

Activity Profile In Vitro of Micafungin against Spanish Clinical Isolates of Common and Emerging Species of Yeasts and Molds[∇]

Manuel Cuenca-Estrella,* Alicia Gomez-Lopez, Emilia Mellado, Araceli Monzon, Maria J. Buitrago, and Juan L. Rodriguez-Tudela

Mycology Department, Spanish National Center for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

Received 19 November 2008/Returned for modification 24 January 2009/Accepted 4 February 2009

A collection of 2,278 isolates belonging to 86 different fungal species was tested with micafungin and eight other drugs using the EUCAST procedures. Micafungin was active against species of *Candida* and *Aspergillus* (even azole-resistant species) as well as *Penicillium* spp., *Scedosporium apiospermum*, and *Acremonium* spp. It was inactive for species of *Basidiomycota* and *Mucorales* and for multiresistant species such as those of *Fusarium*.

Micafungin is a new drug that belongs to the echinocandin class of antifungal agents. Its mechanism of action is by means of the inhibition of 1,3- β -D-glucan synthesis in the fungal cell wall (10).

Micafungin has been recently approved in Europe and the United States for the treatment of candidemia, acute disseminated candidiasis, *Candida* peritonitis and abscesses, esophageal candidiasis, and recently for the prophylaxis of *Candida* infections in patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

The in vitro activity of micafungin against most common species of *Candida* is well known (4, 11–13). However, information is limited for uncommon species of yeasts as well as for molds.

The aim of this study is to analyze the in vitro activity of micafungin and eight other antifungal agents against a collection of clinical isolates of yeasts and molds from human beings using the methods approved by AFST-EUCAST.

The strains were recovered from 115 Spanish hospitals through a period of 3 years, from 2005 to 2007. A total of 2,278 clinical isolates were included in the analysis. Isolates were identified by morphological and biochemical methods and sequencing of DNA targets if necessary. They belonged to 86 different species of common and emerging fungal pathogens. The isolates were obtained from blood (559; 24.5%), biopsies and other deep sites (217; 9.5%), respiratory tract specimens (751; 33%), skin samples (180; 7.9%), and other locations (707; 25.1%).

The following drugs were used: amphotericin B (range, 16.0 to 0.03 μ g/ml; Sigma-Aldrich Quimica S.A., Madrid, Spain), flucytosine (64.0 to 0.12 μ g/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), fluconazole (64.0 to 0.12 μ g/ml; Pfizer S.A. Madrid, Spain), itraconazole (8.0 to 0.015 μ g/ml; Janssen S.A., Madrid, Spain), voriconazole (8.0 to 0.015 μ g/ml; Pfizer S.A.), posaconazole (8.0 to 0.015

μ g/ml; Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ), caspofungin (16.0 to 0.03 μ g/ml; Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ), micafungin (16.0 to 0.03 μ g/ml; Astellas Pharma Inc., Tokyo, Japan), and anidulafungin (16.0 to 0.03 μ g/ml; Pfizer S.A.).

Susceptibility testing was performed by using broth microdilution. For *Candida* species, MICs were determined using the reference procedure for testing fermentative yeasts described by AFST-EUCAST (7, 17). For *Cryptococcus neoformans* and other species of nonfermentative yeasts, such as *Trichosporon* and *Rhodotorula* spp., susceptibility testing strictly followed the recommendations by the EUCAST with a minor modification in order to improve the growth of microorganisms (3). For filamentous fungi, broth microdilution testing was performed following the AFST-EUCAST reference method (18). For testing echinocandins against molds, the MIC was defined as the lowest drug concentration resulting in aberrant hyphal growth by examination with an inverted microscope, that is, the minimum effective concentration (MEC) (2).

Tables 1 and 2 display the susceptibility results obtained when the collection of clinical isolates was tested.

Micafungin exhibited a potent activity in vitro against *Candida* spp. That activity was somehow better than the in vitro activity of caspofungin and similar to that of anidulafungin. AFST-EUCAST has not yet proposed breakpoints to read the susceptibility testing of echinocandins. CLSI and others have published that *Candida* isolates exhibiting MICs of echinocandins of >2 μ g/ml can be considered nonsusceptible in vitro (14). Following that criterion, only one isolate out of 20 *Candida guilliermondii* clinical isolates tested (1/20; 5%) had a MIC of micafungin of >2 μ g/ml. That isolate exhibited cross-resistance in vitro to both caspofungin and anidulafungin.

