

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biol Pharm Bull. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 April 7.

Published in final edited form as: *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2008 February ; 31(2): 231–234.

Sertraline and Its Metabolite Desmethylsertraline, but not Bupropion or Its Three Major Metabolites, Have High Affinity for P-Glycoprotein

Jun-Sheng Wang^{*,a,c}, Hao-Jie Zhu^b, Bryan Bradford Gibson^a, John Seth Markowitz^b, Jennifer Lyn Donovan^a, and Carl Lindsay DeVane^a

aLaboratory of Drug Disposition and Pharmacogenetics, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, 67 President Street, Charleston, SC 29425, U.S.A

bDepartment of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, 67 President Street, Charleston, SC 29425, U.S.A

cClinical Discovery, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Province Line Road & Route 206 Princeton, NJ 08540, U.S.A

Abstract

The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter protein subfamily Bl line (ABCBl) transporter Pglycoprotein (P-gp) plays an important role in the blood–brain barrier limiting a broad spectrum of substrates from entering the central nervous system. In the present study, the transport activity of Pgp for sertraline, desmethylsertralin, bupropion, and the major metabolites of bupropion, threo-amino alcohol (TB), erythro-amino alchhol (EB), and hydroxy metabolite (HB) was studied using an ATPase assay in expressed human P-gp membranes by measuring concentrations of inorganic P_i in expressed human P-gp membranes. Verapamii was included as a positive control. The Michaelis– Menten equation was used for characterizing the kinetic data. Sertraline and desmethylsertraline showed high affinity for P-gp. The V_{max}/K_m values of sertraline (1.6 min⁻¹ × 10⁻³) and desmethylsertraline (1.4 min⁻¹ × 10⁻³) were comparable with that of verapamil (1.7 min⁻¹ × 10⁻³). Bupropion and its three metabolites showed very weak affinity for P-gp, with V_{max}/K_m values lower than 0.01 min⁻¹ × 10⁻³ The results of the present study indicate that sertraline and desmethylsertraline have high affinity for P-gp. These findings may help to explain observed drug–drug interactions among antidepressants.

Keywords

P-glycoprotein; sertraline; desmethylsertraline; bupropion; antidepressant; blood-brain barrier

The drug transporter protein, P-glycoprotein (P-gp), is a member of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily that is widely localized at various human tissues including the apical membranes of the gastrointestinal tract, the biliary canalicular membranes of hepatocytes, the luminal membranes of proximal tubular epithelial cells in the kidney, the testes, the placenta and the luminal membranes of endothelial cells of the blood–brain barrier (BBB).^{1,2}) As a drug efflux transporter, P-gp plays important role in drug absorption, distribution, and

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: junsheng.wang@bms.com.

excretion.³⁻⁵) Inhibition and induction of P-gp function can result in significant drug-drug interactions.

The function of P-gp in BBB can significantly limit the brain uptake of its substrate drugs and affect therapeutic outcomes of central nervous system (CNS) acting drugs. By using the ABCBla/b –/– knockout mice, P-gp has been shown to significantly limit the brain entry of a wide variety of structurally unrelated drugs.⁶⁻¹⁴) Inhibition of P-gp activity can greatly increase P-gp substrate concentrations in brain and may increase their neurotoxicity.¹⁵⁻¹⁷) Because of the importance of P-gp in CNS drug disposition, characterization of the binding affinities of CNS drugs for P-gp has clinical implications.

Newer antidepressants, *i.e.* the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and multireceptor antidepressants, venlafaxine, mirtazapine, bupropion, and nefazodone have advantages over the classical tricyclic antidepressants in lower frequency to cause unwanted side effects and are extensively used worldwide due to established antidepressants efficacy. ¹⁸) However, a substantial number of patients with antidepressant therapy still exhibit treatment resistance despite increasing doses. The reason for this resistance is unknown.

