Transcriptional Signature following Inhibition of Early-Stage Cell Wall Biosynthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*[∇]

A. J. O'Neill,¹ J. A. Lindsay,² K. Gould,² J. Hinds,² and I. Chopra^{1*}

Antimicrobial Research Centre and Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom,¹ and Division of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, St. George's University of London, London SW17 0RE, United Kingdom²

Received 30 September 2008/Returned for modification 19 December 2008/Accepted 11 January 2009

To facilitate mode of action studies on antibacterial inhibitors of early-stage cell wall biosynthesis (CWB), we determined the transcriptional response of *Staphylococcus aureus* to depletion/inhibition of enzymes in this pathway by DNA microarray analysis. We identified a transcriptional signature distinct from that previously observed following exposure to inhibitors of late-stage CWB.

Defining the mode of action (MOA) of a new antibacterial agent is essential for guiding the further development process, including optimization of structure-activity relationships (3, 11). Transcriptional profiling using DNA microarrays has emerged as a powerful technique for MOA studies, since it can provide a genome-wide overview of the cellular response to antibacterial inhibitors at the level of transcription (3, 4, 11). By analyzing the genes deregulated following exposure to a novel antimicrobial, the MOA can be predicted by comparison with profiles obtained with established antibiotics with known MOAs (3, 4, 11).

Cell wall biosynthesis (CWB) is an important target for antibiotic action in *Staphylococcus aureus* (12). Substantial efforts have been directed toward the discovery of antibacterial inhibitors of early-stage CWB, mediated by the Mur enzymes (1, 2, 12) (Fig. 1). This portion of the pathway, also called stage I or the cytoplasmic stage of peptidoglycan synthesis (12), remains largely unexploited as a target for antibacterial chemotherapy (2, 12). To assist analysis of novel candidate anti-CWB inhibitors and to build on earlier studies that have identified transcriptional responses to antibiotics targeting the later stages of CWB (e.g., vancomycin, oxacillin) (7, 14), we sought to establish a universal transcriptional signature of *S. aureus* following inhibition of stage I CWB.

Unfortunately, there is a lack of characterized control inhibitors that specifically inhibit this stage of the pathway. Of the Mur enzyme inhibitors reported in the literature, only fosfomycin unequivocally mediates antibacterial activity specifically through inhibition of this portion of the pathway by interfering with the function of the UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase isoenzymes, MurA and MurZ (12). To circumvent this problem, in addition to using fosfomycin as a test inhibitor, we also employed genetic and posttranslational challenge to specifically inhibit/deplete the cell of other individual enzymes active in early-stage CWB (MurB and MurE).

S. aureus RN4220 and derivatives were used throughout this

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Antimicrobial Research Centre and Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom. Phone: 44 113 233 5604. Fax: 44 113 233 5638. E-mail: i.chopra@leeds.ac.uk. study. Strain TS2557 (8) carries a temperature-sensitive mutation in *murB. S. aureus* CYL368 (5) has been engineered to place *murE* under the control of the P_{spac} promoter, rendering expression of this gene conditional upon the presence of isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Since strain CYL368 required the presence of tetracycline in the growth medium to ensure maintenance of the *lacI* repressor plasmid (pMJ8246), pMJ8246 was also introduced into *S. aureus* RN4220 to enable both conditional and control strains to be cultured under identical conditions in the presence of tetracycline.

Strains were cultured in tryptone soya broth (TSB) with aeration. Conditional mutants were cultured under conditions that resulted in ca. 80% inhibition of growth in the mutant relative to the wild type, while drug-treated cultures were exposed to a concentration of antibiotic causing ca. 25% reduction in growth relative to untreated cultures after 40 min (3). Cells were harvested by adding 2 volumes of RNAprotect solution (Qiagen) directly to the culture and then processed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

S. aureus CYL368 and RN4220(pMJ8246) were grown over-



FIG. 1. The stage I cell wall biosynthesis pathway in *Staphylococcus aureus* involves the biosynthesis of UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide from UDP-GlcNAc, mediated by the Mur enzymes. The three points at which inhibition of the pathway was achieved in this study are shown in italics. PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate.

^v Published ahead of print on 21 January 2009.

1702 O'NEILL ET AL.

TABLE 1.	Genes deregulated following	inhibition/depletion o	f enzymes of stage	I cell wall biosynthesis in S. aureu	sa

	Gene designation (S. aureus N315)	Fold change in expression following inhibition/depletion of ^b :			Transcriptional response from previous studies ^c	
Locus tag designation $(S. aureus \text{ N}315^d)$		MurA and MurZ	MurB	MurE	Conditional depletion of MurF	Inhibitors of stages II and III of CWB pathway
Upregulated genes						
SA0011		3.47	3.09	6.69	U	
SA0122	butA	3.67	5.39	9.02	U	U
SA0346		3.23	3.81	2.78		U
SA0422		2.72	3.10	3.60	U	
SA0430	gltA	13.75	14.41	33.41	U	U
SA0431	gltB	7.74	10.09	11.18	U	U
SA0480	ctsR	2.13	5.13	2.73		
SA0481		2.40	4.38	2.48		U
SA0482		2.37	4.83	2.30		
SA0612		2.22	2.69	2.88	U	
SA0707		3.19	2.96	5.18	U	
SA0818	rocD	2.46	4.43	6.20	U	
SA0835	clpB	4.05	10.40	3.39		U
SA0845	oppB	11.66	13.41	134.41	U	U
SA0846	oppC	12.64	13.17	149.31	U	U
SA0847	oppD	11.81	12.95	52.95	U	U
SA0848	oppF	13.48	17.55	118.00	U	U
SA0849	oppA	10.59	15.31	65.31	U	U
SA0871		3.40	3.59	3.45	U	
SA0883		5.42	4.69	11.23	U	
SA0886		2.50	3.40	2.96		
SA0958		2.98	2.12	2.00	U	
SA1019		2.57	2.45	3.25		U
SA1163		4.21	4.45	5.60		U
SA1164	dhoM	7.27	5.14	17.50	U	Ū
SA1165	thrC	7.58	5.17	12.94	Ū	Ū
SA1166	thrB	4.39	3.63	3.43	Ũ	Ū
SA1170	katA	2.98	2.27	2.39	-	-
SA1226	asd	9.32	5.99	27.16	U	U
SA1227	dan A	8.40	5.58	17.73	Ŭ	Ŭ
SA1228	danB	7.19	4.64	13.00	Ŭ	Ŭ
SA1229	danD	5.96	4 26	10.58	Ŭ	Ŭ
SA1230	any D	4 84	3 11	6.83	Ŭ	Ŭ
SA1231	dal	4.73	3.20	4.76	Ŭ	U
SA1235		2.09	2.01	2.02	e	
SA1236		4 33	2.58	3.26	U	IJ
SA1238		3.88	2.50	2.96	Ŭ	U
SA1254		5 23	3 50	2.52	e	U
SA1255		9.90	3.80	2.83		Ŭ
SA1256		9.30	3 29	3.10		Ŭ
SA1257	msrA2	10.54	3 35	2.92		U
SA1532	11/01/12	4 58	3.05	7.69	IJ	Ŭ
SA1545	ser A	6.24	6.00	6.67	U	U
SA1546	50771	3.03	2 30	3.60	U	U
SA1691	satB	7 24	4 34	2.15	U	U
SA1858	ilvD	5 80	12 47	6 90	U	U
SA1850	ilvB	S.07 8 40	12.47	10.63	U	
SA1860	ilvH	7 48	16.51	6 31	U	
SA1860	ibC	11.00	16.00	20.74	U	ΤŢ
SA1862	lov A	0.05	14.46	12 70	U	0
SA1002 SA1962	leuA lou P	9.05	14.40	12.70 8.60	U	
SA1803 SA1864	leuD	8.02	13.35	0.09 10.40	U	
SA1004	leuC	0.92	14.99	10.40	U	
SA1005	ilu A	0.30 5 91	14.//	2.04	U	
SA1800	uvA	5.01	2.16	2.10	U	
SA2112 SA2221		2.73	2.10	5.10		TT
SA2221 SA2225		14.49	3.37	2.12	ΤŢ	U
5A2233 SA2232	opucc	2.4ð	3.//	3.8U 4.33	U	
SA2230	opuCB	2.44	3.89	4.25	U	
SA2257	opuCA	2.60	5.98	4.82	U	
SA224U		2.15	2.40	3.38	U	T T
SA2340		4.35	2.45	4.50		U
SA234/		4.51	2.95	5.68		U