A total of 15.3% of the *Candida* isolates analyzed (160/1,043; 61 *Candida glabrata*, 53 *Candida krusei*, 25 *Candida albicans*, 8 *Candida tropicalis*, 7 *C. guilliermondii*, 3 *Candida parapsilosis*, 1 *Candida lusitanae*, 1 *Candida norvegensis*, and 1 *Candida rugosa*) were resistant in vitro to fluconazole according to AFST-EUCAST criteria (fluconazole MIC, >4 μ g/ml) (19). In addition, 7.9% of the isolates (82/1,043; 36 *C. glabrata*, 18 *C. krusei*, 16 *C. albicans*, 7 *C. tropicalis*, 4 *C. guilliermondii*, and 1 *C. parapsilosis*) were also resistant in vitro to voriconazole (MIC, >0.12 μ g/ml by EUCAST criteria) (20). All azole-re-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Servicio de Micología, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ctra. Majadahonda-Pozuelo Km 2, 28220 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain. Phone: 34-91-8223661. Fax: 34-91-5097966. E-mail: mcuenca-estrella@isci.es.

[∇] Published ahead of print on 17 February 2009.

TABLE 1. Summary of susceptibility results of antifungal agents tested in vitro against yeast species^a

Species	n	Amphotericin B		Fluocytosine		Fluconazole		Itraconazole		Voriconazole		Posaconazole		Caspofungin		Anidulafungin		Micafungin	
		MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
<i>Candida</i> species																			
<i>Candida albicans</i>	393	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.50	0.12	0.25	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	225	0.12	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.25	0.50	1.0	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.5	1.0
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	105	0.12	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.25	0.25	2.0	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.25	0.25	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Candida glabrata</i>	182	0.12	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.25	4.0	32.0	1.0	0.12	1.0	0.25	1.0	0.12	0.25	0.25	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Candida krusei</i>	53	0.50	0.50	4.0	8.0	8.0	32.0	>64.0	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.12	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.03	0.06	0.06
<i>Candida guilliermondii</i>	20	0.06	0.50	0.12	0.12	0.25	4.0	>64.0	0.25	>8.0	0.12	0.12	>8.0	0.50	1.0	1.0	8.0	0.12	1.0
<i>Candida lusitanae</i>	21	0.06	0.12	0.12	16.0	16.0	0.25	1.0	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.50	1.0	0.03	0.12	0.03	0.03
<i>Candida kefyr</i>	15	0.25	0.50	0.50	4.0	4.0	0.25	0.50	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Other <i>Candida</i> spp. ^b	29	0.12	1.0	0.25	16.0	16.0	8.0	>64.0	0.12	>8.0	0.12	>8.0	0.03	>8.0	0.06	0.50	0.06	0.25	0.03
Other <i>Ascomycota</i> yeasts																			
<i>Dipodascus capitatus</i>	30	0.50	0.50	0.12	1.0	1.0	16.0	32.0	0.25	0.50	0.50	1.0	0.50	0.50	>16.0	>16.0	2.0	4.0	>16.0
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	25	0.12	0.25	0.12	0.50	0.50	4.0	>64.0	0.50	2.0	0.06	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.50	1.0	0.12	0.25	0.03
<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>	10	0.50	0.50	>64.0	>64.0	8.0	8.0	>64.0	0.50	>8.0	0.50	2.0	0.25	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.06	0.12	0.03
<i>Galactomyces geotrichum</i>	10	0.25	0.50	0.12	0.25	0.25	64.0	>64.0	0.50	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.50	0.50	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
Basidiomycota yeasts																			
<i>C. neoformans</i> var. <i>neoformans</i>	35	0.12	2.0	16.0	32.0	16.0	16.0	>64.0	0.12	0.50	0.12	0.50	0.12	0.50	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Trichosporon aschii</i>	13	8.0	>16.0	32.0	>64.0	16.0	16.0	>64.0	0.50	>8.0	0.25	>8.0	0.25	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	11	0.12	0.50	0.25	1.0	>64.0	>64.0	>64.0	8.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	2.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Trichosporon inkin</i>	10	0.12	1.0	64.0	>64.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.12	0.25	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.12	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
Other Basidiomycota yeasts ^c	35	0.50	8.0	16.0	>64.0	16.0	16.0	>64.0	0.50	>8.0	0.50	>8.0	0.25	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0

