



Published in final edited form as:

*AIDS*. 2008 October 18; 22(16): 2179–2185. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e328312c756.

## Impact of Small Reductions in Plasma HIV RNA Levels on the Risk of Heterosexual Transmission and Disease Progression

Kayvon Modjarrad<sup>a</sup>, Eric Chamot<sup>b</sup>, and Sten H. Vermund<sup>a</sup>

*a* Departments of Medicine and Pediatrics and the Institute for Global Health, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

*b* Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

### Abstract

**Objective**—To estimate the impact of small changes in plasma levels of HIV-1 RNA on the risk of heterosexual transmission or disease progression to an AIDS-defining event or death.

**Design and methods**—We systematically reviewed the published literature for studies that evaluated small viral load changes among antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naïve, adult populations. We modeled relative risk estimates for viral transmission and disease progression according to 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> increments of HIV load.

**Results**—We calculated that the likelihood of transmitting HIV by heterosexual contact increased, on average, by 20% and that the annual risk of progression to an AIDS-defining illness or related death increased by 25% with every 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA. A 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA was associated with 40% greater risk of heterosexual transmission and 44% increased risk of progression to AIDS or death. A 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA was associated with 100% greater risk of heterosexual transmission and 113% increased risk of progression to AIDS or death.

**Conclusions**—ART continues to be unavailable or not-yet-indicated for 72% of the world's HIV-infected persons. Mounting evidence that treatment of co-infections may reduce HIV viral load, even modestly, suggests the priority of improved adjunctive care for HIV-infected persons even without ART, both to slow disease progression and to reduce infectiousness.

### Keywords

HIV; Viral Load; Transmission; Disease Progression; Review

### Introduction

The effort to identify low-cost therapeutic and preventive strategies for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in Africa and other resource-limited settings is still among the most difficult operational research challenges. Despite recent cost reductions and widespread implementation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) programs, medications continued to be unavailable or not-yet-indicated for 72% of the world's HIV-infected persons in 2007 [1]. ART requires a life-long, daily regimen supported by laboratory tests to monitor the efficacy and toxicity of treatment. Furthermore, persons without opportunistic infections and with CD4<sup>+</sup> T-

Correspondence and requests for reprints to Kayvon Modjarrad, MD, PhD, Vanderbilt University, Institute for Global Health, 2525 West End Ave, Suite 750, Nashville, TN, USA 37203-1738, Email: kayvon.modjarrad@vanderbilt.edu.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the study: KM, SHV. Analyzed the data: KM, EC, SHV. Wrote the paper: KM, EC, SHV.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

lymphocyte counts greater than 200–350 cells/ $\mu$ L may not be advised to take ART. Thus, there remains a compelling imperative to identify cheap, implementable, and sustainable approaches to prevent HIV transmission and improve the prognosis of HIV-infected individuals on a large scale when ART is either unavailable or not yet indicated.

Prior to the era of ART, considerable attention was given to HIV co-infections and their impact on host immunity [2]. It was reasoned that down-modulation of the non-specific immune response that many chronic infections elicit might be exploited for therapeutic benefits [3–5]. Subsequent human studies demonstrated that the theoretical gains of clearing co-infections translated into small but consistent viral load reductions. In fact, a growing body of literature reports that elimination or suppression of concurrent infection with pathogens as diverse as herpes viruses, geohelminths, mycobacteria, and *Plasmodia spp.* drop HIV RNA levels by a logarithmic factor of 0.3 to 1.0 [6–15]. Greater focus on co-infections among persons not yet on ART is warranted for at least three reasons: (1) there is a high burden of co-infections in HIV endemic zones; (2) there is evidence that many co-infections non-specifically activate host immunity; and (3) some organisms, notably herpes simplex virus type 2, can specifically facilitate HIV replication.

Despite mounting evidence for its salience to HIV outcomes, this literature has marshaled scant attention in the face of highly potent ART that typically drops HIV RNA copy levels by a logarithmic factor of at least 2 to 5. A large proportion of the world's HIV-infected population, however, is still not being treated either because of logistic impediments and lack of antiretroviral medications or because of a relatively early stage of illness for many infected persons [16]. If small reductions in HIV RNA resulting from relatively simple interventions, such as clearing co-infections, were to translate into large benefits in transmission and survival, then a low-cost, sustainable, therapeutic approach toward assisting HIV-infected persons in resource-limited settings could be implemented to complement ART.

But given the relatively large impact of ART, is there a clinical and public health rationale for interventions that achieve only small reductions in HIV RNA? Would a 0.3 or 0.5  $\log_{10}$  viral load decline be too trivial to be of tangible importance to HIV-infected patients? Will small reductions in viral load be likely to reduce transmission of HIV or slow its progression in any meaningful way? This systematic review seeks to address these questions.

