



Published in final edited form as:

J Rehabil Med. 2009 May ; 41(6): 475–481. doi:10.2340/16501977-0362.

Effects of Dance on Movement Control in Parkinson's Disease: A Comparison of Argentine Tango and American Ballroom

Madeleine E. Hackney, BFA¹ and Gammon M. Earhart, PhD, PT^{1,2,3}

¹Program in Physical Therapy, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63108

²Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63108

³Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63108

Abstract

Objective—The basal ganglia may be selectively activated during rhythmic, metered movement like tango dancing, which may improve motor control in individuals with Parkinson disease (PD). Other partner dances may be suitable and preferable for those with PD. The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of tango, waltz/foxtrot and no intervention on functional motor control in individuals with PD.

Design—This study employed a randomised, between-subject, prospective, repeated measures design.

Subjects/Patients—Fifty-eight people with mild-moderate PD participated.

Methods—Participants were randomly assigned to Tango, Waltz/Foxtrot or no intervention (Control). Those in the dance groups attended 1-hour classes 2 times per week, completing 20 lessons within thirteen weeks. Balance, functional mobility, forward and backward walking were evaluated before and after the intervention.

Results—Both dance groups improved more than the Control group, which did not improve. Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot significantly improved on the Berg Balance Scale, six minute walk distance, and backward stride length. Tango improved as much or more than those in Waltz/Foxtrot on several measures.

Conclusions—Tango may target deficits associated with PD more than Waltz/Foxtrot, but both dances may benefit balance and locomotion.

Keywords

dance; Parkinson's Disease; balance; gait; freezing of gait

Introduction

Individuals with Parkinson's disease (PD), a progressive neurodegenerative movement disorder affecting more than 1 million people in the United States, often demonstrate postural instability, gait difficulties, and impaired functional mobility that may lead to falls and a decreased quality of life. Seventy percent of patients with PD experienced a fall within one

Corresponding Author, Gammon M. Earhart, PhD, PT, Program in Physical Therapy, Washington University School of Medicine, Campus Box 8502, 4444 Forest Park Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63108, (314) 286-1425.

Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, Madeleine Hackney, Doctoral Candidate in Movement Science, Washington University School of Medicine, Program in Physical Therapy, 4444 Forest Park Blvd., Campus Box 8502, St. Louis, MO 63108, m.hackney@wustl.edu

year, and 50% of this group fell again within the following year¹. Falls are particularly problematic for persons with PD because they have a 3.2 fold greater risk of hip fracture than those without PD². As pharmacological methods are only partially effective in addressing balance and gait problems, non-pharmacological approaches are needed to address these issues³.

Programs to address postural instability and gait deficits to reduce fall risk must incorporate practice of dynamic balance and continual adjustment to environmental demands^{4, 5}. While traditional exercise programs can meet these requirements, they often are not sufficiently interesting or engaging to encourage regular and continued participation. Dance may be an exceptionally effective tool for addressing these problems because it includes key elements of dynamic balance and adjustment to environment and is, at the same time, enjoyable and engaging. The elderly consider dance more enjoyable than traditional exercise, which promotes better adherence and enhances motivation⁶⁻⁸. Older adults who participated in dance had increased motivation to pursue healthy, exercise-related behaviors and demonstrated improved balance and functional mobility^{8, 9}. Jacobson et al. (2005) reported greater balance and complex gait task improvements in elders that participated in Argentine tango compared to a group that walked for exercise⁶. Finally, habitual participation in social dancing over several years is associated with superior balance, postural stability, gait function and leg reaction times compared to age-matched non-dancers^{10, 11}.