^a MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values (µg/ml) were calculated for those species with 10 or more isolates.
^b Other *Candida* species^d includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Candida ngosa* (4), *Candida famata* (4), *Candida pelliculosa* (4), *Candida colliculosa* (3), *Candida norvegensis* (2), *Candida intermedia* (2), *Candida inconspicua* (2), *Candida metapsilosis* (2), *Candida orthopsilosis* (2), *Candida phillippsii* (2), and *Candida zeylanoides* (2).
^c Other Basidiomycota yeasts^e includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Trichosporon oroides* (5), *Trichosporon dematis* (5), *Trichosporon jirovecii* (4), *Cryptococcus albidus* (3), *Ustiligo* spp. (3), *Rhodotorula glutinis* (3), *Cryptococcus laurentii* (2), *Trichosporon domesticum* (2), *Trichosporon mycelothiorumans* (2), and *Trichosporon* spp. (6).

TABLE 2. Summary of susceptibility results of antifungal agents tested in vitro against mold species^a

Species	n	Amphotericin B		Itraconazole		Voriconazole		Posaconazole		Caspofungin		Anidulafungin		Micafungin	
		MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
Aspergillus spp.															
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	299	0.25	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.50	1.0	0.06	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	155	1.0	4.0	0.25	0.50	1.0	2.0	0.06	0.12	1.0	2.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	81	1.0	2.0	0.25	0.50	1.0	2.0	0.12	0.25	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	83	0.25	0.25	1.0	>8.0	1.0	2.0	0.25	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06
<i>Aspergillus nidulans</i>	29	1.0	4.0	0.25	0.50	0.25	1.0	0.06	0.25	0.50	>16.0	0.03	0.25	0.03	>16.0
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i>	28	1.0	2.0	0.50	>8.0	1.0	2.0	0.25	0.50	0.25	1.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	12	1.0	2.0	0.50	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.25	0.50	0.25	2.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06
Other <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. ^b	18	0.50	>16.0	0.50	>8.0	0.25	>8.0	0.12	>8.0	0.12	0.50	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.25
Other hyaline fungi															
<i>Penicillium</i> spp.															
<i>Fusarium solani</i>	32	1.0	2.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Fusarium proliferatum</i>	19	2.0	4.0	>8.0	>8.0	8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	17	1.0	2.0	>8.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i>	11	2.0	4.0	>8.0	>8.0	8.0	>8.0	2.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i>	17	0.03	0.50	0.06	0.25	1.0	8.0	0.03	0.25	0.50	4.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
<i>Paecilomyces lilacinus</i>	10	>16.0	>16.0	>8.0	>8.0	0.50	4.0	0.25	0.50	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Acremonium</i> spp.	10	4.0	>16.0	>8.0	>8.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	>16.0	0.50	1.0	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.25
Other hyaline fungi ^c	26	0.50	>16.0	>8.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
Black fungi															
<i>Scedosporium apiospermum</i>															
<i>Scedosporium prolificans</i>	17	>16.0	>16.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	8	>16.0	4.0	>16.0	8.0	>16.0
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	11	0.50	>16.0	0.50	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	1.0	>8.0	4.0	>16.0	0.06	>16.0	0.25	>16.0
<i>Alternaria infectoria</i>	10	0.06	>16.0	2.0	>8.0	2.0	>8.0	0.50	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	2.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
Other black fungi ^d	14	0.25	1.0	0.12	>8.0	0.06	>8.0	0.06	>8.0	0.25	1.0	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.06
Mucorales															
<i>Mycocladus corymbiferus</i>															
<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	11	0.50	2.0	4.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	2.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
<i>Mucor</i> spp.	11	0.12	1.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0
Other <i>Mucorales</i> species ^e	11	2.0	>16.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	>8.0	4.0	>8.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0	>16.0

^a MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values (μg/ml) were calculated for those species with 10 or more isolates.

^b "Other *Aspergillus* spp." includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Aspergillus ochraceus* (5), *Aspergillus ustus* (4), *Aspergillus niveus* (3), *Aspergillus sclerotiorum* (2), *Aspergillus glaucus* (2), and *Aspergillus* spp. (2).

