

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Mol Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 August 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Microbiol. 2008 August ; 69(4): 954–967. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06331.x.

A previously unidentified σ factor and two accessory proteins regulate oxalate decarboxylase expression in *Bacillus subtilis*

Shawn R. MacLellan, **Tina Wecke**, and **John D. Helmann**

Department of Microbiology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

Summary

We have investigated the function of a cell envelope stress inducible gene, *yvrI*, which encodes a 22.5 kDa protein that includes a predicted σ^{70} region 4 domain, but lacks an apparent region 2 domain. YvrI interacts with RNA polymerase and overexpression of YvrI results in induction of OxdC, an oxalate decarboxylase maximally expressed under low pH conditions. We have used microarray-based analyses to define the YvrI regulon. YvrI is required for the transcription of three operons (*oxdC-yvrL, yvrJ,* and *yvrI-yvrHa*) each of which is preceded by a highly similar promoter sequence. Activation of these promoters requires both YvrI and the product of the second gene in the *yvrI-yvrHa* operon, YvrHa. YvrI and YvrHa together allow recognition of the *oxdC* promoter, stimulate DNA melting, and activate transcription by core RNA polymerase. Together, these results suggest that YvrI is a previously unrecognized σ factor in *B. subtilis* and that the 9.5 kDa YvrHa protein acts as a required co-activator of transcription. A *yvrL* deletion results in the upregulation of YvrI activity suggesting that YvrL is a negative regulator of YvrIdependent transcription, possibly functioning as an anti-σ factor.

Keywords

acid stress; promoter; transcription; RNA polymerase; cell wall

Introduction

Bacillus subtilis encodes 17 known σ factors: 16 members of the σ^{70} -family and one σ^{54} like regulator (Helmann, 2002). These include the vegetative $\sigma(\sigma^A)$, eight group III factors (σ^B , σ^D , σ^E , σ^F , σ^G , σ^H , σ^I , and σ^K) and seven group IV (extracytoplasmic function; ECF) σ factors (σ^M, σ^V, σ^W, σ^X, σ^Y, σ^Z, and σ^{YlaC}) and the σ⁵⁴ family member σ^L. All σ factors function by associating reversibly with RNA polymerase (RNAP) core enzyme to form a holoenzyme competent for promoter recognition and transcription initiation (Paget and Helmann, 2003).

 $σ⁷⁰$ family members contain as many as four conserved regions (designated 1, 2, 3, and 4), with most identified members having at least regions 2 and 4 (Lonetto *et al.*, 1992). Primary σ factors (group I) contain all four regions and are essential whereas group II factors are structurally similar, but non-essential. The alternative σ factors of group III contain only regions 2, 3 and 4, and the group IV (ECF) proteins contain only regions 2 and 4 (Paget and Helmann, 2003). Region 2 contains key determinants for core-binding, −10 recognition, and promoter melting and region 4 contains the helix-turn-helix motif that interacts with the −35 element and also provides a contact surface for many transcription activators (Gruber and

Corresponding Author: John D. Helmann, 327 Wing Hall, Department of Microbiology, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853-8101, jdh9@cornell.edu, phone: 607-255-6570, fax: 607-255-3904.

Gross, 2003). During the automated annotation of newly sequenced bacterial genomes putative σ factors are typically defined as those predicted proteins containing, minimally, conserved regions 2 and 4.

In previous studies, we have characterized the effects of cell envelope-acting antibiotics on gene expression in *B. subtilis* (Butcher and Helmann, 2006; Cao *et al.*, 2002b; Mascher *et al.*, 2003). For example, exposure to the peptidoglycan synthesis inhibitor vancomycin induces the synthesis of genes controlled by several ECF σ factors (especially $σ^W$ and $σ^M$), the two-component regulatory system LiaRS, as well as the *yvrI* and *yvrL* genes (Cao *et al.*, 2002b). YvrI is an unknown function protein containing a predicted σ^{70} -type region 4 and therefore possibly involved in regulating gene expression. As shown here, YvrI functions as an additional σ factor in *B. subtilis*.

Upstream of and divergently transcribed from *yvrI* is the gene *oxdC* which encodes an oxalate decarboxylase that has been extensively studied because of its unusual structure and reaction mechanism (Anand *et al.*, 2002; Just *et al.*, 2007; Svedruzic *et al.*, 2007). Oxalate decarboxylases convert oxalate to formate and CO₂ with the consumption of one proton. *B*. *subtilis* OxdC was the first oxalate decarboxylase discovered in a prokaryote (Tanner and Bornemann, 2000), the first member of the cupin-fold superfamily of enzymes shown to carry two metal (manganese) binding sites, and the first described hexameric bicupin (Anand *et al.*, 2002). Oxalate decarboxylases are most commonly associated with fungi where their expression is induced by both low pH and the presence of oxalate salts (Gadd, 1999). In fungi, these enzymes are believed to play a role in degradative processes in soil and plant environments. Since OxdC expression in *B. subtilis* is induced under acidic conditions, but not by oxalate salts, it has been suggested that OxdC may play a role in pH homeostasis in these cells (Tanner and Bornemann, 2000). Recently, it was found that OxdC accumulates as one of the most abundant proteins in the cell wall when cells are grown in acidified media (Antelmann *et al.*, 2007).

Here we show that $\alpha x dC$ is positively regulated by the YvrI σ factor and the YvrHa coactivator. Together, the YvrI-YvrHa regulatory proteins control the expression of at least five genes including their own operon, the *oxdC-yvrL* operon, and a newly annotated gene *yvrJ*. YvrL functions as a negative regulator of YvrI-dependent activation, possibly functioning as an anti- σ .

Results

Overexpression of YvrI induces OxdC

Since YvrI encodes a predicted DNA-binding protein with similarity to σ factors, we sought to monitor the effects of inducing YvrI on cell physiology. We fused an eight amino acid FLAG tag to the C-terminus of YvrI and expressed the YvrI-FLAG fusion protein using the P*xylA*-based expression vector pSWEET (Bhavsar *et al.*, 2001). After growth in the presence of 2% xylose, the YvrI-FLAG protein could clearly be visualized by immunoblotting (Fig. 1A). Note that the 23.5 kDa YvrI-FLAG protein (confirmed using ESI-MS) migrates anomalously during SDS-PAGE, as also noted for many σ factors. Unexpectedly, when these same samples were stained with coomassie blue, a ~43 kDa protein was overproduced in those cells expressing YvrI-FLAG (Fig. 1B). This protein was identified as OxdC by MALDI-TOF MS analysis of tryptic peptides (data not shown). OxdC is encoded by a gene upstream of, and divergently oriented from, the *yvrI-yvrHa* operon (Fig. 2A).

As shown herein, YvrI activates three clustered promoters in *B. subtilis* (Fig. 2A). The intergenic region between *yvrI* and *oxdC* is 428 bp in length and includes a predicted 153 bp open reading frame which we designate as *yvrJ* since this designation is not currently in use

(although see (Wipat *et al.*, 1998) and since the gene falls between *yvrI* and *yvrK* (*oxdC*) (Fig. 2A). Note that this ORF was annotated in *B. licheniformis* (BL00820) and more recently sequenced genomes, but not in *B. subtilis*. The annotation shown here, including the separation of *yvrHa* and *yvrHb* into two separate genes, differs from the original annotation (e.g. Subtilist Version 3.1, <http://genolist.pasteur.fr/SubtiList/>) and reflects the correction of several sequencing errors as described earlier (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2001) and in this work (see details in Experimental Procedures).

