Depressive symptoms are associated with elevated serum proinflammatory cytokines among pregnant women

Lisa M. Christian, PhD[1,2], **Albert Franco, MD**[3], **Ronald Glaser, PhD**[2,4], and **Jay Iams, MD**[5]

[1] The Department of Psychiatry, The Ohio State University

[2] The Institute for Behavioral Medicine Research, The Ohio State University

[3] Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Carolinas Medical Center

[4] Molecular Virology, Immunology, and Medical Genetics, The Ohio State University

[5] Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Ohio State University

Abstract

Psychosocial stress and depressive symptoms are associated with increased risk of negative perinatal outcomes including preterm delivery and gestational hypertension. Inflammation is a likely mechanism by which distress may promote these outcomes. It is well-established that stress and depressive symptoms are associated with elevated serum inflammatory markers in nonpregnant populations. However, the immune system exhibits significant changes during pregnancy. Thus, the extent to which these findings extend to pregnancy is largely unknown. The current study examined associations among perceived stress, depressive symptoms, and serum inflammatory markers in a sample of 60 pregnant women. Fifty seven percent were African-American, 82% had completed high school or less education, and 63% reported an annual family income below $15,000. Participants completed the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) and the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Serum levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) were determined using high sensitivity immunoassays. Regression analyses demonstrated that after controlling for pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), higher scores on the CES-D were related to significantly higher levels of IL-6 (β=.23, p=.05) and marginally higher TNF-α (β=.24, p=.06). Perceived stress was not significantly related to serum levels of IL-6 or TNF-α. In sum, these results indicate that depressive symptoms are associated with higher levels of maternal serum inflammatory markers during pregnancy. These data are consistent with the contention that depressive symptoms may contribute to negative perinatal outcomes via inflammatory pathways.

Keywords

inflammation; cytokines; interleukin-6; psychoneuroimmunology; pregnancy; depressive symptoms; depression; stress; socioeconomic status; psychosocial

Preterm birth affects 12–13% of births in the U.S. and is a leading cause of infant mortality (Goldenberg et al., 2008). Greater stress and depressive symptoms have been related to

increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth and preeclampsia, a leading cause of medically indicated preterm birth (Hobel et al., 2008; Kurki et al., 2000). Relationships of stress and depressive symptoms with negative perinatal outcomes remain after controlling for behavioral and demographic explanations, suggesting a role for more direct physiological pathways.

A likely mechanism linking psychological distress with negative perinatal outcomes is inflammation. Earlier literature described successful pregnancy as an antiinflammatory phenomenon; this was presumed to prevent rejection of the fetus by the maternal immune system (Wegmann et al., 1993). Later literature indicates that inflammatory processes are critical during the peri-implantation period and that immune adaptations during ongoing pregnancy are more complex than initially described (Chaouat et al., 2004; Raghupathy, 2001). However, consistent evidence indicates that excessive inflammation is incompatible with healthy pregnancy; elevations in maternal serum inflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and interleukin-1β (IL-1β), are causally associated with increased risk negative perinatal outcomes including gestational hypertension and risk of preterm delivery (Raghupathy and Kalinka, 2008).

It is well-established that stress and depressive symptoms predict elevations in circulating inflammatory cytokines in nonpregnant humans and animals (Raison et al., 2006; Zorrilla et al., 2001). Limited research has examined whether these associations generalize to pregnancy. Available data indicate that perceived stress is associated with elevated maternal serum proinflammatory cytokines, lower antiinflammatory cytokines, and exaggerated production of the proinflammatory cytokines by lymphocytes stimulated in-vitro (Coussons-Read et al., 2007; Coussons-Read et al., 2005). To our knowledge no studies have demonstrated a relationship between proinflammatory cytokines and current depressive symptomology during pregnancy. It is estimated that 3–5% of women meet criteria for major depression during pregnancy, with an approximately equivalent number experiencing subclinical depressive symptoms (Gaynes et al., 2005). Women who lack social support or experience greater social conflict are likely to experience more depressive symptoms during pregnancy (Rhodes and Woods, 1995). Moreover, rates of both depression (Seguin et al., 1995) and preterm delivery (Goldenberg et al., 2008) are substantially higher among women from lower versus higher socioeconomic backgrounds.

