

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*J Med Chem*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 25.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Med Chem*. 2008 December 25; 51(24): 7788–7799. doi:10.1021/jm800781a.

**Synthesis, Radiosynthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Fluorine-18 Labeled 2β-Carbo(fluoroalkoxy)-3β-(3′-((Z)-2 haloethenyl)phenyl)nortropanes: Candidate Radioligands for In Vivo Imaging of the Serotonin Transporter with Positron Emission Tomography**

**Jeffrey S. Stehouwer**†, **Nachwa Jarkas**†, **Fanxing Zeng**†, **Ronald J. Voll**†, **Larry Williams**†, **Vernon M. Camp**†, **Eugene J. Malveaux**†, **John R. Votaw**†, **Leonard Howell**‡, **Michael J.Owens**§, and **Mark M. Goodman**\*,†,§

*Department of Radiology, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, and Yerkes National Primate Research Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA*

## **Abstract**

The *meta*-vinylhalide fluoroalkyl ester nortropanes **1**-**4** were synthesized as ligands of the serotonin transporter (SERT) for use as positron emission tomography (PET) imaging agents. In vitro competition binding assays demonstrated that  $1-4$  have a high affinity for the SERT ( $K_i$ 's = 0.3 - 0.4 nM) and are selective for the SERT over the dopamine and norepinephrine transporters (DAT and NET). MicroPET imaging in anesthetized cynomolgus monkeys with [18F]**1**-[18F]**4** demonstrated that all four tracers behave similarly with peak uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions achieved after 45-55 min followed by a steady washout. An awake monkey study was performed with [18F]**1** which demonstrated that the uptake of [18F]**1** was not influenced by anesthesia. Chase studies with the SERT ligand 15 displaced  $[{}^{18}F]$ 1- $[{}^{18}F]$ 4 but chase studies with the DAT ligand 16 did not displace [ <sup>18</sup>F]**1**-[18F]**4** thus indicating that the tracers were binding specifically to the SERT.

# **Introduction**

The human serotonin transporter (SERT) is a 630 amino acid transmembrane protein located in the brain, peripheral organs, and blood platelets.<sup>3-6</sup> Within the central nervous system (CNS), the SERT is localized on the presynaptic terminals of serotonergic neurons and functions to terminate neurotransmission by removing serotonin from the synapse. Serotonergic neurons originate primarily in the median and dorsal raphe nuclei of the brainstem and innervate discrete areas that include the hypothalamus, thalamus, striatum, and cerebral cortex.<sup>4, 7-10</sup> Thus, the SERT can serve as a specific marker for serotonergic neuronal anatomy and integrity. Dysregulation of serotonin neurotransmission has been implicated in the pathophysiology of major depression and a reduction in SERT density has been observed postmortem in the tissues of depressed patients and suicide victims.7, 11-14 Therefore, the

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Department of Radiology, Emory University, 1364 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30322 Phone: (404) 727-9366. Fax: (404) 727-3488. E-mail: mgoodma@emory.edu.. †Department of Radiology

<sup>§</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences

<sup>‡</sup>Yerkes National Primate Research Center

Supporting Information Available: Additional microPET data and elemental analysis data of **1**-**4**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at<http://www.pubs.acs.org>

SERT is the target of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) class of antidepressants. The ability to image CNS SERT in vivo using positron emission tomography (PET)<sup>15-17</sup> may provide insight into the pathophysiology of depression and suicide by enabling the SERT density of specific brain regions to be measured thereby indicating which regions of the brain have SERT density altered by the disease as well as allow for improved diagnostic techniques and monitoring of antidepressant therapy.<sup>18-22</sup> Furthermore, the availability of SERT PET tracers may aid in the development of new SERT therapeutics by allowing for occupancy measurements of the therapeutic.23-28

This need for a SERT PET tracer has led to extensive research into the development of new tracers for this target<sup>17</sup> with the majority of these new compounds belonging to the diarylsulfide<sup>24, 29-43</sup> or nortropane<sup>44-46</sup> classes. Many of the initial SERT PET tracers are  $11C$ -labeled compounds and therefore are limited to use in the location where they are prepared due to the short half-life of <sup>11</sup>C ( $t_{1/2}$  = 20.4 min). Tracers labeled with <sup>18</sup>F are desirable because the longer half-life of <sup>18</sup>F ( $t_{1/2}$  = 109.8 min) allows for longer radiosynthesis times and transport of  $18F$ -labeled tracers to sites away from the production facility which thus enables PET imaging centers without onsite cyclotrons to utilize these tracers. Furthermore,  $^{18}$ F positrons have a lower maximum energy than  $^{11}$ C positrons (0.64 MeV vs. 0.97 MeV)<sup>17</sup> and therefore deposit less energy into tissue, and also a shorter linear range<sup>47</sup>,  $^{48}$  which allows for higher spatial resolution. These properties of  $^{18}$ F are fortuitous due to the valuable role that <sup>19</sup>F plays in medicinal chemistry<sup>49-51</sup> and numerous methods have now been developed to incorporate  $^{18}$ F or  $^{19}$ F into molecules.<sup>52-54</sup>

The goal of developing a viable SERT PET tracer is an important, but not easy, task as evidenced by the numerous compounds that have been, and continue to be, reported. Several criteria need to be met in order for a candidate molecule to become a useful tracer. The desirable properties for a candidate SERT PET tracer include (exceptions may exist): 1) high binding affinity<sup>55</sup> ( $K_i = \sim 0.1$  - 0.5 nM) for the SERT with high selectivity (>50:1) over the norepinephrine transporter (NET) and dopamine transporter (DAT); 2) moderate lipophilicity  $(\log P = 1 - 3)$ <sup>56-58</sup> for good initial brain entry and low non-specific binding; 3) high uptake ratios vs. cerebellum<sup>59</sup> ( $\geq$  1.7) in SERT-rich brain regions such as thalamus, hypothalamus and raphe with uptake ratios  $\geq 1.3$  in low density regions such as anterior cingulate to enable delineation of specific SERT binding; 4) specific binding to brain SERT reaching peak equilibrium at  $\leq 60$  min followed by washout for both <sup>11</sup>C- and <sup>18</sup>F-tracers to allow quantification of SERT occupancy; 5) lack of radiolabeled metabolites generated in the brain; and 6) lack of lipophilic radiolabeled metabolites in peripheral organs that may enter brain and bind specifically or non-specifically to SERT-rich regions and cerebellum.

As part of an ongoing research project in our laboratories to develop SERT-selective tropane and nortropane PET and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging agents labeled with <sup>11</sup>C or <sup>18</sup>F (PET) or <sup>123</sup>I (SPECT) for human diagnostic applications we have been exploring the effect of placing a vinyl halide<sup>60-66</sup> or furyl substituent<sup>67</sup> on the 3β-phenyl ring. We report here the synthesis and biological evaluation of 2β-carbo(2 fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**1**, FE*m*ZIENT)68, 2β-carbo(2 fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**2**, FE*m*ZBrENT)69, 2β-carbo (3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**3**, FP*m*ZIENT)70, and 2βcarbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**4**, FP*m*ZBrENT)70 along with the radiosynthesis and microPET imaging of  $\frac{18F}{11}$ - $\frac{18F}{4}$  in anesthetized nonhuman primates and the PET imaging of  $[18F]$ **1** in an awake non-human primate.

# **Chemistry**

The synthesis of target compounds **1**-**4** is shown in Scheme 1. 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-(3′ bromophenyl)tropane  $(5)^{63}$  was hydrolyzed in refluxing 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>71</sup> to give the acid **6** which was converted to the acid chloride and then esterified to afford the 2-fluoroethyl ester **7** or the 3-fluoropropyl ester **8**. *N*-demethylation72 afforded the nortropanes **9** and **10** which were coupled to  $(2)$ -1,2-bis(trimethylstannyl)ethene<sup>73, 74</sup> to give the vinyl-tin nortropanes **11** and **12** in varying *cis*:*trans* ratios with the major product being the *cis* isomer (~3:1). Halodestannylation then afforded the vinyl iodides **1** and **3** and the vinyl bromides **2** and **4** (after separation of the *cis*:*trans* isomers by semi-preparative HPLC).

# **Radiochemistry**

The radiolabeling procedure is depicted in Scheme 2. *N*-Boc acid **13** or **14**63 was dissolved in DMF, deprotonated with 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>OH<sub>(aq)</sub>, added to  $[^{18}F]$ fluoroalkylbrosylate, and heated. The *N*-Boc group was cleaved under acidic conditions, the solution was neutralized, and the mixture was purified by semi-preparative HPLC. The desired HPLC fractions were combined and the product was isolated by solid phase extraction according to a previously reported procedure.<sup>75</sup> We were able to obtain higher decay-corrected radiochemical yields with  $[18F]$ FEtOBs than with  $[18F]$ FPrOBs in our radiosyntheses (see Experimental Section). This is presumably because the carbon atom bonded to the brosylate leaving group bears a larger partial positive charge in  $[18F]FEtOBs$  than  $[18F]FPrOBs$  due to the electron-withdrawing properties of the fluorine atom which is two bonds away in  $[18F]FEtOBs$  but three bonds away in  $[18F]$ FPrOBs. The octanol/water partition coefficients56-58 of [<sup>18</sup>F]**1**-[18F]**4** were measured according to a known procedure  $76$ ,  $77$  and are shown in Table 1. These values are all in the range<sup>56</sup> that will allow for diffusion of the tracer across the blood-brain-barrier.

# **In Vitro Competition Binding Assays**

Vinylhalide nortropanes **1**-**4** and *trans*-**1** were screened for binding to human monoamine transporters using in vitro competition binding assays with transfected SERT, DAT, or NET according to our previously reported procedure.  $61, 78$  The binding affinities for each transporter were determined using  $[{}^3H](R,S)$ -citalopram•HBr<sup>78, 79</sup> ( $[{}^3H]$ **15**) (SERT),  $[{}^{125}I]$ RTI-5580 (DAT), or [3H]Nisoxetine81 (NET). The data in Table 2 indicate that **1**-**4** all have a high affinity for the SERT and are selective for the SERT over the DAT and NET.<sup>55</sup> *Trans*-**1** has a reduced affinity at all three transporters as expected based on previous reports. 60, 74

# **In Vivo Nonhuman Primate MicroPET Imaging**

The in vivo regional brain uptake of  $[^{18}F]1 - [^{18}F]4$  was determined in anesthetized cynomolgus monkeys (a total of 4 different cynomolgus monkeys) using a Concorde microPET P4 according to our previously reported procedure.  $61$  Baseline studies were initially performed to determine the extent of uptake of  $\int_1^{18}F[1-f^{18}F]$ <sup>4</sup> in the SERT-rich regions of the brain. The baseline time-activity curves (TACs) for  $\lceil {^{18}F} \rceil$ **1** are shown in Figure 1. Compound  $\lceil {^{18}F} \rceil$ **1** enters the brain rapidly and achieves peak uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions after  $\sim$  45 minutes (Table S1) with the highest uptake observed in the midbrain followed by the putamen, thalamus, medulla, and caudate. This rank order of uptake is similar to that observed with previously reported <sup>11</sup>C-labeled diaryl sulfides  $30, 31, 33, 34, 37$  and is consistent with the known distribution of SERT in the brain.<sup>34, 59, 82, 83</sup> Lesser uptake is observed in the pons and the occipital and frontal cortices. The uptake in the midbrain, putamen, thalamus, medulla, and caudate remains nearly constant for  $\sim$  20 minutes ( $\sim$  45-65 min post-injection) and then begins to steadily wash out. In the cerebellum, a region with low SERT density,<sup>59</sup> peak uptake is achieved after  $\sim$  27 minutes followed by a rapid washout of radioactivity down to uptake

levels similar to that observed in the occipital and frontal cortices. Between 65-175 minutes post-injection the washout from the SERT-rich brain regions remains nearly parallel with the washout from the cerebellum indicating that a quasi-equilibrium (a condition where the ratio of radioactivity uptake in the region of interest to reference region stays relatively constant) 37 has been established. The microPET images from this baseline study are shown in Figure 2.