Only a few studies have examined the benefits of dance for people with PD. The effects of Argentine Tango proved better than traditional exercise for improving balance and functional mobility in those with PD¹². Additionally, a PET study demonstrated increased activity in the basal ganglia when tango movements were performed to a metered and predictable beat¹³. Argentine Tango movement and patterns may enhance motor abilities by targeting PD-related impairments. For example, Tango involves frequent movement initiation and cessation, spontaneous directional changes, and a wide range of movement speeds. These features may target difficulties with movement initiation, turning, and bradykinesia, respectively. Interestingly, Tango may also effectively address freezing of gait (FOG), because several step patterns mimic those of rehabilitation exercises designed for those with FOG. No studies have examined waltz or foxtrot in individuals with PD. The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of two distinct 20-hour partnered dance programs to an untreated age-, sex- and stage of disease-matched cohort (Control). Participants with PD attended progressive Argentine tango dance lessons (Tango), or American Smooth Bronze Waltz and Foxtrot lessons (Waltz/Foxtrot). Both dances involve a partner and music, demand postural control, movement initiation and termination, turning, and moving in close proximity to another individual. However, Tango involves flexible, improvisational step patterns composed of small step elements, spontaneous multi-directional perturbation (for the follower) and rhythmic variation. In contrast, Waltz/Foxtrot follows a strict syllabus of step patterns involving more complex step elements, more predictable directions of perturbation (for the follower), and little rhythmic variation or improvisation. We hypothesized that improvements in balance, motor ability, and locomotion would be noted in both dance groups while no improvements would be noted in the untreated control group. Furthermore, we hypothesized that improvements would be greater in Tango than in Waltz/Foxtrot, as features of tango may more specifically target motor impairments associated with PD, such as stride length deficiency, freezing of gait, bradykinesia (as reflected in gait velocity), and balance.

Methods

This work was approved by the Human Research Protection Office at Washington University in St. Louis. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Participants

Participants were recruited from the St. Louis community through advertisement at local support groups and local community events. While some participants self-identified, most were directly recruited via telephone from the Washington University Movement Disorders Center database.

Fifty-eight participants with idiopathic PD participated. Participants were at least 40 years of age, could stand at least 30 minutes, and walk independently for 3 or more meters with or without an assistive device. Individuals with Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) stages of I–III participated. Participants were excluded if they had history of neurological deficit other than PD. All participants had a diagnosis of idiopathic PD using diagnostic criteria for clinically defined “definite PD” based upon published standards. All subjects demonstrated clear benefit from levodopa and were tested on medications at a standardized time to reduce the effects of medication-related fluctuations in performance. Participants were not formally screened for cognitive dysfunction, but were scrutinized during a health screening questionnaire in an interview process. All participants were able to personally answer the screening questions and to easily follow all instructions during testing sessions. There was no evidence of cognitive impairment in any of the participants. During the health screening interview, all participants were asked if they had serious hearing or vision problems that could not be corrected with glasses. All individuals could hear and see adequately to participate in the classes. Fallers were distinguished from non-fallers based on self-report of number of falls within the last 6 months, with fallers classified as those reporting one or more falls. Freezers were distinguished from non-freezers based on self-report of having experienced freezing more than once a week on the Freezing of Gait questionnaire (i.e., a score >1 on Item 3)¹⁴ (Table I).

Intervention

Participants were randomly assigned to either the Waltz/Foxtrot, Tango classes, or the Control groups by the first author, who told participants they would participate in one of two types of dance classes, or would be assigned to a control group that received no intervention. The first author assigned individuals to Waltz/Foxtrot, Tango, and Control by randomly selecting one of the three conditions from a hat. While the first author was not blinded to group assignment, the evaluations were videotaped for a rater who was a specially trained physical therapy student otherwise not involved in the study. Participants were told only that they were participating in the study to further information about the effects of exercise in those with PD. They were not informed of the study hypotheses. Participants were instructed not to change their habitual exercise routines over the course of the study. The dance classes, either progressive Tango lessons or Waltz/Foxtrot lessons, were taught by the same instructor who was an experienced professional ballroom dance instructor and an American Council on Exercise certified personal trainer. Possible risks of bias for the same teacher instructing both classes include instructor preference for one dance over the other or more experience teaching one dance than another. Additionally, the teacher could have formed greater attachments to one group of students than another. However, this instructor was equally versed in both dances, and she attempted to give all students equal attention. Having the same teacher teach both classes may have controlled for distinctions in teaching pedagogy, which is frequently found between dance instructors, due to the general lack of standardization in this field.

Both genders spent equal time in leading and following dance roles. All steps were done in a “closed practice” position, an adaptation of the traditional ballroom frame in which participants maintain contact through the upper extremities and face one another. This position involved holding hands with bent elbows, keeping the forearms parallel to the floor. Healthy young volunteers, recruited from physical therapy, pre-physical therapy and pre-medical programs at Washington University and St. Louis University, served as dance partners for those with PD.

Volunteers were educated about posture and gait problems associated with PD, methods for monitoring balance and anticipating falls, and proper spotting and assistance techniques to use for a loss of balance.

