



Published in final edited form as:

Acta Neuropathol. 2009 January ; 117(1): 15–18. doi:10.1007/s00401-008-0460-5.

Nomenclature for neuropathologic subtypes of frontotemporal lobar degeneration: consensus recommendations

Ian R. A. Mackenzie,

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Vancouver General Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Manuela Neumann,

Institute of Neuropathology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Eileen H. Bigio,

Department of Pathology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

Nigel J. Cairns,

Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

Irina Alafuzoff,

Section of Neuropathology, Kuopio University, Kuopio, Finland

Jillian Kril,

Department of Pathology, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Gabor G. Kovacs,

Institute of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Bernardino Ghetti,

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Glenda Halliday,

Prince of Wales Medical Research Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Ida E. Holm,

Department of Pathology, Aalborg Hospital, Aarhus University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

Paul G. Ince,

Neuropathology Group, University of SheYeld Medical School, SheYeld, UK

Wouter Kamphorst,

Department of Pathology, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Tamas Revesz,

Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Queen Square, London, UK

Annemieke J. M. Rozemuller,

Department of Pathology, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Samir Kumar-Singh,

Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Haruhiko Akiyama,
Tokyo Institute of Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan

Atik Baborie,
Neuropathology, The Walton Centre for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Liverpool, UK

Salvatore Spina,
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine,
Indianapolis, IN, USA

Dennis W. Dickson,
Neuropathology Laboratory, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Jacksonville, FL, USA

John Q. Trojanowski, and
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine,
Philadelphia, PA, USA

David M. A. Mann
Clinical Neuroscience Research Group, Greater Manchester Neurosciences Centre, University of
Manchester, Salford, UK

Introduction

The neuropathology associated with the clinical entities frontotemporal dementia (FTD), behavioral variant FTD), progressive non-Xuent aphasia (PNFA) and semantic dementia (SD), is heterogeneous with the common feature being a relatively selective degeneration of the frontal and temporal lobes (frontotemporal lobar degeneration, FTLN). As in other neurodegenerative conditions, most pathological subtypes of FTLN are characterized by specific kinds of intracellular protein inclusions. In the past few decades, the biochemical composition of many of these inclusion bodies has been determined. There is a growing trend to classify FTLN based on the presumed molecular defect, in the belief that this most closely reflects the underlying pathogenic process and because many of the eponymous and descriptively named syndromes of the past are now known to have imperfect clinicopathological correlation.

A comprehensive consensus paper on the neuropathologic diagnostic and nosologic criteria for FTLN was recently published in this journal [3]. These criteria incorporate several important recent advances in our understanding of the molecular genetics and pathology of FTLN; specifically, the discovery of several new FTLN-associated gene abnormalities and the identification of TDP-43 as the pathological protein in most tau-negative FTLN. The criteria employ a protein-based approach for the neuropathologic diagnosis and classification of FTLN; however, the nomenclature for individual conditions has not been revised to reflect this.

Specific problems with current nomenclature

Further advances in our understanding of the disease specificity and sensitivity of TDP-43 pathology have resulted in confusion around the use of the term “frontotemporal lobar degeneration with ubiquitinated inclusions” (FTLN-U). “FTLN-U” was originally developed for cases in which the characteristic inclusions are only visible with ubiquitin immunohistochemistry. It was anticipated that the ubiquitinated protein (or proteins) would eventually be identified and that this would allow more specific nomenclature and reclassification. Accordingly, when a small subset of cases was discovered to have inclusions that were also immunoreactive for class IV intermediate filaments, these were given a new

designation (neuronal intermediate filament inclusion disease, NIFID) and removed from the FTL-D-U category. However, when TDP-43 was recently identified as the pathological protein in most of the remaining cases of FTL-D-U [2,9], this convention was not immediately followed. FTL-D-U has continued to be used with the assumption that all the remaining genetic and pathologic subtypes of FTL-D-U are TDP-43 proteinopathies. However, recent studies have demonstrated that this is not the case; at least one familial subtype [the Danish kindred with autosomal dominant FTD linked to chromosome 3 (FTD-3), caused by a *CHMP2B* mutation] and a significant proportion of sporadic FTL-D-U cases, do not have signatory pathological TDP-43 [4,5,7,10]. Therefore, the group currently designated as FTL-D-U appears to include several distinct entities, the largest of which (TDP-43-positive) no longer satisfies the original definition of the term.

A second area of uncertainty relates to the disease specificity of TDP-43 pathology. Although the initial reports suggested that pathological TDP-43 was specific for FTL-D-U and ALS, several recent studies have found TDP-43-positive inclusions in a significant proportion of cases with other neurodegenerative conditions, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Lewy body disease and some primary tauopathies [1,8,12]. This TDP-43 pathology had not been recognized previously because ubiquitin immunohistochemistry does not distinguish it from the other coexisting (tau or α -synuclein) pathology. Although the concomitant TDP-43 pathology is usually restricted to limbic structures of the mesial temporal lobe, it sometimes extends into the neocortex and can closely resemble FTL-D-U. It is currently not known if this represents a coincidental primary pathological process, which contributes to the clinical phenotype, or a secondary change of little pathogenic significance, occurring in susceptible neuronal populations. Furthermore, there are currently no neuropathologic criteria for FTL-D-U that define the extent and anatomic distribution of pathology needed for the diagnosis. Therefore, pending further clinicopathological correlative studies, it is uncertain whether or not a diagnosis of FTL-D-U should be made when TDP-43 pathology is found in conjunction with other neurodegenerative processes.