Continued on following page

	Gene designation (S. aureus N315)	Fold change in expression following inhibition/depletion of ^b :			Transcriptional response from previous studies ^c	
Locus tag designation $(S. aureus N315^d)$		MurA and MurZ	MurB	MurE	Conditional depletion of MurF	Inhibitors of stages II and III of CWB pathway
SA2357		4.26	4.86	5.02	U	U
SA2396		3.05	4.08	4.99	Ū	Ũ
SA2397		4.12	13.88	15.47	Ū	Ū
SA2473		2.56	3.43	3.56		
SA2475		2.82	4.43	4.37	U	U
SA2476		3.76	5.61	7.00	U	U
SA2477		5.24	7.64	13.11		
SA2478		6.19	8.12	19.76		
SA2490		2.97	3.81	2.92		U
Downregulated genes						
MW0552		0.49	0.46	0.33		
SA0009	serS	0.49	0.26	0.34		
SA0085		0.50	0.42	0.44		D
SA0100		0.21	0.09	0.20		U
SA0111	sirA	0.26	0.23	0.47	D	
SA0183	glcA	0.17	0.23	0.29	D	
SA0213		0.36	0.29	0.47		
SA0268		0.31	0.36	0.18		
SA0269		0.06	0.11	0.21		
SA0270		0.20	0.38	0.28	D	
SA0325	glpT	0.47	0.32	0.29		
SA0423		0.36	0.29	0.31		D
SA0497	rplJ	0.47	0.28	0.27		
SA0498	rplL	0.49	0.22	0.25	D	
SA0654	fruB	0.18	0.34	0.34	U	
SA0655	fruA	0.17	0.25	0.31		
SA0820	glpQ	0.44	0.32	0.27	D	_
SA0905	atl	0.46	0.18	0.38		D
SA0912	qoxB	0.33	0.36	0.49		D
SA0913	qoxA	0.35	0.35	0.49	_	D
SA0951	potB	0.50	0.35	0.38	D	
SA1056	_	0.22	0.49	0.25	_	
SA1160	nucl	0.42	0.32	0.30	D	
SA1502	rplT	0.46	0.21	0.23	D	
SA1665		0.50	0.37	0.31	D	D
SA1850		0.43	0.37	0.48		U
SA1921	tdk	0.44	0.33	0.45	D	
SA1979		0.35	0.32	0.40	D	
SA2016	rpsI	0.49	0.35	0.40	D	_
SA2093	ssaA	0.24	0.05	0.09	D	D
SA2097	ssaA	0.37	0.05	0.08	D	
SA2300		0.36	0.24	0.36	D	
SA2332		0.37	0.19	0.16		_
SA2356	isaA	0.29	0.09	0.10	_	D
SA2430	aur	0.31	0.28	0.40	D	
SA2486		0.49	0.36	0.39		

TABLE 1—Continued

^a Genes deregulated following inhibition/depletion of MurA and MurZ, MurB, MurE, and MurF, but not upon exposure to any inhibitors of stages II and III of CWB, are considered members of the universal transcriptional response to inhibition of stage I and are shown in bold type.

^b Only those genes showing \geq 2-fold deregulation in the same direction (up- or downregulation) under all three experimental conditions employed in this study (inhibition/depletion of MurA and MurZ, MurB, and MurE) are shown.

^c The transcriptional responses of these genes in previous studies (following conditional depletion of MurF [13] or following inhibition of stages II and III of the CWB pathway [10]) are also shown. U, upregulated; D, downregulated.

