# **Estrogen Receptor (ER)**  $\beta$  **or p53 Attenuates ER** $\alpha$ **-mediated Transcriptional Activation on the** *BRCA2* **Promoter\***

Received for publication, April 10, 2008, and in revised form, August 8, 2008 Published, JBC Papers in Press, September 2, 2008, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M802785200

**Wei Jin**‡§1**, Ying Chen**‡1**, Gen-hong Di**‡ **, Penelope Miron**¶ **, Yi-feng Hou**‡ **, Hui Gao**§ **, and Zhi-ming Shao**‡2

*From the* ‡ *Breast Cancer Institute, Cancer Hospital, Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Institutes of Biomedical Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China,* § *The Cancer Institute of New Jersey, University of Medicine and Dentistry, New Jersey-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, and* ¶ *Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard University, Boston Massachusetts 02115*

*BRCA2* **is closely related to the pathogenesis of breast cancer. In the present study, we found that estrogen can activate** *BRCA2* transcription, which is estrogen receptor  $(ER)$   $\alpha$ -dependent.  $During$  estrogen treatment,  $ER\alpha$  interacted with CREB-binding **protein/p300, p68/p72, and MyoD and formed an activating transcriptional complex that could bind to many Sp1 sites on the** *BRCA2* **promoter and activate its transcription by inducing histone acetylations. MyoD is a new component of ERα complex.**  $ER\beta$  or p53 attenuated  $ER\alpha$ -mediated transcriptional activation by preventing the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$  transcriptional complex and histone acetylations on the  $BRCA2$  promoter.  $ER\beta$  interacted with ER $\alpha$  and CREB-binding protein/p300 and formed a **weak activating transcriptional complex that competed for** binding to Sp1 sites with ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex and **slightly attenuated** *BRCA2* **transcription. Different from ER, p53 interacted with HDAC1 and CtBP1 and formed an inhibiting transcriptional complex that could compete for binding to Sp1 sites with ER**- **transcriptional complex and inhibit** *BRCA2* **transcription more significantly.**

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of death in American women, accounting for more than 50,000 deaths each year. The breast cancer and ovarian susceptibility genes 1 and 2 (*BRCA1* and *BRCA2*) <sup>3</sup> were identified based on their genetic linkage to familial early onset of breast and ovarian cancer syndromes (1–3). Mutations in the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* are characterized by predisposition to familial breast and ovarian cancer. However, reduced levels of wild-type *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* expression have been detected in a large percentage of sporadic breast tumors in the absence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations  $(4-6)$ , suggesting that defects in transcriptional regulation of the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes contribute to sporadic breast and ovarian tumorigenesis (7, 8). Detection of this transcriptional regulation in cancer cells may provide a molecular mechanistic basis for sporadic breast and ovarian tumor formation.

In addition to attenuation of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* expression by mutation or promoter hypermethylation, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* expression are also controlled by transcriptional factors (9). There are only a few studies about the promoter transcription of *BRCA2*. Nuclear factor-κB can activate the *BRCA2* promoter activity (10); p53 represses the *BRCA2* promoter activity and down-regulates *BRCA2* mRNA and protein levels in response to DNA damage (11). So far, there is no report on how estrogen receptor (ER) α activates *BRCA2* transcription during  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment and how ER $\beta$  or p53 attenuate the *BRCA2* transcription by competing with  $ER\alpha$  transcriptional complex for binding to Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter region upstream of the transcription start site. In this study, we investigated changes in these complexes on the *BRCA2* promoter region and their effects on *BRCA2* transcription.

### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Cell Lines, Culture, Plasmids, and Transfection*—Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 95% air. Cells were checked routinely and found to be free of contamination by *Mycoplasma* or fungi. All the cell lines were discarded after 3 months, and new lines were obtained from frozen stocks.

 $BRCA2$  promoter/luciferase construct pGL3-BRCA2  $(-1470)$ to +129) was kindly provided by Dr. Penelope Miron of the Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard University. CBP and p300 expression vectors were kindly provided by Dr. Changjiang Xu of Shanghai Innovative Research Center of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China. ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$  expression vectors were kindly provided by Dr. Yifeng Hou in our hospital. pcDNA3.0-CtBP1 plasmid was a gift from Dr. Yang Shi of Harvard Medical School. p53 expression vector (pcDNA3.1-p53-Flag) was purchased from Shanghai GeneChem Co. Ltd. (Zhangjiang, Shanghai, China). MyoD expression vector (pCMV-MyoD) was purchased from Origene Co. (Rockville, MD).



<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported in part by 973 (Grant 2006CB0D0901), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 30371580 and 30572109), the Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (Grants 03J14019, 06DJ14004, and 06DZ19504); the Cancer Hospital/Cancer Institute of Fudan University (Grant YJ200604), and the Shanghai Pujiang Program.The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with

 $1$  Both authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Breast Surgery, Breast Cancer Inst., Cancer Hospital/Cancer Inst., Fudan University, 270 Dong'An Rd., Shanghai 200032, China. Tel.: 86-21-6417-5590-3423; Fax:

<sup>86-21-64434556;</sup> E-mail: zhimingshao@yahoo.com.<br><sup>3</sup> The abbreviations used are: BRCA, breast cancer and ovarian susceptibility gene;  $E_{2}$ , 17 $\beta$ -estradiol; ER, estrogen receptor; HDAC, histone deacetylase; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; co-IP, co-immunoprecipitation; FBS, fetal bovine serum; CBP, cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB)-binding protein; siRNA, small interfering RNA; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation.

Briefly for transient transfection, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of  $4 \times 10^5$  cells/well. The following day, cells were transfected with the indicated expression vector for 8 h. Following transfection, cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium plus 5% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (FBS) and allowed to recover for 16 h. Cells were then treated in RPMI 1640 medium containing either control (ethanol vehicle) or 10 nM 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>) (Sigma) for the times indicated.

*Reverse Transcription-PCR*—Total RNA was extracted from cells with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and quantified by UV absorbance spectroscopy. The reverse transcription reaction was performed using the Superscript First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ l containing 5  $\mu$ g of total RNA, 200 ng of random hexamers,  $1\times$  reverse transcription buffer,  $2.5 \text{ mm}$  MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mm deoxynucleotide triphosphate mixture, 10 mm dithiothreitol, RNaseOUT recombinant ribonuclease inhibitor, 50 units of superscript reverse transcriptase, and diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water. After incubation at 42 °C for 50 min, the reverse transcription reaction was terminated by heating at 85 °C for 5 min. The newly synthesized cDNA was amplified by PCR. The reaction mixture contained 2  $\mu$ l of cDNA template, 1.5 mm MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 units of *Taq* polymerase, and  $0.5 \mu M$  BRCA2 primer (5'-TGATCCAAAGGGTC-CCAAAGTTTC-3' and 5'-TTCACAGCTTTTTGCAGAGC-CTCACA-3); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase primer (5'-GCCAAAAGGGTCATCATCTC-3' and 5'-GTA-GAGGCAGGGATGATGTTC-3) was used as an internal control. Amplification cycles were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min, then 33 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 58 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1.5 min followed by 72 °C for 10 min. Aliquots of PCR product were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gels, and PCR fragments were visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

*Western Blot Analysis*—Cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and lysed in mammalian protein extraction buffer (Pierce). The lysates were transferred to Eppendorf tubes and clarified by centrifugation at  $12,000 \times g$  for 40 min at 4 °C. Identical amounts (50  $\mu$ g of protein) of cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. The proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose. The membranes were incubated in blocking solution consisting of 5% powered milk in PBST (PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20) at room temperature for 1 h and then immunoblotted with BRCA2 (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA), ER $\beta$ , p53, MyoD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA), or tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies, respectively. Detection by enzyme-linked chemiluminescence was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (ECL, Amersham Biosciences).

