

oc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. Author manuscript: available in PMC 2010 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2009 April; 44(4): 272–277. doi:10.1007/s00127-008-0436-8.

Socioeconomic status and anxiety as predictors of antidepressant treatment response and suicidal ideation in older adults

Alex Cohen, PhD

Department of Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, 641 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115

Stephen E. Gilman, ScD

Departments of Society, Human Development and Health and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Ave. Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Patricia R. Houck, MSH, Katalin Szanto, MD, and Charles F. Reynolds III, MD
Intervention Research Center for the Study of Late-Life Mood Disorders Thomas Detre Hall of the
Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic University of Pittsburgh, 3811 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA
15213

Abstract

Background—Independent analyses have shown that socioeconomic status (SES) and anxiety are predictors of response to antidepressant treatment in older adults. SES status has also been demonstrated to be associated with the occurrence of suicidal ideation.

Aim—To determine whether SES differences and baseline anxiety independently contribute to poor depression treatment response and the occurrence of suicidality <u>or</u> that higher levels of anxiety in depressed elderly with low SES explain the differences in these outcomes. We hypothesized that neighborhood SES status will predict response to treatment and the occurrence of suicidal ideation even when controlling for baseline levels of comorbid anxiety.

Method—Secondary analyses of data from the Maintenance Treatment for Late-Life Depression Trials

Results—Regression analyses indicate that neighborhood SES remains an independent predictor of response to treatment and the likelihood of experiencing suicidal ideation, while comorbid anxiety remains a predictor of response to treatment.

Conclusion—These findings indicate the importance of treating anxiety symptoms during treatment of late-life depression and, at the same time, addressing barriers of treatment response related to low SES.

Keywords

socioeconomic status; late-life dep	ression; comorbid anxiety;	social determinants of health; response
to antidepressant treatment		

Introduction

We recently reported that response to treatment for late-life depression varied significantly according to a patient's pre-treatment socioeconomic status (SES) [1]. In the Maintenance Treatment for Late-Life Depression (MTLD) Trial [2,3], participants who lived in middle- or high-income neighborhoods were significantly more likely to respond to treatment than residents of low-income neighborhoods (e.g., median household incomes <\$25,000; hazard ratio=1.61.; 95% CI, 1.07, 2.42). In addition, residents of middle- or high-income neighborhoods were less likely to experience suicidal ideation during the course of treatment (odds ratio=0.46; 95% CI, 0.24, 0.87) than residents of low-income neighborhoods.

Our findings regarding lower SES and higher levels of depressive and suicidal symptoms during treatment, as well as those of other studies showing similar patterns of associations [4–7], suggest that the social and contextual factors [8–13] that increase the risk of depression in community samples [14–19] also prolong recovery from depression during treatment. Potential implications of these findings for clinical practice are that lower SES patients entering treatment for depression should be considered at higher risk for treatment failure, and may benefit from more intensive or different interventions [20,21].

Since our study, Andreescu et al. [22] analyzed data from MTLD, and reported that higher pretreatment levels of anxiety – as measured by the Brief Symptom Inventory [23] – were associated with longer time to treatment response. These findings are consistent with prior studies on the effect of baseline levels of anxiety and subsequent response to treatment for latelife depression [24–26,7,27]. Andreescu et al. discussed the importance of treating anxiety symptoms alongside treatment for depression, but emphasized the need for future research to determine the optimal treatment strategies for older adults suffering from depression and cooccurring symptoms of anxiety.

Viewed together, results from the MTLD and other trials suggest that there are multiple categories of patient characteristics that are identifiable at the time of treatment initiation and which provide important prognostic value [e.g.,24,28,29,7]. However, not all prognostic factors are equal. The identification of prognostic factors such as SES, which reflects a complex set of attributes of an individual's social milieu [30,31], is likely to have implications for the treatment of depression that are different from the implications of identifying other factors such as pre-existing symptoms of anxiety. It is therefore important to determine the relative importance, i.e., the independent effects, of pre-treatment sociodemographic and pre-treatment clinical factors on depressive and suicidal symptoms during treatment.