The following recommendations are meant to serve two purposes. First, to introduce a protein-based nomenclature for FTL-D that is simple, consistent and transparent, and one that can easily accommodate future discoveries. Second, to modify existing terminology to address the specific issues related to FTL-D-U and TDP-43 pathology, described above.

Recommendations

1. FTL-D should be retained as the general terminology for pathological conditions that are commonly associated with the clinical entities of FTD, PNFA and/or SD, and in which degeneration of the frontal and temporal lobes is a characteristic feature. It is recognized, however, that other anatomical regions (especially the parietal lobes and striatonigral system) may also be involved in some of these cases.
2. Major subdivisions should be designated by the protein abnormality that is presumed to be pathogenic or most characteristic of the condition (i.e. FTL-D-protein) (Table 1).
3. When a new entity is discovered or when the molecular identity of the major pathological factor in an existing group is clarified, the appropriate term will be FTL-D-pathological molecule.
4. Whenever possible, cases should be further sub-classified, using current terminology, to define the specific pattern of pathology [i.e. FTL-D-tau (CBD) or FTL-D-TDP (type 2)] (Table 1).

5. Cases with inclusions that can only be demonstrated with immunohistochemistry against proteins of the ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS), should be designated FTLD-UPS. This would include FTD-3 and the recently described cases of FTLD with ubiquitin-positive, TDP-43-negative inclusions [4,5,7,10]. This designation recognizes that the TDP-43-negative inclusions may immunostain for UPS proteins other than ubiquitin, such as p62. This change should also avoid confusion with the previous terminology of FTLD-U.
6. Existing terms should be retained for rare causes of FTLD that have characteristic pathological features of unknown biochemistry, such as basophilic inclusion body disease (BIBD).
7. Cases of FTLD with no inclusions visible with special histochemical stains or the relevant immunohistochemistry should be designated FTLD-ni (no inclusions). This provides consistency in nomenclature and replaces the term “dementia lacking distinctive histopathology (DLHD), which many feel to be unsatisfactory because it suggests that pathologic changes are completely absent.
8. A diagnosis of FTLD—TDP should only be made in the presence of another (non-TDP-43) pathological process when the other pathology is considered too minor to have caused dementia (i.e., senile plaques or neurofibrillary tangles at densities below that required for the diagnosis of AD). When TDP-43 pathology is encountered in a case that fulfills neuropathologic criteria for some other neurodegenerative condition (such as AD), the presence and anatomical distribution of TDP-43 pathology should be indicated in a descriptive fashion [i.e. AD with limbic (or diffuse) TDP-43 pathology].

Summary

These recommendations provide a simple system of nomenclature that reflects our current understanding of the molecular pathology of FTLD and that can easily accommodate future discoveries. The terminology will allow neuropathologists to communicate their findings in a concise and unambiguous fashion. Terms that have become obsolete (i.e., FTLD-U) have been eliminated, while other traditional names for specific patterns of pathology within these broad protein-based categories can still be used without contradiction. This also provides a neuropathologic nosology that can be correlated with molecular genetic and clinical features. The next logical step will be to convene a meeting of international experts to update the clinical and pathological diagnostic criteria for FTLD and to develop an integrated classification scheme that reflects the many recent advances in the field.

References

1. Amador-Ortiz C, Lin WL, Ahmed Z, Personett D, Davies P, Duara R, Graff-Radford NR, Hutton ML, Dickson DW. TDP-43 immunoreactivity in hippocampal sclerosis and Alzheimer’s disease. *Ann Neurol* 2007;61:435–445. [PubMed: 17469117]doi:10.1002/ana.21154
2. Arai T, Hasegawa M, Akiyama H, Ikeda K, Nonaka T, Mori H, Mann D, Tsuchiya K, Yoshida M, Hashizume Y, Oda T. TDP-43 is a component of ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;351:602–611. [PubMed: 17084815]doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.10.093
3. Cairns NJ, Bigio EH, Mackenzie IRA, Neumann M, Lee VMY, Hatanpaa KJ, White CL, Schneider JA, Grinberg LT, Halliday G, Duyckaerts C, Lowe JS, Holm IE, Tolnay M, Okamoto K, Yokoo H, Murayama S, Woulfe J, Munoz DG, Dickson DW, Ince PG, Trojanowski JQ, Mann DMA. Neuropathologic diagnostic and nosologic criteria for frontotemporal lobar degeneration: consensus of the Consortium for Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration. *Acta Neuropathol* 2007;114:2–22.doi: 10.1007/s00401-007-0237-2

