Loss of the Transit Peptide and an Increase in Gene Expression of an Ancestral Chloroplastic Carbonic Anhydrase Were Instrumental in the Evolution of the Cytosolic C₄ Carbonic Anhydrase in *Flaveria*^{1[C][OA]}

Sandra K. Tanz², Sasha G. Tetu³, Nicole G.F. Vella, and Martha Ludwig*

Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales 2109, Australia (S.K.T., S.G.T., N.G.F.V.); and School of Biomedical, Biomolecular, and Chemical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia (M.L.)

 C_4 photosynthesis has evolved multiple times from ancestral C_3 species. Carbonic anhydrase (CA) catalyzes the reversible hydration of CO_2 and is involved in both C_3 and C_4 photosynthesis; however, its roles and the intercellular and intracellular locations of the majority of its activity differ between C_3 and C_4 plants. To understand the molecular changes underlying the evolution of the C_4 pathway, three cDNAs encoding distinct β -CAs (CA1, CA2, and CA3) were isolated from the leaves of the C_3 plant *Flaveria pringlei*. The phylogenetic relationship of the *F. pringlei* proteins with other embryophyte β -CAs was reconstructed. Gene expression and protein localization patterns showed that *CA1* and *CA3* demonstrate high expression in leaves and their products localize to the chloroplast, while *CA2* expression is low in all organs examined and encodes a cytosolic enzyme. The roles of the *F. pringlei* enzymes were considered in light of these results, other angiosperm β -CAs, and Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) "omics" data. All three *F. pringlei* CAs have orthologs in the closely related C_4 plant *Flaveria bidentis*, and comparisons of ortholog sequences, expression patterns, and intracellular locations of their products indicated that *CA1* and *CA2* have maintained their ancestral role in C_4 plants, whereas modifications to the C_3 *CA3* gene led to the evolution of the CA isoform that catalyzes the first step in the C_4 photosynthetic pathway. These changes included the loss of the chloroplast transit peptide and an increase in gene expression, which resulted in the high levels of CA activity seen in the cytosol of C_4 mesophyll cells.

At least 45 independent origins of the C_4 photosynthetic pathway from the ancestral C_3 pathway have occurred within the angiosperms (Sage, 2004). The primary driver for the evolution of the pathway is believed to be the reduction in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations to near present-day levels, which were reached at the Oligocene/Miocene boundary about 24 million years ago, with other environmental factors

^[C] Some figures in this article are displayed in color online but in black and white in the print edition.

www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.109.137513

such as heat, aridity, and salinity also playing important roles in the development and expansion of the C_4 syndrome (Sage, 2004; Osborne and Beerling, 2006; Tipple and Pagani, 2007; Christin et al., 2008; Vicentini et al., 2008). The plants that evolved as a result of these selective pressures were able to concentrate CO₂ in their leaves because of an exquisite blend of anatomy and biochemistry (Hatch, 1987). In contrast, in this reduced atmospheric CO₂ world, C₃ plants showed losses in assimilated CO₂ and increased photorespiratory rates due to increased oxygenase activity of Rubisco. These responses were only exacerbated in hot, dry, high-light, and saline conditions, and consequently, C₃ plants were out-competed by C₄ species in such environments (Ehleringer and Monson, 1993; Long, 1999).

Unlike C_3 plants, in which all of the reactions of photosynthesis take place in leaf mesophyll cells, in most terrestrial C_4 plants, two cell types, the mesophyll cells and bundle sheath cells, are involved in the reactions of CO_2 assimilation. In C_4 leaves, the acquisition of atmospheric CO_2 occurs in the cytosol of mesophyll cells when the enzyme carbonic anhydrase (CA) converts CO_2 to bicarbonate, which is then used by the primary carboxylase of C_4 plants, phosphoenol-pyruvate carboxylase (PEPC; Hatch and Burnell, 1990), to form a C_4 acid. The four-carbon acid diffuses

¹ This work was supported by the Australian Research Council. S.K.T. was the recipient of an International Macquarie University Research Scholarship.

² Present address: ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia.

³ Present address: Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales 2109, Australia.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail mludwig@cyllene.uwa.edu.au.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Martha Ludwig (mludwig@cyllene.uwa.edu.au).

^[OA] Open Access articles can be viewed online without a subscription.

into a neighboring bundle sheath cell, where it is decarboxylated. Rubisco and the other enzymes of the photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle, located in the bundle sheath cell chloroplasts, reduce the released CO_2 and the remaining three-carbon compound diffuses back into the mesophyll cells, where it can undergo another round of the C_4 acid cycle. This combination of anatomical and biochemical characteristics, including the cell-specific expression of enzymes, results in an efficient CO_2 concentrating mechanism whereby the CO_2 level in the C_4 bundle sheath cells is up to 20 times that of the surrounding mesophyll cells and photorespiration is essentially eliminated (Jenkins et al., 1989).

The enzymes catalyzing the steps in the C_4 pathway are also present in C_3 plants, and several, including PEPC (Hermans and Westhoff, 1990; Kawamura et al., 1992; Gowik et al., 2006), NADP-malic enzyme (Rajeevan et al., 1991; Marshall et al., 1996; Drincovich et al., 1998; Lai et al., 2002; Tausta et al., 2002), and pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (Rosche et al., 1994; Imaizumi et al., 1997), have been examined in the context of C₄ evolution. Many of these studies (Hermans and Westhoff, 1990; Rajeevan et al., 1991; Rosche et al., 1994; Marshall et al., 1996; Drincovich et al., 1998; Lai et al., 2002) used members of the genus *Flaveria* as model organisms for understanding the steps involved in the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis, because this group of closely related plants contains individual species demonstrating $C_{3'}$, $C_{4'}$ or intermediate C_3 - C_4 photosynthesis, indicating that the evolution toward C₄ photosynthesis is ongoing in this genus (McKown et al., 2005). The results from several of these studies demonstrated that the genes encoding the enzymes important in C₄ photosynthesis evolved through the duplication of ancestral C3 genes followed by the neofunctionalization of one copy, which involved increased gene expression in specific organs and cell types (for review, see Monson, 2003). The other copies of the duplicated genes, which encode the C_3 forms of the enzymes and are involved in housekeeping functions, were maintained in the C₄ species and show levels of expression similar to those exhibited in C₃ species.

We are studying the enzyme CA (EC 4.2.1.1) in Flaveria species, which demonstrate different photosynthetic pathways, to gain insights into the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis (Ludwig and Burnell, 1995; Tetu et al., 2007). We have shown that a small multigene family encodes β -CA in the C₄ species *Flaveria bidentis* and that the expression patterns of three of its members are organ specific. One of the genes, CA3, encodes the cytosolic form of the enzyme that is highly expressed in leaves and is responsible for catalyzing the first step in the C₄ pathway, providing PEPC with bicarbonate in mesophyll cells (von Caemmerer et al., 2004; Tetu et al., 2007). F. bidentis CA2 is also a cytosolic enzyme; however, its cognate gene is expressed at similar levels in both photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic tissues and the enzyme probably supplies

bicarbonate to nonphotosynthetic forms of PEPC for anaplerotic functions (Tetu et al., 2007). *F. bidentis* also contains a chloroplastic β -CA, CA1, which is likely to be encoded by an ortholog of the ancestral C₃ gene whose protein product is the CA that is active in C₃ mesophyll chloroplasts (Poincelot, 1972; Jacobson et al., 1975; Tsuzuki et al., 1985), and may make up to 2% of soluble leaf protein in C₃ species (Okabe et al., 1984; Cowan, 1986).

Although CA is an abundant and active protein in C_3 plants, the number of CA isoforms encoded by a C_3 species and their intracellular locations have been determined only for Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Fabre et al., 2007). Furthermore, no unequivocal role has yet been assigned to any C_3 plant CA isoform. It has been suggested that C_3 chloroplastic β -CAs are responsible for maintaining adequate levels of CO₂ for Rubisco by facilitating the diffusion of CO₂ across the chloroplast envelope or through the rapid dehydration of bicarbonate to CO₂ (Reed and Graham, 1981; Cowan, 1986; Price et al., 1994). However, CA antisense and gene knockout studies have shown no significant impairment in CO₂ assimilation in mature plant leaves (Majeau et al., 1994; Price et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1996; Ferreira et al., 2008). Other work has suggested that chloroplastic β -CAs may have nonphotosynthetic roles, appearing to be involved in lipid biosynthesis (Hoang and Chapman, 2002) and disease resistance (Slaymaker et al., 2002; Restrepo et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009) in C_3 plants. Interestingly, levels of a chloroplastic β -CA and a cytosolic β -CA increased during greening of Arabidopsis seedlings, and while levels of transcripts encoding the cytosolic enzyme mirrored those of the protein, transcript abundance for the chloroplastic enzyme did not change (Wang et al., 2006). Cytosolic β -CAs of C₃ plants have been implicated in the provision of bicarbonate for nonphotosynthetic forms of PEPC (Fett and Coleman, 1994; Raven and Newman, 1994; Chollet et al., 1996).

Here, we show that β -*CA* orthologs of *F. bidentis CA1*, *CA2*, and *CA3* are present in the C₃ species *Flaveria pringlei* and that each *CA* gene exhibits organspecific expression patterns in this C₃ plant. We also report the intracellular location of the three CA isoforms, consider their probable physiological functions, and discuss these data in the context of the evolution of the C₄ photosynthetic pathway.

RESULTS

F. pringlei β -CA cDNAs

Western- and northern-blot analyses of a previous study suggested that a small multigene family codes for β -CA in *F. pringlei* (Ludwig and Burnell, 1995); however, only a single cDNA encoding the enzyme designated CA1 was isolated and described (GenBank accession no. U19737). cDNAs encoding two additional, distinct β -CA isoforms in *F. pringlei* leaf tissue,

named CA2 and CA3, were obtained in the present study using a RACE PCR approach with a *F. pringlei* leaf adaptor-ligated cDNA library and primers based on CA sequences from the closely related *F. bidentis* (GenBank accession nos. AY167112 and AY167113).