*ChIP Assay and ChIP-ReChIP*—ChIP assays were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA). Briefly cells in 150-mm tissue culture dishes were fixed with 1% formaldehyde and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C. The cells were then washed twice with ice-cold PBS, harvested, and resuspended in ice-cold TNT lysis buffer (20 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mm NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1% aprotinin). The lysates were sonicated to shear the DNA to fragments of 200– 600 bp and subjected to immunoprecipitation with the following antibodies, respectively, CBP (Chemicon, Rosemont, IL), Sp1, ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , p53,

MyoD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), acetylated histone H3 (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA), histone deacetylase (HDAC) 1, p300, CtBP1, acetylated histone H2A, acetylated histone H2B, acetylated histone H4 (Millipore Corp.), or IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) as negative control. 3  $\mu$ g of antibody was used for each immunoprecipitation. The antibody protein complexes were collected by Protein G beads and washed three times with ChIP wash buffer (5% SDS, 1 mm EDTA, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, 40 mm NaHPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.2). The immune complexes were eluted with 1% SDS and 1  $\text{M}$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and the cross-links were reversed by incubation at 65 °C for 4 h in the presence of 200 mM NaCl and RNase A. The samples were then treated with proteinase K for 2 h, and DNA was purified by minicolumn, ethanol-precipitated, and resuspended in 100 ml of H2O. The primers corresponding to the *BRCA2* promoter region  $-191$  and  $+30$  upstream of the transcription start site (sense, 5-AGGGTCAGCGAGAAGA-3; and antisense, 5-CTGCCGCCTAGTTTCA-3) (221 bp) were used for PCR to detect the presence of the *BRCA2* promoter DNA. As negative controls, we tested for the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$ , CBP, p300, and MyoD at exon 7 of the *BRCA2* gene using the primers (sense, 5'-AGCATTCTGCCTCATACAGG-3'; and antisense, 5-TCAACCTCATCTGCTCTTTCTT-3) (284 bp).

In brief, for ChIP-reChIP assay after sonication, chromatin was incubated overnight with 5  $\mu$ g of ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , or p53 antibody, respectively, or IgG as negative control. After several washings, the beads were incubated with 50  $\mu$ l of buffer containing 0.5% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> for 10 min at 65 °C. The supernatant was collected after spinning; diluted with 1 mm EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate; and incubated with 3 g of the CBP, p300, MyoD, Sp1, HDAC1, or CtBP1 antibody, respectively, overnight. After washing, protein·DNA complexes were eluted from beads and treated with proteinase K overnight. DNA was purified with a minicolumn, and the DNA binding to the *BRCA2* endogenous promoter was quantified by PCR using the primers described above.

*siRNA and Transfection*—MyoD siRNA and non-targeting siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Cells in exponential phase of growth were plated in 6-well plates at  $5 \times 10^5$  cells/well, grown for 24 h, and then transfected with MyoD-targeted siRNA or non-targeting siRNA at a final concentration of 100 nm using Oligofectamine and Opti-MEM I reduced serum medium (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Silencing was examined 48 h after transfection.

*Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay*—In these experiments, MDA-MB-231 or MCF-7 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of  $1-2 \times 10^5$  cells/well and cultured for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with the *BRCA2* promoter/luciferase construct (0.5  $\mu$ g/well) or co-transfected with 0.5  $\mu$ g of pcDNA3.0,  $ER\alpha$ , CBP, p300, MyoD, ER $\beta$ , p53, or CtBP1 expression vector, respectively, together with 20 ng of control *Renilla* luciferase reporter construct pRL-TK (Promega, Madison, WI). The total amount of DNA per well was adjusted to 1.5  $\mu$ g by the addition of sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Luciferase assays were performed as recommended by the vendor (Promega) and normalized relative to protein concentration as determined by the



bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Pierce). The promoter activity was then expressed as luminescence units, which was the ratio of luminescence counts of cell lysate and the absorbance at 595 nm for the same amount of cell lysate stained with bicinchoninic acid protein assay reagent.

*Mutagenesis*—BRCA2 promoter/luciferase construct (-1470 to +129) was used as template. Plasmid DNA was methylated with DNA methylase at 37 °C for 1 h. The plasmid was amplified in a mutagenesis reaction with two overlapping primers, one of which contained the target mutation. The product was linear, double-stranded DNA containing the mutation. The mutagenesis mixture was transformed into wild-type *Escherichia coli*. The host cell circularized the linear mutated DNA, and McrBC endonuclease in the host cell digested the methylated template DNA, leaving only unmethylated, mutated product. For individual mutations, the sequences of Sp1-binding sites were mutated as follows: **CGCGGG** (sp1A site,  $-9$  to  $-4$ ) was converted to GAGAAA,  $GGGTGG$  (sp1B site,  $-55$  to  $-50$ ) was converted to AAAGAG, and **CCCACCC** (sp1C site, 75 to 69) was converted to CCCATTC.

*In Vivo Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) Assays*—Approximately  $1 \times 10^7$  exponentially growing MCF-7 cells or the ER $\alpha$ -,  $ER\beta$ -, MyoD-, p53-, or CtBP1-transfected MCF-7 cells were harvested 24 h later, washed in cold PBS, and resuspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 150 mm NaCl, 1 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1  $\mu$ g/ml aprotinin, 1  $\mu$ g/ml pepstatin A, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). The cell lysate (2 mg of protein) was incubated on ice for 20 min and clarified by centrifugation. ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , MyoD, p53, or CtBP1 antibodies were added to achieve a  $5 \mu g/ml$  final concentration, respectively, and incubation was continued for 1 h at 4 °C. To precipitate the immune complexes, 50  $\mu$ l of Protein G-Sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads (Amersham Biosciences) was added to the lysate with incubation for 1 h at 4 °C. The immune complexes were pelleted by centrifugation; washed extensively with lysis buffer and a final wash with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; and resuspended in SDS sample buffer for analysis by Western blot. To detect proteins in the immune complexes, the following antibodies were used respectively in Western blot: CBP (Chemicon), Sp1, ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , p53, p68, MyoD, CtBP1, CtBP2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), HDAC1, p300, CtBP1 (Millipore Corp.), and p72 (Novus Biologicals, Inc., Littleton, CO).

