

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 1

Published in final edited form as: *Int Microbiol.* 2008 December ; 11(4): 267–274.

Phylogenetic diversity and temporal variation in the *Spirochaeta* populations from two Mediterranean microbial mats

Mercedes Berlanga^{1,*}, Jorn A. Aas², Bruce J. Paster², Tahani Boumenna², Floyd E. Dewhirst², and Ricardo Guerrero³

¹Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

²Department of Molecular Genetics, Forsyth Institute, Boston, MA

³Department of Microbiology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Summary

Spirochetes represent one of the bacterial groups often observed in hydrogen-sulfide-rich layers from coastal microbial mats. However, relatively few spirochetes from these microbial mats have been described and characterized. We have used 16S rDNA phylogenetic analysis to investigate the spirochetal diversity of microbial mats from two different geographic locations in the western Mediterranean (Ebro Delta, Spain, and La Camargue, France). Samples from each delta was monitored in the spring and winter over 1 to 2 year's duration. In sequence analysis of 332 clones derived from samples of both deltas, 42 novel phylotypes of not-yet-cultivated spirochetes belonging to the genus Spirochaeta were detected. None of the phylotypes were identified as known culturable species of Spirochaeta or previously identified phylotyepes cloned from other hypersaline microbial mat such as Guerrero Negro. Eight of the phylotypes were common to Ebro and Camargue mats, two of them, IF058 and LL066 are present both in spring and winter. Some phylotypes appeared to show seasonal variation, i.e. they were found only in the spring, but not in the winter. On the other hand, Ebro and Camargue phylotypes compared with phylotypes from Guerrero Negro grouped according to the vertical gradient of oxygen and sulfide in the mat. Some phylotypes, such as LH073, IE028, LH042 or LG013 are harbored in low H₂S or H₂S-O₂ interface zone. In contrast, major phylotypes were detected presumably in deeper layers and they are likely to be strict anaerobes and high tolerance to H₂S. The presence of spirochetes in different located microbial mats suggests that constitutes a very diverse and stable population involved in a well-integrated "symbiosis" (physiological-cell-cooperatively) with other gild communities in the mats to maintain a coordinated functional community.

Keywords

Spirochaeta; phylogenitic diversity; temporal variation; microbial mats

Introduction

Biofilms are bacterial surface-associated communities attached to solid substrata, growing into and embedded in a polymer matrix produced by the bacteria. Microbial mats can be considered complex biofilms. Microbial mats are stratified microbial ecosystems. Lamination results from a light gradient along the vertical axis due to light extinction with depth, and from

^{*}Corresponding author: R. Guerrero, Department of Microbiology, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 645, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain, Tel. +34-934482373. Fax +34-933341079, E-mail: rguerrero@iec.cat

physicochemical microgradients due to the metabolism of different bacterial populations These laminae reflect a complex structure organized at the mm and µm spatial scale. This ecosystem contains within it members of all trophic levels. It is characterized by cyclic seasonal fluctuations of flooding and desiccation, and by diel fluctuations in the concentrations of oxygen, sulfide, and other chemical nutrients (Decker et al., 2005). Microorganisms rapidly respond to changes in various physicochemical gradients, and locate themselves according to the most favorable environmental conditions. This behavior is likely to govern the vertical species stratification that results from the active migration of motile cells in response to the shifting gradients of electron donors and/or acceptors observed within microbial mats (Guerrero & Berlanga, 2006). Microbial mats exemplify functionally integrated, self sustaining, laminated microbial consortia systems (Guerrero et al., 2002; Paerl et al., 2000; Des Marais, 2003). Mats exhibit a remarkably high degree of biodiversity compressed into a few millimeters. Molecular analysis of Guerrero Negro microbial mats revealed the most complex bacteria populations described to date in any environment, with 42 phyla including 15 novel candidate phyla (Ley et al., 2006). In comparison, soil can contain more than 20 bacterial divisions (Dumbar et al., 2002), approximately 12 division are represented in Sargasso Sea (Venter et al., 2004) and the lowest identified to date is the adult human gastrointestinal with 8 divisions (Bäckhed et al., 2005) or in healthy human skin also with 8 divisions (Gao *et al.*, 2007), but it appears to be tremendously diverse at the strain and species level.

