Use of the Pharmacological Inhibitor BX795 to Study the Regulation and Physiological Roles of TBK1 and I κ B Kinase ϵ A DISTINCT UPSTREAM KINASE MEDIATES SER-172 PHOSPHORYLATION AND ACTIVATION^{*}

Received for publication, January 5, 2009, and in revised form, March 3, 2009 Published, JBC Papers in Press, March 25, 2009, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M109.000414

Kristopher Clark¹, Lorna Plater, Mark Peggie, and Philip Cohen²

From the MRC Protein Phosphorylation Unit, College of Life Sciences, Sir James Black Centre, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, Scotland, United Kingdom

TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and I κ B kinase ϵ (IKK ϵ) regulate the production of Type 1 interferons during bacterial and viral infection, but the lack of useful pharmacological inhibitors has hampered progress in identifying additional physiological roles of these protein kinases and how they are regulated. Here we demonstrate that BX795, a potent and relatively specific inhibitor of TBK1 and IKK ϵ , blocked the phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and transcriptional activity of interferon regulatory factor 3 and, hence, the production of interferon- β in macrophages stimulated with poly(I:C) or lipopolysaccharide (LPS). In contrast, BX795 had no effect on the canonical NFkB signaling pathway. Although BX795 blocked the autophosphorylation of overexpressed TBK1 and IKK e at Ser-172 and, hence, the autoactivation of these protein kinases, it did not inhibit the phosphorylation of endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 in response to LPS, poly(I:C), interleukin-1 α (IL-1 α), or tumor necrosis factor α and actually enhanced the LPS, poly(I:C), and IL-1 α -stimulated phosphorylation of this residue. These results demonstrate that the phosphorylation of Ser-172 and the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ are catalyzed by a distinct protein kinase(s) in vivo and that TBK1 and IKK ϵ control a feedback loop that limits their activation by LPS, poly(I:C) and $IL-1\alpha$ (but not tumor necrosis factor α) to prevent the hyperactivation of these enzymes.

Invading bacteria and viruses are sensed by the host pattern recognition receptors, which bind components of these organisms, called pathogen-associated molecular patterns. The binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns to pattern recognition receptors activates signaling cascades that culminate in the production of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and interferons, which are released from immune cells into the circulation, where they mount responses to combat the invading pathogen (1). The interaction between pathogen-associated molecular patterns and pattern recognition receptors leads invariably to the activation of the mitogen-activated pro-

* The work was supported by the United Kingdom Medical Research Council, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck-Serono, and

Pfizer.

tein (MAP)³ kinases, termed p38 MAP kinases and c-Jun N-terminal kinases 1 and 2 (JNK1/2) and the I κ B kinase (IKK) complex. The latter contains the protein kinases IKK α and IKK β , which switch on the transcription factor NF κ B and, hence, NF κ B-dependent gene transcription, by phosphorylating I κ B α and other I κ B isoforms (2). IKK β also activates the protein kinase Tpl2 by phosphorylating its p105 regulatory subunit, leading to the activation of two other MAP kinases, termed extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1) and ERK2 (3, 4). Together, the MAP kinases and NF κ B regulate the production of many proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines.

A subset of pattern recognition receptors, namely Toll-like receptors 3 and 4 (TLR3, TLR4) and the cytosolic receptors RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene I) and MDA-5 (melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5), also activate a distinct signaling pathway requiring the IKK-related kinases, IKK ϵ and TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) (5, 6). Early studies, largely based on overexpression experiments, suggested that a major role of TBK1 and IKKε was to activate NFκB and NFκB-dependent gene transcription, and for this reason, TBK1 has also been called NFkB-activating kinase (7-9). However, later studies using cells from mice that do not express TBK1 and/or IKK ϵ failed to support this conclusion (10, 11). Instead, they indicated that these protein kinases play an essential role in regulating the production of type I interferons (IFNs) by phosphorylating the transcription factor, termed interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) (10, 11). Under basal conditions IRF3 is cytosolic, but after the TBK1/IKK ϵ -mediated phosphorylation of its C terminus, IRF3 dimerizes and translocates to the nucleus, where it activates a gene transcription program leading to the production of IFN- β (12, 13). The production of IFN- β may additionally require the TBK1/IKK ϵ -catalyzed phosphorylation of other proteins, such as the Dead-box RNA-helicase DDX3 (14, 15) and MITA (16). IKK ϵ has also been implicated in the phosphorylation of the STAT1 transcription factor at Ser-708 in a pathway that protects cells against infection by influenza A virus (17).



The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains supplemental Fig. S1.

¹ Recipient of a long term fellowship from EMBO.

² A Royal Society Research Professor. To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 44-1382-384238; Fax: 44-1382-223778; E-mail: p.cohen@ dundee.ac.uk.

³ The abbreviations used are: MAP, mitogen-activated protein; MAPK, MAP kinase; MKK, MAPK kinase; MAP3K, MAPK kinase kinase; ASK1, apoptosis-stimulating kinase-1; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; IFN, interferon; IKK, IrB kinase; IRF3, interferon regulatory factor 3; JNK1/2, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; IPS, lipopolysaccharide; MLK, mixed lineage kinase; PDK1, 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1; TAK1, transforming growth factor β-activated kinase 1; TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; TLR, Toll-like receptor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, interleukin; MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast; GST, glutathione S-transferase.

However, mouse knock-out studies are not always definitive because the complete loss of a protein kinase(s) may be compensated for by other protein kinases, whereas the prolonged absence of a protein kinase may result in long term changes in gene transcription programs so that the effects observed may be indirect. The embryonic lethality of the TBK1 knock-out mouse also limits its use in understanding the physiological roles of this protein kinase. Moreover, papers continue to be published proposing roles for TBK1 and IKK ϵ in phosphorylating defined sites on the RelA and c-Rel components of the NFkB transcription complex that are thought to control the expression of a subset of NF κ B-dependent genes (18–20). Finally, there is considerable evidence that TBK1 and IKK ϵ play additional roles in cells. For instance, TBK1 is activated by TNF, and TBK1 knock-out mice die just before birth because the fetal hepatocytes undergo $TNF\alpha$ -induced apoptosis (21). These observations imply that TBK1 plays a key role in preventing apoptosis in the fetal hepatocytes of wild type mice. TBK1 is also reported to be activated by hypoxia and to control the production of angiogenic factors, such as vasoendothelial growth factor (22), whereas the overexpression of IKK ϵ in breast cancer lines is reported to contribute a survival signal to the transformed cells (23). The direct substrates of TBK1 and IKK ϵ or molecular pathways underlying any of these responses and the possible roles of these protein kinases in the pathogenesis of human cancer are unknown.

The identification of the physiological substrates and biological roles of protein kinases has been greatly aided by the use of relatively specific, small cell-permeable inhibitors of these enzymes. These compounds can be used simply and rapidly and provide a complementary approach to the use of mouse knockouts or RNA interference technology, avoiding the potential drawbacks in ablating the expression of a protein kinase that were mentioned above. The compound BX795 was originally developed as a small molecule inhibitor of 3-phosphoinositidedependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1) (24), but we recently found that it also inhibited TBK1 and IKK ϵ at low nanomolar concentrations in vitro (25). Moreover, BX795 only inhibited a few other protein kinases significantly out of 70 tested and, importantly, did not inhibit IKK β (25). These findings suggested that BX795 might be the first pharmacological inhibitor suitable for studying the regulation and roles of TBK1 and IKK ϵ in cells. In this paper we demonstrate the utility of this compound and exploit it to show that, unexpectedly, the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ is not an autophosphorylation event but is mediated by a distinct "upstream" protein kinase.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—BX795 was synthesized as described (25), dissolved in DMSO, and stored as a 10 mM solution at -20 °C. Poly(I:C) and LPS were from InvivoGen, and mouse IL-1 α and TNF α were from Sigma.

