

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

*Nat Genet*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 1

Published in final edited form as: *Nat Genet.* 2008 December ; 40(12): 1466–1471. doi:10.1038/ng.279.

## Recurrent reciprocal 1q21.1 deletions and duplications associated with microcephaly or macrocephaly and developmental and behavioral abnormalities

Nicola Brunetti-Pierri<sup>1,23</sup>, Jonathan S Berg<sup>1,23</sup>, Fernando Scaglia<sup>1,2</sup>, John Belmont<sup>1</sup>, Carlos A Bacino<sup>1,2</sup>, Trilochan Sahoo<sup>1</sup>, Seema R Lalani<sup>1</sup>, Brett Graham<sup>1</sup>, Brendan Lee<sup>1,3</sup>, Marwan Shinawi<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Shen<sup>1</sup>, Sung-Hae L Kang<sup>1</sup>, Amber Pursley<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Lotze<sup>4</sup>, Gail Kennedy<sup>5,6</sup>, Susan Lansky-Shafer<sup>5,6</sup>, Christine Weaver<sup>5,6</sup>, Elizabeth R Roeder<sup>7</sup>, Theresa A Grebe<sup>8</sup>, Georgianne L Arnold<sup>9</sup>, Terry Hutchison<sup>10</sup>, Tyler Reimschisel<sup>11</sup>, Stephen Amato<sup>12</sup>, Michael T Geragthy<sup>13</sup>, Jeffrey W Innis<sup>14</sup>, Ewa Obersztyn<sup>15</sup>, Beata Nowakowska<sup>15</sup>, Sally S Rosengren<sup>16</sup>, Patricia I Bader<sup>17</sup>, Dorothy K Grange<sup>11</sup>, Sayed Naqvi<sup>18</sup>, Adolfo D Garnica<sup>19</sup>, Saunder M Bernes<sup>20</sup>, Chin-To Fong<sup>9</sup>, Anne Summers<sup>21</sup>, W David Walters<sup>22</sup>, James R Lupski<sup>1,2</sup>, Pawel Stankiewicz<sup>1</sup>, Sau Wai Cheung<sup>1</sup>, and Ankita Patel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA <sup>2</sup>Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas 77030, USA <sup>3</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030, USA <sup>4</sup>Section of Neurology, Department of Pediatrics, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas 77030, USA <sup>5</sup>Carle Clinic, Urbana, Illinois 61821, USA <sup>6</sup>University of Illinois College of Medicine, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois 61801, USA <sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Genetics and Metabolic Disorders, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas 78207, USA <sup>8</sup>St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center, CHC Phoenix Genetics Program, Phoenix, Arizona 85013, USA <sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York 14642, USA <sup>10</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94143, USA <sup>11</sup>Division of Genetics and Genomic Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA <sup>12</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Eastern Maine Medical Center, Bangor, Maine 04401, USA <sup>13</sup>Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L1, Canada <sup>14</sup>Departments of Human Genetics and Pediatrics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA <sup>15</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Institute of Mother and Child, 01-211, Warsaw, Poland <sup>16</sup>Division of Human Genetics, University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, Connecticut 06030, USA <sup>17</sup>Department of Cytogenetics, Parkview Hospital, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805, USA <sup>18</sup>Department of Neurology, Miami Children's Hospital, Miami, Florida 33155, USA <sup>19</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Oklahoma State University, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74127, USA <sup>20</sup>Department of Neurology, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona 85016, USA <sup>21</sup>Medical Genetics, North York General Hospital, Toronto, Ontario P1B 4E7, Canada <sup>22</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, Arkansas 72202, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to A.P. (E-mail: ankitap@bcm.edu). <sup>23</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

Author Contributions: N.B.-P. coordinated clinical data collection. Clinical information was provided by N.B.-P., J.S.B., F.S., J.B., C.A.B., B.G., B.L., M.S., J.S., A.P., T.L., G.K., S.L.-S., C.W., E.R.R., T.A.G., G.L.A., T.H., T.R., S.A., M.T.G., J.W.I., E.O., B.N., S.S.R., P.I.B., D.K.G., S.N., A.D.G., S.M.B., C.-T.F., A.S. and W.D.W. Array-CGH and FISH analysis were carried out by A.P., C.A.B., T.S., S.R.L., S.-H.L.K., P.S. and S.-W.C. Data interpretation, critical revisions and writing of the manuscript were carried out by N.B.-P., J.S.B. and A.P. J.R.L., P.S. and S.W.C. contributed to the writing of the manuscript. A.P. provided supervision and oversaw manuscript preparation and revision.

**Competing Interests Statement:** The authors declare competing financial interests: details accompany the full-text HTML version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/naturegenetics/.