*Statistical Analysis*—Data shown represent means  $\pm$  S.D. Statistical analyses for detection of significant differences between the control and experimental groups were carried out using Student's *t* test.

#### **RESULTS**

*Estrogen Activates BRCA2 Promoter Activity*—To test whether  $E<sub>2</sub>$  could induce *BRCA2* expression, MCF-7 cells were precultured for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS (Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, UT), and then MCF-7 cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm  $E<sub>2</sub>$ . Fig. 1A shows that, as compared with control MCF-7 cells, the level of the endogenous *BRCA2* mRNA in the cells with  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment at 24 h increased as determined by reverse transcription-PCR. Fig. 1*B* shows that, as compared with control MCF-7 cells, the level of



FIGURE 1. **E<sub>2</sub> induces** *BRCA2* **expression in MCF-7 cells.** A and B, E<sub>2</sub> induces BRCA2 mRNA and protein levels in MCF-7 cells. MCF-7 cells were precultured for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS, and then MCF-7 cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. A, mRNA expression levels of *BRCA2*. *B*, protein expression levels of *BRCA2*. *C*, E<sub>2</sub> induces *BRCA2* promoter activity in transiently transfected MCF-7 cells. MCF-7 cells were precultured for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS, and then *BRCA2* promoter construct (pGL3-*BRCA2*) was transiently transfected into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 24, 48, or 72 h in RPMI 1640 medium or RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm  $E_2$ . Luciferase activity in the cells treated with  $E_2$  was compared with that in the control cells. \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ . *D*,  $E_2$ induces *BRCA2* promoter activity with the help of  $ER\alpha$  in transiently transfected MDA-MB-231 cells. MDA-MB-231 cancer cells were co-transfected with  $pGL3$ -BRCA2 with  $ER\alpha$  expression vector or an empty vector (pcDNA3). Transfected cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium or RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

the endogenous *BRCA2* protein in the cells with  $E_2$  treatment at 24 h increased as determined by Western blot.

In transient transfection assays with  $ER\alpha$ -positive MCF-7 breast cancer cells, we found that E<sub>2</sub> stimulated *BRCA2* promoter activity at the 24-h time point significantly (Fig. 1*C*). In transient transfection assays with  $ER\alpha$ -negative MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, we found that E<sub>2</sub> could not stimulate *BRCA2* promoter activity at the 24-h time point. However, *BRCA2*



transcription became responsive to  $E<sub>2</sub>$  following co-transfection with various amounts of  $ER\alpha$  expression vector (Fig. 1*D*).

*Estrogen Stimulates Histone Acetylations and the Recruit*ment of ER $\alpha$ , CBP/p300, MyoD, and Sp1 on the BRCA2 Pro*moter Region*—In this study, we investigated whether or not  $E_2$ stimulated the recruitment of some transcriptional factors to the *BRCA2* promoter. ChIP experiments showed that  $E<sub>2</sub>$  stimulated the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$  to the  $BRCA2$  promoter. This was accompanied by the recruitment of CBP/p300, MyoD, and Sp1 to the *BRCA2* promoter (Fig. 2*A*). Control experiments indicated that the co-incubation of cross-linked chromatin with preimmune IgG did not gen-

erate a corresponding *BRCA2* amplification product. Neither did  $E<sub>2</sub>$  stimulate the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$ ,  $CBP/p300$ , or MyoD to the coding region of exon 7 in the *BRCA2* gene (Fig. 2*B*).

To demonstrate that  $ER\alpha$  was recruited to the *BRCA2* promoter through interaction with CBP, p300, MyoD, and Sp1, we performed ChIP-reChIP assays. Crosslinked and fragmented chromatin was prepared from MCF-7 cells and sequentially subjected to the first step ChIP with  $ER\alpha$  antibody and the second step immunoprecipitation with CBP, p300, MyoD, and Sp1 antibody, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2*C*, the two-step ChIP-reChIP successfully precipitated the *BRCA2* promoter, indicating that  $ER\alpha$ ,  $CBP/p300$ , MyoD, and Sp1 formed a protein complex on the *BRCA2* promoter.

In the above experiments, we detected that CBP/p300 and  $ER\alpha$ formed a complex and bound to the *BRCA2* promoter. Because CBP and p300 are histone acetyltransferases, next we investigated whether treatment of  $E_2$  might affect histone acetylation on the *BRCA2* promoter. ChIPs with antibodies against acetyl-H2A, acetyl-H2B, acetyl-H3, and acetyl-H4 were performed between  $E_2$ -treated MCF-7 cells and untreated control MCF-7 cells. In cells treated by  $E_2$ , increases of acetyl-H2A, acetyl-H2B, acetyl-H3, and acetyl-H4 were found (Fig. 2*D*). These results indicated that CBP and p300 bound to the *BRCA2* promoter and that CBP/p300 might induce specific changes of histone acetylation levels on the *BRCA2* promoter during  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment.

To identify the roles of  $ER\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD in regulating *BRCA2* promoter transcription, we co-transfected the *BRCA2* promoter/luciferase construct with ERa, CBP, p300, or MyoD expression vector, respectively. Fig. 2*E* shows that the luciferase activity was enhanced significantly by ER $\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD, further indicating that  $ER\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD were involved in the activation of the *BRCA2* promoter during  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment.

To further analyze the role of MyoD in *BRCA2* transcription, we knocked down the expression of MyoD with siRNA and determined whether inhibition of MyoD expression would





influence *BRCA2* transcription. Fig. 2*F* shows that the expression of MyoD was significantly inhibited by MyoD siRNA. Fig. 2*G* shows that inhibition of MyoD expression by siRNA attenuated the E<sub>2</sub>-induced activation of *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells.

*Overexpression of ER or p53 Attenuates BRCA2 Expression and the Recruitment of ER*-*, CBP/p300, MyoD, and Histone Acetylations on the BRCA2 Promoter Region Induced by E*<sub>2</sub>—To test the effect of  $ER\beta$  or p53 on *BRCA2* expression, we precultured MCF-7 cells for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS (Hyclone Laboratories), and then transfected ER $\beta$ , p53, or pcDNA3 vector, respectively, into the MCF-7 cells. Cells were cultured for 6 h, and then the medium was replaced with RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm  $E<sub>2</sub>$  for 24 h. Western blot analysis demonstrated that overexpression of  $ER\beta$  or p53 in MCF-7 cells reduced the expression level of  $BRCA2$  induced by  $E_2$  compared with MCF-7 cells transfected with the empty plasmid pcDNA3. p53 reduced BRCA2 expression more significantly than did  $ER\beta$  (Fig. 3A).

In transient transfection experiments with MCF-7 cells, we found that  $E_2$ -induced *BRCA2* promoter activity was attenuated following co-transfection with  $ER\beta$  or wild-type p53 expression vector. p53 reduced *BRCA2* promoter activity more significantly than did  $ER\beta$  (Fig. 3*B*).

As seen in Fig. 3*C*, ChIP experiments showed that both ER and p53 could attenuate the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$ ,  $CBP/p300$ , and MyoD to the *BRCA2* promoter region stimulated by  $E<sub>2</sub>$ . Different from ER $\beta$ , p53 repressed the recruitment of ER $\alpha$ , CBP/p300, and MyoD to the *BRCA2* promoter region more significantly.

ChIP experiments also showed that both  $ER\beta$  and p53 attenuated histone acetylations of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 on the *BRCA2* promoter region stimulated by  $E_2$ . Different from ER $\beta$ , p53 repressed histone acetylations more significantly (Fig. 3*D*). In transient transfection experiments with MCF-7 cells, we found that both ERa and ER<sub>B</sub> increased *BRCA2* promoter activity, but  $ER\beta$  only increased *BRCA2* promoter activity slightly compared with ERa, whereas p53 repressed BRCA2 promoter activity significantly (Fig. 3*E*).

*Detection of ER or p53 Complex on the BRCA2 Promoter*— To determine the potential  $ER\beta$  transcriptional complex, exponentially growing MCF-7 cells were transfected with ER expression vector. ChIP assays demonstrated that  $ER\beta$  could bind directly to the *BRCA2* promoter (Fig. 4*A*). ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that ER $\beta$  could interact with ER $\alpha$  and form a heterodimer on the *BRCA2* promoter; at the same time,  $ER\beta$  could interact with CBP/p300 and form a protein complex on the *BRCA2* promoter, but  $ER\beta$  could not interact with MyoD on the *BRCA2* promoter (Fig. 4*B*).

To determine the potential p53 transcriptional complex, exponentially growing MCF-7 cells were transfected with p53 expression vector. ChIP assays demonstrated that p53 could bind directly to the *BRCA2* promoter; this was accompanied by recruitment of HDAC1 and CtBP1 to the *BRCA2* promoter (Fig. 4*C*). ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that p53 could interact with HDAC1 and CtBP1 and form a protein complex on the *BRCA2* promoter (Fig. 4*D*). These results indicated that p53 might recruit HDAC1 and CtBP1 and form an inhibiting transcriptional complex on the *BRCA2* promoter.

To test whether HDAC1 or CtBP1 was involved in the p53 induced repression of *BRCA2* promoter activity, we chose CtBP1 and tested its role in regulating *BRCA2* promoter activity. We transfected p53 and CtBP1 expression vectors into MCF-7 cells and found that both p53 and CtBP1 could inhibit *BRCA2* promoter activity. p53 and CtBP1 could synergistically repress *BRCA2* promoter activity more significantly (Fig. 4*E*).

Detection of *ERα*, *ERβ*, *MyoD*, *p53, and CtBP1 Transcrip*tional Complex in Vivo-To confirm the potential  $ER\alpha$  transcriptional complex, MCF-7 cells were transfected with  $ER\alpha$ expression vector. ER $\alpha$  was immunoprecipitated with anti-ER $\alpha$ antibody, and the immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by electrophoresis and analyzed by Western blot. As shown in Fig. 5*A*, CBP, p300, and MyoD were co-precipitated by anti-ER $\alpha$  antibody in extracts of cells transfected with ER $\alpha$ , indicating that ER $\alpha$  interacted with CBP/p300 and MyoD. The results also showed that Sp1 was co-precipitated by anti-ER $\alpha$  antibody, indicating that  $ER\alpha$  complex might bind to the Sp1 site. But ER $\beta$  was not co-precipitated by anti-ER $\alpha$  antibody.

FIGURE 2. **ER** $\alpha$ **, CBP/p300, and MyoD increase** *BRCA2* **promoter activity in MCF-7 cells during E<sub>2</sub> treatment. A, binding of ER** $\alpha$ **, CBP/p300, MyoD, and Sp1** to the BRCA2 promoter in MCF-7 cells with E<sub>2</sub> treatment. MCF-7 cells were precultured for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS, and then MCF-7 cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. Nucleic extracts were prepared from MCF-7 cells or MCF-7 cells treated with 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against ER $\alpha$ , CBP, p300, MyoD, and Sp1, respectively, as described under "Experimental Procedures." The primers corresponding to the BRCA2 promoter region -191 and +30 upstream of the transcriptional start site were used for PCR to detect the presence of the *BRCA2* promoter DNA. *Con,* control. *B,* ER $\alpha$ , CBP/p300, and MyoD cannot bind to exon 7 in the *BRCA2* gene in MCF-7 cells with  $E_2$  treatment. Con, control. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against ER $\alpha$ , CBP, p300, and MyoD, respectively, as described above. The primers  $c$ orrespond to the BRCA2 exon 7 region. C, detection of ER $\alpha$  complex on the BRCA2 promoter. A ChIP-reChIP assay was performed in MCF-7 cells with E<sub>2</sub> treatment. Chromatin was incubated with ERα antibody and then immunoprecipitated sequentially with CBP, p300, MyoD, or Sp1 antibody. The BRCA2 promoter DNA bound to ERa/CBP, ERa/p300, ERa/MyoD, or ERa/Sp1 was amplified by PCR. Con, control. *D*, histone modifications on the *BRCA2* promoter. Nucleic extracts were prepared from MCF-7 cells or MCF-7 cells treated with E2. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against acetyl-H2A, acetyl-H2B, acetyl-H3, and acetyl-H4, respectively, as described under "Experimental Procedures." *Con*, control. *E*, activation of the BRCA2 promoter by ER $\alpha$ , CBP, p300, and MyoD. MCF-7 cells were plated in 6-well tissue culture plates and then co-transfected with 0.5 µg of pGL3-BRCA2 with 0.5 µg of ERa, CBP, p300, or MyoD expression vector or pcDNA3 control vector. *Renilla* luciferase reporter construct pRL-TK (20 ng) was used as an internal control for transfection efficiency. Forty hours after transfection, luciferase activity was measured with equivalent amounts of protein extracts. Luciferase activity of the *BRCA2* promoter was normalized to the activity of a co-transfected Renilla luciferase expression vector and protein content. Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with ERa, CBP, p300, or MyoD expression vector was compared with that in the cells transfected with pcDNA3 control vector. \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ . F, effect of siRNA on MyoD expression in MCF-7 cells. MCF-7 cells were transfected with control siRNA or MyoD siRNA for 48 h, and then Western blot was performed. *G*, reduction of MyoD expression by siRNA attenuates the E2-induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells. *BRCA2* promoter construct (pGL3-*BRCA2*) was transiently co-transfected with MyoD siRNA or non-targeting siRNA (Control siRNA) into MCF-7 cells, cells were cultured for 24 h and then exposed to 10 nm E<sub>2</sub> for 24 h, and luciferase activity was detected. Luciferase activity in the cells treated with MyoD siRNA was compared with that in the cells treated with non-targeting siRNA.  $*, p < 0.05$ .