^c "Other hyaline fungi" includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* (6), *Trichoderma* spp. (5), *Phialemonium curvatum* (5), *Hormographiella aspergillata* (3), *Fusarium equiseti* (2), *Fusarium reticulatum* (2), *Hormographiella verticillata* (1), *Chrysonilia sitophila* (1), and *Beauveria bassiana* (1).

^d "Other black fungi" includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Exophiala dermatitidis* (4), *Exophiala jeanselmei* (3), *Aureobasidium pullulans* (2), *Hortaea werneckii* (1), *Cladosporium* spp. (1), *Cladophialophora bantiana* (1), *Scytalidium hyalinum* (1), and *Lecytophthora hoffmannii* (1).

^e "Other *Mucorales* species" includes the following species with less than 10 isolates: *Cunninghamella bertholletiae* (6), *Rhizopus microsporus* (2), *Rhizomucor* spp. (2), and *Saksenaia vasiformis* (1).

sistant *Candida* strains exhibited low MICs of micafungin and other echinocandins.

Micafungin and the other echinocandins were inactive in vitro against *Dipodascus capitatus* and *Galactomyces geotrichum* and against species of all genera belonging to *Basidiomycota*, such as *Cryptococcus*, *Trichosporon*, and *Rhodotorula*. The echinocandins were active in vitro against some non-*Candida Ascomycota* species, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Yarrowia lipolytica*.

Regarding *Aspergillus* spp., micafungin exhibited a good activity in vitro against most of the *Aspergillus* isolates. Notably, the echinocandin seemed to be inactive in vitro against *Aspergillus flavus* and a number of *Aspergillus nidulans* clinical isolates as others have reported before (1, 9). Micafungin and the other echinocandins were active in vitro (MEC, ≤ 2 μg/ml) against 11 strains of *Aspergillus* spp. (1.6%; 11/705; 7 *Aspergillus niger*, 2 *Aspergillus fumigatus*, and 2 *Aspergillus ustus*) that had MICs of itraconazole of ≥ 8 μg/ml.

Micafungin also inhibited in vitro some other species of hyaline fungi, such as *Penicillium* spp., *Paecilomyces variotii*, and *Acremonium* spp. In addition, it exhibited activity against some isolates of black fungi as the echinocandin had low MEC values (MEC, ≤ 2 μg/ml) for 32 out of 36 (88.9%) isolates of

Scedosporium apiospermum, 7/11 (63.6%) isolates of *Alternaria alternata*, and for most of the *Exophiala* strains tested.

On the contrary, micafungin and the other two echinocandins were inactive in vitro against some species of molds, such as *Fusarium* spp., *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, *Scopulariopsis* spp., and *Trichoderma* spp. which are characterized by their resistance to other antifungal families. The echinocandins were inactive against *Mucorales* species as well.

These results of in vitro activity match published data by other authors for *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species (5, 6, 8, 9, 21), including the good activities of the three echinocandins against azole-resistant isolates (15, 16). There again, this study collects susceptibility data on species of fungal pathogens that have not been published before, such as non-*Candida Ascomycota* yeasts and some species of hyaline and black molds. Notably, micafungin showed activity in vitro against most of the strains of *S. apiospermum* tested. Zeng et al. reported that that species is resistant in vitro to echinocandins when the MIC is defined as total inhibition of growth (22). However, as a criterion of the MIC definition, we use the lowest drug concentration resulting in aberrant hyphal growth by examination with an inverted microscope (MEC). Following that, micafungin exhibited activity in vitro against 89% of the clinical isolates analyzed.

In summary, micafungin is a broad-spectrum antifungal agent with a good profile of activity in vitro which is comparable to those of caspofungin and anidulafungin. It exhibits activity against *Candida* and *Aspergillus* spp. except for *A. flavus*. The echinocandin also inhibits *Candida* isolates with resistance to both fluconazole and voriconazole and *Aspergillus* strains with resistance in vitro to itraconazole. It must be noted that micafungin and the other echinocandins are inactive in vitro against *Basidiomycota* spp., *Mucorales* spp., and some species of multiresistant fungi, such as *Fusarium* spp.

A potential conflict of interest for all authors is that this study was supported by a nonrestrictive research grant from Astellas Pharma S.A.

In the past 5 years, M.C.-E. has received grant support from Astellas Pharma, bioMerieux, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Soria Melguizo S.A., the European Union, the ALBAN program, the Spanish Agency for International Cooperation, the Spanish Ministry of Culture and Education, the Spanish Health Research Fund, the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, the Ramon Areces Foundation, and the Mutua Madrileña Foundation. M.C.-E. has been an advisor/consultant to the Panamerican Health Organization, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, and Schering Plough. He has been paid for talks on behalf of Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, and Schering Plough. In the past 5 years, J.L.R.-T. has received grant support from Astellas Pharma, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Soria Melguizo S.A., the European Union, the Spanish Agency for International Cooperation, the Spanish Ministry of Culture and Education, the Spanish Health Research Fund, the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, the Ramon Areces Foundation, and the Mutua Madrileña Foundation. J.L.R.-T. has been an advisor/consultant to the Panamerican Health Organization, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Mycognostica, Pfizer, and Schering Plough. He has been paid for talks on behalf of Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, and Schering Plough.

REFERENCES

1. Antachopoulos, C., J. Meletiadis, T. Sein, E. Roilides, and T. J. Walsh. 2008. Comparative in vitro pharmacodynamics of caspofungin, micafungin, and anidulafungin against germinated and nongerminated *Aspergillus* conidia. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:321–328.
2. Arikan, S., P. Yurdakul, and G. Hascelik. 2003. Comparison of two methods and three end points in determination of in vitro activity of micafungin against *Aspergillus* spp. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**:2640–2643.
3. Cuenca-Estrella, M., A. Gomez-Lopez, E. Mellado, M. J. Buitrago, A. Monzon, and J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela. 2006. Head-to-head comparison of the activities of currently available antifungal agents against 3,378 Spanish clinical isolates of yeasts and filamentous fungi. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **50**:917–921.
4. Dannaoui, E., O. Lortholary, D. Raoux, M. E. Bougnoux, G. Galeazzi, C. Lawrence, D. Moissenet, I. Poilane, D. Hoinard, and F. Dromer. 2008. Comparative in vitro activities of caspofungin and micafungin, determined using the method of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, against yeast isolates obtained in France in 2005–2006. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:778–781.
5. Guinea, J., T. Pelaez, L. Alcalá, M. J. Ruiz-Serrano, and E. Bouza. 2005. Antifungal susceptibility of 596 *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains isolated from outdoor air, hospital air, and clinical samples: analysis by site of isolation. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **49**:3495–3497.
6. Laverdiere, M., A. C. Labbe, C. Restieri, C. Rotstein, D. Heyland, S. Madger, and T. Stewart. 2007. Susceptibility patterns of *Candida* species recovered from Canadian intensive care units. *J. Crit. Care* **22**:245–250.
7. Odds, F. C., M. Motyl, R. Andrade, J. Bille, E. Canton, M. Cuenca-Estrella, A. Davidson, C. Durussel, D. Ellis, E. Foraker, A. W. Fothergill, M. A. Ghannoum, R. A. Giacobbé, M. Gobernado, R. Handke, M. Laverdiere, W. Lee-Yang, W. G. Merz, L. Ostrosky-Zeichner, J. Peman, S. Perea, J. R. Perfect, M. A. Pfaller, L. Proia, J. H. Rex, M. G. Rinaldi, J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela, W. A. Schell, C. Shields, D. A. Sutton, P. E. Verweij, and D. W. Warnock. 2004. Interlaboratory comparison of results of susceptibility testing with caspofungin against *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **42**:3475–3482.
8. Ostrosky-Zeichner, L., J. H. Rex, P. G. Pappas, R. J. Hamill, R. A. Larsen, H. W. Horowitz, W. G. Powderly, N. Hyslop, C. A. Kauffman, J. Cleary, J. E. Mangino, and J. Lee. 2003. Antifungal susceptibility survey of 2,000 bloodstream *Candida* isolates in the United States. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**:3149–3154.
9. Panagopoulou, P., J. Filioti, E. Farmaki, A. Maloukou, and E. Roilides. 2007. Filamentous fungi in a tertiary care hospital: environmental surveillance and susceptibility to antifungal drugs. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **28**:60–67.
10. Perlin, D. S. 2007. Resistance to echinocandin-class antifungal drugs. *Drug Resistance Updates* **10**:121–130.
11. Pfaller, M. A., L. Boyken, R. J. Hollis, J. Kroeger, S. A. Messer, S. Tendolkar, and D. J. Diekema. 2008. In vitro susceptibility of invasive isolates of *Candida* spp. to anidulafungin, caspofungin, and micafungin: six years of global surveillance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **46**:150–156.
12. Pfaller, M. A., L. Boyken, R. J. Hollis, S. A. Messer, S. Tendolkar, and D. J. Diekema. 2006. Global surveillance of in vitro activity of micafungin against *Candida*: a comparison with caspofungin by CLSI-recommended methods. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **44**:3533–3538.
13. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, K. P. Ng, A. Colombo, J. Finguelievich, R. Barnes, and J. Wadula. 2008. Geographic and temporal trends in isolation and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis*: a global assessment from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program, 2001 to 2005. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **46**:842–849.
14. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, L. Ostrosky-Zeichner, J. H. Rex, B. D. Alexander, D. Andes, S. D. Brown, V. Chaturvedi, M. A. Ghannoum, C. C. Knapp, D. J. Sheehan, and T. J. Walsh. 2008. Correlation of MIC with outcome for *Candida* species tested against caspofungin, anidulafungin, and micafungin: analysis and proposal for interpretive MIC breakpoints. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **46**:2620–2629.
15. Rautema, R., M. Richardson, M. A. Pfaller, J. Perheentupa, and H. Saxen. 2008. Activity of amphotericin B, anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin, posaconazole, and voriconazole against *Candida albicans* with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole from APECED patients on long-term azole treatment of chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **62**:182–185.
16. Richards, T. S., B. G. Oliver, and T. C. White. 2008. Micafungin activity against *Candida albicans* with diverse azole resistance phenotypes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **62**:349–355.
17. Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., M. C. Arendrup, F. Barchiesi, J. Bille, E. Chryssanthou, M. Cuenca-Estrella, E. Dannaoui, D. W. Denning, J. P. Donnelly, F. Dromer, W. Fegeler, C. Lass-Flörl, C. Moore, M. Richardson, P. Sandven, A. Velegri, and P. Verweij. 2008. EUCAST Definitive Document EDef 7.1: method for the determination of broth dilution MICs of antifungal agents for fermentative yeasts. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **14**:398–405.
18. Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., J. P. Donnelly, M. C. Arendrup, S. Arikan, F. Barchiesi, J. Bille, E. Chryssanthou, M. Cuenca-Estrella, E. Dannaoui, D. Denning, W. Fegeler, P. Gaustad, C. Lass-Flörl, C. Moore, M. Richardson, A. Schmalreck, J. A. Velegri, and P. Verweij. 2008. EUCAST Technical Note on the method for the determination of broth dilution minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for conidia-forming moulds—subcommittee on antifungal susceptibility testing (AFST) of the ESCMID European committee for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (EUCAST). *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **14**:982–984.
19. Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., J. P. Donnelly, M. C. Arendrup, S. Arikan, F. Barchiesi, J. Bille, E. Chryssanthou, M. Cuenca-Estrella, E. Dannaoui, D. Denning, W. Fegeler, P. Gaustad, N. Klimko, C. Lass-Flörl, C. Moore, M. Richardson, A. Schmalreck, J. Stenderup, A. Velegri, and P. Verweij. 2008. EUCAST Technical Note on fluconazole. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **14**:193–195.
20. Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., J. P. Donnelly, M. C. Arendrup, S. Arikan, F. Barchiesi, J. Bille, E. Chryssanthou, M. Cuenca-Estrella, E. Dannaoui, D. Denning, W. Fegeler, P. Gaustad, C. Lass-Flörl, C. Moore, M. Richardson, A. Schmalreck, J. A. Velegri, and P. Verweij. 2008. EUCAST Technical Note on voriconazole—subcommittee on antifungal susceptibility testing (AFST) of the ESCMID European committee for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (EUCAST). *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **14**:985–987.
21. Takakura, S., N. Fujihara, T. Saito, T. Kudo, Y. Iinuma, and S. Ichijima. 2004. National surveillance of species distribution in blood isolates of *Candida* species in Japan and their susceptibility to six antifungal agents including voriconazole and micafungin. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **53**:283–289.
22. Zeng, J., K. Kamei, Y. Zheng, and K. Nishimura. 2004. Susceptibility of *Pseudallescheria boydii* and *Scedosporium apiospermum* to new antifungal agents. *Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi* **45**:101–104.