Genomic Responses from the Estrogen-responsive Element-dependent Signaling Pathway Mediated by Estrogen Receptor α **Are Required to Elicit Cellular Alterations***□**^S**

Received for publication, January 16, 2009, and in revised form, March 23, 2009 Published, JBC Papers in Press, March 24, 2009, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M900365200

Stephanie L. Nott‡1,2**, Yanfang Huang**‡1**, Xiaodong Li**‡3**, Brian R. Fluharty**‡ **, Xing Qiu**§ **, Wade V. Welshons**¶ **,** ShuYuan Yeh^{||}, and Mesut Muyan^{‡**4}

From the Departments of ‡ *Biochemistry and Biophysics,* § *Biostatistics and Computational Biology, Urology, and* ***Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Rochester Medical School, Rochester, New York 14642 and the* ¶ *Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65211*

Estrogen (E2) signaling is conveyed by the transcription fac- torse estrogen receptor (ER) α and β . ERs modulate the expres**sion of genes involved in cellular proliferation, motility, and death. The regulation of transcription by E2-ER through binding to estrogen-responsive elements (EREs) in DNA constitutes** the ERE-dependent signaling pathway. $E2 - ER\alpha$ also modulates **gene expression by interacting with transregulators bound to cognate DNA-regulatory elements, and this regulation is referred to as the ERE-independent signaling pathway. The rel**ative importance of the ERE-independent pathway in $E2$ -ER α **signaling is unclear. To address this issue, we engineered an** ERE-binding defective ER α mutant (ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$) by changing residues in an α -helix of the protein involved in DNA binding to **render the receptor functional only through the ERE-independent signaling pathway. Using recombinant adenovirus-infected ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells derived from a breast adeno**carcinoma, we found that $E2 - ER\alpha_{EBD}$ modulated the expression **of a subset of ER-responsive genes identified by microarrays** and verified by quantitative PCR. However, $E2 - ER\alpha$ _{EBD} did not **affect cell cycle progression, cellular growth, death, or motility** in contrast to E2-ER α . ER α_{EBD} in the presence of E2 was also **ineffective in inducing phenotypic alterations in ER-negative U-2OS cells derived from an osteosarcoma. E2-ER, on the other hand, effectively repressed growth in this cell line. Our findings suggest that genomic responses from the ERE-depend**ent signaling pathway are required for $E2$ - $ER\alpha$ to induce alter**ations in cellular responses.**

 17β -Estradiol (E2),⁵ as the main circulating estrogen hormone, plays critical roles in the physiology and pathophysiology of many tissues (1, 2). The effects of E2 are primarily mediated by estrogen receptor (ER) α and β (1, 2). ERs display functionally distinct structural features. The amino terminus of ER α contains a ligand-independent transactivation function. The central region is the DNA binding domain (DBD). The flexible hinge domain contains a nuclear localization signal and links the DBD domain to the multifunctional carboxyl-terminal ligand binding (LBD) domain. The LBD is involved in ligand binding, dimerization, and ligand-dependent transactivation function.

Following synthesis, $ER\alpha$ dimerizes and translocates to the nucleus independent of E2 (3). Fractions of the ER α population also partition to the perimembrane, cytoplasm, and mitochondria (4). The binding of E2 to ER α leads to a major structural reorganization of the LBD that converts the inactive ER α to the functionally active form by generating surfaces that support protein-protein interactions (5). The integration of E2-ER α signaling generated from various cellular locations is thought to be critical for the regulation of responsive gene expression involved in cellular proliferation, differentiation, motility, and death (4, 6).

One of the primary nuclear $E2$ -ER α signaling events involves the interaction of E2-ER α with specific DNA sequences, known as estrogen-responsive elements (EREs) (7), of estrogen-responsive genes and subsequent regulation of transcription. This signaling route is referred to as the ERE-dependent signaling pathway (2, 8). E2-ER α also regulates gene transcription by interacting with a transcription factor, for example SP1 (stimulatory protein 1) and AP1 (activator protein 1), bound to cog-

^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of the ulatory protein 1) and AP1 (activator protein 1), bound to cog-
Health Grant CA113682 from the NCI (to M. M.). This work was also that DNA-respo Health Grant CA113682 from the NCI (to M. M.). This work was also supported by grants from the Susan G. Komen Foundation (to M. M.) and the University of Rochester Clinical and Translational Science Institute (to M. M.).

[□]**^S** The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains supplemental Figs. 1–4 and Tables 1 and 2.
¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

² Recipient of a gift from The Wan Jou Family Foundation.

³ Present address: Hana Biosciences, 7000 Shoreline Ct., Ste. 370, South San Francisco, CA 94080.

⁴ Recipient of a gift from The Wan Jou Family Foundation. To whom correspondence should be addressed: 601 Elmwood Ave., Box 712, Rochester, NY 14642. Tel.: 585-275-5613; Fax: 585-271-2683; E-mail: mesut_muyan@ urmc.rochester.edu.

⁵ The abbreviations used are: E2, 17 β -estradiol; ER, estrogen receptor; ERE, estrogen-responsive element; LBD, ligand binding domain; DBD, DNA binding domain; TUNEL, terminal dUTP nick-end labeling; PAS, plasminogen activator system; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorter; ECM, extracellular matrix; uPA, urokinase-plasminogen activator; uPAR, uPA receptor; HA, hyaluronan; m.o.i., multiplicity of infection; CD-FBS, charcoal-dextran treated-fetal bovine serum; qPCR, quantitative PCR; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; ICC, immunocytochemistry; WB, Western blot; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation assay; V, vector; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide.

responsive genes. This nuclear E2-ER signaling is called the ERE-independent signaling pathway (2, 8).

However, the importance of the ERE-independent pathway in E2-ER α signaling in physiology and its contribution to pathophysiology remain unclear. Studies showed that changing Glu and Gly residues to Ala in the DNA-binding helix of the DBD of mouse (9) and human (10) $ER\alpha$ generates a mutant receptor capable of mediating E2 signaling through the EREindependent pathway. Analogous mutations in the DBD of the human ER β (11, 12) also render the receptor functional only in the ERE-independent signaling pathway. Studies with a knock-in mouse model provide compelling support for the importance of the ERE-independent pathway in the regulation of various tissue functions, albeit in a tissue-specific manner (13–15). In an attempt to correlate genomic responses from the ERE-independent signaling pathway to alterations in cellular phenotypes, we found that changing Glu and Gly residues at positions 203 and 204 to Ala in the DNA-binding helix of human ER α DBD (ER $\alpha_{203/4}$) reduces but does not prevent functional features of the parent ER α in the ERE-dependent signaling pathway. Based on these observations, we introduced additional mutations in the DBD of $ER\alpha_{203/4}$. The replacement of Arg at position 211 with Glu in $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ generated an EREbinding defective ER α mutant (ER $\alpha_{203/4/11}$ or ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$) that was functional exclusively at the ERE-independent signaling pathway. We then assessed the effects of $ER\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ on cellular responses to E2 in adenovirus-infected ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells derived from breast adenocarcinoma, in which exogenously introduced $ER\alpha$ was shown to induce cellular responses (16–18). Our results reveal that $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ mediated gene expression identified by a global gene expression profiling approach and verified by qPCR was insufficient to alter the proliferation, death, or motility of cells in contrast to E2-ER α . E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ was also ineffective in altering the phenotypic characteristics of ER-negative osteosarcoma-derived U-2OS cells used as an estrogen target tissue model wherein E2-ER signaling induces genomic and cellular changes (19–21). Our results suggest that genomic responses from ERE-independent signaling pathways can be dissociated from the induction of phenotypic alterations. These results further imply that the ERE-dependent pathway is a required signaling route for E2-ER α to induce cellular responses.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Generation of DNA Binding Defective ERa Mutants-The human ER α cDNA encoding the 595-amino acid long ER α was described previously (22, 23). This $ER\alpha$ cDNA also contains sequences that encode an amino-terminal FLAG epitope (23). For the engineering of an ERE-binding defective $ER\alpha$ mutant, we utilized an overlapping PCR with the ER α cDNA as the template. The $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ mutant was generated using primers that contain sequences for amino acid substitutions replacing glutamic acid and glycine at positions 203 and 204, respectively, with alanine residues in the first zinc finger of the DBD. In the generation of the $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$, $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ was used as the PCR template using primers with sequences that replace arginine at position 211 with glutamic acid. Restriction and DNA-modifying enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA) and Invitrogen.

Cell Culture—Culturing of Chinese hamster ovary, HeLa, and MDA-MB-231 cells was described previously (22, 23). U-2OS cells derived from osteosarcoma were purchased from the ATCC (Manassas, VA). U-2OS cells were grown in $\rm{McCoy'}$ s 5 α medium (Hyclone, Logan, UT) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen). C4 and C4-12 cells were grown in Eagle's modified essential medium without phenol red containing 5% CD-FBS (Hyclone). In all experiments, medium was changed every 3rd day.