Recently, the transport efficacy of most of the antidepressants by P-gp has been studied by using the ABCbla/b -/- mouse or *in vitro* cell culture models^{10-12,19}) except for two drugs, sertraline and bupropion. In these reports, most of the studied antidepressants (*e.g.* amitriptyline, nortryptyline, citalopram, and trimipramine) were shown to be substrates of P-glycoprotein^{10-12,19}) These results suggest that the variable expression of P-gp among patients may be an important source of variability in treatment response for the antidepressants.

With availability of human P-gp membranes, we have previously used an ATPase assay method to determine the drug stimulated P-gp–ATPase activity and binding affinity of several antipsychotic drugs.²⁰) Our results indicated that atypical antipsychotic drugs (AAPs), risperidone, and olanzapine, were effectively transported by P-gp. The findings have been verified by our subsequent *in vivo Abcbla/b* gene knockout mouse experiments, ^{13,14}) supporting the ATPase assay to provide reliable information of P-gp substrates' binding affinity. In the present report, we studied the binding affinity of sertraline, desmethylsertraline, bupropion and its three major metabolites for P-gp using the ATPase method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Human P-gp membranes (5 mg/ml) prepared from baculovirus-infected insect cells were purchased from Gentest Inc. (Woburn, MA, U.S.A.). Sertraline and desmethylsertraline were obtained from Pfizer (Groton, CT, U.S.A.). Bupropion, and its three major metabolites, the hydroxy metabolite (hydroxy-BUP; 306U), the *erythro*- (17U) and *threo*-metabolites (A494U) were obtained from Burroughs Wellcome Co. (Research Triangle Park, NC, U.S.A.). Other chemicals and reagents were the purest grade available and were obtained from Fisher Scientific Co. (Fairlawn, NJ, U.S.A.) or Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.).

ATPase Assay

Reactions were carried out in low-binding 96-well plates (Corning Costar, NY, U.S.A.). The reaction mixtures, in a final volume of 60 μ l, contained 50 m_M Tris–Mes buffer (pH 6.8), 40 μ g P-gp membranes, test drug and 4 m_M Mg-ATP. After pre-warming at 37°C for 3 min, the reactions were initiated by the addition of Mg-ATP. Verapamil served as a positive control. For each test incubation, identical incubations containing 100 μ _M *ortho*-vanadate, a specific inhibitor of P-gp, were served as controls for baseline ATPase activity. P-gp-dependent ATPase activity was quantified by determining the increase in P_i concentration that was subtracted from

Biol Pharm Bull. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 April 7.

the activity generated in the presence of *ortho*-vanadate from the activity generated without *ortho*-vanadate to yield vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity during the energy-dependent P-gp drug transport process. All incubations at each condition (with and without *ortho*-vanadate) were performed in duplicate. An 8 point standard curve of $0-150 \text{ n}_{M} \text{ P}_{i}$ was included in duplicate in each plate prior to incubation.

After incubation of the reaction mixtures at 37°C for 40—60 min, 30 μ l of 10% sodium dodecylsulfate with 0.1% Antifoam A was added to terminate the reaction. Then, to each incubation well, 200 μ l of 35 m_M ammonium molybdate in freshly prepared 15 m_M Zinc Acetate: 10% ascorbic acid pH 5.0 in a I :4 proportion was added and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The P_i release was measured by a spectrum II microplate reader with winselec T software (Tecan, Austria) at 620 nm using ultraviolate absorption.

Time-Course and Concentration-Dependent Experiments

For each test compound, the linearity of incubation time-course was tested with $1 \mu_{M}$ —1 m_M of the test compounds at 37°C for 0, 20, 40, and 60 min. The incubation time finally chosen for the study was based on examination of the linearity of the formation rate of P_i versus the incubation time.

The concentration dependence of the ATPase activity of verapamil, sertraline, desmethylsertraline, bupropion, and its three major metabolites, 306U, A494U, and 17U were assessed at 0, 1, 10, 50, 100, 250, 500, and/or 750 and 1000 μ_{M} . All incubations were performed in duplicate.