### Abstract

Chromosome region 1q21.1 contains extensive and complex low-copy repeats, and copy number variants (CNVs) in this region have recently been reported in association with congenital heart defects<sup>1</sup>, developmental delay<sup>2,3</sup>, schizophrenia and related psychoses<sup>4,5</sup>. We describe 21 probands with the 1q21.1 microduplication. These CNVs were inherited in most of the cases in which parental studies were available. Consistent and statistically significant features of microcephaly and macrocephaly were found in individuals with micro-deletion and microduplication, respectively. Notably, a paralog of the *HYDIN* gene located on 16q22.2 and implicated in autosomal recessive hydrocephalus<sup>6</sup> was inserted into the 1q21.1 region during the evolution of *Homo sapiens*<sup>7</sup>; we found this locus to be deleted or duplicated in the individuals we studied, making it a probable candidate for the head size abnormalities observed. We propose that recurrent reciprocal microdeletions and microduplications within 1q21.1 represent previously unknown genomic disorders characterized by abnormal head size along with a spectrum of developmental delay, neuropsychiatric abnormalities, dysmorphic features and congenital anomalies. These phenotypes are subject to incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity.

Our clinical cytogenetics laboratory has examined 16,557 samples from affected individuals by targeted array comparative genomic hybridization (array CGH) over 4 years. Samples were received for a wide range of referring diagnoses, including mental retardation, autism and/or congenital anomalies. During this period we have identified 27 probands with microdeletions (clinical information was available for 21 of these cases; Table 1) and 17 probands with microduplications (clinical information was available for 15 of these cases; Table 2) involving 1q21.1. All cases were found to have loss or gain corresponding to BAC clones (RP11-337C18, RP11-533N14 and RP11-102F23) within the distal 1q21.1 region, similar to gains or losses previously reported in a small number of cases<sup>1–3</sup> but distinct from the deletion region implicated in thrombocytopenia absent radius (TAR) syndrome<sup>8</sup> (Fig. 1a).

We examined the genomic structure of the 1q21.1 region to determine the relationships among the various low-copy repeats (LCRs) (Fig. 1b). As previously indicated<sup>2</sup>, this region contains numerous LCRs that could mediate genomic rearrangements. The reference sequence used in our analysis (Human Genome Build 36.1, from the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) genome browser) contains 15 gaps within sub-band 1q21.1, many of which are adjacent to LCRs, thus limiting the analysis, and revision of the reference sequence for this region is likely to alter the overall structure of the LCRs.

We carried out FISH analyses to refine the breakpoint regions. BAC clone RP11-769J20 was used to examine whether CNVs extend through the TAR syndrome region. BAC clones RP11-115G11 (which maps within the telomeric repeat region but in a region of relatively unique sequence) and RP11-15H9 (which maps to sub-band 1q21.2, distal to the telomeric repeats and the cluster of histone genes) were used to determine the telomeric extent of the CNVs (summarized in Fig. 1c).

Combined array-CGH and FISH results indicated that deletions cluster into two classes. Class I deletions are defined as involving only the distal 1q21.1 region, with telomeric breakpoints mapping within clone RP11-115G1. Class II deletions are defined as larger deletions including both the TAR syndrome and distal 1q21.1 regions, with telomeric breakpoints mapping within RP11-115G1 or further within the telomeric LCR (Fig. 1c).

Among microduplication cases, 16 of 17 involved only the distal 1q21.1 region (class I). In one case (clinical information not available), the microduplication extended from the TAR region through the distal 1q21.1 region (class II). In all microduplication cases, clone RP11-115G11 showed three signals whereas RP11-15H9 showed two signals, indicating that

the breakpoints were located within or distal to RP11-115G11. One individual (subject 36) with microduplication of the distal 1q21.1 region was found to have an intriguing complex rearrangement involving microdeletion of the TAR region, which was inherited from the mother and also present in two similarly affected siblings. Both parental samples were available for analysis in 17 of 21 microdeletion cases and 12 of 15 microduplication cases, and the CNVs were inherited from a parent in most cases (Tables 1 and 2). There were three de novo microduplication.

Certain facial dysmorphic features such as frontal bossing, deep-set eyes and bulbous nose in individuals with 1q21.1 microduplication (Fig. 2), and frontal bossing and hypertelorism in individuals with 1q21.1 microduplication (Fig. 3) seem to be common. Otherwise, no distinctive pattern of facial dysmorphic features was noted. A wide range of congenital anomalies was present, although without a clearly apparent pattern (Tables 1 and 2). Developmental delay and/or learning disabilities were reported in most cases. Finally, behavioral abnormalities were frequently observed, including attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), autism, anxiety/depression, antisocial behavior, aggression and even hallucinations. It should be noted that many of the affected individuals are young and may not yet have manifested atypical behaviors at the time of examination. Furthermore, clinically relevant abnormalities including congenital cataract, learning disabilities, ADHD, depression/ anxiety and antisocial behavior were present in some but not all parents carrying the 1q21.1 CNVs (Tables 1 and 2), and these parents had not previously been suspected of having a chromosomal abnormality.

