9], (2n = 42); Almeirim-PA <sup>1</sup> (2n = 40).
<i>G. sp.</i>	Miracatu-SP [6] (2n = 52), Corrientes, Argentina [7] and Guaira-PR [8], (2n = 39–40 X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub> Y), Alfenas-MG [23], (2n = 50).
<i>G. sylvius</i>	Miracatu-SP [20], São Simão-SP [19,20], Americana-SP [19], Represa de Capivara-SP [19], Sta. Maria da Serra-SP [19], Corumbataí-SP [19], Jacareí-SP [19], Paraibuna-SP [19] (2n = 40), Guaira-PR [22], Alfenas-MG [23], (2n = 40).
<i>G. inaequilabiatus</i>	Rio Claro-SP [19], Represa de Porto Primavera-SP [19] (2n = 52).
<i>G. pantherinus</i>	Paranapiacaba-SP [32], Itanhaém-SP [32], Serra da Juréia-SP [32], (2n = 52).
<i>G. pantanal</i>	Mato Grosso do Sul-MS [24], (2n = 40), Guaira-PR [22], (2n = 39–40 X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub> Y).
<i>G. mamiraua</i>	Sta. Cruz do Arari-PA [9], (2n = 54).
<i>G. paraguensis</i>	Guaira-PR [22]; Alfenas-MG [23], (2n = 54).

Brazilian state abbreviation are: AM = Amazonas; MS = Matogrosso do Sul; PA = Pará; PR = Paraná; SP = São Paulo; N = Sample; NI = Not informed; [6], (N = 16); [26], (N = 17); [19], (N = 166); [9], (N = 5); [7], (N = 20); [8], (2005) (N = 20); [20], (N = NI); [32], (N = NI); [24], (N = NI); [22], (N = 54); [23], 12- Lacerda & Maistro (2007) (N = 27); 1- Present work (N = 17);

are an important post-zygotic reproduction isolation mechanism. The absence of morphologic differentiation suggests that the putative chromosomal speciation event occurred recently and that there was consequently insufficient time for the fixation of phenotypic differences. These considerations lead us to speculate that the Almeirim sample may be a cryptic species that is isolated by post-zygotic reproductive barriers from an extant sister taxon (the 2n = 42 form), and from which it derived by chromosomal rearrangement. This hypothesis is amenable to empirical test with molecular phylogenetic data and by examination of EOD signal variation.

A bibliographical survey of cytogenetic data for taxa assigned to *G. carapo* indicates a large amount of chromosomal variability (Figure 1 and Table 4) through Brazil. For instance a sample from Humaitá, in the Rio Madeira basin of Amazonas State exhibited a diploid number of 2n = 48 [6]. Several samples from the Brazilian state of São Paulo (at Jundiaí, Rio Claro, Americana, Botucatu, Paula Souza, Salto Grande, Primeiro de Maio, Pirassununga, Mococa, São Simão, Santa Maria da Serra and Jacareí) presented diploid numbers varying from 2n = 52 to 54 [6,19,21,26]. As discussed earlier, *G. carapo sensu stricto* [10] and also closely related *G. carapo*-like forms from further south probably comprises a complex of morphologically similar or cryptic species (Crampton and Albert, pers. obs.), with species-level variation in karyotypes. The existence of a cryptic species of *Gymnotus carapo* (2n = 40) from Almeirim, in a region otherwise dominated by a sin-

gle 2n = 42 taxon, is concordant with this emerging notion.

We expect that the wide geographic range, diversity of species, and cytogenetic diversity of the *Gymnotus carapo* species-complex will provide a good testing ground for exploring the role of chromosomal evolution in speciation. A holistic approach involving cytogenetics, phylogenetic systematics, population genetics, and the study of electric communication signals (putative pre-zygotic reproductive isolating barriers, see [29-31]) may allow us to trace individual speciation events (including those separating extant sister species) to documented chromosomal rearrangement events, such as the one we hypothesize here.

### Conclusion

The difference in the diploid number and FN between a 2n = 42 form of *Gymnotus* from the Eastern Amazon, and a morphologically identical form with 2n = 40 can be explained by a fusion/fission and pericentric inversions rearrangements. We speculate that these two forms are cryptic species, isolated from each other by post-zygotic reproductive isolating barriers.

