PUBLIC HEALTH

Do condoms cause rape and mayhem? The long-term effects of condoms in New South Wales' prisons

Lorraine Yap, Tony Butler, Juliet Richters, Kristie Kirkwood, Luke Grant, Max Saxby, Frederick Ropp, Basil Donovan

.....

Sex Transm Infect 2007;83:219-222. doi: 10.1136/sti.2006.022996

Background: Concerns raised by opponents to condom provision in prisons have not been objectively examined and the issue continues to be debated. The long-term effects of the introduction of condoms and dental dams into New South Wales (NSW) prisons in 1996 was examined, focusing on particular concerns raised by politicians, prison officers, prison nurses and prisoners. These groups were worried that (a) condoms would encourage prisoners to have sex, (b) condoms would lead to an increase in sexual assaults in prisons, (c) prisoners would use condoms to hide and store drugs and other contraband and (d) prisoners would use condoms as weapons.

Method: Data sources included the NSW Inmate Health Survey (IHS) from 1996 and 2001 and official reports from the NSW Department of Corrective Services. The 1996 IHS involved 657 men and 132 women randomly selected from all prisons, with a 90% response rate. The 2001 survey involved 747 men and 167 women inmates, with an 85% response rate.

Results: There was a decrease in reports of both consensual male-to-male sex and male sexual assaults 5 years after the introduction of condoms into prisons in 1996. The contents of condom kits were often used for concealing contraband items and for other purposes, but this was not associated with an increase in drug injecting in prison. Only three incidents of a condom being used in assaults on prison officers were recorded between 1996 and 2005; none was serious.

Conclusions: There exists no evidence of serious adverse consequences of distributing condoms and dental dams to prisoners in NSW. Condoms are an important public health measure in the fight against HIV and sexually transmitted diseases; they should be made freely available to prisoners as they are to other high-risk groups in the community.

'I didn't like that when they started talking about the condoms in prison because I thought that most people would be using them for rape that wouldn't leave any forensic evidence ... You get raped and someone is wearing a frenchie.' (Australian prisoner before condoms were introduced)

In 1991, the World Health Organization reported that 23 of 52 prison systems surveyed allowed condoms in correctional facilities.¹ In 2006, prison jurisdictions in many countries, including most Australian states, still did not allow prison inmates access to condoms, despite evidence that HIV prevalence and transmission risks are higher within prisoner populations than within the general community.² Condoms, if used correctly and consistently, can prevent HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STIS).^{3 4}

Despite Australia's success in containing the HIV epidemic in the general population and within specific high-risk groups,⁵ it took the better part of a decade, between 1987 and 1996, of political and legal controversy before condoms were allowed into New South Wales (NSW) prisons. Opponents argued that: (1) condoms would encourage prisoners to have sex; (2) condoms would lead to an increase in sexual assaults among prisoners; (3) prisoners would use condoms to hide and store drugs and other contraband items; (4) prisoners would use condoms as weapons against nurses, prison officers and fellow inmates (Christensen A, personal communication, 2006); and (5) prisons would be perceived as "homo" gaols, as stated by Mr Armstrong, then president of the Prison Officers' Association, in the *Sydney Morning Herald*, 3 February 1988.⁶⁻¹⁰ These arguments have rarely been examined using objective evidence. We examine whether the concerns raised by opponents to condoms in NSW prisons were realised after their introduction in 1996.

In 1993, 52 prisoners instituted legal action in the NSW Supreme Court, *Prisoners A–XX Inclusive v. State of NSW*, against the State government's policy of denying access to condoms in prisons.^{11 12} Following legal advice on the likely adverse outcome of the case, the NSW Department of Corrective Services implemented a pilot condom distribution programme in three men's prisons.