Individuals with microdeletion and microduplication differed significantly in their mean head sizes (unpaired Student's *t*-test, P < 0.0001). The mean Z score for microdeletion cases (probands, parents and siblings carrying the microdeletion) was -2.53 (95% confidence interval (c.i.) = -2.96; -2.11), significantly different from the population mean, which is zero by definition (one sample *t*-test, P < 0.0001). Among these individuals 21 of 29 had a head circumference Z score less than -2. There was greater variation among microduplication cases, with 10 of 24 having head circumference Z scores greater than +2. Nevertheless, the mean Z score for microduplication cases (probands, parents and siblings carrying the microdeletion) was +0.95 (95% c.i. = 0.06; 1.83), which was statistically different from the population mean (one sample *t*-test, P < 0.05). Because of the possible effect of shared genetic background on head size among parents and siblings, we also carried out the statistical analyses on probands only, finding that the mean Z score for probands with the microdeletion was -2.55 (95% c.i. = -3.12; -1.98) and the mean Z score for probands with the microduplication was +1.15(95%)c.i. = 0.03; 2.28). Both the microdeletion and microduplication groups were still significantly different from each other (unpaired *t*-test, P < 0.0001) and from the population mean (deletion probands, one sample *t*-test, P < 0.0001; duplication probands, one sample *t*-test, P < 0.05). To rule out ascertainment bias for head size abnormalities within the referred population, which is enriched for individuals with clinically relevant phenotypes, we obtained head circumference measurements for 50 randomly selected individuals referred for array-CGH testing during the same time period in which the index cases were received. These control individuals had a much wider range of head circumference measurements than either the microdeletion or microduplication cases. The mean Z score among control individuals was -0.62 (95% c.i. = -1.33; 0.08), which was not statistically different from the population mean (one sample *t*-test, P = 0.083) (Fig. 4a). In addition, we found statistically significant differences between microdeletion cases and controls (unpaired *t*-test, P < 0.0001) and between microduplication cases and controls (unpaired *t*-test, P < 0.01), thus strengthening the finding that 1q21.1 CNVs are important in determining head size (Fig. 4a).

It was previously reported that a large interchromosomal duplication containing a paralog of the *HYDIN* gene on chromosome 16q22.2 was inserted into 1q21.1 during primate evolution,

Brunetti-Pierri et al.

but this contig is not accounted for in the current build of the human genome<sup>7</sup>. Homozygous inactivating mutations of *HYDIN* cause hydrocephalus in mice<sup>6</sup>, probably as a result of impaired ciliary function of ependymal cells<sup>9</sup>. Notably, a conserved protein domain found several times in the HYDIN protein and designated as ASH (ASPM, SPD-2, Hydin) was identified at the N terminus of abnormal spindle-like microcephaly-associated protein (ASPM) <sup>10,11</sup>, which is implicated in control of cerebral cortex size<sup>12</sup>. Moreover, individuals with cytogenetically visible deletions on 16q22.2 that presumably involve the *HYDIN* gene have been reported to have microcephaly<sup>13–15</sup>.

The 1q21.1 HYDIN paralog is exclusively expressed in the brain<sup>6</sup>, and we suggest that it could be involved in the head size abnormalities seen in our affected individuals. Accordingly, the 1q21.1 HYDIN paralog is deleted or duplicated in all individuals with deletion or duplication of distal 1q21.1, respectively (Fig. 4b–d). These results also indicate that this locus maps in one of the two gap segments between the TAR syndrome region and the distal 1q21.1 region. Indeed, it was predicted on the basis of sequence data that the duplicated paralog extends into a contig (NT 034398) mapping to 1q21.1 (ref. 7). Given that the LCRs flanking the distal 1q21.1 region most likely mediate reciprocal deletion or duplication (Fig. 1b, blue blocks labeled A and A'), the most parsimonious placement of the HYDIN paralogous segment is within the gap immediately centromeric to the distal 1q21.1 region (Fig. 1a,b, gray triangle bounded by dashed lines). Consistent with this proposal, we have also identified a proband with an atypical deletion beginning approximately at nt 144253465 but not involving the distal 1q21.1 region, most likely mediated by a pair of small segmental duplications in this region (Fig. 1b, light blue blocks, and Fig. 1c). This atypical deletion does not include the HYDIN paralog by FISH (data not shown), and the head circumference of this individual is within the normal range. Our results are particularly of note in light of the recent finding of an association between 1q21.1 deletions and schizophrenia $^{4,5}$ , and the recognition of smaller head circumference and facial dysmorphic features among some schizophrenic individuals<sup>16–18</sup>.