Accordingly, we reanalyzed data from the MTLD trials to determine whether SES and anxiety independently contribute to poor treatment response and a higher probability of experiencing suicidal ideation. If the effects of SES are reduced after adjusting for baseline levels of anxiety symptoms, this would suggest that social inequalities in response to treatment for late-life depression are due partly to the effects of anxiety symptoms among lower SES patients. Such a finding would be consistent with epidemiologic studies that show significant SES gradients in the risk of anxiety [32–35]. Alternatively, the previously observed effects of baseline anxiety symptoms might be better attributed to SES, in that it has effects on a wide range of health outcomes, and has been hypothesized as a "fundamental cause" of health disparities [36]. Lastly, it is possible that anxiety and SES jointly influence the course of anti-depressant treatment. If that is the case, it would indicate the importance of future research examining diverse clinical samples to better understand moderators of treatment efficacy and effectiveness [37–39], and of integrating information on pre-treatment factors into the design of randomized trials.

Methods

The analyses reported here are based on a sample of 248 subjects who participated in the open-label, non-randomized phases of the two MTLD clinical trials. Comprehensive descriptions of the design of the these trials are available elsewhere [2,3]. Severity of participants' depressive symptoms were measured weekly with the 17- item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) [40]. Scores on the HRSD were used to generate indicators of treatment efficacy with response defined as HRSD scores of 10 or less for at least 3 consecutive weeks [41]. Suicidal symptoms during the course of treatment were assessed with HRSD item 3. Participants who reported recurrent thoughts of death or wishes to be dead, had active suicidal ideation, or had attempted suicide were classified as experiencing suicidality. We analyzed outcomes during the acute and continuation phases of the trials, which together lasted up to 26 weeks.

SES was measured by tertiles of median census tract annual income based on data from the 2000 U.S. Census [42], and patients' own educational level (categorized as <12 years, 12 years, 13−15 years, and ≥16 years). Baseline anxiety symptoms were assessed with the Brief Symptom Inventory [23]. We also adjusted for patient demographic factors (age, sex, race/ethnicity, and marital status), and other baseline clinical characteristics (first vs. recurrent episode of depression, age at first onset of depression, duration of current episode, concurrent medical burden, and HRSD score).

Cox proportional hazards regression [43] was used to examine the effects of SES and baseline anxiety on the likelihood of response to antidepressant treatment, which was defined as achieving HRSD scores ≤10 for at least 3 consecutive weeks [41]. Repeated-measures generalized logit regression [44] was used to model the presence of suicidal symptoms at each week during treatment. For both outcomes, we estimated a model for baseline anxiety alone, SES alone, and for all covariates together.

Results

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the MTLD participants, shown in Table 1, are presented separately for each category of census tract income. At the time of the baseline interview, prior to treatment initiation, there was no significant association between anxiety symptoms and SES, measured either by median census tract income or patients' educational attainment. This provides an initial indication that the prognostic value of SES and of anxiety symptoms at baseline operate independently from one another.

Results of proportional hazards models of treatment response are shown in the first two columns of Table 2. In the first column of Table 2, adjusted hazard ratios for SES and anxiety are shown from two separate models, one with the SES variables and another with baseline anxiety. The second column shows the adjusted hazard ratios from a model with SES and baseline anxiety together. Hazard ratios for SES were virtually unchanged after accounting for baseline anxiety in the model. The likelihood of treatment response was significantly higher among residents of middle income neighborhoods (HR, 1.77; 95%CI, 1.16–2.71), and marginally higher among residents of high-income neighborhoods (HR, 1.32; 95%CI, 0.80–2.19). In the aggregate, residents of middle- and high-income census tracts combined were 1.63 (95%CI, 1.08–2.46) times more likely to respond to treatment than residents of low-income census tracts. Baseline anxiety symptoms were also predictive of treatment response, as reported by Andreescu et al. [22], but the reduction in the likelihood of response associated with anxiety symptoms was entirely independent of SES (HR, 0.74 [95%CI, 0.61–0.91] without controlling for SES, and HR, 0.73 [95%CI, 0.60–0.89] controlling for SES).