Free-living spirochetes represent one of the bacterial groups often observed in hydrogen sulfide rich layers from microbial mats habitats (Ley *et al.*, 2006; Abed *et al.*, 2007; Bordenave *et al.*, 2007], and spirochetes may constitute a stable population, in deed, it has been observed the cosmopolitan distribution of *Spirosymplokos deltaeiberi*, described first in Ebro Delta microbial mats (Guerrero *et al.*, 1993; Margulis *et al.*, 1993], and lately in samples from Sippewissett salt marsh at Woods Hole, Massachusetts, US, and from microbial mats at North Pond, Laguna Figueroa, Baja California Norte, Mexico. The identity of these spirochetes was confirmed by electron microscopy (Margulis *et al.*, 1998). Spirochetes are a group of helical, motile bacteria that are widely distributed in nature. The spirochetes represent a monophyletic phylum characterized phenotipically by a cellular ultrastructure and a movement that are unique among the bacteria. [Paster & Dewhirst, 2000).

The primary purpose of this study was to use culture-independent molecular methods to determine and compare the *Spirochaeta* diversity from two Mediterranean microbial mats Ebro Delta (Northeastern coastline of Spain) and La Camargue (South coastline of France) and compared with some cultivable species, "fifteen" cultivable species of *Spirochaeta* are presently known (Leschine *et al.*, 2006) or phylotypes previously reported. The major difference between the two geographical sites is their salinity. Ebro Delta salinity ranges from 40 to 70 ‰ (Mir *et al.*, 2002), whereas La Camargue has a higher salinity, from 60 to 140‰ (Wieldant & Kühl, 2006). It has been described that salinity influence microbial mat composition and it reflected in the diversity of the entire prokaryotic community (Abed *et al.*, 2007; Yannarell & Paerl, 2007), and hence may control also spirochaeta diversity by monitoring samples taken over the course of 1 to 2 years.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Samples were collected from laminated, intertidial microbial mats from Alfacs Peninsula, Ebro Delta, Northeastern Spain (0° 35'E, 0° 56'E; 40° 33'N, 40° 47'N), and La Camargue, Rhône Delta, Southern France (04° 11'E, 04° 57'E; 43° 40'N, 44° 40'N). Samples were collected in cores (1 cm \times 3 cm) of mats and sliced horizontally in 2-mm increments (from the top to a

depth of 6 mm) at 12.00 h. Samples were obtained from the Ebro Delta in May 2001, November 2002, and May 2003, and from La Carmargue in April 2002, November 2002, and April 2003.

DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from 2-6 mm depth slices. Pieces of microbial mat of approximately 1 mm³ from each slice were suspended in 100 μ l TE buffer in 2.0 ml vials with a capful of 0.1 mm glass beads. The mixture was then homogenized for 1 min in a Minibeadbeater-8 (Biospec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, OK) and centrifuged at high speed for 2 min. Carefully avoiding the transfer of beads, 50 μ l of each sample was pipetted into sterile 0.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. DNA was extracted with a phenol-chloroform mixture and precipitated in the cold with 95% ethanol. Aliquots were resuspended in 20 μ l TE pH 7.5 and stored at -20°C to preserve the DNA until needed.

PCR and cloning

A spirochetal selective reverse primer 5'-GTTACGACTTCACCCYCCT-3' was used with a universal forward primer 5'-GAGTTTGATYMTGGCTCAG-3' to selectively amplify spirochetal 16S rRNA genes from environmental samples (Dewhirst *et al.*, 2000). PCR amplification was performed in 50 µl final volume of reaction mix (1 µl of the DNA template, 20 pmol of each primer, 40 nmol of dNTPs, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 1 U of Taq platinum polymerase (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, CA). Samples were preheated at 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, annealing at 60°C for 45 s, and elongation at 72° C for 1.5 min, and finally an elongation step at 72°C for 15 min. The results of PCR amplification were examined by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel. DNA was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under short-wavelength UV light. PCR products were purified by a QIAquick Gel extraction kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) and cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, CA), according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

16S rRNA sequencing

Purified PCR products were sequenced using an ABI prism cycle-sequencing kit (BigDye® Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase FS, Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA). The primers used for 16S rRNA sequencing were as previously described (Paster *et al.*, 2001). Half dye or quarter dye chemistry was used with 3.2 mM primers, 3 µl PCR product in a final volume of 20 µl. Cycle sequencing was performed using an ABI 9700 with 25 cycles of denaturation at 96°C for 10 s, and annealing and extension at 60°C for 4 min. Sequencing reactions were run on an ABI 3100 DNA sequencer.