DNA Constructs—TBK1 (NCBI NP_037386.1) was amplified from IMAGE EST 5492519 (Geneservice) using KOD Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Novagen). The PCR product was cloned into pSC-b (Stratagene) and sequenced to completion. The insert was excised using BamHI and NotI and inserted into pCMV-FLAG-1 or pEBG6P to generate FLAG-TBK1 and GST-TBK1,

Activation of TBK1 and IKKe by Upstream Kinase

respectively. IKK ϵ (NCBI NP_054721.1) was cloned in a similar manner using IMAGE EST 3062062. Point mutations were created using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) but using KOD Hot Start DNA Polymerase. IRF3 (GenBankTM CAA91227.1) was amplified by PCR using IMAGE EST 5494536, ligated with pSC-b, and sequenced. The insert was subcloned into NotI sites of pGEX6P-2. PRDII (NF κ B) and PRDIII-I (IRF3) elements from the IFN- β promoter cloned into the pLuc-MCS vector were a kind gift from Katherine Fitzgerald (University of Massachusetts). pTK-RL was obtained from Stratagene.

Cell Culture—HEK293 cells stably expressing TLR3-FLAG (termed HEK293-TLR3 cells) were provided by Katherine Fitzgerald (University of Massachusetts), immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were from wild type mice, and mice expressing a truncated, inactive form of TAK1 (26) were provided by Professor Shizuo Akira (Osaka University, Japan). HEK293-TLR3, RAW264.7 cells (hereafter termed RAW cells) and MEFS were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 10% fetal calf serum, and the antibiotics penicillin and streptomycin. Bonemarrow derived macrophages were generated from mice as described (27). Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Antibodies—Antibodies were raised in sheep against the human TBK1 protein expressed in insect Sf21 cells (Sheep S041C, bleed 2) (25) and the C-terminal peptide of mouse IKK ϵ (NRLIERLHRVPSAPDV) (Sheep S277C, bleed 2) and used for immunoprecipitation. The phosphopeptide CEKFVS*VYGTE (where S* indicates phosphoserine) corresponding to the sequence surrounding Ser-172 of TBK1 and IKK ϵ was used to generate an antibody that immunoprecipitated the phosphorylated forms of these protein kinases (Sheep S051C, bleed 2). The phosphopeptide was coupled separately to keyhole limpet hemocyanin and bovine serum albumin, then mixed and injected into sheep at Diagnostics Scotland (Edinburgh, UK). The antisera were purified by affinity chromatography on immobilized TBK1 or the peptide antigen, respectively, in the Division of Signal Transduction Therapy (University of Dundee. The phospho-specific antibody was incubated with the unphosphorylated form of the peptide immunogen (10 μ g of peptide per μ g of antibody) before use to neutralize any antibodies recognizing the unphosphorylated forms of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Research Diagnostics Inc.), anti-GST (Division of Signal Transduction Therapy, University of Dundee), horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Pierce), anti-IRF3, anti-TAK1 (Santa Cruz), anti-FLAG, anti-IKK ϵ (Sigma), anti-TBK1, anti-TANK and anti-I κ B α (Cell Signaling Technology). Antibodies recognizing phosphorylated Ser-933 (Ser(P)-933) of p105 (NFκB1), Ser(P)-32/Ser(P)-36 of IκBα, Ser(P)-468 of RelA, Ser(P)-536 of RelA, Ser(P)-396 of IRF3, the Thr(P)-Glu-Tyr(P) sequence of ERK1 and ERK2, the Thr(P)-Gly-Tyr(P) sequence of p38 MAP kinases and a pan-PDK1 phosphorylation site antibody which recognizes the phosphorylated activation loop (Thr(P)-229) of S6 kinase 1 (28) were also from Cell Signaling Technology. The antibody recognizing the Thr(P)-Pro-Tyr(P)



sequence of JNK1/2 was from BIOSOURCE, whereas that recognizing Ser(P)-172 of TBK1 was from BD Biosciences.

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting—Pharmacological inhibitors dissolved in DMSO or an equivalent volume of DMSO for control incubations were added to the culture medium of cells grown as monolayers. After 1 h at 37 °C, the cells were stimulated with LPS, poly(I:C), IL-1 α , or TNF α as described in all the figure legends. Thereafter, the cells were rinsed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and extracted in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM sodium β -glycerol 1-phosphate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.27 M sucrose, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, 1 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Cell extracts were clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 imesg for 10 min at 4 °C, and protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay. Proteins were immunoprecipitated by incubating 1 mg of cell extract protein with 10 μ g of antibody for 90 min at 4 °C followed by the addition of Protein G-Sepharose. After mixing for 15 min at 4 °C and brief centrifugation, the immunocomplexes were washed 3 times in lysis buffer, denatured in SDS, and subjected to SDS-PAGE. To detect proteins in cell lysates, 40 μ g of protein extract was separated by SDS-PAGE. After transfer to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, proteins were detected by immunoblotting and visualized by treating the blots with ECL (Amersham Biosciences) followed by autoradiography.

Protein Kinase Assays-IRF3 was expressed in Escherichia coli as a GST fusion protein and purified by affinity chromatography on glutathione-Sepharose. Endogenous TBK1 was immunoprecipitated from 1 mg of cell lysate protein using the sheep anti-TBK1 (sheep S041C, bleed 2) antibody and overexpressed FLAG-TBK1 with an anti-FLAG M2-agarose (Sigma). Immunocomplexes were washed 3 times in lysis buffer and twice in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM EGTA, 10 mM magnesium acetate and then resuspended in the same buffer containing 2 µM GST-IRF3 (endogenous TBK1) or the peptide KKKKERLLDDRHDSGLDSMK-DEE (0.3 mM), corresponding to the sequence surrounding Ser-32 and Ser-36 of I κ B α , plus four lysine residues at the N terminus to facilitate binding to phosphocellulose paper (termed I κ B α peptide substrate). Assays were initiated by adding $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ (1000 cpm/pmol) to a final concentration of 0.1 mM. When GST-IRF3 was used as the substrate, the reactions were terminated after 30 min at 30 °C by the addition of SDS containing 40 mM EDTA, pH 7.0, heated for 5 min at 100 °C and separated by SDS-PAGE, and phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography. Quantification was performed by phosphorimaging analysis. For assays with the I κ B α substrate peptide, reactions were terminated after 10 min at 30 °C by spotting an aliquot of the reaction on to a 2×2 -cm² piece of phosphocellulose P81 paper (Whatman) followed by immersion in 75 mM phosphoric acid. After washing six times in phosphoric acid and once in acetone, the papers were dried and counted. One unit of TBK1 activity was defined as that amount of enzyme catalyzing the incorporation of 1 nmol of phosphate into substrate in 1 min.

TABLE 1

I₅₀ values for the inhibition of selected protein kinases by BX795

Data are presented as the average of duplicate determinations. VEGFR, vasoendothelial growth factor receptor.

Kinase	IC ₅₀	ATP
	μ_M	μ_M
TBK1	0.006 ± 0.001	100
$IKK\epsilon$	0.041 ± 0.001	100
PDK1	0.111 ± 0.013	100
Aurora B	0.031 ± 0.002	100
ERK8	0.140 ± 0.027	100
MARK3	0.081 ± 0.008	100
MARK1	0.055 ± 0.004	100
MARK2	0.053 ± 0.005	100
MARK4	0.019 ± 0.001	100
NUAK1	0.005 ± 0.001	100
VEGFR	0.157 ± 0.011	100
MLK1	0.050 ± 0.003	100
MLK2	0.046 ± 0.011	100
MLK3	0.042 ± 0.005	100
TAK1	0.70 ± 0.14	100
ASK1	0.620 ± 0.004	100

Luciferase Assays—Cells were co-transfected with $PRD(II)_2$ or $PRD(III-I)_3$ -pLuc-MCS and pTK-RL plasmid DNA. 24 h later cells were stimulated and extracted in Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). Luciferase activity was measured with a dual-luciferase assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Measurement of IFN- β Production—Cells were treated for 1 h with or without inhibitors then stimulated for 6 h with 100 ng/ml LPS or 10 μ g/ml poly(I:C). The cell culture medium was removed and clarified by centrifugation for 10 min at 14,000 × g, and the concentration of mouse IFN- β was measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (R&D Systems) according to manufacturer's protocol.