The 6-month condom pilot was conducted between March and August 1996 using condom-vending machines that dispensed a small cardboard box containing one condom, a sachet of lubricant, information on the correct use of condoms and a plastic zip-lock disposal bag. Beginning in September 1996, the condom programme was expanded across NSW and included dental dams in women's prisons. By 2005, the condom programme was distributing approximately 30 000 condoms and dental dams per month to prisoners in NSW. Condoms are freely available from both dispensing machines and the prison clinics.

METHODS

We examined various sources of data, including the NSW Inmate Health Survey (IHS) from 1996 and 2001 and official reports from the NSW Department of Corrective Services.

Abbreviations: IHS, Inmate Health Survey; STI, sexually transmitted infection

Correspondence to:

See end of article for

authors' affiliations

Dr L Yap, National Centre in HIV Social Research, University of New South Wales, Robert Webster Building, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia; I.yap@unsw.edu. au

Accepted 8 December 2006

 Table 1
 Self-reports of sex in prison and awareness of sexual assault, New South Wales

 Inmate Health Survey 1996 and 2001

	Men		Women			
	1996 (n = 538)* n (%)	2001 (n=747) n (%)	p Value	1996 (n = 132) n (%)	2001 (n = 167) n (%)	p Value
Respondents' sexual activity in prison						
Consensual sex	34 (6.3)	18 (2.4)	< 0.001	20 (15.2)	34 (20.4)	0.31
Non-consensual sex	14 (2.6)	2 (0.3)	< 0.001	2 (1.5)	0 (0)	0.38
Awareness of sexual assault by others in	prison				• •	
<6 months ago	90 (16.8)	48 (6.4)	< 0.001	13 (9.8)	23 (13.8)	0.38
>6–12 months ago	74 (13.5)	51 (6.8)	< 0.001	9 (6.8)	11 (6.6)	0.86

The methodology for the 1996 and 2001 IHS has been described elsewhere.¹³⁻¹⁸ It involved 657 men and 132 women randomly selected from all prisons, with a 90% response rate in 1996. The 2001 survey involved 747 men and 167 women inmates, with an 85% response rate.

Several days before the survey, the NSW Department of Corrective Services provided a list of all detainees in the State's 29 correctional centres. Inmates were selected randomly from this list, with stratification for age and indigenous status. The sample size was selected to enable a range of physical health, mental health and risk behaviours to be described for men and women and for Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal prisoners. Potential participants received verbal and written information about the study; those agreeing to participate were required to provide written consent. Respondents in the 1996 and 2001 surveys were paid \$A10 each. Nurse interviewers collected the health information by face-to-face interview. The screening instrument covered a broad range of physical and mental health issues and risk behaviours. Blood and urine specimens were collected to screen for a range of infectious diseases and STIs.

Questions were also included on attitudes to the provision of condoms or dental dams in prison (1996), experiences of consensual and non-consensual sex in prison, injecting drugs in prisons, awareness of sexual assaults by others (1996 and 2001) and personal use of condoms or dental dams for purposes other than sex (2001).

Indirect questioning about awareness of sexual assaults was judged to be less personally threatening for the prisoners to answer. Respondents were also asked to list up to three ways in which they were aware of condoms or dental dams being used in prison. Neither the 1996 nor the 2001 IHS enquired about prisoners' own use of condoms or dental dams for sexual purposes.

Sexual behavioural data were excluded from the three (of 29) prisons in 1996 that were involved in the condom pilot study, so as to prevent possible contamination of the results by those exposed to condoms at the pilot sites. Open responses were categorised and coded for tabulation and χ^2 tests were used to test for differences across surveys.

Reports on sexual assaults among inmates and misdemeanours related to the unauthorised possession or misuse of condoms between 1996 and 2005 were obtained from the NSW Department of Corrective Services.¹⁸ Under the 1996 prison policy, condoms and dental dams were not to be used for any purpose other than sexual activity with another consenting prisoner within a prison cell. Penalties apply for the unauthorised possession, use and disposal of condoms. The punishment provisions were regulated in the *Crimes (Administration of Sentences) Regulation 2001 (NSW)* (http:// www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/nsw/consol reg/cosr2001439/).

