

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Brain Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 31.

Published in final edited form as:

Brain Res. 2009 March 31; 1263: 23–32. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2009.01.049.

Protein Kinase C is a Target for Diverse Developmental Neurotoxicants: Transcriptional Responses to Chlorpyrifos, Diazinon, Dieldrin and Divalent Nickel in PC12 Cells

Theodore A. Slotkin and Frederic J. Seidler

Department of Pharmacology & Cancer Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, 27710, USA

Abstract

Unrelated developmental neurotoxicants can elicit similar functional outcomes, whereas agents in the same class may differ. We compared two organophosphate insecticides (chlorpyrifos, diazinon) with an organochlorine (dieldrin) and a metal (Ni²⁺) for similarities and differences in their effects on gene expression encoding subtypes of protein kinase C and their modulators, a cell signaling cascade that integrates the actions of neurotrophic factors involved in brain development. We conducted evaluations in PC12 cells, a model for neuronal development, with each agent introduced at 30 µM for 24 or 72 hr, treatments devoid of cytotoxicity. Chlorpyrifos evoked by far the largest effect, with widespread upregulation of multiple genes; the effects were greater during neurodifferentiation than when cells were exposed prior to differentiation. Diazinon had smaller and less widespread effects, consistent with its lesser long-term impact on synaptic function and behavior noted for in vivo exposures in developing rats. Surprisingly, the effects of diazinon, dieldrin and Ni²⁺ showed basic similarities despite the fact that all three come from different classes of toxicants. Our findings provide some of the first evidence for a specific mechanistic cascade contributing to the cholinesterase-independent developmental neurotoxicant actions of chlorpyrifos and its differences from diazinon, while at the same time identifying mechanistic convergence between otherwise unrelated toxicants that provide predictions about common neurodevelopmental outcomes. These results further show how combined use of cell cultures and microarray technology can guide future in vivo work on diverse developmental neurotoxicants.

Keywords

Organophosphate pesticides; Organochlorine pesticides; Metal neurotoxicity; Microarrays; PC12 cells; Protein kinase C

INTRODUCTION

Of the tens of thousands of chemicals in active production that are thought to be developmental neurotoxicants, only a small percentage have ever been evaluated for such activity (Boyes, 2001; Grandjean and Landrigan, 2006). The combined effects of these agents are likely

Correspondence: Dr. T.A. Slotkin, Box 3813 DUMC, Duke Univ. Med. Ctr., Durham, NC 27710, Tel 919 681 8015, Fax 919 684 8197, E-mail: t.slotkin@duke.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

contributors to what has been called a "silent pandemic" of neurobehavioral dysfunction in children (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2006), including learning disabilities, cognitive impairment and autism spectrum disorders (Eriksson, 1997; Grandjean and Landrigan, 2006; Landrigan et al., 1994, 1999; Szpir, 2006a, b; Weiss et al., 2004). Although basic research tends to focus on specific mechanisms of action of individual agents or classes of neurotoxicants, there are surprising similarities in outcomes among apparently unrelated agents, as well as disparities between chemicals within the same class (Barone et al., 2000; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2007; Slotkin, 2004; Slotkin et al., 2006, 2007c, 2008a, b, 2009; Slotkin and Seidler, 2007, 2008; Szpir, 2006a; Yanai et al., 2002, 2004). In a series of recent studies, we examined how chlorpyrifos and diazinon, two organophosphates, show similarities but also major differences in their impact on the expression of neurotrophic factors that coordinate neuronal cell differentiation and brain assembly (Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c, 2009). The disparities are likely to explain divergent outcomes in terms of synaptic function of specific neurotransmitter pathways and their dependent behaviors (Aldridge et al., 2004, 2005a; Levin et al., 2001; Roegge et al., 2008; Slotkin et al., 2001, 2008a, b; Slotkin and Seidler, 2005; Timofeeva et al., 2008).

At the same time, we found surprising similarities in some of the effects of unrelated agents, such as diazinon and the organochlorine insecticide, dieldrin, as well as a metal, divalent nickel (Dotan et al., 2009; Slotkin et al., 2007b, 2009; Slotkin and Seidler, 2009), suggesting that a wide variety of agents may all converge on common sets of pathways that govern neurodevelopment. Several studies point to cell signaling cascades that transduce the actions of numerous neurotransmitters and hormones as likely points of crosstalk for the integration of diverse trophic signals toward common events in neurodifferentiation, notably the inputs converging on protein kinases such as PKA, PKC and tyrosine kinases (Aldridge et al., 2005b; Kapfhammer, 2004; Meyer et al., 2004, 2005; Nakagawara, 2001; Reuss and von Bohlen und Halbach, 2003; Slikker et al., 2005; Slotkin et al., 2003, 2008c, 2009; Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Yanai et al., 2002, 2004, 2006). The proof of the importance of these pathways has been reinforced by demonstrating amelioration or reversal of neurotoxicant effects by agents that offset the actions at the level of PKA or PKC (Beer et al., 2005; Slotkin et al., 2007a; Steingart et al., 2000; Yanai et al., 2006).