Addressing gaps in the literature, the current study examined associations among perceived stress, current depressive symptoms, and serum inflammatory markers among women from primarily lower socioeconomic backgrounds. We hypothesized that greater perceived stress and depressive symptoms would be associated with higher maternal serum levels of IL-6 and TNF-α. It was expected that rates of clinically significant depressive symptoms would be relatively high among this sample of women due to chronic stress associated with low socioeconomic status. Finally, we hypothesized that greater social support and less social conflict would be reflected by lower rates of perceived stress and depressive symptomology.

Method

Participants

Sixty pregnant women were recruited from the Ohio State University (OSU) Prenatal Clinic. The mean age of participants was 25 years (SD=4.8). Women completed the study visit at an average of 15 weeks (SD =7.8) gestation. Women were excluded from participation if they reported recent acute illness or if fetal anomaly was indicated per medical records. Though rare in the study population, women were excluded if they had chronic health conditions with implications for immune function including rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, or human immunodeficiency virus. Each participant was compensated $10 and provided a parking pass.

The study was approved by the OSU Biomedical Institutional Review Board. Data were collected from October 2005 to June 2007.

Demographic and Psychosocial Measures

Each participant provided **demographic information** regarding her age, race, education level, marital status, income, and employment status. Women also reported their height and pre-pregnancy weight. Data about **health behaviors** were recorded, including alcohol consumption in the past 48 hours, participation in regular physical activity (defined as $\geq$ one hour per week of vigorous activity), the number of hours of sleep in the previous night and previous three nights, and frequency of prenatal vitamin use.

The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) was used to measure depressive symptomology (Basco et al., 1997; Radloff, 1977). Higher scores on the CES-D have been associated with greater risk of negative perinatal outcomes including preterm delivery (Orr et al., 2002). The 4-item version of the **Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)** was used to measure the subjective experiences of stress and coping with stress using the past month as timeframe. The PSS measures a construct that is independent of depressive symptomology (Cohen et al., 1983).

Social support was measured using the 12-item **Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL)** (Cohen and Hoberman, 1983). The hostility/impatience and insensitivity subscales of the **Test of Negative Social Exchange (TENSE)** were used to assess frequency of negative social experiences (Ruehlman and Karoly, 1991). To provide additional descriptive information, participants completed two questions rating their own and their partner's **happiness about pregnancy** on a scale from 1 to 10 (Brown and Eisenbert, 1995). Scores between 1–3 indicate unhappiness, 4–7 indicate ambivalence, and 8-10 indicate happiness.

Cytokine Measurements

Whole blood was collected into vacutainer tubes between 9:30 am – 1:30 pm. Samples were immediately centrifuged, aliquoted, and placed in −80 C degree freezer storage until analysis. Serum levels of cytokines were assayed in duplicate using ultra sensitive multiplex kits from Meso Scale Discovery (MSD) per kit instructions. Sensitivity for both IL-6 and TNF-α was .3 pg/ml. The intra-and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.1% and 8.7% for IL-6 and 2.7% and 10.4% for TNF-α.

Analytic Strategy

Cytokine values were log transformed to normalize the data distribution prior to statistical analyses. IL-6 and TNF-α refer to the log transformed value for these markers throughout. Data points $\geq$ 3 standard deviations from the mean were considered to be outliers. Using this cut-off, four samples of IL-6 and one sample of TNF-α were excluded from analyses.

Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic, psychosocial, and health behavior variables. To identify potential confounding factors, relationships between demographic factors and inflammatory markers were examined. Next, regression analyses including previously identified covariates were utilized to test the primary hypotheses related to relationships between perceived stress, depression, and inflammatory markers. Further analyses were conducted to examine the effects of social support and social conflict within this model. Data analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS 16.0).

