

Research

Open Access

Utilization, retention and bio-efficacy studies of PermaNet® in selected villages in Buie and Fentalie districts of Ethiopia

Messay Fettene*¹, Meshesha Balkew¹ and Ciara Gimblet²

Address: ¹Aklilu Lemma Institute of Pathobiology, Addis Ababa University, PO Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and ²MIHRT program, Howard University, Washington DC, USA

Email: Messay Fettene* - messayg@yahoo.com; Meshesha Balkew - meshesha_b@yahoo.com; Ciara Gimblet - cgimblet@verizon.net

* Corresponding author

Published: 30 May 2009

Received: 24 February 2009

Malaria Journal 2009, **8**:114 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-8-114

Accepted: 30 May 2009

This article is available from: <http://www.malariajournal.com/content/8/1/114>

© 2009 Fettene et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Malaria remains a major public health problem in Ethiopia. Pyrethroid-treated mosquito nets are one of the major tools available for the prevention and control of malaria transmission. PermaNet® is a long-lasting insecticide-treated net (LLIN) recommended by WHO for malaria control.

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess utilization and retention of PermaNet® nets distributed for malaria control in Buie and Fentalie districts and monitor the bio-efficacy of the nets using the WHO cone bioassay test procedures.

Methods: A cross sectional study was carried out by interviewing household heads or their representative in Buie and Fentalie districts. The two districts were selected based on a priori knowledge of variations on ethnic background and housing construction. Clusters of houses were chosen within each of the study villages for selection of households. 20 households that had received one or more PermaNet® nets were chosen randomly from the clusters in each village. A total of eight used PermaNet® nets were collected for the bio-efficacy test. The bio-efficacy of PermaNet® nets was monitored according to the standard WHO procedures using a susceptible colony of *Anopheles arabiensis* to deltamethrin.

Results: A total of 119 household heads were interviewed during the study. The retention rate of nets that were distributed in 2005 and 2006 season was 72%. A total of 62.2% of the interviewees claimed children under five years of age slept under LLIN, while only 50.7% of the nets were observed to be hanged inside houses when used as a proxy indicator of usage of LLIN. For the bio-efficacy test the mean knock-down was 94% and 100%, while the mean mortality rate observed after 24 hr holding period was 72.2% and 67% for Buie and Fentalie districts respectively.

Conclusion: The study revealed a moderately high retention of PermaNet® in the study villages and effectiveness of the nets when tested according to the standard WHO procedure.

Background

Malaria is a major public health problem in Ethiopia [1,2]. The country is most affected by malaria epidemics

primarily due to its varying topographical and climatic features [3,4]. Malaria transmission in Ethiopia depends substantially on *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes, a mem-

ber of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex, in the intermediate highlands of Ethiopia [5].

Vector control remains the most effective measure to prevent malaria transmission and is one of the four basic technical elements of the Global Malaria Control strategy [6]. Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) were reported to be effective in reducing all-cause of childhood mortality by 20%, and to significantly reduce anemia in pregnant women and the incidence of low birth weight [7,8]. Protection by treated nets is achieved by the nets acting as a barrier to blood feeding mosquitoes and repelling or killing mosquitoes that are attracted to feed.

However, the effect of conventionally treated nets on malaria vector mosquitoes will diminish as a result of repeated washes, deposit of smoke and abrasion when handled by net owners [9,10]. As a consequence, conventionally treated nets must be retreated every 6–12 months to maintain their efficacy. Recently, the technology for long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) has been developed to overcome the problems of re-treatment rates encountered in poor and rural communities. LLINs are treated at factory level by a process that binds or incorporates insecticide into the fibers. Currently LLINs are expected to retain biological activity for at least 20 standard WHO recommended washes and three years of recommended use under field conditions [11].

PermaNet® is an LLINs treated with deltamethrin at a dose of 55 mg a.i./m² (Vestergaard Frandsen, Denmark) and is recommended by WHO for malaria control. The present study aimed to assess the utilization and retention of nets in Buie and Fentalie districts and monitor the bio-efficacy of nets that have been washed in field conditions by villagers.

Methods

Study areas and population

The study was carried out in July, 2008 in six villages of Buie and Fentalie districts. Buie district is within Southern Nations and Nationalities People Region and its administrative capital, Buie, is about 114 Km from Addis Ababa. Three villages, namely *Kella Zuria*, *Gogitie-2*, *Gogitie-3*, that are located at the periphery of the town were selected for the study. Population of the study villages were mainly *Guragie* ethnic group engaged in subsistence farming. The majority of houses were made of iron roofs with mud walls while few houses were made of thatch roofs and mud walls. Fentalie district is an area situated in the upper and middle awash valley (700 m a.s.l.) about 190 km eastwards from Addis Ababa. Three villages about 2 km from Metehara town called *Gelcha*, *Busie* and *Algie* villages were selected for the study. According to the census of 1994, the population of Fentalie district was estimated to be 60,648.