The group of affected individuals described herein represents the largest collection of individuals with microdeletions or microduplications within chromosome 1q21.1 reported in the literature. We have clearly demonstrated a dosage effect of 1q21.1 copy number on head size. Most of the probands in our cohort presented with developmental delay, and many of them also manifested a range of behavioral abnormalities, nonspecific dysmorphic features and congenital anomalies. These findings are similar to the broad range of referring diagnoses among people undergoing clinical array-CGH testing, thus posing a challenge to the delineation of specific syndromic features other than head size abnormalities. We found only one individual with microdeletion who had a bicuspid aortic valve, so the initial report of an association between distal 1q21.1 deletions and congenital heart defects<sup>1</sup> is probably a result of ascertainment bias.

CNVs within 1q21.1 can be found in apparently normal individuals (as was also observed for unaffected carriers of the TAR syndrome microdeletion<sup>8</sup>) or associated with psychiatric phenotypes such as schizophrenia<sup>4,5</sup>. The presence of parents carrying 1q21.1 CNVs but not manifesting clinically evident phenotypes raises the issue of whether 1q21.1 microdeletions and microduplications are benign CNVs or are pathogenic variants with incomplete penetrance. Indeed, CNVs within distal 1q21.1 were previously reported in normal individuals in population screens of genomic variation<sup>4,19</sup> but only at a frequency of ~0.02% in two large control populations in studies of schizophrenia<sup>4,5</sup>. Moreover, while this manuscript was under review, Mefford *et al.* reported a series of 4,737 controls in which no 1q21.1 microdeletions and only one microduplication case were found<sup>20</sup>. Furthermore, no 1q21.1 CNVs were detected in 550 normal parental cases analyzed in our laboratory. Therefore, these CNVs occur at a higher frequency among individuals referred for clinical array-CGH testing and are unlikely to be benign.

It is clear that an important consideration in genetic counseling for these syndromes is the potential for reduced penetrance and variable expressivity, which has also been reported for well-characterized syndromes such as 22q11.2 microdeletion, where phenotypically mild deletion carriers have escaped clinical recognition until they had children with more severe manifestations<sup>21</sup>. Findings such as these and the recently described 16p13.11 and 15q13.3 microdeletion syndromes<sup>22,23</sup> raise difficult questions in the context of genetic counseling for newly diagnosed cases and particularly for prenatal diagnosis.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that recurrent reciprocal microdeletions and microduplications within 1q21.1 represent novel genomic disorders consisting of microcephaly or macrocephaly, respectively, and can manifest with a range of developmental delay, neuropsychiatric abnormalities, dysmorphic features and a variety of other congenital anomalies. These features seem to be subject to incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity. We propose that the 1q21.1 *HYDIN* paralog (which was deleted or duplicated in all of our cases and in several deletion cases reported by Mefford *et al.*<sup>20</sup>) is dosage sensitive and is important in determining head size. Other genes within the deleted or duplicated region could mediate other phenotypes associated with 1q21.1 CNVs, perhaps in association with other genetic or genomic variants.

### Methods

### Cases and controls

Clinical information was available for 21 microdeletion and 15 microduplication cases. Clinical evaluations of parents carrying the CNVs were also available in 9 of 21 microdeletion cases and in 6 of 15 microduplication cases. Clinical evaluations consisted of a comprehensive medical history, family history, growth measurements and dysmorphology examination. Pictures of the affected individuals were obtained after informed consent, and consent for publication was also obtained. Fifty controls were randomly selected from 16,557 array-CGH cases referred from Texas Children's Hospital during the same time period.

### Array CGH and FISH analyses

Array-CGH analysis was carried out as described previously on DNA obtained from peripheral blood samples from the affected individuals<sup>24</sup>. Phytohemagglutinin-stimulated peripheral blood lymphoblast cultures from the affected individuals and their parents were used for metaphase and interphase FISH analyses using standard protocols.

### 1q21.1 LCR structure analysis

We examined 1q21.1 segmental duplications downloaded from the UCSC genome browser, Segmental Duplication track (Human Genome Build 36.1). Segmental duplications within the 1q21.1 region were assembled into a table along with their matching segments. Segmental duplications matching only outside the 1q21.1 region were excluded for the purpose of this analysis. Because of the large number of segments, we restricted the analysis to ten large blocks. We identified groups of related sequences and mapped these regions to obtain a composite view of linked segmental duplications.