Administration of developmental neurotoxicants in vivo elicits actions that reflect both the inherent neurotoxicity of the agent as well as indirect effects mediated through actions on the maternal-fetal or maternal-neonatal unit. In the current study, we wanted to compare and contrast the direct effects of chlorpyrifos, diazinon, dieldrin and Ni²⁺ on the expression of PKC isoforms and PKC regulators, especially given the key role of PKC in neuritic outgrowth and synaptic connectivity (Kapfhammer, 2004), effects that are known targets for the organophosphates (Slotkin, 1999, 2004, 2005). Furthermore, PKC is a collecting point for expression of the genes encoding the neurotrophic factors of the *fgf* family (Reuss and von Bohlen und Halbach, 2003) and the *wnt/fzd* pathway (Li et al., 2005), both of which are targeted by chlorpyrifos, diazinon, dieldrin, and Ni²⁺ (Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c, 2009). PKC is also mediates neurotoxic effects of metals, environmental tobacco smoke, pesticides and neuroactive drugs in the developing brain (Hasan et al., 2001; Haykal-Coates et al., 1998; Hilliard et al., 1999; Yanai et al., 2002), and therefore represents a likely point where disparate agents may lead to convergent neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Our evaluations were conducted with a widely-used in vitro model for neuronal development, PC12 cells (Teng and Greene, 1994). This model reproduces the mechanisms and outcomes of in vivo organophosphate exposures of developing rats (Bagchi et al., 1995, 1996; Crumpton et al., 2000a, b; Das and Barone, 1999; Flaskos et al., 1994; Jameson et al., 2006, 2007; Li and Casida, 1998; Nagata et al., 1997; Qiao et al., 2001, 2005; Slotkin et al., 2007a, b;, 2008c, 2009; Song et al., 1998; Tuler et al., 1989), and has already been characterized for comparative

effects of the four agents on differentiation outcomes and on expression of the trophic factors encoded by the *fgf* and *wnt/fzd* gene families (Jameson et al., 2006; Slotkin et al., 2007b, 2008c, 2009; Slotkin and Seidler, 2008, 2009). In the PC12 model, nerve growth factor (NGF) triggers differentiation into neurotransmitter phenotypes, with formation of neuritic projections and acquisition of electrical excitability (Fujita et al., 1989; Song et al., 1998; Teng and Greene, 1994). For chlorpyrifos, we contrasted the effects in the undifferentiated vs. differentiating state, and then we compared the effects during differentiation for all four agents. Gene expression profiles were assessed with microarrays, using a "planned comparisons" approach (Slotkin and Seidler, 2007, 2009; Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c, 2009).

RESULTS

The microarrays detected 11 PKC isoforms (prkca, prkcb1, prkcc, prkcd, prkce, prkch, prkci, prkcm, prkcn, prkcq, prkcz) and 3 regulatory binding proteins (prkcbp1, prkcabp, prkcdbp) that passed the quality control procedures. Because only one agent (chlorpyrifos) was tested in both undifferentiated and differentiating cells, we conducted two sets of global statistical tests. For chlorpyrifos, the ANOVA factors were treatment, differentiation state, time and gene, and we found a main treatment effect (p < 0.02) as well as interactions of treatment \times gene (p < 0.0002), treatment \times differentiation state \times time (p < 0.02), treatment \times time \times gene (p < 0.05) and treatment \times state \times gene (p < 0.05). Accordingly, we subdivided the results according to differentiation state and then evaluated main treatment effects and treatment × time interactions for each gene. Chlorpyrifos exposure evoked significant changes in the expression of 10 of the total of 14 genes, as compared to an expected false positive rate of only <1 gene (p < 0.0007), and the same was true for the separate analyses of undifferentiated cells (7 out of 14 genes, p < 0.02) and differentiating cells (9 out of 14 genes, p < 0.003). Diazinon, dieldrin and Ni²⁺ were studied only in differentiating cells, so the ANOVA factors for these agents were treatment, gene and time. The global test identified significant interactions of treatment \times gene (p < 0.0001) and treatment \times gene \times time (p < 0.003), so we subdivided the data into the individual treatments and then evaluated each gene for main treatment effects and the interaction of treatment × time. Of the 14 total genes, we identified significant differences for 8 (p < 0.007 vs. the false positive rate of <1 gene).

In undifferentiated cells, chlorpyrifos exposure evoked significant upregulation of three PKC isoforms, *prkcb1*, *prkce* and *prkcn*, as well as one of the regulatory binding proteins, *prkcdbp* (Figure 1A). Two other genes showed significant decrements (*prkci*, *prkcm*) and one (*prkch*) showed a transient decrease at 24h that resolved by 72h. Differentiating cells showed a significant net upregulation of PKC gene expression in response to chlorpyrifos (p < 0.02 for the main treatment effect) as well as much more widespread changes (Figure 1B). Although only three genes showed persistent upregulation (*prkca*, *prkci*, *prkcdbp*), four others showed a basic pattern of rapid upregulation after 24h or exposure and a subsequent rebound fall to normal or subnormal levels (*prkc3*, *prkcm*, *prkcq*), one showed initial suppression followed by rebound elevation (*prkcb1*) and one showed a small, but significant downregulation (*prkcabp*).

In contrast to the robust effects of chlorpyrifos in differentiating PC12 cells, diazinon affected a more restricted repertoire of genes (Figure 2). Further, diazinon had a smaller absolute magnitude of effect (8% average change as compared to 13% for chlorpyrifos, p < 0.05) and the net direction was a slight decrease rather than an increase. Small but statistically significant upregulation was seen for *prkcd* and *prkch*, as well as downregulation for *prkcq* and *prkcbp1; prkci* showed a transient decrement that resolved by 72h. Overall, the effects of diazinon were not significantly related to those seen with chlorpyrifos exposure (r=0.12).