RESULTS

Prisoner attitudes to condoms and dental dams in prison (1996)

According to the 1996 IHS, 32% of men opposed condoms and 5% of women opposed dental dams in prison. Around 10% of men feared that condoms, and 1% of women believed that dental dams, would lead to an increase in sexual assaults in prison, while 4% of men believed that condom provision was unacceptable on the grounds that it would lead to an increase in sexual activity among prisoners. In all, 6% of men believed that homosexual activity in prisons was unacceptable and 1% was concerned that condoms would give people the impression that prisoners were homosexuals. Nevertheless, 27% of men approved of condoms and 54% of women favoured dental dams in prison.¹⁶

Prison sex (1996 and 2001)

In 1996, most male prisoners reported that, in their lifetime, they had never had a male sexual partner (94.5% female partners only, 0.7% male only, 4.8% both; n = 603). Female inmates were more likely to report same-sex partners (68.1% male partners only, 12.9% female only, 19.0% both; n = 116).¹⁶

Few inmates reported having sex in prison (table 1). Between the 1996 IHS (excluding the three pilot sites) and the 2001 IHS, there was a reported decrease in the proportions engaging in either consensual (p<0.001) or non-consensual (p<0.001) sex between men. There was no significant change for women.

In 1996, 30% of men and 17% of women reported being aware of a sexual assault taking place in their prison in the past 12 months or since they came into prison within the past year (table 1). Fewer male inmates reported awareness of sexual assaults in 2001 (13%) than in 1996 (p<0.001), but there was no significant change among female inmates. About half the respondents said the most recent assault that they were aware of had occurred within the past 6 months.

Official records of sexual assaults in NSW prisons revealed that the incidence of notifications did not change significantly between 1996 (0.3/100 inmates) and 2001 (0.2/100 inmates).¹⁸

Condoms and dams used for purposes other than sex (2001)

The 2001 IHS asked prisoners whether they were aware of the use of condoms or dental dam kits for purposes other than sex. The prisoners (38% of men and 46% of women) offered at least one purpose (table 2). The most common use of condoms and the condom disposal bags was for the storage of contraband items and tobacco (prisoners generally smoked "roll-your-owns" rather than manufactured cigarettes). Lubricant was used as hair gel. Flavoured lubricant enjoyed a brief fashion as flavouring (banana and strawberry) for milk before being withdrawn. Condoms were also used as water bombs for

Table 2Reports of awareness of other prisoners' use ofsafe sex kits for purposes other than sex, New South WalesInmate Health Survey 2001*

	Condom kits		Dental dam kits		
	Men (n = 280)	Women (n = 76)		
Reported use	n	%	n	%	
Storage					
Contraband, including drugs	80	28.6	2	2.6	
Tobacco	78	27.9	13	17.1	
Urine for testing	1	0.4	0	0.0	
Other use of plastic bags	13	4.6	2	2.6	
Personal grooming					
Hair gel	48	17.1	0	0.0	
Hair bands	3	1.1	54	71.1	
Shaving gel	1	0.4	0	0.0	
Household					
Tying items	7	2.5	2	2.6	
Placemats or doilies	0	0.0	10	13.2	
Quilts	0	0.0	1	1.3	
Decorations	0 3	0.0	1	1.3	
Lubricant (non-sexual use)		1.1	0	0.0	
Water bombs	79	28.2	5	6.6	
Masturbatory aid	7	2.5	0	0.0	
Shoelaces	0	0.0	3	3.9	
Flavouring for milk	2	0.7	0	0.0	
Other	2	0.7	0	0.0	

throwing. The main non-sexual use of dental dams by women prisoners was for hair ties and sometimes as placemats or doilies.