FIGURE 3. Overexpression of ER $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  or p53 attenuates *BRCA2* expression and the recruitment of ER $\alpha$ , CBP/ **p300, MyoD, and histone acetylations on the** *BRCA2* **promoter region induced by E<sub>2</sub>.** *A***, overexpression of** ER $\beta$  or p53 in MCF-7 cells attenuates *BRCA2* expression induced by  $E_2$ . MCF-7 cells were precultured for 4 days in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% charcoal dextran-stripped FBS, then ER $\beta$  or p53 expression vector was transiently transfected into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 6 h. Then the medium was replaced with RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub> for 24 h, and Western blot analysis was performed. *B*, ER $\alpha$ increases *BRCA2* promoter activity induced by  $E_2$ , and ER $\beta$  or p53 attenuates *BRCA2* promoter activity induced by E2. MCF-7 cells were precultured as in *A*. Then *BRCA2* promoter construct (pGL3-BRCA2) was transiently co-transfected with ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , or p53 expression vector or pcDNA3 control vector, respectively, into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium or RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , or p53 expression vector was compared with that in the cells transfected with pcDNA3 control vector. \*,  $p$   $<$  0.05; \*\*,  $\rho$   $<$  0.01.  $C$ , ER $\beta$  or p53 attenuates the recruitment of ER $\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD to the *BRCA2* promoter region stimulated by  $E_2$ . MCF-7 cells were precultured as in A, and then ER $\beta$ or p53 expression vector was transiently transfected with into MCF-7 cells. Cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium or RPMI 1640 medium plus 10 nm E<sub>2</sub>. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against ER $\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD as described under "Experimental Procedures." *Con*, pcDNA3. *D*, ER or p53 attenuates histone acetylations on the *BRCA2* promoter region stimulated by E<sub>2</sub>. MCF-7 cells were treated as in *C*. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against acetylated histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 as described under "Experimental Procedures." Con, pcDNA3.  $E$ , ER $\alpha$  or ER $\beta$  increases BRCA2 promoter activity, and p53 represses *BRCA2* promoter activity. MCF-7 cells were precultured as in *A*, and then *BRCA2* promoter construct (pGL3-  $BRCA2$ ) was transiently co-transfected with  $ER\alpha$ ,  $ER\beta$ , or p53 expression vector or pcDNA3 control vector, respectively, into MCF-7 cells. Cells were cultured for 24 h. Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , or p53 expression vector was compared with that in the cells transfected with pcDNA3 control vector. \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ .

To confirm the potential  $ER\beta$  transcriptional complex, MCF-7 cells were transfected with  $ER\beta$  expression vector.  $ER\beta$ was immunoprecipitated with anti- $ER\beta$  antibody. As shown in Fig.  $5B$ , CBP/p300 was co-precipitated by anti-ER $\beta$  antibody in extracts of cells transfected with ER $\beta$ , indicating that ER $\beta$ interacted with CBP/p300 *in vivo*. MyoD was not co-precipitated by anti-ER $\beta$  antibody, indicating that ER $\beta$  could not interact with MyoD. ER $\alpha$  was co-precipitated by anti-ER $\beta$  anti-

body, indicating that ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$ could form a heterodimer. The results also showed that Sp1 was coprecipitated by anti-ER $\beta$  antibody, indicating that  $ER\alpha/ER\beta$  heterodimer might bind to the Sp1 site.

To determine the potential MyoD transcriptional complex, MCF-7 cells were transfected with MyoD expression vector. MyoD was immunoprecipitated with anti-MyoD antibody. As shown in Fig.  $5C$ ,  $ER\alpha$ , p68, and p72 were co-precipitated by anti-MyoD antibody in extracts of cells transfected with MyoD, indicating that MyoD interacted with ER $\alpha$ , p68, and p72. ER $\beta$ was not co-precipitated by anti-MyoD antibody, indicating that  $ER\beta$  could not interact with MyoD *in vivo*.

To confirm the potential p53 transcriptional complex, MCF-7 cells were transfected with p53 expression vector. p53 was immunoprecipitated with anti-p53 antibody. As shown in Fig. 5*D*, HDAC1 and CtBP1 were co-precipitated by anti-p53 antibody, indicating that p53 interacted with HDAC1 and CtBP1. The results also showed that Sp1 was co-precipitated by anti-p53 antibody, indicating that p53 complex might bind to the Sp1 site.

To determine the potential CtBP1 transcriptional complex, MCF-7 cells were transfected with CtBP1 expression vector. CtBP1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-CtBP1 antibody. As shown in Fig. 5*E*, HDAC1, p53, and CtBP2 were co-precipitated by anti-CtBP1 antibody, indicating that CtBP1 interacted with HDAC1, p53, and CtBP2.

*Many Sp1-binding Sites on the BRCA2 Promoter RegionAppear to Be Important for ERα-, ERβ-induced Transcription Activation or p53-induced Transcription Inhibition*—In

all, we found eight Sp1 sites from  $-462$  to  $+1$  bp on the *BRCA2* promoter as shown in Table 1. To determine the potential roles of these Sp1 elements in regulation of *BRCA2* gene transcription, we individually and combinatorially mutated the three Sp1 sites (Sp1A, Sp1B, and Sp1C) close to the transcriptional start site on the *BRCA2* promoter and examined ERα-, ERβ-, or p53-induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells.





FIGURE 4.**Detection of ER or p53 complex on the** *BRCA2* **promoter.** *A*, ER binding to the *BRCA2* promoter in MCF-7 cells transfected with ER $\beta$  expression vector. MCF-7 cells were precultured as in Fig. 3A, then ER $\beta$ expression vector was transiently transfected into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 24 h. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against ERβ as described under "Experimental Procedures." *Con*, pcDNA3. *B*, detection of ERβ complex on the *BRCA2* promoter. A ChIP-reChIP assay was performed in MCF-7 cells transfected with ER $\beta$  vector. Chromatin was incubated with ER $\beta$  antibody and then immunoprecipitated sequentially with ERα, CBP, p300, and MyoD antibodies. The *BRCA2* promoter DNA bound to ERα/ΕRβ, ΕRβ/CBP, ER/p300, or ER/MyoD was amplified by PCR. *Con*, pcDNA3. *C*, binding of p53, HDAC1, and CtBP1 to the *BRCA2* promoter in MCF-7 cells transfected with p53 vector. MCF-7 cells were precultured as in Fig. 3*A*, then p53 expression vector was transiently transfected into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 24 h. ChIP assays were performed using antibody against p53, HDAC1, and CtBP1 as described under "Experimental Procedures." *Con*, pcDNA3.*D*, detection of p53 complex on the *BRCA2* promoter. A ChIP-reChIP assay was performed in MCF-7 cells transfected with p53 vector. Chromatin was incubated with p53 antibody and then immunoprecipitated sequentially with HDAC1 and CtBP1 antibodies. The *BRCA2* promoter DNA bound to p53/HDAC1 and p53/CtBP1 was amplified by PCR. *Con*, pcDNA3. *E*, p53 and CtBP1 synergistically represses *BRCA2* promoter activity. MCF-7 cells were precultured as in Fig. 3*A*, then *BRCA2* promoter construct (pGL3-*BRCA2*) was transiently co-transfected with p53 or CtBP1 expression vector or pcDNA3 control vector into MCF-7 cells, and cells were cultured for 24 h. Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with p53 or CtBP1 expression vector was compared with that in the cells transfected with pcDNA3 control vector.  $*$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $**$ ,  $p < 0.01$ .

ity. These results suggested that all three Sp1 sites contributed in a concerted mechanism to the p53-reduced transcription of *BRCA2* gene and that p53 inhibited *BRCA2* transcription by binding to a number of Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Estrogens influence the pathological processes of hormone-dependent diseases, such as breast, endometrial, and ovarian cancers as well as osteoporosis (12). The biological actions of estrogens are mediated by binding to one of two specific ERs, ER $\alpha$  or ER $\beta$ , which belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily, a family of ligand-regulated transcription factors (13).