Identification of three YvrI-dependent operons

We used primer extension analysis to identify the start site for the YvrI-activated *oxdC* promoter (P*oxdC*) in cells induced for YvrI-FLAG expression from an ectopically integrated copy inserted in the *amyE* locus (Fig. 2B). Transcription initiates from an A residue 71 nt upstream of the *oxdC* start codon (Fig. 2D). To monitor the genetic requirements for activation of P*oxdC*, the *oxdC*-*yvrI* intergenic region was cloned upstream of *lacZ* and integrated ectopically. Induction of YvrI-FLAG led to activation of the P*oxdC-lacZ* fusion (Fig. 3A, first panel) in cells containing either an intact copy of the chromosomal *yvrI-yvrHa* operon (HB7717) or an in-frame *yvrI* deletion (HB7732). Induction was not observed in strains lacking *yvrHa*, suggesting that this gene encodes a required co-activator for *oxdC* expression (Fig. 3A). The *yvrI* and *yvrHa* genes (Fig. 2A) are believed to constitute a single transcriptional unit (Serizawa et al, 2005), an organization that we confirmed using northern hybridization (not shown). To confirm that both YvrI and YvrHa are required for transcriptional activation, we monitored *oxdC* transcription in a strain carrying a chromosomal *yvrI-yvrHa* deletion and independently integrated xylose-inducible expression plasmids encoding epitope-tagged YvrI or YvrHa variants (YvrI-FLAG or YvrHa-HA) (Fig. 3B). Co-expression of both proteins was required for P*oxdC* activation (strain HB7759). Based on immunoblot analysis (Fig. 3B, lower panel) we conclude that neither the size nor the accumulation of YvrI-FLAG was affected by coexpression with YvrHa.

A previous study demonstrated a 9-fold increase in oxalate decarboxylase activity in cells grown under constant acidic (pH 5) conditions (Tanner and Bornemann, 2000). When we measured *oxdC* expression at the transcriptional level using a *lacZ* reporter fusion under similar conditions we detected a reproducible, ~2–3-fold induction of *oxdC-lacZ* expression at pH 5.2 versus pH 7.5. The deletion of either *yvrI* or *yvrHa* abolished expression (data not shown). The basal activity of the *oxdC* promoter is weak and the *yvrI* promoter is even weaker, but both the induction and the negative effects of *yvrI* and *yvrHa* deletions are reproducible in liquid and plate-based assays (data not shown). Thus, acid induction of *yvrIyvrHa* may contribute to the acid-inducible accumulation of oxalate decarboxylase activity (Tanner and Bornemann, 2000) and of OxdC itself as monitored in proteome studies (Antelmann *et al.*, 2007).

YvrI also activates an autoregulatory promoter (P*yvrI*) upstream of the *yvrI-yvrHa* operon (Fig. 3A, right panel). As noted for the *oxdC* promoter, activation of the *yvrI-yvrHa* promoter was only observed in strains containing an intact copy of the *yvrHa* gene. We were unable to conclusively identify a start site for the weak P*yvrI* promoter using primer extension reactions or 5′RACE, probably because P*yvrI* is only weakly activated by YvrI-FLAG in liquid culture (although induction is readily apparent on a solid medium). Searching the *oxdC-yvrI* intergenic region for sequences similar to P_{oxdC} revealed two highly similar sequences. The one predicted to initiate transcription ~11 nt upstream of *yvrI* presumably functions as the autoregulatory site as detected in reporter fusion studies. Indeed, a similar candidate promoter is present 14 bp upstream of the *B. licheniformis yvrI* gene.

During these studies we also noted a second, divergent promoter found more than 300 nt upstream of *oxdC* (Fig. 2D). This site (P*yvrJ*) precedes an open reading frame for which we propose the designation *yvrJ*. Primer extension analysis confirmed the presence of a YvrIdependent transcript emanating from P*yvrJ* (Fig. 2C). A transcriptional fusion to *lacZ* revealed that, like P*oxdC* and P*yvrI*, activation of P*yvrJ* required both YvrI and YvrHa expression (not shown). As noted above, *yvrJ* was not previously annotated in the *B. subtilis* genome (Kunst *et al.*, 1997) but *yvrJ* is preceded by a candidate ribosome-binding sequence and homologs of *yvrJ* are annotated and positionally conserved in *B. licheniformis* and *B. thuringiensis*. The function of YvrJ is not known.

Together, these results suggest that YvrI and YvrHa activate the expression of three operons all preceded by similar candidate promoter elements (Fig. 2D). These promoter sequences are highly conserved around regions -10 and -35 , show similarity to σ^A -dependent promoters in their −35 elements, and have exceptionally T-rich linker regions. Nucleotide regions upstream of −40 and downstream of −8 indicate little conservation.

Biochemical characterization of YvrI as a σ factor

Sequence alignments between YvrI and known σ^{70} -family proteins from *B. subtilis* (Fig. S1a) reveal that, like ECF σ factors, YvrI includes a predicted σ^{70} region 4 (Pfam family PF04545) but lacks most of region 3. Thus, from a primary sequence perspective, YvrI is most similar to the ECF σ factors. However, domain prediction programs fail to identify a σ ⁷⁰ region 2, usually the most strongly conserved region in σ factors (Lonetto *et al.*, 1992). It is likely for this reason that YvrI has not been included among *B. subtilis* putative or known σ factors (Helmann, 2002).

Inspection of the aligned amino acid sequences hints that YvrI may retain at least some functions associated with σ region 2 (Fig. S1a). Residue D50 in YvrI is conserved amongst YvrI homologs (Fig. S1b) and is coincident with an acidic residue in all the *B. subtilis* σ factors (Fig. S1a). An acidic residue at this same position has been implicated in core binding by other σ factors (Sharp *et al.*, 1999; Wilson and Lamont, 2006; Wong *et al.*, 2003). Other points of conservation in region 2.2 are also evident since six of seven ECF σ factors carry aromatic residues coincident with F65 in YvrI and all of the ECF σ factors carry basic residues coincident with YvrI K58 (Fig S1a). In region 2.3, YvrI residue F77 is conserved amongst YvrI homologs and positionally coincides with aromatic amino acids that are conserved in almost all sigma factors. These same residues in *E. coli* σ^{70} (Y430) and *B. subtilis* σ ^A (Y189) have been implicated in DNA melting (deHaseth and Helmann, 1995; Juang and Helmann, 1994). YvrI therefore displays some features reminiscent of σ^{70} region 2.

Bacterial σ factors associate reversibly with RNAP core enzyme to generate holoenzyme and direct promoter recognition and melting. To test whether YvrI displays σ factor activity we investigated (i) YvrI association with RNAP *in vivo*, (ii) activation of P*oxdC* transcription by YvrI *in vitro*, and (iii) the ability of YvrI to mediate open-complex formation at P*oxdC*. To monitor YvrI association with RNAP *in vivo* we expressed YvrI-FLAG in wildtype *B. subtilis* CU1065 cells and in a derivative of *B. subtilis* JH642 in which the β′ subunit was translationally fused to a C-terminal His tag (strain 1A774) to facilitate the one-step purification of holoenzyme from cells (Anthony *et al.*, 2000). As expected, RNAP (detected by the presence of the high molecular mass β and β' proteins; Fig. 4A) was only bound to the Ni-NTA column in extracts from the strain containing the $\eta \rho C$ -His $_6$ gene. Immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4B) indicated that YvrI-FLAG co-eluted with RNAP in this strain. The YvrI-FLAG protein did not bind Ni-NTA in the extracts from cells from the strain lacking the His-tagged β' consistent with the hypothesis that retention of YvrI is due to an interaction with RNAP. Thus, YvrI interacts with RNAP *in vivo*, as would be expected for a σ factor.

To determine whether or not YvrI could mediate promoter recognition, we reconstituted YvrI-dependent transcription *in vitro*. Purified *B. subtilis* RNAP holoenzyme (Eσ ^A) (Fig. 5A) was assayed using run-off transcription in the presence of either additional σ^A (σ^A -FLAG), YvrI-FLAG, YvrHa-HA, or both YvrI-FLAG and YvrHa-HA. Transcription from the $P_{\alpha x dC}$ promoter to yield an ~ 82 nt run-off transcript was strongly stimulated by the presence of both YvrI and YvrHa, and a very weak band was also detected in reactions containing only YvrI (Fig. 5B). No recognition of this promoter site was observed in the σ^A supplemented reaction. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that YvrI functions as a σ factor for promoter recognition and that this activity is strongly dependent on YvrHa as a co-activator. However, these results do not unequivocably establish that YvrI and YvrHa function directly with core enzyme since the RNAP used in these studies contains σ^A and is likely contaminated with trace amounts of other σ factors.

