Genetic Identification of Factors That Modulate Ribosomal DNA Transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Robert D. Hontz, Rachel O. Niederer, Joseph M. Johnson and Jeffrey S. Smith¹

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Virginia Health System, School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia 22908

> Manuscript received December 30, 2008 Accepted for publication February 27, 2009

ABSTRACT

Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) is transcribed from the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) genes by RNA polymerase I (Pol I). Despite being responsible for the majority of transcription in growing cells, Pol I regulation is poorly understood compared to Pol II. To gain new insights into rDNA transcriptional regulation, we developed a genetic assay in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that detects alterations in transcription from the centromereproximal rDNA gene of the tandem array. Changes in Pol I transcription at this gene alter the expression of an adjacent, modified *URA3* reporter cassette (*mURA3*) such that reductions in Pol I transcription induce growth on synthetic media lacking uracil. Increases in Pol I transcription induce growth on media containing 5-FOA. A transposon mutagenesis screen was performed with the reporter strain to identify genes that play a role in modulating rDNA transcription. Mutations in 68 different genes were identified, several of which were already known to function in chromatin modification and the regulation of Pol II transcription. Among the other classes of genes were those encoding proteasome subunits and multiple kinases and phosphatases that function in nutrient and stress signaling pathways. Fourteen genes were previously uncharacterized and have been named as **r**egulators of **r**DNA transcription (RRT).

IBOSOME biogenesis is a complex, tightly regu-K lated process consisting of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) transcription, ribosomal RNA (rRNA) processing, ribosomal protein synthesis, and ribosome assembly. Its regulation is important for the control of cellular growth because it dictates the availability of the number of ribosomes required for efficient protein synthesis. Of particular importance is the synthesis of rRNA by RNA polymerase I (Pol I), which comprises the majority of all transcription in growing yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (WARNER 1999). Ribosomal RNA synthesis rates are very high in these cells, but as nutrients in the growth medium are depleted and cells stop growing in stationary phase, the rate of rDNA transcription is greatly diminished (Ju and WARNER 1994). One of the common hallmarks shared by rapidly proliferating cancer cells, or by hypertrophic cardiomyocytes in response to hypertension, is an increase in rDNA transcription (HANNAN and ROTHBLUM 1995; WHITE 2005). Determining how cells activate and repress rDNA transcription in response to external nutrient and stress signals is therefore imperative for understanding the mechanisms of uncon-

trolled cell growth in such diseases. Yeast is an excellent model system to address such a problem.

Yeast rDNA consists of a single tandem array on the right arm of chromosome XII containing ~150-200 head-to-tail repeats of the 9.1-kb rDNA gene. Each rDNA gene is transcribed by Pol I toward the centromereproximal end of the array to produce a large 35S rRNA precursor, which is then co- and post-transcriptionally processed into the 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNAs (for review see Nomura 2001). Although only $\sim 50\%$ of the rDNA genes are transcribed in a proliferating yeast cell (DAMMANN et al. 1993), each active gene is highly transcribed by ~50 Pol I enzymes (FRENCH et al. 2003). Upon entry into stationary phase, the reduction in rate of rDNA transcription is accompanied by a lower percentage of rDNA genes that are actively transcribed and maintained in an open, psoralen-accessible chromatin state (DAMMANN et al. 1993). The histone deacetylase Rpd3 is required for closing the rDNA genes during stationary phase, although the mechanism by which this occurs remains uncertain (SANDMEIER et al. 2002).

The rDNA in yeast can be transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) under certain conditions, although this is normally prevented by the Pol I transcription factor UAF through an unknown mechanism (Vu *et al.* 1999). Pol II-transcribed reporter genes inserted into the rDNA are silenced in a Pol I- and UAF-dependent manner (BUCK *et al.* 2002; CIOCI *et al.* 2003). This type of silencing (known as rDNA silencing) also requires the

Supporting information is available online at http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.108.100313/DC1.

¹Corresponding author: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Virginia Health System, School of Medicine, Jordan Hall, Box 800733, Charlottesville, VA 22908. E-mail: jss5y@virginia.edu

NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylase Sir2 (BRYK *et al.* 1997; FRITZE *et al.* 1997; SANDMEIER *et al.* 2002). In contrast, mutating *SIR2* has no measurable effect on Pol I transcription (SANDMEIER *et al.* 2002). The mechanism of how UAF and Pol I function in silencing Pol II transcription remains unknown, but the involvement of Sir2 implies that environmental changes that alter rRNA synthesis rates could have significant effects on the rDNA chromatin structure.

In yeast, formation of the Pol I preinitiation complex requires four transcription factors: upstream activation factor (UAF), core factor (CF), TATA-binding protein, and Rrn3 (NOMURA 2001). Rrn3 directly associates with Pol I, and the differential phosphorylation of Rrn3 regulates Pol I function (MILKEREIT and TSCHOCHNER 1998; FATH et al. 2001). Additional phosphorylation sites on Pol I subunits have been proposed to regulate Pol I function (GERBER et al. 2008). Rrn3 is equivalent to TIF-IA in mammals (BODEM et al. 2000), and CF is analogous to the mammalian SL1 complex (LALO et al. 1996). A mammalian equivalent of UAF has not been identified, but the HMG-box-containing protein, UBF, functions in the initiation of mammalian Pol I transcription and is important for determining the number of active rDNA genes (SANIJ et al. 2008), a function shared by UAF in yeast (HONTZ et al. 2008). UBF has also been implicated in Pol I elongation (STEFANOVSKY et al. 2006) and is a target of regulation by phosphorylation and acetylation mechanisms, as is SL1 (for review see Moss et al. 2007).

The complex nature by which rDNA transcription, rRNA processing, and ribosomal protein gene transcription are coordinated suggests that researchers have only begun to scratch the surface of understanding the mechanisms involved in regulating these processes. In yeast, several signaling pathways are implicated in the regulation of rDNA transcription. Mutants defective in the secretory pathway respond by repressing the transcription of both rDNA and ribosomal protein genes through a protein-kinase-C-dependent regulatory circuit (THEVELEIN and DE WINDE 1999). Ribosomal DNA and ribosomal protein gene transcription also respond to signaling by the TOR (for review see MARTIN et al. 2006 and TSANG and ZHENG 2007) and cAMP/PKA (KLEIN and STRUHL 1994; THEVELEIN and DE WINDE 1999) pathways upon changes in nutrient availability. The details of how these pathways mediate changes in rDNA transcription, especially at the chromatin level, remain largely unknown. In this study, we developed a genetic assay that detects changes in Pol I transcription and employed it in a genetic screen for mutations that either improve or weaken rDNA transcription. Of the genes identified, several have previously been implicated in the regulation of Pol II transcription via chromatin modification, while others have no prior ties to transcriptional regulation. Importantly, the data provide new insights into the cellular processes that impact on Pol I transcription.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth media: Yeast strains were derived from JB740 (Smith and Boeke 1997). YRH4 and YRH269 contain the mURA3-HIS3 reporter cassette adjacent to the centromere-proximal rDNA gene, where the NTS1 sequence was replaced with a 361-bp sequence derived from pJSS51-9 (BUCK et al. 2002). The genetic identifier for this reporter cassette is $nts1\Delta$::mURA3-HIS3, where HIS3 is used for transformant selection. Strains used in this study are listed in the supporting information, Table S1. YSB519 is similar to YRH4, except that the *mURA3-HIS3* reporter replaced the *TRP1* gene (BUCK et al. 2002). Genes were tagged with 13 copies of the myc epitope (13xMyc) in YRH269 as previously described (LONGTINE et al. 1998), using primers listed in Table S2. Spot-test growth assays were performed by growing strains as patches on YPD plates. Cells were scraped from the patches, resuspended in 1 ml of sterile H₂O, normalized to an OD_{600} of 1.0, and serially diluted fivefold in 96-well plates. Five microliter aliquots of the dilutions were then spotted onto SC, SC-ura, and SC media containing 0.1% 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOA). We use FOA to represent this compound throughout the text. Recipes for SC media are from BURKE et al. (2000). Plates were incubated for 3 days before photographs were taken using an Alpha Innotech imager system.

Transposon mutagenesis: YRH4 was transformed with Notldigested plasmid pools that contained fragments of yeast genomic DNA with random mTn3 transposon insertions (BURNS et al. 1994), as previously described (SMITH et al. 1999). Transformants were selected on SC-leu plates, grown for 3 days, and then replica plated to SC-leu, SC-leu-ura, and SC-leu+FOA (0.2%) to identify mutants with altered rDNA transcription (Figure 2A). To cut down on background, 0.2% FOA was used. Locations of the *mTn3* insertions were determined using either a plasmid rescue technique with pRS404, as previously described (SMITH et al. 1999), or inverse PCR from genomic DNA (BURKE et al. 2000). In both cases, sequencing across the transposon-yeast DNA junction was carried out with primer JS702. Sequences adjacent to the transposon were compared to the Saccharomyces Genome Database using BLAST to identify the exact nucleotide location of the insertion.

Reverse transcriptase PCR: Yeast were grown in 50-ml YPD cultures to an OD_{600} of ~1.0. Total RNA was isolated using the hot-acid phenol method (AUSUBEL *et al.* 2000). cDNA was synthesized using the Invitrogen SuperScript reverse transcriptase II kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Five micrograms of total RNA was used for each cDNA reaction using either primer 1 (JS635) or the *ACT1* primer JS84 at final concentrations of 1 μ M. The following oligonucleotides were used for PCR reactions: primer 1 (JS635), primer 2 (JS636), primer 3 (JS639), *ACT1* forward (JS83), and *ACT1* reverse (JS84). Primers for this study are provided in Table S2. The PCR conditions were as follows: 95° for hot start, 94° for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94° for 1 min, 50° (for *ACT1* cDNA) or 55° (for rRNA readthrough cDNA) for 1 min, 72° for 1 min, and 72° for 10 min. The products were run on a 1% agarose/TBE gel.

For the real-time RT–PCR assays, cDNA was produced from 5 μ g total RNA using 1 μ M primer 4 (JS766) for the rRNA product or 1 μ M JS770 for the *ACT1* product. The primer set for the rRNA readthrough product was JS765 and JS766, and the primer set for *ACT1* was JS769 and JS770. Each 20- μ l PCR reaction contained 200 nM of each primer, 10 μ l 2× SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), and 1 μ l of cDNA (from a 1:625 dilution of the cDNA reaction). The manufacturer's instructions were followed. PCR reactions utilized a four-stage profile: stage 1, 50° for 2 min; stage 2, 95° for 10 min; stage 3, 95° for 15 sec, 60° for 1 min (40×); and

stage 4 (dissociation), 95° for 15 sec, 60° for 30 sec, and 95° for 15 sec. Triplicates of each unknown cDNA were run simultaneously on a single 96-well plate, with standard deviation error bars calculated on the basis of the average of three to four independent cultures. Reactions were performed on an Applied Biosystems 7300 real-time PCR machine.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: The procedure was performed as previously described (GERRING et al. 1991). Yeast spheroplasts in low-melting-point agarose plugs were incubated in 1 ml LET solution (0.5 м EDTA, pH 8; 0.01 м Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) overnight at 37°, then equilibrated at 4° for 1 hr. The LET solution was replaced with 2 mg/ml proteinase K in NDS solution (0.5 M EDTA, pH 8; 0.01 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 0.5 g Sarkosyl) and incubated for 2 days at 50°. The proteinase K/ NDS solution was removed and replaced with 1 ml EDTA/Tris (0.05 м EDTA, pH 8; 0.01 м Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). For BamHI digests, the plugs were preequilibrated in 500 μ l 1× BamHI buffer (NEB) + 0.1 mg/ml BSA on ice for 1 hr. The buffer was replaced two times. Following the final incubation, 2 µl BamHI enzyme (NEB; 20,000 units/ml) was added and incubated on ice for 2 hr and then shifted to 37° overnight. Plugs were placed into the wells of a 1% agarose/ $0.5 \times$ TBE gel and run for 68 hr on a Bio-Rad CHEF Mapper electrophoresis system. The running conditions were as follows: 120° angle; linear ramp (default); 3.0 V/cm; initial switch time, 300 sec; final switch time, 900 sec with 0.5 TBE× circulated at 14° as the running buffer. Following Southern blotting, the rDNA array released by BamHI was detected by hybridization with a ³²Plabeled probe specific for the transcribed 35S region, followed by autoradiography.