Testing Protocol

Videotaped assessments of participants were conducted the week prior to initiation of training and within the week following completion of 20 training sessions. Participants were tested at the same time of day for pre- and post-testing. They self-determined a time of optimal performance for pre-testing and were therefore scheduled for the same time at post-testing. Data files were coded for blinded ratings. During assessments, participants were evaluated using the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale Motor Subscale 3 (UPDRS) ¹⁵, Berg Balance Scale (BBS) ¹⁶, and the Timed Up and Go test (TUG) ¹⁷. These clinical instruments are known to be valid and reliable ¹⁸. Also, participants completed a six minute walk test (6MWT) along a 30.5 m path in a hallway next to the laboratory and we recorded total distance traveled ¹⁹. The Freezing of Gait (FOG) questionnaire was administered before and after the intervention to determine freezing status and to detect any changes in this status in the freezers ¹⁴. Forward and backward gait were assessed along a 5m instrumented, computerized GAITRite walkway (CIR Systems, Inc., Havertown, PA). Variables of interest were gait velocity, stride length, and single support time. The results from three trials in each direction were averaged. A standardized script with specific instructions for each task was used for all measurement sessions. The post-intervention testing session also included an exit questionnaire that was completed by both dance groups to assess participant experiences and enjoyment of the program. The exit questionnaire asked participants to rank items on a scale of 1–5 (1 = strongly agree, 2 = somewhat agree, 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = somewhat disagree, 5 = strongly disagree.) Item 1 asked if participants enjoyed participating. Items 2 through 7 asked if participants noted improvement in particular aspects of physical well-being. Item 8 asked if participants would continue with the classes if given the opportunity.

Statistical Analyses

Data from participants who did not complete the 20 sessions in thirteen weeks and those who received alterations in their medical treatment during the course of the study were not included in the analyses.

Data were analyzed using Systat software (Systat, Richmond, VA). Two-way repeated measures ANOVAs (three subject groups (Waltz/Foxtrot, Tango, Control)×time (pre, post)), with Holms-Sidak post-hoc tests, determined statistical significance of changes from pre to post. Effect sizes were also calculated. The exit questionnaire responses were compared between the Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot groups using Mann Whitney rank sum tests. Level of significance was set at $p = 0.05$.

Results

Four participants in Tango did not complete the study: one withdrew after week 5 citing personal problems related to family, one reported knee pain after week 2, and two had transportation issues and did not complete the required 20 lessons in 13 weeks. Data from one additional Tango participant were not included in the analysis due to changes received in medical treatment during the intervention. Two participants in Waltz/Foxtrot did not complete the study: one due to an injury that occurred at his home, and another due to frequent out of town travel. Three controls were unable to complete post-testing within thirteen weeks due to an ankle injury, a hospitalization, and a death in the family. Thus, 14 Tango, 17 Waltz/Foxtrot, and 17 Control participants successfully completed the study. Only data from these 48 individuals were analyzed.

At baseline, the three groups did not differ significantly in age, UPDRS, H&Y, time with PD, fall history, or freezing status (Table I). Over the course of the intervention, both Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot exceeded the gains of Control, which improved on no measures. Significant improvements were noted in Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot on the BBS, 6MWT and backward stride length when compared to Control. Other non-significant improvements were noted in Tango in the TUG, and in both dance groups for other aspects of gait. The Control group worsened significantly with respect to disease severity, as measured by the UPDRS, and on time spent in single support during forward and backward walking (Table II, Table III).

Freezing of Gait

The three groups were not different in percentage of freezers within each group (Table I). On the FOG questionnaire, improvement is represented by a decrease in score. The Tango group improved while those within Waltz/Foxtrot did not change and the Control group worsened.

Participant Assessments of Dance Interventions

Participants in all groups reported enjoying the classes and noted improvements, particularly in walking, balance, coordination, mood and endurance. Many indicated that they would continue participating if possible. There were no differences between the Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot groups. Table IV summarizes results of the exit questionnaire.

Discussion

Both Waltz/Foxtrot and Tango conveyed significant benefits with respect to balance, motor ability and locomotion of participants with idiopathic PD who took part in 20 hours of partnered dance instruction. An age- and sex-matched control group did not improve, and grew worse in terms of disease severity. Many changes noted in both dance groups were not statistically significant, but may have been clinically meaningful. Also, as the first study to examine the effects of Waltz/Foxtrot in those with PD, our results demonstrate its feasibility and positive effects.