It is reported that  $E<sub>2</sub>$  can elevate *BRCA2* mRNA levels in BT-483 and MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines (14). So we detected the potential transcriptional complex influencing the *BRCA2* promoter activity during  $E<sub>2</sub>$ treatment. In this study, we found that E2 could elevate *BRCA2* mRNA and protein levels in human MCF-7 breast cancer cells. We also found that E<sub>2</sub> induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in  $ER\alpha$ -positive MCF-7 breast cancer cells but  $E<sub>2</sub>$  could not induce *BRCA2* promoter activity in  $ER\alpha$ -negative MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. However, *BRCA2* transcription became responsive to  $E<sub>2</sub>$ following co-transfection with various amounts of  $ER\alpha$  expression vec-

As shown in Fig. 6, *A* and *B*, relative to the control wild-type  $\mathit{BRCA2}$  promoter construct, when co-transfected with ER $\alpha$  or  $ER\beta$ , mutation of any one of the three Sp1 sites resulted in low levels of decreased *BRCA2* promoter activity. Mutations of any two Sp1 sites simultaneously caused a further decrease of *BRCA2* promoter activity, and the combined mutations of all three Sp1 sites resulted in maximal levels of decreased *BRCA2* promoter activity. These results suggested that all three Sp1 sites contributed in a concerted mechanism to the ER $\alpha$ - or  $ER\beta$ -induced transcription of *BRCA2* gene and that  $ER\alpha$  or  $ER\beta$  activated *BRCA2* transcription by binding to a number of Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter.

As shown in Fig. 6*C*, relative to the control wild-type *BRCA2* promoter construct, when co-transfected with p53, mutation of any one of the three Sp1 sites resulted in low levels of increased *BRCA2* promoter activity. Mutations of any two Sp1 sites simultaneously caused a further increase of *BRCA2* promoter activity, and the combined mutations of all three Sp1 sites resulted in maximal levels of increased *BRCA2* promoter activ-

tor in MDA-MB-231 cells. These results indicated that ER $\alpha$  was required and essential in the  $E_2$ -dependent regulation of *BRCA2* transcription.

ChIP and ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that  $\mathrm{ER}\alpha$  could interact with p300, CBP, and MyoD and form an activating transcriptional complex on the *BRCA2* promoter during  $E_2$ treatment; this was confirmed by co-IP assays in vivo. ERa, p300, CBP, and MyoD activated *BRCA2* promoter activity, further indicating that ER $\alpha$ , p300, CBP, and MyoD were synergistically involved in the activation of the *BRCA2* promoter during  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment.

In this study, we found that MyoD is a new component of ERα complex. Overexpression of MyoD increased *BRCA2* promoter activity, and inhibition of MyoD expression by siRNA attenuated the E<sub>2</sub>-induced activation of *BRCA2* promoter, indicating that MyoD played an important role in activating *BRCA2* transcription. ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that  $ER\alpha$ could interact with MyoD on the *BRCA2* promoter, but ER could not interact with MyoD on the BRCA2 promoter; co-IP





FIGURE 5. Detection of ERα, ERβ, MyoD, p53, or CtBP1 transcriptional com**plex, respectively, by co-IP assays.** The process was described under "Experimental Procedures." A, cell lysates extracted from ERa-overexpressing MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with IgG or  $ER\alpha$  antibody followed by immunoblotting with ER $\alpha$ , CBP, p300, MyoD, Sp1, or ER $\beta$  antibody, respectively.  $B$ , cell lysates extracted from  $ER\beta$ -overexpressing MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with IgG or  $ER\beta$  antibody followed by immunoblotting with ERα, CBP, p300, MyoD, Sp1, or ERβ antibody, respectively. *C*, cell lysates extracted from MyoD-overexpressing MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with IgG or MyoD antibody followed by immunoblotting with MyoD, p68, p72, ERα, or ERβ antibody, respectively. D, cell lysates extracted from p53-overexpressing MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with IgG or p53 antibody followed by immunoblotting with HDAC1, CtBP1, Sp1, or p53 antibody, respectively. *E*, cell lysates extractedfrom CtBP1-overexpressing MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with IgG or CtBP1 antibody followed by immunoblotting with HDAC1, p53, CtBP1, and CtBP2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibodies, respectively.

#### TABLE 1

#### **Sp1 sites on the** *BRCA2* **promoter**



assays confirmed that MyoD could interact with ER $\alpha$  but not  $ER\beta$  *in vivo*. Co-IP assays also demonstrated that MyoD could interact with  $p68/p72$  and ER $\alpha$ . It is reported that  $p68/p72$ 

directly bind the SRC-1/TIF2 family proteins and  $ER\alpha$  but not ER $\beta$  (15, 16), and MyoD interacts with p72/p68 (17). Based on these reports and our experiments, we concluded that MyoD is a new component of ER $\alpha$  complex and involved in  $\text{E}_2$ -induced *BRCA2* transcription. p68/p72 could interact with MyoD and form a part of the ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex.

The best studied histone modification involved in transcriptional activation is acetylation. Levels of acetylated histones have been correlated with the transcription status of many genes. Transcriptionally active chromatin regions of the genome are often associated with hyperacetylated histones, whereas transcriptionally silent regions are associated with hypoacetylated histones. CBP and p300 are histone acetyltransferases and key regulators in the assembly and mobilization of the basal transcription machinery. CBP and p300 are identified as transcriptional co-activators, and they catalyze acetylation of all four core histones (18, 19), which is believed to aid in chromatin remodeling and promote target gene transcription (20). Conversely competition for CBP/p300 binding has been suggested to mediate some examples of signal-induced transcriptional repression (21). Our experiments showed that histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 were acetylated in the *BRCA2* promoter induced by  $\text{E}_{\text{2}}$  because CBP and p300 were recruited by ER $\alpha$  to the *BRCA2* promoter, and CBP/p300 might be responsible for specific changes of histone acetylation levels on the *BRCA2* promoter during  $E<sub>2</sub>$  treatment.

In the following experiments, we found that overexpression of ER $\beta$  or p53 attenuated *BRCA2* expression induced by  $E_2$ through Western blot and promoter activity assay. During these processes, ChIP experiments demonstrated that  $ER\beta$ , p53, HDAC1, or CtBP1 could bind to the *BRCA2* promoter; at the same time, ER $\alpha$ , CBP, p300, and MyoD were released from the promoters, and histones were deacetylated. These results indicated that  $ER\beta$  or p53 attenuated *BRCA2* transcription by inhibition of the recruitment of activating transcriptional factors and histone acetylations.