Western blotting: Log-phase yeast cultures (5 ml) were pelleted and then extracted in 0.5 ml 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) by vortexing with glass beads as previously described (TANNY et al. 1999). TCA precipitates were resuspended in 200 µl sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.1% bromophenol blue), 10 μ l β -mercaptoethanol, and 50 µl 2M Tris base. Ten-microliter aliquots of the samples were separated on 10% SDS polyacrylamide gels and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore). The membrane was blocked with 5% milk in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 and then probed with a 1:5000 dilution of anti-myc monoclonal antibody 9E10 (Millipore) or with a 1:5000 dilution of antitubulin monoclonal antibody (B-5-1-2, Sigma) in 25 ml PBS/0.1% Tween for 1 hr and then with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence using ECL (GE Healthcare).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays: The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) procedure was performed as previously described (DASGUPTA et al. 2005). YPD cultures (100 ml) were grown into log phase and crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 6 min at 30°. The washed cell pellets were resuspended in 0.6 ml FA-lysis 140 buffer + protease inhibitors [(50 mм HEPES, 140 mм NaCl, 1.0% Triton X-100, 1.0 mм EDTA, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), 25 ml $100\times$ protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 24 µl 100 mM benzamidine, 6 µl fresh 500 mM PMSF] and disrupted using a mini-bead beater 8 (Biospec Products) at 4°. Cell extracts were sonicated with eight 10-sec pulses (30% output, 90% duty cycle) on ice, then centrifuged at 4°, and the supernatants were transferred to a new microfuge tube. For immunoprecipitations, 1.5 mg of protein for each extract was incubated in a 0.6-ml microfuge tube with FA-lysis 140 solution in a total volume of 0.4 ml. Prior to antibody addition, an RNase A treatment was performed as previously described (JONES et al. 2007). A 1:50 dilution of anti-myc antibody (8 µl) (Millipore; clone 9E10) was added and rotated overnight at 4°. One-tenth of the chromatin extract volume used for the immunoprecipitation (IP) was

used as the input control. The tubes were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 2 min at 4°, and the supernatant was added to a new 0.6-ml microfuge tube containing 60 µl protein A sepharose beads (50% slurry in FA lysis 140 buffer) and rotated at 4° for 4 hr. Beads were spun at 5000 rpm for 30 sec at room temperature and then washed 4 times with 0.5 ml FA-lysis 140 buffer, 4 times with 0.5 ml FA lysis 500 buffer (50 тим HEPES, 500 mм NaCl, 1.0% Triton X-100, 1 mм EDTA, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), and 4 times with 0.5 ml LiCl detergent wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1.0 mm EDTA). DNA and protein was washed 2 times with 75 μ l (5 \times TE + 1% SDS) and incubated 15 min at 65°. The 150 µl total volume was incubated overnight at 65° to reverse crosslinks. DNA was purified using an Invitrogen PCR purification kit. ChIP DNA was analyzed by real-time PCR. Input DNA was diluted 1:125, and the \pm antibody samples were diluted 1:5. Each sample was run in triplicate per plate, with n = 3-4. The fivefold standard curve for each sample used YRH269 input DNA, starting with a 1:25 primary dilution. Values are reported as the ratio of IP sample to the input DNA. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Student's t-test.

RESULTS

A reporter gene assay that is sensitive to changes in RNA polymerase I transcription: Transcription of yeast rDNA genes terminates within the nontranscribed spacer 1 (NTS1) region at the Reb1-binding site. The 10% of transcription that leaks through this primary terminator is halted by a secondary "fail-safe" termination sequence located downstream of the Reb1 site, also within NTS1 (REEDER et al. 1999). At the centromereproximal rDNA repeat, the termination sequences in NTS1 prevent Pol I from transcribing into the unique chromosome XII sequence flanking the tandem array (Figure 1A, top). The introduction of a modified URA3 reporter gene driven by a TRP1 promoter (mURA3) into the flanking unique sequence resulted in SIR2-dependent silencing of the reporter (BUCK et al. 2002). Repression of the *mURA3* reporter gene was retained when the NTS1 sequence was deleted (Figure 1A, bottom), but the repression was no longer dependent on SIR2 (BUCK et al. 2002). We hypothesized that deleting the Pol I termination sequences in NTS1 allowed Pol I transcription to proceed into the adjacent *mURA3* promoter, thus interfering with its expression.

To demonstrate that Pol I was transcribing into the adjacent *mURA3* reporter gene when NTS1 was deleted, we implemented RT–PCR to detect primary rRNA transcripts from the centromere-proximal rDNA gene that do not properly terminate (Figure 1A, bottom). Total RNA was isolated from a reporter strain that lacks the NTS1 sequence (YRH4; Δ) and a related strain (YSB425; +) that has an intact NTS1 sequence. An antisense *mURA3* promoter primer was used to generate cDNA (Figure 1A, primer 1). When the cDNA was PCR amplified using primer 1 and a 35S-specific return primer (primer 3), a product of the expected 886-bp size was observed only when NTS1 was deleted (Figure



FIGURE 1.—Pol I readthrough transcription inhibits mURA3 expression. (A) Schematics of the centromere-proximal rDNA repeat when the NTS1 sequence is present (YSB425, +) or replaced with a stuffer fragment (YRH4, Δ). Primer 1 was used for cDNA synthesis. PCR products obtained with primer pairs 1-3 and 2-3 are indicated. (B) Ethidiumbromide-stained agarose gel showing RT-PCR products obtained from YSB425 (+) and YRH4 (Δ). The size markers (M) are *Hae*III-digested ØX174 DNA (New England Biolabs). ACT1 primers were JS83 and JS84. Without reverse transcription, products were not observed for ACT1 or the rDNA (no RT). (C) The $nts1\Delta$:: mURA3-HIS3 reporter in YRH4 is insensitive to increased SIR2 dosage. YSB425 (NTS1), YRH4 (nts1 Δ), and YSB519 (*TRP1*) were transformed with pRS425 (empty vector) or pSB766 (2µ SIR2 plasmid). Fivefold serial dilutions were spotted onto SC, SC-ura, and SC containing 0.1% 5-FOA (SC+FOA). SC plates were incubated for 2 days, while SC-ura and SC+FOA plates were incubated for 3 days. (D) Pol I transcription is required for mURA3 repression.

1B). Similarly, a 556-bp fragment was observed in the nts1 Δ strain when the cDNA was PCR amplified with primer 3 and a nested primer (primer 2) specific for the stuffer fragment that replaced NTS1 (Figure 1B). Equal amounts of RNA were loaded into the cDNA reactions as measured by RT–PCR for the *ACT1* transcript, and no product was observed when the RNA was not reverse transcribed. Pol I is therefore able to read into the *mURA3* reporter when the terminators are removed,

leading to poor expression of *mURA3*. This result suggested that the expression level of *mURA3* in the nts1 Δ reporter strain (YRH4) could potentially be used as a surrogate marker for the level of Pol I transcription.

To confirm that the expression of *mURA3* in the nts1 Δ reporter strain was unaffected by Sir2p-dependent silencing, we overexpressed SIR2 to test whether mURA3 repression was improved (Figure 1C). With typical rDNA silencing, repression of the *mURA3* reporter is stronger when SIR2 is overexpressed, leading to even less growth on SC-ura plates (SMITH et al. 1998). While repression of *mURA3* in the *nts1* Δ ::*mURA3* reporter strain (YRH4) causes poor growth on SC-ura media, it is not strong enough to trigger robust growth on SC medium containing 5-FOA (Figure 1C), which is toxic to cells sufficiently expressing URA3 (BOEKE et al. 1984). Improved *mURA3* repression would be expected to weaken growth on SC-ura and improve growth on FOA. As predicted, transformation of the NTS1 strain with a high-copy SIR2 plasmid induced stronger silencing of *mURA3*, as indicated by a lack of growth on SC–ura and by stronger growth on SC+FOA when compared to the empty vector control (Figure 1C). However, the SIR2 plasmid had no effect when NTS1 was deleted or when mURA3 was positioned outside the rDNA at the nonsilenced TRP1 locus (Figure 1C). Promoter interference of *mURA3* by Pol I readthrough transcription is therefore unrelated to SIR2-mediated silencing.

To show that *mURA3* repression required Pol I readthrough interference, we deleted the *RPA135* gene, which encodes the second-largest subunit of Pol I. Viability of the *rpa135* Δ mutant was maintained on galactose-containing SC media by the presence of a *TRP1* plasmid (pNOY199) that expressed the 35S rRNA from a galactose-inducible promoter (Vu *et al.* 1999). As shown in Figure 1D, repression of *mURA3* was eliminated in the *rpa135* Δ mutant. Together, these results in Figure 1 demonstrate that the *nts1* Δ ::*mURA3-HIS3* reporter strain (YRH4) acts as an indicator of active Pol I transcription from the centromere-proximal rDNA repeat.

A genetic screen for modulators of Pol I transcription: Because deleting RPA135 eliminated mURA3 repression in the *nts1* Δ strain, we hypothesized that smaller effects on Pol I transcription that do not cause lethality would also be detectable. For example, mutations in genes that promote Pol I transcription would cause less readthrough interference of *mURA3* and an improved Ura⁺ phenotype, whereas mutations in Pol I repressors would cause more transcriptional readthrough and improved growth on FOA. On the basis of these predictions we carried out a screen in which YRH4 (the *nts1* Δ :: *mURA3*-HIS3 reporter strain) was mutagenized by transformation with a library of yeast genomic DNA fragments that harbored random artificial transposon insertions (BURNS et al. 1994; SMITH et al. 1999) (Figure 2A). Insertion mutations were selected by growth on SC

Genetic Screen Identifies Yeast Pol I Modulators



FIGURE 2.-Experimental design for the transposon mutagenesis screen. (A) A schematic of the transposon mutagenesis and screening procedure. Yeast genomic DNA fragments containing random mTn3 transposon insertions were transformed into YRH4, and transformants were selected on SC-leu plates. Colonies were then replica plated to SC-leu-ura and SC-leu+0.2% FOA plates to identify relevant mutants. (B) Numbers of mutant candidates remaining after multiple steps of screening. Step 1: initial screening of transformants. Step 2: first single-colony isolation and replica plating. Step 3: second single-colony isolation and replica plating. Step 4: backcross test. (C) Examples of phenotype strength from Ura+ mutants isolated from the screen

after 1 day (+++), 2 days (++), or 3 days (+) incubation after replica plating. The mutants shown are *uaf30-Tn* (PS1-174; +++), *rrn5-Tn* (PS1-212; ++), and *nts1-Tn* (PS1-170; +). (D) Examples of FOA^R mutants isolated after day 1, 2, or 3 of the screen. The mutants shown are *rpn8-Tn* (PS1-7; +++), *bre1-Tn* (PS1-26; ++), and *ira2-Tn* (PS1-117; +).

media lacking leucine (SC-leu). We obtained ~93,000 Leu⁺ colonies that were replica plated to SC-leu-ura and SC-leu+0.2% FOA plates (Figure 2A). Initially, 90 Ura⁺ and 228 FOA^R colonies were chosen for analysis (Figure 2B, step 1). Following two successive rounds of restreaking for single colonies and replica plating to verify the phenotypes, 69 Ura⁺ and 144 FOA^R candidates remained (Figure 2B, steps 2 and 3). The phenotypic strength for each mutant was classified on the basis of the number of days following replica plating that they were identified: day 1 (+++), day 2 (++), and day 3 (+). Examples for the Ura⁺ mutants are shown in Figure 2C and the FOA^R mutants in Figure 2D. As expected, increased growth on SC-ura correlated with decreased growth on FOA, and vice versa.

From 213 candidates, we were able to pinpoint the site of transposon insertion for 181 (85.0%). The transposon insertions in several mutants occurred at loci such as Ty elements or the rDNA locus that were not expected to cause a phenotype, suggesting that some mutants had secondary mutations or genomic rearrangements unrelated to the transposon insertion. To determine if the *mURA3* growth phenotype was linked to the transposon insertion, we crossed each $MAT\alpha$ haploid mutant to a MATa strain that did not contain the mURA3 reporter (JS579). Through tetrad dissection of the resulting diploids and replica plating of the spores, we were able to reduce the final number of sequenced candidates with phenotype-transposon (LEU2) linkage down to 38 Ura^+ (16 unique) and 89 FOA^R (52 unique) (Figure 2B, step 4). The unique genes are listed in Table 1 (Ura⁺) and in Table 2 (FOA^R). All uncharacterized ORFs were renamed regulators of rDNA transcription (RRT). An

expanded list describing the transposon insertion site for each mutant and whether the mutation is recessive or dominant is shown in Table S3. Not surprisingly, some of the FOA^R mutants contained a transposon insertion within a gene involved in uracil biosynthesis and metabolism, and these likely affect growth on FOA independently of any changes in Pol I transcription. The effects of the mutations on *mURA3* expression were predicted to rely on the NTS1 sequence being absent, thus allowing Pol I readthrough. We therefore picked 10 Ura⁺ and 10 FOA^R mutants and crossed them to a reporter strain that retained the NTS1 sequence, followed by tetrad dissection and retesting. As shown in Table S4, the presence of NTS1 usually prevented any effect of the mutations on the *mURA3* expression phenotype when compared to the nts1 Δ counterparts. Sometimes there were even opposite effects.