## Methods

We conducted a search of Medline and PubMed databases for studies published from January 1, 1987 to July 1, 2008 that evaluated the association between changes in plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and either risk of HIV transmission by heterosexual contact or the risk of progression to an AIDS-defining event or AIDS related death. In our search we employed permutations of key terms: “HIV-1”, “HIV RNA”, “viral load”, “risk”, “heterosexual transmission”, and “disease progression”. We excluded articles prior to 1987 since reliable and reproducible HIV RNA assays were not yet available. Additional references were identified from bibliographies of published manuscripts. Studies were included in our analysis if they reported incremental risk of HIV-1 heterosexual transmission or disease progression in association with differences in baseline plasma levels of HIV-1 RNA among adult, highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART)-naïve populations.

We reviewed titles and abstracts of 435 articles and identified 22 articles for full review. Nine studies reported risk estimates of HIV heterosexual transmission or disease progression per  $\log_{10}$  change in viral load. When we relaxed our inclusion criteria to include pediatric and HAART-experienced populations, we found 32 articles were eligible for full review, 17 of which reported per  $\log_{10}$  transmission or progression risk estimates. We conducted a sensitivity

analysis to compare the aggregate estimates of studies identified by the two sets of inclusion criteria. Because the difference in risk estimates was negligible, we report the results of the former review of nine studies, as the implications for small reductions in viral load are most applicable to ART-naïve, adult populations who still constitute the overwhelming majority of persons living with HIV.

We calculated risk estimates of transmission and disease progression for 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0  $\log_{10}$  viral load increments. Four key considerations dictated our choice of these threshold values. First, the positive human studies-to-date on the impact of co-infections on HIV viral load generally report a 0.3 to 1.0  $\log_{10}$  change in plasma HIV RNA levels with either acquisition or clearance of most co-infections [6–15]. Second, the consensus estimate of background fluctuations in viral load biologic and assay variability generally hovers around 0.25  $\log_{10}$  [17–19]. Third, the significant impact of a viral load decrement greater than 1.0  $\log_{10}$  has already been demonstrated numerous times since the advent of antiretroviral monotherapy in the 1980s. Finally, we deemed a 0.5  $\log_{10}$  change in viral load as an appropriate intermediate in our range of values because it exceeds the threshold of biologic assay variability but does not approach the magnitude of impact observed with ART.

We assumed linearity of risk based upon the work of Mellors et al. and Wawer et al. [20–22]. Pooled estimates, weighted by number of transmission or progression events, and corresponding standard deviations were calculated for the three logarithmic values. Data regarding number of events, median baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, HIV RNA quantification assay type, and outcome of interest are presented with relative risk estimates as relevant for each study population. We employed a linear model to assess the contribution of publication bias and found no significant impact on the data for this review (data not shown).

## Results

Nine articles published between January 1987 and May 2008 reported incremental risk estimates per logarithmic unit change (base 10) in plasma levels of HIV-1 RNA among ART-naïve adults. Four assessed the risk of heterosexual transmission as a function of logarithmically transformed increments in plasma viral load. Five reported associations between baseline increments in HIV RNA and risk of progression to an AIDS-defining event or AIDS related death.

### Risk of heterosexual transmission

Several studies from countries with diverse HIV epidemic patterns have suggested that each 1.0  $\log_{10}$  increment in viral load corresponds to a near two-fold or greater risk of viral transmission through heterosexual contact (Table 1). Quinn et al. reported a 2.5 fold greater risk of heterosexual transmission per 1.0  $\log_{10}$  increment in viral load among 415 serodiscordant couples living in the rural Rakai district of Uganda [23]. Over the course of 4 years of follow-up (1994–1998) the Ugandan team identified 90 transmission events. Because tests for seroconversion were performed at 10 month intervals, transmission events were assumed to have occurred at interval midpoints. Investigators used transmitters' HIV RNA measurements five months prior to the presumed date of transmission based on the assumption that HIV RNA levels in asymptomatic HAART-naïve African adults vary little over the course of a half-year, an assumption which has subsequently been shown to be robust [17–19]. No DNA sequencing was reported, such that some transmission events may have been falsely attributed to persons who did not actually transmit the virus to his or her sexual partner.