To unambiguously establish that YvrI together with the YvrHa coactivator can provide σ factor function independent of any other *B. subtilis* protein we have reconstituted holoenzyme using commercially prepared *E. coli* core RNA polymerase (Epicentre Biotechnologies). The reconstituted holoenzyme weakly activated the P_{oxdC} promoter as judged using *in vitro* transcription assays (data not shown), so we have instead monitored holoenzyme function using potassium permanganate footprinting to probe for open-complex formation in the DNA. As a control, YvrI was added to *B. subtilis* RNAP depleted for σ A (E) (Fig. 5A) in the presence or absence of YvrHa and σ ^A (Fig. 5C). Two thymine residues at position −4 and −5 of the template strand are particularly susceptible to permanganate modification. Modification (strand opening) only occurs in the presence of *B. subtilis* RNAP (E) supplemented with both YvrI and YvrHa (lane 3) and supplementation with additional amounts of σ^A does not affect the reactivity (lane 4). Significantly, the holoenzyme reconstituted with *E. coli* core RNAP together with YvrI and YvrHa yields a reactivity pattern identical to that using *B. subtilis* RNAP (right panel, lane 3). There is also weak reactivity detectable upon supplementation with only YvrI (lane 2), consistent with the weak transcription activity noted in Fig. 5B. Interestingly, the inclusion of σ^{A} (lane 4) abolished reactivity when using *E. coli* core. We speculate that this reflects a reduced affinity of YvrI and/or YvrHa for *E. coli* core and therefore more effective sequestration of available core by σ A.

Defining the YvrI regulon

We have sought to define the complete set of genes that are activated by YvrI (the YvrI regulon). To this end, we examined (i) the transcriptional response to YvrI overexpression *in vivo* using DNA microarrays, (ii) monitored the effects of adding YvrI and YvrHa on promoter recognition *in vitro* using ROMA (run-off transcription microarray analysis) (Cao *et al.*, 2002a), and (iii) used search string and position-weight matrix approaches to identify candidate YvrI-dependent promoter sequences.

In vivo microarray analyses of cells harvested either 10 or 20 min after induction of YvrI revealed a strong and reproducible induction (>500-fold) of *oxdC*, confirming that *oxdC* is a major target for YvrI-dependent transcription, and *yvrL*. There was also a strong signal for *yvrI* due to the induction of this gene by xylose in these strains (see Fig S2 for a scatterplot from a representative experiment). Neither *yvrHa* nor *yvrJ* are represented on these microarray slides (since neither gene was annotated as part of the original *B. subtilis* genome project). Interestingly, a number of other loci were also moderately, but reproducibly, upregulated after YvrI induction. These include the *yxeLMNOPQ* operon and the *yngE*, *sacB*, and *glgD* genes. These genes were not identified in the ROMA-based analysis (see below) nor are they preceded by obvious YvrI-like promoters. Although induction of these genes likely reflects secondary effects of YvrI induction, these effects are reproducible and may merit further attention.

For the ROMA analysis, purified *B. subtilis* RNAP was used to transcribe total genomic DNA both in the absence and presence of a 20-fold molar excess of YvrI and YvrHa. Transcribed RNA was analyzed using microarray slides and a depiction of the results from a representative experiment is shown in Fig. S3. In the absence of added YvrI and YvrHa, a number of genes displayed weak signals as expected based on the presence of σ factor in the purified RNAP preparation. Upon addition of YvrI and YvrHa these signals decrease in intensity, consistent with the known competitive binding of σ factors to RNAP, and several new signals appeared. The strongest signals (Fig. S3) corresponded to the *oxdC, yvrL* and *yvrI* genes corroborating the results from the *in vivo*-based microarray analyses and from our previous genetic experiments. All remaining signals that were significantly above background were mapped to this same region of the genome (Fig. S3) and correspond to genes co-directional with, and therefore likely resulting from read-through transcription from, the P*oxdC* and the P*yvrI* promoters. Since termination is relatively inefficient *in vitro*, read-through transcripts can create artifactual signals in ROMA experiments using high molecular weight genomic DNA as template, as also seen in recent experiments to define the $\sigma^{\rm M}$ regulon (Eiamphungporn and Helmann, 2008). None of these downstream genes were detected as induced in microarray studies using RNA prepared from cells overexpressing YvrI-FLAG.

Next, we searched the *B. subtilis* genome to identify candidate YvrI-dependent promoters based on a postion-weight matrix constructed from the known promoter sequences (Fig. 2D). The highest scoring hits belonged to the previously identified *oxdC, yvrJ,* and *yvrI* promoters. All other hits scored much lower than the *oxdC, yvrJ,* and *yvrI* promoters, and none contained a T-rich linker sequence similar to that seen in known YvrI-dependent promoters (Fig. 2D). Moreover, there was no correspondence between these additional candidate promoters and genes upregulated in either microarray-based assays. Thus, on the basis of two conceptionally different microarray-based analyses and sequence-based studies, we conclude that *oxdC*-*yvrL*, *yvrJ*, and *yvrI*-*yvrHa* constitute the entire YvrI regulon.

yvrL is in an operon with oxdC

The *yvrL* gene was identified as a YvrI regulon member based on both *in vivo-* and *in vitro*based microarray analyses. The *oxdC-yvrL* intergenic region contains a sequence encoding an inverted repeat that might function as a transcriptional terminator or attenuator, but there is no apparent YvrI-dependent promoter in this region (Fig. 6A). This suggests that *yvrL* may be co-transcribed with the upstream *oxdC* gene. Indeed, northern hybridization demonstrates that *oxdC* is transcribed primarily as a monocistronic mRNA, with a significant amount of readthrough transcript that also includes the *yvrL* gene (Fig. 6B). The *oxdC* probe hybridized to a ~1.3 kb band and a ~1.7 kb band while the *yvrL* probe hybridized only to the \sim 1.7 kb band demonstrating that it must be an operonic transcript. When the *yvrL* gene is entirely replaced with a *tet* cassette (lane 3), the 1.7 kb band increased in size (as detected by the *oxdC* probe) but was no longer detected by the *yvrL* probe, as expected. It was not determined from this analysis whether the smaller *oxdC* transcript results from transcription termination or increased stability of the 5′-end of a longer mRNA due, perhaps, to the presence of the noted stem-loop structure. Therefore, we fused DNA carrying $P_{\alpha \alpha}C$ but ending either just before (HB7858) or just after (HB7857) the *oxdC-yvrL* intergenic region to a promoterless *lacZ* gene. Inclusion of the intergenic region resulted in an approximately 2-fold drop in β-galactosidase activity (not shown), consistent with the hypothesis that the stem-loop structure functions as an attenuator sequence. Regardless of mechanism, these results indicate that *yvrL* is expressed as part of a longer *oxdC-yvrL* transcript in cells in which YvrI is induced.

YvrL negatively regulates YvrI activity

In the course of the northern hybridization analysis, we observed that deletion of *yvrL* resulted in strongly increased transcription from P*oxdC*. Notably, in cells lacking *yvrL* the expression of *oxdC* was high even when YvrI was not induced (Fig. 6B; lane 3) whereas in the corresponding strain containing *yvrL*, expression was largely dependent on induction of YvrI (Fig. 6B; lane 1 vs. 2). Further evidence for a negative regulatory role of YvrL is apparent from studies using reporter fusion for all three YvrI-responsive promoters (P*oxdC*, P*yvrI*, P*yvrJ*) (Fig. S4). In these strains, YvrL represses the low basal promoter activity and reduces activity even under conditions where YvrI is induced as determined using βgalactosidase assays with an *oxdC-lacZ* fusion (Fig. S5). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that YvrL, a predicted membrane protein, negatively regulates YvrIdependent transcription perhaps by acting as an anti-σ factor. Interestingly, overexpression of YvrI in a *yvrL* deletion strain results in cell lysis on plates (data not shown), a phenotype qualitatively similar to the effects of depleting cells of YhdL and YhdK, two negative regulators of the *B. subtilis* ECF sigma factor σ^M (Horsburgh and Moir, 1999). This phenotype is only observed in cells containing both YvrI and YvrHa, and is independent of OxdC overexpression, suggesting that it results from the unregulated activity of this alternative σ factor and perhaps competition for core RNAP.