Metabolite analysis of [18F]**1** (Figure 3) was performed with arterial plasma samples and determined by an HPLC method with radioactivity detection as previously described.<sup>61</sup> The initial arterial plasma sample was taken at 1 minute post-injection of  $\binom{18}{1}$  and consisted of 93 % of the total plasma radioactivity as unmetabolized  $[{}^{18}F]$ **1**. The percent of total plasma radioactivity then decreased over time during the course of the study to 13 % unmetabolized [ <sup>18</sup>F]**1** after 180 minutes. The radioactive metabolite eluted immediately after the void volume during HPLC analysis and is believed to be  $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoroethanol (or one of its metabolic oxidation products  $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]fluoroacetaldehyde or  $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]fluoroacetic acid) that would result from hydrolysis of the [<sup>18</sup>F]fluoroethyl ester.84 The percentage of protein-bound [<sup>18</sup>F]**1** in plasma at each time point is shown in Table S15 and was in the range of 5.6 - 8.5 % during the course of the study.

It has been previously shown that anesthesia during PET imaging can interfere with radioligand binding.<sup>85-88</sup> We therefore performed a baseline study in an awake rhesus monkey with  $[18F]$ **1** using a Siemens/CTI High Resolution Research Tomograph (HRRT) in order to determine if there was a difference in the behavior of  $[{}^{18}F]$ **1** in an awake versus an anesthetized state. The TACs are shown in Figure 4 and the PET images are shown in Figure 5. High uptake is observed in the thalamus, putamen, midbrain, and caudate with peak uptake achieved after  $\sim$ 45 min (Table S2). The behavior of  $\binom{18}{1}$  in an awake monkey is very similar to its behavior in an anesthetized monkey thus demonstrating that the imaging properties of  $[18F]$ **1** are not affected by anesthesia. We were not able to follow the washout of  $\binom{18}{1}$  in the awake study for the same amount of time as with the anesthetized study due to the difficulty of keeping an awake monkey motionless for an extended period of time.

The TACs for the baseline studies with  $[{}^{18}F]2 - [{}^{18}F]4$  are shown in Figures 6-8, respectively. Compound  $\lceil {^{18}F} \rceil$  reaches peak uptake after 55-65 minutes in the SERT-rich brain regions followed by a steady washout (Table S3). Peak uptake in the cerebellum is achieved after  $\sim$  30 minutes followed by a rapid washout to a level of uptake slightly less than that observed in the occipital and frontal cortices. Peak uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions for  $[18F]$ **3** was achieved after 45-55 minutes (Table S4) and for [18F]**4** peak uptake was achieved after 35-45 minutes (Table S5) with both compounds showing a steady washout. The ratio of uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions vs. cerebellum uptake for compounds  $[{}^{18}F]$ **1**- $[{}^{18}F]$ **4** at 115 and 215 minutes post-injection is shown in Table 3 (the complete data is shown in Tables S6-S10 along with graphs of the uptake ratios vs. time in Figures S1-S5). The ratios for each tracer are very similar at these two time points thus indicating that these tracers all behave very similarly in vivo. The differences between the data in Table 3 are believed to be the result, at least partially, of individual differences between the monkeys studied rather than differences between the performance of each tracer. The uptake ratios for [18F]**1** after 115 minutes in awake and anesthetized monkeys are also very similar which provides further evidence that isoflurane anesthesia does not influence the in vivo behavior of  $[18F]$ **1**.

In order to demonstrate that the observed uptake in the baseline studies with  $\frac{18F}{11-F}$ [18F]**4** is the result of preferential binding to the SERT and not the DAT, chase studies were performed with the SERT ligand 15, and the DAT ligands ( $\pm$ )-methylphenidate•HCl<sup>89</sup> (16) and RTI-113•HCl<sup>71, 90, 91</sup> (17). Figures 9<sup>-12</sup> show the TACs for the chase studies with 15 at 120 minutes post-injection of the tracer for compounds [18F]**1**-[18F]**4**, respectively. For all four

chase studies the amount of radioactivity in the SERT-rich brain regions decreases to nearly the level of the cortices and cerebellum thus indicating that the observed uptake is the result of binding to the SERT. These results also indicate that [18F]**1**-[18F]**4** can be used for occupancy studies of SERT-selective therapeutics.<sup>26</sup> Graphs of the uptake ratio vs. time for these chase studies are shown in Figures S6<sup>-</sup>S9. Figure S10 shows the results of chasing  $[18F]$ **1** with the DAT ligand **16** and Figure S11 shows the results of chasing [18F]**1** with the DAT ligand **17**. We chose to perform chase studies with both **16** and **17** because we wanted to chase  $\binom{18}{11}$ with a tropane-based DAT ligand (**17**) and a non-tropane-based DAT ligand (**16**). In neither case did the amount of radioactivity uptake decrease after administration of the chase compound (other than normal washout) thus indicating that  $[18F]$ **1** is not bound to the DAT. Chase studies using 16 were also performed with  $\binom{18}{1}$ <sup>2</sup>- $\binom{18}{1}$ <sup>2</sup>+ $\binom{18}{$ and, similarly to what was observed with [18F]**1**, the radioactivity was not displaced. We did not perform any chase studies with the NET ligand **18**92, 93 because **18** also has an affinity for the SERT<sup>60</sup> and we have previously demonstrated that **18** will displace SERT PET tracers. 63, 64





16



*J Med Chem*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 25.

17

We have recently reported the microPET and HRRT PET imaging properties of  $[18F]$ **19** in non-human primates (anesthetized and awake, respectively).64 Compound **19** is the *para*substituted isomer of **1** and has a higher affinity at all three transporters than **1** ( $K_i = 0.08$  nM SERT, 13 nM DAT, and 28 nM NET) but a lower SERT vs. DAT selectivity (~162). Comparison between  $\lceil {^{18}F} \rceil 19$  and  $\lceil {^{18}F} \rceil 1$  will reveal the effect of placing the vinyl iodide group in the *para*- or *meta*-position. The microPET baseline TACs for  $[{}^{18}F]19$  are reproduced in Figure S15 and the awake rhesus monkey HRRT baseline TACs are reproduced in Figure S17. Table 5 compares the times of peak uptake between [18F]**19** and [18F]**1** for the microPET and HRRT studies with each compound. In both the anesthetized and awake studies  $[18F1]$  reaches peak uptake significantly faster than [18F]**19** and [18F]**1** also shows a greater washout (also see Tables S1<sup>,</sup> S2<sup>,</sup> S11, and S13). Thus,  $\binom{18}{1}$  has superior imaging kinetics relative to  $\binom{18}{1}$ **19**. Table 6 compares the ratio of uptake in SERT-rich brain regions to cerebellum uptake for  $[18F]$ **1** and  $[18F]$ **19** (also see Tables S6<sup>,</sup> S7<sup>,</sup> S12, and S14). Compound  $[18F]$ **19** shows higher uptake ratios than [18F]**1** in both anesthetized and awake states, and for both compounds the uptake ratios increase with time throughout the course of the studies (This is represented by Figures  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_1$ 6, and  $S_1$ 8 where the uptake ratio is plotted vs. time). The differences in uptake ratio are a result of the differences in kinetics for each tracer. For compound  $\lceil \frac{18}{\text{F}} \rceil$ **1** (Figure 1) washout from the cerebellum begins after  $\sim$  27 minutes and washout from the SERTrich brain regions begins after ~65 minutes. This washout then remains fairly steady and the uptake ratios slowly increase until finally stabilizing after  $\sim$ 175 minutes (Figure S1). In contrast, [18F]**19** reaches peak uptake in the cerebellum after 45 minutes and then begins to wash out while uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions continues to increase until 85-105 minutes followed by a very slow washout. This results in a continuously increasing uptake ratio with time (Figure S16). Similar differences between [18F]**1** and [18F]**19** are also observed in the awake monkey studies (Figures S2 and S18). Therefore,  $[18F]$ **1** may be more ideally suited for measuring SERT density in humans due to its superior kinetics and the ability to achieve a quasi-equilibrium. Alternatively, as shown in Figure S19, chasing [18F]**19** with **15** produces a more drastic displacement of  $\lceil 18F \rceil 19$  than occurs with  $\lceil 18F \rceil 1$  (Figure 9) which suggests that  $[18F]$ **19** may be better suited to be used for occupancy determination studies of  $SSRIs$ , <sup>15, 25-27 Thus, compounds  $[18F]$ **1** and  $[18F]$ **19** each have their own unique imaging</sup> properties and are both promising candidates for use in human PET studies. The decision of which tracer to use,  $\lceil \frac{18}{\text{F}} \rceil$ **1** or  $\lceil \frac{18}{\text{F}} \rceil$ **19**, would therefore have to be determined by the objective of the pending study.

# **Summary**

The SERT ligands **1**-**4** were synthesized from *m*-bromophenyl tropane **5** and evaluated for binding to the SERT, DAT, and NET with in vitro competition binding assays using transfected cells. Compounds **1**-**4** all have a high and nearly equal affinity for the SERT and are selective for the SERT over the DAT and NET. Radiolabeling afforded tracers  $[18F]1-[18F]4$  with higher decay-corrected radiochemical yields obtained with  $[18F]FEtOBs$  than with  $[18F]FPtOBs$ . Tracers  $[18F]$ **1**- $[18F]$ **4** were found to have lipophilicities in the range  $\log P_{7,4} = 1.4 - 1.9$ . MicroPET imaging studies in anesthetized cynomolgus monkeys demonstrated that [18F]**1**- [ <sup>18</sup>F]**4** behave very similarly in vivo with peak uptake achieved after 45-55 min followed by a steady washout. The ratios of uptake in SERT-rich brain regions to cerebellum uptake for [ <sup>18</sup>F]**1**-[18F]**4** were also very similar. An HRRT study was performed with [18F]**1** in an awake rhesus monkey to determine if anesthesia was influencing the behavior of  $\binom{18}{1}$ . The time to peak uptake and the uptake ratio of  $\lceil \frac{18F}{1} \rceil$ **1** were similar between anesthetized and awake states indicating that anesthesia does not affect the imaging properties of  $[^{18}F]1$ . Chase studies with the SERT ligand **15** displaced tracers [18F]**1**-[18F]**4** but chase studies with the DAT ligand **16** did not displace tracers  $\binom{18}{1}$ - $\binom{18}{1}$ - $\binom{18}{1}$  thus indicating that the observed uptake in the brain is a result of preferential binding to the SERT and not the DAT. Comparison between  $\lceil \frac{18}{1} \rceil$ **1** and the *para*-substituted isomer  $\binom{18}{19}$  demonstrates that the position of the vinyl iodide group

can have a significant affect on the tracer properties of the two compounds. Peak uptake in the putamen and caudate is achieved after 45 and 55 min, respectively, with [18F]**1** but it takes 95 and 105 min, respectively, with  $[18F]$ **19**. Similar effects are observed in the midbrain and thalamus. Alternatively, the ratio of uptake in the SERT-rich brain regions to the cerebellum is higher with  $[18F]$ **19** than with  $[18F]$ **1**. Both  $[18F]$ **1** and  $[18F]$ **19** are, therefore, promising candidates for advancement to human studies because  $[{}^{18}F]$ **1** offers faster kinetics than  $[{}^{18}F]$ **19** but  $\binom{18}{19}$  offers higher uptake ratios than  $\binom{18}{1}$  and it will be interesting to see if these differences are maintained in human subjects. Additionally, each compound can be radiolabeled as  $[1^{23}I]$ **1** or  $[1^{23}I]$ **19** for SPECT imaging which will further expand the possible uses of these two tracers. We have, therefore, chosen to further pursue  $[18F]$ **1** out of this series of tracers reported above in combination with  $\binom{18}{19}$  due to the higher radiochemical yields that can be obtained by *O*-alkylation with  $[{}^{18}F]FEtOBs$ , the ability to use both  $[{}^{18}F]1$  and  $[{}^{18}F]$ **19** and to make direct comparisons between the two, and the possibility of radiolabeling each with <sup>123</sup>I for SPECT imaging. Thus, we intend to evaluate both  $[{}^{18}F]$ **1** and  $[{}^{18}F]$ **19** in healthy normal human volunteers under baseline conditions as well as perform test-retest variability in dose-dependent blocking studies to determine their suitability for measuring occupancy in subjects with neuropsychiatric disorders.