Microscopy—Cells seeded on glass coverslips were serumstarved overnight before stimulation with poly(I:C). The cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained as described (29) using anti-IRF3 (1:100; Santa Cruz) followed by incubation with Alexa546-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:500; Molecular Probes). The cells were then visualized using a Zeiss-LSM 510meta microscope fitted with an alpha Plan-Fluar 100x/1.45 oil objective.

Statistical Analysis—Quantitative data are presented as the mean \pm S.E. Statistical significance of differences between experimental groups was assessed with Student's *t* test. Differences in means were considered significant if *p* < 0.05.

RESULTS

Specificity of BX795 in Vitro—We reported previously that six protein kinases were inhibited by >90% in vitro in the presence of 0.1 μ M BX795, namely TBK1, IKK ϵ , PDK1, Aurora B, ERK8, and MARK3, but 60 other protein kinases tested were unaffected or only slightly inhibited at this concentration (25). The IC₅₀ values for these six protein kinases are shown in Table 1. MARK3 is a member of the subgroup of protein kinases that include the AMP-activated protein kinase. In the present study we found that the other MARK isoforms (MARK1, MARK2, and MARK4) and another AMP-activated protein kinase-related kinase (NUAK1) were inhibited with similar potency to MARK3 (Table 1). We also studied the effect of BX795 on a number of additional kinases not examined previously. These

aseme



FIGURE 1. BX795 blocks the phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and transcriptional activity of IRF3 and production of interferon β in response to TLR3 and TLR4 agonists. A, HEK293-TLR3 cells were incubated for 60 min without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 and subsequently stimulated with 50 μ g/ml poly(I:C) for the times indicated. Cell extract protein (40 μ g) was subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for IRF3. B, HEK293-TLR3 cells were stimulated for 2 h with poly(I:C) as in A. The cells were fixed, stained for IRF3, and visualized by confocal microscopy. C, HEK293-TLR3 cells were co-transfected with DNA encoding an IRF3 luciferase reporter construct and pTK-Renilla luciferase plasmid DNA. 24 h post-transfection cells were incubated for 1 h with varying concentrations of BX795 before stimulation for 6 h with 50 μ g/ml poly(I:C). Luciferase activity was measured and normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (mean \pm S.E., n = 4). D, RAW264.7 cells were incubated for 1 h at various concentrations of BX795 and then stimulated for 6 h with 100 ng/ml LPS. The concentration of IFN- β released into the culture medium was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. (mean \pm S.E., n = 4). E, the experiment was carried out as in D except that cells were incubated without (white bars) or with (black bars) 1 μ M BX795 and stimulated with either 100 ng/ml LPS or 10 μ g/ml of poly(I:C). (mean \pm S.E., n = 3; *, p < 0.01). F, the effects of BX795 on TLR signaling do not result from inhibition of PDK1. RAW264.7 macrophages were treated for 30 min without (control) or with 1 µM BX795 then stimulated for 45 min without (-) or with (+) 100 ng/ml LPS. Cell extracts (40 μ g protein) were immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing IRF3, IRF3 phosphorylated at Ser-396, and S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) phosphorylated at Thr-229.

experiments showed that BX795 did not inhibit the following protein-tyrosine kinases at 1 μ M: ephrin receptors A2 and B3, Syk, Bruton's tyrosine kinase, and fibroblast growth factor receptor 1. However, the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor was inhibited, albeit much less potently than TBK1 (Table 1). We reported previously that BX795 did not inhibit

which was blocked by BX795 (Fig. 1*B*). The next step in this pathway is IRF3-stimulated gene transcription. As expected from the results presented above, BX795 inhibited IRF3-dependent gene transcription (Fig. 1*C*). Finally, BX795 blocked the secretion of IFN- β from macrophages whether stimulated by LPS, a TLR4 agonist (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*), or poly(I:C) (Fig. 1*E*).

IKK β (25), and in the present study we found that the IKK α isoform is also unaffected at 1 µM in vitro (results not shown). For reasons discussed later, we also examined the effect of BX795 on a number of MAP kinase kinases (MKKs) and MAP kinase kinase kinases (termed MAP3Ks). At 1 μM, BX795 did not inhibit MKK1, MKK3, MKK4, MKK6, and MKK7, or the MAP3Ks Tpl2 and c-Raf (results not shown) but did potently inhibit the mixed lineage kinases, termed MLK1 (MAP3K9), MLK2 (MAP3K10), and MLK3 (MAP3K11)) (Table 1). MAP3K7, also called transforming growth factor β -activated kinase-1 (TAK1), and MAP3K5, also called apoptosis-stimulating kinase-1 (ASK1), were inhibited >100-fold less potently than TBK1 (Table 1). The ability of BX795 to inhibit the TBK1-catalyzed phosphorylation of IRF3 at Ser-396 declined as the ATP concentration in the assay was increased (supplemental Fig. S1), indicating that BX795 is an ATP competitive inhibitor of TBK1 as is

the case for PDK1 (24). BX795 Blocks TBK1- and IKK ϵ mediated Activation of IRF3 and Production of IFN- β —To examine whether BX795 inhibited TBK1 and IKK ϵ when added to mammalian cells in culture, we used HEK293 cells that stably overexpress TLR3 (termed HEK293-TLR3 cells) for initial studies. IRF3 migrated as a doublet in unstimulated cells. Stimulation with poly(I:C), a synthetic TLR3 agonist, led to the appearance of a more slowly migrating species whose level became maximal after 2 h (Fig. 1A). The appearance of this species, which has been shown by others to result from phosphorylation (30), was prevented by prior incubation with BX795 (Fig. 1A). We also observed that IRF3 accumulated in the nucleus on a similar time scale after poly(I:C) treatment,





FIGURE 2. **BX795 selectively blocks IRF3 but not NF**_K**B signaling.** *A*, RAW264.7 cells were incubated without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 and then either left unstimulated (-) or stimulated (+) for 30 min with 100 ng/ml LPS. Cell extracts (40 μ g protein) were then immunoblotted with the antibodies used in Fig. 1 and with antibodies that recognize TBK1, RelA phosphorylated at Ser-468 or Ser-536, IkB α phosphorylated at Ser-32 and Ser-36, or p105 phosphorylated at Ser-933. *B*, same as *A* except that the RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with 10 μ g/ml poly(I:C) for 60 min, and immunoblotting for IkB α was carried out using an antibody recognizing all forms of IkB α instead of the antibody recognizing phosphorylated IkB α . *C*, HEK293-TLR3 cells were co-transfected with DNA encoding an IRF3 or a NF κ B luciferase reporter construct and pTK-Renilla luciferase plasmid DNA. 24 h post-transfection cells were treated without (*white bars*) or with (*black bars*) 1 μ M BX795 and then stimulated for 6 h with 50 μ g/ml poly(I:C). Luciferase activity was measured and normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (mean ± S.E., *n* = 4; * *n* ρ < 0.001). *D* and *E*, MEFs were serum-starved overnight and incubated without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 for 60 min before stimulation with 10 ng/ml IL-1 α (*D*) or TNF- α (*E*). Cell extracts were immunoblotted as described in *A* and *B*.

