



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009 April ; 18(4): 1290–1294. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0327.

Replication of the 10q11 and Xp11 Prostate Cancer Risk Variants: Results from a Utah pedigree-based Study

Nicola J. Camp, James M. Farnham, Jathine Wong, G. Bryce Christensen, Alun Thomas, and Lisa A. Cannon-Albright

Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Utah, USA

Abstract

A recent genome-wide association (GWA) study suggested seven new loci as associated with prostate cancer (PRCA) susceptibility. The strongest associated SNP in each region was identified (rs2660753, rs9364554, rs6465657, rs10993994, rs7931342, rs2735839, rs5945619). We studied these seven SNPs in a replication study consisting of 169 familial PRCA cases selected from Utah high-risk PRCA pedigrees and 805 controls. We performed subset analyses for aggressive and early onset PRCA. At a nominal significance level, two SNPs were found to be associated with PRCA: rs10993994 on chromosome 10q11 (odds ratio (OR) = 1.42 [95% confidence interval (CI), 1.05–1.90], $p=0.022$); and rs5945619 on chromosome Xp11 (OR = 1.54 [95% CI, 1.03–2.31], $p=0.035$). Restricting analysis to familial PRCA cases with aggressive disease yielded very similar risk estimates at both SNPs. However, subset analysis for familial, early onset disease indicated highly significant association evidence and substantially higher risk estimates for rs10993994 (OR = 2.20 [95% CI, 1.48–3.27], $p<0.0001$). This result suggests that the higher risk estimates from the stage 1 cohort in the original study for rs10993994 may have been due to the early-onset and familial nature of the PRCA cases in that cohort. In conclusion, in a small case-control study of PRCA cases from Utah high-risk pedigrees, we have significantly replicated association of PRCA with rs10993994 (10q11) upon study-wide correction for multiple comparisons. We also nominally replicated the association of PRCA with rs5945619 (Xp11). In particular, it appears that the susceptibility locus at 10q11 maybe involved in familial, early onset disease.

Keywords

Prostate Cancer; Genetic Risk

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PRCA) is the most common non-cutaneous cancer in men in the US, with an estimated 234,460 new cases and 27,350 deaths in the US in 2006 (1). There is strong evidence for a genetic component to PRCA (2,3), although identifying susceptibility variants has proven difficult (4). In search of lower penetrance susceptibility variants, genome-wide association (GWA) studies for PRCA are being pursued, and already some highly robust and replicated association results have been reported (5,6). Beyond these first few initial successes, further susceptibility variants are believed to exist. A recent PRCA GWA study has implicated seven new loci (7). To increase power, stage I of this GWA study focused on clinically diagnosed disease in addition to early onset (diagnosis ≤ 60 years) or a positive family history, and compared to population-screened controls (prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels < 0.5 ng/ml) aged ≥ 50 years. A second stage replication was also performed. The design for the second stage was less stringent, including a mixture of early onset, familial and population-based cases, and a mixture of cancer-free, PSA < 10 ng/ml, and population-based controls. Seven single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were found to replicate and to be independently significant on chromosomes 3p12, 6q25, 7q21, 10q11, 11q13, 19q13 and Xp11 (combined two-stage p-values ranged from 2.7×10^{-8} to 8.7×10^{-29}).

We studied two sets of cases and controls, including PRCA cases selected from Utah high-risk prostate cancer pedigrees, to examine the association between each of the identified seven SNPs and PRCA. Both sets of cases and controls included cases selected from high-risk PRCA pedigrees (those with significant excess disease). In set 1, one PRCA case was selected from each of 142 high-risk pedigrees and controls were independent individuals that were cancer-free and matched to the cases based on sex and birth cohort. Set 1 is most similar to the Stage 2 design of Eeles (7) which can be important for replication studies. Set 2 included related PRCA cases belonging to two very large pedigrees and a set of 'general' controls that were genotyped on the same platform. This second design not only supplements the power of set 1, but also can be used to assess segregation of alleles through the pedigrees. Early PRCA diagnosis and aggressive PRCA analyses were also considered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

The Utah PRCA resource consists of a set of high-risk pedigrees. Each high-risk pedigree is a cluster of PRCA cases identified in the Utah Population Database (UPDB) (8) that was selected for study due to a statistical excess of PRCA. The UPDB combines an extensive genealogy consisting of Utah pioneers and up to 10 generations of their descendants (~2.2 million individuals), with cancer diagnosis data from the Utah Cancer Registry (UCR). The UCR is a Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results registry that contains all independent primary cancers diagnosed or treated in the state of Utah, with a malignant or in situ behavior code, since 1966.