Dieldrin also affected a lesser proportion of genes than did chlorpyrifos (Figure 3). There were small but significant increments in *prkcd* and *prkch*, and reductions in *prkcq*, *prkcz* and *prkcbp1*. Again, the absolute magnitude of effect of dieldrin was significantly smaller than for chlorpyrifos (6% vs. 13%, p < 0.03) and showed a net change favoring downregulation instead of upregulation. There was no significant correlation between the effects of dieldrin and chlorpyrifos (r=0.18). The correlation between dieldrin and diazinon was higher (r=0.33) but just failed to meet the significance criterion (p < 0.09).

Exposure to Ni²⁺ evoked decrements in expression of four genes, encoding two PKC isoforms (*prkcc, prkcz*) and two of the regulatory proteins (*prkcbp1, prkcdbp*), while causing transient changes (upregulation at 24h, downregulation at 72h) for *prkcq* (Figure 4). As with diazinon and dieldrin, the absolute magnitude of effect for Ni²⁺ was significantly smaller than for chlorpyrifos (8% vs. 13%, p < 0.05) and the net direction was downward instead of upward. The pattern of changes in gene expression evoked by Ni²⁺ exposure was distinctly similar to that of dieldrin, as evidenced by a significant correlation (r=0.59, p < 0.0001; Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The developmental neurotoxicity evoked by organophosphate insecticides depends strongly on mechanisms other than their shared property as cholinesterase inhibitors (Casida and Quistad, 2004; Colborn, 2006; Pope, 1999; Slotkin, 1999, 2004, 2005). Indeed, recent studies point to cell signaling cascades involved in neurodifferentiation as a major contributor to adverse neurodevelopmental and behavioral outcomes (Meyer et al., 2004; Olivier et al., 2001; Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Song et al., 1997; Yanai et al., 2002, 2004). In the current study, we identified effects of chlorpyrifos on the expression of genes encoding the PKC isoforms and modulators of PKC activity, reflecting the similar targeting of PKC signaling evoked by chlorpyrifos in vivo in the developing rat brain (Izrael et al., 2004; Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Yanai et al., 2002, 2004). Since the effects seen here were obtained with an in vitro model, the actions of chlorpyrifos on the PKC cascade are clearly not mediated indirectly via effects on the mother or on the maternal-fetal unit, thus demonstrating direct involvement of this organophosphate with the control of a key cell signaling cascade involved in neurodifferentiation. Further, we found distinct differences between chlorpyrifos and diazinon that are likely to play a role in the disparities in the outcomes of exposure to these two organophosphates seen after in vivo treatments (Aldridge et al., 2004, 2005a; Levin et al., 2001; Roegge et al., 2008; Slotkin et al., 2001, 2008a, b; Slotkin and Seidler, 2005; Timofeeva et al., 2008), as well finding as unexpected similarities between otherwise unrelated neurotoxicants that thus predict convergent outcomes.

Of the four agents tested here, chlorpyrifos had by far the greatest effect on transcription of PKC-related genes. Notably, there was a distinct change in the transcriptional pattern for treatment of undifferentiated vs. differentiating cells. In the differentiating state, chlorpyrifos had a far more widespread effect on gene expression, a greater overall magnitude of effect, a distinct direction of change (upregulation), and a specific temporal pattern of rapid initial induction and an equally rapid subsequent fall. The greater response in the differentiating state recapitulates the critical period in which developing neurons are most sensitive to chlorpyrifos exposure in vivo, which also centers around axonogenesis and the emergence of neurotransmitter phenotypes (Das and Barone, 1999; Jameson et al., 2006; Slotkin, 1999, 2004, 2005). Indeed, the same pattern has been seen for the effects of chlorpyrifos on the expression of neurotrophic factors and their receptors that exert their control of neurodifferentiation via downstream PKC signaling, notably the *fgf, wnt* and *fzd* families (Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c, 2009).

Earlier work showed that diazinon, even when given in the same developmental period as chlorpyrifos and in pharmacodynamically-equivalent doses, elicits lesser long-term disruption of synaptic function and behavior (Aldridge et al., 2004, 2005a; Levin et al., 2001; Roegge et al., 2008; Slotkin et al., 2001, 2008a, b; Slotkin and Seidler, 2005; Timofeeva et al., 2008). Here, we similarly found far less impact of diazinon on gene expression related to PKC signaling. Again, this resembles findings in vivo for the comparative effects of the two organophosphates on PKC in the developing brain (Izrael et al., 2004; Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Yanai et al., 2004), as well as their relative actions on the fgf, wnt and fzd families in vivo and vitro (Slotkin et al., 2008c, 2009). Surprisingly, diazinon, dieldrin and Ni²⁺ displayed a number of basic similarities in their patterns, especially for the latter two, again reflecting shared effects identified earlier for upstream neurotrophic factors (Slotkin et al., 2009) and downstream neurotoxic endpoints (Slotkin et al., 2007b; Slotkin and Seidler, 2008). The implication is inescapable: these results predict that diazinon (an organophosphate), dieldrin (an organochlorine) and Ni²⁺ (a metal) may in fact produce convergent developmental neurotoxicant outcomes. Clearly, further studies with in vivo models is required to establish this relationship.