Results

Demographic Characteristics

Sixty women participated in the study. Demographic and descriptive data are presented in Table 1. The majority of participants were African-American (57%), unmarried (76%), had completed high school or less education (82%), and reported a total annual family income below $15,000 (63%). Pre-pregnancy BMI based on self-report was highly correlated with BMI as measured at the study visit ($r = .94$, $p <.001$), suggesting adequate accuracy. Because the accuracy of BMI at the study visit was affected by pregnancy-related weight gain, pre-pregnancy BMI was utilized for statistical analyses.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics related to the psychosocial characteristics are presented in Table 2. The average score on the CES-D was 17 (SD = 10); 31 women (52%) scored at or above a clinical cut-off of 16. Scores on the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) ranged from 0–13 with an average of 6.65 (SD = 2.82). Based on the two-item measure assessing maternal and perceived paternal happiness about pregnancy, 32 women were classified as happy, 16 were ambivalent, and 12 were unhappy. Overall, 27 women (45%) rated both themselves and their partner as happy about the pregnancy.

Demographic Factors and Inflammation

To identify potential confounding factors to be included as covariates in subsequent analyses, associations between inflammatory markers and demographic variables were examined. There was no association between IL-6 or TNF-α with age, marital status (married versus unmarried), employment status (employed versus unemployed), income or education (ps > .05). Further, neither gestational age at the time of participation nor timing of the blood draw was significantly related to levels of either inflammatory marker (ps> .05). There were no significant differences in serum IL-6 or TNF-α between Caucasian versus African-American women (ps > .05). Finally, as expected, BMI prior to pregnancy was significantly correlated with IL-6 ($r = .54$, $p <.01$), and TNF-α ($r = .34$, $p < .01$). Based on these analyses, pre-pregnancy BMI was included as a covariate in subsequent analyses of psychosocial correlates of inflammation.

Primary Analyses: Stress, Depressive Symptoms, and Serum Proinflammatory Cytokines

Regression analyses were conducted to test the main hypothesis that perceived stress and depressive symptoms would predict higher levels of serum proinflammatory cytokines. Controlling for pre-pregnancy BMI, higher CES-D scores were associated with significantly higher IL-6 ($\beta= .23$, $t(2, 55) = 1.98$, $p = .05$; See Table 3). Similarly, the relationship between higher CES-D scores and higher TNF-α was marginally significant ($\beta= .24$, t(2, 58), $p = .06$). Perceived stress as measured by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) was not associated with levels of IL-6 ($\beta = 0.03$, $t(2, 55) = 0.22$, $p = .83$) or TNF-α ($\beta=-0.10$, $t(2, 58) = −0.76$, $p = .45$).

To determine whether differences in health behaviors may mediate the relationship between depressive symptoms and inflammatory markers, relationships between each health behavior and each inflammatory marker were examined. Descriptive information regarding health behaviors is presented in Table 4. No health behaviors (sleep in previous night, sleep in previous 3 nights, prenatal vitamin use, cigarette smoking or regular vigorous activity) were significantly associated with serum levels of IL-6 or TNF-α (ps >.05). No woman reported alcohol consumption in the previous 48 hours. Thus, none of the health behaviors measured accounted for the relationship between depressive symptoms and inflammatory markers.

Psychosocial Correlates of Depressive Symptoms

Analyses were conducted to identify psychosocial correlates of depressive symptoms. As expected, depressive symptoms were positively correlated with perceived stress ($r = .50$, $p < .01$). Univariate ANOVA analyses demonstrated that women who were classified as unhappy about their pregnancies had significantly greater depressive symptoms (mean CES-D = 22, SD = 10) as compared to women who were classified as happy about their pregnancies (mean CES-D = 16, SD = 10; $p = .04$). Women who reported less social support as measured by the ISEL reported greater depressive symptoms ($p < .05$). Moreover, more frequent hostile and insensitive social interactions as measured by the TENSE were associated with greater depressive symptoms (ps < .01).