The *F. pringlei* CA2 cDNA isolated was 1,178 bp and encoded an open reading frame (ORF) of 843 bp, which was predicted to code for a polypeptide of 281 amino acids with a molecular mass of 30.7 kD. Two inframe, upstream stop codons at positions –6 and –84, relative to the proposed translation start site, were encoded in the 87-bp 5' noncoding sequence, while the 245-bp 3' noncoding sequence contained two putative polyadenylation signals, AATAAC and AATAAT (Dean et al., 1986), located 44 and 159 nucleotides from the proposed termination codon, respectively.

A 984-bp ORF was encoded by the *F. pringlei* CA3 cDNA, along with 32 and 141 bp of 5' and 3' noncoding sequence, respectively. An in-frame stop codon was found at position -6 relative to the proposed initiating Met, and a putative polyadenylation signal, AATAAA (Dean et al., 1986), was found at position 1,080 of the cDNA. The ORF was predicted to encode a polypeptide of 328 amino acids with a molecular mass of 35.2 kD.

All of the amino acids corresponding to the pea (*Pisum sativum*) β -CA active site residues, namely Gln-151, Asp-162, Arg-164, Phe-179, Val-184, Tyr-205, and Gly-224 (Kimber and Pai, 2000), are found in analogous positions in the *F. pringlei* CAs, except that Val-184 is replaced with an Ile residue in CA2, as is the case for *F. bidentis* CA2 (Fig. 1; Tetu et al., 2007). One His and two Cys residues, corresponding to His-220, Cys-160, and Cys-223 in the pea CA sequence and thought to coordinate the catalytic zinc ion (Provart et al., 1993; Bracey et al., 1994; Kimber and Pai, 2000), are also present in all of the *F. pringlei* CA sequences (Fig. 1).

A comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of the three F. pringlei CAs showed that CA2 shares 51% and 53% identity with CA1 and CA3, respectively, while the CA3 and CA1 polypeptides are 73% identical (Table I; Fig. 1). The deduced amino acid sequence of F. pringlei CA1 is 97% identical to that of the CA1 isoform from the C₄ species *F. bidentis* (Table I; Fig. 1; Ludwig and Burnell, 1995). A high level of sequence identity (93%) is also seen between *F. pringlei* and F. bidentis CA2 isoforms, while the CA3 proteins from the two species are 73% identical (Table I; Fig. 1). Higher sequence identity is seen between the two CA3 isoforms when they are compared over their shared region; the two sequences are 93% identical when the first 71 residues are excluded from the F. pringlei CA3 sequence.

Pairwise comparisons of the predicted *F. pringlei* CA amino acid sequences with those of the six β -CA isoforms identified in Arabidopsis (Fabre et al., 2007) showed that *F. pringlei* CA1 and CA3 share 66% sequence identity with At β CA1 from Arabidopsis, while *F. pringlei* CA2 shows the highest identity to At β CA4 (Table I). These relationships were supported in a phylogenetic tree constructed using the neighborjoining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and the predicted β -CA amino acid sequences from *F. pringlei*, *F.* bidentis, and five other dicots (Arabidopsis, Glycine max, Medicago truncatula, Populus trichocarpa, and Vitis vinifera), three monocots (Oryza sativa japonica, Sorghum bicolor, and Zea mays), a lycopod (Selaginella moellendorffii), and a moss (Physcomitrella patens) for which whole genome sequence information is known (Fig. 2). Midpoint rooting of this tree resolved the CA sequences into two major clusters, clades A and B. Clade A consists only of angiosperm CA sequences, with a dicot clade in which all of the Flaveria CA sequences cluster and a smaller monocot clade. Clade B contains the CA sequences from the primitive plants in addition to angiosperm CA sequences. The clustering of F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 sequences with those of F. bidentis indicates that these isoforms share a common ancestry and have resulted from a duplication event of the gene encoding CA1 after the divergence of *Flaveria* from the other dicots represented in this part of the tree, but before F. pringlei and F. bidentis diverged from one another. The two Arabidopsis CA sequences, At β CA1 and At β CA2, which show the greatest sequence identity of all the Arabidopsis CAs to F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 (Table I), are in the sister clade and are a result of a large segmental duplication of the Arabidopsis genome (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000). The CA2 sequences from *F. pringlei* and *F. bidentis* also cluster tightly; however, they are in a clade distinct from that containing the CA1 and CA3 sequences. Two Arabidopsis sequences, AtBCA3 and AtBCA4, which have also arisen through the duplication of a large segment of the Arabidopsis genome (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000), cluster with the Flaveria CA2 sequences, along with sequences encoding CA isoforms from two legumes and a woody plant.

To examine the complexity of the F. pringlei β -CA multigene family, genomic Southern-blot analyses were done. Total genomic DNA from F. pringlei leaf tissue, digested with restriction enzymes that did not cut within the CA1, CA2, or CA3 ORFs, was probed with a ³²P-radiolabeled 633-bp fragment liberated from the F. pringlei CA2 cDNA by HindIII digestion (Fig. 3). As F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 cDNAs share 66% sequence identity with CA2 over the region encoded by the probe, it was expected that the probe would hybridize to fragments encoding these CA genes as well as any additional closely related sequences. EcoRI digestion of F. pringlei genomic DNA produced two intensely labeled fragments of approximately 3.5 and 1.7 kb as well as three weakly hybridized bands of 7.6, 2.8, and 0.56 kb (Fig. 3). A relatively simple labeling pattern was also seen in XbaI digests of F. pringlei genomic DNA. Three fragments of approximately 8, 2.4, and 2.2 kb were strongly labeled, with two weakly hybridized bands of 1.7 and 1.6 kb also detected (Fig. 3). These results confirm that β -CA is encoded by a small multigene family in F. pringlei (Ludwig and Burnell, 1995).

Ρ.	sativum		1	MSTSSINGFSLSSLSPAKTSTKRTTLRPFVFASLNTSSSSSSS-STFPSLIQDKPVFA
F.	pringlei	CA1	1	MSTASAFAINAPSFVNASSLKKSSSSARSGVLSARFTCNSSSSSSS-ATPPSLIRNEPVFA
F.	bidentis	CA1	1	MSAASAFAMNAPSFVNASSLKKASTSARSGVLSARFTCNSSSSSSSSSATPPSLIRNEPVFA
F.	prinalei	CA2	1	MAEMFRNCIALCCAKKPSVAEDMEANG
F.	bidentis	CA2	1	MFKNCIALCCAKRPSVAEDMEANG
F.	pringeli	CA3	1	MYATAAAFAPSETTSRRKP-SSSSSTVSTCFARLSNSAOSSSSSATPPPSLTRNOPVFA
F.	bidentis	CA3	-	
1.	DIACHCID	0115		
				.L.
D	gativum		58	SSSDT TTDVI. PEEMG - KCYDEA TEELOKI. PEKTEI KATAAEKVEOTTAOL CTTSSSDCT
F .	pringlei	CA1	61	A DA DI ITTONIMTE - DONESVERA IDAL KKMI TEKOFI EDVA A A DIDOTTAO A A DD
	bidentic	CAL	62	
F.	nringlei	CAL	28	
F .	bidentia	CA2	20	
г. Г	pringoli	CAZ	20	
г. Г	piingeii	CAS	1	
г.	DIGENTIS	CAS	T	MGSK2IDEATAADKKDDSEKKEDAPMAAAKIDQIIAQUQIDSIKF
				* * *
л	actimum		110	
P.	sacivulli	07.1	110	
r.	pringiei	CAL	110	
F.	Diaentis	CAL	119	PFDPVERIKSGFVKFKTEKFVTNPALIDELAKGQSPKFMVFACSDSRVCPSHVLDFQPGEA
F .	pringiei	CAZ	/1	EFNAVERIRNGFARFKKEKIDINFILIGELAKGQSPKFLVFACSDSRVCPSHILNFQPGEA
F.	Diaentis	CAZ	68	EFKAVERIRNGFARFKKEKIDINFILIGELAKGQSPKFLVFACSDSRVCPSHILNFQPGEA
F .	pringeli	CA3	11/	AFDAVERIKTGFAKFKTEKILTNPALIDELSKGQSPKFMVFACSDSRVCPSHVLDFQPGEA
<i>P</i> .	Diaentis	CA3	4 /	GFDPVERIKIGFAKFKIEKILKNPALIGELSKGQSPKFMAFACSDSRVCPSHVLDFQPGEA
				* * * • •*
D	catizzum		170	ETRIDITIANT REDUCTARYA CTCAATEVARIUT RUCHTIGHTAUCACCTUCT LEEDEDCTV
Р. г	sativum	C7 1	179	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY
P. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis	CA1	179 179	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF
Р. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei	CA1 CA1	179 179 180	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF
Р. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentia	CA1 CA1 CA2	179 179 180 132	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT
P. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis	CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2	179 179 180 132 129	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPH FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPH FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT
P. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT
P. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT
P. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSTPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT
P. F. F. F. F. P.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis sativum	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT
P. F. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis sativum pringlei	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 239	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK
P. F. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 239 240	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNM
P. F. F. F. F. F. F.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 239 239 240 192	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 239 240 192 189	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSTPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA2	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 239 240 192 189 238	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMSFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREALNK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 240 192 238 168	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFFFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNVK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREALNNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRGLNNK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 238 268	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRGLNNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRMGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 189 238 168	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMSFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREGLNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK SSDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK SSDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREALNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 189 238 168	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGIRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGIRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGIRNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRAALNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 289 240 192 288 168	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRAAVNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis sativum pringli bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA1	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 238 168 238 168 299 238 238 168	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNN STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRBALNNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA1 CA2	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 238 168 299 238 168 299 300 252	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRALNK STDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRAALNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
P.F.F.F.F.F. P.F.F.F.F.F. P.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F.F	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 238 168 299 238 168 299 300 252 249	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFFFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGFF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRADLNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRALNK STDFIEDWVKGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLMNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK
PFFFFF PFFFFFF PFFFFF.	sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringeli bidentis pringlei bidentis pringli bidentis sativum pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei bidentis pringlei	CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA1 CA1 CA2 CA2 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3 CA3	179 179 180 132 129 178 108 239 240 192 189 238 168 299 300 252 249 238	FVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAIEYAVLHLKVSNIVVIGHSACGGIKGLLSFPFDGTY FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNVANMVPPFDKTKYSGVGAAVEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPF FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVQEIFVIGHSRCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNIANMVPPYDTIKHSGAGAAIEYAVLHLKVENIVVIGHSCCGGIKGLMSIPDDGTT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT FVVRNVANIVPPFDKLKYAGVGSAVEYAVLHLKVEQIVVIGHSKCGGIKGLMTFPDEGPT STDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCEKEAVNASLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRDGLRNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVVAEHNGTHLDDQCVLCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREGLVNK STDFIEDWVKVCLPAKSKVKADCSNLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK ASDFIEQWVKIGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVREAVNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKADCSDLEYSDLCTKCEKEAVNVSLGNLLTYPFVRBALNK STDFIEDWVKGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRRGLNNK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSASLDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK STDFIEDWVKVGLPAKSKVKAEHGSSSIDDQCVSCEKEAVNVSLANLLTYPFVRNGLINK