Data analysis

Over 300 clones with the correct size insert of approximately 1,500 bases were analyzed. About 500 bases were first sequenced to determine approximate phylogenetic position. Then, full sequences were obtained for representatives of novel *Spirochaete* phylotypes. The 16S rRNA sequences were compared to known sequences in GenBank with the advanced gapped BLAST (basic local alignment search tool) algorithm. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using MEGA version 2.1. The dendrogram was constructed using the neighbor-joining algorithm and the kimura 2-parameter distance estimation method. Two hundred bootstrap trees were generated, and bootstrap confidence levels were determined using the MEGA 2.1 program. Chimeric sequences were identified by using the Chimera check program in the Ribosomal Database Project II (Cole *et al.*, 2003), by treeing analysis, or base signature analysis.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The complete 16S rRNA gene sequences of clones representing novel phylotypes defined in this study and published sequences are available for electronic retrieval from the EMBL, GenBank, and DDBJ nucleotide sequence databases.

Results

A total of 332 clones were sequenced in order to establish the diversity of spirochetes in two microbial mats located in the Mediterranean (Ebro Delta, and La Camargue); temporal variation of spirochete diversity at each location was also examined. New 42 *Spirochaete* phylotypes were detected in these microbial mats (Fig. 1). Collectively, there were 33 phylotypes detected in Ebro Delta samples, of which 25 were unique to this location. In La Camargue samples, 17 phylotypes were detected, of which 9 were found only in La Camargue. There were 8 phylotypes common to both samples.

None of the phylotypes were identified as known cultivable species of *Spirochaeta*. Phylotype IE071 grouped with *Spirochaeta bajacaliforniensis* and *S. smaragdinae*, both species are obligate anaerobes. *S. bajacaliforniensis* is a marine spirochete isolated from Laguna Figueroa microbial mats (Baja California, Mexico) (Frasek & Stolz, 1985); and *S. smaragdinae* was isolated from an oil field (Congo, Africa), it grew optimally with a sodium chloride concentration of 5%, and reduced thiosulfate and sulfur to H₂S (Magot *et al.*, 1997). LH073 clusted with *S. alkalica, S. africana* and *S. halophila*. The two firsts species are aerotolerant anaerobes, halophilic, and isolated from sediments of alkaline lakes; *S. halophila* is a facultative anaerobe isolated from a high-salinity pond. These five spirochaeta species may share physiological characteristics with our phylotypes because of the environment conditions may be similar. Whereas, there are no close relationship with S. asiatia, S. thermophila, S. zuelzerae, S. caldaria, S. stenostrepta, and *S. aurantia* (see the review about the genera *Spirochaeta*, Leshine *et al.*, 2006). In deed, the species *S. caldaria, S. stenostrepta* and *S. zuelzerae* although free living spirochetes grouped within the genus *Treponema* on the basis of 16S rRNA sequence (Paster *et al.*, 2000].

Temporal variation was observed in the spirochetal phylotypes IE029, IE044, IE090, IE070 that were detected only in springtime samplings, but seem to "disappear" in the cold season. This fluctuation, seasonal variation, in population distribution of 16S rRNA phylotypes has been observed in a hot spring microbial mat (Ferris *et al.*, 1997; Bhaya *et al.*, 2007), diazotrophic bacteria in Chesapeake Bay (Short *et al.*, 2004) or in temperate stream habitats (Hullar *et al.*, 2006) that have distinct nonramdom spatial and seasonal distribution that are either specific physical processes or adapted to different environmental niches. Phylotypes IF058 and LL066 ere present both in spring and winter and in both mats, Ebro and Camargue.