Discussion

B. subtilis responds to cell envelope stresses by inducing the expression of a variety of protective enzymes, antimicrobial resistance proteins, and enzymes involved in cell wall and membrane functions (Jordan *et al.*, 2008). Regulons induced by cell envelope stress include those controlled by the σ^{B} -dependent general stress response (Hecker *et al.*, 2007), the σ^{M} (Eiamphungporn and Helmann, 2008; Jervis *et al.*, 2007) and σ^{W} (Butcher and Helmann, 2006; Cao *et al.*, 2002a) ECF σ factors, and the LiaRS TCS (Jordan *et al.*, 2007; Mascher *et al.*, 2004). Several of the cell envelope stress stimulons also include genes herein assigned to the YvrI/YvrHa regulon including both *yvrI* itself and *yvrL*. We have demonstrated that YvrI (in the presence of YvrHa) functions as a novel σ factor for RNAP to activate expression of three promoter sites controlling the expression of the *yvrI-yvrHa, oxdC-yvrL,* and *yvrJ* genes (Fig. 2A).

YvrI is about the size (22.5 kDa) of many ECF σ factors and carries a predicted region 4 in its C-terminus. There is no obvious region 2 in YvrI which is otherwise strongly conserved in other σ factors (Lonetto *et al.*, 1992). However, a close inspection of alignments between YvrI homologs (Fig. S1b) and other σ factors (Fig. S1a) hints at what might be a vestigial region 2: a hypothesis currently being tested by site-directed mutagenesis. Alternatively, region 2 functions may be provided instead by the co-activator YvrHa. The size of YvrI and the fact that its primary regulatory target is an extracytoplasmic, stress-inducible protein (OxdC) indicates that this regulator may, in a physiological sense, most closely resemble ECF σ factors. Consistent with its role as a σ subunit for RNAP, YvrI co-purifies with RNAP (Fig. 4) and can, together with YvrHa, enable initiation at the *oxdC* promoter both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Figs. 3 and 5B). We find no evidence of YvrI specifically binding to promoter DNA in the absence of RNAP (not shown). Holoenzyme reconstitution studies using *E. coli* core RNAP demonstrate that YvrI together with YvrHa are sufficient for sitespecific strand opening at the *oxdC* promoter indicating that no other *B. subtilis* proteins are required for promoter recognition and melting (Fig. 5C). The three promoters activated by YvrI and YvrHa have similar sequences in the –35 and –10 elements and have a T-rich spacer element (Fig. 2D). Genome comparisons suggest that a related regulatory system is present in other Bacilli including *B. licheniformis, B. thuringiensis, B. cereus, B. clausii,* and *Oceanobacillus iheyensis*.

In addition to its own operon (*yvrI-yvrHa*), YvrI activates the *oxdC-yvrL* and *yvrJ* genes. The function of *yvrJ* is presently unknown, and *yvrL* appears to function, at least in part, as a membrane-bound negative feedback regulator. The overall physiological role of the YvrI/ YvrHa regulatory system appears to be focused on induction of OxdC, an abundant manganese-dependent oxalate decarboxylase that accumulates in the cell wall in cells grown in acidic medium (Antelmann *et al.*, 2007; Tanner and Bornemann, 2000; Tanner *et al.*, 2001). It is postulated that OxdC, in the presence of oxalate, may help buffer the medium by proton consumption. However, we have been unable to demonstrate an acid-sensitive growth phenotype for *oxdC* or *yvrI* mutant strains.

The pathways leading to activation of the YvrI-YvrHa regulatory system, in response to cell envelope stress and low pH, are not yet understood. A previous analysis (Serizawa *et al.*, 2005) demonstrated that a *yvrI-yvrHa* transcript appeared late in exponential growth and appeared to depend upon the adjacent two-component regulatory system (*yvrHb-yvrG*, Fig. 2A). However, we did not observe any effect of deletion of *yvrHb-yvrG* on activation of P_{oxdC} or P_{yvrI} whether in response to overexpression of YvrI or growth under low pH conditions (data not shown).

An intriguing aspect of YvrI function concerns the role of the YvrHa coactivator. Although the functional role of YvrHa is unclear, it is reminiscent of other σ factor systems where a co-activator is implicated (Browning and Busby, 2004). YvrHa might function as a conventional DNA-binding activator. However, YvrHa lacks obvious DNA-binding domains and we have been unable to detect an interaction with promoter DNA. In *E. coli*, the activation of several σ ^S-specific promoters is partially dependent upon Crl (Bougdour *et* al., 2004; Pratt and Silhavy, 1998). Crl binds to $\sigma^{\rm S}$ (Bougdour *et al.*, 2004) and plays a role in recruiting this σ factor to form holoenzyme. *In vitro* the protein appears to have a stimulatory effect on other σ factors as well but *in vivo* seems to bias holoenzyme formation towards RpoS (Gaal *et al.*, 2006; Typas *et al.*, 2007). Transcription of bacteriophage T4 late genes is also dependent upon two proteins: gp55, a σ factor distantly related to the σ^{70} family, and gp33 (Gribskov and Burgess, 1986; Kassavetis and Geiduschek, 1984; Williams *et al.*, 1989; Winkelman *et al.*, 1994). gp33 interacts with the β flap of RNAP (Nechaev *et* al., 2004), an interaction site also contacted by region 4 in σ^{70} -family regulators. gp55 and gp33 are therefore thought to constitute a two-subunit phage σ factor (Nechaev *et al.*, 2004). In several cases, σ factors are synthesized as inactive pro-proteins that are activated by cleavage of an inhibitory amino-terminal domain (Cutting *et al.*, 1990; LaBell *et al.*, 1987; Lu *et al.*, 1990). A processing role for YvrHa seems unlikely since YvrI mobility is unchanged when expressed in cells containing or lacking YvrHa. Therefore, we currently favor a model in which YvrHa interacts directly with either YvrI or RNAP core enzyme (or both) to enable YvrI function.

The presence of OxdC as one of the most highly abundat cell wall proteins in acid-grown cells suggests that this protein plays an important, although still ill-defined, role. While OxdC has been thoroughly studied in terms of reaction mechanism and structure (Anand *et al.*, 2002; Just *et al.*, 2004), the regulation of OxdC expression had not previously been explored. Our results suggest that expression of this protein relies on a previously undescribed and unusual σ factor (YvrI), together with a coactivator protein (YvrHa). The regulatory processes that contribute to the high level accumulation of OxdC are unclear as is its mechanism of translocation to the cell wall. The pathways, and ultimately the significance, of the observed induction of *yvrI, yvrL,* and *oxdC* by cell envelope stress also remain to be elucidated.

Experimental Procedures

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains (Table S1) were grown at 37°C in LB broth or this medium solidified with 1.5% agar. Supplements as required were added to the following concentrations: ampicillin (100 μg ml−¹), erythromycin (1 μg ml−¹), lincomycin (25 μg ml−¹), spectinomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹), tetracycline (10 μg ml⁻¹), chloramphenicol (10 μg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (10 μg ml⁻¹), 5bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (80 μg ml−¹), isopropyl-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside (1 mM), and D-xylose (2%). Antibiotics in broth were used at half the concentration above.

Genetic methods

Unmarked in-frame gene deletions were generated using the conditionally replicating plasmid pMAD as described (Arnaud *et al.*, 2004) (Table S2). Briefly, 700–800 bp regions upstream and downstream of each targeted gene were amplified by PCR and fused using respective 3′ and 5′ *Sal*I sites. The distal primers were used to amplify the fusion product prior to cloning into pMAD. Primers (Table S3) used to amplify the upstream and downstream fragments (respectively) were: Δ*yvrI* (2849/2850 and 2851/2852); Δ*yvrHa* (2853/2854 and 2855-2856) and Δ*yvrI-yvrHa* (2849/2850 and 2855/2856). Gene replacement with an antibiotic resistance gene was conducted using LFH-PCR (longflanking-homology-PCR) as described (Butcher and Helmann, 2006; Mascher *et al.*, 2003). Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using a protocol based upon the Stratagene Quik-Change Mutagenesis kit with the oligomers listed in Table S3. All other genetic manipulations were conducted using standard methodologies.

Primer extension

Primer extension analysis was conducted on 40 μg total bacterial RNA isolated using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) from strain HB7709 or this strain induced with 2% xylose. RNA and ³²P-end-labeled primers ($\sim 1 \times 10^5$ cpm) were mixed, heated to 80°C and allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. cDNA was reverse transcribed using Multiscribe reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems) as previously described (MacLellan *et al.*, 2005). Primers used for *oxdC*, *yvrI* and *yvrJ* reactions were #2836, 2835, and 2962, respectively (Table S3). A ladder was generated using Sequenase version 2 (USB) sequencing reactions on plasmid pSM003. Reaction products were separated on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide sequencing gel and visualized using a phosphoimaging cassette.