Two sets of cases and controls were used. The first set consisted of 142 PRCA cases, one selected from each of 142 previously ascertained high-risk PRCA pedigrees, and 147 frequency matched controls. The controls were cancer-free according to the UCR and self-report, and matched for sex (male) and 5-year birth cohort. Controls were selected to be marry-in individuals in non-PRCA high-risk pedigrees; that is, not an ancestral founder or part of the related cluster of individuals. The second set consisted of 27 PRCA cases contained in two very large extended pedigrees previously genotyped for shared genomic segment analysis (9) using the Illumina HumanHap550 chip that includes all seven variants of interest; both pedigrees were 6 generations deep, the first contained 6 PRCA cases separated by 23 meioses, the second pedigree contained 21 PRCA cases separated by 68 meioses, the average relatedness between pairs in pedigrees was approximately that of second cousins (average coefficient of kinship of 0.019 and 0.014). Controls for set 2 were 658 males selected from the iControlDB (a database of controls provided by Illumina). These controls are unknown for cancer-status. All individuals studied were Caucasian.

Our study is small, although enriched for familial disease, and power must be considered when interpreting our findings. Based on the combined sample size ($N=169$), average control allele frequencies and risk sizes from stage I of Eeles et al (7), power to detect nominally significant evidence ($p \leq 0.05$) was estimated to be good for rs10993994, rs5945619 and rs2735839 (98%, 90% and 78%, respectively), moderate for rs646567 and rs7931342 (both 61%) and low for rs9364554 and rs2660753 (49% and 40%, respectively).

This study was approved by the appropriate University of Utah institutional review board and informed consent was obtained from all human subjects ascertained at the University of Utah.

For individuals in the iControlDB, all necessary approvals, consents, and authorizations were obtained by the submitting institutions.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood buffy coats using PUREGENE DNA isolation kits (Genra Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Five PRCA cases from set 1 were dropped due to poor quality DNA. Genotyping for set 1 was carried out using fluorescent-based TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Reaction volumes of 5 mL were used, including 20 ng of DNA, TaqMan Universal Master mix and the SNP assay mix. SNPs genotyped were: rs2660753, rs9364554, rs6465657, rs10993994, rs7931342, rs2735839, and rs5945619. The PCR reactions were performed on a GeneAmp 9700 (Applied Biosystems) with the following protocol: 10 minutes at 95°C and then 40 cycles of 15s at 92°C and 1 min at 60°C. The SNP assays were analyzed on a 7900HT and alleles were called using Sequence Detection System version 2.3. For set 2, genotyping was performed as a service by deCode Genetics using the Illumina Sentrix® HumanHap550 BeadChip technology.

Statistical Analysis

All subjects in set 1 (both cases and controls) were selected to avoid relatedness. However, because all high-risk pedigrees are small subsets from the UPDB, we used the UPDB to identify any relationships between studied individuals (back 10 generations). Due to the existence of familial relationships between some study subjects, all analyses were carried out using Genie (10,11), a software that provides valid statistical tests for related individuals using Monte Carlo testing. Briefly, an empirical null distribution is generated from a large number of null simulations and used to determine an empirical significance level for the statistical test. Here we used 10,000 simulations for the null distribution. We performed tests for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) for each SNP, single-study Cochran-Armitage trend tests and meta-analysis Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel chi-squared trend tests, and single-study per allele ORs and meta-analysis Mantel-Haenszel per allele ORs with 95% CIs. Cochran's Q statistic was used to test for homogeneity of the per allele ORs across the two case-control sets. For rs5945619 at Xp11, an allele test was performed in place of the trend test, since for male-only analyses on the X chromosome there are only two genetic groups based on the two SNP alleles. We repeated analyses for subsets of aggressive disease and early onset PRCA. As in Christensen et al (12), cases were required to meet at least one of the following criteria in order to be classified as aggressive: 1) regional lymph nodes or distant metastasis; 2) poorly differentiated or undifferentiated grade (Gleason sum 8–10); or 3) death due to metastatic prostate cancer, confirmed by death certificate. Early onset was defined to be diagnosis of disease at ≤ 65 years of age. In the combined sets, 60% of PRCA cases had regional or distant disease, 15% of tumors were poorly differentiated or undifferentiated, and the mean age at diagnosis was 68.4 yrs.