4. Holm IE, Englund E, Mackenzie IRA, Johannsen P, Isaacs A. A reassessment of the neuropathology of frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 3 (FTD-3). *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2007;66:884–891. [PubMed: 17917582]doi:10.1097/nen.0b013e3181567f02
5. Josephs KA, Lin WL, Ahmed Z, Stroh DA, Graff-Radford NR, Dickson DW. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration with ubiquitin-positive, but TDP-43-negative inclusions. *Acta Neuropathol* 2008;116:159–167. [PubMed: 18553091]doi:10.1007/s00401-008-0397-8
6. Mackenzie IRA, Baborie A, Pickering-Brown S, du Pleissis D, Jaros E, Perry RH, Neary D, Snowden JS, Mann DMA. Heterogeneity of ubiquitin pathology in frontotemporal lobar degeneration: classification and relation to clinical phenotype. *Acta Neuropathol* 2006;112:539–549. [PubMed: 17021754]doi:10.1007/s00401-006-0138-9
7. Mackenzie IRA, Foti D, Woulfe J, Hurwitz TA. Atypical frontotemporal lobar degeneration with ubiquitin-positive, TDP-43-negative neuronal inclusions. *Brain* 2008;131:1282–1293. [PubMed: 18362096]doi:10.1093/brain/awn061
8. Nakashima-Yasuda H, Uryu K, Robinson J, Xie SX, Jurtig H, Duda JE, Arnold SE, Siderowf A, Grossman M, Leverenz JB, Woltjer R, Lopez OL, Hamilton R, Tsuang DW, Galaska D, Masliah E, Kaye J, Clark CM, Montine TJ, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ. Co-morbidity of TDP-43 proteinopathy in Lewy body related diseases. *Acta Neuropathol* 2007;114:221–229. [PubMed: 17653732]doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0261-2
9. Neumann M, Sampathu DM, Kwong LK, Truax AC, Micsenyi MC, Chou TT, Bruce J, Schuck T, Grossman M, Clark CM, McClusky LF, Miller BL, Masliah E, Mackenzie IR, Feldman H, Feiden W, Kretschmar HA, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VMY. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science* 2006;314:130–133. [PubMed: 17023659]doi:10.1126/science.1134108
10. Roeber S, Mackenzie IR, Kretschmar HA, Neumann M. TDP-43-negative FTLD-U is a significant new clinico-pathological subtype of FTLD. *Acta Neuropathol* 2008;116:147–157. [PubMed: 18536926]doi:10.1007/s00401-008-0395-x
11. Sampathu DM, Neumann M, Kwong LK, Chou TT, Micsenyi M, Truax A, Bruce J, Grossman M, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VMY. Pathological heterogeneity of frontotemporal lobar degeneration with ubiquitin-positive inclusions delineated by ubiquitin immunohistochemistry and novel monoclonal antibodies. *Am J Pathol* 2006;169:1343–1352. [PubMed: 17003490]doi:10.2353/ajpath.2006.060438
12. Uryu K, Nakashima-Yasuda H, Forman MS, Kwong LK, Clark CM, Grossman M, Miller BL, Kretschmar HA, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ, Neumann M. Concomitant TAR-DNA-binding protein 43 pathology is present in Alzheimer disease and corticobasal degeneration but not in other tauopathies. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2008;67:555–564. [PubMed: 18520774]doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e31817713b5

Table 1
Recommended nomenclature for frontotemporal lobar degenerations

Current terminology	Recommended new terminology	Major pathological subtypes ^a
tau-positive FTL	FTLD-tau	PiD CBD PSP AGD MSTD Unclassifiable
tau-negative FTL		
FTLD-U		
TDP-43-positive	FTLD-TDP	Type 1-4 ^b Unclassifiable
TDP-43-negative	FTLD-UPS	aFTLD-U FTD-3
NIFID	FTLD-IF	
DLDH	FTLD-ni	
Other		
BIBD	BIBD	

*a*FTLD-U atypical frontotemporal lobar degeneration with ubiquitinated inclusions, AGD argyrophilic grain disease, BIBD basophilic inclusion body disease, CBD corticobasal degeneration, DLDH dementia lacking distinctive histopathology, FTD-3 frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 3, FTL frontotemporal lobar degeneration, FTLD-U FTL with ubiquitinated inclusions, IF intermediate filament, MSTD multiple system tauopathy with dementia, ni no inclusions, NIFID neuronal intermediate filament inclusion disease, PiD Pick's disease, PSP progressive supranuclear palsy, TDP TDP-43, UPS ubiquitin proteasome system

^a Indicates the characteristic pattern of pathology, not the clinical syndrome. Note that FTDP-17 is not listed as a pathological subtype because cases with different *MAPT* mutations do not have a consistent pattern of pathology. These cases would all be FTLD-tau, but further subtyping would vary

^b Must specify which classification system is being used [6,11]