Mutants isolated from the genetic screen alter transcription of the centromere-proximal rDNA gene: Two Ura⁺ mutants had transposon insertions in UAF30 and RRN5, both of which encode subunits of the Pol I transcription factor complex UAF (KEYS et al. 1996; SIDDIQI et al. 2001). Isolating these genes suggested that many of the Ura⁺ mutants would have Pol I transcription defects. Because severe Pol I defects could cause general slow growth or lethal phenotypes, the changes in rDNA expression were predicted to be mild. We utilized a quantitative real-time RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) assay to measure changes in rRNA production from the centromere-proximal rDNA gene. A cDNA product was synthesized from total RNA using primer 4 (JS765), which is specific for the "stuffer" fragment that replaced NTS1. PCR was then performed on the cDNA using

· .	ГАВ	LE	L	

Genes from Ura⁺ screen

Gene	ORF	Function			
Pol I transcription					
RRN5	YLR141W	ÛAF subunit			
UAF30	YOR295W	UAF subunit			
	Prot	teasome			
RPN7	YPR108W	Regulatory subunit			
	Misce	ellaneous			
ATG2	YNL242W	Autophagy			
BNI4	YNL233W	Targeting subunit for Glc7 phosphatase			
GAP1	YKR039W	Amino acid permease			
HMS1	YOR032C	myc family txn factor			
MNN1	YER001W	Mannosyltransferase			
MSS4	YDR208W	Phosphatidylinositol kinase			
SEY1	YOR165W	Vesicular trafficking			
	Un	known			
RRT11	YBR147W	Uncharacterized			
RRT12	YCR045C	Uncharacterized			
RRT13	YER066W	Uncharacterized			
RRT14	YIL127C	Uncharacterized, nucleolar			
RRT15	YLR162W-A	Uncharacterized			
RRT16	YNL105W	Dubious ORF, overlaps with <i>INP52</i>			
	Inte	ergenic			
VTS1/PDE2	YOR359W/YOR3	360C			

primers 4 (JS765) and 5 (JS766), which amplify a segment of the stuffer transcribed by Pol I (Figure 3A). This rRNA product was then compared to an ACT1 mRNA loading control to indicate the relative changes in rRNA. As expected, the rRNA signal was greatly reduced in mutants or growth conditions that were known to reduce Pol I transcription, including the $rpa135\Delta$ mutant (Figure 3B), a wild-type strain grown to stationary phase (Figure 3C), and a wild-type strain treated with rapamycin (Figure 3D). We next tested the effects of various mutants from the screen. As shown in Figure 3E, each Ura⁺ mutant that we initially tested, including the $top 1\Delta$ positive control, showed a reduction in rRNA compared to the wild-type strain (YRH4), indicating that the Ura⁺ phenotype is a good indicator of decreased rRNA transcription from the centromereproximal repeat. Among these genes was HMS1, which encodes a helix-loop-helix protein with similarity to Myc-family transcription factors that has previously been implicated in regulation of pseudohyphal filamentous growth (LORENZ and HEITMAN 1998). Hms1 also physically interacts with Cdc14 (Ho et al. 2002), a phosphatase that is a subunit of the nucleolar RENT complex (SHOU et al. 1999). Moreover, deletion of HMS1 is synthetically lethal with deletion of topoisomerase I (TOP1) (TONG et al. 2004), which functions in Pol I transcriptional elongation (SCHULTZ et al. 1992; HONTZ et al. 2008).

We next tested the effects of several FOA^R mutants using the qRT-PCR assay. These mutants were predicted to have increased levels of rDNA transcription at the left repeat. As shown in Figure 3F, the hos2-Tn, gcn5-Tn, and bre1-Tn mutants each resulted in a modest increase in rRNA signal compared to wild type. Several FOA^R mutants affected subunits of the large RPD3 histone deacetylase complex Rpd3L (Table 1). Similarly, deleting RPD3 from YRH4 caused a modest increase in rRNA product. One of the exceptions that did not cause an increase in rRNA signal in the qRT-PCR assay was ira1-Tn. Both IRA1 and IRA2, which were isolated from the screen multiple times, are required for proper entry into stationary phase (Russell et al. 1993). Since the qRT-PCR assays were performed with cells growing in YPD media where rDNA transcription is active, it was possible that the *ira1-Tn* mutant and perhaps other FOA^R mutants would have greater effects in the qRT-PCR assay in stationary phase where the rDNA is repressed. We retested several mutants from Figure 3F after growth for 24 hr into late diauxic shift/early stationary phase. The iral-Tn mutant now showed higher rRNA signal than wild type, and the effects with $rpd3\Delta$ and hos2-Tn mutants were more severe than in log phase (compare Figure 3G with Figure 3F). The FOA^R phenotype with the $nts1\Delta$::mURA3-HIS3 reporter is, therefore, an effective indicator of increases in rDNA synthesis from the centromere-proximal rDNA repeat.

Altering rDNA transcription by changing the tandem array size: Prior to the backcrossing that eliminated a large number of the mutant candidates, three of the FOA^R class had a transposon insertion in ZIP2, RED1, or MER1, genes that specifically function in the formation of the meiotic synaptonemal complex. Each of these mutants produced large increases in centromere-proximal rDNA gene expression in the qRT–PCR assay (Figure 4A). However, the size of their rDNA tandem array was clearly reduced compared to wild type, which had an array size estimated as ~ 175 copies (Figure 4B). The reduced rDNA copy number in these mutants could explain the increase in expression of the centromereproximal rDNA repeat, because a previous study showed that rDNA genes in a 42-copy strain were more highly transcribed than rDNA genes in a 143-copy strain due to compensation (FRENCH et al. 2003). To test if that was the case here, we deleted ZIP2, RED1, or MER1 from YRH4 and retested their array size (Figure 4B) and the effects on FOA^{R} growth (Figure 4C). The deletion mutants no longer had short arrays and their FOA and SC-ura phenotypes were the same as wild type, indicating that the effects on rDNA expression were due to the change in array size. As expected, the FOA^R phenotype also did not cosegregate with the transposon insertions after tetrad dissections (data not shown), suggesting that the mutants that passed the backcross test (Table 2) are unlikely to have a significant change in array size.

TABLE 2

Genes from FOA^R screen

Gene	ORF	Function
	Chromatin-relat	ed
ADA1	VPI 254W	SAGA subunit
4D42	VDR448W	SAGA subunit
CCN5	VCP252W	SAGA subunit
SCIV	IGR2J2W	SAGA subunit
SP120	YOL148G	SAGA subunit
PHO23	YNL09/C	RPD3 subunit
RXT3	YDL076C	RPD3 subunit
SAP30	YMR263W	RPD3 subunit
SIN3	YOL004W	RPD3 subunit
UME1	YPL139C	RPD3 subunit
BRE1	YDL074C	H2B ubiquitin ligase
HOS2	YGL194C	Histone deacetylase
SIR1	YKR101W	HM silencing factor
DPB11	YJL090C	DNA Pol ɛ subunit
	Proteasome	
ECM29	YHL030W	Bridging subunit
PRE9	YGR135W	20S subunit
RPN8	VOR261C	Regulatory subunit
10 100	10/12010	Regulatory subunit
CTTC /	Miscellaneous	
CHS6	YJL099W	Exomer subunit
CIN1	YOR349W	Tubulin folding factor D
IMP2'	YIL154C	Transcription factor
MLC2	YPR188C	Myosin regulatory
MDI	VEDACTIN	light chain
MLPI	YKR095W	Myosin-like protein
PAU7	YAR020C	family
NTE1	YML059C	Serine esterase
REV3	YPL167C	DNA polymerase ζ
SBA1	YKL117W	Hsp90-binding
		chaperone
	Intragenic	
BMH2/TVP15	YDR099W/YDR100W	
MRP13/RPL	YGR084C/YGR085C	
11B		
	Signaling	
CMP2	YML057W	Calcineurin A
		isoform
GPB1	YOR371C	cAMP/PKA signaling
GPG1	YGL 121C	cAMP/PKA signaling
INM1	VHR046C	Inositol
11 11 11 1	11110400	monophosphatase
IRA1	VBR140C	RAS/cAMP signaling
IDA 2	VOL081W	DAS/cAMP signaling
INAZ DDU00	VDL 199C	DD9A cotolutio suburit
	IDL100C	PP2A catalytic subunit
PRRI	YKLII6C	ser/thr protein
DOMO		kinase
PSK2	YOL045W	PAS domain, ser/thr
		kinase
PXL1	YKR090W	Cdc42 and Rho1
		signaling
SAC7	YDR389W	GAP for Rho1
		signaling
YPK1	YKL126W	ser/thr kinase,
		Sphingolipids
		1 0 1

(continued)

TABLE 2	2
---------	---

(Continued)

Gene	ORF	Function
	Metabol	ism
AAP1'	YHR047C	Arg/Ala
		aminopeptidase
AGC1	YPR021C	Nitrogen metabolism
GPM3	YOL056W	Phosphoglycerate
		mutase
GUT2	YIL155C	Glycerol utilization
PIG2	YIL045W	Glycogen synthesis
	Unknov	wn
RRT1	YBL048W	Dubious ORF/next to MOH1
RRT2	YBR246W	Two-hybrid with Rrt4
RRT3	YDR020C	Recently named DAS2
RRT4	YDR520C	Two-hybrid with Rrt2
RRT5	YFR032C	Uncharacterized
RRT6	YGL146C	Uncharacterized
RRT7	YLL030C	Dubious ORF/next to GPI13
RRT8	YOL048C	Uncharacterized
	Pyrimidine s	vnthesis
FUR4	YBR021W	Uracil permease
URA5	YML106W	De novo pyrimidine
		synthesis
URA6	YKL024C	<i>De novo</i> pyrimidine synthesis

Multiple chromatin-modifying factors function in Pol I transcriptional regulation: A large number of FOA^R candidate genes were previously characterized as chromatin related and/or associated with Pol II transcription. These include Bre1, the E3 ubiquitin ligase for histone H2B (HWANG et al. 2003; WOOD et al. 2003); Hos2, an H3/H4 histone deacetylase that is part of the SET3 complex (PIJNAPPEL et al. 2001; WANG et al. 2002); and several subunits each from the SAGA/SLK/ADA histone acetyltransferase complexes and the Rpd3L/ RpdS histone deacetylase complexes. Each of these has been previously linked to Pol II transcriptional elongation. We therefore deleted other genes with connections to these factors in elongation, including RAD6, the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme for H2B; SET1, the H3-K4 methyltransferase; SET2, the H3-K36 methyltransferase; and PAF1, which is a subunit of the conserved PAF complex that functions in Pol II elongation (SQUAZZO et al. 2002; KROGAN et al. 2003; CHU et al. 2007). As shown in Figure 5A, the $rad6\Delta$ mutant had an FOA^{R} phenotype similar to the *bre1-Tn* mutant, as one might have expected. Deletion of SET1 had no effect (Figure 5A), which was interesting because H3-K4 methylation was previously shown to function in rDNA silencing of Pol II-transcribed reporter genes (BRIGGS et al. 2001). In contrast, deletion of SET2 did cause an increase in growth on FOA (Figure 5A) and caused a



FIGURE 3.-qRT-PCR assay for measuring changes in Pol I transcription from the centromere-proximal rDNA gene. (A) Schematic of the mURA3 reporter gene showing two PCR primers (4 and 5) used to specifically detect transcription of the nts1 Δ stuffer fragment sequence. (B) Deletion of RPA135 causes a decrease in Pol I transcription. The RPA135 strain was YRH92 and the $rpa135\Delta$ strain was YRH95. (C) qRT-PCR assay of YRH4 grown into log phase or early stationary phase (stat). (D) YRH4 was treated with 0.2 mg/ml rapamycin during log-phase growth and analyzed by qRT-PCR at 20-min intervals. (E) Examples of four Ura+ mutants from the screen: uaf30-Tn (PS1-174), rpn7-Tn (PS1-152), atg2-Tn (PS1-184), and hms1-Tn (PS1-186). A deletion mutant for TOP1 was used as a positive control for reduction in Pol I transcription. (F) Examples of four FOA^R mutants from the screen: *ira1-Tn* (PS1-56), hos2-Tn (PS1-120), gcn5-Tn (PS1-72), and bre1-Tn (PS1-26). A deletion mutant for RPD3 (YRH5) was also tested. (G) Examples of five mutants tested by qRT-PCR in stationary phase (4 days at 30°). In A-G, the ratio of rRNA qRT-PCR product to ACT1 RNA qRT-PCR product was calculated and normalized to 1.0 for wild type at time 0. All experiments were performed in triplicate with standard deviation error bars. A single asterisk indicates a *P*-value of < 0.05, and a double asterisk indicates P < 0.1.

higher rRNA signal in the qRT–PCR assay (Figure 5C). Deleting *PAF1* resulted in strong growth on FOA (Figure 5A) and in a 2.5-fold increase in the rRNA qRT–PCR assay (Figure 5C). Because loss of *PAF1* causes both H2B ubiquitination and H3-K36 methylation defects (Wood *et al.* 2003; CHU *et al.* 2007), we tested a *set2* Δ *bre1-Tn* double mutant and found similar results to those of the *paf1* Δ mutant by spot growth assay and qRT–PCR (Figure 5, A and C), consistent with the *paf1* Δ mutation causing a defect in both pathways.