# **Experimental Section**

#### **General**

Solvents were purchased from VWR and had originated from EMD or Burdick & Jackson. Anhydrous solvents (100-mL septum-capped bottles) were purchased from Aldrich. TLC plates used were EMD glass-backed Silica Gel 60  $F_{254}$ ,  $20 \times 20$  cm, 250  $\mu$ m. Preparatory TLC plates used were Analtech Uniplate Silica Gel GF 20 × 20 cm, 2000 μm. Silica gel used was EMD Silica Gel 60, 40-63 μm. Radial chromatography was performed with a Harrison Research Chromatotron. Semi-preparatory HPLC: Waters XTerra Prep  $RP_{18}$ , 5  $\mu$ m, 19  $\times$  100 mm + guard cartridge ( $19 \times 10$  mm), 60:40:0.1 v/v/v MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O/NEt<sub>3</sub>. Analytical HPLC: Waters NovaPak  $3.9 \times 150$  mm,  $75:25:0.1$  v/v/v MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O/NEt<sub>3</sub>. HRMS was performed by the Emory University Mass Spectrometry Center. NMR spectrometry was performed on Varian Inova and Unity spectrometers at the specified frequencies.

## **3β-(3′-Bromophenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic acid (6)**

2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)tropane (**5**) (0.74 g, 2.19 mmol), 1,4-dioxane (15 mL) and H2O (15 mL) were stirred at reflux for 16 h. The solvent was removed azeotropically with EtOH to give a white solid that was dried under vacuum for 3 h. The solid was suspended in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL), cooled to 0  $\degree$ C, and the precipitate was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum to afford 0.48 g (68%) of a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  7.51 (br s, 1) H), 7.36 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.31 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.20 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 3.94 (m, 2 H), 3.36 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, *J* = 13.8 Hz), 2.81 (m, 1 H), 2.79 (s, 3 H), 2.69 (m, 1 H), 2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.17 (m, 2 H), 1.84 (m, 1 H); HRMS (APCI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>79</sup>Br: 324.0594, Found: 324.0593; Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>81</sup>Br: 326.0578, Found: 326.0574.

#### **2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)tropane (7)**

3β-(3′-Bromophenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**6**) (0.43 g, 1.33 mmol) was suspended in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) under Ar followed by addition of anhydrous NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.30 mL, 2.15 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) and cooling to -4 °C. Oxalyl chloride (1.0 mL, 2 M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was diluted with anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) and added dropwise through the condenser to the reaction flask over a period of 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar at -4  $\degree$ C for 20 min, warmed to rt, and the solvent was removed to give a brown solid that was dried under vacuum for 20 min. The solid was dissolved in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL) and cooled to -4 °C under Ar. FEtOH (0.80 mL, 13.62 mmol 10.2 equiv.) and anhydrous NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.30 mL,

2.15 mmol, 1.6 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and added dropwise through the condenser to the acid chloride solution over a period of 3 min. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar at  $-4$  °C for 5 min, warmed to rt, stirred at rt for 80 min, and then the solvent was removed to give a brown solid that was dried under vacuum for 20 min. The solid was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, poured onto dry silica (43 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum: CH2Cl2 (100 mL), v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt3 75:20:5 (300 mL), 50:45:5 (100 mL) to afford 0.31 g (63 %) of a colorless syrup: TLC  $R_f$  = 0.38 (50:45:5 v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/ NEt3); 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.39 (s, 1 H), 7.29 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.21 (d, 1 H, *J*  $= 7.8$  Hz),  $7.14$  (dd,  $1 \text{ H}$ ,  $J = 7.8$  Hz),  $4.45$  (dddd,  $2 \text{ H}$ ,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}} = 46.8$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.8$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$ 2.6 Hz), 4.29 (dddd, 1 H,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 29.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 13.2 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.2 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}$ ), 4.08  $\text{(ddd, 1 H, }^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 28.2 \text{ Hz}, ^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.6 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.9 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}),$  3.63 (m, 1 H), 3.36 (m, 1 H), 2.98 (m, 2 H), 2.53 (td, 1 H, *J* = 3.0 Hz, *J* = 12.3 Hz), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.11 (m, 1 H), 1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.60 (m, 2 H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 171.29, 145.79, 130.73, 129.69, 129.16, 126.10, 122.38, 81.74 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 169.2 Hz), 65.47, 62.91 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 20.6 Hz), 62.33, 52.65, 42.08, 34.04, 33.71, 25.95, 25.45; HRMS (APCI) [MH]+ calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}O_2N^{79}Br: 370.0813$ , found: 370.0812; calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}O_2N^{81}Br: 372.0797$ , found: 372.0793.

#### **2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)tropane (8)**

3β-(3′-Bromophenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**6**) (0.11 g, 0.34 mmol) was suspended in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8 mL) under Ar followed by addition of anhydrous NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.08 mL, 0.57 mmol, 1.7 equiv.) and cooling to -4 °C. Oxalyl chloride (0.27 mL, 2 M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.54 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) was diluted with anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL) and added dropwise to the reaction flask over a period of 90 s. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar at -4 °C for 20 min, warmed to rt, and the solvent was removed to give a brown solid that was dried under vacuum for 20 min. The solid was dissolved in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and cooled to -4 °C under Ar. FPrOH (0.32 g, 4.10 mmol 12.1 equiv.) and anhydrous NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.08 mL, 0.57 mmol, 1.7 equiv.) were dissolved in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) and added dropwise to the acid chloride solution. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar at  $-4 \degree C$  for 5 min, warmed to rt, and the solvent was removed to give a brown solid that was dried under vacuum for 10 min. The solid was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, poured onto dry silica (39 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum: CH2Cl2 (100 mL), v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt3 75:20:5 (300 mL), 50:45:5 (100 mL) to afford 88 mg (67%) of a colorless syrup: TLC  $R_f$  = 0.41 (50:45:5 v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/ NEt3); 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.36 (s, 1 H), 7.29 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.19 (d, 1 H, *J*  $= 7.8$  Hz), 7.14 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 7.8$  Hz), 4.37 (2 m, 2 H, <sup>2</sup> $J_{HF} = 47.1$  Hz), 4.14 (m, 1 H), 3.98 (m, 1 H), 3.58 (m, 1 H), 3.35 (m, 1 H), 2.98 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 5.7 Hz, *J* = 12.0 Hz), 2.91 (m, 1 H), 2.50 (td, 1 H, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 2.18 (m, 1 H), 2.11 (m, 1 H), 1.85 (2 m, 2 H, <sup>3</sup> *J*HF = 24.6 Hz), 1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.60 (m, 1 H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 171.62, 146.01, 130.57, 129.72, 129.09, 125.98, 122.38, 80.89 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 165.0 Hz), 65.55, 62.29, 59.96 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{CF}}$  = 6.2 Hz), 52.80, 42.10, 34.11, 33.61, 29.94 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{CF}}$  = 20.6 Hz), 26.00, 25.43; HRMS (APCI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>79</sup>BrF: 384.0969, Found: 384.0971; Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}O_2N^{81}BrF: 386.0954$ , Found: 386.0953.

#### **2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)nortropane (9)**

2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)tropane (**7**) (0.26 g, 7.02 × 10-4 mol), 2,2,2 trichloroethyl chloroformate (1.0 mL, 7.27 mmol, 10.4 equiv.), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3(s)</sub> (36 mg, 0.34 mmol, 0.5 equiv.), and toluene (15 mL) were stirred at reflux under Ar for 4 h, cooled, poured onto dry silica (43 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (75 mL) and then  $75:20:5 \frac{\text{v}}{\text{v}}$  hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>. The solvent was removed to give a colorless residue that was dried under vacuum  $(0.37 \text{ g})$ . To the residue was added Zn dust  $(0.46 \text{ g})$ , AcOH  $(10 \text{ mL})$ , and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (0.3 mL), and the mixture was stirred at rt for 21 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL), and cooled to 0 °C. The aqueous

phase was basified to pH 11 with conc.  $NH_4OH_{(aa)}$ , the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL $\times$  2). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layers were dried over MgSO4 and the solvent was removed to give a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , poured onto dry silica (35 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum:  $CH_2Cl_2$ (75 mL), then v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> 75:20:5 (50 mL), 50:45:5 (50 mL), 20:75:5 (500 mL). The solvent was removed to give 0.18 g (72%) of a light yellow oil: TLC  $R_f$  = 0.10 (50:45:5) v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35 (s, 1 H), 7.33 (m, 1 H), 7.15  $(m, 2 H)$ , 4.36 (dddd, 1 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}} = 46.8$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 10.8$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.4$  Hz),  $4.23$  (dddd, 1 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}}$  = 48.0 Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 10.8 Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 2.4 Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 6.6 Hz), 4.12 (dddd,  $1 \text{ H}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 26.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 13.2 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.6 \text{ Hz}$ ), 4.01 (dddd, 1 H,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}$ 30.0 Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 13.2$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.4$  Hz), 3.75 (m, 2 H), 3.25 (dt, 1 H,  $J = 6.0$ Hz, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 2.82 (m, 1 H), 2.37 (td, 1 H, *J* = 3.0 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.12 (m, 1 H), 2.01  $(m, 1 H), 1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.67 (m, 3 H);$   ${}^{13}$ C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.98, 144.85, 130.64, 130.00, 129.82, 126.21, 122.61, 81.25 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 169.2 Hz), 62.99 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 20.7 Hz), 56.54, 53.76, 51.08, 35.44, 33.60, 29.33, 27.89; HRMS (APCI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>79</sup>BrF: 356.0656, Found: 356.0656; Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>81</sup>BrF: 358.0635, Found: 358.0636.

#### **2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)nortropane (10)**

2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)tropane (**8**) (78 mg, 2.03 × 10-4 mol), 2,2,2 trichloroethyl chloroformate (0.30 mL, 2.18 mmol, 10.7 equiv.),  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_{3(s)}(11 \text{ mg}, 0.10 \text{ mmol},$ 0.5 equiv.), and toluene (5 mL) were stirred at reflux under Ar for 4 h, cooled, poured onto dry silica (25 mm h  $\times$  33 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) and then 75:20:5  $v/v/v/$  hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> (100 mL). The solvent was removed to give a colorless residue that was dried under vacuum  $(0.11 \text{ g})$ . To the residue was added Zn dust  $(0.13 \text{ g})$ , AcOH  $(4 \text{ mL})$ , and  $H_2O$  (0.1 mL), and the mixture was stirred at rt for 21 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (25 mL), and cooled to 0 °C. The aqueous phase was basified to pH 10 with conc.  $NH_4OH_{(aq)}$ , the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL  $\times$  2). The combined  $CH_2Cl_2$  layers were dried over MgSO4 and the solvent was removed to give a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, poured onto dry silica (26 mm h  $\times$  33 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (75 mL), then v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> 75:20:5 (50 mL), 50:45:5 (75 mL), 20:75:5 (100 mL). The solvent was removed to give 44 mg (59%) of a light yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.15 (m, 2 H), 4.17 (ddd, 2 H, <sup>2</sup> $J_{\text{HF}}$  = 47.4 Hz,  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 5.7 Hz), 4.01 (m, 1 H), 3.91 (m, 1 H), 3.73 (m, 2 H), 3.24 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 5.9 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.73 (d, 1 H, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 2.36 (td, 1 H, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.13 (m, 1 H), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.69 (m, 6 H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.30, 144.95, 130.74, 130.04, 129.83, 126.21, 122.61, 80.46 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 165.2 Hz), 60.11 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 5.9 Hz), 56.45, 53.67, 51.00, 35.62, 33.56, 29.68 (d, <sup>2</sup> $J_{\text{CF}}$  = 20.7 Hz), 29.22, 27.79; HRMS (APCI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sup>79</sup>BrF: 370.0813, Found: 370.0814; Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}O_2N^{81}BrF: 372.0792$ , Found: 372.0793.