We considered a nominal significance threshold of 0.05, and a study-wide significance threshold of 0.001 to represent 7 SNPs, 2 case-control sets and 3 PRCA phenotype definitions.

RESULTS

Genetic relationships were found between 59 cases and 14 controls in set 1; however, these were all quite distant, as expected. The average pair-wise relationship was approximately third cousins (~8 meioses between any pair); compared to the average relatedness in set 2 of approximately second cousins (~6 meioses between any pair). No relationships were found for the remaining 216 individuals in set 1, and the 658 controls in set 2 are also unrelated. For the subset analyses, 105 PRCA cases could be defined as aggressive and 73 as early onset.

The overall genotyping success rate was 99.75% and 99.98% for sets 1 and 2, respectively, with at most 3 individuals missing from any one analysis. No significant departures from HWE were observed (all $p > 0.1$). With one exception, the minor allele frequencies (MAFs) for all SNPs were within 0.05 of that previously reported (7). One SNP, rs9364554 in set 1 was slightly more discrepant (0.354 compared to 0.291), but given the small sample sizes and the limited power for this SNP (see Materials and Methods), none were significantly different.

Table 1 shows the Cochran-Armitage trend test results for our primary familial PRCA phenotype (all cases in our study are highly familial, but the primary PRCA phenotype is unselected for age at diagnosis or tumor aggression). As can be seen from table 1, two of the seven SNPs attained nominal evidence for association with PRCA, rs10993994 on chromosome 10 ($p = 0.0222$) and rs5945619 on chromosome X ($p = 0.0352$). For both these SNPs, the effect sizes estimated were in the same direction and of similar magnitude to that observed in stage 1 of the previous GWA, which included familial and/or early onset PRCA cases (7). For example, an OR of 1.62 was previously estimated for rs10993994, compared to 1.59 observed here for set 1, and an OR of 1.46 previously for rs5945619 compared to 1.41 for set 1. All other SNPs were non-significant (all meta $p > 0.15$); however, it is of note that for rs2660753, rs6465657 and rs7931342 that the control MAFs and ORs were of similar magnitude in the same direction to that observed previously (7). Results for two SNPs, rs9364554 and rs2735839, showed no similarity to that previously reported. To match that presented by Eeles et al (7), for each autosomal SNP we additionally performed the general 2×3 contingency table test for independence (2df). All results were non-significant (data not shown). There was no evidence for heterogeneity across the two case-control sets (all $p \geq 0.15$ for test of homogeneity).

Table 2 shows the meta results for the subset analyses for PRCA cases selected for aggression or early age at diagnosis among both set 1 and 2 subjects. Similarly to the analyses for familial PRCA, the results for two SNPs (rs10993994 and rs5945619) were of interest. For the familial, aggressive subset analysis the results for rs10993994 and rs5945619 remained very consistent with that observed for familial PRCA, despite the decrease in number of cases considered in the analysis. For rs10993994, OR=1.42 (95% CI 1.05–1.90, $p = 0.022$) changed to OR=1.42 (1.05–1.90, $p = 0.0064$) and for rs5945619, OR=1.54 (1.03–2.31, $p = 0.035$) changed to OR=1.55 (0.97–2.49, $p = 0.070$). For the familial, early onset subset analysis, however, a substantial increase in OR to 2.20 (95% CI 1.48–3.27) was observed for rs10993994, and the meta p -value reached the minimum for the number of Monte Carlo simulations used in the analysis ($p < 0.0001$).

To follow-up the study-wide significant result for rs10993994 for early onset PRCA, we investigated evidence for a shared genomic segment (9) that may have segregated from a common ancestral founder to the early-onset PRCA cases in the two extended pedigrees in set 2. The early onset PRCA results for rs10993994 in set 1 and set 2 were both consistent with increased risk (per allele ORs 2.34 and 1.63 for sets 1 and 2, respectively). Evidence for such a shared segment identical by descent (IBD) could be used to delimit the locus. Surrounding rs10993994, only 6 consecutive SNPs were shared by all three early onset PRCA cases in one pedigree (separated by 14 meioses), and 9 flanking SNPs shared by 5 early onset PRCA cases in the second pedigree (separated by 19 meioses). These lengths of sharing are no more than would be expected by chance and does not suggest a segment of chromosome shared IBD.