Genetic dissection of histone deacetylase complexes that function in Pol I regulation: Each of the Rpd3associated genes isolated (*SIN3*, *PHO23*, *SAP30*, *RXT3*, and *UME1*) encode subunits of the Rpd3L complex, which represses Pol II transcription at target genes by locally deacetylating histones at the promoter (KADOSH and STRUHL 1997). The Rpd3S (small) complex is targeted to the transcribed regions of genes by interactions with histone H3 methylated at K36, a modification catalyzed by Set2 (CARROZZA *et al.* 2005; KEOGH *et al.* 2005). Rpd3S shares some core subunits with the Rpd3L complex such as Sin3 and Ume1, but also contains the unique subunits Eaf3 and Rco1 (CARROZZA *et al.* 2005). To test whether the Rpd3S complex functioned in Pol I transcription repression, we deleted *RCO1*. As shown in Figure 5B, the *rco1* Δ mutant had a moderate FOA^R phenotype that was not as strong as the Rpd3L-specific mutant, *pho23-Tn*. Neither reduced growth on SC–ura as well as the *rpd3* Δ mutant, suggesting that both complexes contribute to Pol I regulation, with most of the activity coming from Rpd3L. The Ume6 subunit of Rpd3L specifically targets deacetylation to certain meiosis-related genes (KADOSH and STRUHL 1997). This mutant did not induce FOA resistance (Figure 5B), indicating that the repressive effects are independent of Ume6-regulated genes.

Other histone deacetylases were tested to see if there were general deacetylase effects on rDNA transcription. While the *hos2-Tn* mutant produced a strong phenotype, deleting genes for other related deacetylases, *HOS1* or *HOS3*, had little effect (Figure 5B), despite their known roles in deacetylating histones in the rDNA locus (ROBYR *et al.* 2002). This result suggested that the Hos1- and Hos3-mediated histone deacetylation likely



FIGURE 4.—Some primary mutants from the genetic screen affect Pol I transcription levels by changing the rDNA tandem array size. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of transposon mutants of ŽIP2 (zip2-Tn; PS1-13), MER1 (mer1-Tn; PS1-14), and RED1 (red1-Tn; PS1-115). Values were calculated relative to wild type (YRH4) normalized to 1 (n = 3). (B) Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis on transposon mutants and direct knockouts of ZIP2 (YRH244), MER1 (YRH253), and RED1 (YRH254). Controls for rDNA array size are wild type (YRH4), a 42-copy strain (NOY886), and a 143-copy strain (NOY1051). (C) The same strains from B were tested for effects on the *mURA3* reporter using the spot test assay. SC plates were grown for 2 days, and SC-ura and SC+FOA plates for 3 days. P-values for changes were all < 0.01.

has another function. To confirm that the chromatinrelated mutants do not affect rDNA expression by changing the array size, we measured the rDNA in the $rpd3\Delta$, hos2-Tn, and bre1-Tn mutants by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and found no difference from the wildtype YRH4 strain (Figure 5D). This is consistent with mutants having altered array sizes being excluded by the backcrossing procedure. It is not clear why the rDNA became degraded in the hos2-Tn mutant. Additional genes deleted from the YRH4 reporter strain during the course of this study, along with their mURA3 growth phenotypes, are listed in Table S5. Among these mutants was *rsc1* Δ , which produced an FOA^R phenotype but also affected *mURA3* expression when located at the non-rDNA location TRP1 (Figure S1). Therefore, RSC1 directly affects expression of the Pol II-driven reporter gene. Importantly, $rpd3\Delta$, $hos2\Delta$, and $set2\Delta$ mutations had no effect on Ura^+ growth when *mURA3* was positioned at the TRP1 locus (Figure S1), which is consistent with their effects on this reporter being specific to changes in Pol I transcription.

Chromatin-related factors isolated from the screen physically associate with the rDNA: Changes in rDNA transcription could occur through direct or indirect mechanisms, so it became important to ask whether several of our candidate proteins physically associated with the rDNA locus. Rpd3, Hos2, Ada2, Sap30, Rad6, Paf1, and Chd1 were C-terminally tagged with 13 copies of the Myc epitope at the endogenous chromosomal locus. Each tagged protein was expressed at the predicted size in a Western blot (Figure 6A) and had growth properties similar to the untagged parental strain (YRH269; genotypically identical to YRH4) (Figure 6B). Ouantitative ChIP assays were then performed to determine if the tagged proteins associated with four different locations on the rDNA genes (Figure 6C). To increase the chances of detecting a signal at the rDNA locus, we treated each crosslinked extract with RNaseA prior to immunoprecipitation to reduce the massive amount of rRNA associated with the active genes. This technique was previously used to demonstrate that Chd1 is enriched on the transcribed regions of the



FIGURE 5.-Effects of several chromatinrelated genes on transcription of the centromere-proximal rDNA gene. (A) Reporter gene assay with wild type (YRH4), bre1-Tn (PS1-26), $rad\dot{6}\Delta$ (YRH698), set 1 Δ (YRH132), set 2 Δ (YRH134), $paf1\Delta$ (YRH164), and a set2 Δ bre1-Tn double mutant (YRH265). (B) Reporter gene assay with wild type (YRH4), $rpd3\Delta$ (YRH5), pho23-Tn (PS1-76), $ume6\Delta$ (YRH51), $rco1\Delta$ (YRH152), hos2-Tn (PS1-120), hos1 Δ (YRH142), and hos3 Δ (YRH143). (C) qRT-PCR results of several candidates highlighted in A. Wild type was normalized to 1.0. (D) Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis of the rDNA array size in wild type (YRH4), 42-copy rDNA control (NOY886), 143-copy rDNA control (NOY1051), rpd3A (YRH5), hos2-Tn (PS1-120), and bre1-Tn (PS1-26) strains. An asterisk indicates a P-value > 0.05.

rDNA genes (JONES et al. 2007). On the basis of this previous study, we used the Myc-tagged Chd1 as a positive control for rDNA association (Figure 6C). CHD1 mutations cause an FOA^R phenotype in YRH4. All of the tagged proteins were detected on the rDNA to varying degrees, each with a signal greater than that for the untagged negative control. While some of the increases for the transcribed region and NTS1 were not significant (P > 0.05), all of the promoter interactions at NTS2 were significant (Figure 6C, primer pair 1). All rDNA interactions were significant for Chd1 and Paf1. These results raise the possibility that some factors isolated from the screen, and not previously linked to chromatin, may also directly associate with the rDNA to modulate its transcription. This will be a focus of future studies.

DISCUSSION

Possible mechanisms for mutants to affect Pol I transcription: The genetic screen performed in this study takes advantage of an inhibitory effect of Pol I when it transcribes into an adjacent Pol II-transcribed reporter gene (mURA3). While we have yet to decipher the exact mechanism of this repression, it is likely that the large number of engaged Pol I molecules can displace Pol II-specific transcription factors. This is

similar to the phenomenon of promoter interference (occlusion) that occurs for Pol II-transcribed genes when termination of the upstream gene is defective (EGGERMONT and PROUDFOOT 1993). It is clear that an increase or decrease in rDNA transcription from the centromere-proximal repeat affects *mURA3* expression, as shown, respectively, by strains with a small array size (Figure 4) or with an rpa135 deletion (Figure 1). In theory, the transcription rate of this single repeat could be affected by changes in Pol I initiation or elongation, the rDNA array size, or the percentage of active genes, as we have recently shown for *uaf30* mutants (HONTZ *et al.* 2008). It is also possible that the mutations affect Pol I termination, because there is evidence that some rRNA transcripts manage to terminate without NTS1, as exhibited by a reduction in RT-PCR product when the cDNA is primed from the *mURA3* promoter instead of the stuffer fragment (Figure 1B). If some termination does take place in the nts1 Δ reporter strain, then some of the effects caused by the chromatin-related mutants could be through an effect on termination. For example, Chd1 has been implicated in Pol I termination via its chromatin-remodeling activity (JONES et al. 2007). Another possibility is that due to the coordination between rRNA processing and transcription, a defect in processing could in turn affect transcription. In any of these scenarios, the screen is still able to identify the



FIGURE 6.—Chromatin immunoprecipitations by real-time PCR reveal that several chromatin-related candidate proteins associate with the rDNA. (A) Western blot of steady-state protein levels for each 13xMYC epitope-tagged protein. Tubulin is the loading control. The strains used were as follows: untagged/wild type (YRH269), Rpd3p-MYC (YRH902), Hos2p-MYC (YRH901), Ada2p-MYC (YRH912), Sap30p-MYC (YRH915), Rad6p-MYC (YRH905), Paf1p-MYC (YRH914), and Chd1p-MYC (YRH911). (B) The Myctagged proteins do not alter expression of the *nts1*Δ::*mURA3-HIS3* reporter gene using the spot test assay. (C) Real-time PCR assay showing varying levels of rDNA association for each of the tagged proteins. (Top) Locations of the primer pairs are indicated as 1–4. The arrow represents the direction of Pol I transcription. The ratio of the PCR signal from the immunoprecipitated samples (IP) compared to the input chromatin sample (input) are graphed and compared to the untagged control strain. Ratios with a

P-value > 0.05 are indicated with an asterisk.

mutant as being involved in rRNA synthesis at some level. We did not expect to identify many known positive regulators of Pol I transcription since they are typically essential, although, interestingly, the only nonessential transcription factor (Uaf30) and a viable mutant allele of an essential factor (Rrn5) from the UAF complex were found. Recovering such large numbers of mutants suggests that Pol I transcription is a finely tuned process.

The relationship between Pol II elongation and Pol I transcriptional repression: The chromatin-related genes that we isolated as FOA^R mutants were previously linked physically or genetically to the Pol II elongation process. For example, SAGA-dependent histone acetylation in the transcribed regions of genes helps to promote elongation by evicting nucleosomes (GOVIND *et al.* 2007). SAGA also interacts with the Pol II elongation factor TFIIS (WERY *et al.* 2004). Pafl has recently been reported to destabilize nucleosomes (MARTON and DESIDERIO 2008), suggesting that Pafl-mediated histone modifications may also be involved in this process. When nucleosomes are reassembled behind the transcribing RNA Pol II, they are methylated on H3-K36 by Set2 (WORKMAN 2006). This mark provides a binding site for the Rpd3S complex via the combined affinity of the Eaf3 chromodomain and Rco1 PHD motif (LI et al. 2007). Rpd3S-mediated histone deacetylation resets the chromatin to a repressive state that prevents spurious transcription from within the open reading frame (CARROZZA et al. 2005), a process that also involves Paf1 (CHU et al. 2007). However, Set2 has not been reported to interact with Pol I or with the rDNA, and H3-K36 methylation does not appear to occur in the rDNA (XIAO et al. 2003), suggesting that the effects of Set2 on Pol I transcription may be indirect. SET2 deletion could alter the expression of the Pol IItranscribed ribosomal protein genes, which would then affect the coordination between ribosomal protein gene expression and Pol I transcription/rRNA processing. Another possibility is that chromatin-level defects in Pol I

transcription could result in an increase in the number of open rDNA repeats, such as occurs with mutations in Rpd3 (SANDMEIER *et al.* 2002), resulting in an FOA^R phenotype as shown here.

Paf1 association with the rDNA was relatively strong in our ChIP assay (Figure 6), and it had a large effect in the qRT-PCR and *mURA3* growth assays. A previous study using immunofluorescence microscopy found that when PAF1 was deleted, other subunits of the PAF complex localized to the nucleolus (PORTER et al. 2005). The wild-type complex was not detected, but our data indicate that the complex does normally associate with the rDNA. In the same study, it was reported that deleting PAF1 caused an rRNA-processing defect that resulted in the accumulation of unprocessed rRNA precursors. More recent investigations of yeast Paf1 found that it was required for silencing of Pol II genes in the rDNA (MUELLER et al. 2006). While our article was being prepared, the PAF complex was also implicated in Pol I elongation (ZHANG et al. 2009). Interestingly, deleting the Ctr9 subunit of the PAF complex resulted in an increase in the percentage of rDNA genes in the array that were transcribed (ZHANG et al. 2009). This would increase the frequency at which the centromere-proximal rDNA gene was transcribed, perhaps explaining why our assays detected greater transcription of the centromere-proximal rDNA gene. Alternatively, Paf1 could be required for limiting the number of rDNA genes that are transcribed, thus acting in a repressive capacity. It will be interesting to further dissect the role of Paf1 in Pol I transcriptional regulation.

Interestingly, there are several Pol II-transcribed genes within the yeast rDNA, including TAR1 (COELHO et al. 2002). Pol II also produces cryptic unstable transcripts from the nontranscribed spacer that may have roles in regulating rDNA silencing and recombination (KOBAYASHI and GANLEY 2005; LI et al. 2006; HOUSELEY et al. 2007; VASILJEVA et al. 2008). Additionally, the rDNA genes have the capacity to be transcribed by Pol II in mutant strains defective for the Pol I transcription factor UAF (Vu et al. 1999; OAKES et al. 2006). Mutations in certain chromatin-modifying enzymes could alter Pol II transcription within the rDNA, which could in turn influence rRNA synthesis by Pol I. The Nomura lab previously demonstrated that there is a reciprocal relationship between Pol I and Pol II transcription within the rDNA (CIOCI et al. 2003). Of course it is also possible that some of the chromatin factors from the screen directly repress Pol I transcription through an uncharacterized mechanism, which would be consistent with their rDNA association.