Figure 1. Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of *F. pringlei* β -CAs with those of *F. bidentis* and pea. Identical amino acids and conservative changes are shaded. Dashes represent gaps inserted to maximize the alignment. Amino acids in the proposed active site and those acting as zinc ligands, based on the pea β -CA sequence (Kimber and Pai, 2000), are marked with asterisks and dots, respectively. The arrow denotes the predicted cleavage site in plastidial C₃ dicot β -CAs (Burnell et al., 1990; Roeske and Ogren, 1990). GenBank accession numbers are as follows: pea, M63627; *F. bidentis* CA1, U08398; *F. bidentis* CA2, AY167112; *F. bidentis* CA3, AY167113.

β-CA Gene Expression in F. pringlei Organs

To elucidate the locations and the possible physiological roles of the three *F. pringlei* β -CA isoforms, the expression patterns of their cognate genes in photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic tissues were determined using quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR. Overall *CA* gene transcript levels were at least 2 orders of magnitude higher in *F. pringlei* leaves than in

Table I.	Identity matrix	of F.	pringlei, I	F. bidentis,	and	Arabidopsis β-CA	predicted	amino a	icid sequences
----------	-----------------	-------	-------------	--------------	-----	------------------	-----------	---------	----------------

Pairwise alignments were made using ClustalW, and percentage identities were determined using MacVector 9.0 Sequence Analysis Softwar	е
(Accelrys). FpCA1 to FpCA3, F. pringlei CA1 to CA3; FbCA1 to FbCA3, F. bidentis CA1 to CA3 (Tetu et al., 2007); AtβCA1 to AtβCA6, Arabidopsi	S
β -CA1 to β -CA6 (Fabre et al., 2007).	

	FpCA1	FpCA2	FpCA3	FbCA1	FbCA2	FbCA3	AtβCA1	AtβCA2	AtβCA3	AtβCA4	AtβCA5	AtβCA6
FpCA1	100%											
FpCA2	51%	100%										
FpCA3	73%	53%	100%									
FbCA1	97%	51%	73%	100%								
FbCA2	50%	93%	52%	50%	100%							
FbCA3	61%	59%	73%	61%	59%	100%						
AtβCA1	66%	49%	66%	66%	49%	56%	100%					
AtβCA2	55%	59%	55%	55%	58%	69%	65%	100%				
AtβCA3	43%	56%	44%	43%	56%	56%	42%	56%	100%			
AtβCA4	48%	61%	50%	47%	60%	57%	45%	54%	69%	100%		
AtβCA5	32%	36%	32%	32%	34%	32%	31%	33%	35%	37%	100%	
AtβCA6	24%	29%	27%	24%	29%	32%	23%	30%	30%	31%	38%	100%

root and flower tissues (Fig. 4), with transcripts from all three *CA* genes detected in leaves (Fig. 4A). In contrast to this, in roots and flowers, only *CA1* and *CA2* mRNAs were measurable (Fig. 4, B and C); however, both were much lower in abundance in nongreen tissues than in leaves.

The relative abundance of *CA* gene-specific transcripts also differed between the organs. In the leaves of the three *F. pringlei* plants tested, *CA1* and *CA3* mRNA levels were essentially equal and at least 10 times higher than those of *CA2* transcripts (Fig. 4A). In contrast, steady-state levels of *CA2* gene transcripts were at least 150 times more abundant than *CA1* mRNA levels in the root tissues of the three plants examined, while *CA3* mRNA was not detected in these tissues (Fig. 4B). The *CA2* gene was also the most highly expressed of the three *CA* genes in *F. pringlei* flowers. *CA2* mRNA levels were at least 12 times higher than *CA1* transcripts and, as in roots, *CA3* gene activity was not detected in flowers.

Localization of *F. pringlei* β-CA Isoforms

An affinity-purified, anti-F. bidentis CA3 antiserum (Tetu et al., 2007) was used to immunolocalize β -CAs in F. pringlei leaves. Three polypeptides of approximately 32 kD and a doublet of 28 and 30 kD were intensely labeled on immunoblots of F. pringlei leaf extracts following SDS-PAGE (Fig. 5A). When transverse sections of F. pringlei leaves were labeled for immunofluorescence microscopy with the same antiserum, fluorescence was detected at the periphery of the cells, in the thin layer of cytoplasm surrounding the large central vacuole (Fig. 5D). Comparison of immunolabeled sections with methylene blue-stained transverse sections (Fig. 5, B and C) showed that most of the labeling was composed of rings of bright fluorescent dots surrounding the large starch grains, which filled most of the mesophyll chloroplasts (Fig. 5, compare C and D). A lower level of fluorescence was detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5D). Only background autofluorescence was detected in *F. pringlei* leaf sections labeled with preimmune serum in place of the anti-*F. bidentis* CA3 antibody (Fig. 5E).

Sequence comparisons (Fig. 1) indicated that the N-terminal regions of F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 exhibit characteristics of chloroplast transit peptides, having a low number of charged amino acids but being relatively enriched in hydroxylated residues (von Heijne et al., 1989). In contrast, the N-terminal region of F. *pringlei* CA2 does not demonstrate these properties (Fig. 1). The cleavage site for the transit peptide of chloroplast-targeted β -CAs has been determined empirically for spinach (Spinacia oleracea; Burnell et al., 1990) and pea (Roeske and Ogren, 1990) by N-terminal sequencing of the purified enzymes, with the mature proteins starting at Gln-106 and Glu-98, respectively. Interestingly, the amino acid residues immediately N terminal to these processing sites are highly conserved among plant β -CAs (Fig. 1), regardless of whether the N terminus appears to encode a chloroplast transit peptide. In silico analyses using protein targeting prediction programs, including ChloroP (Emanuelsson et al., 1999), TargetP (Emanuelsson et al., 2000), Predotar (Small et al., 2004), iPSORT (Bannai et al., 2002), and WoLF PSORT (Horton et al., 2007), also consistently predicted the presence of a transit peptide and/ or a location in the chloroplast for F. pringlei CA1 and CA3, whereas no clear location or a cytosolic location was predicted for F. pringlei CA2 (data not shown).

Since sequence analysis and protein targeting prediction programs provide only an indication of the intracellular location of a protein, in vitro chloroplast import studies were done to determine which of the *F. pringlei* β -CA isoforms localize to the organelle. When in vitro transcribed and translated *F. pringlei* CA1 and CA3 precursor proteins (Fig. 6, lane 1) were incubated with pea chloroplasts under conditions that supported import, lower molecular mass forms of the proteins were detected in the chloroplast pellets (Fig. 6, lane 2). Tanz et al.

Figure 2. Midpoint rooted neighbor-joining tree of selected plant β -CA sequences. Two major clades, clades A and B, are resolved from predicted β -CA amino acid sequences of selected monocots, dicots, a moss, and a lycopod (see "Materials and Methods" for details). *F. pringlei* CAs are boxed. The bar represents 5% sequence divergence.





Figure 3. Genomic Southern-blot analysis of *F. pringlei* β -*CA* genes. Genomic DNA (10 μ g) isolated from *F. pringlei* leaf tissue was digested to completion with *Eco*RI and *Xbal*. DNA fragments were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, blotted to a positively charged nylon membrane, and hybridized with a ³²P-labeled 633-bp *Hin*dIII restriction fragment derived from *F. pringlei* CA2. Molecular size markers in kb are indicated.

This was also the case for the small subunit (SSU) of Rubisco, which served as a positive import control protein (Fig. 6, lanes 1 and 2). These processed proteins were protected from digestion by the protease thermolysin due to their location within the chloroplasts (Fig. 6, lane 3). In contrast, F. pringlei CA2 does not localize to the chloroplast. Import assays involving CA2 precursor protein (Fig. 6, lane 1) did not result in the appearance of a lower molecular mass polypeptide in the chloroplast fraction (Fig. 6, lane 2), nor could the precursor protein be detected after thermolysin was added to the assays (Fig. 6, lane 3). No clear correspondence was seen between the sizes of the precursor and/or imported CA isoforms and the immunoreactive polypeptides in F. pringlei whole leaf extracts. This is probably largely due to differences between posttranslational modifying mechanisms of F. pringlei chloroplasts and the heterologous in vitro system.