Transient Transfections—Transient transfections for simulated ERE-dependent and ERE-independent pathways were accomplished as described previously (23–25). Transfected MDA-MB-231 cells were treated without or with 10^{-9} M 17 β estradiol (E2) and/or 10^{-7} M Imperial Chemical Industries 182,780 (ICI, Tocris Inc., Ballwin, MO) for 24 or 40 h to assess the effects of ligands on ER-mediated transcriptional responses from the ERE-dependent or ERE-independent signaling, respectively.

Generation of Recombinant Adenoviruses—Recombinant adenovirus bearing none or an ER α cDNA was produced by using the AdEasy-XL adenoviral system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as described previously (12, 26). The purified viruses were titered using an Adeno-X rapid titer kit (BD Biosciences) to determine the multiplicity of infection (m.o.i.).

Immunocytochemistry (ICC), Western Blot (WB), and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)—Transfected or infected cells in a time-dependent manner were processed for ICC, WB, and EMSA as described previously (22, 23, 27). For WB, proteins were probed with the horseradish peroxidaseconjugated monoclonal FLAG antibody (M2-horseradish peroxidase; Sigma) using the ECL-Plus Western blotting kit (GE Healthcare). For EMSA, we used FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma). Images from WB and EMSA were analyzed and quantified by ImageQuant version 1.2 software (GE Healthcare). For ICC, an $ER\alpha$ -specific antibody (HC-20) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used to probe ER proteins and a fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for visualization.

In Situ E2 Binding Assay—To assess the synthesis and functionality of ER α species in transfected or infected cells, we used the *in situ* E2 binding assay as described previously (12, 26). In brief, transiently transfected or infected cells were incubated with 10^{-7} M [2,4,6,7,16,17-³H]17 β -estradiol (118 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in the absence or presence of 10^{-6} M ICI for 1 h. Cells were then washed extensively with phosphatebuffered saline and collected, and radioactivity was measured in a scintillation counter.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay (ChIP)—ChIP assays in transiently transfected MDA-MB-231 cells were performed using FLAG-M2 antibody-conjugated agarose beads (Sigma) as described previously (12, 26). The generation of a 366-bp PCR fragment indicates the specificity of PCRs.

Endogenous Gene Expression—MDA-MB-231 cells (100,000 cells/well), plated in 6-well tissue culture plates in phenol redfree Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% CD-FBS for 24 h, were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in

the absence of ligands for 48 h to allow the synthesis of receptor proteins to reach maximum and comparable levels. Cells were then treated without or with 10^{-9} M E2 for 6 or 24 h to assess the effects of ERs on the expression of endogenous genes. At termination, cells were collected and subjected to total RNA extraction using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). For quantitative PCR (qPCR), we used custom TaqMan low density arrays with proprietary primer and probe sequences (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) as we recently described (12). All qPCRs were carried out at the Functional Genomic Center of the University of Rochester, Rochester, NY. The expression of the *GAPDH* (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) gene was used as control. The real time reverse transcription-PCR amplifications were accomplished using an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system with a TaqMan low density array upgrade (Applied Biosystems). Relative quantification analysis was performed using the comparative *CT* method (28).

Cellular Proliferation—MDA-MB-231 cells (5,000 cells/well) plated in 24-well tissue culture plates in phenol red-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% CD-FBS for 24 h were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 and/or 10^{-7} M ICI for different durations of time. Cells were collected and counted using a hemocytometer (Hausser Scientific, Horsham, PA) and/or an automated cell counter (Nexcelom Biosciences, Lawrence, MA) or subjected to MTT assay as described previously (12).

For the effects of ERs on U-2OS cell proliferation, cells (2,500 cells/well) were plated in 24-well tissue culture plates, precoated with poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma), in McCoy's α -medium containing 10% FBS for 24 h. Cells were subsequently incubated with fresh McCoy's α -medium containing 10% CD-FBS for an additional 24 h. Cells were then infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 and/or 10^{-7} M ICI for different durations of time. We used Ad5-ER α at 40 m.o.i. At this m.o.i., the recombinant adenovirus synthesizes a concentration of ER α that requires E2 for function. Ad5-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ was used at 50 m.o.i., which produced comparable levels of receptor proteins to that of $ER\alpha$. In all infections, the total m.o.i. was adjusted to 50 m.o.i. by the parent virus Ad5. At the termination of an experiment, cells were subjected to proliferation assays.

Cell Cycle Analysis—MDA-MB-231 cells (50,000 cells/well) in 6-well tissue culture plates were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 and/or 10^{-7} M ICI for different durations. Similarly, U-2OS cells (50,000 cells/well) in poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma) coated 6-well tissue culture plates were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 and/or 10^{-7} M ICI for various durations. At the termination of an experiment, collected cells were processed for and subjected to a fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using EPICS Elite (Coulter Corp., Miami, FL) as described previously (12).

Annexin V and TUNEL Assays—The Vybrant apoptosis assay kit (Invitrogen) was used to study mid-stages of apoptosis as described previously (12). In brief, cells (100,000 cells/well) were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for different lengths of time. Cells were collected and subjected to annexin V assay according to the instructions of the manufacturer prior to FACS analysis.

For TUNEL assay, cells (25,000 cells/well) plated in poly-Dlysine hydrobromide-coated 48-well tissue culture plates in phenol red-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% CD-FBS were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for different lengths of time. Cells were then subjected to a terminal dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay utilizing the DeadEnd Flurometric TUNEL System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector Laboratories) was used to stain cell nuclei. Stained cells were imaged.

Wound-healing Assay—MDA-MB-231 cells (200,000 cells/ well in 12-well tissue culture plates) were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h, a duration that allowed the cells to reach near-confluence. A wound was generated with a 1-ml pipette tip. The closure of wound was then imaged every 24 h. Because of the irregular shape of the edges of a wound, five randomly selected crossedges were used to obtain a mean gap measure for wound healing.

Invasion Assay—Matrigel invasion chambers (BD Biosciences) were used for the invasion assay. MDA-MB-231 cells (100,000 cells/well) in 6-well tissue culture plates were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h. Cells were then collected, and equal numbers of cells from each treatment group were seeded into invasion chambers as described previously (12). Cells on the bottom of the chamber membrane as the invading cell population were stained with the Diff-Quik Stain Set (Dade Behring, Newark, DE), dried, and mounted onto a glass slide. Images were captured, and stained cells were counted from images.

Microarray Analysis—To examine the effects of E2 on endogenous gene expression mediated by ERs, MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence of E2 for 48 h. Cells were then treated with 10^{-9} M E2 for 6 h. At the termination of an experiment, total RNA was extracted using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and processed for microarray analysis, which was carried out at the Functional Genomic Center of the University of Rochester, as described previously (12). Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0 arrays were used as the microarray platform. Arrays were scanned with the GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G. GeneChip operating software (Affymetrix) was used for initial processing of the scanner data, including generation of cel files. Array normalization for the Affymetrix signal method (GeneChip operating software) involves multiplying raw signals by a scaling factor such that the trimmed mean (excluding highest and lowest 2%) of all expression scores is 500 arbitrary units for every array.

Experimental sets for microarrays were replicated six independent times executed on different days. To increase accuracy of gene identification and reduce false discovery rate (29), we used reorganized and updated probe sets (30–32) that were based on the up-to-date genome, cDNA/EST clustering, and single nucleotide polymorphism information through webbased custom GeneChip library files (Chip definition files) in data analysis. Following UniGene transformation, data sets were subjected to *N*-statistic test (33) in conjunction with the

step-down Westfall-Young procedure (34) controlling the family-wise error rate at a level of 0.05, which we reported here. Minimum information about a microarray experiment-compliant microarray data for the six independent replicate studies can be found at the Gene Expression Omnibus data base with an accession number GSE9761.

Statistical Analysis—Results presented as the mean \pm S.E. of at least three independent experiments were subjected to Student's *t* test for comparison of the means between two groups wherein $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

Generation of a Mutant Human ERα for the Selective Regu*lation of the ERE-independent DNA-dependent Signaling Pathway*—The initial step in the ERE-dependent signaling pathway involves the interaction of the E2-ER α complex with EREs, which are permutations of a core palindromic sequence, 5'-GGTCAnnnTGACC-3' (7). The recognition of an ERE is mediated by two zinc-binding motifs in each DBD monomer of the ER α dimer that fold to form a single functional unit (35, 36). Studies indicated that distinct residues in the DNA recognition helix of the first zinc finger of the human ER α DBD, particularly Glu²⁰³, Gly²⁰⁴, and Ala²⁰⁶ residues, are critical for DNA sequence discrimination that signifies the cognate response element (37). Moreover, mutagenesis studies using mouse ER α (9), human ER α (10), or human ER β (11, 12) showed that Glu and Gly residues are also important for binding to ERE, as changing these amino acids to Ala residues is sufficient to hinder the transregulatory capacity of the receptor from the ERE signaling pathway.