### Authors' contributions

SSRM collected the samples, collaborated in all the cytogenetic procedures, undertook the bibliographic review, and coordinated the writing of this paper. JCP helped conceive the study, and participated in the devel-

opment of laboratory techniques, cytogenetic analyses and writing. WGRC undertook morphological analyses, and wrote the taxonomic sections. DSS participated in collecting, and in the development of laboratory techniques. ACPS and JRC helped with collecting. CYN coordinated the study, helped in the development of laboratory techniques, cytogenetic analyses and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi (MPEG) for vouchering specimens. We thank Geoffrey Shetka for assistance with the morphological measurements (funded by NSF Research Experience for Undergraduates internship, DEB-0724280 to W. Crampton). Funds were supported by CNPq, CAPES, UFPA. W. Crampton received funding from National Science Foundation grant DEB-0614334 (Evolution of Species and Signal Diversity in the Neotropical Electric Fish *Gymnotus*). Collecting was authorized by IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente) permit 020/2005 (IBAMA Registration: 207419).

## References

- Albert JS, Crampton WGR, Thorsen DT, Lovejoy NR: **Phylogenetic systematics and historical biogeography of the Neotropical electric fish *Gymnotus* (Teleostei: Gymnotiformes)**. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 2005, **2**:375-417.
- Albert JS, Crampton WGR: **Diversity and phylogeny of Neotropical electric fishes (Gymnotiformes)**. In *Electroreception* Edited by: Bullock TE, Hopkins CD, Popper AN, Fay FR. New York: Springer; 2005:360-403.
- Crampton WGR, Albert JS: **Evolution of electric signal diversity in Gymnotiform fishes. I. Phylogenetic systematics, ecology and biogeography**. In *Communication in Fishes* Edited by: Ladich F, Collin SP, Moller P, Kapoor BG. Enfield-NH: Science Publishers; 2006:647-696.
- Cognato D, Richer-de-Forges MM, Albert JS, Crampton WGR: ***Gymnotus chimarrao*: a new species of Neotropical electric fish (Gymnotiformes: Gymnotidae) from Southern Brazil**. *Ichthyol Exploration in Freshwaters* 2007, **18**:375-382.
- Caputi AA, Carlson BA, Macadar O: **Electric organs and their control**. In *Electroreception* Edited by: Bullock TE, Hopkins CD, Popper AN, Fay FR. New York: Springer; 2005:410-452.
- Foresti F, Almeida-Toledo LF, Toledo-Filho SA: **Chromosome studies in *Gymnotus carapo* and *Gymnotus* sp. (Pisces, Gymnotidae)**. *Caryologia* 1984, **37**:141-146.
- Sánchez S, Laudicina A, Jorge LC: **A New Report of Multiple Sex Chromosome System in the Order Gymnotiformes (Pisces)**. *Cytologia* 2004, **69**:155-160.
- Silva EB, Margarido VP: **An XIX1X2X2/XIX2Y multiple sex chromosome system in a new species of the genus *Gymnotus* (Pisces, Gymnotiformes)**. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 2005, **73**:293-297.
- Milhomem SSR, Pieczarka JC, Crampton WGR, de Souza ACP, Carvalho JR, Nagamachi CY: **Differences in karyotype between two sympatric species of *Gymnotus* (Gymnotiformes: Gymnotidae) from the Eastern Amazon of Brazil**. *Zootaxa* 2007, **1397**:55-62.
- Albert JS, Crampton WGR: **Seven new species of the Neotropical electric fish *Gymnotus* (Teleostei: Gymnotiformes) with a redescription of *G. carapo* (Linnaeus)**. *Zootaxa* 2003, **28**:1-54.
- Albert JS, Crampton WGR: **Five new species of *Gymnotus* (Gymnotiformes, Teleostei) from an Upper Amazon floodplain, with descriptions of electric organ discharges and ecology**. *Ichthyol Exploration in Freshwaters* 2001, **12**:241-266.
- Crampton WGR, Thorsen DH, Albert JS: **Three new species from a diverse and sympatric assemblage of the electric fish *Gymnotus* (Ostariophysi: Gymnotidae) in the lowland Amazon Basin, with notes on ecology**. *Copeia* 2005, **1**:82-99.