In 2001, Fideli et al. reported a 2.5-fold increased risk of heterosexual transmission (female-to-male) per 1.0  $\log_{10}$  increment in viral load from a nested case-control study of 1022 discordant couples living in Lusaka, Zambia [24]. During a follow-up period that was nearly

contemporaneous with that of the Ugandan study, the Zambian team identified 109 transmission events. Viral sequencing was performed, enabling precise epidemiologic linkage of those persons transmitting and acquiring a given HIV strain and, thus, refining transmission estimates. Time of transmission and transmitters' corresponding levels of HIV RNA were estimated by methods similar to those of Quinn et al., except that participants in the Zambian study were evaluated every three months, instead of every ten months, making the extrapolated time of infection more feasible in a narrower time window. Moreover, Fideli et al. reported a slightly lower per  $\log_{10}$  increment risk of male-to-female transmission [odds ratio (OR) 1.8, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.2 – 2.8] compared to female-to-male transmission (OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.5 – 4.0), a sex-specific finding that was not noted in the Rakai study, though the Quinn and Fideli studies had identical 2.5-fold increased transmission risk estimates per 1.0  $\log_{10}$  increased viral load. An assertion of gender difference in transmission risk, however, might be viewed with caution as the 95% CI for the two estimates overlapped considerably.

In a nested case-control study of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV co-infected adults in Western Europe and the United States, Hisada et al. found a significantly increased odds of heterosexual transmission of HIV per  $\log_{10}$  increment viral load, though of a lower magnitude (OR 1.31, 95% CI 0.94–1.84) than the two African studies [25]. Although 299 serodiscordant couples were included in the analysis, the risk of seroconversion was based on only 9 transmission events. Tovanabutra et al., in a cross-sectional study of 493 discordant couples in Thailand, calculated that the likelihood of an HIV seropositive male's heterosexual partner being infected with HIV per 1.0  $\log_{10}$  increase in plasma HIV RNA levels was intermediate (OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.33–2.48) to those calculated from the African and Western cohorts [26]. This last study differs from the other three in that it did not measure incident infections or control for other possible sources of transmission such as blood transfusion, injection drug use, and sexual intercourse with other partners. Although the study populations varied by host immunity and prevalence of concomitant infection, the transmitters' (4.4 – 5.1  $\log_{10}$ ) and non-transmitters' (4.0 – 4.8  $\log_{10}$ ) median HIV RNA levels were reasonably consistent, indicating that participants across studies were likely to be at a similar viral set-point in their latent infections. The mean differential of 0.3–0.4  $\log_{10}$  between transmitters and non-transmitters is notable.

The observations from geographically distinct studies that plasma HIV RNA increments of 1.0  $\log_{10}$  translate to a significant increase in HIV transmission risk by heterosexual contact are in accordance with a number of other studies that did not evaluate the correlation on a log-linear scale. For example, two studies of heterosexual males independently found a 1.0  $\log_{10}$  difference between persons who transmitted infection to their female partners and those who did not [27,28]. In addition, a study from Rakai, Uganda that calculated rates of HIV transmission per coital act from the data of Quinn et al. [20] found that a 0.7  $\log_{10}$  viral load increment corresponded to a two-fold increased risk of heterosexual transmission at intermediate levels of HIV RNA (3.50 – 4.88  $\log_{10}$ ) [29]. An earlier study from a similar but smaller population found only an 11% rise in the risk of transmission with an average 0.74  $\log_{10}$  increment in viral load [30].

Other prospective studies have found that median HIV RNA levels differed between transmitters and non-transmitters by a range of 0.6 to 1.0  $\log_{10}$  [31–34]. These studies were of relatively small size, investigating no more than a few dozen HIV-infected persons in each case. In aggregate, however, they suggest that the risk of heterosexual transmission of HIV may be influenced, among other factors, by relatively small differences in plasma viral load.

### **Risk of progression to AIDS or death**

Among studies that reported the time to AIDS or death per  $\log_{10}$  increment of viral load in HAART-naïve adults (table 2) [35–39], a 0.3 or 0.5  $\log_{10}$  increment corresponded to relative

risk estimates of at least 1.14 and 1.24, respectively. The excess annual risk of progression to AIDS or death associated with a 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA ranged between 14% and 36%, although all [35,36,38,39] but one study [37] indicated an excess risk of at least 25%. Four of the five studies reported >40% excess annual risk in disease progression with just a 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> increase from baseline HIV RNA [35–36,38–39]. Four of five studies reported an excess annual risk of disease progression that was statistically significant at all log<sub>10</sub> increments in viral load [35,36,38,39].

Kaplan-Meier plots from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study and a French blood donor cohort show that a 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> decrement in HIV RNA corresponds to an additional 2 years of AIDS-free survival [17,18,40,41]. The magnitude of these estimates, however, vary according to sex, age, CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count, time since seroconversion, and other covariates. Arduino et al., for example, found that the excess risk of progressing to an AIDS-defining event or death per log<sub>10</sub> increment of plasma RNA was almost 9 times lower (9% vs. 80%) for those who had a CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count ≥100 cells/μL compared to those with a CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count <100 cells/μL, although this outcome was determined among adults on ART [42]. Among antiretroviral naïve persons in one study, however, only a 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> difference in median HIV RNA separated rapid from non-rapid progressors, as defined by progression to an AIDS-defining event or death within 18 months of infection [43]. An even stronger association of three- to four-fold increased risk per log<sub>10</sub> increment viral load was observed when opportunistic infections as a whole (not just AIDS-defining) were the primary endpoint of interest [43,44].

