## LETTER

## The climate envelope may not be empty

A recent paper (1) purported to document negligible climatic determination among European bird species, with implications for forecasting range shifts in changing climates. However, only 12 of 100 species analyzed were endemic-thus, for the remaining 88% of test species, key limits with likely climatic determination were excluded, particularly eastward and southward (2). Second, the authors developed null distributions conserving the same prevalence and semivariogram as real species' distributions and showed that real distributions were not modeled better than "null" distributions. However, most variation in null and real distributions at broad geographic scales is explained by spatially structured climate variation that is difficult to disentangle. The authors' manipulation fails to eliminate climate as a correlate of null ranges exactly because their occurrences were spatially clumped: as climate is autocorrelated, null distributions have climate signatures just like real distributions. Producing null models removing effects of climate but keeping the spatial cohesion of the distribution is unlikely, as in recent debates regarding mid-domain effects as appropriate null models for diversity (3). Null distributions will show climate signatures similar to those of real species that are not eliminated in their randomization algorithm, so the authors cannot reject the hypothesis of a climate association in European birds. Nonetheless, we

agree that, because distributions can be modeled by using current spatially structured climatic predictors without necessity of direct causal linkages (4), more studies oriented at testing the robustness of correlative methods in predicting species' distributions under future climate scenarios are needed (5).

A. Townsend Peterson<sup>a,1</sup>, Narayani Barve<sup>a</sup>, Luis Mauricio Bini<sup>b</sup>, José Alexandre Diniz-Filho<sup>b</sup>, Alberto Jiménez-Valverde<sup>a</sup>, Andrés Lira-Noriega<sup>a</sup>, Jorge Lobo<sup>c</sup>, Sean Maher<sup>a</sup>, Paulo de Marco, Jr.<sup>b</sup>, Enrique Martínez-Meyer<sup>d</sup>, Yoshinori Nakazawa<sup>a</sup>, and Jorge Soberón<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Natural History Museum and Biodiversity Research Center, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045; <sup>b</sup>Laboratório de Ecologia Teórica e Síntese, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Rodovia Goiânia-Nerópolis, km 5, Campus 2, Goiânia, GO 74001-970 Brazil; <sup>c</sup>Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, c/José Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain; and <sup>d</sup>Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, Mexico City, 04510 Mexico

- Beale CM, Lennon JJ, Gimona A (2008) Opening the climate envelope reveals no macroscale associations with climate in European birds. Proc. Natl Acad Sci USA 105:14908–14912.
- Cramp S, Perrins CM, eds (1977–1994) The Birds of the Western Palearctic: Handbook of the Birds of Europe, the Middle East, and North Africa (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford).
  Colwell RK, Rahbek C, Gotelli NJ (2004) The mid-domain effect and species richness
- Colwell RK, Ranbek C, Gotelli NJ (2004) The mid-domain effect and species richness patterns: What have we learned so far? Am Nat 163:E1–E23.
- Bahn V, McGill BJ (2007) Can niche-based distribution models outperform spatial interpolation? *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 16:733–742.
- Araújo MB, Pearson RG, Thuiller W, Erhard M (2005) Validation of species-climate impact models under climate change. Global Change Biol 11:1504–1513.

Author contributions: A.T.P., N.B., L.M.B., J.A.D.-F., A.J.-V., A.L.-N., J.L., S.M., P.d.M., E.M.-M., Y.N., and J.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: town@ku.edu.