Signaling pathways impact rDNA transcription: Multiple signaling factors were identified from the FOA^R portion of the screen (Table 2). *IRA1, IRA2, GPB1*, and *GPG1* all function in the negative regulation of the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway, which works in parallel

with TOR to coordinate the expression of genes required for cell growth (ZURITA-MARTINEZ and CARDENAS 2005). IRA1 and IRA2 encode GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) that negatively regulate RAS by converting it from the GTP- to the GDP-bound inactive form, and mutations in these genes result in constitutive activation of RAS and, therefore, of the cAMP/PKA pathway (BROACH 1991). GPB1 encodes a protein that inhibits the cAMP/PKA pathway by binding and stabilizing Ira1 and Ira2 (HARASHIMA et al. 2006; PEETERS et al. 2006), and GPG1 encodes the gamma subunit required for Gpb1 or Gpb2 to interact with Gpa2 as a heterotrimeric G-protein complex (HARASHIMA and HEITMAN 2002). Constitutive activation of RAS and the cAMP/PKA pathway prevents the rapamycin-induced repression of Pol I and Pol III transcription (SCHMELZLE et al. 2004) and the starvation-induced repression of ribosomal protein genes (KLEIN and STRUHL 1994). Furthermore, yeast with constitutively high PKA activity have been shown to upregulate ribosomal protein gene expression by approximately twofold (KLEIN and STRUHL 1994). The identification of these four genes as repressors of rDNA transcription is fully consistent with these findings.

Another intriguing signaling gene from the FOA^R mutants is YPK1, which encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase that is part of the sphingolipid longchain base (LCB)-mediated signaling pathway. LCBs are intracellular signaling molecules in yeast (see DICKSON et al. 2006 for review). Their concentrations rapidly, but transiently, increase in response to cellular stress. Ypk1 can be activated by LCBs, but upstream kinases Pkh1 and -2 are also activated by LCBs and can then activate Ypk1. Ypk1 and its paralog, Ypk2, then regulate cell-wall integrity, actin dynamics, translation, endocytosis, and cell growth. It is also important to note that Ypk1 and Ypk2 are downstream of the TOR-signaling kinase TORC2. Taken together, the data from our screen implicate multiple, diverse signaling pathways in the regulation of Pol I transcription, many of which require further investigation.

Novel genes that influence rDNA transcription: Fourteen previously uncharacterized genes, designated as RRTs, were identified from our screen. Transposon insertions in RRT1-RRT8 caused an FOA^R phenotype, whereas insertions in RRT11-RRT16 caused a Ura+ phenotype. Little is known about most of the Ura+ rrt mutants, although RRT14 (YIL127C) encodes a nucleolar protein that interacts with Utp5, a subunit of the nucleolar tUTP complex that functions in linking rRNA processing with transcription of the rDNA (GALLAGHER et al. 2004). Among the FOA^R mutants, the Rrt2 and Rrt4 proteins have been shown to interact in a two-hybrid assay (ITO et al. 2001), and deletion of RRT3 (YDR020C, also known as DAS2) suppresses the 6-azauracil and mycophenolic acid sensitivity of a TFIIS mutant (S. CHAVEZ, personal communication). TFIIS is a Pol II elongation factor (WIND and REINES 2000). Other genes isolated

from the *DAS2* screen were connected with the regulation of ribosomal protein genes (S. CHAVEZ, personal communication). Finally, the transposon insertions for three of the *rrt* mutants occurred in dubious open reading frames that may not encode functional genes. In these cases, it is possible that the transposon insertions instead affected the expression of an adjoining gene. In conclusion, this genetic screen has identified many characterized and uncharacterized genes that affect the level of rDNA transcription from the centromere-proximal rDNA gene. Future analysis will focus on determining the mechanisms of action.

We thank Ashley Blair and Marisol Santisteban for assistance with qRT–PCR, Dan Burke for advice on inverse PCR, Stephen Buck for assistance and advice with yeast strains, Masayasu Nomura for providing strains, Sebastian Chavez for critically reading the manuscript and providing results prior to publication, David Schneider for communicating results prior to publication, and Ann Beyer for critical review of the manuscript. We also thank Patrick Grant, David Auble, and members of the Smith and Beyer labs for helpful discussions. Funding for this study was provided by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM61692) and the American Heart Association (grant-in-aid 0555490 and 0755633) to J.S.S.

LITERATURE CITED

- AUSUBEL, F. M., R. BRENT, R. E. KINGSTON, D. D. MOORE, J. G. SEIDMAN et al. (Editors), 2000 Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- BODEM, J., G. DOBREVA, U. HOFFMANN-ROHRER, S. IBEN, H. ZENTGRAF et al., 2000 TIF-IA, the factor mediating growth-dependent control of ribosomal RNA synthesis, is the mammalian homolog of yeast Rrn3p. EMBO Rep. 1: 171–175.
- BOEKE, J. D., F. LACROUTE and G. R. FINK, 1984 A positive selection for mutants lacking orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase activity in yeast: 5-fluoro-orotic acid resistance. Mol. Gen. Genet. 197: 345–346.
- BRIGGS, S. D., M. BRYK, B. D. STRAHL, W. L. CHEUNG, J. K. DAVIE et al., 2001 Histone H3 lysine 4 methylation is mediated by Set1 and required for cell growth and rDNA silencing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 15: 3286–3295.
- BROACH, J. R., 1991 Ras-regulated signaling processes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 1: 370–377.
- BRYK, M., M. BANERJEE, M. MURPHY, K. E. KNUDSEN, D. J. GARFINKEL et al., 1997 Transcriptional silencing of Tyl elements in the RDN1 locus of yeast. Genes Dev. 11: 255–269.
- BUCK, S. W., J. J. SANDMEIER and J. S. SMITH, 2002 RNA polymerase I propagates unidirectional spreading of rDNA silent chromatin. Cell 111: 1003–1014.
- BURKE, D., D. DAWSON and T. STEARNS, 2000 Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- BURNS, N., B. GRIMWADE, P. B. ROSS-MACDONALD, E. Y. CHOI, K. FINBERG *et al.*, 1994 Large-scale analysis of gene expression, protein localization, and gene disruption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 8: 1087–1105.
- CARROZZA, M. J., B. LI, L. FLORENS, T. SUGANUMA, S. K. SWANSON et al., 2005 Histone H3 methylation by Set2 directs deacetylation of coding regions by Rpd3S to suppress spurious intragenic transcription. Cell 123: 581–592.
- CHU, Y., R. SIMIC, M. H. WARNER, K. M. ARNDT and G. PRELICH, 2007 Regulation of histone modification and cryptic transcription by the Burl and Pafl complexes. EMBO J. 26: 4646–4656.
- CIOCI, F., L. VU, K. ELIASON, M. OAKES, I. N. SIDDIQI *et al.*, 2003 Silencing in yeast rDNA chromatin: reciprocal relationship in gene expression between RNA polymerase I and II. Mol. Cell **12**: 135–145.
- COELHO, P. S., A. C. BRYAN, A. KUMAR, G. S. SHADEL and M. SNYDER, 2002 A novel mitochondrial protein, Tar1p, is encoded on the antisense strand of the nuclear 25S rDNA. Genes Dev. 16: 2755–2760.

- DAMMANN, R., R. LUCCHINI, T. KOLLER and J. M. SOGO, 1993 Chromatin structures and transcription of rDNA in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 21: 2331–2338.
- DASGUPTA, A., S. A. JUEDES, R. O. SPROUSE and D. T. AUBLE, 2005 Motl-mediated control of transcription complex assembly and activity. EMBO J. 24: 1717–1729.
- DICKSON, R. C., C. SUMANASEKERA and R. L. LESTER, 2006 Functions and metabolism of sphingolipids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Prog. Lipid Res. 45: 447–465.
- EGGERMONT, J., and N. J. PROUDFOOT, 1993 Poly(A) signals and transcriptional pause sites combine to prevent interference between RNA polymerase II promoters. EMBO J. 12: 2539–2548.
- FATH, S., P. MILKEREIT, G. PEYROCHE, M. RIVA, C. CARLES *et al.*, 2001 Differential roles of phosphorylation in the formation of transcriptional active RNA polymerase I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98**: 14334–14339.
- FRENCH, S. L., Y. N. OSHEIM, F. CIOCI, M. NOMURA and A. L. BEYER, 2003 In exponentially growing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells, rRNA synthesis is determined by the summed RNA polymerase I loading rate rather than by the number of active genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23: 1558–1568.
- FRITZE, C. E., K. VERSCHUEREN, R. STRICH and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1997 Direct evidence for SIR2 modulation of chromatin structure in yeast rDNA. EMBO J. 16: 6495–6509.
- GALLAGHER, J. E., D. A. DUNBAR, S. GRANNEMAN, B. M. MITCHELL, Y. OSHEIM *et al.*, 2004 RNA polymerase I transcription and prerRNA processing are linked by specific SSU processome components. Genes Dev. **18**: 2506–2517.
- GERBER, J., A. REITER, R. STEINBAUER, S. JAKOB, C. D. KUHN *et al.*, 2008 Site specific phosphorylation of yeast RNA polymerase I. Nucleic Acids Res. **36**: 793–802.
- GERRING, S. L., C. CONNELLY and P. HIETER, 1991 Positional mapping of genes by chromosome blotting and chromosome fragmentation. Methods Enzymol. 194: 57–77.
- GOVIND, C. K., F. ZHANG, H. QIU, K. HOFMEYER and A. G. HINNEBUSCH, 2007 Gcn5 promotes acetylation, eviction, and methylation of nucleosomes in transcribed coding regions. Mol. Cell 25: 31–42.
- HANNAN, R. D., and L. I. ROTHBLUM, 1995 Regulation of ribosomal DNA transcription during neonatal cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Cardiovasc. Res. 30: 501–510.
- HARASHIMA, T., and J. HEITMAN, 2002 The Galpha protein Gpa2 controls yeast differentiation by interacting with kelch repeat proteins that mimic Gbeta subunits. Mol. Cell **10:** 163–173.
- HARASHIMA, T., S. ANDERSON, J. R. YATES, III and J. HEITMAN, 2006 The kelch proteins Gpb1 and Gpb2 inhibit Ras activity via association with the yeast RasGAP neurofibromin homologs Iral and Ira2. Mol. Cell 22: 819–830.
- Ho, Y., A. GRUHLER, A. HEILBUT, G. D. BADER, L. MOORE *et al.*, 2002 Systematic identification of protein complexes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by mass spectrometry. Nature **415**: 180–183.
- HONTZ, R. D., S. L. FRENCH, M. L. OAKES, P. TONGAONKAR, M. NOMURA *et al.*, 2008 Transcription of multiple yeast ribosomal DNA genes requires targeting of UAF to the promoter by Uaf30. Mol. Cell. Biol. 28: 6709–6719.
- HOUSELEY, J., K. KOTOVIC, A. EL HAGE and D. TOLLERVEY, 2007 Trf4 targets ncRNAs from telomeric and rDNA spacer regions and functions in rDNA copy number control. EMBO J. 26: 4996–5006.
- HWANG, W. W., S. VENKATASUBRAHMANYAM, A. G. IANCULESCU, A. TONG, C. BOONE *et al.*, 2003 A conserved RING finger protein required for histone H2B monoubiquitination and cell size control. Mol. Cell 11: 261–266.
- ITO, T., T. CHIBA, R. OZAWA, M. YOSHIDA, M. HATTORI *et al.*, 2001 A comprehensive two-hybrid analysis to explore the yeast protein interactome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98**: 4569–4574.
- JONES, H. S., J. KAWAUCHI, P. BRAGLIA, C. M. ALEN, N. A. KENT *et al.*, 2007 RNA polymerase I in yeast transcribes dynamic nucleosomal rDNA. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 14: 123–130.
- JU, Q., and J. R. WARNER, 1994 Ribosome synthesis during the growth cycle of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 10: 151–157.
- KADOSH, D., and K. STRUHL, 1997 Repression by Ume6 involves recruitment of a complex containing Sin3 corepressor and Rpd3 histone deacetylase to target promoters. Cell 89: 365–371.
- KEOGH, M. C., S. K. KURDISTANI, S. A. MORRIS, S. H. AHN, V. PODOLNY et al., 2005 Cotranscriptional set2 methylation of histone H3 lysine 36 recruits a repressive Rpd3 complex. Cell 123: 593–605.