Data Analysis

The kinetics of the substrate stimulated ATPase activity were analyzed by fitting the data to equations for different enzyme models using an iterative nonlinear regression program (GraphPad Prism 4, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). The choice of the best-fitted enzyme model was based on the examination of the Michaelis–Menten plots, the residual sum of squares, the standard errors, and Akaike's information criteria. The one enzyme Michaelis–Menten model was found to be the best-fitted enzyme model for all the kinetic data of the tested compounds:

$$V = V_{\text{max}} \cdot S / (K_{\text{m}} + S)$$

where $K_{\rm m}$ is the substrate concentration at which the reaction velocity (V) is 50% of $V_{\rm max}$, the maximal velocity, and S is substrate concentration.

RESULTS

All of the tested compounds stimulated P-gp ATPase in a concentration-dependent manner (Figs. 1A, B). Sertraline and desmethylsertraline showed very strong stimulative effects on P-gp ATPase, with resultant $V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}$ values comparable to that of the positive control, verapamil (Table 1). However, bupropion and its three metabolites, HB, TB, and EB only showed weak affinity with P-gp ATPase, with much lower $V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}$ values compared with those of verapamil, sertraline and desmethylsertraline (Table 1).

Sertraline and desmethylsertraline showed some degree of inhibitory effects on P-gp ATPase activity at high concentrations (750, 1000 μ_M). Fitting the data to the Michaelis–Menten equation with substrate self inhibition did not yield better fitting than the classical Michaelis–Menten equation. Therefore their kinetic parameters were estimated from the classical Michaelis–Michaelis–Menten equation.

DISCUSSION

Based on the ATP-dependent feature of P-gp, it is generally agreed that drugs stimulating P-gp ATPase activity are transported by P-gp.²¹) The results of the present study indicated that sertraline and desmethylsertraline have very high affinity for P-gp ATPase. The estimated V_{max}/K_m values were comparable with that of verapamil, a prototypical substrate of P-gp. In contrast to these drugs, bupropion and its three major metabolites only showed minimal affinity for P-gp. We admit that the ATPase assay method is not a sole method for assessing the substrate specificity for P-gp. A combination of this and cellular transport experiment in cell lines overexpressing P-gp and/or P-gp knockout mouse experiment will help to answer unequivocally if these compounds are substrates of P-gp.

Our results are in good agreement with our recent *in vivo* drug–drug interaction study in CFI mice,²²) in which the brain concentrations of sertraline in CFI mice 1 h after sertraline administration were significantly increased (about 2.2-fold) by coadministration of risperidone, a potent inhibitor of P-gp.²³) In addition, sertraline also significantly increased brain concentrations and *AUC* values of risperidone, a substrate of P-gp.²⁴) These results suggest that sertraline may not only be a substrate of P-gp, but also be an effective *in vivo* inhibitor of P-gp²²) and can increase brain entry of other substrates of P-gp. This conclusion is also consistent with an *in vitro* study in which sertraline was reported to be a strong inhibitor of P-gp.²⁵)

The involvement of P-gp in the disposition of sertraline and desmethylsertraline is consistent with a recent clinical observation of placental passage of antidepressants²⁶) In this study, sertraline and desmethylsertraline exhibited the lowest umbilical cord to maternal serum ratio (0.29) followed by paroxetine (0.54), fluoxetine, (0.64), and citalopram (0.71).²⁶) These results are in good agreement with a protective role of P-gp in placenta limiting fetal access of these antidepressants, since several of the antidepressants listed (*i.e.*, paroxetine and citalopram) have been identified in previous studies t o be substrates of P-gp.^{3,11,12})

This is the first report for a minimal role of P-gp in disposition of bupropion and its three major metabolites. Our results are in good agreement with our previous pharmacokinetic study in CFl mice, in which risperidone, a substrate and inhibitor of P-gp^{22,24,27}) showed negligible effects on plasma and brain concentrations of bupropion and its metabolite HB²²) The minimal involvement of P-gp in disposition of bupropion suggests that alteration of P-gp activity is not an important source for drug-drug interactions and variable therapeutic response of bupropion. The major mechanism for previous reported alteration of bupropion plasma concentrations by other perpetration drugs is by changing of the metabolic enzyme activities of bupropion.²⁸