#### **(***Z***)-1,2-Bis(trimethylstannyl)ethene**

Purified acetylene (passed successively through a -78 °C cold trap, conc.  $H_2SO_{4(aq)}$ , NaOH(s), CaCl<sub>2(s)</sub>, and then Drierite) was bubbled through a solution of hexamethylditin (2.52 g, 7.69 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (0.89 g, 0.77 mmol), and 1,4-dioxane (20 mL, purged with Ar for 45 min prior to use) at 65 °C for 4 h. The solution was cooled to rt, stirred at rt for 20 min, and filtered. The filtrate was poured onto silica gel (14 cm high  $\times$  4 cm i.d.) that had been pretreated with 10% NEt<sub>3</sub>/hexane (100 mL) and then 1% NEt<sub>3</sub>/hexane (100 mL). The product was eluted under vacuum with  $1\%$  NEt<sub>3</sub>/hexane (200 mL) and the solvent was removed to give a dark orange oil that was briefly dried under vacuum (2.48 g, 91%). TLC  $R_f = 0.66$  (1% NEt<sub>3</sub>/ Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33 (s, 2 H), 0.17 (t, 18 H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>SnH</sub> = 26.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.17, - 8.10.

 $(Z)$ -1,2-Bis(trimethylstannyl)ethene was found to be stable (by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy) for at least 1 year when stored at -15 °C under Ar and protected from moisture and light (the vial was flushed with Ar, capped, wrapped with Parafilm, completely wrapped in Al foil, and then stored in a resealable plastic bag in a freezer).

## **2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (11)**

2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3'-bromophenyl)nortropane (**9**) (0.23 g, 6.46 × 10-4 mol),  $(Z)$ -1,2-bis(trimethylstannyl)ethene (0.72 g, 2.04 mmol, 3.2 equiv), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (80 mg, 6.92  $\times$  $10^{-5}$  mol, 0.1 equiv), and toluene (25 mL, purged with Ar for 2 h) were stirred at reflux under Ar for 18 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, stirred at rt for 1.5 h, poured onto dry silica (40 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL), hexane (50 mL),  $v/v/v$ hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> 75:20:5 (100 mL), 50:45:5 (100 mL), 20:75:5 (300 mL). The solvent was removed to give an orange oil that was radially chromatographed (4 mm silica,  $v/v/v$  hexane/ EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> 90:8:2 (300 mL), 75:20:5 (1 L)) to afford 0.13 g of a colorless syrup that was  $\sim$ 73:27 *cis/trans* by integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR vinyl resonances. The product was again radially chromatographed (2 mm silica,  $v/v/v$  hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> 95:4:1 (1 L), 90:8:2 (800) mL), 75:20:5 (200 mL), 50:45:5 (200 mL)) to afford 64 mg (21%) of a colorless syrup that was ~93:7 *cis*/*trans*, 29 mg (10%) of a colorless syrup that was ~76:24 *cis*/*trans*, and 29 mg (10%) of a colorless syrup that was  $\sim$ 47:53 *cis/trans*. TLC  $R_f$  = 0.28 (20:75:5 v/v/v hexane/ EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.54 (td, 1 H, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>HH</sub> = 13.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>SnH</sub> = 75.0 Hz), 7.23 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 7.8$  Hz), 7.11 (m, 3 H), 6.18 (td, 1 H,  $^{3}J_{HH} = 13.8$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{SnH} = 32.0$  Hz), 4.33  $(ddd, 1 H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 46.9 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.4 Hz), 4.20 (ddd, 1$ H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}} = 47.7$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 10.8$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.9$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 4.10 (partially resolved dddd, 1 H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 2.4 Hz), 3.95 (dddd, 1 H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 30.1 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 13.0 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup> *J*HH = 2.4 Hz), 3.74 (m, 2 H), 3.27 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 5.4 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.83 (m, 1 H), 2.43 (td, 1 H, *J* = 3.0 Hz, *J* = 12.9 Hz), 2.13 (m, 1 H), 2.02, (m, 1 H), 1.77 (m, 1 H), 1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.61 (br s, 1 H), 0.08 (t, 9 H, <sup>2</sup> $J_{\text{SnH}} = 27.3 \text{ Hz}$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.18, 147.41, 142.29, 141.13, 133.71, 128.30, 126.86, 126.47, 125.57, 81.22 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 169.1 Hz), 62.85  $(d, {}^{2}J_{CF} = 20.5 \text{ Hz})$ , 56.53, 53.84, 51.24, 35.75, 33.84, 29.37, 27.88, -7.89; HRMS (EeSI) [M  $+H$ <sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NF<sup>120</sup> Sn: 468.1355, Found: 468.1354.

### **2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (12)**

2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-bromophenyl)nortropane (**10**) (0.15 g, 4.05 × 10-4 mol),  $(Z)$ -1,2-bis(trimethylstannyl)ethene (0.54 g, 1.53 mmol, 3.8 equiv), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (73 mg, 6.32  $\times$ 10-5 mol, 0.16 equiv), and toluene (15 mL) were stirred at reflux under Ar for 16 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, poured onto dry silica (40 mm h  $\times$  43 mm i.d.) that had been pretreated with 10% NEt<sub>3</sub>/hexane (50 mL), and eluted under vacuum:  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL), hexane/EtOAc/ NEt3 v/v/v 75:20:5 (50 mL), 50:45:5 (50 mL), 20:75:5 (400 mL). The solvent was removed to give a brown oil (0.17 g) that was ~78:22 *cis/trans* (+ impurities) by integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR vinyl resonances. Purification by radial chromatography (2 mm silica, v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/ NEt3 95:4:1 (100 mL), 90:8:2 (700 mL), 85:12:3 (100 mL), 80:16:4 (100 mL), 75:20:5 (300 mL)) afforded 52 mg (27%) of a faint yellow syrup that was ~91:9 *cis*/*trans* and 41 mg (21%) of a faint yellow syrup that was ~68:32 *cis*/*trans*. TLC *R<sup>f</sup>* = 0.24 (silica, 20:75:5 v/v/v hexane/ EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52 (td, 1 H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 13.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>SnH</sub> = 73.4 Hz), 7.22 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 7.10 (s, 1 H), 7.08 (m, 2 H), 6.17 (td, 1 H,  $^{3}J_{HH} = 13.8$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{SnH}$  $=$  32.1 Hz), 4.17 and 4.09 (dm, 1 H + 1 H,  $^{2}J_{HF}$  = 46.8 Hz), 3.92 (m, 2 H), 3.73 (m, 1 H), 3.70  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.25 (dt, 1 H,  $J = 5.9$  Hz,  $J = 13.2$  Hz), 2.73 (m, 1 H), 2.62 (br s, 1 H, NH - conc. dependent), 2.41 (td, 1 H, *J* = 13.2 Hz, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 2.11 (m, 1 H), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.76-1.58 (m, 5 H), 0.08 (t, 9 H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>SnH</sub> = 27.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 173.53, 147.32, 142.42, 141.09, 133.71, 128.35, 126.86, 126.50, 125.69, 80.53 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 165.5 Hz), 59.97 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sup>CF</sup> = 6.2 Hz), 56.52, 53.79, 51.20, 35.97, 33.90, 29.68 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub>=19.9 Hz), 29.33, 27.86, -7.84; HRMS (ESI)  $[M+H]^+$  Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NF<sup>120</sup>Sn: 482.1512, found: 482.1513.

#### **2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (1)**

2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**11**) (~96:4 *cis/trans*, 35 mg,  $7.51 \times 10^{-5}$  mol) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and cooled to -2 °C under Ar. ICl  $(0.11 \text{ mL}, 1.0 \text{ M CH}_2$ Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $0.11 \text{ mmol}, 1.5 \text{ equity}$  was added dropwise, the reaction mixture was stirred at -2 °C under Ar for 15 min, and quenched by addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>•5  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (0.307 g, 1.24 mmol, in 5 mL  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ ). The mixture was diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (5 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL  $\times$ 2). The combined CHCl3 layers were dried over MgSO4, the solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC (20:75:5 v/v/v hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>  $\times$  2) to give 23 mg (71%) of a colorless residue that was ~95:5 *cis/trans* by integration of the 1H NMR vinyl resonances. Separation of the isomers by semi-preparative HPLC ( $cist_R$  = 19.7 min, 8.8 ml/ min) afforded 16 mg (50 %) of 1 as a white residue: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 and 7.46 (overlapping resonances, 2 H), 7.30 (m, 2 H), 7.20 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 6.56 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 4.31 (dddd, 1 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}} = 47.1$  Hz,  $2J_{\text{HH}} = 10.6$  Hz,  $3J_{\text{HH}} = 5.2$  Hz,  $3J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 4.20 (partially resolved ddd, 0.5 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 10.6$  Hz,  $3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 4.11 (overlapping resonances,  $0.5 H + 0.5 H$ ,  $^{3}J_{HH} = 2.4 Hz$ ), 4.06 (ddd, 0.5 H,  $^{2}J_{HH} = 12.9$  $\rm Hz, \frac{3}J_{HH} = 7.1$  Hz,  $\frac{3}J_{HH} = 2.4$  Hz), 3.97 (dddd, 1 H,  $\frac{3}J_{HF} = 30.3$  Hz,  $\frac{2}J_{HH} = 12.9$  Hz,  $\frac{3}J_{HH} = 12.9$ 5.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup> *J*HH = 2.4 Hz), 3.77 (m, 2 H), 3.32 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 2.88 (m, 1 H), 2.44 (td, 1 H, *J* = 13.2 Hz, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 2.14 (m, 1 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (m, 1 H), 1.71 (overlapping m + br s, 2 H + 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.90, 141.59, 138.68, 136.93, 128.37, 127.55, 127.45, 126.93, 81.26 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 170.1 Hz), 79.79, 63.26 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 18.7 Hz), 56.27, 53.91, 50.76, 35.28, 32.84, 28.71, 27.31; HRMS (ESI) [MH]+ calcd for  $C_{18}H_{22}O_2NF^{127}$ I: 430.0674, found: 430.0660.

*trans***-1—**<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40 (d, 1 H, *J* = 15.0 Hz), 7.24 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.14 (s, 1 H), 7.13 (m, 2 H), 6.82 (d, 1 H, *J* = 15.0 Hz), 4.31 (dddd, 1 H, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>HF</sub> = 47.2 Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, \frac{3J_{\text{HH}}}{5.5 \text{ Hz}}, \frac{3J_{\text{HH}}}{2.4 \text{ Hz}}, 4.19 \text{ (partially resolved ddd, 0.5)}$  $H_1^2 J_{HH} = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, \frac{3J_{HH}}{5} = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, \frac{3J_{HH}}{2} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 4.11 \text{ (overlapping resonances, 0.5 H + 0.5 m}$  $H$ ,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 4.06 (ddd, 0.5 H,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.9$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.7$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 3.95  $\text{(ddd, 1 H, }^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 30.1 \text{ Hz}, ^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.9 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}),$  3.77 (m, 2 H), 3.27 (dt, 1 H,  $J = 12.6$  Hz,  $J = 6.0$  Hz), 2.83 (m, 1 H), 2.62 (br s, 1 H, N<u>H)</u>, 2.41 (td, 1 H,  $J =$ 12.9 Hz, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 2.15 (m, 1 H), 2.04 (m, 1 H), 1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.68 (m, 2 H); semi-preparative HPLC  $(t_R = 26.9 \text{ min}, 8.8 \text{ mL/min})$ .