 $ER\beta$  displayed a weak transcriptional potency in this context compared with  $ER\alpha$ , and  $ER\beta$  could neutralize the *BRCA2* transcriptional activation induced by ER $\alpha$  during  $\text{E}_2$  treatment. This probably occurred as a consequence of the formation of a heterodimeric complex between ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$  on the  $BRCA2$ promoter. Our co-IP and ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that ER $\beta$  interacted with ER $\alpha$ , CBP/p300, and Sp1, indicating that ER $\beta$  and ER $\alpha$  formed a heterodimer, which interacted with CBP/p300 and formed a weak activating transcriptional complex to bind to Sp1 sites on the  $BRCA2$  promoter.  $ER\alpha$ -induced gene activation requires the combination of its two activation parts (AF-1 and AF-2) for synergistic transcriptional activation, but the individual regions exhibit independent activity in a cell type- and promoter-dependent manner (22). Unlike ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ contains a weaker N-terminal AF-1, which may possess repressive activity (23).

HDAC and histone acetyltransferase are enzymes that influence transcription by selectively deacetylating or acetylating the core histone proteins. Chromatin acetylation correlates with transcriptional activity, whereas chromatin deacetylation correlates with gene silencing. CtBP1 can interact with HDAC1 and HDAC2 and form a transcriptional complex that sup-







FIGURE 6. Many Sp1-binding sites on the BRCA2 promoter region appear to be important for ER $\alpha$ -, ER $\beta$ **induced transcription activation or p53-induced transcription inhibition.** A, effects of mutations of Sp1-binding sites on ER $\alpha$ -induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells. Single or combined mutations of Sp1A, Sp1B, and Sp1C sites were separately made in pGL3-*BRCA2* as described under "Experimental Procedures." Cells were co-transfected in duplicate with either the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid or one of the mutant pGL3-*BRCA2* constructs with ER $\alpha$  expression vector and then incubated for 40 h. Luciferase assays were performed as described under "Experimental Procedures." Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with the mutant pGL3- *BRCA2* constructs was compared with that in the cells transfected with the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid. \*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ . *B*, effects of mutations of Sp1-binding sites on ER $\beta$ -induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells. Cells were co-transfected in duplicate with either the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid or one of the mutant pGL3-BRCA2 constructs with ΕRβ expression vector and then incubated for 40 h. Luciferase assays were performed as described under "Experimental Procedures." Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with the mutant pGL3-*BRCA2* constructs was compared with that in the cells transfected with the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid.  $*, p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01$ . *C*, effects of mutations of Sp1-binding sites on p53-induced *BRCA2* promoter activity in MCF-7 cells. Cells were co-transfected in duplicate with either the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid or one of the mutant pGL3-*BRCA2* constructs with p53 expression vector and then incubated for 40 h. Luciferase assays were performed as described under "Experimental Procedures." Luciferase activity in the cells transfected with the mutant pGL3-*BRCA2* constructs was compared with that in the cells transfected with the wild-type pGL3-*BRCA2* plasmid. \*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ .



FIGURE 7. **Model depicting binding of ER** $\alpha$ **, ER** $\beta$ **, or p53 transcriptional complex to the Sp1 sites on the BRCA2 promoter.** ERα interacts with CBP/p300, p68/p72, and MyoD and forms an activating transcriptional complex that can bind to many Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter and activate its transcription. ER<sub>B</sub> or p53 attenuates ER $\alpha$ -mediated transcriptional activation by preventing the recruitment of ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex on the BRCA2 promoter; ERB interacts with ER $\alpha$  and CBP/p300 and forms a weak activating transcriptional complex that competes for binding to Sp1 sites with ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex. Different from ER $\beta$ , p53 interacts with HDAC1 and CtBP1 and forms an inhibiting transcriptional complex that can compete for binding to Sp1 sites with ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex and inhibit *BRCA2* transcription more significantly.

presses gene transcription (24). Our results demonstrated that p53 and CtBP1 could synergistically repress *BRCA2* promoter activity. Our co-IP and ChIP-reChIP assays demonstrated that p53 interacted with HDAC1, CtBP1/CtBP2, and Sp1, indicating that p53 could recruit HDAC1 and CtBP1/CtBP2 and form an inhibiting transcriptional complex on Sp1 sites of the *BRCA2* promoter.

Taken together, ERa, CBP/p300, p68/p72, and MyoD could form an activating transcriptional complex;  $ER\alpha/ER\beta$  and CBP/p300 could form a weak activating transcriptional complex; and p53, HDAC1, and CtBP1/CtBP2 could form an inhibiting transcriptional complex. All these complexes could compete for binding to Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter. When  $ER\alpha$  complex was replaced by  $ER\alpha$ · $ER\beta$  complex, histone acetylations were attenuated, and gene transcription was reduced slightly. When  $\textsf{ER}\alpha$  complex was replaced by p53 complex, histones were deacetylated, and gene transcription was repressed more significantly.

Our experiments showed that  $ER\alpha$ ,  $ER\beta$ , and p53 complex could bind to Sp1, indicating that Sp1 sites might contribute to the formation of transcription complexes at this promoter region. In all, we found that there are eight Sp1 sites within 500 bp in front of the transcriptional start site of *BRCA2* promoter. To test the important roles of these Sp1 sites, we used *BRCA2* promoter/luciferase constructs containing Sp1 mutations and found that all three Sp1 sites contributed in a concerted mechanism to the *BRCA2* transcription. Mutation of any of these Sp1 sites close to the start site reduced ER $\alpha$ - and ER $\beta$ -activated transcriptional activity, suggesting that these Sp1 sites were essential in the formation of ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$  transcriptional complex. On the contrary, mutation of these Sp1 sites close to the start site abrogated the p53-induced transcription inhibition, suggesting that these Sp1 sites were also essential in the formation



of p53 transcriptional complex. All these results indicated that the synergistic actions of these Sp1 sites were responsible for maximal control of *BRCA2* gene transcription.

Taken together, as shown in Fig. 7, our findings support a model in which an ERa<sup>c</sup>Sp1 complex modulates *BRCA2* transcription under conditions of estrogen stimulation. Conversely the formation of this transcription complex is abrogated in cells overexpressing  $ER\beta$  or p53. So the expression status of the various proteins in these complexes is important for *BRCA2* transcription. Approximately two-thirds of all breast cancers are  $ER\alpha$ -positive. Patients with tumors that express  $ER\alpha$  have a longer disease-free interval and overall survival than patients with tumors that lack ER $\alpha$  expression; this indicates that ER $\alpha$  may be a key regulator of breast cancer susceptibility (25). However, the molecular basis for the association between  $ER\alpha$  expression, hormonal responsiveness, and breast cancer prognosis remains unclear (26), and the other genes involved in breast cancer susceptibility need to be found. ER $\beta$  is another estrogen receptor in sporadic breast tumors. Although  $ER\beta$  expression showed wide variations, its range was smaller than that of ER $\alpha$ , suggesting that ER $\beta$  is more tightly controlled than ER $\alpha$  (27). Approximately 40% of sporadic breast tumors contain p53 mutations, and the functionality of the ATMp53-mediated DNA damage response is compromised (28, 29). So far, it is still unclear that the expression level of  $ER\beta$  or p53 will influence sporadic breast cancers, and there is no report on the expression status of CBP, p300, or MyoD in sporadic breast cancers. The relationship between these proteins and breast cancer deserves to be further studied.