BX795 was originally developed as an inhibitor the protein kinase PDK1, but BX795 had no effect on the LPS-stimulated phosphorylation of p70 ribosomal S6 kinase 1 at Thr-229, the site that is targeted by PDK1 (31), under conditions where it completely blocked the LPS-stimulated phosphorylation of IRF3 at Ser-396 (Fig. 1*F*). This experiment demonstrated that TBK1/IKK ϵ was inhibited much more potently than PDK1 by BX795 in cells and excluded the possibility that BX795 suppressed the activation of IRF3 and production of IFN- β by inhibiting PDK1.

BX795 Does Not Affect Activation of the IKKα/β Complex or NFκB-dependent Gene Transcription by LPS, poly(I:C), IL-1α, or TNFα—The question of whether TBK1 and IKK ϵ play a role in regulating NFκB-dependent gene transcription is an issue that is still not fully resolved (see the Introduction). We,

therefore, studied the effect of BX795 on the activation of NFκB and NFkB-dependent transcriptional activity. Under conditions where BX795 completely blocked the LPS (Fig. 2A)- or poly(I:C)-stimulated (Fig. 2B) phosphorylation of IRF3 at Ser-396, this compound did not affect the phosphorylation at Ser-32 and Ser-36 or degradation of the I κ B α inhibitory component of the NFkB transcription complex or the phosphorylation at Ser-933 of the p105 (NFkB1) regulatory subunit of the protein kinase Tpl2 (Fig. 2, A and B), which are established physiological substrates of the IKK α/β complex. These results demonstrated that BX795 did not inhibit any of the steps involved in the LPS- or poly(I:C)-stimulated activation of the IKK α/β complex or the ability of IKK α/β to phosphorylate downstream substrates. Nor did BX795 inhibit the LPS- or poly(I:C)-stimulated phosphorylation of the RelA component of the NFĸB transcription factor at Ser-468 and Ser-536 (Fig. 2, A and B), amino acid residues reported to become phosphorylated when TBK1 and/or IKK ϵ were overexpressed in cells (18, 19). BX795 did not inhibit NFkB-dependent gene transcription in response to poly(I:C) in HEK293-TLR3 cells (Fig. 2C), which is consistent with the failure of BX795 to suppress the activation or activity of the IKK α/β complex or the phosphorylation of RelA. BX795 also had no effect on the activation of the canonical

(Fig. 2, D and E), although TBK1 and IKK ϵ are activated as discussed later.

Activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ Correlates with the Phosphorylation of Ser-172—The molecular mechanisms that lead to the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ are still rather poorly defined, although the activation of these protein kinases is known to require their phosphorylation at Ser-172 within the activation loop (9, 15, 32). In the experiments described below we initially monitored the increase in the catalytic activity of TBK1 and IKK ϵ by direct enzyme assay as well as by the phosphorylation of Ser-172 (Fig. 3). LPS stimulation of RAW macrophages increased the catalytic activity of TBK1, which was maximal after 30 min, persisted until 60 min, and correlated with the phosphorylation of Ser-172 (Fig. 3A). Similarly, poly(I:C) stimulation of HEK293-TLR3 cells led to an increase in TBK1 activ-



NF κ B pathway by IL-1 α and TNF α



FIGURE 3. Phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKKe at Ser-172 correlates with catalytic activity. A, RAW264.7 macrophages were stimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS for the times indicated. Catalytic activity was measured by immunoprecipitating TBK1 and incubating the immunocomplexes with GST-IRF3 in presence of Mg[γ -³²P]ATP. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue, and phosphorylated IRF3 detected by autoradiography (top panel). Phosphorylation of Ser-172 on TBK1 was monitored by immunoprecipitating the phosphorylated TBK1 with the anti-IKK ϵ Ser(P)-172 antibody and immunoblotting with an antibody recognizing all forms of TBK1 (middle panel). A further aliquot of cell extract was subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with the same TBK1 antibody. B, same as A, except that HEK293-TLR3 cells were stimulated for the times indicated with 50 μ g/ml poly(I:C). C, RAW264.7 macrophages were left unstimulated (-) or stimulated (+) for 45 min with 100 ng/ml LPS. The Ser-172-phosphorylated forms of TBK1 and IKK ϵ were immunoprecipitated from cell extracts using the anti-Ser(P)-172 IKKe antibody, and the presence of TBK1 and/or IKKe was revealed by immunoblotting using antibodies recognizing all forms of TBK1 (top panel) or IKK ϵ (second panel from top) or a mixture of the two antibodies (third panel from the top). In the bottom panel, 40 μ g of extract protein was immunoblotted without immunoprecipitation using a mixture of the antibodies recognizing all forms of TBK1 and IKKe.

ity after 60 min that was maximal after 120 min and again correlated with the phosphorylation of Ser-172 (Fig. 3*B*).

The sequence surrounding Ser-172 is conserved between TBK1 and IKK ϵ . The phospho-specific antibody raised against the sequence surrounding Ser-172 should, therefore, recognize the phosphorylated forms of both protein kinases. We found that the anti-phospho-Ser-172 antibody that we generated immunoprecipitated phosphorylated TBK1 and IKK ϵ , allowing

Activation of TBK1 and IKKe by Upstream Kinase

the phosphorylation of these protein kinases to be monitored by subsequent immunoblotting with antibodies that recognize all forms of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . This method also enabled changes in the phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ to be studied simultaneously, as TBK1 migrated more slowly than IKK ϵ during SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3*C*). These studies revealed that TBK1 and IKK ϵ were activated in parallel in response to LPS (Fig. 3*C*).

Transfected Catalytic Subunits of TBK1 and IKK Are Activated by Autophosphorylation-It was reported that the transfected catalytic subunit of TBK1 became phosphorylated at Ser-172 but catalytically inactive mutants did not, which has led to the assumption that phosphorylation of Ser-172 is an autophosphorylation event (9, 15). These observations with transfected TBK1 were confirmed in the present study (Fig. 4A), where we also found that BX795 prevented the phosphorylation of Ser-172 (Fig. 4B) and the activation of transfected TBK1 (Fig. 4C). Additionally, we found that the phosphorylation of TBK1 at Ser-172 is at least partly an intermolecular autophosphorylation event, because wild type TBK1 associated with and phosphorylated the catalytically inactive mutant TBK1-(K38A) in co-transfection experiments (Fig. 4D). Moreover, TBK1-(K38A) also interacted with and could be phosphorylated by wild type IKK ϵ (Fig. 4D).

Although the transfected wild type and catalytically inactive mutants of TBK1 were expressed at similar levels, we noticed that wild type IKK ϵ accumulated to levels that were far greater than the catalytically inactive mutants (Fig. 4*E*). Moreover, unlike TBK1 (Fig. 4*B*), incubation of the cells expressing wild type Flag-IKK ϵ with BX795 led to a rapid decrease in the protein to the levels observed when a catalytically inactive mutant was expressed (Fig. 4*F*). Furthermore, incubation with BX795 did not decrease the expression of the catalytically inactive mutant of IKK ϵ further (results not shown). Taken together, these experiments demonstrate that the phosphorylation and/or the catalytic activity of IKK ϵ is required for the stability of the transfected protein kinase.

The level of expression of the endogenous IKK ϵ is increased by prolonged exposure to inflammatory stimuli, and for this reason, it is also called IKK-inducible (IKKi) (9). We found that the LPS-induced increase in the expression of endogenous IKK ϵ was not decreased by BX795 (Fig. 5*A*), demonstrating that it is not dependent on IKK ϵ catalytic activity. Moreover, in contrast to the overexpressed catalytic subunits, immunoprecipitation of endogenous IKK ϵ did not coimmunoprecipitate TBK1 or vice versa (Fig. 5*B*). Immunoprecipitation of IKK ϵ or TBK1 also led to the coimmunoprecipitation of TANK (Fig. 5*B*), as expected from earlier reports (8). Taken together, these results indicate that the endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ are present in RAW macrophages as separate complexes.