#### **2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (2)**

2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**11**) (~80:20 *cis/trans*, 38 mg,  $8.15 \times 10^{-5}$  mol), was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL) and cooled to 0 °C under Ar. Br<sub>2</sub> (35 mg, 0.22 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) and added dropwise until a faint yellow color remained. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C under Ar for 6 min and then quenched by addition of  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  •5  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.57 g in 4 mL H<sub>2</sub>O). The reaction mixture was diluted by addition of  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and  $H_2O$  (10 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL  $\times$  2). The combined  $CH_2Cl_2$  layers were dried over  $MgSO_4$  and the solvent was removed to give a colorless residue that was purified by preparative TLC (silica,  $20:75:5 \frac{\nu}{\nu}$  hexane/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>) to afford 21 mg (67%) of a colorless residue that was ~91:9 *cis*/*trans* by integration of the 1H NMR vinyl resonances. The isomers were separated by semi-preparative HPLC (9 mL/min,  $cist<sub>R</sub> = 15.9$ min, *transt*<sub>R</sub> = 20.4 min) to afford 16 mg (51%) of 2 as a colorless residue: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.53 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.49 (s, 1 H), 7.30 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.04 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 6.42 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 4.30 (dddd, 1 H, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>HF</sub> =  $47.1$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 10.7 Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 2.4 Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 5.3 Hz), 4.15 (unresolved dddd, 1 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HF}}$  = 47.1 Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 4.09 (unresolved dddd, 1 H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$  Hz), 3.96 (dddd, 1 H,  $^{3}J_{\text{HF}}$  $=$  30.6 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub>= 12.9 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub>= 2.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub>= 5.3 Hz), 3.82 (br s, 1 H), 3.31 (dt, 1 H, J =

6.0 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.87 (m, 1 H), 2.45 (unresolved td, 1 H, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 2.19 (m, 1 H), 2.07  $(m, 1 H)$ , 1.80  $(m, 1 H)$ , 1.72  $(m, 2 H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.13, 142.03, 135.13, 132.51, 128.40, 128.10, 127.56, 127.47, 106.71, 81.27 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 169.2 Hz), 63.08 (d, 2J<sub>CF</sub> = 18.6 Hz), 56.42, 53.89, 51.00, 35.47, 33.31, 29.07, 27.64; Analytical HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub> = 4.4$  min, 1 mL/min); HRMS (ESI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NF<sup>79</sup> Br: 382.0813 , Found: 382.0815; Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{22}O_2NF^{81}Br: 384.0792$ , Found: 384.0796.

#### **2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′((***Z***)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (3)**

2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**12**) (~ 95:5 *cis/trans*, 84 mg,  $1.75 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) and cooled to -7 °C under  $Ar_{(g)}$ . ICl (0.27 mL, 1.0 M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.27 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt, stirred at rt for 10 min, and quenched by addition of  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ •5 H<sub>2</sub>O (0.683 g, 2.75 mmol, in 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O). The reaction mixture was diluted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (25 mL) and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (25 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL  $\times$  2). The combined CHCl<sub>3</sub> layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent removed to give a yellow residue (85 mg) that was purified on Waters silica Sep-Pak Classics (2 in series): loaded with  $CH_2Cl_2(1 \text{ mL})$ , eluted with  $CH_2Cl_2(1 \text{ mL})$ , then hexane/ EtOAc/NEt3 v/v/v 90:8:2 (2 mL), 75:20:5 (5 mL), 50:45:5 (10 mL), 20:75:5 (25 mL). The desired fractions were combined and the solvent was removed to give 43 mg (55%) of a yellow oil that was ~86:14 *cis/trans* by integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR vinyl resonances. The isomers were separated by semi-preparative HPLC (8.8 mL/min;  $cisk_R = 25.2$  min;  $transt_R = 34.3$  min) to afford 26 mg (34%) of **3** as an opaque residue: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 and 7.46 (overlapping resonances, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.18 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 6.56 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 4.14 (m, 1 H), 4.06 (m, 1 H), 3.98 (p, 1 H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 3.87 (m, 1 H), 3.76 (m, 1 H), 3.73 (m, 1 H), 3.31 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 13.2 Hz, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 2.78 (d, 1 H, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 2.53 (br s, 1 H, NH), 2.43 (td, 1 H, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *J* = 12.9 Hz), 2.14 (m, 1 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.68 (m, 3 H), 1.58 (m, 1 H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.52, 142.47, 138.64, 136.79, 128.32, 127.63, 126.78, 80.55 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 165.0 Hz), 79.56, 59.97 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 4.5 Hz), 56.47, 53.75, 51.19, 35.73, 33.58, 29.70 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 20.6 Hz), 29.31, 27.83; HRMS (ESI) [MH]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NF<sup>127</sup>I: 444.0830, found: 444.0825;

### **2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (4)**

2β-Carbo(3-fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-trimethylstannylethenyl)phenyl)nortropane (**12**) (~ 91:9 *cis/trans*, 52 mg,  $1.08 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and cooled to 0 °C under Ar. Br<sub>2</sub> (58 mg,  $3.63 \times 10^{-4}$  mol, 3.4 equiv.) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1 mL) and added dropwise until a faint yellow color persisted (not all of the solution was added). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C under Ar for 15 min and then quenched by addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>•5 H<sub>2</sub>O (98 mg,  $3.95 \times 10^{-4}$  mol, dissolved in 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O). The mixture was diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL  $\times$  2). The combined CHCl<sub>3</sub> layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed to give a colorless syrup that became an opaque residue when dried under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, poured onto dry silica (26 mm h  $\times$  33 mm i.d.), and eluted under vacuum:  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL), hexanes/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub> v/v/v 75:20:5 (25 mL), 50:45:5 (50 mL), 20:75:5 (250 mL). The isolated product was further purified by preparative TLC (20:75:5 v/v/v hexanes/EtOAc/NEt<sub>3</sub>) to give a faint yellow syrup (26 mg) that was ~93:7 *cis*/*trans* by integration of the 1H NMR vinyl resonances. The isomers were separated by semi-preparative HPLC ( $cisk_R = 20.3$  min, 9.0 mL/min) to afford 21 mg (49%) of a colorless residue: 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.53 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.49 (s, 1 H), 7.30 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.15 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.04 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 6.44 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 4.11 (m, 1 H), 4.03 (m, 1 H), 3.97 (quintet, 1 H, *J* = 5.9 Hz), 3.87 (m, 2 H), 3.82  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.32 (dt, 1 H,  $J = 6.0$  Hz,  $J = 12.6$  Hz), 2.80 (overlapping resonances: br s + m, 2 H), 2.46 (td, 1 H, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 2.25 (m, 1 H), 2.14 (m, 1 H), 1.82 (m, 1 H), 1.74 (m,

2 H), 1.65 (m, 1 H), 1.54 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 173.55, 141.61, 135.24, 132.32, 128.51, 128.11, 127.69, 127.51, 106.90, 80.41 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 166.0 Hz), 60.38 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 4.2 Hz), 56.31, 53.86, 50.64, 35.63, 33.00, 29.57 (d,  $2J_{CF} = 18.7$  Hz), 28.63, 27.34; HRMS (ESI)  $[M+H]^+$  Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>FN<sup>79</sup>Br: 396.0969, found: 396.0974.

# **[ <sup>18</sup>F]Fluoroethylbrosylate**

H<sup>18</sup>F was produced with a Siemens 11-MeV RDS 112 cyclotron by employing the <sup>18</sup>O  $(p,n)^{18}$ F reaction in H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O. The H<sup>18</sup>F<sub>(aq)</sub> was transferred to a chemical processing control unit (CPCU), collected on a trap/release cartridge, released with  $K_2CO_{3(aq)}$  (0.9 mg in 0.6 mL  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ ), and added to a CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution of Kryptofix 222 (5 mg in 1 mL). The solution was placed in a 110 °C oil bath, the solvent was evaporated under a  $N_{2(g)}$  flow, and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL) was added and evaporated in order to azeotropically dry the Kryptofix  $222/K^{18}F$ . 1,2-Dibrosylethane (4 mg in 1 mL CH<sub>3</sub>CN) was added, the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, and the  $[18F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate was trapped on a Waters silica Sep-Pak Classic (WAT051900) (previously prepped with 10 mL EtOEt). The  $[18F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate was eluted with EtOEt, the EtOEt solution was transferred to a hot cell under  $N_{2(g)}$  pressure and collected in a V-tube to give  $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate in 74 % radiochemical yield (decay corrected from transfer of  $H^{18}F_{(aq)}$  to the CPCU). The V-tube was placed in an 80 °C oil bath and the EtOEt was evaporated with an  $Ar_{(g)}$  flow. The solution of radiolabeling precursor was then added to this V-tube (see below).

## **[ <sup>18</sup>F]Fluoropropylbrosylate**

Prepared in an analogous manner as  $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate using 1,3-dibrosylpropane.

# **2β-Carbo(2-[18F]fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane ([18F]1)**

*N*-(*t*-butoxycarbonyl)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**13**) (~ 1.1 mg, ~98:2 *cis*/*trans*) was dissolved in DMF (0.3 mL), deprotonated by addition of 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NOH<sub>(aq)</sub> (16 µL, 0.7 equiv), and added to  $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate. The solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, 6 M HCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (~ 0.16 mL, ~ 422 equiv) was added, the solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, cooled to  $0$  °C, and neutralized by addition of 6 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH<sub>(aq)</sub> (~ 0.16 mL, ~ 422 equiv). The solution was diluted with HPLC solvent and purified by semipreparative HPLC  $(t<sub>R</sub>$  (range) = 15-19 min, 9.2 mL/min). The desired fractions were combined, diluted 1:2 v/v with H<sub>2</sub>O and loaded onto a Waters C<sub>18</sub> Sep-Pak. The Sep-Pak was washed with 0.9 % NaCl(aq) (40 mL) and then EtOH (0.5 mL). The product was eluted from the Sep-Pak with EtOH (1.5 mL) and collected in a sealed sterile vial containing 0.9 % NaCl(aq) (3.5 mL). This solution was passed successively through a 1  $\mu$ m filter and then a 0.2  $\mu$ m filter (Acrodisc PTFE) under Ar-pressure and collected in a sealed sterile dose vial containing 0.9 % NaCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (10 mL). The total synthesis time was  $\sim 80$  min from the delivery of  $[^{18}F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate to the hot cell with a  $6.3 \pm 1.8$  % (n = 4) radiochemical yield (decay corrected). The product was then analyzed by analytical HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub> = 4.9$  min, 1 mL/min) to determine the radiochemical purity  $(97 \pm 2 \%)$ , n = 4).