We conclude that  $ER\alpha$  interacts with CBP/p300, p68/p72, and MyoD and forms an activating transcriptional complex that can bind to many Sp1 sites on the *BRCA2* promoter and activate its transcription by inducing histone acetylations. MyoD is a new component of ER $\alpha$  complex. ER $\beta$  and p53 attenuate ER $\alpha$ -mediated transcriptional activation by preventing the recruitment of  $ER\alpha$  transcriptional complex and histone acetylations on the  $BRCA2$  promoter. ER $\beta$  interacts with ER $\alpha$  and CBP/p300 and forms a weak activating transcriptional complex that competes for binding to Sp1 sites with ER $\alpha$  transcriptional complex and slightly attenuates *BRCA2* transcription. Different from ER $\beta$ , p53 interacts with HDAC1 and CtBP1/CtBP2 and forms an inhibiting transcriptional complex that can compete for binding to Sp1 sites with ERα transcriptional complex and inhibit *BRCA2* transcription more significantly. The interplay between the positive regulation and the negative regulation by  $ER\alpha$ ,  $ER\beta$ , and p53, respectively, on *BRCA2* expression may be part of an integral signaling pathway that determines and explains breast cancer susceptibility. Detection of expression status of the various proteins in these complexes may predict the onset of sporadic breast cancer.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Futreal, P. A., Liu, Q., Shattuck-Eidens, D., Cochran, C., Harshman, K., Tavtigian, S., Bennett, L. M., Haugen-Strano, A., Swensen, J., Miki, Y.,

Eddington, K., McClure, M., Frye, C.,Weaver-Feldhaus, J., Ding,W., Gholami, Z., Sderkvist, P., Terry, L., Jhanwar, S., Berchuck, A., Iglehart, J. D., Marks, J., Ballinger, D. G., Barrett, J. C., Skolnick, M. H., Kamb, A., and Wiseman, R. (1994) *Science* **266,** 120–122

- 2. Gayther, S. A., Russell, P., Harrington, P., Antoniou, A. C., Easton, D. F., and Ponder, B. A. (1999) *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **65,** 1021–1029
- 3. Venkitaraman, A. R. (2002) *Cell* **108,** 171–182
- 4. Wilson, C. A., Ramos, L., Villasenor, M. R., Anders, K. H., Press, M. F., Clarke, K., Karlan, B., Chen, J. J., Scully, R., and Livingston, D. (1999) *Nat. Genet.* **21,** 236–240
- 5. Thompson, M. E., Jensen, R. A., Obermiller, P. S., Page, D. L., and Holt, J. T. (1995) *Nat. Genet.* **9,** 444–450
- 6. Sourvinos, G., and Spandidos, D. A. (1998) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **245,** 75–80
- 7. Turner, N., Tutt, A., and Ashworth, A. (2004) *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **4,** 814–819
- 8. Thakur, S., and Croce, C. M. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 8837–8843
- 9. Mueller, C. R., and Roskelley, C. D. (2003) *Breast Cancer Res.* **5,** 45–52
- 10. Wu, K. J., Jiang, S. W., Thangaraju, M., Wu, G. J., and Couch, F. J. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 35548–35556
- 11. Wu, K. J., Jiang, S. W., and Couch, F. J. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 15652–15660
- 12. Couse, J. F., and Korach, K. S. (1999) *Endocr. Rev.* **20,** 358–417
- 13. Pettersson, K., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2001) *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **63,** 165–192
- 14. Spillman, M. A., and Bowcock, A. M. (1996) *Oncogene* **13,** 1639–1645
- 15. Watanabe, M., Yanagisawa, J., Kitagawa, H., Takeyama, K., Ogawa, S., Arao, Y., Suzawa, M., Kobayashi, Y., Yano, T., Yoshikawa, H., Masuhiro, Y., and Kato, S. (2001) *EMBO J.* **20,** 1341–1352
- 16. Kato, S., Masuhiro, Y., Watanabe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Takeyama, K. I., Endoh, H., and Yanagisawa, J. (2000) *Genes Cells* **5,** 593–601
- 17. Caretti, G., Schiltz, R. L., Dilworth, F. J., Di Padova, M., Zhao, P., Ogryzko, V., Fuller-Pace, F. V., Hoffman, E. P., Tapscott, S. J., and Sartorelli, V. (2006) *Dev. Cell* **11,** 547–560
- 18. Bannister, A. J., and Kouzarides, T. (1996) *Nature* **384,** 641–643
- 19. Ogryzko, V. V., Schiltz, R. L., Russanova, V., Howard, B. H., and Nakatani, Y. (1996) *Cell* **87,** 953–959
- 20. Blobel, G. A. (2002) *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **71,** 545–556
- 21. Vo, N., and Goodman, R. H. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 13505–13508
- 22. Nilsson, S., Makela, S., Treuter, E., Tujague, M., Thomsen, J., Andersson, G., Enmark, E., Pettersson, K., Warner, M., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2001) *Physiol. Rev.* **81,** 1535–1565
- 23. Ogawa, S., Inoue, S., Watanabe, T., Hiroi, H., Orimo, A., Hosoi, T., Ouchi, Y., and Muramatsu, M. (1998) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **243,** 122–126
- 24. Shi, Y., Sawada, J., Sui, G., Affar, E. B., Whetstine, J. R., Lan, F., Ogawa, H., Luke, M. P., Nakatani, Y., and Shi, Y. (2003) *Nature* **422,** 735–738
- 25. Shek, L. L., and Dodolphin, W. (1989) *Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol.* **25,** 243–250
- 26. Abba, M. C., Hu, Y., Sun, H., Drake, J. A., Gaddis, S., Baggerly, K., Sahin, A., and Aldaz, C. M. (2005) *BMC Genomics* **6,** 37
- 27. Bieche, I., Parfait, B., Laurendeau, I., Girault, I., Vidaud, M., and Lidereau, R. (2001) *Oncogene* **20,** 8109–8115
- 28. Coles, C., Condie, A., Chetty, U., Steel, C. M., Evans, H. J., and Prosser, J. (1992) *Cancer Res.* **52,** 5291–5298
- 29. Angéle, S., Treilleux, I., Taniére, P., Martel-Planche, G., Vuillaume, M., Bailly, C., Brémond, A., Montesano, R., and Hall, J. (2000) Clin. Cancer Res. **6,** 3536–3544