DISCUSSION

We are focused on understanding the steps involved in the molecular evolution of the C₄ photosynthetic pathway from the more ancestral C₃ pathway. We have concentrated our attention on the evolution of β -CA isoforms that are involved in both CO₂ assimilation pathways, and we have used the genus *Flaveria* as a model system for our studies because it contains individual species that demonstrate C₃, C₄, or C₃-C₄ intermediate photosynthesis (Ludwig and Burnell, 1995; Tetu et al., 2007). We have recently characterized the β -CA isoforms prevalent in the leaf tissue of the C₄ species *F. bidentis* with respect to their gene expression patterns and intracellular locations (Tetu et al., 2007). Here, we have shown that, as in *F. bidentis*, *F. pringlei* β -CAs are encoded by a small multigene family, that *F. pringlei* β -CA genes also exhibit organ-specific expression, and that there are cytosolic and chloroplastic forms of the enzyme in this C₃ species. These studies have not only identified the dominant β -CA isoforms



Figure 4. β -*CA* gene transcript abundance in *F. pringlei* organs. Total RNA was isolated from leaves (A), roots (B), and flowers (C) of three individual *F. pringlei* plants (I, II, and III), and β -*CA* transcript abundance was measured using qRT-PCR. Results are expressed on a total RNA basis. Error bars represent the sE of at least three independent reactions.



Figure 5. Immunolocalization of *F. pringlei* β -CA. A, *F. pringlei* soluble leaf proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted to nitrocellulose, and labeled with an affinity-purified anti-*F. bidentis* CA3 antiserum. Immunoreactive polypeptides of approximately 32 kD and a doublet of 30 and 28 kD were detected using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and an enhanced chemiluminescence method. B, A transverse section through a fixed and embedded *F. pringlei* leaf stained with methylene blue shows characteristic C₃ leaf anatomy, with most of the interior of the leaf composed of mesophyll cells (m). C, A section through several mesophyll cells stained with methylene blue at higher magnification shows numerous chloroplasts containing starch grains (arrows) in the peripheral cytoplasm (arrowhead) of the cells. D, A transverse leaf section immunolabeled with an affinity-purified anti-*F. bidentis* CA3 antiserum followed by an AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody. Labeling in the chloroplasts of mesophyll cells appears as rings of bright fluorescent dots surrounding the starch grains (arrows). Less intense labeling is seen in the cytoplasm (arrowhead). E, A transverse section labeled with preimmune serum followed by the AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody. No fluorescence is detected in the mesophyll cell chloroplasts (arrows), cytoplasm (arrowhead), or elsewhere in the cells. e, Epidermal cell; v, vascular bundle. [See online article for color version of this figure.]

in the leaves of these species but also offer the unique opportunity to compare the structure, expression patterns, and putative functions of leaf β -CAs from two closely related species that use different photosynthetic pathways, thereby allowing the identification of molecular changes that occurred in β -CA genes during the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis.

F. pringlei Leaf β -CA Isoforms Show High Homology to Other Plant β -CAs

Three cDNAs encoding distinct β -CA isoforms were isolated from *F. pringlei* leaf λ gt11D (CA1; Ludwig and Burnell, 1995) and adaptor-ligated (CA2 and CA3; this study) cDNA libraries. Our genomic Southern-blot analyses are consistent with the *F. pringlei* genome

encoding three β -CA genes; however, we cannot exclude the existence of other members of this multigene family. If additional β -CA genes are present in F. pringlei, then their expression levels are very low and/or their transcripts are highly unstable, as multiple screens of all our F. pringlei cDNA libraries, as well as numerous RT-PCR assays using cDNA derived from various organs and multiple plants, have not yielded fragments encoding other distinct β -CA isoforms. As shown in Figure 2, current information from whole genome sequencing projects indicates that between two and six distinct CA isoforms are encoded in the genomes of terrestrial plants. Whether a correlation exists between the taxonomic position of a species and the number of β -CAs its genome encodes awaits further whole genome sequence determination.



Figure 6. In vitro chloroplast import analysis of *F. pringlei* β -CA precursor proteins. Precursor proteins of pea Rubisco SSU (pSSU) and *F. pringlei* CA1 (pCA1), CA2 (pCA2), and CA3 (pCA3) were synthesized using [³⁵S]Met and a coupled transcription/translation rabbit reticulocyte lysate system and then used in import assays with isolated pea chloroplasts. Lane 1, Precursor proteins; lane 2, chloroplast fraction following incubation with the precursor proteins under conditions favoring import; lane 3, as for lane 2 but with the addition of thermolysin following the import assay. Molecular masses of the precursor and imported (i) proteins are indicated on the right.

The amino acid sequences deduced from the F. pringlei CA cDNAs showed identity to the predicted β -CA sequences from other C₃ and C₄ plants. Residues required for the formation of the active site cleft (Kimber and Pai, 2000) and coordination of the zinc ion in the active site of pea β -CA (Provart et al., 1993; Bracey et al., 1994; Kimber and Pai, 2000) were in equivalent positions in all of the F. pringlei CA sequences with one exception. F. pringlei CA2 has an Ile at the position corresponding to Val-184 of pea β -CA. In pea β -CA, this residue is thought to contribute to a continuous hydrophobic surface in the binding pocket (Kimber and Pai, 2000). As the side chain of Ile is more nonpolar than that of Val, the hydrophobic nature of the pocket would be maintained with the former residue. The CA2 orthologs from F. bidentis (Fig. 1; Tetu et al., 2007), the C_3 - C_4 intermediate species, Flaveria linearis (GenBank accession no. U19740), and all of the Arabidopsis β -CA isoforms except At β CA6 (Fabre et al., 2007) also have an Ile in this position.

Reconstruction of the phylogenetic relationships between β -CA sequences from two primitive plants and angiosperms, including monocots and herbaceous and woody dicots, showed the sequences clustered into two large clades. One clade contained the sequences of some angiosperm β -CAs and all those of the primitive plants. In contrast, the other major clade contained β -CA sequences from only angiosperms, and all of the *Flaveria* sequences used in the analysis clustered in two sister groups within it. The positions of the *Flaveria* CA1 and CA3 sequences in the phylogeny indicate that they have arisen through a duplication of the gene encoding a chloroplastic form of the enzyme in the ancestral C₃ *Flaveria* species. Mutations in coding and control regions of the CA3 gene in the C₄ species then resulted in the changes in intracellular location and expression demonstrated by the presentday C₄ enzyme (see below).

Although localization information is known for all of the β -CA isoforms in just three species represented in our neighbor-joining tree, Arabidopsis, F. pringlei, and F. bidentis, it is worthwhile noting that there is no consistent correlation between the phylogenetic position of a β -CA isoform and its intracellular location. For example, chloroplastic CAs from *F. pringlei* (CA1) and CA3) and chloroplastic (At β CA1) and cytosolic (At β CA2) CAs from Arabidopsis (Fabre et al., 2007) share relatively high sequence identity and cluster together in the tree. The $At\beta CA1$ and $At\beta CA2$ genes, as well as those encoding At β CA3 and At β CA4, which localize to the cytosol and plasma membrane, respectively (Fabre et al., 2007) and also cluster tightly in the tree, evolved from large segmental duplications of the Arabidopsis genome with subsequent divergence (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000). As for F. pringlei CA1 and CA3, the evolutionary relationships of the Arabidopsis genes and the intracellular locations of the encoded proteins strongly support the notion that gene duplication events provide the material for genome evolution, including the potential for novel gene pathways and differential gene expression patterns (Zhang, 2003).

F. pringlei Contains Two Chloroplastic β-CAs

Most CA activity in C_3 species is found in the stroma of mesophyll cell chloroplasts (Poincelot, 1972; Jacobson et al., 1975; Tsuzuki et al., 1985), and recent work on the oligomeric stromal proteome of Arabidopsis showed that At β CA1 is a prevalent protein in this subcellular compartment, making up about 1% of the total stromal mass (Peltier et al., 2006).

Our results also indicate that β -CAs are abundant proteins in the photosynthetic tissues of *F. pringlei*. Transcripts from all three *CA* genes were detected in the first fully expanded leaves of *F. pringlei* plants using qRT-PCR. *CA1* and *CA3* gene transcripts were of equal abundance in the three plants tested and 1 order of magnitude greater than steady-state levels of *CA2* mRNA. Although there is not always a direct correlation between transcript abundance and protein amount (Gygi et al., 1999), our immunoblot analyses showed that β -CA is a prevalent protein in *F. pringlei* leaves; three polypeptides in leaf protein extracts of

approximately 32 kD and a doublet of 30 and 28 kD labeled strongly with an antiserum generated against F. bidentis CA3. The relative mobilities of these polypeptides agree with the sizes predicted from their deduced amino acid sequences when the putative cleavage site of chloroplastic β -CAs (Fig. 1; Burnell et al., 1990; Roeske and Ogren, 1990) is used for CA1 and CA3. The doublet in Figure 5A represents the two chloroplastic isoforms, while the largest immunoreactive protein corresponds to the cytosolic CA2, which is unprocessed. We previously reported the detection of two immunoreactive proteins using an anti-spinach β -CA antiserum to probe *F. pringlei* leaf protein extracts (Ludwig and Burnell, 1995); however, upon closer inspection, the lower labeled band on these earlier blots has twice the intensity of the upper band and most likely represents the CA1 and CA3 doublet.

The N termini of F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 have characteristics of chloroplast transit peptides, including an enrichment of Ser and Thr residues and a relatively low number of acidic amino acids (von Heijne et al., 1989). The results of protein targeting and localization prediction programs (Emanuelsson et al., 1999, 2000; Bannai et al., 2002; Small et al., 2004; Horton et al., 2007) also suggested that CA1 and CA3 are targeted to the chloroplast stroma. Indeed, using the anti-F. bidentis CA3 antiserum to label F. pringlei leaf sections, we detected CA in the chloroplasts of mesophyll cells. Unequivocal evidence for a chloroplast location of F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 was obtained through in vitro import assays. CA1 and CA3 precursor polypeptides were imported into isolated pea chloroplasts, and concomitant with import was processing of the CA1 and CA3 precursors to lower molecular mass polypeptides, which were protected from externally added protease.