- KEYS, D. A., B.-S. LEE, J. A. DODD, T. T. NGUYEN, L. VU et al., 1996 Multiprotein transcription factor UAF interacts with the upstream element of the yeast RNA polymerase I promoter and forms a stable preinitiation complex. Genes Dev. 10: 887– 903.
- KLEIN, C., and K. STRUHL, 1994 Protein kinase A mediates growth-regulated expression of yeast ribosomal protein genes by modulating RAP1 transcriptional activity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14: 1920–1928.
- KOBAYASHI, T., and A. R. GANLEY, 2005 Recombination regulation by transcription-induced cohesin dissociation in rDNA repeats. Science **309**: 1581–1584.
- KROGAN, N. J., J. DOVER, A. WOOD, J. SCHNEIDER, J. HEIDT *et al.*, 2003 The Pafl complex is required for histone H3 methylation by COMPASS and Dot1p: linking transcriptional elongation to histone methylation. Mol. Cell **11**: 721–729.
- LALO, D., J. S. STEFFAN, J. A. DODD and M. NOMURA, 1996 RRN11 encodes the third subunit of the complex containing Rrn6p and Rrn7p that is essential for the initiation of rDNA transcription by yeast RNA polymerase I. J. Biol. Chem. 271: 21062–21067.
- LI, B., M. GOGOL, M. CAREY, D. LEE, C. SEIDEL *et al.*, 2007 Combined action of PHD and chromo domains directs the Rpd3S HDAC to transcribed chromatin. Science **316**: 1050–1054.
- LI, C., J. E. MUELLER and M. BRYK, 2006 Sir2 represses endogenous polymerase II transcription units in the ribosomal DNA nontranscribed spacer. Mol. Biol. Cell **17:** 3848–3859.
- LONGTINE, M. S., A. MCKENZIE, III, D. J. DEMARINI, N. G. SHAH, A. WACH et al., 1998 Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 14: 953–961.
- LORENZ, M. C., and J. HEITMAN, 1998 Regulators of pseudohyphal differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* identified through multicopy suppressor analysis in ammonium permease mutant strains. Genetics **150**: 1443–1457.
- MARTIN, D. E., T. POWERS and M. N. HALL, 2006 Regulation of ribosome biogenesis: Where is TOR? Cell Metab. 4: 259–260.
- MARTON, H. A., and S. DESIDERIO, 2008 The Pafl complex promotes displacement of histones upon rapid induction of transcription by RNA polymerase II. BMC Mol. Biol. 9: 4.
- MILKEREIT, P., and H. TSCHOCHNER, 1998 A specialized form of RNA polymerase I, essential for initiation and growth-dependent regulation of rRNA synthesis, is disrupted during transcription. EMBO J. 17: 3692–3703.
- Moss, T., F. LANGLOIS, T. GAGNON-KUGLER and V. STEFANOVSKY, 2007 A housekeeper with power of attorney: the rRNA genes in ribosome biogenesis. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 64: 29–49.
- MUELLER, J. E., M. CANZE and M. BRYK, 2006 The requirements for COMPASS and Paf1 in transcriptional silencing and methylation of histone H3 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **173**: 557–567.
- NOMURA, M., 2001 Ribosomal RNA genes, RNA polymerases, nucleolar structures, and synthesis of rRNA in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **66**: 555–565.
- OAKES, M. L., I. SIDDIQI, S. L. FRENCH, L. VU, M. SATO *et al.*, 2006 Role of histone deacetylase Rpd3 in regulating rRNA gene transcription and nucleolar structure in yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. 26: 3889–3901.
- PEETERS, T., W. LOUWET, R. GELADE, D. NAUWELAERS, J. M. THEVELEIN *et al.*, 2006 Kelch-repeat proteins interacting with the Galpha protein Gpa2 bypass adenylate cyclase for direct regulation of protein kinase A in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103: 13034–13039.
- PIJNAPPEL, W. W., D. SCHAFT, A. ROGUEV, A. SHEVCHENKO, H. TEKOTTE et al., 2001 The S. cerevisiae SET3 complex includes two histone deacetylases, Hos2 and Hst1, and is a meiotic-specific repressor of the sporulation gene program. Genes Dev. 15: 2991–3004.
- PORTER, S. E., K. L. PENHEITER and J. A. JAEHNING, 2005 Separation of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Paf1 complex from RNA polymerase II results in changes in its subnuclear localization. Eukaryot. Cell 4: 209–220.
- REEDER, R. H., P. GUEVARA and J. G. ROAN, 1999 Saccharomyces cerevisiae RNA polymerase I terminates transcription at the Reb1 terminator in vivo. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19: 7369–7376.
- ROBYR, D., Y. SUKA, I. XENARIOS, S. K. KURDISTANI, A. WANG *et al.*, 2002 Microarray deacetylation maps determine genome-wide functions for yeast histone deacetylases. Cell **109**: 437–446.

- RUSSELL, M., J. BRADSHAW-ROUSE, D. MARKWARDT and W. HEIDEMAN, 1993 Changes in gene expression in the Ras/adenylate cyclase system of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. correlation with cAMP levels and growth arrest. Mol. Biol. Cell 4: 757–765.
- SANDMEIER, J. J., S. FRENCH, Y. OSHEIM, W. L. CHEUNG, C. M. GALLO et al., 2002 RPD3 is required for the inactivation of yeast ribosomal DNA genes in stationary phase. EMBO J. 21: 4959–4968.
- SANIJ, E., G. POORTINGA, K. SHARKEY, S. HUNG, T. P. HOLLOWAY *et al.*, 2008 UBF levels determine the number of active ribosomal RNA genes in mammals. J. Cell Biol. 183: 1259–1274.
 SCHMELZLE, T., T. BECK, D. E. MARTIN and M. N. HALL,
- SCHMELZLE, T., T. BECK, D. E. MARTIN and M. N. HALL, 2004 Activation of the RAS/cyclic AMP pathway suppresses a TOR deficiency in yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24: 338–351.
- SCHULTZ, M. C., S. J. BRILL, Q. JU, R. STERNGLANZ and R. H. REEDER, 1992 Topoisomerases and yeast rRNA transcription: negative supercoiling stimulates initiation and topoisomerase activity is required for elongation. Genes Dev. 6: 1332–1341.
- SHOU, W., J. H. SEOL, A. SHEVCHENKO, C. BASKERVILLE, D. MOAZED et al., 1999 Exit from mitosis is triggered by Tem1-dependent release of the protein phosphatase Cdc14 from nucleolar RENT complex. Cell 97: 233–244.
- SIDDIQI, I. N., J. A. DODD, L. VU, K. ELIASON, M. L. OAKES et al., 2001 Transcription of chromosomal rRNA genes by both RNA polymerase I and II in yeast uaf30 mutants lacking the 30 kDa subunit of transcription factor UAF. EMBO J. 20: 4512–4521.
- SMITH, J. S., and J. D. BOEKE, 1997 An unusual form of transcriptional silencing in yeast ribosomal DNA. Genes Dev. 11: 241–254.
- SMITH, J. S., C. B. BRACHMANN, L. PILLUS and J. D. BOEKE, 1998 Distribution of a limited Sir2 protein pool regulates the strength of yeast rDNA silencing and is modulated by Sir4p. Genetics 149: 1205–1219.
- SMITH, J. S., E. CAPUTO and J. D. BOEKE, 1999 A genetic screen for ribosomal DNA silencing defects identifies multiple DNA replication and chromatin-modulating factors. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19: 3184– 3197.
- SQUAZZO, S. L., P. J. COSTA, D. L. LINDSTROM, K. E. KUMER, R. SIMIC et al., 2002 The Pafl complex physically and functionally associates with transcription elongation factors in vivo. EMBO J. 21: 1764–1774.
- STEFANOVSKY, V., F. LANGLOIS, T. GAGNON-KUGLER, L. I. ROTHBLUM and T. Moss, 2006 Growth factor signaling regulates elongation of RNA polymerase I transcription in mammals via UBF phosphorylation and r-chromatin remodeling. Mol. Cell 21: 629–639.
- TANNY, J. C., G. J. DOWD, J. HUANG, H. HILZ and D. MOAZED, 1999 An enzymatic activity in the yeast Sir2 protein that is essential for gene silencing. Cell 99: 735–745.
- THEVELEIN, J. M., and J. H. DE WINDE, 1999 Novel sensing mechanisms and targets for the cAMP-protein kinase A pathway in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Microbiol. 33: 904–918.
- TONG, A. H., G. LESAGE, G. D. BADER, H. DING, H. XU *et al.*, 2004 Global mapping of the yeast genetic interaction network. Science **303**: 808–813.
- TSANG, C. K., and X. F. ZHENG, 2007 TOR-in(g) the nucleus. Cell Cycle **6:** 25–29.
- VASILJEVA, L., M. KIM, N. TERZI, L. M. SOARES and S. BURATOWSKI, 2008 Transcription termination and RNA degradation contribute to silencing of RNA polymerase II transcription within heterochromatin. Mol. Cell 29: 313–323.
- VU, L., I. SIDDIQI, B.-S. LEE, C. A. JOSAITIS and M. NOMURA, 1999 RNA polymerase switch in transcription of yeast rDNA: role of transcription factor UAF (upstream activation factor) in silencing rDNA transcription by RNA polymerase I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 4390–4395.
- WANG, A., S. K. KURDISTANI and M. GRUNSTEIN, 2002 Requirement of Hos2 histone deacetylase for gene activity in yeast. Science 298: 1412–1414.
- WARNER, J. R., 1999 The economics of ribosome biosynthesis in yeast. Trends Biochem. Sci. 24: 437–440.
- WERY, M., E. SHEMATOROVA, B. VAN DRIESSCHE, J. VANDENHAUTE, P. THURIAUX *et al.*, 2004 Members of the SAGA and Mediator complexes are partners of the transcription elongation factor TFIIS. EMBO J. **23**: 4232–4242.
- WHITE, R. J., 2005 RNA polymerases I and III, growth control and cancer. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6: 69–78.

- WIND, M., and D. REINES, 2000 Transcription elongation factor SII. BioEssays 22: 327–336.
- WOOD, A., N. J. KROGAN, J. DOVER, J. SCHNEIDER, J. HEIDT *et al.*, 2003 Bre1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase required for recruitment and substrate selection of Rad6 at a promoter. Mol. Cell 11: 267–274.
- WORKMAN, J. L., 2006 Nucleosome displacement in transcription. Genes Dev. 20: 2009–2017.
- XIAO, T., H. HALL, K. O. KIZER, Y. SHIBATA, M. C. HALL *et al.*, 2003 Phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II CTD regulates H3 methylation in yeast. Genes Dev. **17:** 654–663.
- ZHANG, Y., M. L. SIKES, A. L. BEYER and D. A. SCHNEIDER, 2009 The Pafl complex is required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106: 2153–2158.
- ZURITA-MARTINEZ, S. A., and M. E. CARDENAS, 2005 Tor and cyclic AMP-protein kinase A: two parallel pathways regulating expression of genes required for cell growth. Eukaryot. Cell 4: 63–71.

Communicating editor: M. HAMPSEY

GENETICS

Supporting Information

http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.108.100313/DC1

Genetic Identification of Factors That Modulate Ribosomal DNA Transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Robert D. Hontz, Rachel O. Niederer, Joseph M. Johnson and Jeffrey S. Smith

Copyright © 2009 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: 10.1534/genetics.108.100313



FIGURE S1.—rDNA-specific effects of chromatin factors on *mURA3* expression. The *mURA3-HIS3* cassette was integrated either at the rDNA (nts1 Δ) or at the *TRP1* locus (non-rDNA location). Note that only the *rsc1* Δ mutation affected Ura⁺ growth at the *TRP1* location.