Population of the villages were mainly *Oromo* ethnic group engaged in raising cattle and subsistence farming of maize and sorghum. Houses are made of thatch roofs with mud walls.

Both districts are moderately malarious and PermaNet® nets were distributed to malarious villages of Buie and Fentalie districts in addition to one round of indoor residual spraying of DDT by the District Health offices (Personal communication, District Health office). Distribution of PermaNet® was carried out in 2005 and 2006 season by the Districts Health Offices.

Study design and sampling methods

Selection of the two districts was based on history of distribution of LLINs and variation on housing construction and ethnic background of residents that may affect usage of nets. Villages were selected based on accessibility and proximity to the district capital. In each village, three or more clusters of houses were selected. A sample size of 20 households was considered and every 2nd households were sampled from a reference point of the clusters for interviewing. All households selected had received one or more PermaNet® nets and if a household chosen did not have PermaNet®, the next household was selected.

Data collection, management and analysis

Data were collected in the months of July and August, 2008. Data on the interview were collected by the authors using locally recruited interpreters. Household heads or their representatives were interviewed. The major variables included in the questionnaire were the availability of nets in households, number of nets used in households, number of nets damaged or have holes and utilization of nets by hanging inside houses. Data entered into SPSS 14 software was cleaned by cross checking with responses obtained from the questionnaire. Appropriate analysis was made using SPSS 14 software.

Bio-efficacy test

Data collection on the bio-efficacy was done using susceptible colony of *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes to deltamethrin according to WHO cone bioassay [12]. The test was carried out using mosquitoes reared in the laboratory by allowing adult female mosquitoes to feed on guinea pigs and eggs collected on moist filter paper were immersed in a bowl of distilled water, until they hatch into larvae. The colony was maintained under laboratory condition by feeding larvae with bakers yeast at a temperature of 26°C.

Two- to three-day old, non blood-fed, female *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes were exposed for three minutes on a used PermaNet® collected from villages according to the standard WHO test procedures [12]. Knock-down results were obtained by counting the number of mosquitoes that

were lying down after 60 minutes of exposure. Mortality of mosquitoes was also recorded after a 24-hour holding period. Control mortality was checked by exposing mosquitoes to untreated nets (SAFI NET produced by A to Z manufacturers) of equal replicates were used as controls. When control mortality was between 5–20%, mortality was corrected by Abbott's formula. Tests were repeated when the control mortality was more than 20%. A total of eight used nets collected from each of the villages was used for the bio-efficacy study. The owners of nets were provided with new nets as replacements.

Results

Retention of PermaNet® nets

A total of 119 household owners were interviewed during the study while one of the house owner was unavailable. The rate of retention of nets that were distributed in 2005 and 2006 season was 72% (Table 1). Among the respondents, 42% and 46.2% had one or two PermaNet® nets inside their households (Table 2). Since distribution a total of 60.5% the nets had been damaged by having holes as shown in Table 2.

Utilization

A total of 64.7% of the interviewees have reported that they sleep under nets every night (Table 3). This was later confirmed by observations of the nets that were hanged inside houses and 50.4% of the households were observed with hanged nets inside houses (Table 3). Regarding knowledge of household heads on the use of nets, 82.4% of respondents claimed that they use the nets for protection from mosquito bites (Table 4). Only 14.3% of the respondents had the knowledge that their nets would protect them from malaria. A total of 62.2% of households also claimed that their children slept under nets during the previous night (Table 4).

Bio-efficacy

Table 3 shows results of the bioassay tests. The percentage of mean knock down after 1 hr exposure was 94% and 100%, while mean mortality result after 24 hr holding

period was 72.2% and 67% for Buie and Fentalie districts respectively. No knock-down effect was observed for the control replicates.