### Aggregate risk of heterosexual transmission and progression to AIDS

We calculated that the likelihood of transmitting HIV by heterosexual contact increased, on average, by 20% with every 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> increment [20,24–26] and that a 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA corresponded to a mean increased risk of progression to an AIDS-defining illness or related death of 25% [36–40]. A 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> increment in HIV RNA was associated with 40% greater risk of heterosexual transmission of HIV and 44% increased risk of progression to AIDS or death. For every 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> increase in viral load, the relative risk of HIV heterosexual transmission was 2.0 and progression to an AIDS-defining event was 2.13.

### Discussion

In our review, we found evidence that increments in plasma concentrations of HIV RNA as small as 0.3 to 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> are directly proportional to the risk of viral transmission by heterosexual contact and to time to an AIDS-defining event or death. Despite indications for a significant impact of small reductions in viral load on HIV heterosexual transmission and disease progression, our review is limited by a number of factors. These estimates were abstracted from studies that vary according to sample size, study population demographics, viral load assay type, and study design. These differences may bias summary estimates, but they do not affect, we believe, the individual estimates from each study included in our review. Although the magnitude of association between viral load and the risk of transmission or disease progression varied between studies, they were all positive associations, of which most (seven of nine) met statistical significance at an alpha level of 0.05. While we cannot rule out negative publication bias, we think large transmission studies with couples are rare and costly; any results would, therefore, be published. Time to illness studies are more common and if negative publication bias exists, it might be in that venue.

Small reductions in viral load may have practical applications, even in an ART era. Treatment of co-infections prevalent among HIV-infected persons in the developing world, for example, may result in small, sustained drops in plasma levels of HIV RNA. Based upon the principle that efficient HIV replication requires activation of the immune system's cellular compartment



[45–48], a number of infections endemic to the developing world (i.e. tuberculosis, herpesviruses, malaria, leishmania, helminthes) have been studied and found to upregulate HIV transcription [49,50]. Data are still equivocal, however, with regard to the clinical significance of co-infection clearance on the epidemiology of HIV transmission and progression [51–57]. Ultimately, it may be that the benefits of aggressively and systematically treating co-infections on HIV control may be greatest in concert with other preventive and therapeutic efforts. In fact, multifaceted basic HIV care packages that include opportunistic infection prevention, co-infection clearance, and nutritional support may soon become the idealized standard of care for all HIV-infected persons in the developing world [58–60]. Mathematical models have consistently shown that this combination of targeted interventions can significantly alter or even disrupt established patterns of HIV transmission [61,62], though the magnitude of an expected impact may depend on the maturity of the epidemic, sexual mixing patterns and prevalence of sexually transmittable co-infections. It is probable then, that even partially efficacious HIV vaccines that nonetheless reduce viral setpoint of an infected individual may be able to prevent a large number of new infections through this “small viral load reduction” strategy, if enough persons were vaccinated and the viral load reduction were sustainable [63–65].

Although ART is being introduced in resource-limited settings, basic medical services remain a challenge and preventable, endemic illnesses constitute substantial morbidity among HIV-infected individuals. Small declines in viral load, when introduced on a mass scale, can reduce the likelihood of transmission and slow disease progression. In global efforts to provide ART, good primary care and systematic control of opportunistic and other co-infections should not be neglected for any HIV-infected person as their treatment may provide a hitherto unanticipated HIV-associated boon.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grants T32-GM00836 and R03-TW05929.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Towards Universal Access: Scaling Up Priority HIV/AIDS Interventions in the Health Sector, Progress Report. Geneva: WHO; 2007.
2. Fauci AS. Multifactorial nature of human immunodeficiency virus disease: implications for therapy. *Science* 1993;262:1011–1018. [PubMed: 8235617]
3. Bentwich Z. Concurrent infections that rise the HIV viral load. *J HIV Ther* 2003;8:72–75. [PubMed: 12951545]
4. Stein DS. Herpes virus infections, HIV, and disease progression. *AIDS Clin Care* 1995;7:11–14. [PubMed: 12212537]
5. Webster A. Cytomegalovirus as a possible cofactor in HIV disease progression. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1991;4:S47–52. [PubMed: 1848622]
6. Goletti D, Weissman D, Jackson RW, Graham NM, Vlahov D, Klein RS, et al. Effect of Mycobacterium tuberculosis on HIV replication. Role of immune activation *J Immunol* 1996;157:1271–1278.
7. Hoffman IF, Jere CS, Taylor TE, Munthali P, Dyer JR, Wirima JJ, et al. The effect of Plasmodium falciparum malaria on HIV-1 RNA blood plasma concentration. *AIDS* 1999;13:487–494. [PubMed: 10197377]
8. Lawn SD, Karanja DM, Mwinzia P, Andove J, Colley DG, Folks TM, et al. The effect of treatment of schistosomiasis on blood plasma HIV-1 RNA concentration in coinfecting individuals. *AIDS* 2000;14:2437–2443. [PubMed: 11101053]
9. Jere C, Cunliffe NA, Hoffman IF, Stewart PW, Kilaru R, Broadhead RL, et al. Plasma HIV burden in Malawian children co-infected with rotavirus. *AIDS* 2001;15:1439–1442. [PubMed: 11504968]