Combining an in vitro model with a planned comparisons approach to microarray data has a number of key limitations and advantages that have been detailed earlier (Slotkin and Seidler, 2007, 2008, 2009; Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c) but are worth repeating in relation to the present results. The PC12 model is a transformed neuronotypic cell line, which, unlike primary neurons, maintain their ability to divide in culture and differentiates in a coordinated way into specificied phenotypes, important considerations for these neurotoxicants, which target the cell cycle and phenotypic fate. Transformed cells are inherently less sensitive to toxicant injury than are developing neurons in vivo and further, cell culture treatments involve much shorter durations than with environmental exposures extending throughout brain development. Both of these factors were considered in our selection of the 30 µM test concentrations, which nevertheless is below the threshold for cytotoxicity (Slotkin et al., 2007b; Song et al., 1998). This concentration is approximately an order of magnitude higher than that found for organophosphates in newborn babies after nonsymptomatic environmental exposures in agricultural communities (Ostrea et al., 2002). However, the cultures contain high concentrations of serum proteins; accordingly, less than 10% of the nominal concentration is actually available to diffuse into the cells (Qiao et al., 2001). The most important proof of relevance, though is the fact that for chlorpyrifos and diazinon, parallel outcomes have been identified between in vivo exposures and the PC12 model (Bagchi et al., 1995, 1996; Crumpton et al., 2000a, b; Das and Barone, 1999; Flaskos et al., 1994; Jameson et al., 2006, 2007; Li and Casida, 1998; Nagata et al., 1997; Qiao et al., 2001, 2005; Slotkin et al., 2007a, b, 2008c, 2009; Song et al., 1998; Tuler et al., 1989), thus providing validation of the in vitro approach. The second factor in these studies is our use of planned comparisons, as distinct from the global examination of the tens of thousands of genes present on the microarrays. Planned comparisons are based on testing a specific hypothesis that centers around a defined set of genes, and rests on known, validating outcomes from prior work, in this case for the organophosphates. With examination of the entire genome, verification via RT-PCR and other techniques is required because the enormous number of comparisons generates many false positive findings (e.g. the >2000 genes that would be false positives if we had considered all 42,000 probes on the array). For our study, we compared only a handful genes that would generate only 1 false positive, and instead we found alterations in the majority of these genes; for interpretation, we relied on the overall pattern of multiple gene changes for each agent, as well as effects that were repeated across different treatments and/or different times, rather than changes in any one gene. The odds of all those genes being false positives is astronomically small. However, even for individual genes, there were multiple probes and multiple spots on a given array (see Methods), so the changes cannot be "chance." Unlike many array studies, where a single mRNA set combined from multiple samples might be evaluated, we evaluated up to 8 separate samples

for each treatment condition, so again it is inconceivable that one could statistically produce these outcomes by accident. Indeed, one of the key points of this study is to demonstrate that a planned comparisons approach may provide a superior strategy for the use of microarray data, provided that the relevant target pathways are known in advance. Notably, for chlorpyrifos in particular, the parallel effects on PKC protein expression, enzymatic activity and membrane translocation, and their relationship to behavioral deficits, have all been validated with in vivo models (Izrael et al., 2004; Yanai et al., 2002, 2004), so that the comparative transcriptional "fingerprints" for each of the agents tested here can guide future evaluations at these higher levels of integration.

In conclusion, our findings provide some of the first evidence for a specific mechanistic sequence contributing to the cholinesterase-independent developmental neurotoxicant actions of chlorpyrifos, involving a chain of effects mediated through specific neurotrophic factors and their receptors, converging on expression and function of PKC isoforms and their modulators. The effects seen here for chlorpyrifos and diazinon with an in vitro model, bear direct similarities to those obtained in vivo, pointing to a direct effect of organophosphates on these key elements in neurodifferentiation, and thus providing at least one mechanism for adverse developmental actions distinct from cholinesterase inhibition. Thus, through the combined use of an in vitro neurodevelopmental model and transcriptional profiling, we were able to distinguish between the outcomes of two related organophosphates, and by identifying similarities among unrelated agents, to make predictions about their likely, shared impact on brain development, providing guidance for future in vivo studies.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Cell cultures

Because of the clonal instability of the PC12 cell line (Fujita et al., 1989), the experiments were performed on cells that had undergone fewer than five passages. As described previously (Qiao et al., 2003; Song et al., 1998), PC12 cells (American Type Culture Collection, 1721-CRL, obtained from the Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center, Durham, NC) were seeded onto poly-D-lysine-coated plates in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% inactivated horse serum (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO), 5% inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma), and 50 µg/ml penicillin streptomycin (Invitrogen). Incubations were carried out with 7.5% CO2 at 37°C, standard conditions for PC12 cells. To initiate neurodifferentiation (Jameson et al., 2006; Slotkin et al., 2007b; Teng and Greene, 1994) twenty-four hours after seeding, the medium was changed to include 50 ng/ml of 2.5 S murine NGF (Invitrogen). Along with the NGF, we added 30 μ M of each of the test agents: chlorpyrifos (Chem Service, West Chester, PA), diazinon (Chem Service), dieldrin (Chem Service) or NiCl₂ (Sigma). The concentration was chosen from earlier studies that demonstrated adverse effects on differentiation of PC12 cells without outright cytotoxicity (Jameson et al., 2007; Qiao et al., 2001; Slotkin et al., 2007b, 2008c). Because of the limited water solubility of the three insecticides, these agents were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (final concentration 0.1%), which was also added to the control cultures and to cultures containing NiCl₂; this concentration of dimethylsulfoxide has no effect on PC12 cell growth or differentiation (Qiao et al., 2001, 2003; Song et al., 1998). Cultures were examined 24 and 72 hr after commencing exposure, with 5-8 independent cultures evaluated for each treatment at each time point. We used two time points so as to be able to evaluate changes in gene expression regardless of whether the mRNA for a given gene has a rapid turnover (and hence can rise rapidly) or a slower turnover that would require a longer period to show corresponding increases or decreases.