None of the Ebro-Camargue-spirochetes phylotypes were identified as previously phylotypes reported from other hypersaline microbial mat such as Guerrero Negro (Fig. 2). Ley *et al.* (2006) described microbial diversity within the Guerrero Negro mat vertically on millimeter scale. Samples were collected in June and October at 4 am (night) and 1 pm (day). The majority of spring Mediterranean spirochetes phylotypes grouped with October samples from Guerrero Negro, in which the temperature and irradiance during the autumn and the spring could be similar. Although we have not studied spirochete diversity through depth in Ebro and Camargue mats, when we compare them with spirochetes from Guerrero Negro we can observed two major groups: spirochetes that were located in the oxic zone, and spirochetes that were placed in the anoxic zone. The phylotypes LH073, LH042 and IE016 cluster with phylotypes detected at 0-2 mm depth in Guerrero Negro mats picked up during the day, that correspond on aerobics, facultative anaerobes or aerotolerant spirochetes. These phylotypes also grouped with aerotolerant or facultative anaerobe cultivable *Spirochaeta* (see Fig. 1). Mediterranean

Page 5

spirochetes that cluster with Guerrero Negro phylotypes observed at > 2 mm depth collected both during the day or night may consider obligate anaerobes.

Discussion

Although spirochetes population in microbial mats seems to represent only 1% to 4% of the total population, spirochetal population at specie-taxonomical level is remarkable higher in microbial mats of the Ebro Delta and La Camargue as observed previously in Guerrero Negro mat (Ley *et al.*, 2006). It has been suggested that diversity of competing or related phylotypes coexistence may be associated with both spatial and temporal segregation in spatially heterogeneous environments that challenges the metabolic and regulatory repertoire of the indigenous populations (Kerr *et al.*, 2002; Hansen *et al.*, 2004; Ward *et al.*, 2006). Phylotypes may complement each other in space, so spatial gradients, allows occupation with more diverse species. Diversity may acts as insurance for ecosystem functioning against temporal environmental change to functional compensations among phylotypes as showed in both seasonally and diel fluctuations in microbial mats (Ley *et al.*, 2006; Bordenave *et al.*, 2007; Villanueva *et al.*, 2007).

The temperature change dramatically between summer and winter season. During winter time ambient temperatures are lower and daily temperature variations (day-night) less pronounced than in summer, so less pronounced daily temperatures variation in winter could have resulted in an adaptation of the microbial population to lower temperatures, that could explain the temporal season distribution of several phylotypes, such as the phylotypes IE029, IE044, IE090 and IE070. Adaptation of populations to different temperatures may help to provide homeostasis within the mat community, i.e temperature-adapted populations could stabilize community functions against flow-related or seasonal variation in temperature (Wieland & Kühl, 2006; Ward *et al.*, 2006, Bhaya *et al.*, 2007).

Diel fluctuations in the concentrations of oxygen and sulfide shape the chemical environment and provide daily contrasting microenvironments that are separated on a scale of a few millimeters. During the day the redoxcline may be ca. 1-2 mm depth. Oxygen concentration within the mat increased during the day until the afternoon and then decreased with decreasing irradiance. When oxygenic photosynthesis ceases at night, the upper layers of the mat become highly reduced and sulfidic (Mir *et al.*, 2002; Ley *et al.*, 2006; Wieland & Kühl, 2006). Microorganisms orient along microscale chemical (i.e. O_2 , pH, E_h) gradients to meet and optimize the biogeochemical processes (C, N, S cycling) essential for survival, growth and maintenance of genetic diversity, needed to sustain functional systems. Phylotypes LH073, LH042 and IE016 may be aerobe, aerotolerant or facultative anaerobe, that may be present in the upper layers and they may be important population in the sharp transition between the oxic and the sulfidic zone that is characterized by a narrow zone of overlapping O_2 and sulfide gradients.