Additional analyses were conducted to examine whether social support and social conflict were unique predictors of depressive symptoms. After controlling for ISEL scores, TENSE hostility scores remained significantly associated with depressive symptoms ($\beta = .32$, $t(1,59) = 2.67$, $p = .01$), indicating that these measures of social support and social conflict provided unique information. Together, the ISEL and the TENSE hostility subscale accounted for 42% of the variance in depressive symptoms. After controlling for ISEL scores, the TENSE insensitivity subscale was no longer associated with depressive symptoms ($\beta = .17$, $t(1,59) = 1.25$, $p = .21$), indicating that these measures provided overlapping predictive value.

Discussion

This study provides evidence that current depressive symptoms are associated with elevations in circulating proinflammatory cytokines during human pregnancy. The relationship between symptom severity and both IL-6 and TNF-α was equivalent to a small effect size, which is comparable to data from nonpregnant populations (Miller et al., 2002).

Contrary to previous studies (Coussons-Read et al., 2007; Coussons-Read et al., 2005), perceived stress was not significantly related to inflammation. As compared to previous studies, women in the current study were from significantly lower socioeconomic backgrounds. Thus, the lack of effect of perceived stress may reflect that under conditions of sufficient stress the experience of depressive symptoms is a better predictor of physiological effects than is perceived stress. In addition the measure of perceived stress utilized and/or gestational age at the time of measurement may account for the lack of effect of perceived stress in the current study.

Although it is often a happy time, the experience of pregnancy itself can be a stressor, particularly for women with unplanned pregnancies (Yali and Lobel, 2002). In the current sample, 47% of women were classified as ambivalent or unhappy about their pregnancies. Women classified as unhappy about their pregnancies (n = 12) had significantly greater depressive symptoms than did women who were happy about their pregnancies (n = 33), suggesting that unplanned pregnancy may contribute to depressive symptomology.

As expected, lower social support and more frequent negative social interactions were associated with greater depressive symptoms. Together, social support and hostile social interactions accounted for 42% of the variance in depressive symptoms. These data are consistent with previous findings that social support and social conflict are unique and robust predictors of risk of depressive symptoms (Westdahl et al., 2007).

Low socioeconomic status (SES) has been associated with higher levels of inflammatory markers (Koster et al., 2006; Steptoe et al., 2002). In the current study neither income nor education was associated with IL-6 or TNF-α levels. Relatedly, neither income nor education was significantly predictive of perceived stress or depressive symptoms. This is likely

attributable to the restricted range of socioeconomic status included. The ability to detect effects of socioeconomic status would be greater in a sample which included more women of moderate to high socioeconomic status.

In addition to socioeconomic status, potential differences based on race were of interest. It has been proposed that, via inflammatory pathways, both genetic and environmental factors contribute to the 2 to 3-fold greater risk of preterm delivery seen in African-American as compared to Caucasian women (Giscombe and Lobel, 2005). In the current investigation, IL-6 and TNF-α levels did not differ statistically between African-American and Caucasian women. However, African-American women exhibited non-significantly higher level of IL-6. Specifically, mean IL-6 was 1.15 (SD = .52) pg/ml among Caucasian women and 1.43 (SD = .90) pg/ml among African-American women. The ability to detect a difference of this magnitude, which is equivalent to a small to medium effect, was limited by the sample size.

The current study assessed parameters of interest at only one timepoint which was at an average of 15 weeks gestation. A relatively early timepoint was selected because early identification of women who may be at risk of negative perinatal outcome will allow greater time for intervention. However, longitudinal studies in which women are followed throughout pregnancy and delivery would provide much needed information regarding the effects of depressive symptoms at different stages of pregnancy.

This study provides novel data linking depressive symptoms to elevated maternal serum proinflammatory cytokines during pregnancy. Due to the relatively low base rate of preterm delivery and preeclampsia, the current investigation did not allow adequate statistical power to examine effects of depressive symptoms and inflammation on negative perinatal outcomes. Further research is needed to demonstrate that distress affects perinatal health via inflammatory pathways. To that end, this investigation is ongoing; accrual of an adequate number of study participants will allow for examination of the health consequences of the associations reported. The prevalence of depressive symptoms during pregnancy, particularly among women from disadvantaged backgrounds, highlights the importance of continued investigation.