- Bertollo LAC, Takashi CS, Moreira-Filho O: **Citotaxonomic considerations on *Hoplias lacerdae* (Pisces, Erythrinidae)**. *Brazilian Journal of Genetics* 1978, **2**:103-120.
- Sumner AT: **simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin**. *Experimental Cell Research* 1972, **75**:304-306.
- Howell WM, Black DA: **Controlled silver-staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method**. *Experientia* 1980, **36**:1014-1015.
- Schweizer D: **Simultaneous fluorescent staining of R bands and specific heterochromatic regions (DA/DAPI Bands) in human chromosomes**. *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics* 1980, **27**:190-193.
- Pieczarka JC, Nagamachi CY, Souza ACP, Milhomem SSR, Castro RR, Nascimento AL: **An adaptation to DAPI-banding to fishes chromosomes**. *Caryologia* 2006, **59**:43-46.
- Guerra MS: **Reviewing the chromosome nomenclature of Levan et al**. *Brazilian Journal of Genetics* 1986, **9**(4):741-743.
- Fernandes-Matioli FMC, Marchetto MCN, Almeida-Toledo LF, Toledo-Filho SA: **High intraspecific karyological conservation in four species of *Gymnotus* (Pisces: Gymnotiformes) from Southeastern Brazilian basins**. *Caryologia* 1998, **51**:221-234.
- Albert JS, Fernandes-Matioli FMC, Almeida-Toledo LF: **New species of *Gymnotus* (Gymnotiformes-Teleostei) from southeastern Brazil: Toward the deconstruction of *Gymnotus carapo***. *Copeia* 1999, **2**:410-421.
- Fernandes-Matioli FMC, Almeida-Toledo LF: **A Molecular phylogenetic analysis in *Gymnotus* species (Pisces: Gymnotiformes) with inferences on chromosome evolution**. *Caryologia* 2001, **54**:23-30.
- Margarido VP, Bellafrente E, Moreira-Filho O: **Cytogenetic analysis of three sympatric *Gymnotus* (Gymnotiformes, Gymnotidae) species verifies invasive species in the Upper Paraná River basin, Brazil**. *Journal of Fish Biology* 2007, **70**:155-164.
- Lacerda MCV, Maistro EL: **Cytogenetic Analysis of Three Sympatric *Gymnotus* Species (Teleostei: Gymnotidae) from the Fundo Stream, MG, Brazil**. *Cytologia* 2007, **72**:89-93.
- Fernandes FMC, Albert JS, Daniel-Silva MFZ, Lopes CE, Crampton WGR, Almeida-Toledo LF: **A New *Gymnotus* (Teleostei: Gymnotiformes: Gymnotidae) from the Pantanal Matogrossense of Brazil and adjacent drainages: continued documentation of a cryptic fauna**. *Zootaxa* 2005, **933**:1-14.
- Fernandes-Matioli FMC, Almeida-Toledo LF, Toledo-Filho SA: **Extensive nucleolus organizer region polymorphism in *Gymnotus carapo* (Gymnotoidei, Gymnotidae)**. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1997, **78**(3-4):236-239.
- Fernandes-Matioli FMC, Almeida-Toledo LF, Toledo-Filho SA: **Natural triploidy in the Neotropical species *Gymnotus carapo* (Pisces: Gymnotiformes)**. *Caryologia* 1998, **51**:319-322.
- Nascimento AL, Souza ACP, Feldberg E, Carvalho JR, Barros RMS, Pieczarka JC, Nagamachi CY: **Cytogenetic analysis on *Pterophyllum scalare* (Perciformes, Cichlidae) from Jari River, Pará state**. *Caryologia* 2006, **59**:138-143.
- King M: *Species Evolution: The role of chromosome change* Cambridge: University Press; 1993.
- Crampton WGR: **Evolution of electric signal diversity in gymnotiform fishes. II. Signal design**. In *Communication in Fishes* Edited by: Ladich F, Collin SP, Moller P, Kapoor BG. Enfield-NH: Science Publishers; 2006:697-731.
- Rodriguez-Cattaneo A, Pereira AC, Aguilera PA, Crampton WGR, Caputi AA: **Species-specific diversity of a fixed motor pattern: the electric organ discharge of *Gymnotus***. *PLoS ONE* 2008, **3**(5):e2038.
- Crampton WGR, Davis JK, Lovejoy NR, Pensky M: **Multivariate classification of animal communication signals: A simulation-based comparison of alternative signal processing procedures using electric fishes**. *J Physiol Paris* in press.
- Marchetto MC, Fernandes-Matioli FM, Almeida-Toledo LF: **Estudos citogenéticos comparativos em populações de *Gymnotus pantherinus* (Pisces, Gymnotidae)**. *Genetic and Molecular Biology* 1998, **21**:65.