The C₃ Chloroplastic CA3 Was the Evolutionary Template for the C₄ Cytosolic CA3

Work with other C_4 photosynthetic enzymes from C_3 and C_4 *Flaveria* species has shown that alterations in gene expression patterns occurred during the evolution of the C_4 forms of the enzymes, which have resulted in their increased levels of expression and celland organ-specific expression patterns (Marshall et al., 1996; Drincovich et al., 1998; Rosche et al., 1998; Lai et al., 2002; Gowik et al., 2004). Similar modifications are anticipated to have occurred during the evolution of the gene encoding the C_4 form of β -CA. In addition, changes in protein targeting signals likely evolved, because in contrast to C_3 plants (Poincelot, 1972; Jacobson et al., 1975; Tsuzuki et al., 1985), CA activity is significantly less in C_4 chloroplasts and much higher in the C_4 mesophyll cytosol (Gutierrez et al., 1974).

We have identified orthologs of *F. pringlei* CA1, CA2, and CA3 in the C₄ *Flaveria* species, *F. bidentis* (Cavallaro et al., 1994; Ludwig and Burnell, 1995; Tetu et al., 2007). *F. bidentis* CA1 and CA2 show 97% and 93% sequence identity with the corresponding *F. pringlei* proteins,

and like the *F. pringlei* proteins, they localize to the chloroplast and cytosol, respectively (Tetu et al., 2007). In contrast, *F. bidentis* CA3 is a cytosolic isoform, while *F. pringlei* CA3 is a chloroplastic enzyme. We have shown that *F. bidentis* CA3 is the β -CA isoform providing bicarbonate for PEPC in the cytosol of mesophyll cells, that this isoform is expressed at high levels in leaves, and that this high level of expression is required for the proper functioning of the C₄ photosynthetic pathway in *F. bidentis* (von Caemmerer et al., 2004; Tetu et al., 2007).

Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of F. bidentis and F. pringlei CA3 cDNAs shows that the initiating Met of F. bidentis CA3 aligns with Met-72 of F. *pringlei* CA3, which is just C terminal to the region of the F. pringlei protein that shows similarities to a chloroplast transit peptide (Fig. 1). The two sequences are 93% identical over this shared region, with nearly half of the differences being conserved amino acid changes. From the results presented here and previously (Tetu et al., 2007), we suggest that a duplication event occurred in the ancestor of C3 Flaveria species such that two copies of the gene encoding chloroplastic β -CA resulted (e.g. CA1 and CA3). Subsequently, during the evolution of C4 Flaveria species from their C_3 ancestors, neofunctionalization of the CA3 gene occurred, and this involved mutations that resulted in the loss of the nucleotides encoding the chloroplast transit peptide. The consequence of this loss was the retention and operation of the CA3 protein in the C_4 mesophyll cytosol.

Other mutation(s) in the *CA3* gene during the evolution of C_4 *Flaveria* species resulted in the up-regulation of its expression. A cis-regulatory element, the mesophyll expression module 1 (*Mem1*), has been identified in the upstream region of the *Flaveria* C_4 *PEPC* gene and was shown to be responsible for its high mesophyll-specific expression (Gowik et al., 2004). We have identified a *Mem1*-like element in the upstream region of the *F. bidentis CA3* gene (data not shown), and work is continuing to determine if it directs high levels of expression of this gene in the C_4 mesophyll.

Plastidial CA Isoforms Have Multiple Functions

Current opinion regarding the function of β -CA in C₃ chloroplasts is that the enzyme facilitates the diffusion of CO₂ into the organelle, ensuring that adequate supplies of CO₂ are available for Rubisco carboxylase activity (Reed and Graham, 1981; Cowan, 1986; Price et al., 1994). Modeling studies (Cowan, 1986), a decrease in carbon isotope discrimination in transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants with severely reduced CA activity (Price et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1996), the coelution of β -CA and Rubisco, along with other enzymes of the photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle, in multienzyme complexes (Jebanathirajah and Coleman, 1998), and the colocalization of β -CA and Rubisco in sections of pea chloroplasts (Anderson and Carol, 2004) are consistent with this hypothesis. The lack of a significant effect on CO_2 assimilation when CA activity was reduced using antisense and gene knockout technologies (Majeau et al., 1994; Price et al., 1994; Ferreira et al., 2008), except during early seedling development in Arabidopsis (Ferreira et al., 2008), however, argues against chloroplastic β -CA in C_3 plants having a major role in photosynthesis.

Å number of studies have provided evidence indicating nonphotosynthetic roles for plastidial β -CAs, including involvement in lipogenesis in both photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic tissues by supplying bicarbonate to acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Hoang et al., 1999; Hoang and Chapman, 2002) and in plant defense by acting as a salicylic acid-binding protein (Slaymaker et al., 2002). Wang et al. (2009) have suggested that these two roles may be linked, as evidence exists for plant defense pathways being regulated by lipidbased signals (Nandi et al., 2004; Chandra-Shekara et al., 2007).

Our results are consistent with these multiple roles of plastidial β -CA(s). *CA1* and *CA3* transcripts were at least 10 times more abundant than those of the *CA2* gene in *F. pringlei* mature leaves. Furthermore, because *CA3* mRNA was below detection in *F. pringlei* roots and flowers and *CA1* transcripts were measurable in both organs, albeit at lower levels than *CA2* transcripts, it is not unreasonable to suggest that CA3 may be the isoform involved in CO₂ assimilation whereas CA1 may carry out nonphotosynthetic functions, such as antioxidant activity and providing carbon for fatty acid synthesis. We have recently suggested that CA1 in the C₄ *F. bidentis* (Tetu et al., 2007) carries out the same role(s); consequently, the ancestral C₃ function of CA1 has been maintained in the C₄ *Flaveria*.

Arabidopsis also contains two chloroplastic β -CAs; however, unlike the nearly equal steady-state levels of F. pringlei CA1 and CA3 transcripts, AtBCA1 signatures from massively parallel signature sequencing (Nakano et al., 2006) are 25 to 100 times higher than $At\beta CA5$ signatures in leaves (http://mpss.udel.edu/at/). This disparity holds at the protein level, with quantification of the stromal proteome demonstrating that $At\beta CA1$ is at least 2 orders of magnitude more abundant than At β CA5 (Zybailov et al., 2008). In roots, At β CA5 transcripts are 10 times more abundant than those of $At\beta CA1$ (http://mpss.udel.edu/at/). The ability of two β -CAs to function in different pathways in the same intracellular compartment may be accommodated by differing biochemical and physicochemical properties and/or the organization of the isoforms into distinct macromolecular complexes and metabolic channeling (Winkel, 2004). Examination of these characteristics may resolve the functions of plastidial β -CAs in both C₃ and C₄ plants, and experiments are ongoing.

F. pringlei CA2 Encodes a Cytosolic Enzyme

Our immunolabeling studies of *F. pringlei* leaf sections indicated that a cytosolic β -CA, as well as chloroplastic forms of the enzyme, are present in this C₃ species. Strong support for the existence of a cytosolic β -CA in *F. pringlei* came from in vitro import assays that showed that no processed form of CA2 was detected in isolated chloroplasts under conditions favoring import, and the CA2 precursor polypeptide was susceptible to digestion by externally added thermolysin. These results were also in agreement with subcellular prediction programs, which calculated *F. pringlei* CA2 to be a cytosolic protein.

One function of C_3 cytosolic β -CAs is likely to be the provision of bicarbonate to PEPC, which is involved in generating carbon skeletons for amino acid synthesis and replenishment of Krebs cycle intermediates (Fett and Coleman, 1994; Raven and Newman, 1994; Chollet et al., 1996). This PEPC activity would occur in both photosynthetic and nongreen tissues. Transcripts encoding the two Arabidopsis cytosolic CAs, AtBCA2 and $At\beta CA3$, were found in leaves and roots (Fabre et al., 2007), although AtBCA3 was not detected in several Arabidopsis leaf proteome studies (Giavalisco et al., 2005; Bindschedler et al., 2008; Rutschow et al., 2008). Under sulfur-limiting conditions, $At\beta CA3$ showed increased expression in roots, leading to the suggestion that $At\beta$ CA3 specifically provides PEPC with bicarbonate for elevated respiratory activity under oxidative stress (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2003).

Results of our qRT-PCR assays are consistent with *F. pringlei* CA2 providing bicarbonate for PEPC in green and nongreen tissues. *CA2* is expressed in leaves, and although overall β -CA gene expression levels were comparatively low in the nonphotosynthetic tissues we examined, *CA2* transcripts were at least 1 order and 2 orders of magnitude more abundant than *CA1* and *CA3* transcripts in flowers and roots, respectively. *F. pringlei* CA2 shows 93% sequence identity with the corresponding *F. bidentis* protein, which also localizes to the cytosol (Tetu et al., 2007). Transcripts encoding the *F. bidentis* CA2 isoform, like *F. pringlei* CA2 mRNA,

Table II. F. pringlei β -CA gene-specific primers used in this study							
Primer Name	Sequence (5'-3')						
CA1 5' RACE CA2 5' RACE CA3 5' RACE CA1 3' RACE CA2 3' RACE CA3 3' RACE CA1 qRT-PCRF ^a CA1 qRT-PCRF ^b CA2 qRT-PCRF CA2 qRT-PCRF	GGAGCTTTGGTGTCGGGTGCTGCGG TTTAAACTCACCGGCGTCTGACACCTCC GGTCAAATCCGGGTTTGGTACTGTCAAG CGAATTGGACCGAAGATGGAAATG CGCCGAGAAGATCAAACAGCTCACG CACCGCCCAACTTCAAACACTTG CCCAGACGAAGGACCTCACTC AGACGAAAGGCCAAAGTCAATG AGTACTCGGATCTATGCACCAAG GGGTGATGAGTGAAAGTCATTAG						
CA3 qRT-PCRF	ATCACTTGATGATCAATGTGTATCC						
^a F denotes forward primers. ^b R denotes reverse primers.							

were found in all organs examined, supporting the hypothesis that this enzyme is involved in anaplerotic functions. Accordingly, it appears that, as for CA1, CA2 has retained its ancestral C_3 role in C_4 plants.