While the contents of condom kits were used to store drugs, there was no difference in the proportion of prisoners who reported injecting drugs while in prison in 1996 and 2001 (21.5% vs 24.2% in men, 31.8% vs 33.5% in women).^{16 17}

Statistical data from the NSW Department of Corrective Services showed that condom misdemeanours were rare, and that incidents involving the unauthorised possession or misuse of condoms in 1996 and 2001 amounted to 0.0/100 inmates and 0.1/100 inmates, respectively.¹⁸ These incidents may have included three reports of condoms being used as weapons against prison officers recorded on prison charge sheets between 1996 and 2005. One incident involved a prisoner throwing a condom filled with shampoo at a prison officer and the other two incidents were similar, using liquids resembling ejaculate (Ropp FA and Vumbaca GA, personal communication, June 2006).

DISCUSSION

Condoms are a simple but effective means of preventing the spread of HIV and other STIs in the community, and the prison setting should be no exception to this. Despite their widespread acceptance in the community, their introduction in NSW prisons was controversial. Interestingly, the sentiments expressed by opponents to condoms in prisons, including government ministers, prison officers and their unions, and prison nurses, were also echoed by the prisoners. Most of these concerns were not realised. In fact, we found evidence of a decrease in both male-to-male consensual sex and sexual assaults between 1996 and 2001.

The decline in both consensual and non-consensual sex among men may be because of other factors. In 1996, the NSW Department of Corrective Services and Justice Health introduced health education programmes focusing on inmate education about HIV/AIDS and hepatitis, and established HIV/AIDS committees that included inmates.¹⁹ However, the

Key messages

- Distributing condoms in prisons remains a controversial and emotive issue.
- Contrary to the expectations of prison officers and prisoners, the introduction of condoms into New South Wales, prisons in 1996 did not result in an increase in consensual male-to-male sex or in male sexual assaults.
- The contents of condom kits were used by prisoners for non-sexual purposes such as concealing contraband items, but this was not associated with an increase in drug injecting in prison.
- Only three incidents of condoms being used against prison officers were recorded between 1996 and 2005, which were mostly of a mischievous nature.

presence of condoms and dispensing machines in NSW prisons may have also raised awareness and continued to reinforce HIV/AIDS prevention messages for prisoners.

Prison officers' concerns that condoms would be used for concealing contraband items were justified. However, this did not appear to have led to an increase in the use of drugs in prison. Prisoners would undoubtedly find any means of storing contraband even if condoms were unavailable. In a controlled and resource-poor setting, inmates display great inventiveness in employing any new resources for a variety of purposes, and safe sex kits are no exception. A limitation of our study design is that we were unable to determine the proportions of the 30 000 condoms and dental dams issued per month that are used for various purposes.

There were three reports of minor incidents of condoms being used against prison officers. Such incidents were rare compared with the number of more serious assault charges recorded against prisoners each year, and were mainly of a mischievous nature.

Although the condom programme included the provision of dental dams for women's prisons, this was presumably for political reasons rather than out of genuine concern about the spread of STIs that might be prevented by use of dental dams.²⁰ There was little controversy about dental dams before their introduction.

While these data are based on self-report and are subject to the insensitivity of official reporting, they highlight the benefit to correctional services of undertaking periodic surveys of prisoners' health and behaviour to assess the outcomes of policy initiatives. Although there was initially strong opposition to condoms in prison, this soon dissipated as most of the anticipated adverse consequences did not eventuate. At least in NSW, condoms did not cause rape and mayhem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Sexual Health and Attitudes of Australian Prisoners (SHAAP) project is funded by NHMRC Project Grant No. 350860.