DISCUSSION

We have performed a small replication study focusing on PRCA cases from high-risk PRCA pedigrees in Utah. At a nominal significance level, our study confirms the associations previously found for rs10993994 and rs5945619 at chromosomes 10q11 and Xp11 ($p = 0.022$

and $p=0.035$, respectively) (7). For three other SNPs, rs2660753, rs6465657 and rs7931342, our MAF and risk estimates, although not significant, were also consistent with that previously suggested. Risk estimates for rs10993994 and rs5945619 remained similar when PRCA cases were restricted to only those with aggressive disease. For familial, early onset disease, a study-wide significant association was identified for rs10993994 ($p<0.0001$).

Due to our ability to analyze pedigree-based samples using Genie (10,11), we were able to incorporate into our association study existing genotype data from two very large pedigrees. A benefit of this is the ability to follow the segregation of associated variants through the pedigrees. For rs10993994, we investigated the existence of a shared genomic segment IBD in the early-onset PRCA cases of two large pedigrees, but found no evidence of such. This is, perhaps, the expected outcome for common, moderate risk variants.

It is of note that of the seven variants identified by Eeles et al (7), each of the two that we are able to replicate here (rs10993994 and rs5945619) were independently identified as best findings in other recent genome-wide studies (13,14). Variant rs5945572, approximately 12kb p-ter and in strong linkage disequilibrium with rs5945619 (r^2 of 0.91), was the most significant finding on the X chromosome in a GWA analysis of Icelanders (14). Variant rs10993994 was the most significant finding in both the Eeles et al (7) and the Thomas et al (13) GWA studies, with an estimated effect size second in magnitude only to those found at 8q24 in both.

Lines of evidence, including from our study, are beginning to appear to suggest that enriching for familial disease and early age at diagnosis may increase power to detect the association for rs10993994. Our result was highly significant ($p<0.0001$) for familial, early onset disease (diagnosis ≤ 65 years), with a per allele OR=2.20 (95% CI 1.48–3.27), compared to OR=1.42 (95% CI 1.05–1.90) for familial PRCA only. This is consistent with what has previously been observed. The GWA phase of the Eeles et al (7) study (stage 1) focused on PRCA cases with either a family history or early onset. The risk estimate for stage 1 was a per allele OR of 1.62 (95% CI 1.47–1.78). The stage 2 population-based sample estimated the same risk to be 1.25 (95% CI 1.17–1.34). Supplemental material from Eeles et al (7) showed that when stage 2 was stratified by age at diagnosis, a linear trend was observed with the highest risk for rs10993994 exhibited in the earliest cohort 1.36 (95% CI 1.18–1.57 for diagnosis < 55 years) and lowest in the oldest cohort 1.17 (95% CI 1.04–1.31, for diagnosis ≥ 70 years), although the trend was not statistically significant. In the GWA study of Thomas et al (13), PRCA cases were not selected for family history, and the risk estimate was a heterozygous OR=1.24 (95% CI 1.10–1.39). Our higher risk estimates for familial and early onset PRCA suggest that the higher risk estimates also observed for the phase 1 Eeles et al (7) study are due to the PRCA characteristics that were enriched for (family history and early onset), rather than an initial over-estimate of the risk size.

While the value of this study is the strong familial nature of the cases, the clear limitation is the limited sample size and low power for several of the SNPs studied. It is of note that the two SNPs replicated with nominal evidence were those with the most power (both $\geq 90\%$). The negative results for other SNPs should be considered in light of the more limited power for analyses at those loci.

In conclusion, in a small case-control sample containing PRCA cases from Utah high-risk pedigrees, we have significantly replicated variants rs10993994 (10q11) and rs5945619 (Xp11). In particular, it appears that the susceptibility locus at 10q11 maybe involved in familial, early onset disease.