## **2β-Carbo(2-[18F]fluoroethoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane ([18F]2)**

*N*-(*t*-butoxycarbonyl)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**14**) (~0.6 mg, ~95:5 *cis*/*trans*) was dissolved in DMF (0.3 mL), deprotonated by addition of 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NOH<sub>(aq)</sub> (10 µL, 0.7 equiv), and added to  $\binom{18}{1}$  fluoroethylbrosylate. The solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, 6 M HCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (~ 0.11 mL, ~ 480 equiv) was added, the solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, cooled to  $\overline{0}$  °C, and neutralized by addition of 6 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH<sub>(aq)</sub> (~  $0.11$  mL,  $\sim$  480 equiv). The solution was diluted with HPLC solvent and purified by semipreparative HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub>$  (range) = 10-16 min, 9.2 mL/min). The desired fractions were combined, diluted 1:2 v/v with H<sub>2</sub>O and loaded onto a Waters C<sub>18</sub> Sep-Pak. The Sep-Pak was washed

with 0.9 %  $\text{NaCl}_{(aq)}$  (40 mL) and then EtOH (0.5 mL). The product was eluted from the Sep-Pak with EtOH (1.5 mL) and collected in a sealed sterile vial containing 0.9 % NaCl(aq) (3.5 mL). This solution was passed successively through a 1  $\mu$ m filter and then a 0.2  $\mu$ m filter (Acrodisc PTFE) under Ar-pressure and collected in a sealed sterile dose vial containing 0.9 % NaCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (10 mL). The total synthesis time was ~75 min from the delivery of  $[18F]$ fluoroethylbrosylate to the hot cell with a  $4.2 \pm 2.7$  % (n = 3) radiochemical yield (decay corrected). The product was then analyzed by analytical HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub> = 4.4$  min, 1 mL/min) to determine the radiochemical purity  $(95 \pm 2\% , n = 3)$ .

## **2β-Carbo(3-[18F]fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane ([18F]3)**

*N*-(*t*-butoxycarbonyl)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**13**) (~0.6 mg) was dissolved in DMF (0.3 mL), deprotonated by addition of 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NOH<sub>(aq)</sub> (11 µL, 0.9 equiv), and added to  $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoropropylbrosylate. The solution was heated at  $105^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, 6 M HCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (~0.12 mL, ~ 580 equiv) was added, the solution was heated at 105 °C for 10 min, cooled to 0 °C, and neutralized by addition of 6 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH<sub>(aq)</sub> (~0.12 mL, ~ 580 equiv). The solution was diluted with HPLC solvent and purified by semi-preparative HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub>$  (range) = 21-25 min, 9.2 mL/min). The desired fractions were combined, diluted 1:2 v/v with H<sub>2</sub>O and loaded onto a Waters C<sub>18</sub> Sep-Pak. The Sep-Pak was washed with 0.9 %  $\text{NaCl}_{(aq)}$  (40 mL) and then EtOH (0.5 mL). The product was eluted from the Sep-Pak with EtOH (1.5 mL) and collected in a sealed sterile vial containing 0.9 % NaCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (3.5 mL). This solution was passed successively through a 1 μm filter and then a 0.2 μm filter (Acrodisc PTFE) under Ar-pressure and collected in a sealed sterile dose vial containing  $0.9\%$  NaCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (10 mL). The total synthesis time was ~80 min from the delivery of  $[^{18}F]$ fluoropropylbrosylate to the hot cell with a  $1.9 \pm 0.8$  % (n = 3) radiochemical yield (decay corrected). The product was then analyzed by analytical HPLC ( $t<sub>R</sub> = 6.3$  min, 1 mL/min) to determine the radiochemical purity  $(94 \pm 4 \%, n = 3)$ .

## **2β-Carbo(3-[18F]fluoropropoxy)-3β-(3′-((***Z***)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane ([18F]4)**

*N*-(*t*-butoxycarbonyl)-3β-(3′-((*Z*)-2-bromoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane-2β-carboxylic acid (**14**) (~0.6 mg) was dissolved in DMF (0.3 mL), deprotonated by addition of 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NOH (aq) (12  $\mu$ L, 0.9 equiv), and added to [<sup>18</sup> F]fluoropropylbrosylate. The solution was heated at 90<sup>°</sup> C for 10 min, 6 M HCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (~0.15 mL, ~ 655 equiv) was added, the solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, cooled to 0 °C, and neutralized by addition of 6 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH<sub>(aq)</sub> (~0.15 mL, ~ 655 equiv). The solution was diluted with HPLC solvent and purified by semi-preparative HPLC  $(t_R$  (range) = 15-20 min, 9.2 mL/min). The desired fractions were combined, diluted 1:2 v/v with H<sub>2</sub>O and loaded onto a Waters C<sub>18</sub> Sep-Pak. The Sep-Pak was washed with 0.9 %  $NaCl<sub>(aa)</sub>$  (40 mL) and then EtOH (0.5 mL). The product was eluted from the Sep-Pak with EtOH (1.5 mL) and collected in a sealed sterile vial containing 0.9 % NaCl(<sub>aq)</sub> (3.5 mL). This solution was passed successively through a 1 μm filter and then a 0.2 m filter (Acrodisc PTFE) under Ar-pressure and collected in a sealed sterile dose vial containing  $0.9\%$  NaCl<sub>(aq)</sub> (10 mL). The total synthesis time was  $\sim$ 77 min from the delivery of  $[^{18}F]$ fluoropropylbrosylate to the hot cell with a  $1.7 \pm 0.3$  % (n = 3) radiochemical yield (decay corrected). The product was then analyzed by analytical HPLC ( $t_R$  = 6.0 min, 1 mL/min) to determine the radiochemical purity  $(93 \pm 7 \%, n = 3)$ .

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# **Acknowledgement**

This research was sponsored by the NIMH (1-R21-MH-66622-01). We acknowledge the use of shared instrumentation provided by grants from the NIH and the NSF.

## **Abbreviations**

PET, positron emission tomography

- SUV, standardized uptake value<sup>1, 2</sup>
- SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography

TAC, time-activity curve

HRRT, high resolution research tomograph

EOB, end-of-bombardment

CNS, central nervous system

SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

SERT, serotonin transporter

DAT, dopamine transporter

NET, norepinephrine transporter

# **References**

- 1. Thie JA. Understanding the Standardized Uptake Value, Its Methods, and Implications for Usage. J. Nucl. Med 2004;45:1431–1434. [PubMed: 15347707]
- 2. Bentourkia M, Zaidi H. Tracer Kinetic Modeling in PET. PET Clin 2007;2:267–277.
- 3. Rudnick G. Active Transport of 5-Hydroxytryptamine by Plasma Membrane Vesicles Isolated from Human Blood Platelets. J. Biol. Chem 1977;252:2170–2174. [PubMed: 849926]
- 4. Blakely RD, De Felice LJ, Hartzell HC. Molecular Physiology of Norepinephrine and Serotonin Transporters. J. Exp. Biol 1994;196:263–281. [PubMed: 7823027]
- 5. Torres GE, Gainetdinov RR, Caron MG. Plasma Membrane Monoamine Transporters: Structure, Regulation and Function. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2003;4:13–25.
- 6. Linder AE, W. N, Diaz JL, Szasz T, Burnett R, Watts SW. Serotonin (5-HT) in Veins: Not All in Vain. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther 2007;323:415–421. [PubMed: 17671100]
- 7. Owens MJ, Nemeroff CB. Role of Serotonin in the Pathophysiology of Depression: Focus on the Serotonin Transporter. Clin. Chem 1994;40:288–295. [PubMed: 7508830]
- 8. Fujita M, Shimada S, Maeno H, Nishimura T, Tohyama M. Cellular Localization of Serotonin Transporter mRNA in the Rat Brain. Neurosci. Lett 1993;162:59–62. [PubMed: 8121638]
- 9. Austin MC, Bradley CC, Mann JJ, Blakely RD. Expression of Serotonin Transporter Messenger RNA in the Human Brain. J. Neurochem 1994;62:2362–2367. [PubMed: 8189241]
- 10. Stockmeier CA, Shapiro LA, Haycock JW, Thompson PA, Lowy MT. Quantitative Subregional Distribution of Serotonin-1A Receptors and Serotonin Transporters in the Human Dorsal Raphe. Brain Res 1996;727:1–12. [PubMed: 8842377]
- 11. Lucki I. The Spectrum of Behaviors Influenced by Serotonin. Biol. Psychiatry 1998;44:151–162. [PubMed: 9693387]
- 12. Owens MJ, Nemeroff CB. The Serotonin Transporter and Depression. Depression and Anxiety 1998;8 (Suppl 1):5–12. [PubMed: 9809208]
- 13. Mann JJ. Role of the Serotonergic System in the Pathogenesis of Major Depression and Suicidal Behavior. Neuropsychopharm 1999;21:99S–105S.
- 14. Mann JJ, Brent DA, Arango V. The Neurobiology and Genetics of Suicide and Attempted Suicide: A Focus on the Serotonergic System. Neuropsychopharm 2001;24:467–477.
- 15. Laakso A, Hietala J. PET Studies of Brain Monoamine Transporters. Curr. Pharm. Des 2000;6:1611– 1623. [PubMed: 10974156]
- 16. Laruelle M, Slifstein M, Huang Y. Positron Emission Tomography: Imaging and Quantification of Neurotransporter Availability. Methods 2002;27:287–299. [PubMed: 12183117]

- 17. Ametamey SM, Honer M, Schubiger PA. Molecular Imaging with PET. Chem. Rev 2008;108:1501– 1516. [PubMed: 18426240]
- 18. Benmansour S, Cecchi M, Morilak DA, Gerhardt GA, Javors MA, Gould GG, Frazer A. Effects of Chronic Antidepressant Treatments on Serotonin Transporter Function, Density, and mRNA Level. J. Neurosci 1999;19:10494–10501. [PubMed: 10575045]
- 19. Benmansour S, Owens WA, Cecchi M, Morilak DA, Frazer A. Serotonin Clearance *in vivo* is Altered to a Greater Extent by Antidepressant-Induced Downregulation of the Serotonin Transporter than by Acute Blockade of this Transporter. J. Neurosci 2002;22:6766–6772. [PubMed: 12151556]
- 20. Kugaya A, Sanacora G, Staley JK, Malison RT, Bozkurt A, Khan S, Anand A, van Dyck CH, Baldwin RM, Seibyl JP, Charney D, Innis RB. Brain Serotonin Transporter Availability Predicts Treatment Response to Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors. Biol. Psychiatry 2004;56:497–502. [PubMed: 15450785]
- 21. Mirza NR, Nielsen EØ, Troelsen KB. Serotonin Transporter Density and Anxiolytic-like Effects of Antidepressants in Mice. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharm. Biol. Psych 2007;31:858–866.
- 22. Meyer JH. Imaging the Serotonin Transporter During Major Depressive Disorder and Antidepressant Treatment. J. Psychiatry Neurosci 2007;32:86–102. [PubMed: 17353938]
- 23. Aboagye EO, Price PM, Jones T. In Vivo Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics in Drug Development Using Positron-Emission Tomography. Drug Discovery Today 2001;6:293–302. [PubMed: 11257581]
- 24. Guilloteau D, Chalon S. PET and SPECT Exploration of Central Monoaminergic Transporters for the Development of New Drugs and Treatments in Brain Disorders. Curr. Pharm. Design 2005;11:3237–3245.
- 25. Lee C-M, Farde L. Using Positron Emission Tomography to Facilitate CNS Drug Development. Trends Pharmacol. Sci 2006;27:310–316. [PubMed: 16678917]
- 26. Takano A, Suzuki K, Kosaka J, Ota M, Nozaki S, Ikoma Y, Tanada S, Suhara T. A Dose-Finding Study of Duloxetine Based on Serotonin Transporter Occupancy. Psychopharmacology 2006;185:395–399. [PubMed: 16506079]
- 27. Talbot PS, Laruelle M. The Role of In Vivo Molecular Imaging with PET and SPECT in the Elucidation of Psychiatric Drug Action and New Drug Development. Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol 2002;12:503–511. [PubMed: 12468013]
- 28. Hargreaves RJ. The Role of Molecular Imaging in Drug Discovery and Development. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther 2008;83:349–353. [PubMed: 18167503]
- 29. Acton PD, Kung M-P, Mu M, Plössl K, Hou C, Siciliano M, Oya S, Kung HF. Single-Photon Emission Tomography Imaging of Serotonin Transporters in the Non-Human Primate Brain with the Selective Radioligand [<sup>123</sup>I]IDAM. Eur. J. Nucl. Med 1999;26:854-861. [PubMed: 10436198]
- 30. Wilson AA, Ginovart N, Schmidt M, Meyer JH, Threlkeld PG, Houle S. Novel Radiotracers for Imaging the Serotonin Transporter by Positron Emission Tomography: Synthesis, Radiosynthesis, and in Vitro and ex Vivo Evaluation of  ${}^{11}C$ -Labeled 2-(Phenylthio)araalkylamines. J. Med. Chem 2000;43:3103–3110. [PubMed: 10956218]
- 31. Ginovart N, Wilson AA, Meyer JH, Hussey D, Houle S. Positron Emission Tomography Quantification of  $[{}^{11}C]$ -DASB Binding to the Human Serotonin Transporter: Modeling Strategies. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab 2001;21:1342–1353. [PubMed: 11702049]
- 32. Emond P, Vercouillie J, Innis R, Chalon S, Mavel S, Frangin Y, Halldin C, Besnard J-C, Guilloteau D. Substituted Diphenyl Sulfides as Selective Serotonin Transporter Ligands: Synthesis and In Vitro Evaluation. J. Med. Chem 2002;45:1253–1258. [PubMed: 11881994]
- 33. Huang Y, Hwang D-R, Narendran R, Sudo Y, Chatterjeee R, Bae S-A, Mawlawi O, Kegeles LS, Wilson AA, Kung HF, Laruelle M. Comparative Evaluation in Nonhuman Primates of Five PET Radiotracers for Imaging the Serotonin Transporters:  $[{}^{11}$ C|McN5652,  $[{}^{11}$ C|ADAM,  $[{}^{11}$ C|DASB,  $[$ <sup>11</sup>C]DAPA, and  $[$ <sup>11</sup>C]AFM. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab 2002;22:1377-1398. [PubMed: 12439295]
- 34. Szabo Z, McCann UD, Wilson AA, Scheffel U, Owonikoko T, Mathews WB, Ravert HT, Hilton J, Dannals RF, Ricaurte GA. Comparison of  $(+)$ -<sup>11</sup>C-McN5652 and <sup>11</sup>C-DASB as Serotonin Transporter Radioligands Under Various Experimental Conditions. J. Nucl. Med 2002;43:678–692. [PubMed: 11994534]