Microarray determinations

Our earlier studies detailed the techniques for mRNA isolation, preparation of cDNA, conversion to cRNA incorporating cyanine-3 (reference RNA) or cyanine-5 (sample RNA), verification of RNA purity and quality, hybridization to the microarrays, washing and scanning (Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c). These all involve commercial kits and procedures, and since the current studies were done identically, the techniques will not be described here. The mRNA used for the reference standard was created by pooling aliquots from each of the samples in the study. Similarly, array normalizations and error detection were carried out by procedures described previously (Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Slotkin et al., 2007c, 2008c). We used Agilent Whole Rat Genome Arrays (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), type G4131A for the studies of chlorpyrifos in undifferentiated and differentiating cells, and type G4131F for the studies of diazinon, dieldrin and Ni²⁺ in differentiating cells. The two chips contain exactly the same sequences but the latter has a lower detection threshold; however, all the genes reported here passed the quality control filters with both arrays.

For many of the genes, the arrays contain multiple probes for the same gene and/or replicates of the same probe in different locations on the chip, and these were used to verify the reliability of values and the validity of the measures on the chip. To avoid artificially inflating the number of positive findings, we limited each gene to a single set of values, selecting those obtained for the probe showing the smallest intragroup variance. The other values for that gene were used only to corroborate direction and magnitude of change. We also validated the readings on the arrays through the use of duplicate arrays for selected samples (Slotkin and Seidler, 2007; Slotkin et al., 2007c).

Statistical procedures

Because of the requirement to normalize the data across arrays and within each gene, the absolute values for a given gene are meaningless, so only the relative differences between treatments can be compared. Accordingly, results are presented as means and standard errors of the percentage change from control values to allow for visual comparison of the effects across families of genes. However, statistical comparisons were based on the actual ratios (log-transformed, since the data are in the form of ratios) rather than the percent change.

Our design involved planned comparisons of four agents at two time points, as well as the effects of one agent (chlorpyrifos) in undifferentiated vs. differentiating states. It was therefore important to consider the false positive rate and to protect against the increased probability of type 1 errors engendered by repeated testing of the same data base. Accordingly, before looking at effects on individual genes, we performed a global ANOVA incorporating all the variables in a single comparison: treatment, time, and all genes. Lower-order ANOVAs on subdivisions of the data set were then carried out as permitted by the interactions of treatment with the other variables. Differences for individual treatments for a specified gene at a single time point were evaluated with Fisher's Protected Least Significant Difference. However, for a given gene where there was no interaction of treatment with other variables (time, differentiation state), only the main treatment effect was reported without subtesting of effects at a single time point. Treatment effects were considered significant at p < 0.05 (two-tailed, since we were interested in both increases and decreases in gene expression). Finally, concordance of patterns of effects between different agents was evaluated by linear regression analysis.

In addition to these parametric tests of the direction and magnitude of changes in gene expression, we evaluated the incidence of significant differences as compared to the predicted false positive rate, using Fisher's Exact Test, applying a one-tailed criterion of p < 0.05, since only an increase above the false positive rate would be predicted; at the criterion of p < 0.05, one gene out of every 20 tested can be expected to show a difference at random. Finding a

significant decrease in the incidence of detected differences relative to the false positive rate would be biologically implausible and statistically meaningless.

Acknowledgements

Research was supported by NIH ES10356. The authors state that they have no competing financial interests but have provided expert witness testimony on behalf of government agencies, corporations and/or individuals.

Abbreviations

ANOVA	
	analysis of variance
fgf	fibroblast growth factor gene family
fzd	frizzled gene family
NGF	nerve growth factor
РКА	protein kinase A
РКС	protein kinase C
wnt	wingless gene family

References

- Aldridge JE, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure to chlorpyrifos elicits sex-selective alterations of serotonergic synaptic function in adulthood: critical periods and regional selectivity for effects on the serotonin transporter, receptor subtypes, and cell signaling. Environ Health Perspect 2004;112:148–155. [PubMed: 14754568]
- Aldridge JE, Levin ED, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure of rats to chlorpyrifos leads to behavioral alterations in adulthood, involving serotonergic mechanisms and resembling animal models of depression. Environ Health Perspect 2005a;113:527–531. [PubMed: 15866758]
- Aldridge JE, Meyer A, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure to terbutaline and chlorpyrifos: pharmacotherapy of preterm labor and an environmental neurotoxicant converge on serotonergic systems in neonatal rat brain regions. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2005b;203:134–144.
- Bagchi D, Bagchi M, Hassoun EA, Stohs SJ. In vitro and in vivo generation of reactive oxygen species, DNA damage and lactate dehydrogenase leakage by selected pesticides. Toxicology 1995;104:129– 140. [PubMed: 8560491]
- Bagchi D, Bhattacharya G, Stohs SJ. In vitro and in vivo induction of heat shock (stress) protein (Hsp) gene expression by selected pesticides. Toxicology 1996;112:57–68. [PubMed: 8792849]
- Barone S, Das KP, Lassiter TL, White LD. Vulnerable processes of nervous system development: a review of markers and methods. Neurotoxicology 2000;21:15–36. [PubMed: 10794382]
- Beer A, Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ, Aldridge JE, Yanai J. Nicotine therapy in adulthood reverses the synaptic and behavioral deficits elicited by prenatal exposure to phenobarbital. Neuropsychopharmacology 2005;30:156–165. [PubMed: 15496940]
- Boyes, WK. Neurotoxicology and behavior. In: Bingham, E.; Cohrseen, B.; Powell, CH., editors. Patty's Toxicology. Vol. 5. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 2001. p. 55-121.