Acknowledgments

Financial Support and Other Acknowledgements:

Special thanks to the Utah high risk prostate pedigree members, to Study Coordinators and Laboratory Specialist Kim Nguyen. Thanks also to Dr. Rosalind Eeles and the PRACTICAL consortium for providing SNP assays for all seven variants. Data collection and analysis for this publication was supported by NIH National Cancer Institute grant R01 CA90752 (to L.A. Cannon-Albright), a subcontract from Johns Hopkins University with funds provided by grant R01 CA89600 from the NIH National Cancer Institute (to L.A. Cannon-Albright), and NIH K07 CA 98364 (to N.J. Camp). Research was supported by the Utah Cancer Registry, which is funded by contract N01-PC-35141 from the National Cancer Institute's SEER program with additional support from the Utah State Department of Health and the University of Utah. Partial support for all datasets within the Utah Population Database was provided by the University of Utah Huntsman Cancer Institute. Some research support was also supported by the University of Utah General Clinical Research Center, funded by M01-RR00064. Bryce Christensen is a NLM informatics training fellow supported by NLM T15 LM07124.

References

1. Jemal A, Siegal R, Ward E, et al. CA Cancer J Clin 2006;56:106–130. [PubMed: 16514137]
2. Ahlbom A, Lichtenstein P, Malmstrom H, Feychting M, Hemminki K, Pedersen NL. Cancer in twins: genetic and non-genetic familial risk factors. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997;89:287–93. [PubMed: 9048832]
3. Cannon-Albright LA, Thomas A, Goldgar DE, et al. Familiality of cancer in Utah. Cancer Res 1994;54(9):2378–85. [PubMed: 8162584]
4. Schaid DJ. The complex genetic epidemiology of prostate cancer. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13 Spec No 1:R103–21. [PubMed: 14749351]
5. Yeager M, Orr N, Hayes RB, et al. Genome-wide association study of prostate cancer identifies a second risk locus at 8q24. Nat Genet 2007;39(5):645–9. [PubMed: 17401363]
6. Gudmundsson J, Sulem P, Steinthorsdottir V, et al. Two variants on chromosome 17 confer prostate cancer risk, and the one in TCF2 protects against type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 2007;39(8):977–83. [PubMed: 17603485]
7. Eeles RA, Kote-Jarai Z, Giles GG, et al. Multiple newly identified loci associated with prostate cancer susceptibility. Nat Genet 2008;40(3):316–21. [PubMed: 18264097]
8. Skolnick, M. The Utah genealogical data base: a resource for genetic epidemiology. In: Cairns, J.; Lyon, J.L.; Skolnick, M., et al., editors. Banbury Report No 4: Cancer Incidence in Defined Populations. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; New York: 1980. p. 285-297.
9. Thomas A, Camp NJ, Farnham JM, Allen-Brady K, Cannon-Albright LA. Shared genomic segment analysis. Mapping disease predisposition genes in extended pedigrees using SNP genotype assays. Ann Hum Genet 2008;72(Pt 2):279–87. [PubMed: 18093282]
10. Allen-Brady K, Wong J, Camp NJ. PedGenie: an analysis approach for genetic association testing in extended pedigrees and genealogies of arbitrary size. BMC Bioinformatics 2006;7:209. [PubMed: 16620382]
11. Curtin K, Wong J, Allen-Brady K, Camp NJ. PedGenie: meta genetic association testing in mixed family and case-control designs. BMC Bioinformatics 2007;8:448. [PubMed: 18005446]
12. Christensen GB, Camp NJ, Farnham JM, Cannon-Albright LA. Genomewide Linkage Analysis for Aggressive Prostate Cancer in High-Risk Utah Pedigrees. Prostate 2007;67(6):605–13. [PubMed: 17299800]
13. Thomas G, Jacobs KB, Yeager M, et al. Multiple loci identified in a genome-wide association study of prostate cancer. Nat Genet 2008;40(3):310–5. [PubMed: 18264096]
14. Gudmundsson J, Sulem P, Rafnar T, et al. Common sequence variants on 2p15 and Xp11. 22 confer susceptibility to prostate cancer. Nat Genet 2008;40(3):281–3. [PubMed: 18264098]

Table 1

Association results for familial PRCA.