To begin to address the importance of the ERE-independent pathway in physiology and pathophysiology of E2-ER α signaling, we also engineered a human ER α mutant by changing Glu²⁰³ and Gly²⁰⁴ to Ala residues (ER $\alpha_{203/4}$). We found in preliminary studies that ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ retains, albeit at reduced levels, biochemical and functional features of the parent $\text{ER}\alpha$ in systems emulating the ERE-dependent signaling pathway (see also Figs. 1 and 2).

Recent structural studies uncovered a further complexity in the binding of the human ER α to an ERE (38). It appears that the nature of charge and steric constraint of residues in the DNA recognition helix of ER α contributes to the recognition of and the extent of binding to ERE (38). Based on these findings and our preliminary observations, we introduced single or multiple amino acid changes in the DNA recognition helix of $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ to generate an ER variant that lacks the ability to interact with ERE. Of mutant receptors, the replacement of positively charged $Arg²¹¹$, which is a conserved residue among the nuclear hormone receptors and is critical for the interaction with bases in a cognate response element (35, 38), with negatively charged Glu residue in ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ was sufficient to generate an ER α mutant (ER $\alpha_{203/4/11}$) (Fig. 1*A*) functional only at the ERE-independent signaling pathway (Figs. 1 and 2).

The initial biochemical characterizations of $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ and $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$ in comparison with the parent $ER\alpha$ were carried out in transiently transfected ER-negative cell models. Cellular extracts from HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with expression vector (V) bearing none or an $ER\alpha$ cDNA were sub-

FIGURE 1. Generation of ERE-binding defective ER α variants. A, ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ was engineered by changing glutamic acid and glycine at positions 203 and 204 of the DNA-binding helix of the ER α to alanine residues, whereas $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$ contains an additional change that replaces arginine at position 211 with glutamic acid. B, synthesis of ER_a species. HeLa (*left panels*) or MDA-MB-231 cells (*right panels*) were transiently transfected with an expression vector bearing none (V) or an ER α cDNA. Cell extracts (10 μ g) were subjected to WB using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated monoclonal FLAG antibody. Molecular mass in kDa is indicated. *NS*, nonspecific. *C, in situ* E2 binding assay. Twenty four hours after transient transfections with an expression vector bearing none (V) or an ER α cDNA, HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells were incubated in medium containing 10⁻⁷ M of [³H]E2 for 1 h. Medium containing the radioactive [³H]E2 was then removed. Cells were extensively washed with fresh medium and dislodged. Radioactivity retained in cells was quantified by scintillation counting. The specific retention of [³H]E2 was assessed by the co-incubation of cells with 10^{-6} M ICI. The *graph* represents the mean \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. *D,* cell extracts (10 μ g) of transfected cells were also subjected to EMSA without (-) or with (+) a FLAG antibody (*Ab*). ERE specifies unbound and ER-ERE denotes ER-bound radiolabeled ERE. A representative result from three independent experiments of WB or EMSA is shown. *E,* ChIP assay. HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells were transiently transfected with an expression vector bearing an ER α cDNA together with a reporter plasmid bearing the simple TATA-box promoter with one ERE. Cells were treated without (-) or with (+) 10⁻⁷ M E2 for 1 h prior to ChIP using FLAG antibody-conjugated agarose beads. Sizes of DNA fragments in base pair are indicated.

jected to WB analysis using a FLAG antibody directed to the FLAG epitope present at the amino terminus of each receptor (Fig. 1*B*). Quantitative analysis of results revealed that ERs are synthesized at comparable levels. Moreover, the incubation of

FIGURE 2. **Transcriptional responses to ER** α **proteins from reporter systems emulating the ERE-dependent and ERE-independent signaling pathways.** *A,* HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells were transiently transfected with an expression vector bearing none (V) or an ER α cDNA together with a reporter vector bearing two consensus ERE sequences in tandem located at the upstream of the simple TATA box promoter (*2XERE-Luc*), the proximal promoter from the trefoil factor 1, pS2 (*pS2-Luc*), or oxytocin (*Oxy-Luc*) gene in the
absence or presence of 10⁻⁹ м E2 for 24 h. Simulating the ERE-dependent signaling pathway, these promoters drive the expression of the Firefly luciferase cDNA as the reporter enzyme. Cells were also co-transfected with a reporter vector bearing the *Renilla* luciferase cDNA for transfection efficiency. Normalized luciferase values are represented as fold changes compared with the luciferase values obtained with control vector in the absence of E2, which was set to 1. Shown are the means \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. *B,* effects of ligands on transregulatory activities of ERs in simulated ERE-independent signaling pathways. HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells were transiently transfected with an expression vector bearing none (*V*) or an ERα cDNA together with reporter vector bearing an AP1 (*AP1-Luc*) or SP1 (*SP1-Luc*) promoter driving the expression of the firefly luciferase enzyme cDNA as the reporter. Cells were also co-transfected with a reporter vector bearing the *Renilla* luciferase cDNA for transfection efficiency. Cells were then
treated with fresh medium without or with 10^{–9} м E2 or 10^{–7} м ICI for 40 h. Normalized luciferase values are represented as fold change compared with the luciferase values obtained without ligand, which was set to 1. Results are the means \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *Asterisk* indicates significant difference.

transiently transfected cells with 10^{-7} M $[$ ³H]E2 showed that the radiolabeled E2 is similarly retained in cells synthesizing an $ER\alpha$ protein (Fig. 1*C*). The co-incubation of cells with the E2 antagonist Imperial Chemical Industries 182,780 (ICI) at 10^{-6} M concentration effectively prevented the retention of [³H]E2 in cells. These results indicate that $[^{3}H]E2$ binds specifically to ERs. Comparable radiolabeled E2 retention in transfected cells also affirms similar levels of synthesis of functional receptor proteins.

To assess the ER-ERE interaction *in vitro*, we employed EMSA using extracts from transiently transfected cells. Results showed that although ER α and ER $\alpha_{203/4}$, albeit at a lesser efficiency, interacted *in vitro* with ERE, $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$, as the parent V, showed no binding (Fig. 1*D*). We also used ChIP to assess

ER-ERE interactions *in situ*. Cells were transiently transfected with a reporter vector bearing one ERE placed upstream of the TATA box promoter that drives the expression of the Firefly luciferase cDNA together with the expression vector encoding none (V) or an ER α cDNA. We found that the unliganded ER α and ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ interacted *in situ* with ERE which was further augmented with E2 (Fig. 1*E*). On the other hand, $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$, as the parent vector (data not shown), showed no interaction with ERE in the absence or presence of E2. Thus, it appears that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ completely lacks the ability of interacting with ERE *in vitro* and *in situ* in contrast to $ER\alpha_{203/4}$.

These results also predict that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ is nonfunctional in regulating transcription from the ERE-dependent signaling pathway in heterologous expression systems. To examine this prediction, an expression vector bearing an $ER\alpha$ cDNA was transiently transfected into HeLa or MDA-MB-231 cells lines. Cells were also co-transfected with a vector bearing the Firefly luciferase cDNA as the reporter enzyme and a reporter vector expressing the *Renilla* luciferase cDNA for transfection efficiency. We used the simple TATA box promoter bearing two EREs in tandem (2XERE-Luc) as the reporter vector (23). In addition, a reporter vector bearing the proximal promoter region derived from the trefoil factor 1, *TFF1*, pS2 (pS2-Luc), or the oxytocin, *OXY* (Oxy-Luc), gene that contains a variant ERE sequence was used to emulate the ERE-dependent signaling pathway (12, 23, 24). Normalized activity from each reporter construct was compared with the parent expression V in the absence of E2, with the latter value set to 1. We found that ER α increased the reporter enzyme activity in response to a physiological concentration $(10^{-9}$ M) of E2 from all promoters in transfected cells. Although the extent of activation varied with the nature of promoter, ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ also augmented the reporter enzyme activity in the presence of E2. On the other hand, $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$ had no effect on luciferase activity from the promoter constructs in transfected cells whether or not cells were treated with E2 (Fig. 2*A*). The transcriptional responses are receptor-specific because the treatment of cells with 10^{-7} M ICI had no effect on responses mediated by ERs, whereas the compound effectively blocked E2 effects on reporter enzyme activity mediated by both ER α and ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ (data not shown).

We observed similar results in transiently transfected ERnegative Chinese hamster ovary and U-2OS cell lines as well as C4 and C412 cells, subclones of $ER\alpha$ -positive MCF-7 cells wherein ER α gene expression is epigenetically silenced (39). We found that $ER\alpha$ and $ER\alpha_{203/4}$, but not $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$, in response to E2 induced reporter enzyme activities from heterologous promoters [\(supplemental Fig. 1\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1).