- 35. Wellsow J, Kovar K-A, Machulla H-J. Molecular Modeling of Potential New and Selective PET Radiotracers for the Serotonin Transporter. J. Pharm. Pharmaceut. Sci 2002;5:245–257.
- 36. Huang Y, Bae S, Zhu Z, Guo N, Roth BL, Laruelle M. Fluorinated Diaryl Sulfides as Serotonin Transporter Ligands: Synthesis, Structure-Activity Relationship Study, and in Vivo Evaluation of Fluorine-18-Labeled Compounds as PET Imaging Agents. J. Med. Chem 2005;48:2559–2570. [PubMed: 15801845]
- 37. Jarkas N, Votaw JR, Voll RJ, Williams L, Camp VM, Owens MJ, Purselle DC, Bremner JD, Kilts CD, Nemeroff CB, Goodman MM. Carbon-11 HOMADAM: A Novel PET Radiotracer for Imaging Serotonin Transporters. Nucl. Med. Biol 2005;32:211–224. [PubMed: 15820756]
- 38. Fang P, Shiue GG, Shimazu T, Greenberg JH, Shiue C-Y. Synthesis and Evaluation of *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-2-(2-amino-5- $[^{18}F]$ fluorophenylthio)benzylamine (5- $[^{18}F]$ -ADAM) as a Serotonin Transporter Imaging Agent. Appl. Radiat. Isot 2004;61:1247–1254. [PubMed: 15388117]
- 39. Garg S, Thopate SR, Minton RC, Black KW, Lynch AJH, Garg PK. 3-Amino-4- $(2-((4-1^{18}F)$ fluorobenzyl)methylamino)methylphenylsulfanyl)benzonitrile, an F-18 Fluorobenzyl Analogue of DASB: Synthesis, *in Vitro* Binding, and *in Vivo* Biodistribution Studies. Bioconj. Chem 2007;18:1612–1618.
- 40. Parhi AK, Wang JL, Oya S, Choi S-R, Kung M-P, Kung HF. 2-(2'-((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4'- (fluoroalkoxy)-phenylthio)benzenamine Derivatives as Serotonin Transporter Imaging Agents. J. Med. Chem 2007;50:6673–6684. [PubMed: 18052090]
- 41. Jarkas N, Voll RJ, Williams L, Votaw JR, Owens M, Goodman MM. Synthesis and In Vivo Evaluation of Halogenated *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-2-(2'-amino-4'-hydroxymethylphenylthio)benzylamine Derivatives as PET Serotonin Transporter Ligands. J. Med. Chem 2008;51:271–281. [PubMed: 18085744]
- 42. Mavel S, Vercouillie J, Garreau L, Raguza T, Ravna AW, Chalon S, Guilloteau D, Emond P. Docking Study, Synthesis, and In Vitro Evaluation of Fluoro-MADAM Derivatives as SERT Ligands for PET Imaging. Bioorg. Med. Chem 2008;16:9050–9055. [PubMed: 18793858]
- 43. Wang JL, Parhi AK, Oya S, Lieberman B, Kung M-P, Kung HF. 2-(2'- ((Dimethylamino)methyl)-4'-  $(3-[18F]$ fluoropropoxy)-phenylthio)benzenamine for Positron Emission Tomography Imaging of Serotonin Transporters. Nucl. Med. Biol 2008;35:447–458. [PubMed: 18482682]
- 44. Bois F, Baldwin RM, Kula NS, Baldessarini RJ, Innis RB, Tamagnan G. Synthesis and Monoamine Transporter Affinity of 3'-Analogs of 2-β-Carbomethoxy-3-β-(4'-iodophenyl)tropane (β-CIT). Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett 2004;14:2117–2120. [PubMed: 15080991]
- 45. Peng X, Zhang A, Kula NS, Baldessarini RJ, Neumeyer JL. Synthesis and Amine Transporter Affinities of Novel Phenyltropane Derivatives as Potential Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Imaging Agents. Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett 2004;14:5635–5639. [PubMed: 15482938]
- 46. Tamagnan G, Alagille D, Fu X, Kula NS, Baldessarini RJ, Innis RB, Baldwin RM. Synthesis and Monoamine Transporter Affinity of New 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-[4-(Substituted Thiophenyl)] Phenyltropanes: Discovery of a Selective SERT Antagonist with Picomolar Potency. Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett 2005;15:1131–1133. [PubMed: 15686927]
- 47. Levin CS, Hoffman EJ. Calculation of Positron Range and Its Effect on the Fundamental Limit of Positron Emission Tomography System Spatial Resolution. Phys. Med. Biol 1999;44:781–799. [PubMed: 10211810]
- 48. Wernick, MN.; Aarsvold, JN. Emission Tomography: The Fundamentals of PET and SPECT. Elsevier Academic Press; San Diego, CA, USA: 2004. London, UK
- 49. Böhm H-J, Banner D, Bendels S, Kansy M, Kuhn B, Müller K, Obst-Sander U, Stahl M. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. ChemBioChem 2004;5:637–643. [PubMed: 15122635]
- 50. Müller K, Faeh C, Diederich F. Fluorine in Pharmaceuticals: Looking Beyond Intuition. Science 2007;317:1881–1886. [PubMed: 17901324]
- 51. Hagmann WK. The Many Roles for Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem 2008;51:4359– 4369. [PubMed: 18570365]
- 52. Lasne M-C, Perrio C, Rouden J, Barré L, Roeda D, Dollé F, Crouzel C. Chemistry of β<sup>+</sup>-Emitting Compounds Based on Fluorine-18. Top. Curr. Chem 2002;222:201–258.(Ch.6)
- 53. Cai L, Lu S, Pike VW. Chemistry with  $1^{18}F$ |Fluoride Ion. Eur. J. Org. Chem 2008:2853–2873.
- 54. Kirk KL. Fluorination in Medicinal Chemistry: Methods, Strategies, and Recent Developments. Org. Proc. Res. Dev 2008;12:305–321.

- 55. Elfving B, Madsen J, Knudsen GM. Neuroimaging of the Serotonin Reuptake Site Requires High-Affinity Ligands. Synapse 2007;61:882–888. [PubMed: 17657807]
- 56. Dischino DD, Welch MJ, Kilbourn MR, Raichle ME. Relationship Between Lipophilicity and Brain Extraction of C-11-Labeled Radiopharmaceuticals. J. Nucl. Med 1983;24:1030–1038. [PubMed: 6605416]
- 57. Elfving B, Bjørnholm B, Ebert B, Knudsen GM. Binding Characteristics of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors With Relation to Emission Tomography Studies. Synapse 2001;41:203–211. [PubMed: 11391781]
- 58. Waterhouse RN. Determination of Lipophilicity and Its Use as a Predictor of Blood-Brain Barrier Penetration of Molecular Imaging Agents. Mol. Imag. Biol 2003;5:376–389.
- 59. Kish SJ, Furukawa Y, Chang L-J, Tong J, Ginovart N, Wilson A, Houle S, Meyer JH. Regional Distribution of Serotonin Transporter Protein in Postmortem Human Brain. Is the Cerebellum a SERT-Free Brain Region? Nuc. Med. Biol 2005;32:123–128.
- 60. Goodman MM, Chen P, Plisson C, Martarello L, Galt J, Votaw JR, Kilts CD, Malveaux G, Camp VM, Shi B, Ely TD, Howell L, McConathy J, Nemeroff CB. Synthesis and Characterization of Iodine-123 Labeled 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-(4'-((Z)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane. A Ligand for *in vivo* Imaging of Serotonin Transporters by Single-Photon-Emission Tomography. J. Med. Chem 2003;46:925–935. [PubMed: 12620070]
- 61. Plisson C, McConathy J, Martarello L, Malveaux EJ, Camp VM, Williams L, Votaw JR, Goodman MM. Synthesis, Radiosynthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Carbon-11 and Iodine-123 Labeled 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-[4'-((Z)-2-haloethenyl)phenyl]tropanes: Candidate Radioligands for in Vivo Imaging of the Serotonin Transporter. J. Med. Chem 2004;47:1122–1135. [PubMed: 14971892]
- 62. Plisson C, Jarkas N, McConathy J, Voll RJ, Votaw J, Williams L, Howell LL, Kilts CD, Goodman MM. Evaluation of Carbon-11-Labeled 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-[4'-((Z)-2-iodoethenyl)phenyl] nortropane as a Potential Radioligand for Imaging the Serotonin Transporter by PET. J. Med. Chem 2006;49:942–946. [PubMed: 16451060]
- 63. Stehouwer JS, Jarkas N, Zeng F, Voll RJ, Williams L, Owens MJ, Votaw JR, Goodman MM. Synthesis, Radiosynthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Carbon-11 Labeled 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β- (3′-((Z)-2-haloethenyl)phenyl)nortropanes: Candidate Radioligands for In Vivo Imaging of the Serotonin Transporter with Positron Emission Tomography. J. Med. Chem 2006;49:6760–6767. [PubMed: 17154506]
- 64. Plisson C, Stehouwer JS, Voll RJ, Howell LL, Votaw JR, Owens MJ, Goodman MM. Synthesis and *In Vivo* Evaluation of Fluorine-18 and Iodine-123 Labeled 2β-Carbo(2-fluoroethoxy)-3β-(4'-((*Z*)-2 iodoethenyl)phenyl)nortropane as a Candidate Serotonin Transporter Imaging Agent. J. Med. Chem 2007;50:4553–4560. [PubMed: 17705359]
- 65. Seibyl J, Goodman M, Koren A, Stehouwer J, Jennings D, Staley J, Tamagnan G. Preclinical and Clinical Characterization of 123-I *m*ZIENT, a Marker of Serotonin Transporter Density. J. Nucl. Med 2007;48(Supplement 2):113P.Abstract 381
- 66. Tamagnan G, Stehouwer J, Staley JK, Megyola C, Koren A, Goodman M, Seibyl J. In Vivo Characterization in Subhuman Primates of *m*ZIENT: A New Serotonin Transporter, Effects of Selective SERT Displacement. NeuroImage 2006;31:T131.
- 67. Stehouwer JS, Plisson C, Jarkas N, Zeng F, Voll RJ, Williams L, Martarello L, Votaw JR, Tamagnan G, Goodman MM. Synthesis, Radiosynthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Carbon-11 and Fluorine-18 (*N*-Fluoroalkyl) Labeled 2β-Carbomethoxy-3β-(4'-(3-furyl)phenyl)-tropanes and nortropanes: Candidate Radioligands for in Vivo Imaging of the Serotonin Transporter with Positron Emission Tomography. J. Med. Chem 2005;48:7080–7083. [PubMed: 16250668]
- 68. Stehouwer J, Jarkas N, Zeng F, Voll R, Williams L, Votaw J, Goodman M. MicroPET Imaging of the Brain Serotonin Transporter with [<sup>18</sup>F]βFE*m*ZIENT. J. Nucl. Med 2006;47(Supplement 1): 27P.Abstract No. 77
- 69. Goodman M, Stehouwer J, Jarkas N, Voll R, Williams L, Votaw J. *In Vivo* Characterization in Non-Human Primates of βFEmZIENT and βFEmZBrENT: New Serotonin Transporter Imaging Agents: Effects of Selective Monoamine Transporter Displacement Using MicroPET. Neuroimage 2006;31 (Supplement 2):T27.
- 70. Stehouwer, J.; Jarkas, N.; Zeng, F.; Voll, R.; Williams, L.; Votaw, J.; Goodman, M. MicroPET Imaging of the CNS Serotonin Transporter with  $\binom{18}{15}$ FP*m*ZIENT and  $\binom{18}{15}$ FP*m*ZBrENT. The