^d Except in cases where genes are not present or have not been designated in S. aureus N315. In this case, locus tag designations are from S. aureus MW2.

night at 37°C in the presence of 3 μ g tetracycline/ml and 0.3 mM IPTG. Cells were harvested, washed extensively to remove IPTG, and resuspended to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.05 in fresh broth lacking IPTG. Cultures were then grown at 37°C and harvested at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.25. Strains TS2557 and RN4220 were grown overnight at 30°C. Both

strains were resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.075 in fresh broth, grown at 42°C, and harvested at an OD_{600} of 0.25.

Fosfomycin treatment was conducted as follows. An overnight culture of *S. aureus* RN4220 grown at 37°C was used to inoculate fresh, prewarmed TSB to an OD_{600} of ~0.02 and grown at 37°C to an OD_{600} of 0.1. The culture was split into prewarmed flasks, one of which contained fosfomycin (20 μ g/ml), and incubation continued for 40 min before harvesting cells.

RNA was prepared using the RNA midi kit (Qiagen) from cells treated with lysostaphin. Control RNA and sample RNA were used to make differentially labeled cDNA by reverse transcription in the presence of fluorescent dyes Cy3 and Cy5. Both RNAs were then cohybridized, scanned, and analyzed as previously described (16). Cultures were grown in triplicate and hybridized in duplicate for a total of six arrays per condition. Microarray feature extraction was undertaken using Ima-Gene software (BioDiscovery), and the resulting fluorescent intensities were further processed using MAVI Pro software (MWG Biotech). Normalization and statistical analysis were performed using GeneSpring v7.3.1 software (Agilent Technologies). Differentially expressed genes for each condition were identified; these genes had normalized ratios that were >2-fold up- or downregulated with a *P* value of <0.05 by *t* test with Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction.

Genes subject to the same level of deregulation (\geq 2-fold upor downregulated with a *P* of <0.05) under all three conditions (inhibition/depletion of MurA or MurZ, MurB, and MurE), were considered members of the transcriptional signature for inhibition of CWB (Table 1). This transcriptional signature primarily involved upregulation of genes involved in providing precursors essential for CWB (e.g., *gltAB* [glutamate biosynthesis], *oppABCDF*; [oligopeptide transport], *dapABD*, *asd*, *thrBC*, *dhoM*, *ilvBCD*, and *leuABCD* [amino acid biosynthesis]) and genes involved in the response to environmental stress (e.g., *ctsR* [transcriptional regulator of stress response], *clpB* [stress response-related chaperone], *msrA2* [methionine sulfoxide reductase], and *katA* [catalase]) (Table 1).

Little deregulation was detected in genes encoding enzymes directly involved in CWB or cell wall turnover, with the exception of upregulation of *dal* (alanine racemase), *sgtB* (penicillinbinding protein homologue), and downregulation of *atl* (autolysin) (Table 1). No consistent deregulation in expression of the Mur enzymes was observed, suggesting that as for *Escherichia coli* (9, 15), expression of genes involved in stage I peptidoglycan synthesis in *S. aureus* is constitutive, and CWB is unrestricted up to, and including, synthesis of the UDP-Mur-NAc pentapeptide.

We subsequently obtained a universal transcriptional signature specifically for inhibition of stage I CWB (Table 1). This was derived from our transcriptional signature for inhibition of CWB by including only those genes similarly deregulated following conditional depletion of MurF in a previous study (13) and subtracting all genes known to be deregulated following exposure to inhibitors of stage II/III CWB (bacitracin, vancomycin, oxacillin, and cefoxitin) (6, 7, 10, 14) (Table 1). Our results suggest that transcriptional profiling can be employed not only to identify inhibitors of CWB but also to establish whether they act on early or late stages in the biosynthetic pathway. **Microarray data accession number.** Fully annotated microarray data have been deposited in BµG@Sbase (accession number E-BUGS-71) (http://bugs.sgul.ac.uk/E-BUGS-71) and also ArrayExpress (accession number E-BUGS-71).

We are grateful to C. Y. Lee (University of Kansas) and K. Kurokawa (University of Tokyo) for providing strains CYL368 and TS2557, respectively.

We acknowledge The Wellcome Trust for funding $B\mu G@S$ (the Bacterial Microarray Group at St. George's University of London).