Clinical Relevance of Dance Effects

Changes noted on the UPDRS, BBS, 6MWT, and gait speed may have functional significance. A conservative 5 point, or 20%, change on the UPDRS was the clinically relevant cutoff for those in stages I–III who had received 6 months of pharmacological treatment²⁰. Therefore, changes we observed approach clinical meaningfulness, as Control worsened 24% (5.0 points), reaching the aforementioned clinical cutoff, whereas Waltz/Foxtrot improved 16% on the UPDRS. On the BBS, a five point change is the Minimal Detectable change (MDC) for clinical significance in those with parkinsonism²¹, which is nearly matched by our interventions' statistically significant 4 point increase on the BBS. An 82 meter change is the MDC of the 6MWT for those with PD an estimate that may be high given an extremely large standard deviation²¹. Also, effect sizes of 0.5–0.6 can be considered clinically substantial change, which correspond to an increase of only 50 m for the 6MWT in elderly with moderate motor impairment²². Tango and Waltz/Foxtrot achieved this much improvement in 6MWT. Finally, for gait speed, Steffen and Seney (2008) propose 0.18 m/s as the MDC for comfortable gait speed for those with PD but suggest qualifying these results according to stage of PD²¹. Others consider a change of 0.1 m/s to be clinically substantial which was achieved in backward walking by both dance groups and nearly reached in forward walking by Tango. More research into what qualifies as a meaningful change is necessary²².

Mechanisms of Dance Benefit

Several mechanisms may account for the improvements noted with dance including external cues, which may derive from music or the partner, as well as the specific movements incorporated in the particular form of dance.

External Cues—Individuals with PD often move slowly but can achieve movements of nearly normal speed and amplitude through focused attention to critical aspects of movement^{17, 23}, especially with the aid of external cues. External cues may access cortical circuitry, thereby bypassing the dysfunctional basal ganglia²⁴ in those with PD. Two integral aspects of tango and waltz/foxtrot may provide important external cues: the music and the partner. The music may provide auditory cues that access the supplementary motor area via the thalamus²⁵, or the premotor cortex via the cerebellum²⁶. Using auditory cues has improved gait speed, initiation and cadence in laboratory settings²⁷ and while performing a functional task at home²⁸. Coordinated steps with the musical beat and one's partner may facilitate less slowly paced movement. The partner also may enhance balance by virtue of the physical contact at the hands, as even a light touch contact is known to facilitate postural stability²⁹. Cues such as the partner's weight shifting and indicated direction of movement can help initiate movement, and increase or maintain stride length and cadence. Walking towards a target aids in increasing and maintaining stride length³⁰; therefore, dancers may benefit by walking towards their partner.

Specific Patterns of Movement—It is important to design therapeutic exercise programs that target PD related impairments while considering neurological and musculoskeletal relationships³¹. While improvements were clearly noted in both dance groups, the effects of Waltz/Foxtrot were not exactly the same as those of Tango. We think that Waltz/Foxtrot may better suit the preferences of some individuals with PD, given socio-cultural differences in musical and dance traditions. Dance variety could increase the effectiveness of a dance intervention by sustaining interest and appealing to diverse populations. Evidence supports waltzing in other patient populations, as patients with congestive heart failure enjoyed a waltzing program more than a cycling/walking program and experienced as much cardiovascular benefit with dance as with cycling⁷. Additionally, participants with Alzheimer's disease participated in a short term waltzing program and significantly improved in procedural learning³². However, if limited to a single form of dance, Tango may be preferable for those with PD, as it equaled Waltz/Foxtrot on many measures and had larger effects than Waltz/Foxtrot for TUG as well as forward and backward gait features.

The larger effects of Tango on TUG and gait may be due in part to the specific nature of the movements performed in tango. For example, tango incorporates movements that are similar to strategies commonly taught to people with freezing of gait by physical therapists³³. Visual cues, such as a foot to step over, can relieve freezing of gait³⁴. Tango steps can involve stepping over a partner's foot, tapping a partner's foot, or crossing one foot over another. Similar visual cue techniques are used in conventional rehabilitation to address freezing of gait³⁵. Moreover, tango also involves rhythmic rocking, or alternating shift of center of mass from foot to foot, another strategy commonly used to address freezing. Nearly 55% of participants in the dance groups identified themselves as freezers in the present study. While effects of both dance interventions on freezing were relatively small, tango appeared to have a larger effect on freezing than Waltz/Foxtrot.

Tango may help to improve walking velocity and stride length because it involves practised control of movement speed and size. Tango incorporates slow and quick steps of varying lengths and requires continual adjustment of these features. Tango may be particularly effective for addressing backward walking, a critical area given the tendency for falls in the backward direction in PD³⁶. While both Waltz/Foxtrot and Tango use backward steps and are instructed

to reach the toe back as far as possible before shifting weight, in tango dancers spend more time going simply backward, while Waltz/Foxtrot spends equal time going sideways or forward.

Compliance and Attrition—Although approximately 20% of participants dropped out, we considered this to be good in terms of compliance, given that 80% came to 20 lessons within a 13 week time period. Also, drop out rates were similar between controls and those in experimental groups. All participants provided their own transportation and many drove very far distances. Those with PD are typically impacted by day to day health issues more than healthy individuals. They often need to rely on family members and friends to transport them to outings away from their home, which means that they must consider others' schedules. Exercise compliance in impaired individuals is often difficult to effect. In one study, only 30–45% of cancer survivors were meeting physical activity recommendations³⁷; furthermore, adherence to a physical activity of 60–85% in the impaired elderly has been considered high³⁸.

In conclusion, participants with PD who received 20 hours of instruction within thirteen weeks in progressive Argentine Tango or American Smooth Waltz and Foxtrot lessons improved on standard clinical measures of locomotion, balance and motor control. Limitations of this study include the small sample size and the fact that these data do not include information about the transfer of the dance class effects to activities of daily living. However, this work provides pilot data to support a larger randomized controlled trial. One major limitation of most investigations on rehabilitation efficacy in those with PD is the lack of demonstration of a carry-over effect. While our participants experienced gains, we do not know the duration of the effect; therefore, there is a great need for studies examining the duration and maintenance of benefits derived from social dance practise. Future studies should include measures to determine retention of gains and an appropriate maintenance schedule for this retention. Dance may be particularly effective over the long term as it is an enjoyable exercise that interests and engages older individuals. This is critical, as 60% of Americans older than 65 do not achieve the recommended daily amount of physical activity³⁹ and activity levels in individuals with PD are 15% lower than those of age-matched controls⁴⁰. Dance may help to promote adherence while also incorporating the key elements of successful balance rehabilitation programs, such as practice of dynamic balance and continual adjustment to environmental demands^{4, 5}.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Josh Funk, Callie Mosiman, Minna Hong, Ruth Porter, Mike Falvo, Nick Asakawa, Lauren Mehner, Svetlana and Adele Kantorovich, Tiffany Chung, Ba Huynh, Jeff Becket, Kyleen Albert, Laura Cohen, Patricia Engel, Callie Chen, and Ryan Choi, for their assistance with this project. This work was supported by a grant from the American Parkinson Disease Association and NIH grant K01-048437. The study sponsors played no role in the study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Bloem BR, Hausdorff JM, Visser JE, Giladi N. Falls and Freezing of Gait in Parkinson's Disease: A Review of Two Interconnected, Episodic Phenomena. *Mov Disord* 2004;19(8):871–884. [PubMed: 15300651]
2. Melton LJ III, Leibson CL, Achenbach SJ, Bower JH, Maraganore DM, Ober AL, Rocca WA. Fracture risk after the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease: influence of concomitant dementia. *Mov Disord* 2006;21:1361–1367. [PubMed: 16703587]
3. Gage H, Storey L. Rehabilitation for Parkinson's disease: a systematic review of available evidence. *Clin Rehabil* 2004;18:463–482. [PubMed: 15293481]