Northern hybridization

Internal fragments of the *oxdC* and *yvrL* genes were amplified, purified and approximately 50 ng of each product was labeled with $\left[\alpha^{-32}P\right]$ dATP using the DECAprime II Random Priming DNA Labeling Kit (Ambion). Unincorporated $\left[\alpha^{-32}P\right]$ dATP was removed by NucAway spin columns (Ambion). For the hybridization, 5 or 10 μg of total RNA from uninduced HB7709 or HB7813 cells or HB7709 cells induced with 2% xylose for 15 minutes was loaded on a formaldehyde Agarose gel. After electrophoresis, the RNA was transferred to a Zeta-Probe Blotting Membrane in a downward transfer using 10x SSC (1.5 M NaCl, 0.15 M sodium citrate) as transfer buffer and the RNA was crosslinked to membrane with UV light. The blot was prehybridized at 42°C for at least 30 min with Ultrahyb buffer (Ambion) and the labeled probe was added after denaturing at 95°C for 10 min. The hybridization was performed overnight at 42° C. On the next day, the membrane was washed twice with low-stringency buffer (2x SSPE (Ambion) plus 0.1% SDS) at room temperature for 5 min, followed by two high-stringency washes (0.1% SSPE (Ambion) plus 0.1% SDS) at 42°C for 15 min. The membrane was wrapped in plastic wrap, exposed to a

phosphor screen, and analyzed using a PhosphorImager. *oxdC* and *yvrL* probes were amplified with primers 3422-3423 and 3424-3425, respectively.

Protein Purification

RNAP holoenzyme was purified essentially as described (Helmann, 2003) with some modifications. Briefly, holoenzyme was purified from 200 g *B. subtilis* strain MH5636 cells. Cells were lysed by four passes through a French pressure cell and nucleic acids were precipitated from the clarified cell lysate using 0.5% polyethyleneimidine (PEI) (pH 7.9). Bound proteins were eluted from the PEI pellet using 1.0 M NH4Cl, precipitated with ammonium sulphate, dialyzed, and applied to a 5 ml heparin sepharose column. Protein was eluted using a 0–0.8 M NaCl gradient and then precipitated using four volumes of 3.9 M $NH₄SO₄$ (pH 7.9). The precipitate was resuspended in a minimal volume of TGED buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol) with 500 mM NaCl, dialyzed overnight, and applied to a superdex 200 chromotography column equilibriated in the same buffer. Eluant fractions containing RNAP were pooled and dialyzed into TGED buffer modified to contain 50% glycerol and 50 mM NaCl and stored at −20°C. The amount of σ ^A co-purifying with RNAP varied dramatically between preparations and between fractions and are designated as $E\sigma^A$ and E for those fractions containing near stoichiometric amounts of σ^A and depleted for σ^A , respectively (see Figure 5A).

YvrI-FLAG was purified from *E. coli* pLysS cells after induction with 1 mM IPTG. Cells were resuspended in TGED and lysed by freeze-thaw and passage through a French pressure cell. Inclusion bodies and cellular debris were collected by centrifugation and washed three times in TGED containing 0.5% Triton-X100 (TGEDX) and one wash with TGED containing 2% sodium deoxycholate. Inclusion bodies were resuspended in 5 ml TGEDX and solubilized by gradually adding 1.5% sarkosyl. After centrifugation, the supernatant containing solubilized protein was slowly diluted to 50 ml with TGEDX and dialyzed overnight in 4 l TGEDX. Re-natured YvrI-FLAG was captured from solution by passing the dialysate through a 5 ml S sepharose column. Protein was eluted with 0.1 to 0.8 M NaCl gradient. Fractions containing YvrI-FLAG were pooled, dialyzed into TGED containing 50% glycerol, and stored at −20°C.

YvrHa-HA was purified from *E. coli* BL21 pLysS cells after induction with 1 mM IPTG for 2.5 h. The cell pellet was subjected to freeze-thaw, resuspended in 25 ml TGED containing 200 mM NaCl, and passed through a French pressure cell four times. Clarified lysate was loaded onto a 5 ml DEAE-sepharose column and proteins were eluted with a 60 ml 0.2–0.7 M NaCl gradient plus an additional 30 ml 0.7 M NaCl in TGED. YvrHa-HA eluted late in the gradient and fractions containing the protein were pooled and subjected to precipitation with 2 volumes of 3.9 M NH₄SO₄ (pH 7.9). The re-dissolved pellet was dialyzed, bound to a 10 ml monoQ column and eluted with and 0 to 1.0 M NaCl. Proteins were further separated upon a Superdex 75 column. The fractions containing YvrHa were pooled and dialyzed into TGED containing 50% glycerol.

Induction of FLAG-tagged SigA in *E. coli* BL21 with 1 mM IPTG resulted in overexpressed protein that was approximately 50% soluble. After cell lysis, soluble proteins were precipitated with solid ammonium sulphate (to 80% saturation). The protein precipitate was resuspended in TGED, dialyzed and applied to a 10 ml DEAE-sepharose column. Bound proteins were eluted with a NaCl step gradient. Relevant fractions were desalted by dialysis and applied to a 10 ml monoQ column. Protein was eluted with a 0 to 1.0 M NaCl gradient. Relevant fractions were pooled and dialyzed into TGED containing 50% glycerol before storage at −20°C.

YvrI-FLAG under the control of the *xylA* promoter was integrated into strain CU1065 (to form strain HB7709) or strain JH642 PolHis (to form strain HB7711). Overnight cultures of both strains were used to inoculate fresh 100 ml volumes of LB broth. Cultures were grown to an O.D. of 0.4, induced with 2% xylose and grown for a further 1 h. Cells were harvested and subjected to lysis with a French pressure cell. Clarified lysate was supplemented with 5 U DNase I and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Each lysate was further supplemented with 0.5 ml of Ni-NTA metal affinity beads and incubated with slow rotation for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were recovered and washed with 10 column volumes of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) containing 100 mM NaCl. To both columns were applied successive 0.5 ml washes using the buffer above but with increasing concentrations (5, 10, 20, 30, and 250 mM) of imidazole. Each wash eluant was collected as it has been our experience that tagged RNAP binds rather weakly to Ni-NTA beads and substantial elution of the protein occurs even at low imidazole concentrations. Volumeteric equivalents of the crude lysate, flow through, and each eluant for each of the two strains were run on duplicate 10% SDS-PAGE gel. For both strains, one gel was subjected to coomassie staining and the other was subjected to western immunoblotting using anti-FLAG antibodies in order to visualize YvrI-FLAG.

Potassium permanganate footprinting

Open complex formation at the *oxdC* promoter was detected using permanganate footprinting (Sasse-Dwight and Gralla, 1989) and plasmid pSM106 (Table S2). Purified RNAP was pre-mixed with 20-fold excess of σ factors or YvrHa as required in reconstitution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM $MgCl₂$, 10 mM KCl, 50 mM NaCl, 50% glycerol, 0.1 mM DTT) and incubated on ice for 30 minutes. Each 20 μl footprinting reaction contained 1 μg pSM106 DNA and RNAP (37 nM or 100 nM of either *B. subtilis* or *E. coli* core, respectively) in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM DTT, and 100 ug ml−¹ BSA. After the protein mixture and DNA were added, the reactions were incubated at 37° C for 20 minutes to allow for holoenzyme:promoter binding. The reactions were started by the addition of 1 μl of 40 mM KMnO4. After incubation for 3 minutes at 37° C, reactions were stopped by the addition of 1 μl 14.3 M β-mercaptoethanol and placed on ice. Primer extension was used to visualize modified bases in the template strand DNA. Each 20 μl reaction contained 7.5 μl Thermo-start Master mix (Thermo Scientific), 1 µl end-labeled primer 3891 ($\sim 10^5$ cpm), and 5 μl of the footprinting reaction mixture. Extension reactions were carried out in a thermocycler for 29 cycles. Each reaction was supplemented with an equal volume of formamide dye and separated upon a 6% polyacrylamide denaturing (8 M urea) sequencing gel. An A/G ladder was used to calibrate positions on the gel.