10. Elliott AM, Mawa PA, Joseph S, Namujju PB, Kizza M, Nakiyingi JS, et al. Associations between helminth infection and CD4+ T cell count, viral load and cytokine responses in HIV-1-infected Ugandan adults. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2003;97:103–108. [PubMed: 12886815]
11. Moss WJ, Ryon JJ, Monze M, Cutts F, Quinn TC, Griffin DE. Suppression of human immunodeficiency virus replication during acute measles. *J Infect Dis* 2002;185(8):1035–42. [PubMed: 11930312]
12. Kublin JG, Patnaik P, Jere CS, Miller WC, Hoffman IF, Chimbiya N, et al. Effect of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria on concentration of HIV-1-RNA in the blood of adults in rural Malawi: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2005;365:233–240. [PubMed: 15652606]
13. Schacker T, Zeh J, Hu H, Shaughnessy M, Corey L. Changes in plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA associated with herpes simplex virus reactivation and suppression. *J Infect Dis* 2002;186:1718–1725. [PubMed: 12447756]
14. Wolday D, Mayaan S, Mariam ZG, Berhe N, Seboxa T, Britton S, et al. Treatment of Intestinal Worms Is Associated With Decreased HIV Plasma Viral Load. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002;31:56–62. [PubMed: 12352151]
15. Mole L, Ripich S, Margolis D, Holodniy M. The impact of active herpes simplex virus infection on human immunodeficiency virus load. *J Infect Dis* 1997;176:766–770. [PubMed: 9291329]
16. UNAIDS. Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2007.
17. Yamashita TE, Modjarrad K, Munoz A. Variability of HIV-1 RNA before AIDS and highly active antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2003;17:1264–1266. [PubMed: 12819533]
18. Brambilla D, Reichelderfer PS, Bremer JW, Shapiro DE, Hershow RC, Katzenstein DA, et al. The contribution of assay variation and biological variation to the total variability of plasma HIV-1 RNA measurements. The Women Infant Transmission Study Clinics. Virology Quality Assurance Program *AIDS* 1999;13:2269–2279.
19. Bartlett JA, DeMasi R, Dawson D, Hill A. Variability in repeated consecutive measurements of plasma human immunodeficiency virus RNA in persons receiving stable nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy or no treatment. *J Infect Dis* 1998;178:1803–1805. [PubMed: 9815239]
20. Mellors JW, Rinaldo CR Jr, Gupta P, White RM, Todd JA, Kingsley LA. Prognosis in HIV-1 infection predicted by the quantity of virus in plasma. *Science* 1996;272:1167–1170. [PubMed: 8638160]
21. Mellors JW, Muñoz A, Giorgi JV, Margolick JB, Tassoni CJ, Gupta P, et al. Plasma viral load and CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes as prognostic markers of HIV-1 infection. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;126:946–954. [PubMed: 9182471]
22. Wawer MJ, Gray RH, Sewankambo NK, Serwadda D, Li X, Laeyendecker O, et al. Rates of HIV-1 transmission per coital act, by stage of HIV-1 infection, in Rakai, Uganda. *J Infect Dis* 2005;191:1403–1409. [PubMed: 15809897]
23. Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, Serwadda D, Li C, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Rakai Project Study Group N Engl J Med 2000;342:921–929.
24. Fideli US, Allen SA, Musonda R, Trask S, Hahn BH, Weiss H, et al. Virologic and immunologic determinants of heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in Africa. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2001;17:901–910. [PubMed: 11461676]
25. Hisada M, O'Brien TR, Rosenberg PS, Goedert JJ. Virus load and risk of heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus by men with hemophilia. The Multicenter Hemophilia Cohort Study *J Infect Dis* 2000;181:1475–1478.
26. Tovnanutra S, Robison V, Wongtrakul J, Sennum S, Suriyanon V, Kingkeow D, et al. Male viral load and heterosexual transmission of HIV-1 subtype E in northern Thailand. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002;29:275–283. [PubMed: 11873077]
27. Ragni MV, Faruki H, Kingsley LA. Heterosexual HIV-1 transmission and viral load in hemophilic patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol* 1998;17:42–45. [PubMed: 9436757]
28. Pedraza MA, del Romero J, Roldán F, García S, Ayerbe MC, Noriega AR, et al. Heterosexual transmission of HIV-1 is associated with high plasma viral load levels and a positive viral isolation in the infected partner. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1999;21:120–125. [PubMed: 10360803]