The main link in microbial community is the trophic interaction. The functional grouping within the community depends on the quality of substrates and products. This type of interdependence is exampled by an anaerobic community where a network operates from hydrolytic to fermenting primary anaerobes, then to syntrophic bacteria and to homoacetocetic, methanogenic, or sulfidogenic secondary anaerobes. In diverse anoxic environments, spirochetes are the trophic intermediate between the hydrolytic bacteria and these secondary anaerobes, because of the main compounds produced by spirochete are acetate, H₂, and CO₂, which are normally consumed by sulfate-reducing bacteria and methanogens (Fernández *et al.*, 1999; Blazejak *et al.*, 2005). Spirochetes may constitute a ubiquitous component of microbial mats that may play an important functional role in the community supplying carbon

sources and electron donors to the other populations. *Spirochaeta* phylotypes persist in anoxicmicrooxic sediments and compete effectively with other heterotrophic organisms

This study is a good "first-step" estimate of the diversity of spirochetes in microbial mats of the Ebro Delta and La Camargue. Additional 16S rDNA sequencing needs to be done to establish the spirochetal diversity through depth in the mat. Once achieved, 16S rRNA-based checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization assays or microarrays can be used to more accurately determine the bacterial populations' distribution and function within microbial mats.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grant CGL2005-04990/BOS (Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology) to RG, and by NIH grants DE-11443 and DE-10374 from the National Institute of Dental & Craniofacial Research to BJP

References

- Abed RMM, Kohls K, de Beer D. Effect of salinity changes on the bacterial diversity, photosynthesis and oxygen consumption of cyanobacterial mats from an intertidal flat of the Arabian Gulf. Environ Microbiol 2007;9:1384–1392. [PubMed: 17504476]
- Bhaya D, Grossman AR, Steunou A-S, Khuri N, Cohan FM, Hamamura N, Melendrez MC, Bateson MM, Ward DM, Heidelberg JF. Population level functional diversity in a microbial community revealed by comparative genomic and metagenomic analyses. The ISME J 2007;1:703–713.
- Bäckhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science 2005;307:1915–1920. [PubMed: 15790844]
- Blazejak A, Erséus C, Amann R, Dubilier N. Coexistence of bacterial sulfide oxidizers, sulfate reducers, and spirochetes in a gutless worm (Oligochaeta) from the Peru margin. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:1553–1561. [PubMed: 15746360]
- Bordenave S, Goñi-Urriza MS, Caumette P, Duran R. Effects of heavy fuel oil on the bacterial community structure of a pristine microbial mat. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:6089–6097. [PubMed: 17704271]
- Cole JR, Chai B, Marsh TL, Farris RJ, Wang Q, Kulam SA, Chandra S, McGarrell DM, Schmidt TM, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP-II): previewing a new autoaligner that allows regular updates and the new prokaryotic taxonomy. Nucleic Acids Res 2003;31:442–443. [PubMed: 12520046]
- Decker KLM, Potter CS, Bebout BM, Des Marais DJ, Carpenter S, Discipulo M, Hoehler TM, Miller SR, Thamdrup B, Turk KA, Visscher PT. Mathematical simulation of the diel O, S, and C Biogeochemistry of a hypersaline microbial mat. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2005;52:377–395. [PubMed: 16329922]
- Des Marais DJ. Biogeochemistry of hypersaline microbial mats illustrates the dynamics of modern microbial ecosystems and the early evolution of the biosphere. Biol Bull 2003;204:160–167. [PubMed: 12700147]
- Dewhirst FE, Tamer MA, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Paster BJ. The diversity of periodontal spirochetes by 16S rRNA analysis. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2000;15:196– 202. [PubMed: 11154403]
- Dumbar J, Barns SM, Ticknor LO, Kuskei CR. Empirical and theoretical bacterial diversity in four Arizona soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:3035–3045. [PubMed: 12039765]
- Fernández A, Huang S, Seston S, Xing J, Hickey R, Criddle C, Tiedje J. How stable is stable? Function versus community composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:3697–3704. [PubMed: 10427068]
- Ferris MJ, Ward DM. Seasonal distributions of dominant 16S rRNA-defined populations in a hot spring microbial mat examined by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997;63:1375–1381. [PubMed: 9097434]
- Fracek SP Jr, Stolz JF. *Spirochaeta bajacaliforniensis* sp. n. from a microbial mat community at Laguna Figueroa, Baja California Norte, Mexico. Arch Microbiol 1985;142:317–325. [PubMed: 11542001]

- Gao Z, Tseng C-H, Pei Z, Blaser MJ. Molecular analysis of human forearm superficial skin bacterial biota. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104:2927–2932. [PubMed: 17293459]
- Guerrero R, Ashen J, Solé M, Margulis L. *Spirosymplokos deltaeiberi* nov. gen., nov. sp.: variablediameter composite spirochete from microbial mats. Arch Microbiol 1993;160:461–470. [PubMed: 11539506]
- Guerrero R, Piqueras M, Berlanga M. Microbial mats and the search for minimal ecosystems. Int Microbiol 2002;5:177–188. [PubMed: 12497183]
- Guerrero R, Berlanga M. Life's unity and flexibility: the ecological link. Int Microbiol 2006;9:225–235. [PubMed: 17061213]
- Hansen, SH.; Molin, S. Temporal segregation: succession in biofilms. In: Miller, RV.; Day, MJ., editors. Microbial Evolution. Gene establishment, survival, and exchange. ASM Press; Washington DC: 2004. p. 192-213.
- Hullar MAJ, Kaplan LA, Stahl DA. Recurring seasonal dynamics of microbial communities in stream habitas. Appl Environm Microbiol 2006;72:713–722.
- Kerr B, Riley MA, Feldman MW, Bohannan BJM. Local dispersal promotes biodiversity in real-life gane of rock-paper-scissors. Nature 2002;418:171–174. [PubMed: 12110887]
- Leschine, S.; Paster, BJ.; Canale-Parola, E. Free-living saccharolytic spirochetes: the genus Spirochaeta. The Prokaryotes; Springer, NY: 2006. DOI: 10.1007/0-387-30747-8
- Ley RE, Harris JK, Wilcox J, Spear JR, Miller SR, Bebout BM, Maresca JA, Bryant DA, Sogin ML, Pace NR. Unexpected diversity and complexity of the Guerrero Negro hypersaline microbial mats. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:3685–3695. [PubMed: 16672518]
- Magot M, Fardeau ML, Arnauld O, Lanau C, Olliver B, Thomas P, Patel BK. *Spirochaeta smaragdinae* sp. nov., a new mesophilic strictly anaerobic spirochete from an oil field. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1997;155:185–191. [PubMed: 9351200]
- Margulis L, Ashen JB, Solé M, Guerrero R. Composite, large spirochetes from microbial mats: spirochete structure review. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993;90:6966–6970. [PubMed: 8346204]
- Margulis L, Navarrete A, Solé M. Cosmopolitan distribution of the large composite microbial mat spirochete, *Spirosymplokos deltaeiberi*. Int Microbiol 1998;1:27–34. [PubMed: 10943338]
- Mir J, Martínez-Alonso M, Caumette P, Guerrero R, Esteve I. Sulfide fluxes in a microbial mat from the Ebro Delta, Spain. Int Microbiol 2002;5:133–138. [PubMed: 12207215]
- Paerl HW, Pinckney JL, Steppe TF. Cyanobacterial-bacterial mat consortia: examining the functional unit of microbial survival and growth in extreme environments. Environ Microbiol 2000;2:11–26. [PubMed: 11243256]
- Paster BJ, Dewhirst FE. Phylogenetic foundation of spirochetes. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2000;2:341–344. [PubMed: 11075904]
- Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Sahasrabudhe A, Dewhirst FE. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. J Bacteriol 2001;183:3770–3783. [PubMed: 11371542]
- Short SM, Jenkins BD, Zehr JP. Spatial and temporal distribution of two diazotrophic bacteria in the Chesapeake Bay. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:2186–2192. [PubMed: 15066812]
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, et al. Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargassoo Sea. Science 2004;304:66–74. [PubMed: 15001713]
- Villanueva L, Navarrete A, Urmeneta J, White DC, Guerrero R. Analysis of diurnal and vertical microbial diversity of a hypersaline microbial mat. Arch microbiol 2007;188:137–146. [PubMed: 17361455]
- Ward DM, Bateson MM, Ferris MJ, Kühl M, Wieland A, Koeppel A, Cohan FM. Cyanobacteria ecotypes in the microbial mat community of mushroom spring (Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming) as species-like units linking microbial community composition structure and function. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2006DOI:10.1098/rstb.2006.1919
- Wieland A, Kühl M. Regulation of photosynthesis and oxygen consumption in a hypersaline cyanobacterial mat (Camargue, France) by irradiance, temperature and salinity. FEMS Microb Ecol 2006;55:195–210.
- Yannarell AC, Paerl HW. Effects of salinity and light on organic carbon and nitrogen uptake in a hypersaline microbial mat. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2007;62:345–353. [PubMed: 17916075]

	Ebro	Camargue
		$ \longrightarrow $
	3 6 7	' 3 5 5 '
	200 200	200 200
₉₉ <u>100 </u> Spirochaeta alkalica; X93927	ay, sv.,	oril, oril,
86 Spirochaeta americana; AF373921	žžΣ	A X A
Spirochaeta halophila; M88722		
spiro ebro clone Lh0/3; AY6051/5		
spiro ebro clone L E002: AY605158		
90 spiro camargue clone LG007: AY605163		
spiro ebro clone IE043; AY605135	410	
81 spiro camargue clone IF018; AY605150		11
L spiro camargue clone IF058, AY605154		213
95 spiro ebro clone LH071;AY605174		
spiro ebro clone LH046; AY605173		
spiro camargue clone LL066, AY505180		
100 - spire obro clone 1005,47603146		
99 - 100 - spiro ebro clone 1E094: AY605135		
⁷² spiro ebro clone IE018: AY605178		
62 Spiro ebro clone IE022: AY605130		
spiro ebro clone IE024, AY605131	4	
spiro ebro clone IE073,AY605175		
100 — spiro camargue clone LG003; AY605162		
spiro ebro clone LH079;AY605176		
spiro ebro clone IE044, AY605136	<u>H</u> LL	
spiro camargue cione IF-090; AY605144		
100, spiro ebro clone 15037, A Y605133		
100spiro carnaligue (E052: AY605138		
spiro camarque clone L G016: AY605165		
spiro ebro clone LF006: AY605159		
L spiro ebro clone IE028; AY605154		
d ∏ giro ebro clone LH081; AY605177		
spiro ebro clone IE071; AY605142		
100 Spirochaeta bajacaliforniensis; M71239		
100 — Spirochaeta smaragdinae; 080597		
79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 7		
er 78 78 spiro cantalque clone ELU20, Ar605126		
spiro ebro clone IE064:AY605140		
97		
spiro ebro clone IE090; AY605144		
spiro ebro clone IE070; AY605141	$1 \square 1$	$1 \square 1$
50 spiro camargue clone IF042; AY605152		
Spiro camargue clone IF068; AY605156		
spiro ebro clone LH014; AY605167		
67 Spirochaeta asiatica, X93926		
51 64 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57		
Spirochaeta caldaria: M71240		
Spirochaeta stenostrepta: M88724		
Spirochaeta isovalerica; M88720		
99 Spirochaeta litoralis; M88723		
Spirochaeta aurantia; AY489123		
61 Borrelia burgdorferi; M59293		
Leptospira interrogans; X17547		

0.02

Fig.1.

Spirochetal phylotypes of the genus *Spirochaeta* detected from microbial mats of the Ebro Delta and La Camargue. The information presented includes spirochetal species or phylotype clone ID and sequence accession number. Novel phylotypes are defined as those taxa that are <98.5 similar in sequence comparisons to its closest relative. Columns to the right indicate presence of phylotype in each delta at different sampling dates. Numbers in boxes represent the number of clones that the phylotype was detected in each library. Boxes with no number indicate that the phylotype was not detected at that time point. Bar = 0.02 difference in nucleotide sequence. On thousand bootstrap trees were generated and bootstrap confidence levels as percentages (only values over 50%) are shown at tree nodes.



Fig.2.

Spirochaeta phylotypes detected from Ebro and Camargue microbial mats vs. *Spirochaeta* phylotypes from Guerrero Negro mats. Phylotypes from Guerrero Negro were named according to the layer they originated from (01 to 10), the time of collection (D for day, N for night), and the month of collection (1, June; 2, October).