ROMA analysis

ROMA (run off *in vitro* transcription/microarray analysis) is a method that involves detection of in vitro transcription products after reacting holoenzyme with sheared genomic DNA (Cao *et al.*, 2002a). A typical reaction (50 μl) contained 100 nM RNA polymerase, 2 μM each YvrI and YvrHa (20-fold molar excess relative to RNA polymerase), 1 μg chromosomal DNA of W168 (sheared by pipetting and vortexing), 40 nmol CTP, GTP, ATP and UTP, and 5 μl $10\times$ transcription buffer (180 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM KCl, 100 mM MgCl₂, 50% glycerol, 10 mM DTT and 100 μ g ml⁻¹ acetylated BSA). A control reaction contained RNA polymerase, but not YvrI and YvrHa. Reconstitution of holoenzyme occurred on ice for 15 min. After adding DNA and a further incubation for 10 minutes at 37°C, the reaction was started by the addition of NTPs and was allowed to proceed for 20 minutes at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by adding 200 μl stop solution $(2.5 M NH4OAc, 10 mM EDTA$ and linear acrylamide (15 µg ml⁻¹). For each treatment,

three individual reactions were combined, extracted with phenol/chloroform and the RNA was precipitated overnight at −80°C. The pellet was dissolved in 20 μl DEPC-treated water and the template DNA was removed by a 30 min treatment with TURBO DNase (Ambion). The mRNA was used to generate fluorescence labeled cDNA using the SuperScript Indirect cDNA Labeling System (Invitrogen) following the provided instructions. The cDNA from the control reaction without σ factor was labeled with Alexa Fluor 555 and the cDNA from the reaction containing YvrI and YvrHa was labeled with Alexa Fluor 647. Both cDNA populations were hybridized overnight on an oligonucleotide-based microarray slide and scanned and analyzed using the software GenePix Pro 4.0 (Axon Instruments) as described below.

Microarray analyses

The strains used for this analysis were HB7777 and HB7799. For RNA isolation, 5 ml LB inoculated with 50 μl of an overnight culture of these strains were grown at 37°C to an $OD₆₀₀$ of 0.3. These pre-cultures were used to inoculate fresh 40 ml volumes of LB medium. Upon growth to $OD₆₀₀$ of 0.4, each culture was split into 20 ml volumes and induced with 2% xylose for 10 or 20 min, respectively. After induction, the 20 ml culture were mixed with 4 ml stop solution (95:5 ethanol:phenol), and the cells were harvest by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The pellet was washed with 5 ml TE-buffer (3 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA), resuspended in 750 μl lysozyme-TE (30 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 15 mg ml−¹ lysozyme), and incubated with shaking at 37°C for 25 min. After the first 15 minutes, 20 µl of Proteinase K (20 mg ml⁻¹) was added and incubated for an additional 10 min. After lysis, 4 ml RLT buffer supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol was added, and RNA isolation was performed with the RNeasy Midi kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA was eluted with 150 μl of RNase-free water and contaminating genomic DNA was removed by a 30 min treatment with TURBO DNase (Ambion). After ethanol precipitation, 20 μg of total RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis which was then labelled using the SuperScript Indirect cDNA Labeling System (Invitrogen). The cDNA derived from strain HB7777 was labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 and the cDNA from HB7799 with Alexa Fluor 555. Approximately 100 pmol of each dye were used to hybridize the labeled cDNA to a 65-mer oligonucleotide-based microarray representing each annotated *B. subtilis* W168 gene in duplicate. The hybridization was carried out overnight at 42°C. The slides were washed, scanned and the data was normalized using the software GenePix Pro 4.0 (Axon Instruments). The average of the median intensities of the spot duplicates was calculated after background subtraction and the data was filtered to remove (i) genes that were not significantly expressed under both conditions (average of sum of medians $\langle 10 \rangle$ or (ii) genes where the deviation of the two spots was >30% of the average.

Enzyme assays

Multiple-round *in vitro* transcription reactions were conducted at 37^oC for 20 minutes in 10 μl reaction volumes. DNA templates were prepared using PCR and the primers described in Table S3 and were used at a concentration of 10 nM in each reaction. Purified RNAP was used at a final concentration of 40 nM and purified YvrI, YvrHa, or SigA were added as required to a concentration of 200 nM. The reaction buffer contained: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.15 M KCl, 0.01 M MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, acetylated BSA (100 ng ml^{−1}), 1 mM of ATP and GTP, 0.5 mM CTP, 0.05 mM UTP, and 20–50 μ Ci ³²P-[α UTP]. Radio-labeled Decade markers (Ambion Inc) were used as standards and all products were separated upon 6% denaturing (7 M Urea) polyacrylamide sequencing gels. Beta-galactosidase assays were conducted using standard procedures.

Mass spectrometry

OxdC was identified using trypsin digestion followed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. The relevant protein band was excised from a coomassie blue stained and destained SDS-PAGE gel and washed twice in 20 μl volumes of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 50% acetonitrile for 10 minutes at 37°C. After drying, gel slice was re-hydrated in a 40 mM ammonium bicarbonate solution containing 9% acetonitrile and 20 ng μ ⁻¹ trypsin and incubated at 37°C overnight. The sample was analyzed using an Applied Biosystems 4700 mass spectrometer. Confirmation of the expected size of YvrI-FLAG was conducted by dialyzing 5 μg purified protein into 0.1% formic acid using drop dialysis and subjecting the sample to ESI-MS analysis.

Bioinformatic and other computational methods

Sequence alignments were conducted using ClustalW. Protein domain predictions were carried out with CD-search (Marchler-Bauer and Bryant, 2004) on the conserved domain database (CDD) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml>) or Pfam [\(http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/](http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/)) and predictionsof helix-turn helix motifs were by a program from Network Protein Sequence Analysis ([http://npsa-pbil.ibcp.fr/NPSA/npsa_hth.html\)](http://npsa-pbil.ibcp.fr/NPSA/npsa_hth.html) using a previously published prediction method (Dodd and Egan, 1990). Search strings constructed from the YvrI-dependent promoter consensus was used to screen the *B. subtilis* genome on the Subtilist website. The program Virtual Footprint [\(http://www.prodoric.de/vfp/](http://www.prodoric.de/vfp/)) was used to construct a position weight matrix based on *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* known or predicted promoters and to screen the *B. subtilis* genome for similar sequences. For some figures, digital images were manipulated with Adobe photoshop to improve visual presentation without altering critical information content.

Genome sequence corrections and amendments

The *B. subtilis* 168 genome as originally annotated describes a gene order of *yvrI*, *yvrH*, and *yvrG* where *yvrHG* were predicted to encode a two-component regulatory system. During their analysis of YvrHG function, Kobayashi et al. (2001) discovered a sequencing error (single G insertion at nucleotide number 336) in the original sequence that generated a shift in the YvrH open reading frame (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2001). Correction of this error resulted in the annotation of two separate ORFs that they re-named YvrHa and YvrHb. Using northern hybridization, Serizawa et al (2005) deduced that *yvrI* and *yvrHa* constitute an operon and that *yvrHb* and *yvrG* comprise a separate operon likely encoding a two-component regulatory system (Serizawa *et al.*, 2005). This new assignment closely matches the ORF prediction in *B. licheniformis*. In the course of our analysis we detected another frame shifting single nucleotide insertion (C at nucleotide position 239) in *yvrHa*. The effect of this sequencing error was to increase the predicted length of YvrHa to 113 amino acids before a fortuitous stop codon was encountered. Correction of this error results in a predicted length of 79 amino acids for YvrHa, which renders the length of the protein consistent with homolog predictions in other bacilli. Finally, our sequencing also revealed that the third to last amino acid codon in the *yvrI* gene should be GGG instead of AGG resulting in an R to G amino acid change at this position.

Acknowledgments

We thank Anna-Barbara Hachmann for guidance with the DNA microarray and ROMA experiments and for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM-047446).