This general pattern holds when examining the effects of SES and baseline anxiety symptoms on suicidal ideation during the course of treatment: SES and anxiety exert independent effects.

Repeated-measures generalized logit regression analyses (Table 2, columns 3 and 4) suggest that, compared to residents of low-income neighborhoods, those in middle-income and high-income neighborhoods, independent of comorbid anxiety, were less likely to report suicidal ideation during treatment (OR, 0.48 [95%CI, 0.27–0.94] and OR, 0.39 [95%CI, 0.16–0.94], respectively). When comorbid anxiety is added to the model, the result is virtually unchanged for residents of middle-income neighborhoods (OR, 0.53 [95%CI, 0.29–0.96]) and slightly reduced for residents of high-income neighborhoods (OR, 0.44 [95%CI, 0.19–1.04]). The odds ratio for middle- and high-income groups combined was 0.51 (95%CI, 0.28–0.90). Independent of SES, baseline anxiety symptoms were associated with a marginally higher odds of suicidal ideation during the course of depression treatment (OR,1.45; [95%CI, 0.98–2.14]).

The presence of independent effects of SES and baseline anxiety symptoms on depressive symptoms during the course of treatment suggests a marked elevation in the persistence of depression among the low SES individuals who were experiencing anxiety symptoms at the time of treatment initiation. To examine the combined influence of these factors, we evaluated the potential interactive effects of residence in a low-income neighborhood and anxiety on the outcomes of interest. There was no evidence of an interaction between SES and anxiety in the model for treatment response. However, there was suggestive evidence of an interaction between SES and anxiety in the model for suicidal ideation (p=0.08). The results of the model for suicidal ideation (Table 2, Column 4) in which the SES*anxiety interaction was added points to a somewhat stronger effect of baseline anxiety symptoms on suicidal ideation among individuals in low-income neighborhoods (OR, 2.10; 95%CI, 1.31–3.35) than among individuals who lived in middle- and high-income (aggregated) neighborhoods (OR, 1.63; 95% CI, 0.52–5.15).

As in our previous research [1], educational status was not a significant predictor of either likelihood of response or odds of suicidal ideation in the regression models that included baseline comorbid anxiety.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to investigate whether SES has an independent effect (over and above anxiety) in predicting treatment response and suicidal ideation in depressed older adults. Identification of pre-treatment factors that are related to the prognosis of depression would aid clinicians in the recognition of patients at higher risk for treatment failure. However, research to date is inconclusive about whether the clinical implications of SES as a prognostic factor differ from the clinical implications of baseline clinical factors, e.g., severity of depressive symptoms and comorbid anxiety [45,46,26,27]. Research by Areán et al. [47] suggests that low-income older adults respond to collaborative care for depression to the same extent as their higher-income counterparts. Research by Gum et al. [20] suggests that achieving good response to treatment among low-income older adults with psychiatric comorbidity requires clinical case management or cognitive-behavioral group therapy to retain patients in treatment. Work by Miranda et al. [21] is congruent with these findings. It demonstrates that low-income, African-American young women who are depressed respond well to either medication or psychotherapy interventions, but that enrollment and retention in treatment require intensive outreach, reimbursement for transportation, and provisions for child care. Nevertheless, we are left with the question of whether late-life depression among low-income individuals requires more intensive treatments, different treatments, or both. Our previous work [1] does not provide an answer. Although lower-income older adults were less likely to respond to combination (medication plus psychosocial) treatment and more likely to experience suicidal ideation during treatment than higher-income subjects in the MTLD trials, we do not know whether greater intensity of treatment would have eliminated these differences.