Interestingly, cytosolic β -CAs have been found associated with the plasma membranes of several plant species (Utsunomiya and Muto, 1993; Santoni et al., 1998; Kawamura and Uemura, 2003; Alexandersson et al., 2004; Mongrand et al., 2004; Benschop et al., 2007; Mitra et al., 2007), and At β CA4 specifically localizes to Arabidopsis cell membranes (Fabre et al., 2007). Mongrand et al. (2004) showed that tobacco plasma membrane lipid rafts were enriched in a cytosolic β -CA and an aquaporin, NtAQP1, which has CO₂ transport activity (Uehlein et al., 2003) and decreases the resistance of the envelope to CO₂ (Uehlein et al., 2008). β -CA has also been implicated as a factor aiding the conductance of CO₂ through the leaf (Cowan, 1986). Whether cytosolic, membrane-associated, and/ or plastidial β -CA isoforms function along with aquaporins to facilitate CO₂ transport across cell membranes to the sites of carboxylation in the chloroplast is currently being considered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Flaveria pringlei plants were grown from seeds or cuttings throughout the year in a naturally illuminated glasshouse with mean temperatures of 26° C/ 20° C (day/night). Plants were fertilized with a slow-release fertilizer, which was replenished every 6 months. Freshly harvested leaves were used for immunocytochemistry. For all other experiments, tissues were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until use. The source of the *F. pringlei* plants was seeds collected on June 7, 1984, in Oaxaca, Mexico, near the pass between Tamazulapan and Yanhuitlan, Highway 130 (voucher specimen Jones and Jones 187).

Pea (*Pisum sativum* 'Green Feast') plants were grown for in vitro import assays as described by Tetu et al. (2007).

F. pringlei Leaf β -CA cDNAs

Total RNA was isolated from approximately 80 mg of *F. pringlei* leaves (Perfect RNA Mini Kit; Eppendorf), and the poly(A)⁺ RNA fraction was collected using Dynal oligo(dT)₂₅-conjugated paramagnetic beads (Merck). An adaptor-ligated leaf cDNA library was constructed using 1 μ g of poly(A)⁺ RNA and the Marathon cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech) and then used to isolate cDNAs encoding β -CA in RACE PCRs. RACE reactions consisted of 400 nm AP1 or AP2 primer (Clontech), 400 nm gene-specific primer (Table II; 5' and 3' RACE CA1-3 primers), 200 μ M dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, 1× High Fidelity buffer (Eppendorf), 0.5 unit of Triple Master enzyme mix (Eppendorf), and 0.1 volume of the *F. pringlei* leaf cDNA library. Amplification was done using the following conditions: 95°C for 5 s, 70°C for 3 min; five cycles at 95°C for 5 s, 68°C for 3 min. Sequences of the amplification products were determined (BigDye Terminator version 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit; Applied Biosystems) and analyzed using MacVector 9.0 (Accelrys).

Genomic Southern-Blot Analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from leaf tissue according to the method of Marshall et al. (1996), and $10-\mu g$ aliquots were digested to completion in separate reactions with *Eco*RI and *Xba*I. Genomic DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel and transferred to Hybond N⁺ membranes (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using alkaline capillary blotting (Sambrook et al., 1989). A hybridization probe, targeting a conserved region in the *F. pringlei CA1*, *CA2*, and *CA3* nucleotide sequences, was derived by digestion of *F. pringlei* CA2 cDNA with *Hin*dIII. The resulting 633-bp fragment was labeled with $[a-^{32}P]$ dATP (DECAprime II; Ambion). Prehybridization, hybridization, and wash steps were done as described by Tetu et al. (2007).

qRT-PCR Assays

Total RNA was isolated (Perfect RNA Mini Kit; Eppendorf) in duplicate from 80 to 150 mg of leaves, roots, and flowers from three individual *F. pringlei* plants (plants I, II, and III), and genomic DNA in the samples was removed by digestion with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) according to the supplier's instructions. cDNA was synthesized using 1 μ g of DNase-treated RNA and 20 units of Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (RNase H Minus; Promega) in 1× reverse transcriptase buffer (Promega) containing 1 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, 2.5 μ M oligo(dT)₁₅ primer, 5 mM dithiothreitol, and 20 units of RNase inhibitor (RNasin Ribonuclease Inhibitor; Promega). The cDNA was stored in aliquots at -20° C to reduce degradation from repeated freeze-thaw cycles.

A standard template for qRT-PCR was constructed by inserting regions just upstream of the stop codon (CA1) or flanking the stop codon (CA2 and CA3) in tandem into pGEM-T (Promega). These regions share little nucleic acid sequence homology, which facilitated the design of *CA* gene-specific primers (Table II, qRT-PCR primers). Using these primers, fragments of 280, 214, and 230 bp were amplified from CA1, CA2, and CA3 targets, respectively. A standard curve was generated for each of the three CA targets using SYBR Green fluorescence (QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit; Qiagen) and the PCR conditions described below with 10-fold serial dilutions of the standard template, after it was linearized by digestion with *PstI*. The standard curves had regression coefficients of -1.00, mean squared errors of 15% or less, and slopes between -3.2 and -3.9. A slope of -3.32 indicates the PCR is 100% efficient, and deviations from 100% efficiency were calculated by the following equation: PCR efficiency = $10^{-1/slope} - 1$ (Ginzinger, 2002).

Quantification of *CA1*, *CA2*, and *CA3* gene transcripts in *F. pringlei* organs was done using the LightCycler (Roche) and SYBR Green fluorescence (Qiagen). The qRT-PCR conditions were optimized to an annealing temperature of 62°C, gene-specific primer (Table II, qRT-PCR primers) concentrations of 0.2 μ M, and final Mg²⁺ concentrations of 3.5 mM for CA1 and CA2 amplification and 5.5 mM for the amplification of CA3 targets. The cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 15 mi; 95°C for 15 s, 62°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s (40 cycles); and single data acquisition. The levels of *CA* transcripts were calculated using the LightCycler Data Analysis Software version 3.5 (Roche). Melt curve analysis was routinely done following the supplier's instructions (Roche). Amplification products were visualized on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels to verify the specificity of the products and to correlate product length with melting peaks.

As at least three qRT-PCRs were carried out for each of the duplicate cDNA preparations, separate ANOVA (SPSS software) tests were used to examine the variation in transcript abundances between organs with cDNA preparations from the same and from different plants. One-way ANOVA indicated that the CA genes showed significant differences in expression among the organ samples (P < 0.05; data not shown). Similarly, ANOVA demonstrated that distinct cDNA pools from a particular organ of different plants contained significantly different amounts of transcript encoding each CA isoform (P <0.05; data not shown), whereas ANOVAs comparing duplicate cDNA pools from a particular organ of the same plant showed no significant variation (P >0.05; data not shown). Thus, this statistical analysis demonstrated that data obtained from the duplicate cDNA pools from a particular organ of the same plant could be combined, whereas data obtained from cDNA pools of a particular organ from different plants could not be combined. Therefore, the results shown represent mean CA transcript concentrations for each plant organ using values obtained from the same plant.

Chloroplast Import Assays

Individual plasmids (0.5–1 μ g) containing inserts encoding the ORFs of *F. pringlei* CA1, CA2, or CA3 and pea Rubisco SSU were used to synthesize precursor proteins in the presence of [³⁵S]Met in a coupled transcription/translation rabbit reticulocyte lysate system (T_NT; Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The isolation of intact pea chloroplasts and in vitro import assays were done as described previously (Tetu et al., 2007).

Immunodetection Methods

An anti-*Flaveria bidentis* CA3 affinity-purified antiserum was used to detect β -CA polypeptides on immunoblots of *F. pringlei* soluble leaf proteins and on *F. pringlei* leaf sections as described by Tetu et al. (2007).

Phylogenetic Analysis

Sequences encoding β -CA were retrieved using genomes identified in GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and Phytozome (www.phytozome.net) databases for which there is complete sequence information. BLASTP (Altschul et al., 1990) was used with Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) AtBCA1 and AtBCA6 (Fabre et al., 2007) to query the genomes. To recover additional related β -CA sequences, each species-specific sequence retrieved was then used to query GenBank and species-specific databases using BLASTP and TBLASTN (Altschul et al., 1990). Sequences were aligned with ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994), and N and C termini were trimmed to remove noninformative residues, such as targeting sequences. This resulted in core β -CA sequences of approximately 200 amino acids containing the residues required for catalysis and secondary structure (Kimber and Pai, 2000). Redundant CA sequences were removed from the alignment, and the phylogeny of the remaining sequences was reconstructed using the neighborjoining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) included in the MacVector 9.0 Sequence Analysis Software (Accelrys). Roman numerals were arbitrarily assigned to the β -CA sequences from a species to facilitate analyses; they do not imply relatedness or functional similarities of β -CA isoforms between species.