References

- Anand R, Dorrestein PC, Kinsland C, Begley TP, Ealick SE. Structure of oxalate decarboxylase from *Bacillus subtilis* at 1.75 Å resolution. Biochemistry. 2002; 41:7659–7669. [PubMed: 12056897]
- Antelmann H, Towe S, Albrecht D, Hecker M. The phosphorus source phytate changes the composition of the cell wall proteome in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Proteome Res. 2007; 6:897–903. [PubMed: 17269748]
- Anthony LC, Artsimovitch I, Svetlov V, Landick R, Burgess RR. Rapid purification of His(6)-tagged *Bacillus subtilis* core RNA polymerase. Protein Expr Purif. 2000; 19:350–354. [PubMed: 10910724]
- Arnaud M, Chastanet A, Debarbouille M. New vector for efficient allelic replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70:6887–6891. [PubMed: 15528558]
- Bhavsar AP, Zhao X, Brown ED. Development and characterization of a xylose-dependent system for expression of cloned genes in *Bacillus subtilis*: conditional complementation of a teichoic acid mutant. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67:403–410. [PubMed: 11133472]
- Bougdour A, Lelong C, Geiselmann J. Crl, a low temperature-induced protein in *Escherichia coli* that binds directly to the stationary phase sigma subunit of RNA polymerase. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:19540–19550. [PubMed: 14978043]
- Browning DF, Busby SJ. The regulation of bacterial transcription initiation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004; 2:57–65. [PubMed: 15035009]
- Butcher BG, Helmann JD. Identification of *Bacillus subtilis* sigma-dependent genes that provide intrinsic resistance to antimicrobial compounds produced by Bacilli. Mol Microbiol. 2006; 60:765– 782. [PubMed: 16629676]
- Cao M, Kobel PA, Morshedi MM, Wu MF, Paddon C, Helmann JD. Defining the *Bacillus subtilis* sigma(W) regulon: a comparative analysis of promoter consensus search, run-off transcription/ macroarray analysis (ROMA), and transcriptional profiling approaches. J Mol Biol. 2002a; 316:443–457. [PubMed: 11866510]
- Cao M, Wang T, Ye R, Helmann JD. Antibiotics that inhibit cell wall biosynthesis induce expression of the *Bacillus subtilis* σW and σM regulons. Mol Microbiol. 2002b; 45:1267–1276. [PubMed: 12207695]
- Cutting S, Oke V, Driks A, Losick R, Lu S, Kroos L. A forespore checkpoint for mother cell gene expression during development in *B. subtilis*. Cell. 1990; 62:239–250. [PubMed: 2115401]
- deHaseth PL, Helmann JD. Open complex formation by *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase: the mechanism of polymerase-induced strand separation of double helical DNA. Mol Microbiol. 1995; 16:817–824. [PubMed: 7476180]
- Dodd IB, Egan JB. Improved detection of helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motifs in protein sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 1990; 18:5019–5026. [PubMed: 2402433]
- Eiamphungporn W, Helmann JD. The *Bacillus subtilis* σ^M regulon and its contribution to cell envelope stress responses. Mol Microbiol. 2008
- Gaal T, Mandel MJ, Silhavy TJ, Gourse RL. Crl facilitates RNA polymerase holoenzyme formation. J Bacteriol. 2006; 188:7966–7970. [PubMed: 16980472]
- Gadd GM. Fungal production of citric and oxalic acid: importance in metal speciation, physiology and biogeochemical processes. Adv Microb Physiol. 1999; 41:47–92. [PubMed: 10500844]
- Gribskov M, Burgess RR. Sigma factors from *E. coli, B. subtilis*, phage SP01, and phage T4 are homologous proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 1986; 14:6745–6763. [PubMed: 3092189]
- Gruber TM, Gross CA. Multiple sigma subunits and the partitioning of bacterial transcription space. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2003; 57:441–466. [PubMed: 14527287]
- Hecker M, Pane-Farre J, Volker U. SigB-dependent general stress response in *Bacillus subtilis* and related gram-positive bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2007; 61:215–236. [PubMed: 18035607]
- Helmann JD. The extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Adv Microb Physiol. 2002; 46:47– 110. [PubMed: 12073657]
- Helmann JD. Purification of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase and associated factors. Methods Enzymol. 2003; 370:10–24. [PubMed: 14712630]

- Horsburgh MJ, Moir A. Sigma M, an ECF RNA polymerase sigma factor of *Bacillus subtilis* 168, is essential for growth and survival in high concentrations of salt. Mol Microbiol. 1999; 32:41–50. [PubMed: 10216858]
- Jervis AJ, Thackray PD, Houston CW, Horsburgh MJ, Moir A. SigM-responsive genes of *Bacillus subtilis* and their promoters. J Bacteriol. 2007; 189:4534–4538. [PubMed: 17434969]
- Jordan S, Rietkotter E, Strauch MA, Kalamorz F, Butcher BG, Helmann JD, Mascher T. LiaRSdependent gene expression is embedded in transition state regulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiology. 2007; 153:2530–2540. [PubMed: 17660417]
- Jordan S, Hutchings MI, Mascher T. Cell envelope stress response in Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008; 32:107–146. [PubMed: 18173394]
- Juang YL, Helmann JD. A promoter melting region in the primary sigma factor of *Bacillus subtilis*. Identification of functionally important aromatic amino acids. J Mol Biol. 1994; 235:1470–1488. [PubMed: 8107087]
- Just VJ, Stevenson CE, Bowater L, Tanner A, Lawson DM, Bornemann S. A closed conformation of *Bacillus subtilis* oxalate decarboxylase OxdC provides evidence for the true identity of the active site. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:19867–19874. [PubMed: 14871895]
- Just VJ, Burrell MR, Bowater L, McRobbie I, Stevenson CE, Lawson DM, Bornemann S. The identity of the active site of oxalate decarboxylase and the importance of the stability of active-site lid conformations. Biochem J. 2007; 407:397–406. [PubMed: 17680775]
- Kassavetis GA, Geiduschek EP. Defining a bacteriophage T4 late promoter: bacteriophage T4 gene 55 protein suffices for directing late promoter recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1984; 81:5101– 5105. [PubMed: 6382259]
- Kobayashi K, Ogura M, Yamaguchi H, Yoshida K, Ogasawara N, Tanaka T, Fujita Y. Comprehensive DNA microarray analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* two-component regulatory systems. J Bacteriol. 2001; 183:7365–7370. [PubMed: 11717295]
- Kunst F, Ogasawara N, Moszer I, Albertini AM, Alloni G, Azevedo V, Bertero MG, Bessieres P, Bolotin A, Borchert S, Borriss R, Boursier L, Brans A, Braun M, Brignell SC, Bron S, Brouillet S, Bruschi CV, Caldwell B, Capuano V, Carter NM, Choi SK, Codani JJ, Connerton IF, Danchin A, et al. The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. Nature. 1997; 390:249–256. [PubMed: 9384377]
- LaBell TL, Trempy JE, Haldenwang WG. Sporulation-specific sigma factor sigma 29 of *Bacillus subtilis* is synthesized from a precursor protein, P31. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1987; 84:1784– 1788. [PubMed: 3104904]
- Lonetto M, Gribskov M, Gross CA. The sigma 70 family: sequence conservation and evolutionary relationships. J Bacteriol. 1992; 174:3843–3849. [PubMed: 1597408]
- Lu S, Halberg R, Kroos L. Processing of the mother-cell sigma factor, sigma K, may depend on events occurring in the forespore during *Bacillus subtilis* development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990; 87:9722–9726. [PubMed: 2124700]
- MacLellan SR, Smallbone LA, Sibley CD, Finan TM. The expression of a novel antisense gene mediates incompatibility within the large *repABC* family of alpha-proteobacterial plasmids. Mol Microbiol. 2005; 55:611–623. [PubMed: 15659174]
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bryant SH. CD-Search: protein domain annotations on the fly. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32:W327–331. [PubMed: 15215404]
- Mascher T, Margulis NG, Wang T, Ye RW, Helmann JD. Cell wall stress responses in *Bacillus subtilis*: the regulatory network of the bacitracin stimulon. Mol Microbiol. 2003; 50:1591–1604. [PubMed: 14651641]
- Mascher T, Zimmer SL, Smith TA, Helmann JD. Antibiotic-inducible promoter regulated by the cell envelope stress-sensing two-component system LiaRS of *Bacillus subtilis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004; 48:2888–2896. [PubMed: 15273097]
- Nechaev S, Kamali-Moghaddam M, Andre E, Leonetti JP, Geiduschek EP. The bacteriophage T4 latetranscription coactivator gp33 binds the flap domain of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:17365–17370. [PubMed: 15574501]
- Paget MS, Helmann JD. The sigma70 family of sigma factors. Genome Biol. 2003; 4:203. [PubMed: 12540296]