4. Hu MH, Woollacott MH. Multisensory training of standing balance in older adults: I. Postural stability and one leg stance balance. *J Gerontol* 1994a;49:M52–M61. [PubMed: 8126353]
5. Hirsch MA, Toole T, Maitland CG, Rider RA. The effects of balance training and high-intensity resistance training on person with idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2003;84:1109–1117. [PubMed: 12917847]
6. Jacobson, AC.; McKinley, PA.; Leroux, A.; Rainville, C. Argentine tango dancing as an effective means for improving cognition and complex task performance in at-risk elderly: a feasibility study. Program No. 757.7. 2005 Abstract Viewer/Itinerary Planner. Washington, DC: Society for Neuroscience; 2005. Online
7. Belardinelli R, et al. Waltz Dancing in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure: A New Form of Exercise Training. *Circulation: Heart Failure* 2008;1:107–114. Available from: <http://circheartfailure.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/short/1/2/107>
8. Federici A, Bellagamba S, Rocchi MB. Does Dance based training improve balance in adult and young old subjects? A Pilot randomized controlled trial. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2005;17(5):385–389. [PubMed: 16392413]
9. Eyigor S, Karapolat H, Durmaz B, Ibisoglu U, Cakir S. A randomized controlled trial of Turkish folklore dance on the physical performance, balance, depression and quality of life in older women. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2008 Feb 20;[Epub ahead of print]
10. Verghese J. Cognitive and Mobility Profile of Older Social dancers. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006;54:1241–1244. [PubMed: 16913992]
11. Zhang JG, Ishikawa-Takata K, Yamazaki H, Morita T, Ohta T. Postural Stability and physical performance in social dancers. *Gait & Posture* 2008;27:697–701. [PubMed: 17981468]
12. Hackney M, Kantorovich S, Levin R, Earhart GM. Effects of tango on functional mobility in Parkinson disease: A preliminary study. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2007;31:173–179. [PubMed: 18172414]
13. Brown SB, Martinez MJ, Parsons LM. The neural basis of human dance. *Cerebral Cortex* 2006;16:1157–1167. [PubMed: 16221923]
14. Giladi N, Shabtai H, Simon ES, Biran S, tal J, Korczyn AD. Construction of freezing of gait questionnaire for patients with Parkinsonism. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2000;6:165–170. [PubMed: 10817956]
15. Movement Disorder Society Task Force on Rating Scales for Parkinson's Disease. The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS): status and recommendations. *Mov Disord* 2003;18:738–750. [PubMed: 12815652]
16. Berg K, Wood-Dauphinee S, Williams JI. The Balance Scale: reliability assessment with elderly residents and patients with acute stroke. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1995;27:27–36. [PubMed: 7792547]
17. Morris ME, Huxham F, McGinley J, Dodd K, Iansek R. The biomechanics and motor control of gait in Parkinson disease. *Clin Biomech* 2001;16:459–470.
18. Whitney SL, Poole JL, Cass SP. A review of balance instruments for older adults. *Am J Occup Ther* 1998;52:666–671. [PubMed: 9739401]
19. Guyatt GH, Sullivan MJ, Thompson PJ, Fallen EL, Pugsley SO, Taylor DW, Berman LB. The 6-minute walk: a new measure of exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. *Can Med Assoc J* 1985;132(8):919–923. [PubMed: 3978515]
20. Schrag A, Sampaio C, Counsell N, Poewe W. Minimal clinically important change on the unified Parkinson's disease rating scale. *Mov Disord* 2006;21(8):1200–1207. [PubMed: 16673410]
21. Steffen T, Seney M. Test-Retest Reliability and Minimal Detectable change on Balance and Ambulation Tests, the 36-Item Short Form health Survey, and the Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale in People with Parkinsonism. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(6):1–14.
22. Perera S, Mody SH, Woodman RC, Studenski SA. Meaningful Change and Responsiveness in Common Physical performance measures in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006;54:743–749. [PubMed: 16696738]
23. Baker K, Rochester L, Nieuwboer A. The immediate effect of attentional, auditory, and a combined cue strategy on gait during single and dual tasks in Parkinson's disease. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007;88(12):1593–1600. [PubMed: 18047873]

24. Freedland RL, Festa C, Sealy M, McBean A, Elghazaly P, Capan A, Brozycki L, Nelson AJ, Rothman J. The effects of pulsed auditory stimulation on various gait measurements in persons with Parkinson's Disease. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2002;17:81–87. [PubMed: 12016350]
25. Nieuwboer A, Feys P, De Weerd W, Dom R. Is using a cue the clue to the treatment of freezing in Parkinson's disease? *Physiother Res Int* 1997;2(3):125–134. [PubMed: 9421817]
26. Chuma T, Faruque Reza M, Ikoma K, Mano Y. Motor learning of hands with auditory cue in patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm* 2006;113:175–185. [PubMed: 15959849]
27. Dibble LE, Nicholson DE, Shultz B, MacWilliams VA, Marcus RL, Moncur C. Sensory cueing effects on maximal speed gait initiation in persons with Parkinson's disease and healthy elders. *Gait & Posture* 2004;19:215–225. [PubMed: 15125910]
28. Rochester L, Hetherington V, Jones D, Nieuwboer A, Willems AM, Kwakkel G, Van Wegen E. The effect of external rhythmic cues (auditory and visual) on walking during a functional task in homes of people with Parkinson's disease. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005;86:999–1006. [PubMed: 15895348]
29. Jeka JJ. Light touch contact as a balance aid. *Phys Ther* 1997;77(5):476–487. [PubMed: 9149759]
30. Ianssek R, Huxham F, McGinley J. The sequence effect and gait festination in Parkinson disease: contributors to freezing of gait? *Mov Disord* 2006;21:1419–1424. [PubMed: 16773644]
31. Crizzle AM, Newhouse IJ. Is Physical Exercise Beneficial for Persons with Parkinson's disease? *Clin J Sport Med* 2006;16:422–425. [PubMed: 17016120]
32. Rösler A, Seifritz E, Kräuchi K, Spoerl D, Brokuslaus I, Proserpi SM, Gendre A, Savaskan E, Hofmann M. Skill learning in patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease: a prospective pilot-study of waltz-lessons. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2002;17(12):1155–1156. [PubMed: 12461765]
33. Farley B. Developing Parkinson's-specific exercise programs. *Journal on Active Aging* 2004:22–28.
34. Jiang Y, Norman KE. Effects of visual and auditory cues on gait initiation in people with Parkinson's disease. *Clin Rehabil* 2006;20:36–45. [PubMed: 16502748]
35. Bricchetto G, Pelosin E, Marchese R, Abbruzzese G. Evaluation of physical therapy in parkinsonian patients with freezing of gait: a pilot study. *Clin Rehabil* 2006;20:31–35. [PubMed: 16502747]
36. Horak FB, Dimitrova D, Nutt JG. Direction-specific postural instability in subjects with Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol* 2005;193:504–521. [PubMed: 15869953]
37. Blanchard CM, Courneya KS, Stein K. American Cancer Society's SCS-II. Cancer survivors' adherence to lifestyle behavior recommendations and associations with health-related quality of life: results from the American Cancer Society's SCS-II. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26(13):2198–2204. [PubMed: 18445845]
38. Fielding RA, Katula J, Miller ME, Abbott-Pillola K, Jordan A, Glynn NW, Goodpaster B, Walkup MP, King AC, Rejeski WJ. Life Study Investigators. Activity adherence and physical function in older adults with functional limitations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(11):1997–2004. [PubMed: 17986908]
39. Macera CA, Ham SA, Yore MM, Jones DA, Ainsworth BE, Kimsey CD, Kohl HW III. Prevalence of physical activity in the United States: behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2001. *Preventing Chronic Disease Public Health Research, Practice, and Policy* 2005;2(2):1–10.
40. Toth MJ, Fishman PS, Pehlman ET. Free-living daily energy expenditure in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* 1997;48:88–91. [PubMed: 9008499]

Table I

Baseline Participant Demographics

Variable	Waltz/ Foxtrot (n = 17)	Tango (n= 14)	Control (n= 17)	P values
Age (years)	66.8 ± 2.4	68.2 ± 1.4	66.5 ± 2.8	0.999
Sex (male/ female)	11/6	11/3	12/5	0.705
Time with PD (years)	9.2 ± 1.5	6.9 1.3	5.9 ± 1.0	0.178
UPDRS Motor Subscale III	26.9 ± 2.5	27.6 ± 2.0	27.4 ± 2.4	0.982
H&Y	2.0 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.2	0.643
Fallers/ Non-fallers	8 / 9	9 / 5	6 / 11	0.281
Freezers/ Non-freezers	9 / 8	8 / 6	5 / 12	0.240

Values are mean ± SE.