Although the secondary analyses reported here do not answers these questions, either, our findings do suggest that the social worlds which put older adults at elevated risk of depression also act to reduce the effectiveness of antidepressant treatments. At the same time, we cannot dismiss the consequences of psychiatric comorbidity. Adding baseline anxiety to the original regression models did not reduce substantially the effects of neighborhood SES (census tract median household income) as a predictor of response to treatment. Nor did neighborhood SES reduce substantially the effects of baseline comorbid anxiety as a predictor. This suggests that neighborhood and clinical characteristics are independent predictors of response to treatment for late-life depression. At the same time, there is intriguing evidence of an interaction effect on the experience of suicidal ideation the negative consequences of comorbid anxiety may be amplified for individuals who live in low SES neighborhoods. This apparent association, which is broadly consistent with other research concerning the interactive effects of risk factors for depression [48–54] and suicidal ideation [55], indicates a need for more research on the interactions among the "emotional, physical, and social factors that determine risk for suicide in the older adults" [56].

In conclusion, we suggest that, identifying, disentangling, and addressing social and clinical prognostic factors should become a major focus of clinical research in psychiatry. To that end, we urge clinical trial investigators to follow the advice of Kraemer et al. [37,38] and conduct secondary data analyses to explore the social factors (e.g., income, wealth, education, race/ethnicity, characteristics of neighborhoods) that may act as moderators of treatment effects. However, such analyses will not be possible unless investigators: 1) employ sampling methods that ensure ample representation of individuals from a wide range of social worlds and ensure sufficient power in clinical trials to detect moderators of treatment efficacy [57]; and, 2) collect detailed data on the socioeconomic status of participants in clinical trials [58]. Together, sampling methods, data collection and analytic strategies to explore moderators of treatment effects will make it possible for clinical research to sort out the independent effects of comorbid symptoms and other clinical factors from the effects of SES.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by grants P30 MH71944, RO1 MH43832, RO1 MH37869, R25 MH60473, P60 MD000207-03, and R03 MH083335 from the National Institute of Mental Health.

References

- 1. Cohen A, Houck PR, Szanto K, Dew MA, Gilman SE, Reynolds CF III. Social inequalities in response to antidepressant treatment in older adults. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006;63:50–56. [PubMed: 16389196]
- Reynolds CF 3rd, Dew MA, Pollock BG, Mulsant BH, Frank E, Miller MD, Houck PR, Mazumdar S, Butters MA, Stack JA, Schlernitzauer MA, Whyte EM, Gildengers A, Karp J, Lenze E, Szanto K, Bensasi S, Kupfer DJ. Maintenance treatment of major depression in old age. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1130–1138. [PubMed: 16540613]
- 3. Reynolds CF 3rd, Frank E, Perel JM, Imber SD, Cornes C, Miller MD, Mazumdar S, Houck PR, Dew MA, Stack JA, Pollock BG, Kupfer DJ. Nortriptyline and interpersonal psychotherapy as maintenance therapies for recurrent major depression: A randomized controlled trial in patients older than 59 years. JAMA 1999;281:39–45. [PubMed: 9892449]
- 4. Hirschfeld RM, Russell JM, Delgado PL, Fawcett J, Friedman RA, Harrison WM, Koran LM, Miller IW, Thase ME, Howland RH, Connolly MA, Miceli RJ. Predictors of response to acute treatment of chronic and double depression with sertraline or imipramine. J Clin Psychiatry 1998;59:669–675. [PubMed: 9921701]
- 5. Rickels K, Jenkins BW, Zamostien B, Raab E, Kanther M. Pharmacotherapy in neurotic depression: Differential population responses. J Nerv Ment Dis 1968;145:475–485. [PubMed: 4866668]