### Head circumference analysis

Head circumferences were measured using standard techniques. Age- and sex-matched percentiles were obtained using Abase, a PalmOS-based calculator<sup>25</sup>, and converted into Z scores. Each of the three groups being studied (microdeletion cases, microduplication cases and controls) was compared to zero (the population mean) using a one sample *t*-test and against

### References

- Christiansen J, et al. Chromosome 1q21.1 contiguous gene deletion is associated with congenital heart disease. Circ Res 2004;94:1429–1435. [PubMed: 15117819]
- 2. Sharp AJ, et al. Discovery of previously unidentified genomic disorders from the duplication architecture of the human genome. Nat Genet 2006;38:1038–1042. [PubMed: 16906162]
- Shaffer LG, et al. Targeted genomic microarray analysis for identification of chromosome abnormalities in 1500 consecutive clinical cases. J Pediatr 2006;149:98–102. [PubMed: 16860135]
- Stefansson H, et al. Large recurrent microdeletions associated with schizophrenia. Nature 2008;455:232–236. [PubMed: 18668039]
- Stone JL, et al. Rare chromosomal deletions and duplications increase risk of schizophrenia. Nature 2008;455:237–241. [PubMed: 18668038]
- 6. Davy BE, Robinson ML. Congenital hydrocephalus in hy3 mice is caused by a frameshift mutation in Hydin, a large novel gene. Hum Mol Genet 2003;12:1163–1170. [PubMed: 12719380]
- Doggett NA, et al. A 360-kb interchromosomal duplication of the human HYDIN locus. Genomics 2006;88:762–771. [PubMed: 16938426]
- Klopocki E, et al. Complex inheritance pattern resembling autosomal recessive inheritance involving a microdeletion in thrombocytopenia-absent radius syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2007;80:232–240. [PubMed: 17236129]
- Lechtreck KF, Delmotte P, Robinson ML, Sanderson MJ, Witman GB. Mutations in Hydin impair ciliary motility in mice. J Cell Biol 2008;180:633–643. [PubMed: 18250199]
- Bond J, et al. Protein-truncating mutations in ASPM cause variable reduction in brain size. Am J Hum Genet 2003;73:1170–1177. [PubMed: 14574646]
- 11. Ponting CP. A novel domain suggests a ciliary function for ASPM, a brain size determining gene. Bioinformatics 2006;22:1031–1035. [PubMed: 16443634]
- Bond J, et al. ASPM is a major determinant of cerebral cortical size. Nat Genet 2002;32:316–320. [PubMed: 12355089]
- 13. Callen DF, et al. High resolution mapping of interstitial long arm deletions of chromosome 16: relationship to phenotype. J Med Genet 1993;30:828–832. [PubMed: 8230159]
- Fujiwara M, Yoshimoto T, Morita Y, Kamada M. Interstitial deletion of chromosome 16q: 16q22 is critical for 16q- syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1992;43:561–564. [PubMed: 1605249]
- Natt E, Magenis RE, Zimmer J, Mansouri A, Scherer G. Regional assignment of the human loci for uvomorulin (UVO) and chymotrypsinogen B (CTRB) with the help of two overlapping deletions on the long arm of chromosome 16. Cytogenet Cell Genet 1989;50:145–148. [PubMed: 2789125]
- McNeil TF, Cantor-Graae E, Nordstrom LG, Rosenlund T. Head circumference in 'preschizophrenic' and control neonates. Br J Psychiatry 1993;162:517–523. [PubMed: 8481744]
- Ward KE, Friedman L, Wise A, Schulz SC. Meta-analysis of brain and cranial size in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 1996;22:197–213. [PubMed: 9000317]
- Kelly BD, Lane A, Agartz I, Henriksson KM, McNeil TF. Craniofacial dysmorphology in Swedish schizophrenia patients. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2005;111:202–207. [PubMed: 15701104]
- Redon R, et al. Global variation in copy number in the human genome. Nature 2006;444:444–454. [PubMed: 17122850]
- Mefford HC, et al. Recurrent rearrangements of chromosome 1q21.1 and variable pediatric phenotypes. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1685–1699. [PubMed: 18784092]
- 21. Wilson DI, Goodship JA, Burn J, Cross IE, Scambler PJ. Deletions within chromosome 22q11 in familial congenital heart disease. Lancet 1992;340:573–575. [PubMed: 1355155]
- 22. Hannes FD, et al. Recurrent reciprocal deletions and duplications of 16p13.11: the deletion is a risk factor for MR/MCA while the duplication may be a rare benign variant. J Med Genet. June 11;2008 10.1136/jmg.2007.055202advance online publication

- Sharp AJ, et al. A recurrent 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome associated with mental retardation and seizures. Nat Genet 2008;40:322–328. [PubMed: 18278044]
- 24. Cheung SW, et al. Development and validation of a CGH microarray for clinical cytogenetic diagnosis. Genet Med 2005;7:422–432. [PubMed: 16024975]
- 25. Zankl A, Molinari L. ABase—a tool for the rapid assessment of anthropometric measurements on handheld computers. Am J Med Genet A 2003;121A:146–150. [PubMed: 12910494]

Brunetti-Pierri et al.