- Pratt LA, Silhavy TJ. Crl stimulates RpoS activity during stationary phase. Mol Microbiol. 1998; 29:1225–1236. [PubMed: 9767590]
- Sasse-Dwight S, Gralla JD. KMnO4 as a probe for lac promoter DNA melting and mechanism in vivo. J Biol Chem. 1989; 264:8074–8081. [PubMed: 2722774]
- Serizawa M, Kodama K, Yamamoto H, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N, Sekiguchi J. Functional analysis of the YvrGHb two-component system of *Bacillus subtilis*: identification of the regulated genes by DNA microarray and northern blot analyses. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2005; 69:2155–2169. [PubMed: 16306698]
- Sharp MM, Chan CL, Lu CZ, Marr MT, Nechaev S, Merritt EW, Severinov K, Roberts JW, Gross CA. The interface of sigma with core RNA polymerase is extensive, conserved, and functionally specialized. Genes Dev. 1999; 13:3015–3026. [PubMed: 10580008]
- Svedruzic D, Liu Y, Reinhardt LA, Wroclawska E, Cleland WW, Richards NG. Investigating the roles of putative active site residues in the oxalate decarboxylase from *Bacillus subtilis*. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2007; 464:36–47. [PubMed: 17459326]
- Tanner A, Bornemann S. *Bacillus subtilis* YvrK is an acid-induced oxalate decarboxylase. J Bacteriol. 2000; 182:5271–5273. [PubMed: 10960116]
- Tanner A, Bowater L, Fairhurst SA, Bornemann S. Oxalate decarboxylase requires manganese and dioxygen for activity. Overexpression and characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* YvrK and YoaN. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:43627–43634. [PubMed: 11546787]
- Typas A, Barembruch C, Possling A, Hengge R. Stationary phase reorganisation of the *Escherichia coli* transcription machinery by Crl protein, a fine-tuner of sigmas activity and levels. Embo J. 2007; 26:1569–1578. [PubMed: 17332743]
- Williams KP, Muller R, Ruger W, Geiduschek EP. Overproduced bacteriophage T4 gene 33 protein binds RNA polymerase. J Bacteriol. 1989; 171:3579–3582. [PubMed: 2722758]
- Wilson MJ, Lamont IL. Mutational analysis of an extracytoplasmic-function sigma factor to investigate its interactions with RNA polymerase and DNA. J Bacteriol. 2006; 188:1935–1942. [PubMed: 16484205]
- Winkelman JW, Kassavetis GA, Geiduschek EP. Molecular genetic analysis of a prokaryotic transcriptional coactivator: functional domains of the bacteriophage T4 gene 33 protein. J Bacteriol. 1994; 176:1164–1171. [PubMed: 8106327]
- Wipat A, Brignell SC, Guy BJ, Rose M, Emmerson PT, Harwood CR. The yvsA-yvqA (293 degrees– 289 degrees) region of the *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome containing genes involved in metal ion uptake and a putative sigma factor. Microbiology. 1998; 144(Pt 6):1593–1600. [PubMed: 9639930]
- Wong K, Kassavetis GA, Leonetti JP, Geiduschek EP. Mutational and functional analysis of a segment of the sigma family bacteriophage T4 late promoter recognition protein gp55. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:7073–7080. [PubMed: 12496274]

Fig. 1. Conditional expression of YvrI induces OxdC

A) Immunoblot showing xylose-dependent expression of YvrI-FLAG from integrated expression vector (strain HB7709) but not from strain carrying expression vector lacking *yvrI-*FLAG fusion (HB7708). B) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE of samples in (A) showing YvrI-FLAG-dependent overexpression of OxdC (arrow).

Fig. 2. Identification of YvrI-activated promoters

A) Genetic region surrounding the *yvrI* locus. Promoters identified in this study are indicated with angled arrows. Primer extension analysis was used to identify the (B) *oxdC* and (C) *yvrJ* transcriptional start sites from total RNA extracted from strain HB7709 cells grown without (lane 1) and with (lane 2) xylose induction of YvrI overexpression. YvrIdependent transcripts are identified by arrows. D) sequence alignment of *oxdC* and *yvrJ* transcriptional start sites (angled arrow) and promoter regions showing all conserved nucleotides (shaded). The identification of the *yvrI* sequence was based on similarity to the experimentally determined promoter sequences. Number in parentheses refer to the distance (in nucleotides) between the known or predicted transcriptional start site and the start codon.

Fig. 3. Promoter activation by YvrI and YvrHa

A) activity of *oxdC-* and *yvrI-lacZ* fusions in the absence or presence of YvrI overexpression from an ectopically integrated copy (using a pSWEET-based plasmid integrated at *amyE*; see Supplementary Table S2). Induction was obtained by addition of 2% xylose for 60 min. Cells either carry the wildtype complement of *yvrI* and *yvrHa* genes (HB7717 and HB7716), a *yvrI* deletion (HB7732 and HB7728), a *yvrHa* deletion (HB7733 and HB7729) or a *yvrI yvrHa* deletion (HB7734 and HB7730). Note that measurements of the strong *oxdC* and weak *yvrI* promoter activities are reported using different scales. (B) *oxdC* promoter activation in a host strain carrying a *yvrI-yvrHa* deletion in its genomic locus requires coexpression from ectopically integrated *yvr*I and *yvrHa* genes (strain HB7759). Immunoblot detection demonstrates that neither the accumulation nor mobility of YvrI-FLAG is affected by co-expression with YvrHa.

Fig. 4. Interaction of YvrI-FLAG with RNA polymerase

A) SDS-PAGE separation of metal affinity purified proteins from strain HB7709 carrying wt *rpoC* and strain HB7711 carrying *rpoC* fused with a hexahistidine tag. YvrI-FLAG was overexpressed in each strain. Lanes 1, whole cell lysate; 2, flow-through; 3, 5 mM imidazole wash; 4, 10 mM imidazole elution; 5, 20 mM imidazole elution; 6, 30 mM imidazole elution, 7, 250 mM imidazole elution. Equivalent amounts of protein (5 μl for lanes 1 and 2 and 30 μl for lanes 3–7) were loaded onto each gel. B) Immunoblot analysis from gels identical to those shown in (A) using anti-FLAG polyclonal antibodies.

Fig. 5. Biochemical characterization of YvrI as a σ factor

A) Purified RNAP preparations used in assays either with σ^{A} (E σ^{A}) or depleted for σ^{A} (E). B) YvrI and YvrHa-dependent multi-round transcription from P*oxdC in vitro*. Template DNA (10 nM) encoding P*oxdC* was included with 100 nM purified *B. subtilis* RNA polymerase (E σ ^A) and 2 μM each of purified σ ^A, YvrI, and YvrHa as indicated. Specific transcription from P*oxdC* is predicted to yield an 82 nt run-off transcript. The asterisk indicates the weak signal noted in reactions supplemented with YvrI alone. C) KMnO₄ footprinting at the oxdC promoter (template strand) using 37 nM *B. subtilis* RNAP (E) shown in panel A or 100 nM *E. coli* core polymerase (Epicentre Biotechnologies) (E (Eco)). Reactions contained RNAP alone (lane 1), with YvrI (lane 2), both YvrI and YvrHa (lane 3), or YvrI, YvrHa and σ^A (lane 4) Nucleotide assignments are based upon an A/G ladder generated from the same strand (not shown).

MacLellan et al. Page 22

Fig. 6. Northern hybridization reveals operonic structure of *oxdC-yvrL*

A) Diagram of *oxdC-yvrl* gene region showing predicted size of *oxdC* and *oxdC-yvrL* transcripts (arrows) and location of probes used for hybridization (Fig. 6B) below. Predicted structure of a stem-loop that may act as a transcriptional attenuator in the *oxdC-yvrL* intergenic region is shown. B) Northern hybridization using *oxdC* probe (panel 1) and *yvrL* probe (panel 2) against total RNA (5 μg) extracted from: lane 1, HB7709 cells (ectopic YvrI not induced); lane 2, HB7709 cells (ectopic YvrI induced); and lane 3, HB7813 cells (ectopic YvrI not induced). In HB7813, the *yvrL* gene has been replaced by a 2 kb tetracycline resistance cassette. Identification of bands indicated at right (arrows).