29. Gray RH, Wawer MJ, Brookmeyer R, Sewankambo NK, Serwadda D, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. Probability of HIV-1 transmission per coital act in monogamous, heterosexual, HIV-1-discordant couples in Rakai, Uganda. *Lancet* 2001;357:1149–1153. [PubMed: 11323041]
30. Fiore JR, Zhang YJ, Björndal A, Di Stefano M, Angarano G, Pastore G, et al. Biological correlates of HIV-1 heterosexual transmission. *AIDS* 1997;11:1089–1094. [PubMed: 9233454]
31. Li L, Li JY, Bao ZY, Liu SY, Zhuang DM, Liu YJ, et al. Study on factors associated with heterosexual-transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in central China. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2003;24:980–983. [PubMed: 14687496]
32. Tshikuka JG, Scott ME, Gray-Donald K. Ascaris lumbricoides infection and environmental risk factors in an urban African setting. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 1995;89:505–514. [PubMed: 7495364]
33. Operskalski EA, Stram DO, Busch MP, Huang W, Harris M, Dietrich SL, et al. Role of viral load in heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by blood transfusion recipients. Transfusion Safety Study Group *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;146:655–661.
34. Lee TH, Sakahara N, Fiebig E, Busch MP, O'Brien TR, Herman SA. Correlation of HIV-1 RNA levels in plasma and heterosexual transmission of HIV-1 from infected transfusion recipients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol* 1996;12:427–428. [PubMed: 8673554]
35. Welles SL, Jackson JB, Yen-Lieberman B, Demeter L, Japour AJ, Smeaton LM, et al. Prognostic value of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) RNA levels in patients with advanced HIV-1 disease and with little or no prior zidovudine therapy. AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 116A/116B/117 Team. *J Infect Dis* 1996;174:696–703. [PubMed: 8843205]
36. Coombs RW, Welles SL, Hooper C, Reichelderfer PS, D'Aquila RT, Japour AJ, et al. Association of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA level with risk of clinical progression in patients with advanced infection. AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) 116B/117 Study Team. ACTG Virology Committee Resistance and HIV-1 RNA Working Groups. *J Infect Dis* 1996;174:704–712. [PubMed: 8843206]
37. Sterling TR, Vlahov D, Astemborski J, Hoover DR, Margolick JB, Quinn TC. Initial plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and progression to AIDS in women and men. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:720–725. [PubMed: 11236775]
38. Phillips A. CASCADE Collaboration. Short-term risk of AIDS according to current CD4 cell count and viral load in antiretroviral drug-naive individuals and those treated in the monotherapy era. *AIDS* 2004;18:51–58. [PubMed: 15090829]
39. Lavreys L, Baeten JM, Chohan V, McClelland RS, Hassan WM, Richardson BA, et al. Higher set point plasma viral load and more-severe acute HIV type 1 (HIV-1) illness predict mortality among high-risk HIV-1-infected African women. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;42:1333–1339. [PubMed: 16586394]
40. Lefrère JJ, Roudot-Thoraval F, Mariotti M, Thauvin M, Lerable J, Salpêtrier J, et al. The risk of disease progression is determined during the first year of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Infect Dis* 1998;177:1541–1548. [PubMed: 9607831]
41. Stein DS, Korvick JA, Vermund SH. CD4+ lymphocyte cell enumeration for prediction of clinical course of human immunodeficiency virus disease: a review. *J Infect Dis* 1992;165:352–363. [PubMed: 1346152]
42. Arduino JM, Fischl MA, Stanley K, Collier AC, Spiegelman D. Do HIV type 1 RNA levels provide additional prognostic value to CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte counts in patients with advanced HIV type 1 infection? *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2001;17:1099–1105. [PubMed: 11522179]
43. Shearer WT, Quinn TC, LaRussa P, Lew JF, Mofenson L, Almy S, et al. Viral load and disease progression in infants infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:1337–1342. [PubMed: 9134873]
44. Lyles RH, Chu C, Mellors JW, Margolick JB, Detels R, Giorgi JV, et al. Prognostic value of plasma HIV RNA in the natural history of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia, cytomegalovirus and *Mycobacterium avium* complex. Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study *AIDS* 1999;13:341–349.
45. Ostrowski MA, Krakauer DC, Li Y, Justement SJ, Learn G, Ehler LA, et al. Effect of immune activation on the dynamics of human immunodeficiency virus replication and on the distribution of viral quasispecies. *J Virol* 1998;72:7772–7784. [PubMed: 9733813]