The function of P-gp in disposition of several other antidepressants has been studied using P-gp knockout mouse or *in vitro* cell culture models. These results showed that higher brain concentrations of amitriptyline, paroxetine, venlafaxine, doxepin, citalopram, and trimipramine were found in the P-gp knockout mice than in the wildtype mice.^{10,29}) Our present findings along with these findings consistently indicated that P-gp in BBB may be an important factor limiting these antidepressants' brain entry. Therefore alteration of function and expression of P-gp may be responsible for the observed drug-drug interactions and different treatment response of the antidepressants among patients. The high affinity of sertraline for P-gp also suggests that the clinically observed sertraline-related interaction might be caused by a competitive inhibition of P-gp by sertraline in target sites. A good example of such interactions is the sertraline–digoxin interaction. As reported in a population-based assessment of the potential interaction between serotonin-specific reuptake inhibitors and digoxin, an increased risk of digoxin toxicity was observed following initiation of sertraline.³⁰) As the

Biol Pharm Bull. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 April 7.

elimination of digoxin solely depends on P-gp-mediated excretion in kidney, an inhibition of P-gp by sertraline is a good explanation of such interaction.

In conclusion, our findings along with previous data consistently indicate that P-gp in BBB may be an important factor limiting brain entry of sertraline and desmethylsertraline, but not bupropion and its three metabolites. P-gp may represent an important target for drug–drug interactions and therapeutic responses associated with sertraline.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grant MH071811-01Al. None of the authors has conflicting interests which interfere with the integrity of the content of the article.

References

- Cordon-Cardo C, O'Brien JP, Casals D, Rittman-Grauer L, Biedler JL, Melamed MR, Bertino JR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1989;86:695–698. [PubMed: 2563168]
- Silverman, JA. Metabolic Drug Interactions. Levy, R., editor. Lippincott-Raven Press; Philadelphia: 2000.
- 4. Sun H, Dai H, Shaik N, Elmquist WF. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2003;55:83-105. [PubMed: 12535575]
- 5. Kunta JR, Sinko PJ. Curr Drug Metab 2004;5:109-124. [PubMed: 14965254]
- Schinkel AH, Smit JJ, van Tellingen O, Beijnen JH, Wagenaar E, van Deernter L, Mol CA, van der Valk MA, Robanus-Maandag EC, te Riele HPJ, Berns AJM, Borst P. Cell 1994;77:491–502. [PubMed: 7910522]
- 7. Schinkel AH, Wagenaar E, Mol CA, van Deernter L. J Clin Invest 1996;97:2517–2524. [PubMed: 8647944]
- Schinkel AH, Mayer U, Wagenaar E, Mol CA, van Deemter L, Srnit JJ, van del' Valk MA, Voordouw AC, Spits H, van Tellingen O, Zijlmans JM, Fibbe WE, Borst P. Proc Notl Acad Sci U S A 1997;94:4028–4033.
- Kim RB, Fromm MF, Wandel C, Leake B, Wood AJ, Roden DM, Wilkinson GR. J Clin Invest 1998;101:289–294. [PubMed: 9435299]
- Uhr M, Steckler T, Yassouridis A, Holsboer F. Neuropsychopharmacology 2000;22:380–387. [PubMed: 10700657]
- 11. Uhr M, Grauer MT. J Psy chiatr Res 2003;37:179-185.
- 12. Uhr M, Grauer MT, Holsboer F. Biol Psychiatry 2003;54:840–846. [PubMed: 14550684]
- Wang JS, Run Y, Taylor R, Donovan LJ, Markowitz SJ, DeVane CL. Psychopharmacology 2004;173:132–138. [PubMed: 14712343]
- Wang JS, Taylor R, Run Y, Donovan LJ, Markowitz SJ, DeVane CL. Neuropsychopharmacology 2004;29:551–557. [PubMed: 14702023]
- Mayer U, Wagenaar E, Dorobek B, Beijnen JH, Borst P, Schinkel AH. J Clin Invest 1997;100:2430– 2436. [PubMed: 9366556]
- Polli JW, Jarrett JL, Studenberg SD, Humphreys JE, Dennis SW, Brouwer KR, Woolley JL. Pharm Res 1999;16:1206–1212. [PubMed: 10468021]
- Choo EF, Leake B, Wandel C, Imamura H, Wood AJ, Wilkinson GR, Kim RB. Drug Metab Dispos 2000;28:655–660. [PubMed: 10820137]
- 18. Feighner JP. J Clin Psychiatry 1999;60(Suppl 4):4-11. [PubMed: 10086478]
- Stormer E, von Moltke LL, Perloff MD, Greenblatt DJ. J Clin Pharmacol 2001;41:708–714. [PubMed: 11452702]
- Boulton DW, DeVane CL, Liston HL, Markowitz JS. Life Sci 2002;71:163–169. [PubMed: 12031686]
- 21. Arnbudkar SV, Dey S, Hrycyna CA, Ramachandra M, Pastan I, Gottesman MM. Annu Rev Pharmacal Toxicol 1999;39:361–398.