Southeastern Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society; Augusta, GA. November 1-4, 2006; 2006. Abstract #525

- 71. Carroll FI, Kotian P, Dehghani A, Gray JL, Kuzemko MA, Parham KA, Abraham P, Lewin AH, Boja JW, Kuhar MJ. Cocaine and 3β-(4'-Substituted phenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic Acid Ester and Amide Analogues. New High-Affinity and Selective Compounds for the Dopamine Transporter. J. Med. Chem 1995;38:379–388. [PubMed: 7830281]
- 72. Blough BE, Abraham P, Lewin AH, Kuhar MJ, Boja JW, Carroll FI. Synthesis and Transporter Binding Properties of 3β-(4'-Alkyl-, 4'-alkenyl-, and 4'-alkynylphenyl)nortropane-2β-carboxylic Acid Methyl Esters: Serotonin Transporter Selective Analogs. J. Med. Chem 1996;39:4027–4035. [PubMed: 8831768]
- 73. Mitchell TN, Amamria A, Killing H, Rutschow D. Palladium Catalysis in Organotin Chemistry: Addition of Hexaalkylditins to Alkynes. J. Organomet. Chem 1986;304:257–265.
- 74. Blough BE, Keverline KI, Nie Z, Navarro H, Kuhar MJ, Carroll FI. Synthesis and Transporter Binding Properties of 3β-[4'-(Phenylalkyl, -phenylalkenyl, and -phenyalkynl)phenyl]tropane-2β-carboxylic Acid Methyl Esters: Evidence of a Remote Phenyl Binding Domain on the Dopamine Transporter. J. Med. Chem 2002;45:4029–4037. [PubMed: 12190324]
- 75. Lemaire C, Plenevaux A, Aerts J, Del Fiore G, Brihaye C, Le Bars D, Comar D, Luxen A. Solid Phase Extraction - An Alternative to the Use of Rotary Evaporators for Solvent Removal in the Rapid Formulation of PET Radiopharmaceuticals. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm 1999;42:63–75.
- 76. Wilson AA, Houle S. Radiosynthesis of Carbon-11 Labelled N-Methyl-2-(arylthio)benzylamines: Potential Radiotracers for the Serotonin Reuptake Receptor. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm 1999;42:1277–1288.
- 77. Wilson AA, Jin L, Garcia A, DaSilva JN, Houle S. An Admonition When Measuring the Lipophilicity of Radiotracers Using Counting Techniques. Appl. Radiat. Isot 2001;54:203–208. [PubMed: 11200881]
- 78. Owens MJ, Morgan WN, Plott SJ, Nemeroff CB. Neurotransmitter Receptor and Transporter Binding Profile of Antidepressants and Their Metabolites. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther 1997;283:1305–1322. [PubMed: 9400006]
- 79. Hiemke C, Härtter S. Pharmacokinetics of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors. Pharmacol. Therap 2000;85:11–28. [PubMed: 10674711]
- 80. Boja JW, Patel A, Carroll FI, Rahman MA, Philip A, Lewin AH, Kopajtic TA, Kuhar MJ. [125I] RTI-55: a potent ligand for dopamine transporters. Eur. J. Pharmacol 1991;194:133–134. [PubMed: 2060590]
- 81. Cheetham SC, Viggers JA, Butler SA, Prow MR, Heal DJ. [3H]Nisoxetine A Radioligand for Noradrenaline Reuptake Sites: Correlation With Inhibition of [3H]Noradrenaline Uptake and Effects of DSP-4 Lesioning and Antidepressant Treatments. Neuropharmacology 1996;35:63–70. [PubMed: 8684598]
- 82. Hrdina PD, Foy B, Hepner A, Summers RJ. Antidepressant Binding Sites in Brain: Autoradiographic Comparison of [3H]Paroxetine and [3H]Imipramine Localization and Relationship to Serotonin Transporter. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther 1990;252:410–418. [PubMed: 2137177]
- 83. Cortés R, Soriano E, Pazos A, Probst A, Palacios JM. Autoradiography of Antidepressant Binding Sites in the Human Brain: Localization Using [3H]Imipramine and [3H]Paroxetine. Neuroscience 1988;27:473–496. [PubMed: 2975361]
- 84. Potter PM, Wadkins RM. Carboxylesterases Detoxifying Enzymes and Targets for Drug Therapy. Curr. Med. Chem 2006;13:1045–1054. [PubMed: 16611083]
- 85. Elfving B, Bjørnholm B, Knudsen GM. Interference of Anaesthetics With Radioligand Binding in Neuroreceptor Studies. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 2003;30:912–915. [PubMed: 12715241]
- 86. Votaw J, Byas-Smith M, Hua J, Voll R, Martarello L, Levey AI, Bowman FD, Goodman M. Interaction of Isoflurane With the Dopamine Transporter. Anesthesiology 2003;98:404–411. [PubMed: 12552200]
- 87. McCormick PN, Ginovart N, Vasdev N, Seeman P, Kapur S, Wilson AA. Isoflurane Increases Both the Specific Binding Ratio and Sensitivity to Amphetamine Challenge of  $[{}^{11}C]$ -(+)-PHNO. Neuroimage 2006;31:T33.Abstracts

- 88. Tsukada H, Nishiyama S, Kakiuchi T, Ohba H, Sato K, Harada N. Ketamine Alters the Availability of Striatal Dopamine Transporter as Measured by  $[11C]β$ -CFT and  $[11C]β$ -CIT-FE in the Monkey Brain. Synapse 2001;42:273–280. [PubMed: 11746726]
- 89. Katz JL, Izenwasser S, Terry P. Relationships Among Dopamine Transporter Affinities and Cocaine-Like Discriminative-Stimulus Effects. Psychopharmacology 2000;148:90–98. [PubMed: 10663422]
- 90. Cook CD, Carroll FI, Beardsley PM. RTI 113, a 3-Phenyltropane Analog, Produces Long-Lasting Cocaine-Like Discriminative Stimulus Effects in Rats and Squirrel Monkeys. Eur. J. Pharmacol 2002;442:93–98. [PubMed: 12020686]
- 91. Wilcox KM, Lindsey KP, Votaw JR, Goodman MM, Martarello L, Carroll FI, Howell LL. Self-Administration of Cocaine and the Cocaine Analog RTI-113: Relationship to Dopamine Transporter Occupancy Determined by PET Neuroimaging in Rhesus Monkeys. Synapse 2002;43:78–85. [PubMed: 11746736]
- 92. Wong EHF, Sonders MS, Amara SG, Tinholt PM, Piercey MFP, Hoffmann WP, Hyslop DK, Franklin S, Porsolt RD, Bonsignori A, Carfagna N, McArthur RA. Reboxetine: A Pharmacologically Potent, Selective, and Specific Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitor. Biol. Psychiatry 2000;47:818–829. [PubMed: 10812041]
- 93. Patient Selection and Antidepressant Therapy With Reboxetine, A New Selective Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitor. J. Clin. Psychiatry 1998;59(Supplement 14)

Stehouwer et al. Page 21



#### **Figure 1.**

MicroPET baseline TACs obtained by injection of [18F]**1** into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey.



## **Figure 2.**

MicroPET images (summed 0-235 min) obtained by injection of [18F]**1** into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey.





Stehouwer et al. Page 24







# **Figure 5.**

HRRT PET images (left, summed 60-120 min) obtained by injection of [18F]**1** into an awake rhesus monkey. Composite MRI's of several rhesus monkeys (right), and overlaid images (center).

Stehouwer et al. Page 26



**Figure 6.**

MicroPET baseline TACs obtained by injection of [18F]**2** into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey.

Stehouwer et al. Page 27



**Figure 7.**

MicroPET baseline TACs obtained by injection of [18F]**3** into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey.

Stehouwer et al. Page 28



**Figure 8.**

MicroPET baseline TACs obtained by injection of  $[{}^{18}F]$ 4 into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey.



#### **Figure 9.**

MicroPET TACs showing the results of injection of **15** (1.5 mg/kg) into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey at 120 min post-injection of  $\binom{18}{1}$ .

Stehouwer et al. Page 30



#### **Figure 10.**

MicroPET TACs showing the results of injection of **15** (1.5 mg/kg) into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey at 120 min post-injection of [18F]**2**.

Stehouwer et al. Page 31



#### **Figure 11.**

MicroPET TACs showing the results of injection of **15** (1.5 mg/kg) into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey at 120 min post-injection of [18F]**3**.

Stehouwer et al. Page 32



#### **Figure 12.**

MicroPET TACs showing the results of injection of **15** (1.5 mg/kg) into an anesthetized cynomolgus monkey at 120 min post-injection of  $\lceil 18F \rceil 4$ .



**Scheme 1.**



**Scheme 2.**

## Octanol/water partition coefficients.



 $a$ <sup> $a$ </sup> = Average value of n determinations  $\pm$  the standard deviation.







aup.<br>B *a)*= Average value of n determinations ± SEM (each determination performed in triplicate). Average

 $b)$ <sub>n = 2</sub>. *c)*n = 3.



 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3<br>Comparison of uptake of  $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]1- $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]4 in SERT-rich brain regions to cerebellum uptake at 115 and 215 minutes post-injection.



 $\overline{a}$ 

## **Table 5**

Comparison of the times of peak uptake between [18F]**1** and [18F]**19** for anesthetized and awake monkey studies.*<sup>a</sup>*



*a*)<sub>See</sub> Tables S1, S2, S11, and S13 for the complete data.



Stehouwer et al. Page 39

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

**9 anel Library Author Manuscript**<br>NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript