

Metabolic Syndrome as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease, Mortality, and Progression of Diabetic Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes

LENA M. THORN, MD^{1,2}
CAROL FORSBLOM, DMSC^{1,2}
JOHAN WADÉN, MD^{1,2}
MARKKU SARAHEIMO, MD^{1,2}
NINA TOLONEN, MD^{1,2}

KUSTAA HIETALA, MD¹
PER-HENRIK GROOP, DMSC^{1,2}
FOR THE FINNISH DIABETIC NEPHROPATHY
(FINNDIANE) STUDY GROUP*

OBJECTIVE — To assess the predictive value of the metabolic syndrome in patients with type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Patients were from the prospective Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) Study ($n = 3,783$): mean age 37 ± 12 years and diabetes duration 23 ± 12 years. Metabolic syndrome was defined according to World Health Organization (WHO), National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) definitions. Follow-up time was median 5.5 years (interquartile range 3.7–6.7). Mortality data were complete, whereas morbidity data were available in 69% of the patients.

RESULTS — The WHO definition was associated with a 2.1-fold increased risk of cardiovascular events and a 2.5-fold increased risk of cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality, after adjustment for traditional risk factors and diabetic nephropathy. The NCEP definition did not predict outcomes when adjusted for nephropathy but markedly added to the risk associated with elevated albuminuria alone ($P < 0.001$). The IDF definition did not predict outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS — The metabolic syndrome is a risk factor, beyond albuminuria, for cardiovascular morbidity and diabetes-related mortality in type 1 diabetes.

Diabetes Care 32:950–952, 2009

Type 1 diabetes is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, which is largely, but not totally, explained by the presence of diabetic nephropathy (1). The metabolic syndrome, a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors, increases the risk of cardiovascular disease and chronic renal disease in the general population and in patients with type 2 diabetes (2–4). The metabolic syndrome is common in patients with type 1 diabetes (5–7), but its role as a predictor of cardiovascular dis-

ease and diabetic nephropathy is less clear (8,9). Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the predictive value of the different definitions of the metabolic syndrome for cardiovascular events, cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality, and the progression of renal disease in type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

— All patients participated in the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) Study, initiated in 1997.

The present study is prospective and includes 3,783 adult patients with type 1 diabetes from the FinnDiane Study, who at baseline had complete lipid profiles and data available on the components of the metabolic syndrome. A more detailed description of the baseline visit has previously been reported (5). Of the patients, 2,270 had a normal urinary albumin excretion rate (UAER), 477 microalbuminuria, 543 macroalbuminuria, and 241 end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Diabetic nephropathy was defined as macroalbuminuria or ESRD. In 252 patients, renal status could not be assessed. The metabolic syndrome was assessed according to World Health Organization (MS^{WHO}) (10), National Cholesterol Education Program (MS^{NCEP}) (11), and International Diabetes Federation (MS^{IDF}) (12) definitions (see supplementary Table A1, available in the online appendix at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/dc08-2022/DC1>). All patients with type 1 diabetes fulfilled the criteria for hyperglycemia.

Data on mortality were available for all patients, and death certificates were retrieved from Statistics Finland. Cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality was used as the end point, defined as underlying or immediate cardiovascular- or diabetes-related cause of death. Collection of follow-up data on morbidity is still ongoing; data on cardiovascular morbidity were available for 2,474 patients (65%) and data on progression of renal disease for 2,594 patients (69%). The data were collected from follow-up visits (36%) or medical files (64%). Progression of renal disease was defined as a change in category from normal UAER to microalbuminuria ($n = 118$), microalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria ($n = 54$), or macroalbuminuria to ESRD ($n = 130$).

RESULTS — At baseline, the prevalence of MS^{WHO} was 44%, MS^{NCEP} 35%, and MS^{IDF} 36%. The overlap of the three definitions is shown in Figure A1 in the online appendix. Detailed clinical charac-

From the ¹Folkhälsan Institute of Genetics, Folkhälsan Research Center, Biomedicum Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; and the ²Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.

Corresponding author: Per-Henrik Groop, per-henrik.groop@helsinki.fi.

Received 13 November 2008 and accepted 18 January 2009.

Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 5 February 2009. DOI: 10.2337/dc08-2022.

*A complete list of the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) Study Centers can be found in the online appendix at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/dc08-2022/DC1>.

© 2009 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1—Hazard ratios for outcomes by different definitions of the metabolic syndrome

	n	MS ^{WHO}	P	MS ^{NCEP}	P	MS ^{IDF}	P
New cardiovascular event	263	5.73 (4.14–7.92)	<0.001	2.45 (1.92–3.14)	<0.001	1.66 (1.30–2.11)	0.001
Adjusted for traditional risk factors*		3.65 (2.59–5.14)	<0.001	1.89 (1.46–2.46)	0.001	1.09 (0.84–1.41)	0.535
Further adjusted for previous cardiovascular event		2.98 (2.10–4.24)	<0.001	1.64 (1.23–2.14)	<0.001	1.03 (0.79–1.33)	0.833
Further adjusted for diabetic nephropathy		2.05 (1.38–3.04)	<0.001	1.31 (0.99–1.72)	0.056	0.96 (0.74–1.25)	0.759
New myocardial infarction	161	10.29 (6.14–17.25)	<0.001	2.61 (1.90–3.59)	<0.001	1.76 (1.29–2.39)	<0.001
Adjusted for traditional risk factors*		6.30 (3.68–10.78)	<0.001	1.85 (1.32–2.59)	<0.001	1.16 (0.83–1.62)	0.375
Further adjusted for previous myocardial infarction		5.80 (3.38–9.97)	<0.001	1.67 (1.19–2.35)	0.003	1.11 (0.79–1.54)	0.558
Further adjusted for diabetic nephropathy		3.10 (1.70–5.68)	<0.001	1.17 (0.83–1.65)	0.380	1.00 (0.72–1.40)	0.992
New stroke	80	7.51 (3.86–14.60)	<0.001	1.94 (1.25–3.02)	0.003	1.10 (0.70–1.73)	0.684
Adjusted for traditional risk factors*		4.86 (2.42–9.77)	<0.001	1.51 (0.94–2.44)	0.090	0.73 (0.45–1.20)	0.218
Further adjusted for previous stroke		4.49 (2.22–9.07)	<0.001	1.45 (0.89–2.34)	0.133	0.65 (0.40–1.08)	0.096
Further adjusted for diabetic nephropathy		2.81 (1.29–6.15)	0.010	1.05 (0.64–1.71)	0.859	0.66 (0.40–1.07)	0.093
Cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality	238	11.05 (7.25–16.85)	<0.001	2.87 (2.21–3.73)	<0.001	1.79 (1.39–2.31)	<0.001
Adjusted for traditional risk factors*		7.33 (4.69–11.46)	<0.001	2.15 (1.63–2.84)	<0.001	1.20 (0.92–1.58)	0.185
Further adjusted for diabetic nephropathy		2.52 (1.53–4.16)	<0.001	1.31 (0.99–1.73)	0.063	1.00 (0.76–1.32)	0.986
Progression							
Normal UAER to microalbuminuria†	118	2.10 (1.42–3.12)	<0.001	1.13 (0.77–1.68)	0.531	1.30 (0.88–1.92)	0.191
Micro- to macroalbuminuria†	54	2.42 (0.96–6.12)	0.062	1.57 (0.91–2.71)	0.102	1.65 (0.93–2.92)	0.085
Macroalbuminuria to ESRD†	130	2.57 (1.13–5.86)	0.025	1.65 (1.13–2.40)	0.009	0.52 (0.36–0.75)	<0.001

Data are HR (95% CI), derived from Cox regression analyses. *Adjusted for age, sex, smoking, LDL cholesterol, and A1C. †Adjusted for duration of diabetes, sex, smoking, and A1C.

teristics of the patients are presented in Table A2 in the online appendix.

During median 5.5 years (interquartile range 3.7–6.7) of follow-up, 263 patients suffered a cardiovascular event; of these, 106 had a history of previous events and 173 had diabetic nephropathy at baseline. The predictive value of MS^{WHO}, MS^{NCEP}, and MS^{IDF} for outcomes is shown in Table 1. Of individual metabolic syndrome components, all except obesity were independent predictors of a new cardiovascular event, adjusted for the traditional risk factors: MS^{WHO} elevated UAER (HR 2.69 [95% CI 1.95–3.72]), MS^{WHO} hypertension (1.71 [1.26–2.31]), MS^{WHO} dyslipidemia (1.80 [1.38–2.35]), MS^{NCEP} hypertension (2.00 [1.36–2.93]), MS^{NCEP} low HDL cholesterol (1.35 [1.03–1.78]), MS^{NCEP} high triglycerides (1.83 [1.35–2.48]), MS^{IDF} hypertension (4.25 [2.28–7.92]), MS^{IDF} low HDL cholesterol (1.63 [1.24–2.13]), MS^{IDF} high triglycerides (1.76 [1.31–2.37]), MS^{WHO} obesity (1.28 [0.97–1.68]), MS^{NCEP} obesity (0.94 [0.68–1.30]), and MS^{IDF} obesity (0.82 [0.63–1.06]).

MS^{NCEP} added to the risk attributed to elevated UAER for cardiovascular events ($P < 0.001$). In those with elevated UAER, MS^{NCEP} was associated with an HR of 1.44 (95% CI 1.06–1.96) for a new

cardiovascular event, after adjustment for traditional risk factors and diabetic nephropathy (see Figure A2 in the online appendix).

During a median 5.7 years (interquartile range 4.0–6.9) of follow-up, 238 patients died from either cardiovascular- or diabetes-related causes. The predictive value of the metabolic syndrome on cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality is shown in Table 1. Of individual components of the metabolic syndrome, all except obesity were independent predictors of cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality. The predictive value of the metabolic syndrome on the progression of diabetic nephropathy is shown in Table 1. The protective role of MS^{IDF} was largely due to MS^{IDF} obesity (HR 0.39 [95% CI 0.26–0.57]), which was the only component that was seemingly protective.

CONCLUSIONS— Our main findings support data from two prospective studies on the role of the metabolic syndrome in type 1 diabetes (8,9). Of the current definitions, MS^{WHO} is associated with the highest risk of cardiovascular outcomes, followed by MS^{NCEP}, whereas MS^{IDF} is the weakest predictor.

Diabetic nephropathy is accompanied by the metabolic syndrome (5) and is

also the strongest risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 1 diabetes. In the present study, most of the cardiovascular events were seen in those with diabetic nephropathy. Taking these facts into consideration, it is difficult to eliminate diabetic nephropathy where the role of the metabolic syndrome is assessed. The independent risk shown for MS^{WHO} on cardiovascular events and cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality, adjusted for diabetic nephropathy, suggests that the metabolic syndrome plays an independent role. It could, however, be argued that the effect is due to the inclusion of microalbuminuria in the MS^{WHO}. We were, however, also able to show an additional effect of MS^{NCEP} beyond elevated UAER alone, suggesting a true role of the metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes. The results from the present and earlier studies suggest that the role of the metabolic syndrome on progression to microalbuminuria is modest, whereas at later stages the metabolic syndrome plays a larger role.

Of the individual components, abdominal obesity seems to play the weakest role. This is surprising because abdominal obesity is a key feature of insulin resistance, an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease (13). However,

all the other individual components were independent predictors of both cardiovascular events and cardiovascular- and diabetes-related mortality. In patients on dialysis, obesity has been associated with better survival (the obesity paradox), highlighting the importance of optimal nutrition (14). In the present study, MS^{IDF} obesity was seemingly protective of progression to ESRD, suggesting an inverse or U-shaped relationship in patients with macroalbuminuria.

A major limitation was the incomplete follow-up data on mortality, which was due to the ongoing nature of the FinnDiane Study. In conclusion, the metabolic syndrome is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events and adds to the risk related to albuminuria.

Acknowledgments— This study was supported by grants from the Folkhälsan Research Foundation, Wilhelm and Else Stockmann Foundation, Liv och Hälsa Foundation, Finnish Medical Society (Finska Läkaresällskapet), Perklén Foundation, and European Commission (QLG2-CT-2001-01669 and LSHB-CT-2003-503364).

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

We acknowledge the technical assistance of Sinikka Lindh, Anna Sandelin, Susanne Ström, and Jaana Tuomikangas. We also acknowledge the contribution of the lipid research laboratory of Marja-Riitta Taskinen, including Hannele Hildén, Virve Naatti, Helinä Perttunen-Mio, and Emmi Rautahaimo. Finally, we acknowledge all the physicians and nurses at each center participating in the collection of patients for the FinnDiane Study (see Table A3 in the online appendix).

Parts of this study were presented in abstract form at the 44th annual meeting of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, Rome, Italy, 7–11 September 2008.

References

1. Borch-Johnsen K, Kreiner S. Proteinuria: value as predictor of cardiovascular mortality in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *BMJ* 1987;294:1651–1654
2. Bonora E. The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *Ann Med* 2006;38:64–80
3. Kurella M, Lo JC, Chertow GM. Metabolic syndrome and the risk for chronic kidney disease among nondiabetic adults. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:2134–2140
4. Luk AO, So WY, Ma RC, Kong AP, Ozaki R, Ng VS, Yu LW, Lau WW, Yang X, Chow FC, Chan JC, Tong PC. Metabolic syndrome predicts new onset of chronic kidney disease in 5,829 patients with type 2 diabetes: a 5-year prospective analysis of the Hong Kong Diabetes Registry. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:2357–2361
5. Thorn LM, Forsblom C, Fagerudd J, Thomas MC, Pettersson-Fernholm K, Saraheimo M, Waden J, Ronnback M, Rosengard-Barlund M, Bjorkesten CG, Taskinen MR, Groop PH. Metabolic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: association with diabetic nephropathy and glycemic control (the FinnDiane study). *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:2019–2024
6. McGill M, Molyneaux L, Twigg SM, Yue DK. The metabolic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: does it exist and does it matter? *J Diabetes Complications* 2008;22:18–23
7. Bonadonna RC, Cucinotta D, Fedele D, Riccardi G, Tiengo A. The metabolic syndrome is a risk indicator of microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetes: results from Metascreen, a multi-center diabetes clinic-based survey.

Diabetes Care 2006;29:2701–2707

8. Kilpatrick ES, Rigby AS, Atkin SL. Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and complication risk in type 1 diabetes: “double diabetes” in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:707–712
9. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Orchard TJ. The prediction of major outcomes of type 1 diabetes: a 12-year prospective evaluation of three separate definitions of the metabolic syndrome and their components and estimated glucose disposal rate: the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study experience. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:1248–1254
10. World Health Organization. *Report of a WHO Consultation, Part 1: Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications*. Geneva, World Health Org., 1999
11. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 2001;285:2486–2497
12. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome—a new worldwide definition. *Lancet* 2005;366:1059–1062
13. Martin FIR, Hopper JL. The relationship of acute insulin sensitivity to the progression of vascular disease in long-term type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1987;30:149–153
14. Fleischmann E, Teal N, Dudley J, May W, Bower JD, Salahudeen AK. Influence of excess weight on mortality and hospital stay in 1346 hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 1999;55:1560–1567