- Casida JE, Quistad GB. Organophosphate toxicology: safety aspects of nonacetylcholinesterase secondary targets. Chem Res Toxicol 2004;17:983–998. [PubMed: 15310231]
- Colborn T. A case for revisiting the safety of pesticides: a closer look at neurodevelopment. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114:10–17. [PubMed: 16393651]
- Crumpton TL, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Is oxidative stress involved in the developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos? Dev Brain Res 2000a;121:189–195. [PubMed: 10876031]
- Crumpton TL, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos in vivo and in vitro: effects on nuclear transcription factor involved in cell replication and differentiation. Brain Res 2000b;857:87–98. [PubMed: 10700556]
- Das KP, Barone S. Neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells is inhibited by chlorpyrifos and its metabolites: is acetylcholinesterase inhibition the site of action? Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1999;160:217–230. [PubMed: 10544056]
- Dotan S, Goldstein RS, Slotkin TA, Yanai J. An avian model for neural stem cell transplantation. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2009submitted
- Eriksson P. Developmental neurotoxicity of environmental agents in the neonate. Neurotoxicology 1997;18:719–726. [PubMed: 9339819]
- Flaskos J, McLean WG, Hargreaves AJ. The toxicity of organophosphate compounds towards cultured PC12 cells. Toxicol Lett 1994;70:71–76. [PubMed: 8310459]
- Fujita K, Lazarovici P, Guroff G. Regulation of the differentiation of PC12 pheochromocytoma cells. Environ Health Perspect 1989;80:127–142. [PubMed: 2647474]
- Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. Lancet 2006;368:2167–2178. [PubMed: 17174709]
- Hasan SU, Simakajornboon N, MacKinnon Y, Gozal D. Prenatal cigarette smoke exposure selectively alters protein kinase C and nitric oxide synthase expression within the neonatal rat brainstem. Neurosci Lett 2001;301:135–138. [PubMed: 11248441]
- Haykal-Coates N, Shafer TJ, Mundy WR, Barone S. Effects of gestational methylmercury exposure on immunoreactivity of specific isoforms of PKC and enzyme activity during post-natal development of the rat brain. Dev Brain Res 1998;109:33–49. [PubMed: 9706389]
- Hilliard A, Ramesh A, Zawia NH. Correlation between lead-induced changes in cerebral ornithine decarboxylase and protein kinase C activities during development and in cultured PC12 cells. Intl J Dev Neurosci 1999;17:77–785.
- Izrael M, Van der Zee EA, Slotkin TA, Yanai J. Cholinergic synaptic signaling mechanisms underlying behavioral teratogenicity: effects of nicotine, chlorpyrifos and heroin converge on PKC translocation in the IMHV and on imprinting behavior in an avian model. J Neurosci Res 2004;78:499–507. [PubMed: 15470723]
- Jameson RR, Seidler FJ, Qiao D, Slotkin TA. Chlorpyrifos affects phenotypic outcomes in a model of mammalian neurodevelopment: critical stages targeting differentiation in PC12 cells. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114:667–672. [PubMed: 16675418]
- Jameson RR, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Nonenzymatic functions of acetylcholinesterase splice variants in the developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphates: chlorpyrifos, chlorpyrifos oxon and diazinon. Environ Health Perspect 2007;115:65–70. [PubMed: 17366821]
- Kapfhammer JP. Cellular and molecular control of dendritic growth and development of cerebellar Purkinje cells. Prog Histochem Cytochem 2004;39:131–182. [PubMed: 15580762]
- Landrigan PJ, Graham DG, Thomas RD. Environmental neurotoxic illness: research for prevention. Environ Health Perspect 1994;102(Suppl 2):117–120. [PubMed: 7925181]
- Landrigan PJ, Claudio L, Markowitz SB, Berkowitz GS, Brenner BL, Romero H, Wetmur JG, Matte TD, Gore AC, Godbold JH, Wolff MS. Pesticides and inner-city children: exposures, risks, and prevention. Environ Health Perspect 1999;107(suppl 3):431–437. [PubMed: 10346991]
- Levin ED, Addy N, Christopher NC, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Persistent behavioral consequences of neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure in rats. Dev Brain Res 2001;130:83–89. [PubMed: 11557096]
- Li F, Chong ZZ, Maiese K. Vital elements of the Wnt-Frizzled signaling pathway in the nervous system. Curr Neurovasc Res 2005;2:331–340. [PubMed: 16181124]