Phosphorylation of Endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 Is Mediated by a Distinct Protein Kinase(s)—We next studied the activation (Fig. 6A) and Ser-172 phosphorylation (Fig. 6B) of the endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ in LPS-stimulated RAW macrophages. Surprisingly, and in complete contrast to the transfection experiments described above, BX795 not only failed to reduce the phosphorylation of the endogenous protein kinases at Ser-172 but actually





FIGURE 4. The overexpression of TBK1 and IKK e leads to autophosphorylation and transphosphorylation of Ser-172. A, wild type (WT) and two different catalytically-inactive FLAG-tagged mutants of TBK1 (TBK1-(K38A) and TBK1[D157A]) were expressed in HEK293 cells. Cell extract (40 μ g protein) was then subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using anti-Ser(P)-172 TBK1 and anti-FLAG. B, FLAG-WT-TBK1 was transfected into HEK293 cells. 24 h later the cells were treated without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 for the times indicated. Cell extracts (40 μ g protein) were then immunoblotted using anti-FLAG and anti-Ser(P)-172 TBK1 as in A. C, a vector encoding FLAG WT-TBK1 was transfected into HEK293 cells, then incubated for 1 h without (-) or with (+) 1 µM BX795. TBK1 was then immunoprecipitated from 0.1 mg of cell extract protein with anti-FLAG (washed) and assayed for activity using the I κ B α peptide substrate (mean \pm S.E., n = 4; *, p < 0.001), D, a vector encoding FLAG-tagged TBK1-(K38A) was co-expressed in HEK293 cells with an empty vector (-), a vector encoding GST (+), or a vector encoding GST-WT-TBK1 (TBK1) GST-WT-IKK (IKK e). The cells were lysed, and 40 µg of cell extract protein was immunoblotted (WB) using anti-Ser(P)-172 TBK1/IKK or anti-FLAG as in A (upper two panels). A further 0.5 mg of cell extract protein was incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with 0.01 ml of glutathione-Sepharose beads with continuous mixing. The beads were collected by centrifugation and washed three times in lysis buffer, and bound proteins were released with SDS and immunoblotted with anti-GST or anti-FLAG antibodies (lower two panels). E, wild type and catalytically-inactive mutants of FLAG-TBK1 and FLAG-IKKe were expressed in HEK293 cells, and the lysates were immunoblotted using anti-FLAG as in A. F, WT-FLAG-IKK was expressed in HEK293 cells. 24 h later the cells were treated with 1 µm BX795 for the times indicated. Cell extracts (40 μ g protein) were then immunoblotted using anti-FLAG as in A. Anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a loading control.



FIGURE 5. Endogenous IKK ϵ protein expression is unaffected by treatment with BX795 and does not form a complex with TBK1. A, RAW264.7 cells were treated for 1 h without (–) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 before stimulation for 16 h without (–) or with (+) 100 ng/ml LPS. Cell extracts were immunoblotted with antibodies raised against IKK ϵ , TBK1, and glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*). *B*, endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ were immunoprecipitated (*IP*) from cell extracts of RAW264.7 cells. IgG isolated from a non-immunized sheep served as a negative control. Proteins present in the immunoprecipitates were detected by immunoblotting with antibodies that recognize IKK ϵ , TBK1, and TANK.

enhanced phosphorylation (Fig. 6, *B* and *C*) and activation (Fig. 6*A*) by about 2-fold. Similar results were obtained in primary bone marrow-derived macrophages stimulated with LPS (Fig. 6*D*) or poly(I:C) (Fig. 6*E*).

The different effects of BX795 on the phosphorylation of Ser-172 of endogenous and transfected TBK1/IKK ϵ raised the question of whether the endogenous kinases were insensitive to BX795. Although this seemed unlikely, as BX795 suppresses the phosphorylation of the TBK1/IKK ϵ substrate IRF3 in response to LPS and poly(I:C) (Fig. 6), we tested this possibility after immunoprecipitating endogenous TBK1 from LPS-stimulated RAW macrophages. These experiments confirmed that the endogenous TBK1 was potently inhibited by BX795 (Fig. 6F).

We also found that IL-1 α (Fig. 7A) and TNF α (Fig. 7B) activated TBK1 and IKK ϵ in immortalized MEFs, activation again correlating with the phosphorylation of Ser-172. The IL-1 α -stimulated activation peaked after 5-10 min and declined thereafter. Activation by TNF α peaked at 10 min but had disappeared by 60 min. Similar to LPS and poly(I:C), BX795 enhanced the activation of TBK1 and the phosphorylation of TBK1/IKK ϵ at Ser-172 by IL-1 α (Fig. 7C). BX795 did not decrease the TNF α -stimulated activation of TBK1 or the phosphorylation of TBK1/IKK ϵ , but in contrast to LPS, poly(I:C), and IL-1 α , did not increase it (Fig. 7*D*).

Activation of TBK1/IKK ϵ by TNF α but Not IL-1 α Is Reduced in

TAK1-deficient MEFs—TBK1 and IKK ϵ are members of the IKK subfamily of protein kinases. To start to address the question of which protein kinase(s) activates TBK1 and IKK ϵ in cells, we therefore investigated whether the activation of TBK1/ IKK ϵ was affected in MEFs that express a truncated inactive form of TAK1 (MAP3K7) (26), a MAP3K that has been implicated in the activation of IKK α/β by inflammatory stimuli. These experiments showed that the activation of TBK1/ IKK ϵ by IL-1 α was unaffected (Fig. 8*A*), but a reduction in the extent of activation after stimulation by TNF α was observed consistently (Fig. 8*B*). As expected, the phosphorylation of p105, an established physiological substrate of IKK β , was abolished in the TAK1-deficient MEFs (Fig. 8).







FIGURE 6. BX795 increases the phosphorylation of Ser-172 and the catalytic activity of TBK1 and IKK ϵ in response to LPS and poly(I:C). A, RAW264.7 cells were treated for 1 h without (-) or with (+) 1 µM BX795 before stimulation for 45 min without (-) or with (+) 100 ng/ml LPS. TBK1 was immunoprecipitated from 1 mg of cell extract protein, and its catalytic activity was measured by incubation for 30 min at 30 °C with GST-IRF3 and Mg[γ -³²P]ATP. Reactions were terminated in SDS, proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the gel was autoradiographed (top panel). The gel was subjected to phosphorimaging analysis, and the catalytic activity of TBK1 was quantitated and normalized to that observed in the unstimulated cells (mean \pm S.E., n = 3; *, p <0.05). B, an aliquot of the cell extract in A was used to analyze the phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 as in Fig. 3C (top panel). As a loading control, cell extract (40 µg of protein) was also immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing all forms of TBK1 and IKKe (lower panel). This antibody also recognizes a nonspecific band migrating slower than TBK1 and IKK e. C, RAW264.7 cells were incubated for 1 h with the indicated concentrations of BX795 and then either left unstimulated (-) or stimulated (+) for 45 min with 100 ng/ml LPS. The phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 was then examined by immunoprecipitation/immunoblotting as in Fig. 3C. D, bone marrow-derived macrophages were incubated for 1 h without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 followed by stimulation for 30 or 60 min with 100 ng/ml LPS. Cell extract (20 μ g protein) was then immunoblotted with the antibodies indicated. E, the experiment was performed as in D, but the cells were stimulated for 60 min with 10 μ g/ml poly(I:C). F, RAW264.7 cells were stimulated for 45 min with 100 ng/ml LPS, TBK1 was immunoprecipitated from 0.5 mg of cell extract protein, and its ability to phosphorylate GST-IRF3 (2 μ M) was measured at varying concentrations of BX795 using Mg[γ -³²P]ATP. Reactions were terminated in SDS, proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the gel was autoradiographed (top panel). The gel was subjected to phosphorimaging analysis, and the catalytic activity of TBK1 was guantitated and normalized to that measured in the absence of inhibitor (mean \pm S.E., n = 4).