 Spillmann M, Borus JS, Davidson KG, Worthington JJ 3rd, Tedlow JR, Fava M. Sociodemographic predictors of response to antidepressant treatment. Int J Psychiatry Med 1997;27:129–136. [PubMed: 9565719]

- 7. Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Warden D, Ritz L, Norquist G, Howland RH, Lebowitz B, McGrath PJ, Shores-Wilson K, Biggs MM, Balasubramani GK, Fava M. STAR*D Study Team. Evaluation of outcomes with citalopram for depression using measurement-based care in STAR*D: Implications for clinical practice. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163:28–40. [PubMed: 16390886]
- 8. Brown GW, Bifulco A, Harris TO. Life events, vulnerability and onset of depression: some refinements. Br J Psychiatry 1987;150:30–42. [PubMed: 3651696]
- 9. Brown GW, Harris T. Stressor, vulnerability and depression: a question of replication. Psychol Med 1986;16:739–744. [PubMed: 3823293]
- Brown, GW.; Harris, TO. Social origins of depression: A study of psychiatric disorder in women. Tavistock; London: 1978.
- 11. Dohrenwend BP. The role of adversity and stress in psychopathology: some evidence and its implications for theory and research. J Health Soc Behav 2000;41:1–19. [PubMed: 10750319]
- 12. Marmot MG, Kogevinas M, Elston MA. Social/economic status and disease. Annu Rev Public Health 1987;8:111–135. [PubMed: 3555518]
- 13. Monroe SM, Simons AD. Diathesis-stress theories in the context of life stress research: Implications for the depressive disorders. Psychol Bull 1991;110:406–425. [PubMed: 1758917]
- 14. Dohrenwend, BP.; Dohrenwend, BS. Social status and psychological disorder; a causal inquiry. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 1969.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, Rush AJ, Walters EE, Wang PS. National Comorbidity Survey R. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA 2003;289:3095–3105. [PubMed: 12813115]
- Lorant V, Croux C, Weich S, Deliege D, Mackenbach J, Ansseau M. Depression and socio-economic risk factors: 7-year longitudinal population study. Br J Psychiatry 2007;190:293–298. [PubMed: 17401034]
- 17. Lorant V, Deliege D, Eaton W, Robert A, Philippot P, Ansseau M. Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 2003;157:98–112. [PubMed: 12522017]
- 18. Roberts RE, Lee ES. Occupation and the prevalence of major depression, alcohol, and drug abuse in the United States. Environ Res 1993;61:266–278. [PubMed: 8495668]
- Wilson KC, Chen R, Taylor S, McCracken CF, Copeland JR. Socio-economic deprivation and the prevalence and prediction of depression in older community residents. The MRC-ALPHA Study. Br J Psychiatry 1999;175:549–553. [PubMed: 10789352]
- Gum AM, Arean PA, Bostrom A. Low-income depressed older adults with psychiatric comorbidity: secondary analyses of response to psychotherapy and case management. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2007;22:124–130. [PubMed: 17096464]
- Miranda J, Chung JY, Green BL, Krupnick J, Siddique J, Revicki DA, Belin T. Treating depression in predominantly low-income young minority women. JAMA 2003;290:57–65. [PubMed: 12837712]
- Andreescu C, Lenze EJ, Dew MA, Begley AE, Mulsant BH, Dombrovski AY, Pollock BG, Stack J, Miller MD, Reynolds CF. Effect of comorbid anxiety on treatment response and relapse risk in latelife depression: controlled study. Br J Psychiatry 2007;190:344–349. [PubMed: 17401042]
- 23. Derogatis LR, Melisaratos N. The Brief Symptom Inventory: an introductory report. Psychol Med 1983;13:595–605. [PubMed: 6622612]
- 24. Dew MA, Reynolds CF 3rd, Houck PR, Hall M, Buysse DJ, Frank E, Kupfer DJ. Temporal profiles of the course of depression during treatment: predictors of pathways toward recovery in the elderly. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997;54:1016–1024. [PubMed: 9366658]
- 25. Lenze EJ, Mulsant BH, Shear MK, Schulberg HC, Dew MA, Begley AE, Pollock BG, Reynolds CF 3rd. Comorbid anxiety disorders in depressed elderly patients. Am J Psychiatry 2000;157:722–728. [PubMed: 10784464]
- 26. Steffens DC, McQuoid DR. Impact of symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder on the course of late-life depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2005;13:40–47. [PubMed: 15653939]