Discussion

In malaria endemic countries, the use of insecticide-treated nets is being promoted as an effective method for reducing malaria transmission risk [13]. However the efficacy of treated nets or LLIN, such as PermaNet® nets, for malaria control depends on user acceptability, physical lifespan and bioavailability of insecticide on nets among others [14]. Unlike many African countries, the distribution of nets for free or using social marketing has only been introduced recently in Ethiopia, through WHO, UNICEF, NGOs and Ministry of Health [15]. Utilization of nets from responses of household heads and observation of hanged net inside houses was satisfactory. However, low utilization of nets in urban and peri-urban communities was reported in Ethiopia [15]. Hassan *et al* [16] have reported low utilization of nets by target groups in east Sudan compared to the rate of utilization of nets in nearby countries, such as Eritrea [17]. The study revealed that knowledge of residents' on the purposes of using nets was very high. Although educational status of households was not addressed in the present study, the effect of education on increased use of nets was reported [16].

A moderately high retention of LLIN by owners of nets was observed which is comparable to the report of Hassan *et al* [16] for east Sudan. Although the authors have observed nets that were torn apart and hanged on cattle enclosures, residents in general have a high regard for LLIN as a malaria prevention tool. Some of the residents explained loss and damage of nets they were given saying that the smell of the insecticide and effect on bedbugs and cockroaches had diminished after one year of usage and as a consequence they felt it is not effective for killing mosquitoes. Previous studies also indicated the impact of nets on nuisance insects such as bedbugs and fleas as factors that influence the use of nets by owners. [18].

Table 1: Status of retention of PermaNet® nets in Buie and Fentalie districts, July 2008

Number of nets	Number of households received	Total number of nets	Nets remaining	Number of households with nets	Total number of nets remaining
1	28	28	1	50	50
2	76	152	2	55	110
3	13	39	3	1	3
4	2	8	4	0	0
<i>Total</i>	119	227	<i>Total</i>	119	163

Table 2: PermaNet® nets that were observed to have holes among respondents of Buie and Fentalie districts

Status of nets	Number (%)
Without holes	47 (39.5)
1 net damaged	44 (37.0)
2 nets damaged	26 (21.8)
3 nets damaged	2 (1.7)

Table 3: Usage of nets in households and bioassay test results in Buie and Fentalie districts

Frequency of use	Number (%)	Status of net usage	Number (%)
Always	77 (64.7)	Hanged	60 (50.4)
Sometimes	42 (35.3)	Not hanged	44(37.0)
		No net	13(10.9)
		Unknown	2(1.7)
Bioassay test results	District	Mean KD	Mean mortality
	Buie	94%	72.15%
	Fentalie	100%	67.0%

Table 4: knowledge of respondents on the purpose of using nets and age groups sleeping under nets in Buie and Fentalie districts

Purpose of using nets	Number (%)	Sleeping under net	
		Age group	Number (%)
Protect from malaria	17 (14.3)	< 5 year	74 (62.2)
Protect from mosquito bite	98 (82.4)	> 5 year	30 (25.2)
Not known	4 (3.4)	unknown	1 (0.8)

One of the key parameters to assess effectiveness of nets is to evaluate them under varying eco-cultural as well as epidemiological settings [14]. The bio-efficacy of PermaNet® nets was evaluated using the WHO criteria of 95% knock down and >80% mortality rates from the bioassay after 24 hrs [9,10]. Accordingly the observed mean knock down after 1 hr exposure was highly satisfactory. Possible explanations for the observed moderately low bio-efficacy results in this study could be: 1) some of the nets that were evaluated were distributed in 2005, which implies that the nets were in use for more than three years by the time they were collected for the bioassay. 2) decline of deltamethrin available on the surface of the nets due to repeated washing and, deposit of dust and soot because residents of the villages carry out both cooking and sleeping in the same room [19,20]. Considering that the nets are expected to be effective for three years, it is reasonable to conclude that the nets were effective in preventing mosquito bites based on knock-down and exposure mortality results. Similarly, the superior performance of PermaNet® nets is reported in a multi-country study carried out by Graham *et al* [14] and Kroeger *et al* [21]. Kroeger *et al* [21] have concluded that PermaNet® nets continued to be effective for at least three years from the bioassay results carried out on *Anopheles nuneztovari* and *Anopheles rangeli* mosquitoes and indicated that 80% mortality could be achieved with 3–5 mg deltamethrin/m².

Though the present study reports a moderately high retention and effectiveness of nets, it should be worth noting that a comprehensive country-wide assessment on the retention rate and efficacy of PermaNet® nets needs to be carried out before making any generalization on the effectiveness of PermaNet® nets.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MF has participated in the designing of the study, data collection, analysis and drafting of the manuscript. MB participated in the designing of the study, data collection and revision of the manuscript. CG participated in data collection and bio-efficacy of the study. All authors' have approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Our gratitude goes to Dr Teshome Gibremichael for discussion and comments during the design of the study, and Ato Zerihun Assefa and Ato Wossen who helped in the bio-efficacy experiment. The authors would like to thank Buie and Fentalie District Health Offices and inhabitants of the study villages who participated in the interview. We wish to thank the MIHRET program of Howard University, Washington DC, USA that supported the visit by CG to Ethiopia and made financial support to the study.