Accession numbers for the sequences used in the analysis are as follows: Arabidopsis CA1 to CA6 (Fabre et al., 2007); F. bidentis CA1 to CA3 (Tetu et al., 2007); F. pringlei CA1 to CA3 (GenBank accession nos. P46281, DQ273586, and DQ273587, respectively); Glycine max CAI and CAII (GenBank accession nos. AK243989 and AK287413, respectively); Medicago truncatula CAI to CAIV (MTpep2 Database [International Medicago Genome Annotation Group] nos. AC124951_31.5, CU302343_11.3, AC157505_3.5, and CU179920_2.3, respectively); Oryza sativa subsp. japonica CAI and CAII (GenBank accession nos. Os01g0639900 and Os09g0464000, respectively); Physcomitrella patens CAI to CAV (Phytozome DBXREF nos. 123406, 130288, 147703, 205864, and 162454, respectively); Populus trichocarpa CAI to CAVI (Populus genome release 1.1 [Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute] identifiers estEXT_Genewise, estEXT_fgenesh, gw1.VIII.1764.1, eug3.00150550, gw1.XVII.194.1, and gw1. V4618.1, respectively); Selaginella moellendorffii CAI to CAVI (Phytozome DBXREF nos. 102513, 81873, 95906, 86779, 80889, and 25779, respectively); Sorghum bicolor CAI to CAIII (Phytozome DBXREF nos. Sb02g026930, Sb03g029180, and Sb03g029190, respectively); Vitis vinifera CAI to CAV (Phytozome DBXREF nos. GSVIVT00020732001, GSVIVT00016080001, GSVIVT00016078001, GSVIVT00015113001, and GSVIVT00007538001, respectively); and Zea mays CAI to CAIV (GenBank accession nos. ACF78806, ACF88455, ACF82348, and ACF86418, respectively).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jim Whelan for the pea Rubisco SSU clone. We are also grateful to Debra Birch and Nancy Hancock for excellent technical support. The generous assistance of Michael Powell, Scott Holaday, Stanley Jones, and Peter Westhoff regarding the collection details of the *F. pringlei* seed source is greatly appreciated, as was the information provided by Monique Reed, Dale Kruse, and Tom Wendt.

Received February 21, 2009; accepted May 11, 2009; published May 15, 2009.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alexandersson E, Saalbach G, Larsson C, Kjellbom P (2004) Arabidopsis plasma membrane proteomics identifies components of transport, signal transduction and membrane trafficking. Plant Cell Physiol 45: 1543–1556
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410
- Anderson LE, Carol AA (2004) Enzyme co-localization with Rubisco in pea leaf chloroplasts. Photosynth Res 82: 49–58
- Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (2000) Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature 408: 796–815

- Bannai H, Tamada Y, Maruyama O, Nakai K, Miyano S (2002) Extensive feature detection of N-terminal protein sorting signals. Bioinformatics 18: 298–305
- Benschop JJ, Mohammed S, O'Flaherty M, Heck AJR, Slijper M, Menke FLH (2007) Quantitative phosphoproteomics of early elicitor signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Cell Proteomics 6: 1198–1214
- Bindschedler LV, Palmblad M, Cramer R (2008) Hydroponic isotope labelling of entire plants (HILEP) for quantitative plant proteomics: an oxidative stress case study. Phytochemistry 69: 1962–1972
- Bracey MH, Christiansen J, Tovar P, Cramer SP, Bartlett SG (1994) Spinach carbonic anhydrase: investigation of the zinc-binding ligands by sitedirected mutagenesis, elemental analysis, and EXAFS. Biochemistry 33: 13126–13131
- Burnell JN, Gibbs MJ, Mason JG (1990) Spinach chloroplastic carbonic anhydrase: nucleotide sequence analysis of cDNA. Plant Physiol 92: 37–40
- Cavallaro A, Ludwig M, Burnell J (1994) The nucleotide sequence of a complementary DNA encoding *Flaveria bidentis* carbonic anhydrase. FEBS Lett 350: 216–218
- Chandra-Shekara AC, Venugopal SC, Barman SR, Kachroo A, Kachroo P (2007) Plastidial fatty acid levels regulate resistance gene-dependent defense signaling in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 7277–7282
- Chollet R, Vidal J, O'Leary MH (1996) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: a ubiquitous, highly regulated enzyme in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 47: 273–298
- **Christin PA, Besnard G, Samaritani E, Duvall MR, Hodkinson TR, Savolainen V, Salamin N** (2008) Oligocene CO₂ decline promoted C₄ photosynthesis in grasses. Curr Biol **18:** 37–43
- Cowan IR (1986) Economics of carbon fixation in higher plants. In TJ Givnish, ed, On the Economy of Plant Form and Function. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 133–170
- Dean C, Tamaki S, Dunsmuir P, Favreau M, Katayama C, Dooner H, Bedbrook J (1986) mRNA transcripts of several plant genes are polyadenylated at multiple sites in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res 14: 2229–2240
- Drincovich MF, Casati P, Andreo CS, Chessin SJ, Franceschi VR, Edwards GE, Ku MSB (1998) Evolution of C₄ photosynthesis in *Flaveria* species: isoforms of NADP-malic enzyme. Plant Physiol **117**: 733–744
- Ehleringer JR, Monson RK (1993) Evolutionary and ecological aspects of photosynthetic pathway variation. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 24: 411–439
- Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, von Heijne G (2000) Predicting subcellular localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. J Mol Biol 300: 1005–1016
- Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, von Heijne G (1999) ChloroP, a neural network-based method for predicting chloroplast transit peptides and their cleavage sites. Protein Sci 8: 978–984
- Fabre N, Reiter IM, Becuwe-Linka N, Genty B, Rumeau D (2007) Characterization and expression analysis of genes encoding α and β carbonic anhydrases in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Environ **30**: 617–629
- Ferreira FJ, Guo C, Coleman JR (2008) Reduction of plastid-localized carbonic anhydrase activity results in reduced Arabidopsis seedling survivorship. Plant Physiol 147: 585–594
- Fett JP, Coleman JR (1994) Characterization and expression of two cDNAs encoding carbonic anhydrase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **105**: 707–713
- Giavalisco P, Nordhoff E, Kreitler T, Klöppel KD, Lehrach H, Klose J, Gobom J (2005) Proteome analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by twodimensional gel electrophoresis and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry. Proteomics 5: 1902–1913
- Ginzinger DG (2002) Gene quantification using real-time quantitative PCR: an emerging technology hits the mainstream. Exp Hematol 30: 503–512
- Gowik U, Burscheidt J, Akyildiz M, Schlue U, Koczor M, Streubel M, Westhoff P (2004) *cis*-Regulatory elements for mesophyll-specific gene expression in the C_4 plant *Flaveria trinervia*, the promoter of the C_4 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase gene. Plant Cell **16**: 1077–1090
- **Gowik U, Engelmann S, Bläsing OE, Raghavendra AS, Westhoff P** (2006) Evolution of C₄ phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in the genus *Alternanthera*: gene families and the enzymatic characteristics of the C₄ isozyme and its orthologues in C₃ and C₃/C₄ *Alternantheras*. Planta **223**: 359–368
- Gutierrez M, Huber SC, Ku SB, Kanai R, Edwards GE (1974) Intracellular localization of carbon metabolism in mesophyll cells of C₄ plants. *In* M Avron, ed, Proceedings of the Third International Congress on Photosynthesis. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, pp 1219–1230

Gygi SP, Rochon Y, Franza BR, Aebersold R (1999) Correlation between protein and mRNA abundance in yeast. Mol Cell Biol 19: 1720–1730

Hatch MD (1987) C₄ photosynthesis: a unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and ultrastructure. Biochim Biophys Acta **895:** 81–106

Hatch MD, Burnell JN (1990) Carbonic anhydrase activity in leaves and its role in the first step of C_4 photosynthesis. Plant Physiol **93**: 825–828

Hermans J, Westhoff P (1990) Analysis of expression and evolutionary relationships of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase genes in *Flaveria trinervia* (C_4) and *F. pringlei* (C_3). Mol Gen Genet **224**: 459–468

Hoang CV, Chapman KD (2002) Biochemical and molecular inhibition of plastidial carbonic anhydrase reduces the incorporation of acetate into lipids in cotton embryos and tobacco cell suspensions and leaves. Plant Physiol 128: 1417–1427

Hoang CV, Wessler HG, Local A, Turley RB, Benjamin RC, Chapman KD (1999) Identification and expression of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) plastidial carbonic anhydrase. Plant Cell Physiol 40: 1262–1270

Horton P, Park KJ, Obayashi T, Fujita N, Harada H, Adams-Collier CJ, Nakai K (2007) WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. Nucleic Acids Res 35: W585–W587

Imaizumi N, Ku MSB, Ishihara K, Samejima M, Kaneko S, Matsuoka M (1997) Characterization of the gene for pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase from rice, a C3 plant, and a comparison of structure and expression between C3 and C4 genes for this protein. Plant Mol Biol 34: 701–716

Jacobson BS, Fong F, Heath RL (1975) Carbonic anhydrase of spinach: studies on its location, inhibition, and physiological function. Plant Physiol 55: 468–474

Jebanathirajah JA, Coleman JR (1998) Association of carbonic anhydrase with a Calvin cycle enzyme complex in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Planta **204**: 177–182

Jenkins CLD, Furbank RT, Hatch MD (1989) Mechanism of C_4 photosynthesis: a model describing the inorganic carbon pool in bundle sheath cells. Plant Physiol **91:** 1372–1381

Kawamura T, Shigesada K, Toh H, Okumura S, Yanagisawa S, Izui K (1992) Molecular evolution of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase for C_4 photosynthesis in maize: comparison of its cDNA sequence with a newly isolated cDNA encoding an isozyme involved in the anaplerotic function. J Biochem **112**: 147–154

Kawamura Y, Uemura M (2003) Mass spectrometric approach for identifying putative plasma membrane proteins of *Arabidopsis* leaves associated with cold acclimation. Plant J 36: 141–154

Kimber MS, Pai EF (2000) The active site architecture of *Pisum sativum* β -carbonic anhydrase is a mirror image of that of α -carbonic anhydrases. EMBO J 19: 1407–1418