SNP	Position *	Results from Prior GWA [†] (7)	Case-Control set	MAF		Per allele OR (95% CI)	p-value	
				Controls	Cases		Trend [§]	Homogeneity p-value #
rs2660753	3p12 87,193,364	1.52 (1.30–1.77) 1.18 (1.06–1.31) 2.7 × 10 ⁻⁸	Set 1	0.112	0.130	1.18 (0.71–1.98)	0.5196	
			Set 2	0.133	0.148	1.33 (0.55–2.35)	0.7729	
			Combined**			1.17 (0.76–1.80)	0.4768	0.9268
rs9364554	6q25 160,753,654	1.28 (1.16–1.41) 1.17 (1.08–1.26) 5.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	Set 1	0.354	0.327	0.89 (0.62–1.27)	0.5227	
			Set 2	0.262	0.352	1.50 (0.81–2.81)	0.2019	
			Combined			1.02 (0.74–1.39)	0.9214	0.1484
rs6465657	7q21 97,654,263	1.30 (1.19–1.43) 1.12 (1.19–1.20) 1.1 × 10 ⁻⁹	Set 1	0.401	0.430	1.12 (0.81–1.57)	0.4769	
			Set 2	0.441	0.556	1.59 (0.87–2.88)	0.1281	
			Combined			1.23 (0.92–1.65)	0.1515	0.3184
rs10993994	10q11 51,219,502	1.62 (1.47–1.78) 1.25 (1.17–1.34) 8.7 × 10 ⁻²⁹	Set 1	0.347	0.457	1.59 (1.14–2.22)	0.0074	
			Set 2	0.434	0.444	1.04 (0.58–1.88)	0.8935	
			Combined			1.42 (1.05–1.90)	0.0222	0.2357
rs7931342	11q13 68,751,073	0.79 (0.72–0.86) 0.84 (0.79–0.90) 1.7 × 10 ⁻¹²	Set 1	0.459	0.458	0.99 (0.71–1.40)	0.9785	
			Set 2	0.466	0.389	0.73 (0.40–1.35)	0.3078	
			Combined			0.92 (0.68–1.23)	0.5709	0.3858
rs2735839	19q13 56,056,435	0.56 (0.50–0.64) 0.83 (0.75–0.91) 1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁸	Set 1	0.136	0.141	1.05 (0.65–1.68)	0.8916	
			Set 2	0.157	0.241	1.70 (0.83–3.46)	0.1367	
			Combined			1.22 (0.81–1.82)	0.3374	0.2634
rs5945619	Xp11 51,258,412	1.46 (1.28–1.66) 1.19 (1.07–1.31) 1.5 × 10 ⁻⁹	Set 1	0.370	0.453	1.41 (0.88–2.27)	0.1549	
			Set 2	0.353	0.519	1.98 (0.86–4.57)	0.1023	
			Combined			1.54 (1.03–2.31)	0.0352	0.5016

* Chromosome and bp position (build 36);

[†]OR (95% CI) for the first and second stage, and the combined p-value as shown in Table 1 of Eeles et al (7);

[§]Cochran-Armitage trend test and the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel meta trend test (except for the X chromosome SNP where an allele test has been performed);

^{//}Cochran Q test for homogeneity of the per allele ORs across the two Utah case-control sets;

** combined total of 169 familial PRCA cases.

Table 2

Results for Aggressive and Early Onset PRCA subset analyses.

SNP	Aggressive PRCA [*]		Early Onset PRCA [†]	
	Per allele OR (95% CI) [‡]	Trend p-value [§]	Per allele OR (95% CI)	Trend p-value
rs2660753	1.17 (0.76–1.80)	0.8288	1.33 (0.78–2.28)	0.3138
rs9364554	1.02 (0.74–1.39)	0.7546	0.96 (0.63–1.46)	0.8349
rs6465657	1.23 (0.92–1.65)	0.5661	1.24 (0.84–1.81)	0.2639
rs10993994	1.42 (1.05–1.90)	0.0064	2.20 (1.48–3.27)	<0.0001
rs7931342	0.92 (0.68–1.23)	0.4594	0.89 (0.60–1.32)	0.5792
rs2735839	1.22 (0.81–1.82)	0.5033	1.04 (0.62–1.74)	0.8976
rs5945619	1.55 (0.97–2.49)	0.0697	1.46 (0.85–2.49)	0.1779

^{*} Aggressive PRCA was defined as: 1) regional lymph nodes or distant metastasis; 2) poorly differentiated or undifferentiated grade (Gleason sum 8–10); or 3) death due to metastatic prostate cancer, confirmed by death certificate. Combined total for familial, aggressive PRCA was 105;

[†] Early onset was defined as diagnosis of disease at ≤ 65 years of age. Combined total for familial, early onset PRCA was 73;

[‡] Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel meta OR across both case-control sets;

[§] Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel meta trend test (except for the X chromosome SNP where an allele test has been performed).