 Whyte EM, Dew MA, Gildengers A, Lenze EJ, Bharucha A, Mulsant BH, Reynolds CF. Time course of response to antidepressants in late-life major depression: therapeutic implications. Drugs Aging 2004;21:531–554. [PubMed: 15182217]

- 28. Hybels CF, Blazer DG, Steffens DC. Predictors of partial remission in older patients treated for major depression: the role of comorbid dysthymia. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2005;13:713–721. [PubMed: 16085788]
- 29. Mulder RT, Joyce PR, Frampton CM, Luty SE, Sullivan PF. Six months of treatment for depression: outcome and predictors of the course of illness. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163:95–100. [PubMed: 16390895]
- 30. Berkman LF, Macintyre S. The measurement of social class in health studies: old measures and new formulations. IARC Sci Publ 1997:51–64. [PubMed: 9353663]
- 31. Braveman PA, Cubbin C, Egerter S, Chideya S, Marchi KS, Metzler M, Posner S. Socioeconomic status in health research: one size does not fit all. JAMA 2005;294:2879–2888. [PubMed: 16352796]
- 32. Bernal M, Haro JM, Bernert S, Brugha T, de Graaf R, Bruffaerts R, Lepine JP, de Girolamo G, Vilagut G, Gasquet I, Torres JV, Kovess V, Heider D, Neeleman J, Kessler R, Alonso J. Risk factors for suicidality in Europe: results from the ESEMED study. J Affect Disord 2007;101:27–34. [PubMed: 17074395]
- 33. Jeste ND, Hays JC, Steffens DC. Clinical correlates of anxious depression among elderly patients with depression. J Affect Disord 2006;90:37–41. [PubMed: 16325261]
- 34. Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005;62:617–627. [PubMed: 15939839]
- 35. Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Diala C, Kessler RC, Sorlie PD. Social class, assets, organizational control and the prevalence of common groups of psychiatric disorders. Soc Sci Med 1998;47:2043–2053. [PubMed: 10075245]
- 36. Link BG, Phelan JC. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. J Health Soc Behav 1995;35:80–94. [PubMed: 7560851]
- Kraemer HC, Frank E, Kupfer DJ. Moderators of treatment outcomes: clinical, research, and policy importance. JAMA 2006;296:1286–1289. [PubMed: 16968853]
- 38. Kraemer HC, Wilson GT, Fairburn CG, Agras WS. Mediators and moderators of treatment effects in randomized clinical trials. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2002;59:877–883. [PubMed: 12365874]
- 39. Summerfelt WT, Meltzer HY. Efficacy vs. effectiveness in psychiatric research. Psychiatr Serv 1998;49:834–835. [PubMed: 9634169]
- 40. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960;23:56–62. [PubMed: 14399272]
- 41. Frank E, Prien RF, Jarrett RB, Keller MB, Kupfer DJ, Lavori PW, Rush AJ, Weissman MM. Conceptualization and rationale for consensus definitions of terms in major depressive disorder: Remission, recovery, relapse, and recurrence. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991;48:851–855. [PubMed: 1929776]
- 42. U.S. Census Bureau. State and County QuickFacts. Allegheny County; Pennsylvania: 2004.
- 43. Cox DR. Regression models and life-tables. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Methodological) 1972;34:187–220.
- 44. Zeger SL, Liang KY. Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous outcomes. Biometrics 1986;42:121–130. [PubMed: 3719049]
- 45. Alexopoulos GS, Katz IR, Bruce ML, Heo M, Ten Have T, Raue P, Bogner HR, Schulberg HC, Mulsant BH, Reynolds CF 3rd. Remission in depressed geriatric primary care patients: a report from the PROSPECT study. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162:718–724. [PubMed: 15800144]
- 46. Lenze EJ. Comorbidity of depression and anxiety in the elderly. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2003;5:62–67. [PubMed: 12686004]
- 47. Arean PA, Gum AM, Tang L, Unutzer J. Service Use and Outcomes Among Elderly Persons With Low Incomes Being Treated for Depression. Psychiatr Serv 2007;58:1057–1064. [PubMed: 17664516]
- 48. Blazer DG. Depression in late life: review and commentary. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2003;58:249–265. [PubMed: 12634292]