Lai LB, Wang L, Nelson TM (2002) Distinct but conserved functions for two chloroplastic NADP-malic enzyme isoforms in C₃ and C₄ Flaveria species. Plant Physiol 128: 125–139

Long SP (1999) Environmental responses. In RF Sage, RK Monson, eds, C₄ Plant Biology. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 215–249

Ludwig M, Burnell JN (1995) Molecular comparison of carbonic anhydrase from *Flaveria* species demonstrating different photosynthetic pathways. Plant Mol Biol 29: 353–365

Majeau N, Arnoldo M, Coleman JR (1994) Modification of carbonic anhydrase activity by antisense and over-expression constructs in transgenic tobacco. Plant Mol Biol 25: 377–385

Marshall JS, Stubbs JD, Taylor WC (1996) Two genes encode highly similar chloroplastic NADP-malic enzymes in *Flaveria*: implications for the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. Plant Physiol **111**: 1251–1261

Maruyama-Nakashita A, Inoue E, Watanabe-Takahashi A, Yamaya T, Takahashi H (2003) Transcriptome profiling of sulfur-responsive genes in Arabidopsis reveals global effects of sulfur nutrition on multiple metabolic pathways. Plant Physiol 132: 597–605

McKown AD, Moncalvo JM, Dengler NG (2005) Phylogeny of Flaveria (Asteraceae) and inference of C₄ photosynthesis evolution. Am J Bot 92: 1911–1928

Mitra SK, Gantt JA, Ruby JF, Clouse SD, Goshe MB (2007) Membrane proteomic analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* using alternative solubilization techniques. J Proteome Res 6: 1933–1950

Mongrand S, Morel J, Laroche J, Claverol S, Carde JP, Hartmann MA, Bonneu M, Simon-Plas F, Lessire R, Bessoule JJ (2004) Lipid rafts in higher plant cells. J Biol Chem 279: 36277–36286

Monson RK (2003) Gene duplication, neofunctionalization, and the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. Int J Plant Sci 164: S43–S54

Nakano M, Nobuta K, Vemaraju K, Tej SS, Skogen JW, Meyers BC (2006) Plant MPSS databases: signature-based transcriptional resources for analyses of mRNA and small RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 34: D731–D735

Nandi A, Welti R, Shah J (2004) The Arabidopsis thaliana dihydroxyacetone phosphate reductase gene SUPPRESSOR OF FATTY ACID DESATUR-ASE DEFICIENCY1 is required for glycerolipid metabolism and for the activation of systemic acquired resistance. Plant Cell 16: 465–477

Okabe K, Yang SY, Tsuzuki M, Miyachi S (1984) Carbonic anhydrase: its content in spinach leaves and its taxonomic diversity studied with antispinach leaf carbonic anhydrase antibody. Plant Sci Lett **33**: 145–153

Osborne CP, Beerling DJ (2006) Nature's green revolution: the remarkable evolutionary rise of C₄ plants. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **361:** 173–194

Peltier JB, Cai Y, Sun Q, Zabrouskov V, Giacomelli L, Rudella A, Ytterberg AJ, Rutschow H, van Wijk KJ (2006) The oligomeric stromal proteome of Arabidopsis thaliana chloroplasts. Mol Cell Proteomics 5: 114–133

Poincelot RP (1972) Intracellular distribution of carbonic anhydrase in spinach leaves. Biochim Biophys Acta 258: 637–642

Price GD, von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, Yu JW, Lloyd J, Oja V, Kell P, Harrison K, Gallagher A, Badger MR (1994) Specific reduction of chloroplast carbonic anhydrase activity by antisense RNA in transgenic tobacco plants has a minor effect on photosynthesis. Planta 193: 331–340

Provart NJ, Majeau N, Coleman JR (1993) Characterization of pea chloroplastic carbonic anhydrase: expression in *Escherichia coli* and sitedirected mutagenesis. Plant Mol Biol 22: 937–943

Rajeevan MS, Bassett CL, Hughes DW (1991) Isolation and characterization of cDNA clones for NADP-malic enzyme from leaves of *Flaveria*: transcript abundance distinguishes C₃, C₃-C₄ and C₄ photosynthetic types. Plant Mol Biol 17: 371–383

Raven JA, Newman JR (1994) Requirement for carbonic anhydrase activity in processes other than photosynthetic inorganic carbon assimilation. Plant Cell Environ 17: 123–130

Reed ML, Graham D (1981) Carbonic anhydrase in plants: distribution, properties and possible physiological roles. Prog Phytochem 7: 47–94

Restrepo S, Myers KL, del Pozo O, Martin GB, Hart AL, Buell CR, Fry WE, Smart CD (2005) Gene profiling of a compatible interaction between *Phytophthora infestans* and *Solanum tuberosum* suggests a role for carbonic anhydrase. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 18: 913–922

Roeske CA, Ogren WL (1990) Nucleotide sequence of pea cDNA encoding chloroplast carbonic anhydrase. Nucleic Acids Res 18: 3413

Rosche E, Chitty J, Westhoff P, Taylor WC (1998) Analysis of promoter activity for the gene encoding pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase in stably transformed C₄ *Flaveria* species. Plant Physiol 117: 821–829

Rosche E, Streubel M, Westhoff P (1994) Primary structure of the pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase of the C₃ plant *Flaveria pringlei* and expression analysis of pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase sequences in C₃, C₃-C₄ and C₄ *Flaveria* species. Plant Mol Biol 26: 763–769

Rutschow H, Ytterberg AJ, Friso G, Nilsson R, van Wijk KJ (2008) Quantitative proteomics of a chloroplast SRP54 sorting mutant and its genetic interactions with CLPC1 in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 148: 156–175

Sage RF (2004) The evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. New Phytol 161: 341–370

Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4: 406–425

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Ed 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY

Santoni V, Rouquié D, Doumas P, Mansion M, Boutry M, Degand H, Dupree P, Packman L, Sherrier J, Prime T, et al (1998) Use of a proteome strategy for tagging proteins present at the plasma membrane. Plant J 16: 633–641

Slaymaker DH, Navarre DA, Clark D, del Pozo O, Martin GB, Klessig DF (2002) The tobacco salicylic acid-binding protein 3 (SABP3) is the chloroplast carbonic anhydrase, which exhibits antioxidant activity and plays a role in the hypersensitive defense response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **99:** 11640–11645

Small I, Peeters N, Legeai F, Lurin C (2004) Predotar: a tool for rapidly screening proteomes for N-terminal targeting sequences. Proteomics 4: 1581–1590

Tausta SL, Coyle HM, Rothermal B, Stiefel V, Nelson T (2002) Maize C4 and non-C4 NADP-dependent malic enzymes are encoded by distinct genes derived from a plastid-localized ancestor. Plant Mol Biol 50: 635-652

- **Tetu SG, Tanz SK, Vella N, Burnell JB, Ludwig M** (2007) The *Flaveria bidentis* β-carbonic anhydrase gene family encodes cytosolic and chloroplastic isoforms demonstrating distinct organ-specific expression patterns. Plant Physiol **144:** 1316–1327
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673–4680
- **Tipple BJ, Pagani M** (2007) The early origins of terrestrial C_4 photosynthesis. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci **35:** 435–461
- Tsuzuki M, Miyachi S, Edwards GE (1985) Localization of carbonic anhydrase in mesophyll cells of terrestrial C₃ plants in relation to CO₂ assimilation. Plant Cell Physiol 26: 881–891
- Uehlein N, Lovisolo C, Siefritz F, Kaldenhoff R (2003) The tobacco aquaporin NtAQP1 is a membrane CO_2 pore with physiological functions. Nature **425**: 734–737
- Uehlein N, Otto B, Hanson DT, Fischer M, McDowell N, Kaldenhoff R (2008) Function of *Nicotiana tabacum* aquaporins as chloroplast gas pores challenges the concept of membrane CO₂ permeability. Plant Cell **20**: 648–657
- **Utsunomiya E, Muto S** (1993) Carbonic anhydrase in the plasma membranes from leaves of C_3 and C_4 plants. Physiol Plant **88**: 413–419
- Vicentini A, Barber JC, Aliscioni SS, Giussani LM, Kellogg EA (2008) The

age of the grasses and clusters of origins of C_4 photosynthesis. Glob Change Biol **14:** 2963–2977

- von Caemmerer S, Quinn V, Hancock N, Price GD, Furbank RT, Ludwig M (2004) Carbonic anhydrase and C₄ photosynthesis: a transgenic analysis. Plant Cell Environ 27: 697–703
- von Heijne G, Steppuhn J, Hermann RG (1989) Domain structure of mitochondrial and chloroplast targeting peptides. Eur J Biochem 180: 535–545
- Wang BC, Pan YH, Meng DZ, Zhu YX (2006) Identification and quantitative analysis of significantly accumulated proteins during the *Arabidop*sis seedling de-etiolation process. J Integr Plant Biol 48: 104–113
- Wang YQ, Feechan A, Yun BW, Shafiei R, Hofmann A, Taylor P, Xue P, Yang FQ, Xie ZS, Pallas JA, et al (2009) S-Nitrosylation of AtSABP3 antagonizes the expression of plant immunity. J Biol Chem 284: 2131–2137
- Williams TG, Flanagan LB, Coleman JR (1996) Photosynthetic gas exchange and discrimination against ¹³CO₂ and C¹⁸O¹⁶O in tobacco plants modified by an antisense construct to have low chloroplastic carbonic anhydrase. Plant Physiol **112**: 319–326
- Winkel BSJ (2004) Metabolic channeling in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 55: 85–107
- Zhang Z (2003) Evolution by gene duplication. Trends Ecol Evol 18: 292–298
- Zybailov B, Rutschow H, Friso G, Rudella A, Emanuelsson O, Sun Q, van Wijk KJ (2008) Sorting signals, N-terminal modifications and abundance of the chloroplast genome. PLoS One 3: e1994