References

Basco, MR.; Krebaum, SR.; Rush, AJ. Outcome measures of depression. In: Strupp, HH.; Horowitz, LM.; Lambert, MJ., editors. Measuring patient changes in mood, anxiety, and personality disorders. American Psychological Association; Washington D. C: 1997. p. 207-245.

Brown, S.; Eisenbert, L. The Best Intentions: Unintended Pregnancy and the Well-Being of Children and Families. National Academy Press; Washington, D.C: 1995.

Chaouat G, Ledee-Bataille N, Dubanchet S, Zourbas S, Sandra O, Martal J. Reproductive immunology 2003: reassessing the Th1/Th2 paradigm? Immunology Letters 2004;92:207–214. [PubMed: 15081613]

Cohen S, Hoberman HM. Positive events and social supports as buffers of life change stress. Journal of Applied Social Psychology 1983;13:99–125.

Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior 1983;24:385–396. [PubMed: 6668417]

Coussons-Read ME, Okun ML, Nettles CD. Psychosocial stress increases inflammatory markers and alters cytokine production across pregnancy. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2007;21:343–350.

Coussons-Read ME, Okun ML, Schmitt MP, Giese S. Prenatal stress alters cytokine levels in a manner that may endanger human pregnancy. Psychosomatic Medicine 2005;67:625–631. [PubMed: 16046378]

Gaynes, BN. United States. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality., Research Triangle Institute-University of North Carolina Evidence-based Practice Center. Perinatal depression : prevalence, screening accuracy, and screening outcomes. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health

Service, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Rockville, MD (540 Gaither Rd., Rockville 20850): 2005.

Giscombe CL, Lobel M. Explaining Disproportionately High Rates of Adverse Birth Outcomes Among African Americans: The Impact of Stress, Racism, and Related Factors in Pregnancy. Psychological Bulletin 2005;131:662–683. [PubMed: 16187853]

Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet 2008;371:75–84. [PubMed: 18177778]

Hobel CJ, Goldstein A, Barrett ES. Psychosocial stress and pregnancy outcome. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology 2008;51:333–348. [PubMed: 18463464]

Koster A, Bosma H, Penninx B, Newman A, Harris TB, van Eijk J, Kempen G, Simonsick EM, Johnson KC, Rooks RN, Ayonayon HN, Rubin SM, Kritchevsky SB. Association of Inflammatory Markers With Socioeconomic Status. Journal of Gerontology Series A 2006:284–290.

Kurki T, Hiilesmaa V, Raitasalo R, Mattila H, Ylikorkala O. Depression and anxiety in early pregnancy and risk for preeclampsia. Obstetrics and Gynecology 2000;95:487–490. [PubMed: 10725477]

Miller GE, Stetler CA, Carney RM, Freedland KE, Banks WA. Clinical depression and inflammatory risk markers for coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 2002;90:1279–1283. [PubMed: 12480034]

Orr ST, James SA, Blackmore Prince C. Maternal prenatal depressive symptoms and spontaneous preterm births among African-American women in Baltimore, Maryland. American Journal of Epidemiology 2002;156:797–802. [PubMed: 12396996]

Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Applied Psychological Measurement 1977;1:385–401.

Raghupathy R. Pregnancy: success and failure within the Th1/Th2/Th3 paradigm. Seminars in Immunology 2001;13:219–227. [PubMed: 11437629]

Raghupathy R, Kalinka J. Cytokine imbalance in pregnancy complications and its modulation. Frontiers in Bioscience 2008;13:985–994. [PubMed: 17981605]

Raison CL, Capuron L, Miller AH. Cytokines sing the blues: inflammation and the pathogenesis of depression. Trends in Immunology 2006;27:24–31. [PubMed: 16316783]

Rhodes JE, Woods M. Comfort and conflict in the relationship of pregnant, minority adolescents: Social support as a moderator of social strain. Journal of Community Psychology 1995;23:74–84.

Ruehlman LS, Karoly P. With a little flak from my friends: Development and preliminary validation of the Test of Negative Social Exchange (TENSE). Psychological Assessment: Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 1991;3:97–104.