Effect of BX795 on Activation of MAP Kinases by LPS, poly(I: C), IL-1 α , or TNF α —BX795 had no effect on the LPS (Fig. 9A)or poly(I:C)-stimulated (Fig. 9B) phosphorylation of the activating Thr-Glu-Tyr motif of ERK1/ERK2. In contrast, BX795 strongly suppressed the LPS (Fig. 9A)- or poly(I:C)-stimulated (Fig. 9B) phosphorylation of the activating Thr-Pro-Tyr motif on JNK1/2 and partially inhibited the phosphorylation of the activating Thr-Gly-Tyr motif on p38 α MAP kinase. BX795 also TBK1 and IKK ϵ , are not rate-limiting for the activation of NF κ B by TLR3 and TLR4 agonists or by TNF α and IL-1 α .

The present study is the first to show that TBK1 and IKK ϵ can be activated by IL-1 α . We found that the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ by IL-1 α and TNF α was rapid (5–10 min) but transient. This contrasted with the slower and more sustained activation of these protein kinases by poly(I:C) and LPS and might explain, at least in part, why TNF α and IL-1 α did not induce the

suppressed the phosphorylation of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK in MEFs stimulated with IL-1 α or TNF α (Fig. 9, *C* and *D*). As discussed below, the effect of BX795 on the activation of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK does not result from the inhibition of TBK1/IKK ϵ .

DISCUSSION

Small cell-permeable inhibitors of protein kinases have proved to be valuable reagents for identifying novel substrates of these enzymes and for studying their physiological roles, but more compounds with the requisite potency and specificity to be really useful are needed. Here, we introduce BX795 as the first pharmacological inhibitor suitable for studying the function and regulation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ in cells.

TBK1 and IKK ϵ are known to be required for the activation of the transcription factor IRF3 and production of Type 1 interferons (10-13). However, when overexpressed in mammalian cells, these protein kinases also activate NFkB-dependent gene transcription (7-9, 18-20)(see the Introduction). In the present study we found that BX795 suppressed the activation of IRF3 and the production of interferon β but had no effect on the activation of NFκB or NFκB-dependent gene transcription by any of the stimuli that we studied. These results, which do not support a role for TBK1 and IKK ϵ in activating NF κ B in cells, are consistent with the phenotype of the mouse knock-out, where the absence of TBK1 and IKK ϵ expression also had no effect on NFkB-dependent gene transcription by inflammatory stimuli (10, 11). We, therefore, conclude that despite their similarity to IKK α and IKK β , the other members of the IKK subfamily of protein kinases,





FIGURE 7. Activation and phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ by IL-1 α and TNF α . A and B, kinetics of activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . MEFs were serumstarved overnight and stimulated for the indicated times using 10 ng/ml IL-1 α (A) or TNF α (B). Catalytic activity was measured by immunoprecipitating TBK1 and incubating the immune complexes with GST-IRF3 in the presence of Mg[γ -³²P]ATP. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography (*top panel*). Phosphorylation of Ser-172 on TBK1 was monitored by immunoprecipitating the phosphorylated TBK1 and IKK ϵ with the anti-IKK ϵ Ser(P)-172 antibody (S051C) and immunoblotting with an antibody recognizing all forms of TBK1 and IKK ϵ (*middle panel*). C and D, effect of BX795 on the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . MEFs were incubated for 1 h without (-) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 before stimulation for 10 min with 10 ng/ml IL-1 α (C) or TNF α (D). Catalytic activity and phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ were measured as in A and B.



FIGURE 8. **TNF** α , **but not IL-1** α , **induced phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK** ϵ **is reduced in TAK1-deficient MEFs.** Wild type (*WT*) MEFs and MEFs expressing a truncated inactive form of TAK1 (26) were stimulated with 10 ng/ml IL-1 α (*A*) or TNF α (*B*) for 10 min. The phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ was monitored using the immunoprecipitation/immunoblotting assay described in Fig. 3C. The phosphorylation of p105 as well as total TAK1 and TBK1/IKK ϵ was detected in total protein extracts (40 μ g) by immunoblotting with the respective antibodies. TAK1 Δ is an inactive form of TAK1, which lacks 37 amino acid residues in a region critical for ATP binding. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments. *KO*, knockout.

phosphorylation of IRF3 or the production of interferon β (results not shown). The inability of TNF α and IL-1 α to couple to TRAF3, which is located in the endosomal compartment of cells (33), may also account for the failure of TNF α and IL-1 α to activate the interferon pathway. The substrates of TBK1 and IKK ϵ in the TNF α and IL-1 α signaling pathways remain to be identified, although TBK1 knock-out mice die just before birth from TNF-induced apoptosis of the liver (21). This suggests that TBK1 normally plays a role in suppressing TNF-stimulated apoptosis, at least in embryonic liver.

Before the present study, others had proposed that the phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 and the activation of these protein kinases was an autophosphorylation event (9, 15). These conclusions were based on overexpression studies, and indeed, we confirmed that the phosphorylation of Ser-172 and activation of the overexpressed TBK1 catalytic subunit was blocked by BX795. However, BX795 failed to suppress the phosphorylation and activation of endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ in response to poly(I:C), LPS, TNF α , and IL-1 α . These results demonstrate that although TBK1 and IKK ϵ can autophospho-



FIGURE 9. Effect of BX795 on the activation of MAP kinases. *A* and *B*, RAW264.7 cells were treated without (–) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 and either left unstimulated (–) or stimulated (+) with 100 ng/ml LPS (*A*) or 10 μ g/ml poly(I:C) (*B*). The cells were lysed, and aliquots of the lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with antibodies that recognize the phosphorylated forms of ERK1/2, p38 α MAPK, and JNK1/2. As a loading control, cell extracts were immunoblotted with an antibody recognizing all forms of p38 α MAP kinase. *C* and *D*, same as *A* and *B*, except that MEFs were deprived of serum overnight and then incubated without (–) or with (+) 1 μ M BX795 for 60 min before stimulation with 10 ng/ml IL-1 α (*C*) or TNF α (*D*).

rylate at Ser-172 and autoactivate when they are overexpressed in cells, the activation of the endogenous protein kinases is not mediated by autophosphorylation but by a distinct upstream activating kinase(s). The molecular mechanisms that prevent the autophosphorylation of endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ are unclear. However, endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ are present as inactive and separate complexes in unstimulated cells, presumably due to their interaction with other proteins, such as TANK, NAP-1, SINTBAD, and Optineurin (8, 34–36) and/or their dephosphorylation by protein phosphatases.

It will clearly be important to identify the protein kinase(s) responsible for phosphorylating TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172, the most likely candidates being one or more of the 22 members of the MAP3K subfamily and the 12 members of the MAP4K subfamily. LPS- and poly(I:C)-stimulated IRF3-dependent gene transcription was not affected in MEFs that do not express TAK1 (MAP3K7) (37) and the overexpression of a dominant negative mutant of TAK1 blocked NFkB-dependent gene transcription without affecting IRF3-dependent gene transcription in response to poly(I:C) and TLR3 agonists (37, 38). Thus, TAK1 does not seem to be required for the activation of TBK1/ IKK ϵ by LPS or poly(I:C). In the present study we showed that the IL-1 α -mediated phosphorylation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172 in MEFs that express a truncated, inactive form of TAK1 instead of the wild type protein is similar to wild type MEFs. Interestingly, the TNF α -induced phosphorylation of TBK1/ IKK ϵ at Ser-172 was reduced significantly in the TAK1-deficient MEFs (Fig. 8), indicating that TAK1 contributes to the activation of TBK1/IKK ϵ by this agonist. Nevertheless, TAK1 might not be a direct activator of TBK1/IKK ϵ but is, instead, required for the synthesis or activation of another protein



kinase that directly phosphorylates and activates TBK1/IKK ϵ under these conditions. In conclusion, these experiments in TAK1-deficient MEFs indicate that distinct protein kinases may mediate the activation of TBK1/IKK ϵ by TNF α and IL-1 α .