Authors' affiliations

Lorraine Yap, Juliet Richters, National Centre in HIV Social Research, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia Tony Butler, Kristie Kirkwood, Centre for Health Research in Criminal Justice, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Luke Grant, Max Saxby, Frederick Ropp, NSW Department of Corrective Services, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Basil Donovan, National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

REFERENCES

222

- 1 Jurgens R. HIV/AIDS and drug use in prisons: moral and legal responsibilities of prisons. In: Shewan D, Davies J, eds. Drug use and prisons:an international perspective. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers, 2000.
- 2 Hellard ME, Aitken CK. HIV in prison: what are the risks and what can be done? Sex Health 2004;1:107-13.
- Weller S, Davis K. Condom effectiveness in reducing heterosexual HIV 3
- transmission [update of Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(3):CD003255; PMID: 11687062], Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(1):CD003255. Warner L, Stone KM, Macaluso M, et al. Condom use and risk of gonorrhea and chlamydia: a systematic review of design and measurement factors assessed in epidemiologic studies. Sex Transm Dis 2006;33:36–51.
- 5 National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research. HIV/AIDS, viral hepatitis and sexually transmissible infections in Australia: annual surveillance report. Sydney: NCHECR, 2005; web.med.unsw.edu.au/nchecr/Downloads/ 05_ansurvrp.pdf (accessed 12 Feb 2007).

- 8
- US_ansurvp.pat (accessed 12 rep 2007). Condoms in the prisons. Sydney Morning Herald, 3 Feb, 1988. **Coultan M**. AIDS "snapshof" for prisoners. Sydney Morning Herald, 4 Nov, 1988. AIDS in NSW prisons. Sydney Morning Herald, 12 May, 1990. **Garcia L**. NSW govt rules out condoms in jails. Sydney Morning Herald, 14 Jun, 9 1990
- Skulley M. Yabsley's prison rule savaged at AIDS talk. Sydney Morning Herald, 10 20 Nov, 1990
- 11 Prisoners A-XX Inclusive v. State of NSW Nos CA 4061 4-94 ALD 30079-93 Public Law: Supreme Court of NSW, 1996. www.austlii.edu.au//cgi-bin/

1.html?query=Prisoner%20A%20-%20XX (accessed 12 Feb 2007)

- 12 Sider D. Inmates challenge condom ban. Sydney Morning Herald, 2 Feb, 1994. 13 Butler T, Donovan B, Taylor J, et al. Herpes simplex virus type 2 in prisoners,
- New South Wales, Australia. Int J STD AIDS 2000;11:743-7 14 Butler T, Kariminia A, Levy M, et al. The self-reported health status of prisoners in New South Wales. Aust NZ J Public Health 2004;28:344–50.
- 15 Butler T, Donovan B, Levy M, et al. Sex behind the prison walls. Aust NZ J Public
- Health 2002:26:390 Butler T. Preliminary findings of the NSW Inmate Health Survey. Sydney: NSW Corrections Health Service, 1997; www.justicehealth.nsw.gov.au/pubs/ Inmate_Health_Survey_1997.pdf (accessed 12 Feb 2007).
 Butler T, Milner L. The 2001 Inmate Health Survey. Sydney: NSW Corrections to the survey of the survey.
- Health Service, 2001; www.justicehealth.nsw.gov.au/pubs/ Inmate_Health_Survey_2001.pdf (accessed 12 Feb 2007).
- Corben S. Assaults and fights in NSW correctional centres. Sydney: NSW Department of Corrective Services, 2003.
- Munro D. Effective HIV/AIDS strategies, policies and programs for the correctional centre system. PNG Med J 1996;39:230–3.
- 20 Richters J, Ellard J, Prestage G, et al. Prevention of HIV and sexually transmissible infections in sex between women: the practical and symbolic role of dental dams. In:AIDS Impact 2003:Biopsychosocial Aspects of HIV Infection, Sixth International Conference; 7–13 Jul 2003, Final Programme and Abstracts. Milan: Universita Vita-Salute San Raffaele, 2003:143.

Submit an eLetter, and join the debate

eLetters are a fast and convenient way to register your opinion on topical and contentious medical issues. You can find the "submit a response" link alongside the abstract, full text and PDF versions of all our articles. We aim to publish swiftly, and your comments will be emailed directly to the author of the original article to allow them to respond. eLetters are a great way of participating in important clinical debates, so make sure your voice is heard.