Strain List

Strain	Genotype	Reference	Figure(s)
NOY886	MAT <u>a</u> ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11 trp1-1 leu2-3,112 can1-100 rpa135Δ::LEU2 fob1D::HIS3 pNOY117 (42 rDNA copies)	French et al., 2003	4B, 5D
NOY1051	MAT <u>a</u> ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11 trp1-1 leu2-3,112 can1-100 rpa135Δ::LEU2 fob1D::HIS3 pNOY117 (143 rDNA copies)	French et al., 2003	4B, 5D
PS1-7	YRH4 mn8-Tn	This study	2D
PS1-13	YRH4 zip2-Tn	This study	4
PS1-14	YRH4 mer1-Tn	This study	4
PS1-26	YRH4 bre1-Tn	This study	2D, 3F, 5A, 5C, 5D
PS1-56	YRH4 ira1-Tn	This study	3F, 3G
PS1-72	YRH4 gcn5-Tn	This study	3F, 3G
PS1-76	YRH4 pho23-Tn	This study	5B
PS1-115	YRH4 red1-Tn	This study	4
PS1-117	YRH4 ira2-Tn	This study	3B
PS1-120	YRH4 hos2-Tn	This study	3F, 5B, 5D
PS1-152	YRH4 rpn7-Tn	This study	3E
PS1-170	YRH4 nts1-Tn	This study	$2\mathbf{C}$
PS1-174	YRH4 uaf30-Tn	This study	2C, 3E, 3G
PS1-184	YRH4 atg2-Tn	This study	3E
PS1-186	YRH4 hms1-Tn	This study	3E
PS1-212	YRH4 rm5-Tn	This study	$2\mathbf{C}$
YRH4	MATa his3D200 leu2Δ1 trp1Δ63 ura3-167 nts1D::mURA3/HIS3	This study	1B-C, 2, 3C-G, 4, 5
YRH5	MAT <u>a</u> his3∆200 leu2∆1 trp1∆63 ura3-167 nts1∆::mURA3/HIS3 rpd3∆::kanMX	This study	3F-G, 5B, 5D
YRH51	YRH4 ume6Δ::kanMX	This study	5B
YRH92	MAT <u>a</u> his3D200 leu2D1 trp1D63 ura3-167 nts1D::mURA3/HIS3 pNOY199	This study	1D, 3B
YRH95	MAT <u>a</u> his3D200 leu2D1 trp1D63 ura3-167 nts1D::mURA3/HIS3 rpa135D::kanMX pNOY199	This study	1D, 3B
YRH132	YRH4 set1D::kanMX	This study	5A
YRH134	YRH4 set2D::kanMX	This study	5A, 5C
YRH142	YRH4 hos1D::kanMX	This study	5B
YRH143	YRH4 hos3D::kanMX	This study	5B
YRH152	YRH4 rco1D::kanMX	This study	5B
YRH160	YRH4 top1D::kanMX	This study	3E
YRH164	YRH4 paf1D::kanMX	This study	5A, 5C

YRH244	YRH4 zip2D::kanMX (A)	This study	4
YRH253	YRH4 mer1D::kanMX	This study	4
YRH254	YRH4 red1D::kanMX	This study	4
YRH257	YRH4 zip2D::kanMX (B)	This study	4
YRH265	PS1-26 set2D::kanMX	This study	5A, 5C
YRH269	MATa his3D200 leu2D1 trp1D63 ura3-167 nts1D::mURA3/HIS3	This study	7
YRH698	YRH269 rad6D::kanMX	This study	5A
YRH901	YRH269 Hos2p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH902	YRH269 Rpd3p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH905	YRH269 Rad6p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH911	YRH269 Chd1p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH912	YRH269 Ada2p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH914	YRH269 Paf1p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YRH915	YRH269 Sap30p-13xMYC-kanMX6	This study	7
YSB425	MAT <u>a</u> his3Δ200 leu2Δ1 trp1Δ63 ura3-167 50L::mURA3/HIS3	Buck et al., 2002	1B-C
YSB519	MAT <u>a</u> his $3\Delta 200$ leu $2\Delta 1$ trp $1\Delta 63$ ura 3 -167 TRP1::mURA 3 /HIS 3	Buck et al., 2002	1C

Oligonucleotides used in the study

Name	Sequence	Usage and Location
IS17	5'-CGCTTGAACGAAACGTTCGTAAAAATATTTATAATTCTTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCG-3'	nts1 mURA3/HIS3 replacement primer 1
J.517		(pJSS51-9 plasmid)
1859	5'-CTGCTGAGATTAAGCCTTTGTTGTCTGATTTGTTTTTTATGTTCCTGGCCTTTTGCTGGC_3'	nts1 mURA3/HIS3 replacement primer 2
J888		(pJSS51-9 plasmid)
1883	5'-CCAATTGCTCGAGAGATTTC-3'	ACT1 forward primer, reverse-transcriptase
J505	5-00/M1100100//0//1110-5	PCR
1594		ACT1 reverse primer, reverse-transcriptase
1204	J-CATOATACCT1001010110-J	PCR
JS542	5-'ATATGAATTAATAAACACCTGTCCATTTTAGAAAACGCTGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	HOS1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS543	5'-GCATTATTAATTTGTATTCAAACGACTAATTAAAACTATCCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	HOS1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS544	5'-TACGTTAAAATCAGGTATCAAGTGAATAACAACACGCAACGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	HOS2 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS545	5'-AAAAAAAAAGGGGAGATTAACCGAATAGCAAACTCTTAAACTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	HOS2 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS546	5'-AAGGGCTCTGGAAGTAAACAGAGAAATTCGACGATATAATGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	HOS3 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS547	5'-CCACCACTTCTTGTTGTATGTTTTCTTGAAACATGAGAAACTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	HOS3 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS635	5'-CTCTCCTTTTTTTCGACCGA-3'	reverse transcriptase PCR; mURA3 (primer
-		1)
JS636	5'-ATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCT-3'	reverse transcriptase PCR; nts1
0		(primer 2)
JS639	5'-TGGATTATGGCTGAACGCCTCTAA-3'	reverse transcriptase PCR; 358
U		(primer 3)
JS683	5'-GAGACTACCGCACTCAAACCATTTGCATGGACCTTAACTCGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	UME6 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS684	5'-TAATAATAGTAACAATATCTCTTTTTTTTTTTCAGTGAGCCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	UME6 kanMX knockout primer 2

R. Hontz et al.

JS721	5'-AAGTACTAAAGCGTTCGTTGACAGCTTTCTTTGCGTTGCCGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	UAF30 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS722	5'-ACAACACAAATTTCAACGCCTTGAAATTTTCATGATATCCCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	<i>UAF30 kanMX</i> knockout primer 2
JS739	5'-AGAAATGGAAAAAGAAAACAGTGGATTGATTGCCTTTTCACGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Hos2p-MYC F1 primer
JS740	5'-AAAAAAAAAGGGGAGATTAACCGAATAGCAAACTCTTAAAGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Hos2p-MYC R2 primer
JS745	5'-TGCGAGGGACCTACATGTTGAGCATGACAATGAATTCTATCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Rpd3p-MYC F1 primer
JS746	5'-TCACATTATTTATATTCGTATATACTTCCAACTCTTTTTTGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Rpd3p-MYC R2 primer
JS748	5'-GATGGCAATGTACGACAAGATAACAGAGTCTCAAAAGAAGCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Chd1p-MYC F1 primer
JS749	5'-GGGGAAGGAACAATGGAAAAATGTGGTGAAGAAAAATTGTTGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Chd1p-MYC R2 primer
JS765	5'-ATACGCAAACCGCCTCTCC-3'	qRT-PCR; product forward
JS766	5'-TGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTA-3'	qRT-PCR; product reverse
JS769	5'-ATGTGTAAAGCCGGTTTTGCC-3'	qRT-PCR; ACT1 forward
JS770	5'-TGGGAAGACAGCACGAGGAG-3'	qRT-PCR; ACT1 reverse
JS800	5'-TTCTTCAGCATATAACATACAACAAGATTAAGGCTCTTTCGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	IRA1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS801	5'-GGAGCACGACATTCTTGCCAGTATCATTGTTGCTAATCTTCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	IRA1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS806	5'-TCGAGTAATTGAATCAATTTAGAGAAATAGATCXATATTACGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	ZIP2 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS807	5'-CCATTCTAAGGTTAATAACTTCTTCAGGCGAGTCATTTGTCTCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	ZIP2 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS822	5'-CGAGAATAATCACCATAGAAAAACCTATAAAAGCTTCGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	RCO1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS823	5'-GGTGTTCACGTTCCTGATTTATTCTTTATGTATGTACGCCCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	RCO1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS825	5'-CCTTATTTGTTGAATCTTTATAAGAGGTCTCTGCGTTTAGGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	SET1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS826	5'-GCTGGAAAGCAACGATATGTTAAATCAGGAAGCTCCAAACCTGTGTATTTCACACCG-3'	SET1 kanMX knockout primer 2

R. Hontz et al.

JS828	5'-GTGCTGTCAAACCTTTCCCTGCTTGTTGTTGTTTTACGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	SET2 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS829	5'-GACAGAAAACGTGAAACAAGCCCCAAATATGCATGTTGGCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	SET2 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS834	5'-GGAACAGTGTGGTATTAGCGAAGGGAAATCTGTGAAGTGGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	RSC1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS835	5'-GTGCGTTTTGAAAGGCAACAAAACGATTGAGGTCTATCGTCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	RSC1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS837	5'-CTAAAGGGAGGGCAGAGCTCGAAACTTGAAACGCGTAAAAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	TOP1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS838	5'-GAACTTGATGCGTGAATGTATTTGCTTCTCCCCTATGCTGCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	TOP1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS859	5'-CGTTGTTAATTATAGACAGAAATGTATTCAGTACAATAGAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	PAF1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS860	5'-CCAAACAAATGTAAAAAGAACTACAGGTTTAAAATCAATC	PAF1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS918	5'-GGGTTAATTTGATTACGCGTCACAGCTACTAATAAAATAAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	MER1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS919	5'-CGATCAAATAAGCTTACAATCTGGTATCTTCCAACACCATCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	MER1 kanMX knockout primer 2
JS921	5'-CAGTGAGGACCACAAAGGGACAGCAAATACGGTGATAAGAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC-3'	RED1 kanMX knockout primer 1
JS922	5'-CGATTGCCAGAGTACTAGCTCTGCGAGAAAAAAAGATTCCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG-3'	RED1 kanMX knockout primer 2
J8962	5'-GGCACTCCGGGGTAGCCGGAGTCGAAAGCTGG-3'	<i>RAD6 kanMX</i> knockout primer 1 (from <i>rad6</i> BY4741 strain)
JS963	5'-CGGATCGATAGAGAAAGAAACTCACGATGAAGCCC-3'	<i>RAD6 kanMX</i> knockout primer 2 (from <i>rad6</i> BY4741 strain)
JS1096	5'-CGTTCATAGCGACATTGCTT-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; NTS2 forward
JS1097	5'-GGGTGAACAATCCAACGCTT-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; NTS2 reverse
JS1100	5'TGTTAGTGCAGGAAAGCGGG-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; NTS1 forward
JS1101	5'-CTACACCCTCGTTTAGTTGC-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; NTS1 reverse
JS1102	5'-GTATGTGGGACAGAATGTCG-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; 3' 35S forward

JS1103	5'-GACTTACGTTTGCTACTCTC-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; 3' 35S reverse
JS1108	5'-TGATGATGATGATGACGACGACGACGACGAAGCAGACCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Rad6p-MYC F1 primer
JS1109	5'-ATCGGCTCGGCATTCATCATTAAGATTCTTTTGATTTTTCGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Rad6p-MYC R2 primer
JS1114	5'-ACAAAAACCAGAGGAAGAAAAGGAAACTTTACAAGAAGAACGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Paf1p-MYC F1 primer
JS1115	5'-CTACAGGTTTAAAATCAATCTCCCTTCACTTCTCAATATTGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Paf1p-MYC R2 primer
JS1117	5'-AAAAAACCAGAAGAAGAAGAAATTCAAGATGGAATTTCGGGGGTCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Sap30p-MYC F1 primer
JS1118	5'-TTACATAACTTATACACAAAAGGGCTGCCTCATCGTTTGAGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Sap30p-MYC R2 primer
JS1120	5'-GAATAGAATATACGATTTTTTCCAGAGCCAGAATTGGATGCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA	Ada2p-MYC F1 primer
JS1121	5'-AACTAGTGACAATTGTAGTTACTTTTTCAATTTTTTTTTGGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC-3'	Ada2p-MYC R2 primer
JS1140	5'-GCTTGCGTTGATTACGTCCC-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; 5' 358 forward
JS1141	5'-CACTAAGCCATTCAATCGGT-3'	MYC-tag CHIP; 5' 35S reverse

List of mutants isolated and confirmed from the screen^a

		Reference	Strength of		Location of	Dominant/
FOA ^R Candidates	ORF	Number ^b	Phenotype ^c	Summary of Function	Insertiond	Recessivee
Unique Genes						
AAP1'	YHR047C	75	+++	Arg/Ala aminopeptidase; glycogen metabolism	341 / 2571	SD
ADA2	YDR448W	48	+++	SAGA/SLIK/ADA component	505 / 1305	R
ADA2	YDR448W	49	+++	SAGA/SLIK/ADA component	+172	R
ADA2	YDR448W	54	+++	SAGA/SLIK/ADA component	+172	R
AGC1	YPR021C	131	++	mitochondrial transporter (L-Asp/L-Glu)	916 / 2709	SD
BRE1	YDL074C	26	++	Rad6p E3 ubiquitin ligase	1116 / 2103	R
CHS6	YJL099W	42	++	chitin biosynthesis; vesicle transport	1440 / 4241	R
CINI	YOR349W	139	+++	b-tubulin folding factor D	1353 / 3045	SD
CMP2	YML057W	122	+++	type 2B Ser/Thr phosphatase; calmodulin binding	418 / 1815	SD
CMP2	YML057W	128	+++	type 2B Ser/Thr phosphatase; calmodulin binding	418 / 1815	SD
DPB11	YJL090C	39	+++	DNA Pol e subunit	+10	SD
ECM29	YHL030W	10	++	proteosome subunit	425 / 5607	D
FUR4	YBR021W	136	+++	uracil permease	+43	SD
COM5	VCD959W	79		SAGA/SLIK/ADA component; non-essential H3	1107 (1200	D
GG/VJ	1GR232W	12	+++	histone acetyltransferase	1127 / 1320	К
COM5	VCD959W	00		SAGA/SLIK/ADA component; non-essential H3	1054 (1220	D
GG/VJ	1GR232W	00	+++	histone acetyltransferase	1034 / 1320	К
COM5	VCD959W	104		SAGA/SLIK/ADA component; non-essential H3	1915 (1290	D
GG/VJ	1GR232W	104	+++	histone acetyltransferase	1213 / 1320	К
GPB1	YOR371C	2	++	b subunit of heterotrimeric G-protein	293 / 2694	R
GPG1	YGL121C	38	+++	g subunit of heterotrimeric G-protein	64 / 381	R
GPG1	YGL121C	40	+++	g subunit of heterotrimeric G-protein	80 / 381	D
GPM3	YOL056W	116	+++	phosphoglycerate mutase	+9	SD
GUT2	YIL155C	62	+++	mitochondrial G3P dehydrogenase	9 / 1950	SD