The MLK isoforms are potently inhibited by BX795 (Table 1), which may underlie the suppression of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK activation by BX795, as discussed later. However, as BX795 does not inhibit the phosphorylation of TBK1/IKK ϵ at Ser-172, the MLKs can probably also be excluded as activators of TBK1/IKK ϵ .

Our finding that the activation of endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ is not an autophosphorylation event, as believed previously, has more general implications, because it raises the possibility that other protein kinases currently believed to be activated by autophosphorylation based on overexpression experiments alone may also be activated by separate upstream kinases *in vivo*. This issue will need to be revisited when specific pharmacological inhibitors of these protein kinases become available.

Interestingly, BX795 enhanced the phosphorylation and activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ by poly(I:C), LPS, and IL-1 α but not by TNF α . This finding implies that a feedback control mechanism exists in which TBK1 and IKK ϵ phosphorylate an upstream component(s) of this signaling pathway to limit the extent to which TBK1/IKK ϵ can be activated, thereby avoiding the overproduction of Type 1 interferons. Which upstream component is the target for feedback control is unknown, but if it was the protein kinase that activates TBK1/IKK ϵ in response to LPS, poly(I:C), and IL-1 α , this may explain why the feedback loop does not operate in the pathway leading to the activation of TBK1/IKK ϵ by TNF α , which appears to require a separate and/or additional upstream activating protein kinase.

MAPK cascades play important roles in regulating the production of inflammatory mediators during bacterial and viral infection, which led us to find that BX795 suppresses the activation of p38 α MAPK and JNK1/2 by LPS, poly(I:C), IL-1 α , and TNF α . Two lines of evidence indicate that this effect of BX795 is independent of TBK1/IKK ϵ . First, the activation of these MAPKs by poly(I:C) is not impaired in MEFs from TBK1/IKK ϵ double knock-out mice (10). Second, novel chemical entities have recently been derived from BX795 by our collaborators at MRC Technology, which retain their ability to inhibit TBK1/ IKK ϵ and to suppress the phosphorylation of IRF3 and production of interferon β but no longer inhibit the activation of p38 α MAPK or JNK1/2 in cells.⁴ The immediate upstream activators of JNK1/2 are MKK4 and MKK7 and for p38 MAP kinases are MKK3 and MKK6, but these four MKKs were unaffected by BX795 *in vitro* at concentrations $(1 \mu M)$ that suppress the activation of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK in cells. This suggests that the target of BX795 in the p38 α MAPK and JNK1/2 pathways is upstream of the MKKs.

LPS, IL-1 α , and TNF α are unable to activate the IKK α/β complex, JNK1/2, and p38 MAPKs in TAK1-deficient MEFs (26, 37), and these findings have led to a widespread acceptance that TAK1 is the direct activator of IKK α/β as well as the MKKs





FIGURE 10. Model for the activation and feedback control of TBK1 and IKK by proinflammatory stimuli. The binding of IL-1 α , LPS, and poly(I:C) to their respective receptors induces the activation of an unidentified protein kinase (*PKX*). *PKX* subsequently phosphorylates TBK1 and IKK ϵ at Ser-172, thereby triggering their activation. TBK1 and IKK ϵ can then phosphorylate substrates such as the transcription factor IRF3. As shown in this study, TBK1 and IKK ϵ also exert a negative feedback control on their activation by IL-1 α , LPS, and poly(I:C) presumably by phosphorylation and inhibition of an upstream component of the pathway and/or by activating a Ser-172 protein phosphatase (not illustrated). The TNF α -stimulated activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ appears to require a separate or additional protein kinase that is at least partially dependent on TAK1 activity, and this arm of the pathway is not subject to feedback control.

that activate JNK1/2 and p38 MAPK, in response to these stimuli. However, in the present study, we found that BX795 suppressed the activation of JNK1/2 but had no effect on the activation of the IKK α/β complex by inflammatory stimuli. These observations are inconsistent with the notion that the same MAP3K activates both signaling pathways but are consistent with the work of Zhong and Kyriakis (39, 40). These investigators reported that LPS and some other inflammatory stimuli activate JNK1/2 and p38 MAPK by stabilizing MAP4K2, also termed germinal centre kinase (39). This led to a rapid rise in the expression of germinal centre kinase, enabling it to activate MLK2 (MAP3K10) and MLK3 (MAP3K11), which then activated the MKKs that switch on JNK1/2 and p38 MAPK. In contrast, the germinal centre kinase-MLK2/3 pathway does not activate the IKK α/β complex (40). These findings led us to discover that BX795 is a potent inhibitor of MLK isoforms in vitro (Table 1). Moreover, the novel compounds that have recently been derived from BX795 by MRC Technology and do not suppress the activation of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK in cells are far less potent inhibitors of MLK3 in vitro than BX795.⁴ These results suggest that inhibition of one or more MLK isoforms may underlie the inhibition of JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK by LPS, poly(I:C), IL-1 α , and TNF α . In contrast, BX795 was found to be a much weaker inhibitor of TAK1 in vitro (Table 1), which could explain why BX795 does not suppress the activation of IKK α/β complex. Why inflammatory stimuli cannot activate JNK1/2 and p38 α MAPK in TAK1-deficient cells is unknown, although one possible explanation is that TAK1 controls the

⁴ K. Clark, L. Plater, and P. Cohen, unpublished results.

expression of one or more germinal centre kinase and/or MLK isoforms.