46. Lawn SD, Butera ST, Folks TM. Contribution of immune activation to the pathogenesis and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2001;14:753–777. [PubMed: 11585784]table of contents
47. Rosenberg ZF, Fauci AS. Immunopathogenesis of HIV infection. *Faseb J* 1991;5:2382–2390. [PubMed: 1676689]
48. Fauci AS, Pantaleo G, Stanley S, Weissman D. Immunopathogenic mechanisms of HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;124:654–663. [PubMed: 8607594]
49. Bentwich Z, Maartens G, Torten D, Lal AA, Lal RB. Concurrent infections and HIV pathogenesis. *AIDS* 2000;14:2071–2081. [PubMed: 11061647]
50. Lawn SD. AIDS in Africa: the impact of coinfections on the pathogenesis of HIV-1 infection. *J Infect* 2004;48:1–12. [PubMed: 14667787]
51. Brown M, Kizza M, Watera C, Quigley M, Rowland S, Hughes P, et al. Helminth infection is not associated with faster progression of HIV disease in coinfecting adults in Uganda. *J Infect Dis* 2004;190:1869–1879. [PubMed: 15499545]
52. Modjarrad K, Zulu I, Redden DT, Njobvu L, Lane CH, Bentwich Z, et al. Treatment of Intestinal Helminths Does Not Reduce Plasma Concentrations of HIV-1 RNA in Coinfected Zambian Adults. *J Infect Dis* 2005;192:1277–1283. [PubMed: 16136473]
53. Wolday D, Mayaan S, Mariam ZG, Berhe N, Seboxa T, Britton S, et al. Treatment of Intestinal Worms Is Associated With Decreased HIV Plasma Viral Load. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002;31:56–62. [PubMed: 12352151]
54. Kallestrup P, Zinyama R, Gomo E, Butterworth A, Mudenge BJ, van Dam G, et al. Schistosomiasis and HIV-1 Infection in Rural Zimbabwe: Effect of Treatment of Schistosomiasis on CD4 Cell Count and Plasma HIV-1 RNA Load. *J Infect Dis* 2005;192:1956–1961. [PubMed: 16267767]
55. Nagot N, Ouédraogo A, Foulongne V, Konaté I, Weiss H, Vergne L. Reduction of HIV-1 RNA Levels with Therapy to Suppress Herpes Simplex Virus. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:790–99. [PubMed: 17314338]
56. Kublin JG, Patnaik P, Jere CS, Miller WC, Hoffman IF, Chimbiya N, et al. Effect of Plasmodium falciparum malaria on concentration of HIV-1-RNA in the blood of adults in rural Malawi: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2005;365:233–240. [PubMed: 15652606]
57. Abu-Raddad LJ, Patnaik P, Kublin JG. Dual infection with HIV and malaria fuels the spread of both diseases in sub-Saharan Africa. *Science* 2006;314:1603–1606. [PubMed: 17158329]
58. Mermin J, Were W, Ekwaru JP, Moore D, Downing R, Behumbiize P, et al. Mortality in HIV-infected Ugandan adults receiving antiretroviral treatment and survival of their HIV-uninfected children: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2008;371:752–759. [PubMed: 18313504]
59. Colindres P, Mermin J, Ezati E, Kambabazi S, Buyungo P, Sekabembe L, et al. Utilization of a basic care and prevention package by HIV-infected persons in Uganda. *AIDS Care* 2008;20:139–145. [PubMed: 17896196]
60. Mermin J, Bunnell R, Lule J, Opio A, Gibbons A, Dybul M, et al. Developing an evidence-based, preventive care package for persons with HIV in Africa. *Trop Med Int Health* 2005;10:961–970. [PubMed: 16185230]
61. Garnett GP, Anderson R. Strategies for limiting the spread of HIV in developing countries: conclusions based on studies of the transmission dynamics of the virus. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol* 1995;9:500–513. [PubMed: 7627626]
62. Fraser C, Hollingsworth TD, Chapman R, de Wolf F, Hanage WP. Variation in HIV-1 set-point viral load: epidemiological analysis and an evolutionary hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:17441–17446. [PubMed: 17954909]
63. Vermund SH. Rationale for the testing and use of a partially effective HIV vaccine. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 1998;14:S321–S323. [PubMed: 9814960]
64. Gray RH, Li X, Wawer MJ, Gange SJ, Serwadda D, Sewankambo NK, et al. Stochastic simulation of the impact of antiretroviral therapy and HIV vaccines on HIV transmission; Rakai, Uganda. *AIDS* 2003;17:1941–1951. [PubMed: 12960827]
65. Anderson R, Hanson M. Potential public health impact of imperfect HIV type 1 vaccines. *J Infect Dis* 2005;191:S85–S96. [PubMed: 15627235]