Seguin L, Potvin L, St-Denis M, Loiselle J. Chronic stressors, social support, and depression during pregnancy. Obstetrics and Gynecology 1995;85:583–589. [PubMed: 7898838]

Steptoe A, Owen N, Kunz-Ebrecht S, Mohamed-Ali V. Inflammatory cytokines, socioeconomic status, and acute stress responsivity. Brain Behav Immun 2002;16:774–784. [PubMed: 12480506]

Wegmann TG, Line H, Guilbert L, Mosmann TR. Bidirectional cytokine interactions in the maternal-fetal relationship: is successful pregnancy a Th2 phenomenon? Immunology Today 1993;14:353–356. [PubMed: 8363725]

Westdahl C, Milan S, Magriples U, Kershaw T, Rising SS, Ickovics JR. Social Support and Social Conflict as Predictors of Prenatal Depression. Obstetrics and Gynecology 2007;110:134–140. [PubMed: 17601908]

Yali AM, Lobel M. Stress-resistance resources and coping in pregnancy. Anxiety, Stress, and Coping 2002;15:289–309.

Zorrilla EP, Luborsky L, McKay JR, Rosenthal R, Houldin A, Tax A, McCorkle R, Seligman DA, Schmidt K. The relationship of depression and stressors to immunological assays: A meta-analytic review. Brain Behavior and Immunity 2001;15:199–226.

Table 1

Demographic Characteristics

Age (years)	Range: 18–37
	Mean: 25.24 (SD = 4.89)
Race	African-American = 34
	Caucasian = 19
	Asian = 1
	Bi-racial = 6
Marital Status	Unmarried = 46
	Married = 14
Education	Less than high school = 19
	High school = 30
	Greater than high school = 11
Employment Status	Employed = 25
	Unemployed = 35
Income	< $15,000 = 38
	15,000–29,999 = 14
	>30,000 = 6
Body Mass Index (kg/m^2)	
Pre-pregnancy:	27.78 (SD = 6.08)
Per scale:	28.54 (SD = 6.72)
Weeks Gestation	15 (SD = 7.8)
Gravidity	3.1 (SD = 1.8)
Parity	2.4 (SD =1.2)

Table 2

Scores on Psychosocial Measures

	Range	Mean (SD)
CES-D	1–41	17.05 (10.14)
PSS	0–13	6.65 (2.82)
TENSE		
Hostility	0–3	0.65 (0.73)
Insensitivity	0–3	0.78 (0.95)
ISEL	16–48	38.75 (7.25)
Parental Happiness		
Mother	1–10	6.93 (3.13)
Father	1–10	7.87 (2.59)

Abbreviations: CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; PSS = Perceived Stress Scale; TENSE = Test of Negative Social Exchange; ISEL = Interpersonal Support Evaluation List; Parental Happiness = Mother's ratings of her own and her partner's happiness about pregnancy.

Table 3

Regression Analyses - Relationships between depressive symptoms and maternal serum levels of IL-6 (n=56) and TNF-α (n=59)

IL-6 (lg pg/ml)				
Step	Predictor	Estimate β	ΔR^2	ΔF	*p*
1	Pre-pregnancy BMI	.61	.29	22.14	<.01
2	**CES-D**	**.23**	**.05**	**3.93**	**.05**

TNF-α (lg pg/ml)				
Step	Predictor	Estimate β	ΔR^2	ΔF	*p*
1	Pre-pregnancy BMI	.39	.12	7.61	<.01
2	**CES-D**	**.24**	**.05**	**3.63**	**.06**

Table 4

Health Behaviors

Current Smoker	Yes: 15
	No: 45
Prenatal Vitamin Use	5–7 days/wk: 46
	None: 13
Regular Exercise	Yes: 24
	No: 28
Sleep	
Hours (past day)	6.65 (SD = 1.8)
Hours (past 3 days)	21.10 (SD = 6.7)

Note: No woman reported alcohol use in the 48 hours prior to her study participation.