References

- Gebremariam N: **Malaria**. In *The Ecology of Health & Disease in Ethiopia* 1st edition. Edited by: Zein Ahmed Zein, Kloos H. Addis Ababa: Ministry of Health; 1988:136-150.
- Tulu AN: **Malaria**. In *The Ecology of Health & Disease in Ethiopia* 2nd edition. Edited by: Zein A Zein, Kloos H. Boulder: West view press; 1993:341-352.
- Fontaine RE, Najjar AE, Prince JS: **The 1958 malaria epidemic in Ethiopia**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1961, **10**:795-803.
- Abeku TA, Oortmarsen GV, de Vlas SJ, Habbema JD: **Spatial and temporal variations of malaria epidemic risk in Ethiopia: factors involved and implications**. *Acta Tropica* 2003, **87**:331-340.
- White GB, Tesfaye F, Boreham PFL, Lemma G: **Malaria vector capacity of *Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus* in Ethiopia: chromosomal interpretation after 6 years storage of field preparations**. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1980, **74**:683-4.
- World Health Organization: **Malaria vector control and personal protection**. Geneva 2006.
- Alonso PL, Lindsay SW, Armstrong JR, Konteh M, Hill AG, David PH, Fegan G, Defranco A, Hall AG, Shenton FC, Cham K, Greenwood BM: **The effect of insecticide treated nets on the mortality of Gambian children**. *Lancet* 1991, **337(8756)**:1499-1502.
- Lengler C: **Insecticide treated bednets and curtains for preventing malaria**. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000:CD000363.
- Curtis CF, Lines J, Carnevale P, Robert V: **Impregnated bednets and curtains against malaria mosquitoes**. In *Appropriate technology in vector control* Edited by: Curtis CF. Boca Raton: CRC press; 1990:5-46.
- Curtis CF, Myamba J, Wilkes TJ: **Comparison of different insecticide and fabrics for anti-mosquito bednets and curtains**. *Med Vet Ento* 1996, **10**:1-11.
- World Health Organization: **Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of long lasting insecticidal mosquito nets**. Geneva 2005.
- World Health Organization: **Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors, bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticide treated surfaces**. Geneva 1997.
- Takken W: **Do insecticide treated bednets have an effect on malaria vectors?** *Trop Med Int Health* 2002, **7**:1022-1030.
- Graham K, Kayedi MH, Maxwell C, Kaur H, Rehman H, Malima R, Curtis CF, Lines JD, Rowland MW: **Multi-country field trials comparing wash-resistance of PermaNet and conventional insecticide-treated nets against anopheline and culicine mosquitoes**. *Med Vet Ento* 2005, **19**:72-83.
- Jima D, Tesfaye G, Deressa W, Woyessa A, Kebede D, Alamirew D: **Baseline survey for the implementation of insecticide treated mosquito nets in malaria control in Ethiopia**. *Ethiop J Health Dev* 2005, **19**:16-23.
- Hassan SH, Malik EM, Okoued SI, Eltayab EM: **Retention and efficacy of long-lasting insecticide treated nets distributed in eastern Sudan: a two-step community-based study**. *Malaria J* 2008, **7**:85.
- Eisele T, Macintyre K, Yukich J, Ghebremeskel T: **Interpreting household survey data intended to measure insecticide-treated bednet coverage: results from two surveys in Eritrea**. *Malaria J* 2006, **5**:36.
- Stephens C, Masamu ET, Kiama MG, Keto AG, Kinenekejo M, Ichimori K, Lines J: **Knowledge of mosquito in relation to public and domestic control activities in the cities of Dar es Salaam and Tanga**. *Bull World Health Org* 1995, **73**:97-104.
- Mahama T, Etang JD, Carnevale P, Chandre F: **Effectiveness of PermaNet in Cote d'Ivoire rural areas and residual activity on a knock down-resistant strain of *Anopheles gambiae***. *J Med Ento* 2007, **44**:498-502.
- N'Guessen R, Darriet F, Doannio JMC, Chandre F, Carnevale P: **Olyset net efficacy against pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* after 3 years field use in Cote d'Ivoire**. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2001, **15(1)**:97-104.
- Kroeger A, Skovmand O, Phan QC, Boewono DT: **Combined field and laboratory evaluation of long-term impregnated bednet, PermaNet**. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2004, **98**:152-155.