49. Brugha TS, Bebbington PE, MacCarthy B, Sturt E, Wykes T, Potter J. Gender, social support and recovery from depressive disorders: a prospective clinical study. Psychol Med 1990;20:147–156. [PubMed: 2320692]

- 50. Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, McClay J, Mill J, Martin J, Braithwaite A, Poulton R. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science 2003;301:386–389. [PubMed: 12869766]
- 51. Griffin JM, Fuhrer R, Stansfeld SA, Marmot M. The importance of low control at work and home on depression and anxiety: do these effects vary by gender and social class? Soc Sci Med 2002;54:783–798. [PubMed: 11999493]
- 52. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Stressful life events and major depression: risk period, long-term contextual threat, and diagnostic specificity. Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease 1998;186:661–669. [PubMed: 9824167]
- 53. Kessler RC, Neighbors HW. A new perspective on the relationships among race, social class, and psychological distress. J Health Soc Behav 1986;27:107–115. [PubMed: 3734380]
- 54. Schieman S, Plickert G. Functional limitations and changes in levels of depression among older adults: a multiple-hierarchy stratification perspective. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2007;62:S36–42. [PubMed: 17284565]
- 55. Casey PR, Dunn G, Kelly BD, Birkbeck G, Dalgard OS, Lehtinen V, Britta S, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Dowrick C. Factors associated with suicidal ideation in the general population: five-centre analysis from the ODIN study. Br J Psychiatry 2006;189:410–415. [PubMed: 17077430]
- 56. Conwell Y, Duberstein PR, Caine ED. Risk factors for suicide in later life. Biol Psychiatry 2002;52:193–204. [PubMed: 12182926]
- 57. Ross LE, Campbell VLS, Dennis C-L, Blackmore ER. Demographic Characteristics of Participants in Studies of Risk Factors, Prevention, and Treatment of Postpartum Depression. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry 2006;51:704–710.
- 58. Isaacs SL, Schroeder SA. Class the ignored determinant of the nation's health. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1137–1142. [PubMed: 15356313]

Cohen et al.

Page 9

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	<\$25,000 N=40	\$25,000-\$50,000 N=158	≥\$50,000 N=50	F or X ²	df	ď
DEMOGRAPHICS						
Education (years) <12	12.5 (2.9)	12.5 (2.5)	13.2 (2.5)	1.66	2.244	61.
12	35 (14)	45 (71)	35 (17)	6.17	9	.40
13–15	15 (6)	18 (29)	24 (12)			
>16	20 (8)	16 (25)	24 (12)			
Age (years)	71.8 (8.6)	71.4 (6.9)	71.9 (7.8)			
69–69	48 (19)	35 (56)	36 (18)	0.15	2,245	98.
70–75	15 (6)	38 (60)	34 (17)	7.65	4	.11
76+	38 (15)	27 (42)	30 (15)			
Sex: Women	70 (28)	76 (120)	74 (37)	0.61	2	.74
Race: Caucasian	80 (32)	94 (149)	96 (48)	10.42	2	.005
Marital Status						
Not Married	23 (9)	18 (28)	20 (10)			
Married	28 (11)	44 (70)	42 (21)	3.84	4	.43
Widowed	50 (20)	38 (60)	38 (19)			
BASELINE CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS	ARACTERISTICS					
CIRS-G score	8.6 (3.1)	8.4 (3.8)	8.6 (3.6)	0:09	2,239	.91
1st vs. Recurrent Depression	80 (32)	76 (120)	76 (38)	0.31	2	98.
Age of onset	54.4 (19.4)	54.5 (19.2)	55.0 (19.2)	0.02	2,245	86:
Late onset≥60	40 (16)	47 (75)	46 (23)	0.72	2	.70