R. Hontz et al.

HFI1	YPI 25414/	65	+++	SACA/SLIK component	1001 / 1467	P
	YCL104C	4	+++	SAGA/ SLIK component	062 / 1250	Б
HOS2	IGL194C	4	++	SET3 component; H3/H4 histone deacetylase	963 / 1359	K
H082	TGL194C	8	++	SET3 component; H3/H4 histone deacetylase	1155 / 1359	K
HOS2	YGL194C	120	+++	SET3 component; H3/H4 histone deacetylase	361 /1359	R
IMP2'	YIL154C	125	+++	transcription factor for glucose gene derepression	119 / 1041	SD
INM1	YHR046C	24	++	inositol monophosphatase; signaling factor	278 / 888	SD
IRA1	YBR140C	37	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	8185 / 9279	R
IRA1	YBR140C	56	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	8486 / 9279	R
IRA1	YBR140C	101	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	8751 / 9279	R
IRA1	YBR140C	111	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	8185 / 9279	R
IRA2	YOL081W	20	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	2872 / 9240	SD
IRA2	YOL081W	22	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	2777 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	32	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	5059 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	61	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	1267 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	74	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	866 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	102	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	4922 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	108	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	5061 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	109	+++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	5061 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	117	+	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	1504 / 9240	R
IRA2	YOL081W	137	++	RAS GTPase activator; RAS/cAMP signaling	1649 / 9240	R
MER1	YNL210W	14	++	meiotic chromosome synaptonemal complex	571 / 813	D
MLC2	YPR188C	33	+++	type II myosin light chain	304 / 492	R
MLP1	YKR095W	140	+++	telomere length regulator; nuclear import protein	5207 / 5628	SD
NTE1	YML059C	118	++	serine esterase; phospholipid metabolite	4703 / 5040	SD
PAU7	YAR020C	9	+++	seripauperin gene family; function unknown	+100	SD
PHO23	YNL097C	21	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	31 / 993	R
PHO23	YNL097C	76	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	96 / 993	R
PHO23	YNL097C	144	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	209 /993	R
PIG2	YIL045W	89	+++	type I phosphatase subunit	184 / 1617	R
PPH22	YDL188C	70	+++	protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) catalytic subunit	45 / 1134	SD
				r () cataly de subtilit		~~

R. Hontz et al.

PRE9	YGR135W	90	+++	20S b-type proteosome subunit	164 / 777	R
PRE9	YGR135W	123	+++	20S b-type proteosome subunit	517 / 777	R
PRR1	YKL116C	60	+++	MAP kinase signaling pathway	455 / 1557	R
PSK2	YOL045W	77	+++	PAS-domain Ser/Thr kinase	3262 / 3306	R
PXL1	YKR090W	121	++	LIM domain; signaling by Cdc42p & Rho1p	385 / 2121	R
REV3	YPL167C	78	+++	DNA Pol z subunit	3948 / 4515	R
RPN8	YOR261C	7	+++	proteosome subunit; endopeptidase activity	889 / 1017	SD
RXT3	YDL076C	30	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	483 / 885	SD
RXT3	YDL076C	95	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	615 / 885	R
SAC7	YDR389W	124	+++	Rhol GAP	1399 / 1965	D
SAP30	YMR263W	69	+++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	322 / 606	R
SBA1	YKL117W	110	++	Hsp90 binding chaperone protein	535 / 651	R
SIN3	YOL004W	45	++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex component	4271 / 4611	SD
SIR1	YKR101W	46	++	transcription silencer at MAT loci	1082 / 2037	R
SPT20	YOL148C	50	++	SAGA component; maintains structural integrity	1122 / 1815	R
UME1	YPL139C	23	++	Rpd3p-Sin3p complex targeting component	210 / 1383	D
YPK1	YKL126W	53	+++	Ser/Thr kinase	+17	SD
Intergenic						
BMH2 / TVP15	YDR099W / YDR100W	92	+++	n/a	n/a	R
RPL11B / MRP13	YGR085C / YGR084C	16	+++	n/a	n/a	SD
Uracil Biosynthesis						
URA5	YML106W	34	+++	fifth enzymatic step in de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	232 / 681	SD
URA5	YML106W	36	+++	fifth enzymatic step in de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	309 / 681	SD
URA5	YML106W	83	+++	fifth enzymatic step in de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	232 / 681	SD

URA5	YML106W	100	+++	fifth enzymatic step in de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	617 / 681	R
URA6	YKL024C	29	+++	seventh enzymatic step in de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	+308	D
<u>Uncharacterized</u>	-	-	-	-		
YBL048W (RRT1)	YBL048W	79	+++	uncharacterized; downstream of Moh1p	36 / 312	R
YBR246W (RRT2)	YBR246W	12	+++	uncharacterized	854 / 1164	SD
YDR020C (RRT3)	YDR020C	119	+++	uncharacterized; nuclear; interacts with Urk1p	568 / 699	SD
YDR020C (RRT3)	YDR020C	134	+++	uncharacterized; nuclear; interacts with Urk1p	421 / 699	R
YDR520C (RRT4)	YDR520C	68	++	uncharacterized; GAL4-like DBD	1681 / 2319	R
YDR520C (RRT4)	YDR520C	85	++	uncharacterized; GAL4-like DBD	476 / 2319	R
YDR520C (RRT4)	YDR520C	103	+++	uncharacterized; GAL4-like DBD	544 / 2319	R
YFR032C (RRT5)	YFR032C	81	++	uncharacterized; interacts with Nop6p	261 / 870	D
YGL146C (RRT6)	YGL146C	80	++	uncharacterized; complex with nucleolar proteins	490 / 936	SD
YLL030C (RRT7)	YLL030C	71	+++	uncharacterized	275 / 342	R
YOL048C (RRT8)	YOL048C	52	+++	uncharacterized	124 / 1107	SD
		Reference	Strength of		Location of	Dominant/
URA ⁺ Candidates	ORF	Number ^b	Phenotype ^c	Summary of Function	Insertion ^d	Recessive ^e
<u>Unique Genes</u>						
ATG2	YNL242W	184	++	involved in autophagy and peroxisome degradation	3185 / 4779	R
BNI4	YNL233W	205	++	targeting subunit for Glc7p	1535 / 2679	R
GAPI	YKR039W	172	++	amino acid permease	+397	R
HMS1	YOR032C	186	+	zinc finger protein; similar to myc family of	1001 / 1305	R

transcription factors; synthetic lethal with Top1

MNNI	YER001W	206	+++	mannosyltransferase; membrane glycoprotein	2151 / 2289	R
MSS4	YDR208W	154	+++	actin organization	-187	R
RPN7	YPR108W	152	++	proteosome subunit	-89	R
RRN5	YLR141W	212	++	essential Pol I UAF subunit (c-term)	-300	R
SEY1	YOR165W	202	++	membrane biogenesis and organization	61 / 2331	R
UAF30	YOR295W	174	+++	non-essential Pol I UAF subunit	-47	SD
Intergenic VTS1 / PDE2	YOR359W / YOR360C	155	++	n/a	n/a	R
<u>Uncharacterized</u> YBR147W (RRT11)	YBR147W	178	++	uncharacterized; upregulated by rapamycin/during stationary phase	665 / 891	R
YCR045C (RRT12)	YCR045C	193	+++	uncharacterized	486 / 1476	SD
YER066W (RRT13)	YER066W	183	+++	uncharacterized; homologous to Cdc4p	+103	R
YIL127C (RRT14)	YIL127C	167	++	uncharacterized; nucleolar; downregulated by rapamycin/during stationary phase	27 / 621	D
YLR162W-A (RRT15)	YLR162W-A	171	+++	uncharacterized	+162	D
YNL105W						

^aAll mutants from the screen that passed the backcrossing test are shown, including multiple hits in specific genes.

^bReference number corresponds to strain numbers. For example, 75 is equivalent to PS175 and 212 to PS1212.

^cMutants chosen 1 day after replica-plating (+++), 2 days (++), 3 days (+). ^dNucleotide locations of the mTn3 transposon insertions. +1 corresponds to the first nucleotide of the open reading frame for each gene.

eMutations were tested in heterozygous diploids to determine whether they were dominant (D), semi-dominant (SD), or recessive (R).

Effects of mutations on mURA3 silencing in the context of NTS1

		NTS1+
mutant	nts12 pnenotype	phenotype
bre1-Tn	FOA ^R	normal
cmp2-Tn	FOA ^R	normal
dpb11-Tn	FOA ^R	Ura+
gcn5-Tn	FOA ^R	FOA ^R
hos2-Tn	FOA ^R	FOA ^R
pre9-Tn	FOA ^R	normal
rpn8-Tn	FOA ^R	Ura+
rrt1-Tn	FOA ^R	normal
rrt8-Tn	FOA ^R	normal
sap30-Tn	FOA ^R	Ura+
atg2-Tn	Ura+	normal
gap1-Tn	Ura+	normal
hms1-Tn	Ura+	normal
mnn1-Tn	Ura+	normal
rpn7-Tn	Ura+	normal
rrt11-Tn	Ura ⁺	normal
rrt12-Tn	Ura+	normal
rrt14-Tn	Ura+	normal
sey1-Tn	Ura+	FOA ^R
uaf30-Tn	Ura+	Ura+

Genes deletions tested in the Pol I transcription reporter strain (YRH4)

Gene	ORF	Summary of Function ^a	Phenotype
AHC1	YOR023C	ADA HAT specific subunit	wild type
ARD1	YHR013C	subunit of NatA N-terminal protein acetyltransferase complex	FOA ^R
ASF1	YJL115W	nucleosome remodeling factor; associates with Bdf1p	Ura+
CAC2	YML102W	subunit of the chromatin assembly complex (CAC)	FOAR
FOB1	YDR110W	nucleolar replication fork block protein; required for rDNA recombination	wild type
HAT2	YEL056W	subunit of the Hat1p-Hat2p histone acetyltransferase complex	wild type
HOS1	YPR068C	class I HDAC; interacts with Tup1p-Ssn6p corepressor complex	wild type
HOS3	YPL116W	trichostatin A-insensitive HDAC	wild type
HTZ1	YOL012C	histone variant H2A.Z	Ura+
IRA1	YBR140C	RAS GTPase activating protein	FOAR
MER1	YNL210W	protein involved in chromosome pairing and meiotic recombination	wild type
PAF1	YBR279W	associates with pol II and Cdc73p; elongation factor for pol II	FOAR
PIF1	YML061C	DNA helicase; telomerase formation and elongation	wild type
RAD6	YGL058W	E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme	FOAR
RCO1	YMR075W	unique subunit of the Rpd3S HDAC complex	FOA ^R
RED1	YLR263W	component of the synaptonemal complex	wild type
RPA14	YDR165W	Pol I non-essential subunit	Ura+
RPD3	YNL330C	catalytic subunit of the Rpd3L and Rpd3S HDAC complexes	FOAR
RSC1	YGR056W	subunit of RSC chromatin remodeling complex	FOA ^R
RTG2	YGL252C	SLIK HAT specific subunit	wild type
SET1	YHR119W	histone H3 K4 methyltransferase; subunit of COMPASS	wild type
SET2	YJL168C	histone H3 K36 methyltransferase; involved in pol II elongation	FOAR
SIR2	YDL042C	NAD-dependent histone deacetylase; involved in rDNA, MAT, and	wild type
		telomeric silencing in yeast	, <u>,</u>
SIR3	YLR442C	silencing protein; interacts with Sir2p and Sir4p	FOA ^R
SPT4	YGR063C	elongation factor for Pol I and Pol II	Ura ⁺
SPT7	YBR081C	SAGA HAT specific subunit; truncated version in SLIK	FOAR
SPT8	YLR055C	SAGA HAT specific subunit	FOAR
SWC5	YBR231C	subunit of SWR1 complex; function unknown	FOAR
SWR1	YDR334W	structural component of SWR1 complex; Swi2/Snf2-related ATPase	wild type
TOP1	YOL006C	Topoisomerase I	Ura ⁺
UAF30	YOR295W	Pol I transcription factor; part of UAF	Ura ⁺
UME6	YDR207C	binds URS1 regulatory sequence; recruits Rpd3L complex to gene	Ura+
~100	YCI 940W	mejotic protein involved in synaptonemal complex formation	wild type

afrom the Saccharomyces Genome Database (www.yeastgenome.org)