The functional interaction of ERs with members of the AP1 protein family bound to an AP1 element provides the basis for the transcriptional regulation of a responsive gene in a ligandand cell type-dependent manner (40). Similarly, the interaction of ER with SP1 bound to GC boxes is critical for the ligandmediated regulation of the reporter enzyme activity (41). If transregulatory function of $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ in the ERE-independent signaling pathway is indeed conserved, the ERE-binding defective receptor is expected to simulate the effects of ER α on reporter enzyme activity in response to ER ligands. An expression vector bearing an ER α cDNA was co-transfected into cells

with a reporter plasmid that derives the expression of the Firefly luciferase cDNA. We used an AP1-Luc reporter plasmid that bears a fragment of the proximal promoter of the *MMP1* gene with single AP1-response element (24, 25, 42) or an SP1-Luc reporter vector derived from the proximal promoter of the *RARA* gene that contains two GC-boxes (24, 25, 43). Cells were also transfected with the *Renilla* luciferase reporter plasmid to normalize transfection efficiency. Normalized luciferase activity induced by an ER α expression vector was compared with the parent V in the absence of ligand, with the latter value set to 1. Results showed that although the treatment of cells with 10^{-9} M E2 had minimal effects on reporter enzyme activity from the AP1 or the SP1 promoter construct in HeLa cells, 10^{-7} M ICI similarly mediated transcriptional responses to ERs from both promoter constructs (Fig. 2*B*). On the other hand, E2 repressed and ICI augmented the transcription from the AP1 promoter mediated by ERs in MDA-MB-231 cells. E2 or ICI had no significant effect on reporter enzyme activity from the SP1 promoter construct in MDA-MB-231 cells. These findings collectively show that whereas the transregulatory functions of ERs are conserved in the simulated ERE-independent signaling pathway, only $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ is nonfunctional in systems emulating the ERE-dependent signaling pathway.

Dissection of the Nuclear E2-ER- *Signaling Pathways*—To address the role of the ERE-independent signaling pathway in cellular responses to E2-ER α , we wanted to further ensure that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ does not alter the expression of endogenous genes regulated through the ERE-dependent signaling pathway. We used MDA-MB-231 cells as a model in which exogenously expressed ERs are shown to regulate gene expression and alter phenotypic characteristics (12, 16–18). We also used recombinant adenoviruses for an efficient gene delivery (12, 18, 26).

In preliminary studies using various concentrations of the recombinant adenovirus expressing ER α cDNA (Ad5-ER α), we found that MDA-MB-231 cells infected with Ad5-ER α at 50 m.o.i. synthesize a concentration of ER α that requires E2 for function (data not shown). The recombinant adenovirus expressing $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ and $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ cDNA were used at 100 and 150 m.o.i., respectively. At these multiplicities of infection, the mutants produced comparable levels of receptor proteins to that of the parent ER α (see also Fig. 3*B*). In all experiments the total m.o.i. was adjusted to 150 by supplementing with the parent adenovirus (Ad5).

The synthesis and function of ER proteins in infected MDA-MB-231 cells were assessed by ICC, WB, and EMSA in a timedependent manner. ICC using a receptor specific antibody (HC-20) revealed that nearly all cells at 48 h post-infection showed nuclear staining for $ER\alpha$ proteins (Fig. 3A). This coincided with the level of ER synthesis as assessed by WB using a FLAG antibody, which showed that receptor proteins were synthesized comparably with levels reaching to maximum at 48 h post-infection (Fig. 3*B*). EMSA further demonstrated that ER α and $ER\alpha_{203/4}$, but not $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$, interacted with ERE as a function of time (Fig. 3*C*) despite the fact that cells synthesizing $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$ retained [³H]E2 comparably to those synthesizing ER α or ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ as assessed by the *in situ* E2 binding assay (data not shown).

FIGURE 3. **Functional ER synthesis in infected MDA-MB-231 cells.** *A,* cells were infected with the parent recombinant adenovirus (*Ad5*) at m.o.i. 150, a recombinant adenovirus bearing cDNA for ER α (α) at 50 m.o.i., ER $\alpha_{203/4/11}$ $(\alpha_{203/4/11})$ at 150 m.o.i., or ER $\alpha_{203/4}$ ($\alpha_{203/4}$) at 100 m.o.i. In all infections, the total m.o.i. was adjusted to 150 by supplementing with the parent Ad5. Intracellular localization of receptor proteins was examined by ICC. Infected cells as a function of time (shown at 48 h post-infection) were probed with an $ER\alpha$ -specific antibody (HC-20) followed by a fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibody (FITC). 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used to stain nuclei. B , cell extracts (10 μ g) of infected cells at indicated times were subjected to WB using the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated monoclonal FLAG antibody. Molecular mass in kDa is indicated. **72* denotes extracts of the parent adenovirus-infected cells at 72 h. C , cell extracts (10 μ g) of infected cells for the indicated times were subjected EMSA in the absence $(-)$ or presence (+) of a FLAG antibody (Ab). **72* indicates extracts of the parent adenovirus-infected cells at 72 h. ERE indicates the unbound radiolabeled ERE, and ER-ERE denotes the radiolabeled ERE-bound ERs. *D,* effects of ERs on the expression of the *C3, TGFA,* and *TFF1* genes. At 48 h post-infection, cells were treated without (-) or with 10⁻⁹ M E2 (E2) for 24 h. Isolated total RNA was processed for and subjected to qPCR. The expression of the genes was compared with that observed in cells infected with Ad5 in the absence of E2, which is set to 1. All experiments are repeated three independent times.*Asterisk* indicates significant change.

To verify that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ does not induce the expression of genes regulated by the ERE-dependent signaling pathway, MDA-MB-231 cells infected with recombinant adenoviruses for 48 h were treated without or with 10^{-9} M E2 for 24 h. Total RNA was isolated and processed for qPCR to determine alterations in the expression of the complement component 3 (*C3*), the transforming growth factor- α (*TGFA*), and the *TFF1* genes. Like *TFF1* (44), and *TGFA* (45), E2 responsiveness of *C3* is

mediated by variant ERE sequences within the proximal promoter of the gene (46). We found that $ER\alpha$ and $ER\alpha_{203/4}$, but not $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$, increased mRNA levels of the *C3*, *TGFA*, and *TFF1* genes (Fig. 3D). Importantly, $ER\alpha_{203/4}$ significantly affected the growth of the infected cells in response to E2, although with an efficiency lower than E2-ER α [\(supplemental](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1) [Fig. 2\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1).

Confirming the results obtained with transient transfections, these findings further indicate that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4/11}$ lacks the transregulatory function in the ERE-dependent signaling pathway. Based on these observations, we selected $ER\alpha_{203/4/11}$ as the ERE-binding defective ER α mutant, ER $\alpha_\textrm{EBD}$, to discriminatorily regulate the ERE-independent signaling pathway.

To ensure that $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ regulates the expression of endogenous genes as an indication of functionality, we used a global gene expression profiling approach. We infected MDA-MB-231 cells with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence of E2 for 48 h. Cells were subsequently treated with 10^{-9} M E2 for 6 h, a duration that is anticipated to induce changes in the transcription of immediate/ early estrogen-responsive genes (47, 48).

Gene expression profiling, summarized in Fig. 4*A*, revealed that E2-ERs significantly altered the expression of a number of genes involved in signal transduction, cellular proliferation, apoptosis, and motility. E2-ER α significantly regulated the expression of 176 genes [\(supplemental Table 1\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1). These include the *C3*, *CTSD* (cathepsin D), *CDKNA1* (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, WAF1, p21, CIP1), *IL18* (interleukin 18), *PLAT* (plasminogen activator, tissue), *PLAU* (plasminogen activator, urokinase), *PLAUR* (plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor), *TGFA,* and *TGFB2* (transforming growth factor β 2) genes that are shown to be responsive to E2 (46, 49–54). E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ significantly modified the expression of 16 genes [\(supplemental Table](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1) [2\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1), 15 of which were also altered by E2-ER α .

The expression of the identified genes was further verified by qPCR. Infected MDA-MB-231 cells, which were maintained in the absence of E2 for 48 h, were treated without or with 10^{-9} M E2 for 6 and 24 h. Total RNA was processed for and subjected to qPCR. Results revealed that the expression of all E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ responsive genes identified by microarrays was confirmable by qPCR, as was the expression of subset of genes mediated by E2-ER α . For example, ER α in the presence of E2 significantly induced the expression of the *C3*, *CDKN1A,* and *TBXA2R* (thromboxane $A₂$ receptor) genes, while repressing the *CD44* (CD44 antigen), *PLAUR* (plasminogen activator and urokinase receptor), and *WT1* (Wilms tumor 1) gene expression. On the other hand, as observed with microarrays, only E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ significantly decreased the expression of the *FST* (follistatin) gene. E2-ER α and E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ augmented the transcription of the *ANKRD1* (ankyrin repeat domain 1) and *CRISPLD2* (cysteinerich secretory protein LCCL domain containing 2) genes, although both receptors in the presence of E2 significantly attenuated the expression of the *CTGF* (connective tissue growth factor), *HAS2* (hyaluronan synthase 2), and *MMP1* (matrix metallopeptidase 1, collagenase) genes. Curiously, E2-ERα effectively suppressed the transcription of the *MMP3* (matrix metallopeptidase 3, stromelysin 1, and progelatinase), *PLAU* (plasminogen activator, urokinase), and *SERPINB2* (Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B, ovalbumin, member 2) genes,

FIGURE 4. **Effects of ERs on endogenous gene expression.** *A,* summary of E2-ER-responsive genes identified with microarrays. MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence of E2 for 48 h. Cells were then treated with 10⁻⁹ M E2 for 6 h. Total RNA was subjected to microarrays. Results are the mean of six independent determinations. *B,* verification by qPCR of a subset of the genes identified by microarrays. MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses for 48 h. Cells were then
treated without (data not shown) or with of 10⁻⁹ M E2 for 6 and 24 h. Total RNA from infected cells was processed for and subjected to qPCR. ER α in response to E2 augmented the expression of the *C3*, *CDKNA1*, and *TBXR2R* genes, whereas E2-ERα repressed the *CD44*, *PLAUR*, and *WT1* gene expressions. The transcription of the FST gene was regulated only by E2-ER α_{EBD} . On the other hand, both E2-ERα and E2-ERα_{EBD} altered the *ANKRD1*, *CRISPLD2*, *CTGF*, *HAS2*, *MMP1*, *MMP3,* and *SERPINB2* gene expressions. Results, which are the means \pm S.E. of three independent determinations, depict relative change in mRNA levels compared with those observed in cells infected with the parent Ad5 in the absence of E2, which is set to 1. *Asterisk* indicates significant change.

whereas E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ significantly enhanced the expression of these genes. Thus, E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ modulates the expression of a subset of endogenous genes regulated by E2-ER α in infected MDA-MB-231 cells.