NIH-PA Author Manuscript	NH	NIH-PA Author Manuscript		NIH-PA Author Manuscript	NIH-PA Auth	
	<\$25,000 N=40	\$25,000~\$50,000 N=158	>\$50,000 N=50	F or X ²	Jp	ď
Weeks of Current Episode ^a	43 (59) med=15	69 (162) med=25	42 (47) med=25	0.58	2,245	.56
Folstein MM	28.3 (2.6)	28.6 (1.8)	28.9 (1.3)	1.26	2,239	.28
HRSD 17 total	22.0 (4.0)	22.0 (4.1)	20.9 (4.2)	1.44	2,245	.24
Suicidality 2+	33 (13)	20 (32)	14 (7)	4.72	2	60:
BSI Anxiety	1.29 (1.01)	1.40 (0.90)	1.22 (0.87)	0.79	2,239	.45

'Natural log transformation prior to statistical comparison

tdiassnuem to the total solution and the total solution and solution to the total solution and solution to the total solution to the total solution and solution to the total so

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

		i	ŕ	ŕ
	Treatment response	esponse	Suicidality ^b	dity^b
	Separate analyses of SES and anxiety $^{\mathcal{C}}$	Joint analyses of SES and anxiety ^d	Separate analyses of SES and anxiety $^{\mathcal{C}}$	Joint analyses of SES and anxiety d
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Socioeconomic Status				
Median household income				
Low	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Middle	1.80 (1.18–2.75)	1.77 (1.16–2.71)	0.48 (0.27–0.94)	0.53 (0.29–0.96)
High	1.25 (0.76–2.05)	1.32 (0.80–2.19)	0.39 (0.16–0.94)	0.44 (0.19–1.04)
Education (years)				
<12	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
12	0.98 (0.66–1.45)	0.97 (0.65–1.44)	0.97 (0.48–1.99)	0.98 (0.50–1.92)
13–15	1.03 (0.65–1.65)	1.06 (0.65–1.70)	0.72 (0.36–1.47)	0.70 (0.35–1.41)
≥16	1.09 (0.67–1.60)	1.10 (0.68–1.78)	0.93 (0.40–2.15)	0.89 (0.42–1.89)
BSI anxiety score at baseline	0.74 (0.61–0.91)	0.73 (0.60–0.89)	1.56 (1.02–2.37)	1.45 (0.98–2.14)

acox regression models of the time to treatment response. All models control for demographic (age, gender, race/ethnicity, and marital status) and baseline clinical (recurrent depression, age at onset, duration of current episode, medical illness burden, and severity of depressive symptoms) factors.

bepeated-measures generalized logit models of suicidal symptoms during treatment. All models control for demographic (age, gender, race/ethnicity, and marital status) and baseline clinical (recurrent depression, age at onset, duration of current episode, medical illness burden, and severity of depressive symptoms) factors.

Results shown from two separate models, one with income and education (plus control variables), and the other with baseline anxiety symptoms (and control variables). The results for SES were reported previously by Cohen et al. [1] for treatment response and suicidality; results for anxiety reported by Andreescu et al. [22] for treatment response.

 $d_{\rm Results}$ of a single, fully adjusted model with all SES, anxiety, and control variables.