REFERENCES

- Dunsmore, C. J., K. Miller, K. L. Blake, S. G. Patching, P. J. F. Henderson, J. A. Garnett, W. J. Stubbings, S. E. V. Phillips, D. J. Palestrant, J. De Los Angeles, J. A. Leeds, I. Chopra, and C. W. G. Fishwick. 2008. 2-Aminotetralones: novel inhibitors of MurA and MurZ. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18:1730–1734.
- El Zoeiby, A., F. Sanschagrin, and R. C. Levesque. 2003. Structure and function of the Mur enzymes: development of novel inhibitors. Mol. Microbiol. 47:1–12.
- Freiberg, C., H. P. Fischer, and N. A. Brunner. 2005. Discovering the mechanism of action of novel antibacterial agents through transcriptional profiling of conditional mutants. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49:749–759.
- Hutter, B., C. Schaab, S. Albrecht, M. Borgmann, N. A. Brunner, C. Freiberg, K. Ziegelbauer, C. O. Rock, I. Ivanov, and H. Loferer. 2004. Prediction of mechanisms of action of antibacterial compounds by gene expression profiling. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48:2838–2844.
- Jana, M., T. T. Luong, H. Komatsuzawa, M. Shigeta, and C. Y. Lee. 2000. A method for demonstrating gene essentiality in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Plasmid 44:100–104.
- Kuroda, H., M. Kuroda, L. Cui, and K. Hiramatsu. 2007. Subinhibitory concentrations of beta-lactam induce haemolytic activity in *Staphylococcus aureus* through the SaeRS two-component system. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 268:98–105.
- Kuroda, M., H. Kuroda, T. Oshima, F. Takeuchi, H. Mori, and K. Hiramatsu. 2003. Two-component system VraSR positively modulates the regulation of cell-wall biosynthesis pathway in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Mol. Microbiol. 49:807–821.
- Matsuo, M., K. Kurokawa, S. Nishida, Y. Li, H. Takimura, C. Kaito, N. Fukuhara, H. Maki, K. Miura, K. Murakami, and K. Sekimizu. 2003. Isolation and mutation site determination of the temperature-sensitive *murB* mutants of *Staphylococcus aureus*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 222:107–113.
- Mengin-Lecreulx, D., E. Siegel, and J. van Heijenoort. 1989. Variations in UDP-N-acetylglucosamine and UDP-N-acetylmuramyl-pentapeptide pools in *Escherichia coli* after inhibition of protein synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 171: 3282–3287.
- Nagarajan, V., and M. O. Elasri. 2007. SAMMD: Staphylococcus aureus Microarray Meta-Database. BMC Genomics 8:351.
- O'Neill, A. J., and I. Chopra. 2004. Preclinical evaluation of novel antibacterial agents by microbiological and molecular techniques. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 13:1045–1063.
- Silver, L. L. 2006. Does the cell wall of bacteria remain a viable source of targets for novel antibiotics? Biochem. Pharmacol. 71:996–1005.
- 13. Sobral, R. G., A. E. Jones, S. G. Des Etages, T. J. Dougherty, R. M. Peitzsch, T. Gaasterland, A. M. Ludovice, H. de Lencastre, and A. Tomasz. 2007. Extensive and genome-wide changes in the transcription profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* induced by modulating the transcription of the cell wall synthesis gene *murF*. J. Bacteriol. 189:2376–2391.
- Utaida, S., P. M. Dunman, D. Macapagal, E. Murphy, S. J. Projan, V. K. Singh, R. K. Jayaswal, and B. J. Wilkinson. 2003. Genome-wide transcriptional profiling of the response of *Staphylococcus aureus* to cell-wall-active antibiotics reveals a cell-wall-stress stimulon. Microbiology 149:2719–2732.
- van Heijenoort, J. 2001. Recent advances in the formation of the bacterial peptidoglycan monomer unit. Nat. Prod. Rep. 18:503–519.
- Witney, A. A., G. L. Marsden, M. T. Holden, R. A. Stabler, S. E. Husain, J. K. Vass, P. D. Butcher, J. Hinds, and J. A. Lindsay. 2005. Design, validation, and application of a seven-strain *Staphylococcus aureus* PCR product microarray for comparative genomics. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:7504–7514.