- Li WW, Casida JE. Organophosphorus neuropathy target esterase inhibitors selectively block outgrowth of neurite-like and cell processes in cultured cells. Toxicol Lett 1998;98:139–146. [PubMed: 9788582]
- Meyer A, Seidler FJ, Aldridge JE, Tate CA, Cousins MM, Slotkin TA. Critical periods for chlorpyrifosinduced developmental neurotoxicity: alterations in adenylyl cyclase signaling in adult rat brain regions after gestational or neonatal exposure. Environ Health Perspect 2004;112:295–301. [PubMed: 14998743]
- Meyer A, Seidler FJ, Aldridge JE, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure to terbutaline alters cell signaling in mature rat brain regions and augments the effects of subsequent neonatal exposure to the organophosphorus insecticide, chlorpyrifos. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2005;203:154–166. [PubMed: 15710176]
- Monnet-Tschudi F, Zurich MG, Honegger P. Neurotoxicant-induced inflammatory response in threedimensional brain cell cultures. Human Exp Toxicol 2007;26:339–346.
- Nagata K, Huang CS, Song JH, Narahashi T. Direct actions of anticholinesterases on the neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor channels. Brain Res 1997;769:211–218. [PubMed: 9374188]
- Nakagawara A. Trk receptor tyrosine kinases: a bridge between cancer and neural development. Cancer Lett 2001;169:107–114. [PubMed: 11431098]
- Olivier K, Liu J, Pope C. Inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP formation *in vitro* by paraoxon and chlorpyrifos oxon in cortical slices from neonatal, juvenile, and adult rats. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 2001;15:263–269. [PubMed: 11835623]
- Ostrea EM, Morales V, Ngoumgna E, Prescilla R, Tan E, Hernandez E, Ramirez GB, Cifra HL, Manlapaz ML. Prevalence of fetal exposure to environmental toxins as determined by meconium analysis. Neurotoxicology 2002;23:329–339. [PubMed: 12389578]
- Pope CN. Organophosphorus pesticides: do they all have the same mechanism of toxicity? J Toxicol Environ Health 1999;2:161–181.
- Qiao D, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos modeled *in vitro*: comparative effects of metabolites and other cholinesterase inhibitors on DNA synthesis in PC12 and C6 cells. Environ Health Perspect 2001;109:909–913. [PubMed: 11673119]
- Qiao D, Seidler FJ, Violin JD, Slotkin TA. Nicotine is a developmental neurotoxicant and neuroprotectant: stage-selective inhibition of DNA synthesis coincident with shielding from effects of chlorpyrifos. Dev Brain Res 2003;147:183–190. [PubMed: 14741763]
- Qiao D, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Oxidative mechanisms contributing to the developmental neurotoxicity of nicotine and chlorpyrifos. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2005;206:17–26. [PubMed: 15963341]
- Reuss B, von Bohlen und Halbach O. Fibroblast growth factors and their receptors in the central nervous system. Cell Tissue Res 2003;313:139–157. [PubMed: 12845521]
- Roegge CS, Timofeeva OA, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA, Levin ED. Developmental diazinon neurotoxicity in rats: later effects on emotional response. Brain Res Bull 2008;75:166–172. [PubMed: 18158111]
- Slikker W, Xu ZA, Levin ED, Slotkin TA. Mode of action: disruption of brain cell replication, second messenger, and neurotransmitter systems during development leading to cognitive dysfunction developmental neurotoxicity of nicotine. Crit Rev Toxicol 2005;35:703–711. [PubMed: 16417037]
- Slotkin TA. Developmental cholinotoxicants: nicotine and chlorpyrifos. Environ Health Perspect 1999;107(suppl 1):71–80. [PubMed: 10229709]
- Slotkin TA, Cousins MM, Tate CA, Seidler FJ. Persistent cholinergic presynaptic deficits after neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure. Brain Res 2001;902:229–243. [PubMed: 11384617]
- Slotkin TA, Auman JT, Seidler FJ. Ontogenesis of β-adrenoceptor signaling: implications for perinatal physiology and for fetal effects of tocolytic drugs. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2003;306:1–7. [PubMed: 12682213]
- Slotkin TA. Cholinergic systems in brain development and disruption by neurotoxicants: nicotine, environmental tobacco smoke, organophosphates. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2004;198:132–151. [PubMed: 15236950]
- Slotkin, TA. Developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphates: a case study of chlorpyrifos. In: Gupta, RC., editor. Toxicity of Organophosphate and Carbamate Pesticides. Elsevier Academic Press; San Diego: 2005. p. 293-314.

- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ. The alterations in CNS serotonergic mechanisms caused by neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure are permanent. Dev Brain Res 2005;158:115–119. [PubMed: 16024092]
- Slotkin TA, Levin ED, Seidler FJ. Comparative developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphate insecticides: effects on brain development are separable from systemic toxicity. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114:746–751. [PubMed: 16675431]
- Slotkin TA, MacKillop EA, Ryde IT, Seidler FJ. Ameliorating the developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos: a mechanisms-based approach in PC12 cells. Environ Health Perspect 2007a; 115:1306–1313. [PubMed: 17805420]
- Slotkin TA, MacKillop EA, Ryde IT, Tate CA, Seidler FJ. Screening for developmental neurotoxicity using PC12 cells: comparisons of organophosphates with a carbamate, an organochlorine and divalent nickel. Environ Health Perspect 2007b;115:93–101. [PubMed: 17366826]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ. Comparative developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphates in vivo: transcriptional responses of pathways for brain cell development, cell signaling, cytotoxicity and neurotransmitter systems. Brain Res Bull 2007;72:232–274. [PubMed: 17452286]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ, Fumagalli F. Exposure to organophosphates reduces the expression of neurotrophic factors in neonatal rat brain regions: similarities and differences in the effects of chlorpyrifos and diazinon on the fibroblast growth factor superfamily. Environ Health Perspect 2007c;115:909–916. [PubMed: 17589599]
- Slotkin TA, Bodwell BE, Levin ED, Seidler FJ. Neonatal exposure to low doses of diazinon: long-term effects on neural cell development and acetylcholine systems. Environ Health Perspect 2008a; 116:340–348. [PubMed: 18335101]
- Slotkin TA, Ryde IT, Levin ED, Seidler FJ. Developmental neurotoxicity of low-dose diazinon exposure of neonatal rats: effects on serotonin systems in adolescence and adulthood. Brain Res Bull 2008b; 75:640–647. [PubMed: 18355640]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ. Developmental neurotoxicants target neurodifferentiation into the serotonin phenotype: chlorpyrifos, diazinon, dieldrin and divalent nickel. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2008;233:211–219. [PubMed: 18835401]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ, Fumagalli F. Targeting of neurotrophic factors, their receptors, and signaling pathways in the developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphates in vivo and in vitro. Brain Res Bull 2008c;76:424–438. [PubMed: 18502319]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ. Transcriptional profiles reveal similarities and differences in the effects of developmental neurotoxicants on differentiation into neurotransmitter phenotypes in PC12 cells. Brain Res Bull 2009;78:211–225. [PubMed: 18812211]
- Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ, Fumagalli F. Unrelated developmental neurotoxicants elicit similar transcriptional profiles for effects on neurotrophic factors and their receptors in an in vitro model. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2009in press
- Song X, Seidler FJ, Saleh JL, Zhang J, Padilla S, Slotkin TA. Cellular mechanisms for developmental toxicity of chlorpyrifos: targeting the adenylyl cyclase signaling cascade. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1997;145:158–174. [PubMed: 9221834]
- Song X, Violin JD, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Modeling the developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos in vitro: macromolecule synthesis in PC12 cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1998;151:182–191. [PubMed: 9705902]
- Steingart RA, Silverman WF, Barron S, Slotkin TA, Awad Y, Yanai J. Neural grafting reverses prenatal drug-induced alterations in hippocampal PKC and related behavioral deficits. Dev Brain Res 2000;125:9–19. [PubMed: 11154756]
- Szpir M. Tracing the origins of autism: a spectrum of new studies. Environ Health Perspect 2006a; 114:A412–A417. [PubMed: 16835042]
- Szpir M. New thinking on neurodevelopment. Environ Health Perspect 2006b;114:A101-A107.
- Teng, KK.; Greene, LA. Cultured PC12 cells: a model for neuronal function and differentiation. In: Celis, JE., editor. Cell Biology: A Laboratory Handbook. Academic Press; San Diego: 1994. p. 218-224.
- Timofeeva OA, Roegge CS, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA, Levin ED. Persistent cognitive alterations in rats after early postnatal exposure to low doses of the organophosphate pesticide, diazinon. Neurotoxicol Teratol 2008;30:38–45. [PubMed: 18096363]

Tuler SM, Hazen AA, Bowen JM. Release and metabolism of dopamine in a clonal line of pheochromocytoma (PC12) cells exposed to fenthion. Fund Appl Toxicol 1989;13:484–492.

Weiss B, Amler S, Amler RW. Pesticides. Pediatrics 2004;113:1030-1036. [PubMed: 15060196]

- Yanai J, Vatury O, Slotkin TA. Cell signaling as a target and underlying mechanism for neurobehavioral teratogenesis. Ann NY Acad Sci 2002;965:473–478. [PubMed: 12105122]
- Yanai J, Beer A, Huleihel R, Izrael M, Katz S, Levi Y, Rozenboim I, Yaniv SP, Slotkin TA. Convergent effects on cell signaling mechanisms mediate the actions of different neurobehavioral teratogens: alterations in cholinergic regulation of PKC in chick and avian models. Ann NY Acad Sci 2004;1025:595–601. [PubMed: 15542768]
- Yanai J, Ben-Shaanan TL, Haimovitch H, Katz S, Kazma M. Mechanism-based approaches for the reversal of drug neurobehavioral teratogenicity. Annals NY Acad Sci 2006;1074:659–671.

Slotkin and Seidler





Figure 1.

Effects of chlorpyrifos exposure in undifferentiated (A) and differentiating (B) PC12 cells. Asterisks shown below each gene denote a significant main treatment effect. Daggers denote genes for which a treatment × time interaction was detected and show the individual times for which treatment effects were present. Multivariate ANOVA (treatment, differentiation state, gene, time) indicates a significant main treatment effect (chlorpyrifos > control, p < 0.02) and interactions of treatment × gene (p < 0.0002), treatment × state × time (p < 0.02), treatment × gene (p < 0.05) and treatment × state × gene (p < 0.05).



Figure 2.

Effects of diazinon in differentiating PC12 cells. Asterisks shown below each gene denote a significant main treatment effect. Daggers denote genes for which a treatment × time interaction was detected and show the individual times for which treatment effects were present. Multivariate ANOVA (treatment, gene, time) indicates a significant treatment × gene interaction (p < 0.02).



Figure 3.

Effects of dieldrin in differentiating PC12 cells. Asterisks shown below each gene denote a significant main treatment effect. Daggers denote genes for which a treatment × time interaction was detected and show the individual times for which treatment effects were present. Multivariate ANOVA (treatment, gene, time) indicates a significant treatment × gene × time interaction (p < 0.05).



Figure 4.

Effects of Ni²⁺ in differentiating PC12 cells. Asterisks shown below each gene denote a significant main treatment effect. Daggers denote genes for which a treatment × time interaction was detected and show the individual times for which treatment effects were present. Multivariate ANOVA (treatment, gene, time) indicates significant interactions of treatment × time (p < 0.04), treatment × gene (p < 0.004) and treatment × gene × time (p < 0.02). Slotkin and Seidler

Page 17





Correlation between changes in gene expression evoked by dieldrin and those caused by Ni^{2+} exposure.