- 22. Wang JS, Zhu HJ, Markowitz JS, Donovan JL, DeVane CL. Psychopharmacology 2006;187:415–423. [PubMed: 16810505]
- Wang JS, DeVane CL, Bryan GB, Donovan JL, Markowitz JS. Psychopharmacology 2006;183:400–409.
- 24. Wang JS, Ruan Y, Taylor RM, Donovan JL, Markowitz JS, DeVane CL. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2004;7:415–419. [PubMed: 15683552]
- 25. Weiss J, Dormann SM, Martin-Facklam M, Kerpen CJ, Ketabi-Kiyanvash N, Haefeli WE. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2003;305:197–204. [PubMed: 12649369]
- Hendrick V, Stowe ZN, Altshuler LL, Hwang S, Lee E, Haynes D. Am J Psychiatry 2003;160:993– 996. [PubMed: 12727706]
- Zhu HJ, Wang JS, Markowitz JS, Donovan JL, Gibson BB, DeVane CL. Neuropsychopharmacology 2007;32:757–764. [PubMed: 16936711]
- Hogeland GW, Swindells S, McNabb JC, Kashuba AD, Yee GC, Lindley CM. Clin Pharmacal Thel 2007;81:69–75.
- 29. Uhr M, Grauer MT. J Psychiatr Res 2003;37:179-185. [PubMed: 12650738]
- Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, Kopp A, Herrmann N, Laupacis A. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2005;59:102– 107. [PubMed: 15606447]



Fig. 1.

Concentration-Dependent Simulative Effects of Verapamil, Bupropion and Its Three Metabolites, HB, EB, and TB (A), and Sertraline and Desmethylsertraline (B) on *ortho*-Vanadate-Sensitive ATPase Activity in Expressed P-gp Membranes

The $K_{\rm m}$, $V_{\rm max}$, and $Cl_{\rm int}$ ($V_{\rm max}/K_{\rm m}$)	Values of Bupropion and It	s Three Major Metabolites	and Sertraline and			
Desmethylsertraline on ortho-Vanadate-Sensitive ATPase Activity in Expressed P-gp Membrane ^{a)}						

	<i>K</i> _m (µм)	V _{max} (nmol/mg/min)	Cl_{int} ; intrinsic clearance (min ⁻¹ × 10 ⁻³)
Verapamil	8.7	14.8	1.7
Sertralinc	4.7	7.7	1.6
Desmethylsertraline	6.5	9.3	1.4
Bupropion	2676	48.6	0.018
HB	318.2	10.0	0.03
TB	2066	19.5	0.01
EB	109.3	8.5	0.08

 $^{a)}$ Data were mean of duplicate determinations.

Page 8