In summary, we have used the pharmacological inhibitor BX795 to study the function and regulation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . Most importantly, our results have demonstrated that the activation of endogenous TBK1 and IKK ϵ , which correlates with the phosphorylation of Ser-172, is not an autophosphorylation event. Our current paradigm for the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ in response to proinflammatory stimuli is summarized in Fig. 10. Upon stimulation of cells with LPS, poly(I:C), IL-1 α , and TNF α , protein kinases are activated that phosphorylate Ser-172 in the activation loop of TBK1 and IKK ϵ . LPS, poly(I:C), and IL-1 α control a negative feedback loop that prevents the hyperactivation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ by phosphorylating one or more upstream components of these pathways. Alternatively, or in addition, the feedback control loop might involve the TBK1/ IKK ϵ -mediated activation of a protein phosphatase(s) that dephosphorylates Ser-172. This feedback control loop does not operate in the TNF α signaling pathway in MEFs, which seems to employ a distinct upstream protein kinase for the activation of TBK1 and IKK ϵ that is dependent on TAK1 activity.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. Katherine Fitzgerald for generously providing IRF3 and NF κ B reporter constructs and the 293-TLR3 cells. We also thank Professor Shizuo Akira for kindly providing immortalized MEFs expressing a truncated, inactive form of TAK1 and control MEFs, Dr. Alan Prescott for assistance with microscopy, Dr. Natalia Shpiro for synthesizing BX795, and the Protein and Antibody Production Teams of the Division of Signal Transduction Therapy at the University of Dundee (coordinated by Hilary McLauchlan and James Hastie) for proteins and antibodies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Akira, S., Uematsu, S., and Takeuchi, O. (2006) Cell 124, 783-801
- 2. Hayden, M. S., and Ghosh, S. (2008) Cell 132, 344-362
- Beinke, S., Robinson, M. J., Hugunin, M., and Ley, S. C. (2004) Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 9658–9667
- Waterfield, M., Jin, W., Reiley, W., Zhang, M., and Sun, S. C. (2004) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24, 6040 6048
- 5. Kawai, T., and Akira, S. (2006) Nat. Immunol. 7, 131-137
- 6. Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2008) Curr. Opin. Immunol. 20, 17-22
- Tojima, Y., Fujimoto, A., Delhase, M., Chen, Y., Hatakeyama, S., Nakayama, K., Kaneko, Y., Nimura, Y., Motoyama, N., Ikeda, K., Karin, M., and Nakanishi, M. (2000) *Nature* 404, 778–782
- 8. Pomerantz, J. L., and Baltimore, D. (1999) EMBO J. 18, 6694-6704
- 9. Shimada, T., Kawai, T., Takeda, K., Matsumoto, M., Inoue, J., Tatsumi, Y., Kanamaru, A., and Akira, S. (1999) *Int. Immunol.* **11**, 1357–1362
- Hemmi, H., Takeuchi, O., Sato, S., Yamamoto, M., Kaisho, T., Sanjo, H., Kawai, T., Hoshino, K., Takeda, K., and Akira, S. (2004) *J. Exp. Med.* **199**, 1641–1650
- Perry, A. K., Chow, E. K., Goodnough, J. B., Yeh, W. C., and Cheng, G. (2004) *J. Exp. Med.* 199, 1651–1658
- McWhirter, S. M., Fitzgerald, K. A., Rosains, J., Rowe, D. C., Golenbock, D. T., and Maniatis, T. (2004) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 233–238
- Fitzgerald, K. A., McWhirter, S. M., Faia, K. L., Rowe, D. C., Latz, E., Golenbock, D. T., Coyle, A. J., Liao, S. M., and Maniatis, T. (2003) *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 491–496
- 14. Schroder, M., Baran, M., and Bowie, A. G. (2008) EMBO J. 27, 2147-2157
- 15. Soulat, D., Burckstummer, T., Westermayer, S., Goncalves, A., Bauch, A.,

Stefanovic, A., Hantschel, O., Bennett, K. L., Decker, T., and Superti-Furga, G. (2008) *EMBO J.* **27**, 2135–2146

- Zhong, B., Yang, Y., Li, S., Wang, Y. Y., Li, Y., Diao, F., Lei, C., He, X., Zhang, L., Tien, P., and Shu, H. B. (2008) *Immunity* 29, 538–550
- Tenoever, B. R., Ng, S. L., Chua, M. A., McWhirter, S. M., Garcia-Sastre, A., and Maniatis, T. (2007) *Science* **315**, 1274–1278
- Buss, H., Dorrie, A., Schmitz, M. L., Hoffmann, E., Resch, K., and Kracht, M. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. 279, 55633–55643
- Mattioli, I., Geng, H., Sebald, A., Hodel, M., Bucher, C., Kracht, M., and Schmitz, M. L. (2006) J. Biol. Chem. 281, 6175–6183
- Harris, J., Oliere, S., Sharma, S., Sun, Q., Lin, R., Hiscott, J., and Grandvaux, N. (2006) *J. Immunol.* 177, 2527–2535
- Bonnard, M., Mirtsos, C., Suzuki, S., Graham, K., Huang, J., Ng, M., Itie, A., Wakeham, A., Shahinian, A., Henzel, W. J., Elia, A. J., Shillinglaw, W., Mak, T. W., Cao, Z., and Yeh, W. C. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 4976 – 4985
- Korherr, C., Gille, H., Schafer, R., Koenig-Hoffmann, K., Dixelius, J., Egland, K. A., Pastan, I., and Brinkmann, U. (2006) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 103, 4240–4245
- Boehm, J. S., Zhao, J. J., Yao, J., Kim, S. Y., Firestein, R., Dunn, I. F., Sjostrom, S. K., Garraway, L. A., Weremowicz, S., Richardson, A. L., Greulich, H., Stewart, C. J., Mulvey, L. A., Shen, R. R., Ambrogio, L., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Hill, D. E., Vidal, M., Meyerson, M., Grenier, J. K., Hinkle, G., Root, D. E., Roberts, T. M., Lander, E. S., Polyak, K., and Hahn, W. C. (2007) *Cell* **129**, 1065–1079
- Feldman, R. I., Wu, J. M., Polokoff, M. A., Kochanny, M. J., Dinter, H., Zhu, D., Biroc, S. L., Alicke, B., Bryant, J., Yuan, S., Buckman, B. O., Lentz, D., Ferrer, M., Whitlow, M., Adler, M., Finster, S., Chang, Z., and Arnaiz, D. O. (2005) *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 19867–19874
- Bain, J., Plater, L., Elliott, M., Shpiro, N., Hastie, C. J., McLauchlan, H., Klevernic, I., Arthur, J. S., Alessi, D. R., and Cohen, P. (2007) *Biochem. J.* 408, 297–315
- Sato, S., Sanjo, H., Takeda, K., Ninomiya-Tsuji, J., Yamamoto, M., Kawai, T., Matsumoto, K., Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2005) *Nat. Immunol.* 6, 1087–1095
- Ananieva, O., Darragh, J., Johansen, C., Carr, J. M., McIlrath, J., Park, J. M., Wingate, A., Monk, C. E., Toth, R., Santos, S. G., Iversen, L., and Arthur, J. S. (2008) *Nat. Immunol.* 9, 1028–1036
- Collins, B. J., Deak, M., Murray-Tait, V., Storey, K. G., and Alessi, D. R. (2005) *J. Cell Sci.* 118, 5023–5034
- Clark, K., Langeslag, M., van Leeuwen, B., Ran, L., Ryazanov, A. G., Figdor, C. G., Moolenaar, W. H., Jalink, K., and van Leeuwen, F. N. (2006) *EMBO J.* 25, 290–301
- Lin, R., Heylbroeck, C., Pitha, P. M., and Hiscott, J. (1998) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 2986–2996
- Pullen, N., Dennis, P. B., Andjelkovic, M., Dufner, A., Kozma, S. C., Hemmings, B. A., and Thomas, G. (1998) *Science* 279, 707–710
- Kishore, N., Huynh, Q. K., Mathialagan, S., Hall, T., Rouw, S., Creely, D., Lange, G., Caroll, J., Reitz, B., Donnelly, A., Boddupalli, H., Combs, R. G., Kretzmer, K., and Tripp, C. S. (2002) *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 13840–13847
- Kagan, J. C., Su, T., Horng, T., Chow, A., Akira, S., and Medzhitov, R. (2008) Nat. Immunol. 9, 361–368
- Fujita, F., Taniguchi, Y., Kato, T., Narita, Y., Furuya, A., Ogawa, T., Sakurai, H., Joh, T., Itoh, M., Delhase, M., Karin, M., and Nakanishi, M. (2003) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 7780–7793
- 35. Ryzhakov, G., and Randow, F. (2007) EMBO J. 26, 3180-3190
- Morton, S., Hesson, L., Peggie, M., and Cohen, P. (2008) FEBS Lett. 582, 997–1002
- Shim, J. H., Xiao, C., Paschal, A. E., Bailey, S. T., Rao, P., Hayden, M. S., Lee, K. Y., Bussey, C., Steckel, M., Tanaka, N., Yamada, G., Akira, S., Matsumoto, K., and Ghosh, S. (2005) *Genes Dev.* **19**, 2668–2681
- Jiang, Z., Mak, T. W., Sen, G., and Li, X. (2004) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 3533–3538
- 39. Zhong, J., and Kyriakis, J. M. (2004) Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 9165–9175
- 40. Zhong, J., and Kyriakis, J. M. (2007) J. Biol. Chem. 282, 24246-24254

ASBMB