Table II

Balance and Mobility Measures

	Waltz/Foxtrot	Tango	Control	P values
UPDRS	Pre = 26.9 ± 2.5 Post = 24.3 ± 3.4 ES: 0.22	27.6 ± 2.0 26.0 ± 2.5 ES: 0.19	27.4 ± 2.4 32.4 ± 2.6 ES: -0.48	Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p = 0.089 Within Tango: p = 0.344 Within Control: p = 0.002
BBS	Pre = 48.1 ± 1.2 Post = 52.1 ± 1.2 ES: 0.93	48.1 ± 1.4 52.0 ± 0.8 ES: 0.92	48.2 ± 1.9 47.0 ± 2.5 ES: -0.13	Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p < 0.001 Within Tango: p = 0.001 Within Control: p = 0.182
TUG (s)	Pre = 10.9 ± 7.6 Post = 10.8 ± 1.2 ES: 0.03	12.1 ± 1.5 10.0 ± 0.8 ES: 0.45	12.4 ± 1.3 14.4 ± 2.6 ES: -0.24	NSD
6 MWT (m)	Pre = 358.1 ± 21.7 Post = 407.2 ± 8.7 ES: 0.50	364.2 ± 25.3 423.6 ± 9.6 ES: 0.63	368.4 ± 27.5 360.9 ± 33.3 ES: -0.06	Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p < 0.001 Within Tango: p < 0.001 Within Control: p = 0.542
FOG (out of 24)	Pre = 7.7 ± 1.2 Post = 7.6 ± 1.2 ES: 0.02	Pre = 8.4 ± 1.4 Post = 7.5 ± 1.3 ES: 0.18	Pre = 4.7 ± 1.4 Post = 5.9 ± 2.5 ES: -0.22	NSD

Values are pre- and post- intervention means \pm SEs and effect sizes (ES). The p values presented are for the main effect of group and for significant pair-wise comparisons. Two way repeated measures ANOVAs with Holms-Sidak post-hoc tests determined statistical significance between groups ($p = 0.05$). NSD = no significant differences

Table III

Forward & Backward Walking

	Waltz/Foxtrot	Tango	Control *	P values
Forward velocity (m/s)	1.11 ± 0.05 1.13 ± 0.05 ES: 0.10	1.11 ± 0.05 1.19 ± 0.05 ES: 0.35	1.07 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.10 ES: 0.06	NSD
Forward stride length (m)	1.21 ± 0.05 1.23 ± 0.05 ES: 0.12	1.25 ± 0.05 1.33 ± 0.05 ES: 0.36	1.17 ± 0.08 1.15 ± 0.08 ES: -0.07	NSD
Forward single support time (s)	0.37 ± 0.01 0.38 ± 0.01 ES: 0.08	0.38 ± 0.01 0.39 ± 0.01 ES: 0.21	0.38 ± 0.01 0.37 ± 0.01 ES: -0.33	Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p = 0.635 Within Tango: p = 0.359 Within Control: p = 0.008
Backward velocity (m/s)	0.610 ± 0.05 0.720 ± 0.05 ES: 0.47	0.613 ± 0.1 0.723 ± 0.1 ES: 0.33	0.783 ± 0.10 0.764 ± 0.08 ES: -0.05	Time: p = 0.015
Backward stride length (m)	0.656 ± 0.05 0.761 ± 0.05 ES: 0.47	0.631 ± 0.1 0.796 ± 0.1 ES: 0.57	0.855 ± 0.10 0.799 ± 0.08 ES: -0.16	Time: p = 0.008 Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p = 0.018 Within Tango: p = 0.001 Within Control: p = 0.208
Backward single support time (s)	0.329 ± 0.02 0.344 ± 0.02 ES: 0.24	0.320 ± 0.02 0.350 ± 0.02 ES: 0.41	0.374 ± 0.02 0.340 ± 0.02 ES: -0.57	Within Waltz/Foxtrot: p = 0.305 Within Tango: p = 0.076 Within Control: p = 0.027

Values are pre- and post- intervention means +/- SEs and effect sizes (ES). The p values presented are for the main effect of group and for significant pair-wise comparisons. Two way repeated measures ANOVAs with Holms-Sidak post-hoc tests determined statistical significance between groups (p = 0.05).

* Control values for backward walking represent a sample size of 16, because one control was unable to complete the task. NSD = no significant differences

Table IV

Exit Questionnaire

Aspects of Well-Being	Waltz/Foxtrot	Tango
Enjoyment	1.0 (1.0, 1.25)	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)
Balance	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 2.0)
Walking	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)
Mood	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	2.0 (2.0, 2.0)
Coordination	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)
Strength	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)
Endurance	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.0, 2.0)
Continuing	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	1.5 (1.0, 2.0)

Values are medians and interquartiles for Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree). Item 1 asked if participants enjoyed participating, items 2 through 7 asked if participant noted improvement in that particular aspect of physical well-being. Item 8 asked if they would continue to participate if additional dance classes were offered.