### Figure 1.

Schematic representation of chromosome 1q21.1 based on the March 2006 freeze of the reference human genome sequence (NCBI build 36.1) and summary of molecular findings. (a) An enlargement of the region between 144 and 146 Mb. Known genes are indicated by black bars. (b) The region from 142 to 148 Mb. The minimal regions for the TAR syndrome deletion and the distal 1q21.1 deletion/duplication are shown with blue boxes. Array-CGH clones are shown in dark violet, and additional FISH clones used during the course of the study are shown in magenta. Gaps in the reference sequence are indicated by gray breaks in the chromosome bar. The putative location of the HYDIN paralog is indicated by a gray triangle bounded by dashed lines. Regions of segmental duplication are depicted by colored boxes. A pair of large, directly oriented intrachromosomal repeats flanks the distal 1q21.1 region (blue blocks labeled A and A'). In addition, there is another pair of directly oriented repeats flanking the first ~4 Mb of the sub-band and encompassing both the TAR region and the distal 1q21.1 region (red blocks labeled B and B'). Finally, there is a pair of blocks flanking a large portion of the sub-band 1q21.1 (yellow blocks labeled C and C'), in opposite orientation. Several other blocks are present, but gaps in the reference sequence preclude further detailed characterization at this time. (c) Overview of the deletions and duplications in affected individuals described in this report. Thick red horizontal lines indicate minimally deleted regions, whereas thick green horizontal lines indicate minimally duplicated regions. The complex deletion/duplication rearrangement in individual 36 is depicted with red and green bars, and the single case with atypical TAR region deletion is indicated with a solid red and dashed red line (see text for details).



### Figure 2.

Facial appearance of individuals with the 1q21.1 microdeletion. (a) Subject 1. (b) Subject 2.
(c) Subject 2<sup>FATHER</sup>. (d) Subject 3. (e) Subject 5. (f) Subjects 8 and 8<sup>FATHER</sup>. (g) Subject 9.
(h) Subject 11. (i) Subject 12. (j) Subject 12<sup>FATHER</sup>. (k) Subject 14. (l) Subject 14<sup>SIBLING</sup>.
(m) Subject 15. (n) Subject 18. (o) Subject 19. (p) Subject 7. Frontal bossing, deep-set eyes and bulbous nose were frequently present.



### Figure 3.

Facial appearance of individuals with the 1q21.1 microduplication. (a) Subject 36<sup>MOTHER</sup>.
(b) Subject 36<sup>SIBLING 1</sup>. (c) Subject 36<sup>SIBLING 2</sup>. (d) Subject 36. (e) Subject 22. (f) Subject 27.
(g) Subject 35. (h) Subject 32. (i) Subject 33. (j) Subject 33<sup>SIBLING</sup>. (k) Subject 33<sup>MOTHER</sup>.
Hypertelorism and frontal bossing seem to be common in individuals with the microduplication.

Brunetti-Pierri et al.



### Figure 4.

Microdeletions and microduplications of 1q21.1 are associated with head size abnormalities and include the 1q21.1 *HYDIN* paralog. (**a**) Head circumference measurements in individuals with microdeletion (triangles), controls (circles) and individuals with microduplication (squares) are plotted as age- and sex-matched *Z* scores. Parents carrying the CNVs are represented by filled symbols. Bars indicate mean and 95% confidence intervals. The vertical dashed lines mark the -2 and +2 *Z* scores. (**b**–**d**) FISH for *HYDIN* using BAC clone RP11-424M24 (red signals) and centromere probe for chromosome 1 (green signal). In the control sample (**b**), red signals are seen on both 16q22 and 1q21.1, confirming the previously reported intrachromosomal *HYDIN* duplication. In samples from affected individuals, the 1q21.1 *HYDIN* paralog is deleted in individuals with 1q21.1 microdeletion (**c**) and duplicated in individuals with 1q21.1 microduplication (**d**).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	1 microdeletion	
	57	
	Ъ	
e	tal	
ad	dis	
	th	
	wi	
	als	
	qu	
	livi	
	ind	
	Ŀ.	
	gs	
	din	
	fin	
	cal	
	Ĭ	
	Cli	
	and	
	ecular	
	Mol	