Relative risk of heterosexual transmission of HIV between serodiscordant couples per 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> increment of plasma HIV RNA concentration.

Table 1

Reference	No. of discordant couples	No. of events	Viral assay	Risk ratio per log <sub>10</sub> Δ HIV RNA		
				0.3	0.5	1.0
Quinn et al. [23] <sup>a</sup>	415	90	RT-PCR	1.31 (1.20, 1.42)	1.56 (1.36, 1.80)	2.45 (1.85, 3.26)
Fideli et al. [24] <sup>b</sup>	1022					
Female-to-Male		43	RT-PCR	1.3 (1.1, 1.5)	1.6 (1.2, 2.0)	2.5 (1.5, 4.0)
Male-to-Female		66	RT-PCR	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)	1.3 (1.1, 1.7)	1.8 (1.2, 2.8)
Hisada et al. [25] <sup>a</sup>	299	9	bDNA	1.14 (0.97, 1.36)	1.19 (0.98, 1.20)	1.31 (0.94, 1.84)
Tovanabutra et al. [26] <sup>c</sup>	493	218	RT-PCR	1.19 (1.09, 1.31)	1.34 (1.15, 1.57)	1.81 (1.33, 2.48)
<b>Weighted mean</b>				<b>1.2 (1.1, 1.4)</b>	<b>1.4 (1.2, 1.7)</b>	<b>2.0 (1.4, 2.8)</b>

bDNA, branched DNA signal-amplification assay (Chiron, Emeryville, CA, U.S.A.); RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (Amplicor HIV monitor test, Roche Diagnostic Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ, U.S.A.).

<sup>a</sup>History of heterosexual couple contacts based on self-report.

<sup>b</sup>Viruses sequenced to exclude non-transmitting couples from analysis.

<sup>c</sup>Cross-sectional study that did not measure incident infections nor exclude individuals with other HIV infection risk factors for transmission.

Relative risk of progression to an AIDS-defining event or AIDS related death per 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> increment of plasma HIV RNA concentration.

Table 2

Reference	No. of Events	CD4 <sup>+</sup> cells/ $\mu$ l <sup>a</sup>	Primary Outcome	Viral assay	Risk ratio per log <sub>10</sub> $\Delta$ HIV RNA		
					0.3	0.5	1.0
Welles et al. [35]	187	139	AIDS, Death	bDNA	1.36 (1.12, 1.66)	1.67 (1.20, 2.32)	2.79 (1.44, 5.38)
Coombs et al. [36]	97	85	AIDS, Death	bDNA	1.26 (1.03, 1.54)	1.47 (1.05, 2.05)	2.26 (1.10, 5.13)
Sterling et al. [37]	202	659	AIDS, Death	RT-PCR	1.14 (0.98, 1.32)	1.24 (0.97, 1.59)	1.55 (0.95, 2.52)
Phillips et al. [38]	219	NP	AIDS	Combination <sup>b</sup>	1.24 (1.17, 1.31)	1.42 (1.30, 1.57)	2.03 (1.68, 2.46)
Lavreys et al. [39]	40	498	Death	Gen-Probe	1.28 (1.10, 1.47)	1.51 (1.17, 1.89)	2.28 (1.36, 3.59)
<b>Weighted mean</b>					<b>1.25 (1.08, 1.44)</b>	<b>1.44 (1.14, 1.84)</b>	<b>2.13 (1.33, 3.62)</b>

bDNA, branched DNA signal-amplification assay (Chiron, Emeryville, CA, USA); RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase – polymerase chain reaction (Amplicor HIV monitor test, Roche Diagnostic Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ, USA); NASBA, nucleic acid sequence based amplification technique (Organon Teknika, Boxtel, Holland); Gen-Probe (Gen-Probe HIV-1 viral load assay; Gen-Probe, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA); NP, not provided.

<sup>a</sup> Values reflect median CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count/ $\mu$ l among infected persons at baseline.

<sup>b</sup> 55% of viral loads was measured by RT-PCR, 17.9% by an unknown method, 14.6% by NASBA, 7.8% by bDNA, and 4.8% by another method.