Effect of ER-*EBD on Cellular Proliferation*—To assess whether $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ -mediated genes are involved in cellular responses, we initially examined the effect of E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ on the distribution of cell cycle phases. MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 and maintained for different durations of time. Kinetic analysis of cell cycle histograms generated by FACS revealed that $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$, whether or not cells were treated with 10^{-9} M E2, does not alter the phase distribution of the

FIGURE 5. Effects of ER_{α} proteins on cell cycle distribution and the prolif**eration of infected MDA-MB-231 cells.** *A,* to examine the effects of ERs on cell cycle distribution, MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10⁻⁹ M E2 for 48 h. Cells were then collected, processed for, and subjected to FACS. Results depicted as the percent of cells in G_1 , G_2 , and S phases are the means \pm S.E. of three independent experiments. *B* and *C*, infected cells were maintained in the absence $(-)$ or presence of 10⁻⁹ M E2 (*E2*) for various times. At day 6 of post-infection, cells were subjected to cell counting (*B*) or MTT assay (*C*). The *graphs*represent the mean \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Aster*isk* indicates significant change.

infected MDA-MB-231 cells compared with those infected with the parent adenovirus (Ad5) at any time point tested, shown at 48 h post-infection (Fig. 5*A* and [supplemental Fig.](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1) 3[A](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)). In contrast, ER α in response to E2 increased the number of cells accumulated in G_1 phase and decreased the cell number in S and G_2 phases. These results indicate that $\text{ER}\alpha_\text{EBD}$ does not affect the distribution of cell cycle phases. ICI alone at 10^{-7} M concentration had no effect on cell cycle kinetics, but the compound effectively prevented E2-ER α -induced alterations in cell cycle phases (data not shown).

Moreover, $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ had no effect on cellular growth. MDA-MB-231 cells infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 were subjected to cell counting (Fig. 5*B*) and MTT assay (Fig. 5*C*) as a function of time. Results, shown at day 6 of post-infection, indicated that ERs had no effect on cellular proliferation in the absence of E2 at any time point examined. On the other hand, the treatment of cells synthesizing ER α , but not ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$, with E2 effectively suppressed cellular growth. The treatment of infected cells with ICI alone had no effect on cellular proliferation, whereas it blocked the repression of cellular growth mediated by only ER α in the presence of E2 (data not shown).

Thus, it appears that despite the ability of $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ to regulate gene transcription in response to a physiological level of E2, $ER\alpha$ _{EBD} is ineffective in altering cellular proliferation. Our results suggest that the ERE-independent signaling pathway plays a minimal role in cellular proliferation, and also imply that genomic responses to E2-ER α signaling from the ERE-independent pathway can be dissociated from cellular growth, as we recently suggested for $E2-ER\beta$ (12).

FIGURE 6. Effects of ER α and ER α _{EBD} on proliferation and cycle distribu**tion of U-2OS cells.** *A,* synthesis of ERs. Cells were infected for 48 h with the parent recombinant adenovirus (*Ad5*) at m.o.i. 50, a recombinant adenovirus bearing cDNA for ER α at 40 m.o.i. or ER $\alpha_{\texttt{EBD}}$ at 50 m.o.i. The total m.o.i. was adjusted to 50 by supplementing with parent Ad5. Cell extracts (10 μ g) of infected cells were subjected to WB using the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated monoclonal FLAG antibody. Molecular mass in kDa is indicated. *B,* cell extracts (10 μ g) were also subjected EMSA in the absence (-) or presence (+) of a FLAG antibody (*Ab*). ERE indicates the unbound and ER-ERE depicts the ER-bound radiolabeled ERE. C, assessing the ability of an ER α to binding to E2 *in situ*. Cells infected with recombinant adenoviruses for 48 h in the absence of a ligand were incubated in medium containing 10^{-7} M of $[{}^{3}$ H]E2 for 1 h. Medium containing the radioactive [³H]E2 was removed. Cells were then extensively washed with fresh medium and dislodged. Radioactivity retained in cells was quantified by scintillation counting. The specific retention of [³H]E2 was assessed by the co-incubation of cells with 10⁻⁶ M ICI. The *graph* represents the means \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. D , infected cells with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence $(-)$ or presence (E2) of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h were subjected to FACS. Results, presented as the means \pm S.E. of three independent experiments, are the depiction of a cell population in G_1 , G_2 , and S phases. *E*, cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses bearing none ($Ad5$) or an ER α cDNA. Cells were maintained in the absence (-) or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 (*E2*) for 6 days. At the termination, cells were counted. The means \pm S.E., which are three independent experiments performed in duplicate, indicate relative change in cell number compared with those observed in cells infected with the parent Ad5 in the absence of E2, which is set to 1. *Asterisk* indicates significant change.

To ensure that observed effects are not cell type-dependent, we also used ER-negative osteosarcoma-derived U-2OS cells, into which the introduction of ERs was shown to induce cellular responses (19–21). As with MDA-MB-231 cells, concentrations of recombinant adenoviruses used to infect U-2OS cells were based on preliminary studies (data not shown). Infection of U-2OS cells with Ad5-ER α and Ad5-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ at 40 and 50 m.o.i., respectively, produced comparable levels of receptor proteins as assessed by WB (Fig. 6*A*) and the *in situ* E2 binding assay (Fig. 6C). As expected, ER α but not ER $\alpha_{\rm DBD}$ interacted with ERE evaluated by EMSA (Fig. 6*B*).

In assessing the cellular growth, we found that $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ had no effect on cellular proliferation in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2. In contrast, E2 effectively repressed the progression through cycle phases (Fig. 6*D*) and the growth of the infected U-2OS cells synthesizing ER α (Fig. 6*E*). Although 10^{-7} M ICI alone did not affect cell growth, the compound prevented the effects of ER α on cellular proliferation in response to E2 (data not shown).

FIGURE 7. **Effects of ERs on death and motility of MDA-MB-231 cells.** *A* and *B,* infected MDA-MB-231 cells in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 were subjected to an annexin V assay using FACS (*A*) or a TUNEL assay at 96 h post-infection (B). Results, which are the mean \pm S.E. of three independent experiments, are summarized as the relative change in the number cells bound to annexin V or as the number cells that incorporated fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated dUTP into the fragmented DNA (TUNEL) obtained in comparison with the cells infected with the parent Ad5 in the absence of ligand, which is set to 1. *C,* wound healing assay. Infected cells were incubated in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h to allow cells to reach near 100% confluence. A wound was then created, and images were captured at 0 h and at every 24 h thereafter. Results, which are the mean \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate, are summarized as the wound closure at 96 h relative to 0 h.*D,* invasion assay. Cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h. Cells were collected and counted. The same number of cells from each experimental group was then seeded on the upper section of the invasion chamber. After 24 h of incubation, cells on the bottom of the chamber membrane as an indication of invasiveness were stained and counted. Results, which represent the mean \pm S.E. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate, are relative changes compared with cells infected with the parent adenovirus in the absence of E2, which is set to 1. *Asterisk* indicates significant change.

These results collectively indicate that $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ does not alter cellular growth in response to ligands. Thus, it appears that the ERE-dependent pathway is required in the mediation of the effects of E2-ER α signaling on cellular proliferation.

Effects of ER- *on Cellular Death*—Cellular growth also involves programmed cell death, or apoptosis, which is a complex and multistep process (55). Because E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ failed to affect cellular proliferation, we anticipated that the ERE-independent signaling pathway would contribute minimally to events leading to cell death. To address this issue, we utilized annexin V and TUNEL assays, which assess mid- and latestages of apoptosis, respectively.

MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of E2 for various durations of time. Cells were then subjected to annexin V staining to examine the integrity of cellular membrane as a marker for the middle stages of apoptosis (56). Results revealed that $\text{ER}\alpha_\text{EBD}$ in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 had no effect on the cell population stained with annexin V compared with cells infected with the parent adenovirus (Ad5) at any time point tested. ER α , on the other hand, increased the number of stained cells as a function of time with a significant change occurring at 96 h post-infection only in the presence of E2 (Fig. 7*A* and [supplemental Fig. 3](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)*B*).

To independently corroborate our results, we also used a TUNEL assay, which catalytically incorporates fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated nucleotides into the fragmented genomic DNA as one of the last stage characteristics of apoptosis (56). Results, depicted as the relative change in the number of TUNEL-stained cells infected with $ER\alpha$ or $ER\alpha$ _{EBD} compared with cells infected with the parent adenovirus (Ad5) (Fig. 7*B*, and [supplemental Fig. 3](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)*C*), showed that only E2-ER α induced significant genomic DNA fragmentation at 96 h after infection, whereas $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ in the absence or presence of E2 had no discernible effect on apoptosis at 96 h, or at any time point tested. Similarly, the treatment with E2 of infected U-2OS synthesizing ER α but not ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ significantly affected the cellular death (data not shown).

These results indicate that repression of cellular proliferation by E2-ER α , but not E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$, includes apoptotic events. Our results suggest that the ERE-independent signaling pathway alone does not contribute to the induction of cell death.

*Effects of ERα and ERα_{EBD} on Cellular Motility—*The ability of cancer cells to invade the extracellular matrix is an indication of malignant growth state. Studies showed that breast cancer cells synthesizing ERs endogenously or exogenously are less motile and invasive than ER-negative cells (16).

To examine whether the ERE-independent pathway participates in the anti-motogenic effect of E2-ER α signaling, we used wound healing and invasion assays. MDA-MB-231 cells infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 were grown for 48 h to allow cells to reach near-confluence. We then created a wound and assessed the rate of wound closure as a function of time. We found that the unliganded ER α delayed the wound healing, which was further delayed by the presence of 10^{-9} M E2. On the other hand, $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ did not affect gap closure whether or not cells were treated with E2 (Fig. 7*C* and [supplemental Fig. 3](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)*D*).

An invasion assay that assesses the capacity of cells to migrate through a reconstituted basement membrane further verified our findings. Equal number of MDA-MB-231 cells infected with recombinant adenoviruses in the absence or presence of 10^{-9} M E2 for 48 h were seeded on the reconstituted basement membrane of invasion chambers without or with E2. After 24 h, cells on the bottom of the chamber membrane were stained and imaged [\(sup](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)[plemental Fig. 3](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)*E*). Quantitative analysis of images indicated that $\text{ER}\alpha_\text{EBD}$ had no effect on cellular invasiveness, whereas $\text{ER}\alpha$ in the presence of E2 reduced it (Fig. 7*D*). Thus, it appears that the EREindependent pathway mediated by E2-ER α signaling participates minimally in cellular motility as well.

DISCUSSION

Our studies aimed at the dissection of nuclear $E2$ -ER α signaling in the induction of cellular responses using an ER α mutant defective in ERE binding (ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$) suggest that the expression of genes mediated by the nuclear ERE-independent signaling pathway are not sufficient to alter phenotypic characteristics of model cells. Our findings imply that the ERE-dependent pathway is a required signaling route for E2-ER α to induce responses at the cellular level.

Mutagenesis studies using mouse (9) and human (57) ER α suggested that changing Glu^{203} and Gly^{204} to Ala prevents

binding to ERE and consequently blocks transcription from the ERE-dependent signaling pathway. Consistent with this, we (12) and others (11) showed that analogous changes in the P-box of the first zinc finger motif of the DBD of human $ER\beta$, namely Glu and Gly at positions 167 and 168, respectively, also allow the receptor to convey E2 signaling exclusively through the ERE-independent pathway. On the other hand, our findings here suggest that changing Glu^{203} and Gly^{204} to Ala residues significantly attenuates but does not abolish the ability of $ER\alpha_{203/4}$ to interact *in vitro* and *in situ* with ERE and to induce transcriptional responses from heterologous reporter systems or endogenous genes. ERs share a near identical amino acid identity in their zinc finger motifs, which is responsible for binding of the receptors to the same spectrum of response elements (27). The binding affinity of $ER\beta$ for an ERE is, however, 2-fold lower than that of ER α (27). The amino terminus of the hinge domain of ER α stabilizes ER α -ERE interactions (58) and diverges significantly from the amino acid sequence of the hinge domain of $ER\beta$ (59). In addition, the amino-terminal region of ER β , but not that of ER α , impairs the ability of the receptor to interact with ERE (26). These dissimilar structural features of ERs could be responsible for differences in the affinities of ER subtypes to bind to an ERE and could also underlie differences between the mutant ER subtypes to interact with and to regulate transcription from ERE sequences. Although differences in species of origin of $ER\alpha$ is withstanding (9), the reconciliation of disparate findings resulting from the use of identical mutations in the DBD of ER α and of similar heterologous expression systems, endogenous genes, and cell models (9, 57) is difficult. We could not assess the effects of $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ on phenotypic characteristics of C4 or C4-12 cells because of adenovirus-induced cytotoxicity. However, we observed in transiently transfected C4 or C4-12 cells that $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ in the presence of E2 regulated the reporter enzyme activity from the simulated ERE-dependent signaling pathway in a manner similar to those observed in other cell lines we used here [\(supple](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1)[mental Fig. 1\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1) in contrast to the findings of DeNardo *et al.* (57). We nevertheless found that $ER\alpha_{203/4}$ alters the growth of MDA-MB-231 cells [\(supplemental Fig. 2\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1) as DeNardo *et al.*(57) observed in C4-12 cells. Because $\text{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ in the presence of E2 regulated ERE bearing genes and altered cellular growth, we inferred that the genomic activity of $\mathrm{ER}\alpha_{203/4}$ from the ERE-dependent signaling pathway could contribute to the E2-mediated modifications in cellular proliferation.

Previous studies indicated that a network of protein-DNA hydrogen bonds confers the binding specificity and stability of $ER\alpha$ to DNA. For the palindromic ERE, the network involves residues Glu²⁰³, Lys²⁰⁷, Lys²¹⁰, and Arg²¹¹ (60). Although the recognition of a non-palindromic ERE is achieved by a rearrangement of side chains of various residues, particularly Lys²⁰⁷ and Lys^{210} , the interactions of Glu^{203} and Arg_2^{11} with DNA remains unaltered (60). Based on these findings, we introduced further amino acid replacements in the DNA recognition helix of $ER\alpha_{203/4}$. Of the mutant receptors, the replacement of positively charged $Arg²¹¹$, which is a conserved residue among nuclear hormone receptors, with negatively charged Glu residue in ER-203/4 was sufficient to abolish *in vitro* and *in situ* ability of ER $\alpha_{203/4/11}$ (ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$) to interact with and to modulate

transcription from ERE while retaining the functionality at simulated ERE-independent signaling pathways in various cell lines.

Our global gene expression profiling and qPCR studies revealed that E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ modulates the expression of a subset of genes regulated by E2-ER α . Genes responsive to E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ are involved in various cellular functions, including signal transduction, cellular proliferation, apoptosis, and motility. For instance, the plasminogen activator system (PAS) is an enzymatic cascade involved in fibrinolysis and extracellular matrix (ECM) turnover (61). The central components of PAS include the urokinase-plasminogen activator (uPA, encoded by the *PLAU* gene), tissue plasminogen activator (expressed by the *PLAT* gene), the urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR), plasminogen, and plasminogen activator inhibitors, including PAI-2 encoded by the *SERPINB2* gene. uPA triggers the generation of plasmin from plasminogen leading to the degradation of some components of ECM, whereas tissue plasminogen activator controls the breakdown of fibrin. Studies showed that the activity of PAS is increased in ER-positive cell models (62) and that E2 regulates the expression of the *PLAT* and *PLAU* genes (53) as we observed here. Although the nature of the regulatory elements critical for the responsiveness to E2 signaling remains to be explored, the expression of the *PLAT*, *PLAU*, and *SERPINB2* genes by various cytokines and growth factors involves SP1 and AP1 transcription factors (63, 64) that interact with ERs.

Hyaluronan (HA) is a major glycosaminoglycan present in the ECM and is involved in the maintenance of structural integrity of tissues (65). HA is synthesized by the transmembrane glycosyltransferases that include the hyaluronan synthase 2 encoded by the *HAS2* gene (65). Preferential and high expression of *HAS2* correlate with the invasiveness of breast cancer cells (65). Studies indicate that the basal expression of *HAS2* is primarily controlled by SP1 (66), whereas STAT and $N F \kappa B$ mediate the gene responsiveness to endocrine and paracrine signaling (67). Interaction of $ER\alpha$ with SP1, STAT, and/or NF_KB could therefore modulate the *HAS2* gene expression.

We observed that only $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$, as the ERE-binding defective $ER\beta$ (12), regulated the expression of the follistatin (*FST*) gene. FST acts as an inhibitor of activins, which are the members of the transforming growth factor- β superfamily (68). E2 is shown to repress the *FST* transcription in the rodent uterus (69), while increasing it in avian granulose cells (70) and in ER-positive MCF-7 cells derived from a human breast adenocarcinoma (71). Our studies here and previously (12) were based on ER concentrations that are primarily dependent upon E2 for function to assess the importance of the ERE-independent pathway in the induction of cellular responses. This consideration was based on observations that augmented synthesis of ER α aberrantly influences target gene specificity (72) and expression pattern (20, 72). Although ERs were synthesized similarly (Fig. 3), it was possible that $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ in the absence of ERE binding generated an excess functional pool that selectively regulated the expression of the FST gene. Indeed, $\texttt{ER}\alpha_\texttt{EBD}$ at a decreased level of synthesis lost its ability to induce the transcription of *FST* without significantly altering the expression pattern of the *HAS2* gene or affecting the *TGFA* gene expression

[\(supplemental Fig. 4\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M900365200/DC1). Conversely, an increased level of $ER\alpha$ induced the transcription of the *FST* gene in response to E2. Moreover, ER α at this level of synthesis gained the ability to modulate the transcription of the *HAS2* and *TGFA* genes in the absence of E2, which was further augmented with E2.

We also found that the transcription of some of the $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ mediated genes showed opposite directions compared with those regulated by ER α . For example, ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$ in response to E2 enhanced the expression of the *MMP3*, *PLAU*, and *SERPINB2* genes, whereas E2-ER α decreased the transcription of these genes. Studies suggest that the nature of point mutations within the DBD of $ER\beta$ alters the pharmacology of ligand to induce transcription from heterologous reporter systems emulating the ERE-independent signaling pathway in a promoterdependent manner (11). Distinct conformational features of the DBD of $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ compared with $\text{ER}\alpha$ may reverse the effects of E2 to modulate the expression of some genes counteracting their cumulative effects on cellular phenotype. We recently showed that an ERE-binding defective $ER\beta$ mediates the expression of genes (12), the majority of which were also regulated by ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$, with magnitudes and directions reflective of the parent ER β . However, the ERE-binding defective ER β , as we observed here for $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$, in response to E2 was ineffective in altering cellular proliferation, motility, and death in contrast to $E2$ -ER β (12).

The ineffectiveness of $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$ to induce phenotypic changes rather suggests that the expression of genes through the EREdependent signaling pathway is necessary for $ER\alpha$ to elicit cellular responses. In addition to the expression of the *PLAU*, *PLAT*, and *SERPINB2* genes that were responsive to $\text{ER}\alpha_{\text{EBD}}$, $ER\alpha$ also modulated the transcription of the $PLAUR$ gene that encodes the uPA receptor, uPAR. uPAR is a glycolipid-anchored membrane receptor and plays an essential role in PAS (73). It appears that the binding of uPA to uPAR converts the inactive pro-uPA to active uPA, which then proteolytically activates plasminogen to form plasmin. Plasmin subsequently degrades the component of the ECM directly and indirectly through the activation of pro-matrix metallopeptidases (73). uPA-uPAR interactions are also involved in intracellular signaling that results in the alteration of cellular proliferation, adhesion, and migration (73). Thus, a coordinated regulation of genes involved in a signaling cascade through both the ERE-dependent and ERE-independent pathways and subsequent integrated effects of their protein products may be required for the manifestation of phenotypic alterations in response to E2-ER signaling. Similarly, the interaction of HA mediated by the HAS2 activity with its surface receptor CD44 signals for the proliferation, adhesion, and migration of tumor cells (65). Although E2-ERα regulated the expression of both *CD44* and *HAS2*, the transcription of only the *HAS2* gene was responsive to E2-ER $\alpha_{\rm EBD}$, which had no effect on cellular phenotype.

Our conclusion that the ERE-dependent signaling pathway is a required route for E2-ER to induce cellular responses in model cells also implies that targeted regulation of the EREdriven gene expression could provide a novel approach in the treatment of breast cancer independent of ER status and ligands. This prediction is also consistent with approaches that prevent ER-ERE interactions as promising new strategies to combat ER-positive breast cancer. Short sequences of DNA containing a response element for a transcription factor have been used as "decoys" to bind the cognate transcription factor *in situ* or *in vivo*. Binding of transcription factor to decoy DNA sequesters the transcription factor away from endogenous binding sites, thereby rendering it ineffective to regulate target gene expression in a variety of systems (74). Synthetic palindromic ERE as the decoy in transfected ER-positive breast cancer cell models was shown to prevent the growth of cells in response to E2 (75). Similarly, the prevention of ER-ERE interaction by ER-specific electrophilic agents that preferentially disrupt zinc fingers of $ER\alpha$ (76-78) effectively suppresses E2-mediated growth of ER-positive breast cancer cell models*in situ* and *in vivo*.

In summary, our results show that genomic responses mediated by the ERE-binding defective ER α mutant in response to E2 are insufficient to alter the phenotypic characteristics of model cell lines. We conclude that the ERE-dependent signaling pathway is necessary for E2-ER α to regulate phenotypic features of model cells.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. Joseph E. Wedekind for the extensive discussion and guidance in the generation of the ERE-binding defective ER- *mutants and critical reading of the manuscript. We extend our gratitude to Drs. Mark Plessinger and Russell Hilf for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Dr. Stephen Welle, Andrew Cardillo, and Michelle Zanche of the Functional Genomic Center at the University of Rochester for the guidance, assistance, and execution of GeneArrays and qPCRs. We express our gratitude to Dr. Peter Keng and Michael Strong of the Cell Separation and Flow Cytometry Facility at the University of Rochester for the supervision and assistance for FACS studies. We thank Christine Brower of the Biostatistics and Computational Biology Department of the University of Rochester for the assistance in microarray analysis.*

REFERENCES

- 1. Deroo, B. J., and Korach, K. S. (2006) *J. Clin. Investig.* **116,** 561–570
- 2. Huang, J., Li, X., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., and Muyan, M. (2005)*Curr. Drug. Targets Immune Endocr. Metabol. Disord.* **5,** 379–396
- 3. Bai, Y., and Gigue´re, V. (2003) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **17,** 589–599
- 4. Hammes, S. R., and Levin, E. R. (2007) *Endocr. Rev.* **28,** 726–741
- 5. Brzozowski, A. M., Pike, A. C., Dauter, Z., Hubbard, R. E., Bonn, T., Engström, O., Ohman, L., Greene, G. L., Gustafsson, J. A., and Carlquist, M. (1997) *Nature* **389,** 753–758
- 6. Björnström, L., and Sjöberg, M. (2005) *Mol. Endocrinol*. **19,** 833-842
- 7. Klinge, C. M. (2001) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29,** 2905–2919
- 8. Hall, J. M., Couse, J. F., and Korach, K. S. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 36869–36872
- 9. Jakacka, M., Ito, M., Weiss, J., Chien, P. Y., Gehm, B. D., and Jameson, J. L. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 13615–13621
- 10. DeNardo, D. G., Kim, H. T., Hilsenbeck, S., Cuba, V., Tsimelzon, A., and Brown, P. H. (2005) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **19,** 362–378
- 11. Björnström, L., and Sjöberg, M. (2002) *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 48479 48483
- 12. Li, X., Nott, S. L., Huang, Y., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., Qiu, X., Yakovlev, A., Welle, S., and Muyan, M. (2008) *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **40,** 211–229
- 13. Jakacka, M., Ito, M., Martinson, F., Ishikawa, T., Lee, E. J., and Jameson, J. L. (2002) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **16,** 2188–2201
- 14. Christian, C. A., Glidewell-Kenney, C., Jameson, J. L., and Moenter, S. M. (2008) *Endocrinology* **149,** 5328–5334
- 15. Glidewell-Kenney, C., Weiss, J., Hurley, L. A., Levine, J. E., and Jameson, J. L. (2008) *Endocrinology* **149,** 4168–4176
- 16. Garcia, M., Derocq, D., Freiss, G., and Rochefort, H. (1992) *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **89,** 11538–11542