	Age at diagnosis (years)	Growth	FOC Z score	Dysmorphic features	Developmental delay	Clinical features	Father	Mother
Class I delet Mb)	ion (distal 1q21.1	region only, ~1						
-	0.5	Short stature	-2.34	+	I	IUGR, GERD; 11 pairs of ribs	Del 1q21.1	Normal
1 <sup>FATHER</sup> Nat		Normal	n.d.	+	I	Depression, anxiety; rheumatoid arthritis	n.d.	n.d.
∾ Genet.	6	Normal	-3.8	+	+	Ankyloglossia; behavioral problems; hallucinations; sleep disturbance	Del 1q21.1	Normal
2 <sup>FATHER</sup>		Normal	-2.34	I	+ (Speech delay)	Scoliosis	n.d.	n.d.
ი hor ma	11	Normal	-1.23	+	+	SNHL, ankyloglossia; strabismus; dry eyes and mouth; behavioral problems	n.d.	n.d.
→ nuscript; ava	0.2	Short stature	-3.5	+	+	IUGR; chorioretinal and iris coloboma, lens subluxation, microphthalmia; laryngomalacia VUR grade 4/5, hydronephrosis; postaxial polydactyly	Normal	Del 1q21.1
رم ailab	1	Normal	-3.3	+	Ι	None	Normal	Normal
ۍ le in	1	FTT	-0.84	Ι	+	Chiari I malformation	Normal	Del 1q21.1
⊳ PMC	0.1	FTT	-4.9	+	n.d.	Congenital hydrocephalus, agenesis corpus callosum	n.d.	n.d.
∞ 2009	0.8	Normal	-2.06	I	I	Trigonocephaly	Del 1q21.1	Normal
Ped 8FATHER		Normal	-3.6	I	I	I	n.d.	n.d.
o cember	12	Normal	-0.05	I	+	Autism spectrum behaviors; two- to three-toe syndactyly	Normal	Normal
01 1.	×	Normal	-3.5	+	+	Seizures; feeding difficulties; precocious puberty	Del 1q21.1	Normal
10 <sup>FATHER</sup>		Normal	-1.56	I	I	None	n.d.	n.d.
11	7	Normal	-2.34	+	+	Cryptorchidism; postaxial polydactyly	Normal	Del 1q21.1
11 <sup>MOTHER</sup>		Normal	-1.89	Ι	I	Multiple sclerosis	n.d.	n.d.
12	2	FTT	-2.9	+	+	Hemangioma	Del 1q21.1	Normal
12 <sup>FATHER</sup>		Normal	n.d.	Ι	Learning disability	Congenital cataract; ADHD	n.d.	n.d.
13	9	Normal	-0.77	+	I	Aggressive behavior; ADHD; seizure disorder	n.d.	n.d.
14	11	Normal	-3.1	+	+	Clubfeet; ankyloglossia; ADHD	Normal	Del 1q21.1
14 <sup>MOTHER</sup>		Normal	-3.5	I	Learning disability	ADHD	n.d.	n.d.

_
_
U
-
· · ·
~
· · · ·
_
_
-
· · ·
_
-
()
<u> </u>
_
-
_
0
<u> </u>
_
_
_
JU
nu
snu
snu
nus
nusc
nusc
nuscr
nuscri
nuscri
nuscrip
nuscrip
nuscript

	Age at diagnosis (years)	Growth	FOC Z score	Dysmorphic features	Developmental delay	Clinical features	Father	Mother
14 <sup>SIBLING</sup>	31	Normal	-2.33	I	Learning disability	Antisocial behavior	Normal	Del 1q21.1
15	1	Normal	-1.17	I	+	GERD; inguinal hernia	n.d.	n.d.
Class II dele	ption (distal 1q21.1 r	egion and TAR regio	ın, ~2 Mb)					
16	4	Normal	-4.3	I	+	None	Del 1q21.1	Normal
L Nat Gene	Ś	Short stature	-3.7	+	+	Gestation complicated by maternal diabetes; cleft palate, preaxial polydactyly; SNHL; bicuspid aortic valve; congenital cystic adenomatoid malformation of the lung; pelvic kidney	Del Iq21.1	Normal
t. Au		Normal	-1.88	I	I	None	n.d.	n.d.
≊ athor manus	σ	Normal	-2.05	+	+	Plagiocephaly; strabismus; MRI: brain asymmetry, enlargement of the lateral and III ventricles, increased subarachnoid space	.b.n	Normal
6 cript; ava	0	FTT	-2.34	I	+	Frequent infections; pectus excavatum; small kidneys; hypospadias	Normal	Del 1q21.1
ilabl		Normal	-2.7	Ι	Ι	None	n.d.	n.d.
07 e in	9	FTT	ŝ	+	+	None	Del 1q21.1	Normal
5 PMC 200	7	FTT	-2.4	+	+ (Speech delay)	Polyhydramnios; severe feeding difficulties, chronic vomiting	Normal	Normal
Sensorineural 60	ttion deficit hyperaci I hearing loss; VUR,	tivity disorder, FOC, vesicoureteral reflux	frontal occipital circu ;; n.d., not determinec	umference; FTT, failure to thriv d.	ve; GERD, gastroesophageal 1	eflux disease; IUGR, intrauterine growth retard	lation; SNHL,	