- 17. Jiang, S. Y., and Jordan, V. C. (1992) *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **84,** 580–591
- 18. Lazennec, G., and Katzenellenbogen, B. S. (1999) *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **149,** 93–105
- 19. Monroe, D. G., Getz, B. J., Johnsen, S. A., Riggs, B. L., Khosla, S., and Spelsberg, T. C. (2003) *J. Cell. Biochem.* **90,** 315–326
- 20. Kian Tee, M., Rogatsky, I., Tzagarakis-Foster, C., Cvoro, A., An, J., Christy, R. J., Yamamoto, K. R., and Leitman, D. C. (2004) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **15,** 1262–1272
- 21. Stossi, F., Barnett, D. H., Frasor, J., Komm, B., Lyttle, C. R., and Katzenellenbogen, B. S. (2004) *Endocrinology* **145,** 3473–3486
- 22. Muyan, M., Yi, P., Sathya, G., Willmert, L. J., Driscoll, M. D., Hilf, R., and Bambara, R. A. (2001) *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **182,** 249–263
- 23. Yi, P., Bhagat, S., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., and Muyan, M. (2002) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **16,** 1810–1827
- 24. Huang, J., Li, X., Yi, P., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., and Muyan, M. (2004) *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **218,** 65–78
- 25. Li, X., Huang, J., Yi, P., Bambara, R. A., Hilf, R., and Muyan, M. (2004) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24,** 7681–7694
- 26. Huang, J., Li, X., Maguire, C. A., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., and Muyan, M. (2005) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **19,** 2696–2712
- 27. Yi, P., Driscoll, M. D., Huang, J., Bhagat, S., Hilf, R., Bambara, R. A., and Muyan, M. (2002) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **16,** 674–693
- 28. Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001) *Methods* **25,** 402–408
- 29. Dai, M., Wang, P., Jakupovic, E., Watson, S. J., and Meng, F. (2007) *Bioinformatics* **23,** 2185–2187
- 30. Gautier, L., Møller, M., Friis-Hansen, L., and Knudsen, S. (2004) *BMC Bioinformatics* **5,** 111
- 31. Dai, M., Wang, P., Boyd, A. D., Kostov, G., Athey, B., Jones, E. G., Bunney, W. E., Myers, R. M., Speed, T. P., Akil, H., Watson, S. J., and Meng, F. (2005) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33,** e175
- 32. Harbig, J., Sprinkle, R., and Enkemann, S. A. (2005) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33,** e31
- 33. Klebanov, L., Gordon, A., Xiao, Y., Land, H., and Yakovlev, A. (2006) *Comput. Statist. Data Anal.* **50,** 3619–3628
- 34. Westfall, P. H., and Young, S. (1993) *Resampling-based Multiple Testing*, pp. 36–75, Wiley Interscience, New York
- 35. Schwabe, J. W., Chapman, L., Finch, J. T., and Rhodes, D. (1993) *Cell* **75,** 567–578
- 36. Luisi, B. F., Schwabe, J. W., and Freedman, L. P. (1994) *Vitam. Horm.* **49,** 1–47
- 37. Mader, S., Kumar, V., de Verneuil, H., and Chambon, P. (1989) *Nature* **338,** 271–274
- 38. Nguyen, D., Bail, M., Pesant, G., Dupont, V. N., Rouault, E., Deschênes, J., Rocha, W., Melançon, G., Steinberg, S. V., and Mader, S. (2007) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35,** 3465–3477
- 39. Oesterreich, S., Zhang, P., Guler, R. L., Sun, X., Curran, E. M., Welshons, W. V., Osborne, C. K., and Lee, A. V. (2001) *Cancer Res.* **61,** 5771–5777
- 40. Kushner, P. J., Agard, D. A., Greene, G. L., Scanlan, T. S., Shiau, A. K., Uht, R. M., and Webb, P. (2000) *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **74,** 311–317
- 41. Safe, S. (2001) *Vitam. Horm.* **62,** 231–252
- 42. Webb, P., Lopez, G. N., Uht, R. M., and Kushner, P. J. (1995) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **9,** 443–456
- 43. Sun, G., Porter, W., and Safe, S. (1998) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **12,** 882–890
- 44. Berry, M., Nunez, A. M., and Chambon, P. (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **86,** 1218–1222
- 45. El-Ashry, D., Chrysogelos, S. A., Lippman, M. E., and Kern, F. G. (1996) *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **59,** 261–269
- 46. Fan, J. D., Wagner, B. L., and McDonnell, D. P. (1996) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **10,** 1605–1616
- 47. Soulez, M., and Parker, M. G. (2001) *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **27,** 259–274
- 48. Frasor, J., Danes, J. M., Komm, B., Chang, K. C., Lyttle, C. R., and Katzenel-

lenbogen, B. S. (2003) *Endocrinology* **144,** 4562–4574

- 49. Augereau, P., Miralles, F., Cavaillès, V., Gaudelet, C., Parker, M., and Rochefort, H. (1994) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **8,** 693–703
- 50. Margueron, R., Licznar, A., Lazennec, G., Vignon, F., and Cavaillès, V. (2003) *J. Endocrinol.* **179,** 41–53
- 51. Murakami, Y., Otsuki, M., Kusumoto, K., Takeuchi, S., and Takahashi, S. (2005) *J. Reprod. Dev.* **51,** 639–647
- 52. Bates, S. E., Davidson, N. E., Valverius, E. M., Freter, C. E., Dickson, R. B., Tam, J. P., Kudlow, J. E., Lippman, M. E., and Salomon, D. S. (1988) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2,** 543–555
- 53. Levenson, A. S., Kwaan, H. C., Svoboda, K. M., Weiss, I. M., Sakurai, S., and Jordan, V. C. (1998) *Br. J. Cancer* **78,** 88–95
- 54. Takahashi, T., Eitzman, B., Bossert, N. L., Walmer, D., Sparrow, K., Flanders, K. C., McLachlan, J., and Nelson, K. G. (1994) *Cell Growth & Differ.* **5,** 919–935
- 55. King, K. L., and Cidlowski, J. A. (1998) *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **60,** 601–617
- 56. Gorczyca, W., Melamed, M. R., and Darzynkiewicz, Z. (1998) *Methods Mol. Biol.* **91,** 217–238
- 57. DeNardo, D. G., Cuba, V. L., Kim, H., Wu, K., Lee, A. V., and Brown, P. H. (2007) *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **277,** 13–25
- 58. Mader, S., Chambon, P., and White, J. H. (1993) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21,** 1125–1132
- 59. Mosselman, S., Polman, J., and Dijkema, R. (1996) *FEBS Lett.* **392,** 49–53
- 60. Schwabe, J. W., Chapman, L., and Rhodes, D. (1995) *Structure* **3,** 201–213
- 61. Irigoyen, J. P., Muñoz-Cánoves, P., Montero, L., Koziczak, M., and Nagamine, Y. (1999) *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **56,** 104–132
- 62. Butler, W. B., Kirkland, W. L., and Jorgensen, T. L. (1979) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **90,** 1328–1334
- 63. Cousin, E., Medcalf, R. L., Bergonzelli, G. E., and Kruithof, E. K. (1991) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19,** 3881–3886
- 64. Costa, M., Shen, Y., Maurer, F., and Medcalf, R. L. (1998) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **258,** 123–131
- 65. Go¨tte, M., and Yip, G. W. (2006) *Cancer Res.* **66,** 10233–10237
- 66. Monslow, J., Williams, J. D., Fraser, D. J., Michael, D. R., Foka, P., Kift-Morgan, A. P., Luo, D. D., Fielding, C. A., Craig, K. J., Topley, N., Jones, S. A., Ramji, D. P., and Bowen, T. (2006) *J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 18043–18050
- 67. Saavalainen, K., Pasonen-Seppänen, S., Dunlop, T. W., Tammi, R., Tammi, M. I., and Carlberg, C. (2005) *J. Biol. Chem.* **280,** 14636–14644
- 68. Renier, M. A., Vereecken, A., and Buytaert, P. (1998) *Eur. J. Contracept. Reprod. Health Care* **3,** 129–135
- 69. Ferreira, M. C., Cavallo, I. K., Florio, P., Petraglia, F., and Reis, F. M. (2008) *J. Mol. Histol.* **39,** 535–541
- 70. Davis, A. J., Brooks, C. F., and Johnson, P. A. (2000) *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **119,** 308–316
- 71. Chang, E. C., Frasor, J., Komm, B., and Katzenellenbogen, B. S. (2006) *Endocrinology* **147,** 4831–4842
- 72. Fowler, A. M., Solodin, N., Preisler-Mashek, M. T., Zhang, P., Lee, A. V., and Alarid, E. T. (2004) *FASEB J.* **18,** 81–93
- 73. Laufs, S., Schumacher, J., and Allgayer, H. (2006) *Cell Cycle* **5,** 1760–1771
- 74. Dzau, V. J. (2002) *Circ. Res.* **90,** 1234–1236
- 75. Wang, L. H., Yang, X. Y., Zhang, X., Mihalic, K., Xiao, W., and Farrar, W. L. (2003) *Cancer Res.* **63,** 2046–2051
- 76. Wang, L. H., Yang, X. Y., Zhang, X., Mihalic, K., Fan, Y. X., Xiao, W., Howard, O. M., Appella, E., Maynard, A. T., and Farrar, W. L. (2004) *Nat. Med.* **10,** 40–47
- 77. Wang, L. H., Yang, X. Y., Zhang, X., An, P., Kim, H. J., Huang, J., Clarke, R., Osborne, C. K., Inman, J. K., Appella, E., and Farrar, W. L. (2006) *Cancer Cell* **10,** 487–499
- 78. Mao, C., Patterson, N. M., Cherian, M. T., Aninye, I. O., Zhang, C., Montoya, J. B., Cheng, J., Putt, K. S., Hergenrother, P. J., Wilson, E. M., Nardulli, A. M., Nordeen, S. K., and Shapiro, D. J. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 12819–12830