Brunetti-Pierri et al.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Brunetti-Pierri et al.

# Table 2 Molecular and clinical findings in individuals with distal 1q21.1 microduplication

		Age at diagnosis (years)	Growth	FOC Z score	Dysmorphic features	Developmental delay	Clinical features	Father	Mother
	Class I duplicati	on (distal 1q21.1	region only, ~1	Mb)					
	22	-	Normal	+2.7	I	I	Complex congenital heart defect	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
	22 <sup>MOTHER</sup>		Normal	-1.55	I	I	None	I	I
Nat	23	1	FTT	+1.3	+	+	Hypotonia; eczema	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
Gen	24	5	Normal	0	I	+ (Speech delay)	Seizures	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
<i>iet</i> . Au	25	1	Normal	+3.1	+	+	Esotropia; VI and VII nerve paresis; hypotonia	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
thor ma	25 <sup>MOTHER</sup>		Normal	$\dot{\omega}^+$	I	Learning disability	Cataract; glaucoma; depression; anxiety	n.d.	n.d.
anuso	26	0.3	Normal	0.47	I	I	Lower limb hypertonicity	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
cript	27	1.5	Normal	0.62	+	+	Chiari malformation; hemihypertrophy	Dup 1q21.1	Normal
; ava	27 <sup>FATHER</sup>		Normal	$\dot{\omega}^+$	I	I	None	n.d.	n.d.
ilable i	28	3	Normal	+5.2	+	+	Dysphagia, GERD; Lower limb hypertonicity; toe-walking	n.d.	n.d.
n PN	29	0.5	FTT	-2.03	+	Mild	GERD; lower limb hypertonicity	n.d.	n.d.
AC 2	30	5	Normal	0.64	+	+	Cryptorchidism; hypotonia; seizures	Normal	Normal
2009 Dece	31	П	Normal	-0.39	I	+	Arthrogryposis; fifth-finger clinodactyly; Psoniasis; right-sided hyperpigmentation	Dup 1q21.1	Normal
embe	$31^{\rm FATHER}$		Normal	-1.22	I	I	None	n.d.	n.d.
er 1.	32	٢	Normal	+2.6	+	+	Scoliosis; hypospadias; Raynaud's phenomenon; Autism spectrum disorder	n.d.	n.d.
	33	0.5	Normal	$\widetilde{\omega}^+$	+	+	Esotropia; hydrocephalus; advanced bone age	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
	33 <sup>MOTHER</sup>		Normal	+2.06	I	I	Normal	n.d.	n.d.
	33 <sup>SIBLING</sup>	2	Normal	+2.4	I	Mild	None	Normal	Dup 1q21.1
	34	16	FTT	-2.3	+	+	Autism; spastic diplegia	n.d.	n.d.
	35	4	Normal	0	I	+	Autism	n.d.	n.d.
	Complex TAR s	yndrome deletioi	n with distal 1q2	1.1 duplication					
	36	5	Normal	+2.4	+	+	ADHD	Normal	Dup 1q21.1

Page 14

_
T
1.1
_
- U
~~
<b>D</b>
-
~
~
2
<u> </u>
<b>–</b>
-
_
$\sim$
_
_
<
_
01
_
_
_
<u> </u>
10
~
0
$\simeq$
<b>_</b> .
0
-

Bru	unetti	-Pier	rri et al.
Mother	n.d.	Dup 1q21.1	Dup 1q21.1
Father	n.d.	Normal	Normal
Clinical features	Congenital hip dysplasia; clubfeet	Frequent otitis media	None
Developmental delay	Learning disability	+ (Speech delay)	+ (Speech delay)
Dysmorphic features	+	+	+
FOC Z score	-2.9	-1.06	+1.65
Growth	Normal	Normal	Normal
Age at diagnosis (years)		8	4
	36 <sup>MOTHER</sup>	36 <sup>SIBLING 1</sup>	36 <sup>SIBLING 2</sup>

ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; FOC, frontal occipital circumference; FTT, failure to thrive; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease.