

NIH Public Access Author Manuscript

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 18

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2009 March 18; 131(10): 3444–3445. doi:10.1021/ja809661k.

Synthesis of Copolymers by Alternating ROMP (AROMP)

Airong Song, Kathlyn A. Parker^{*}, and Nicole S. Sampson^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3400

Abstract



The alternating polymerization of cyclobutene 1-carboxylic esters and cyclohexene derivatives with the precatalyst $[(H_2IMes)(3-Br-pyr)_2Cl_2Ru=CHPh]$ is described. This reaction is synthetically accessible and provides $(AB)_n$ heteropolymers with an alternating backbone and alternating functionality. The regiocontrol of heteropolymer formation derives from the inability of the cyclobutene ester and cyclohexene monomers to undergo homopolymerization in combination with the favorable kinetics of cross polymerization.

Copolymers are employed in applications ranging from the biomedical to the electronic.¹ Among the most commonly used are block copolymers that require phase separation of the two blocks for their function, e.g., drug delivery,² and random copolymers in which two functional moieties communicate, e.g., organic light emitting diodes.³ Regularly alternating polymers should allow optimal positioning of functional substituents and be useful in a variety of applications.

Alternating polymers are generally synthesized by radical polymerization with kinetic control of the order of monomer incorporation.⁴ However, there are isolated examples of their synthesis by ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP). Early on, it was reported that the ROMP of racemic 1-methylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene with ReCl₅ gave polymer in which the two enantiomers alternate.⁵ More recently, several reports of alternating polymers as the products of ROMP have appeared. With the exception of Grubbs's ring opening insertion metathesis (ROIMP) approach, in which alternation is controlled by equilibration,⁶ these rely on the pairing of a bulky but strained monomer with an unhindered and only slightly strained monomer. In all cases except one,⁷ a significant excess of one of the monomers is required for high levels of alternation.⁸

We now describe the highly alternating polymerization of cyclobutene 1-carboxylic esters with cyclohexene derivatives with the precatalyst $1 [(H_2IMes)(3-Br-pyr)_2Cl_2Ru=CHPh]$.⁹ The

E-mail: kathlyn.parker@stonybrook.edu; E-mail: nicole.sampson@stonybrook.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF). This information is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

success of this reaction derives from the combination of two monomers neither of which forms a homopolymer under the ROMP reaction conditions.

Our laboratory recently developed cyclobutene 1-carboxamides as monomers that undergo ruthenium-catalyzed ring-opening metathesis to yield translationally invariant polymers.¹⁰ During the course of extending the ROMP to cyclobutenecarboxylic acid derivatives, we observed that cyclobutene methyl ester 2 underwent ring-opening metathesis without polymerization to afford, with 10 mole % of catalyst, approximately 10% of the α -methylene ester **2a**₁ (Scheme 1). As in the ring-opening metathesis of 1-substituted cyclobutene amides, ¹⁰ this reaction is regiospecific. However with ester **2a**, the key enoic ruthenium carbene **3** does not react with additional substrate; rather, it survives to react with the quenching agent, providing ester **2a**₁.

Cyclohexene is a ring-opening metathesis inactive substrate with ruthenium catalysts.¹¹ However, it undergoes ring opening cross metathesis with acrylates.¹² On the basis of this result and the observation noted above, we postulated that ester **2a** and cyclohexene, subjected together to an active ruthenium catalyst, would undergo alternating ring-opening metathesis polymerization (AROMP).

In order to test this premise, we examined the fate of a mixture of cyclobutene ester **2a** and cyclohexene (**4a**) in the presence of precatalyst **1**. Indeed, polymerization occurred with 74–98% conversion (Table 1). Taken together with the regioselective ROM (but not ROMP) of ester **2a** and the lack of reactivity of cyclohexene, this result strongly suggested that AROMP had occurred. ¹H-NMR spectroscopic analysis (Figure S1) of each of the polymers revealed the phenyl protons and two sets of vinyl protons. The ratio of the signal for the protons on the disubstituted, non-conjugated olefin ($\delta = 5.4$ ppm) to the signal for the protons on the trisubstituted, conjugated olefin ($\delta = 6.8$ ppm) was approximately 2:1. This result is consistent with polymer structure (**2a–4a**)_n which contains nearly equal numbers of repeating units A and B generated from monomers **2a** and **4a** respectively. ¹H-¹H gCOSY spectroscopy of (**2a–4a**)₂₀ clearly showed internal connectivity between repeating units A and B (Figure S3), further establishing the alternating nature of the polymer backbone.

In order to ascertain with greater accuracy the extent of alternation in the sequence of monomer units, we undertook an isotopic labeling experiment. Cyclohexene-D₁₀, **4a-D₁₀**, and cyclobutene **2a** were subjected to AROMP, the ¹H-NMR spectra of the crude polymers acquired, and the intensities of the olefinic peaks integrated against the phenyl end group (Figure 1). As expected, for a deuterated alternating AB copolymer (**2a-4a-D₁₀**)₂₀, the relative intensity of the signal at $\delta = 5.4$ ppm was reduced to half of that in the spectrum of polymer (**2a-4a**)₂₀ (Figure 2A). This halved intensity is consistent with the absence of BB repeat in the polymer (Figure 2B). Moreover, the intensity of the signal at $\delta = 6.8$ ppm in the spectrum of (**2a-4a-D₁₀**)₂₀ was reduced to 9% of its relative intensity in the spectrum of the undeuterated polymer ((**2a-4a**)₂₀), showing that only 9% of the dyads are of type AA (Figure 2B). We observed that the fraction of AA dyad was constant regardless of the original A:B feed ratio in the AROMP reaction. Therefore, the polymer chain grows with alternation by a mechanism that does not depend on monomer concentration.

The concentration-independent population of the AA dyad and the absence of BB dyad suggested that the AA dyads result from intramolecular backbiting of the enoic ruthenium carbene **5** on the disubstituted alkene.¹³ Partial separation of the components of the polymer by flash chromatography gave a fraction that contained the AA substructure (as indicated by a clean triplet at 6.8 ppm) but not the phenyl end group (Figure S5). Isolation of this material supports a model in which the AA repeat is generated at the backbiting junction during the cyclization step. We found that the M_w 's were shorter than expected and the poly-dispersities

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 18.

(PDIs) were large (> 2) (SI and Figure S6). Polymer (**2-4a**)₂₀₀ had a bimodal molecular weight distribution in which the higher molecular weight peak corresponded to the desired polymer with a PDI of 1.2 (Figure S7). These data are consistent with backbiting to form cyclic polymer during chain growth.

In order to explore the breadth of applications of AROMP products, we examined the effects of varying the structures of the monomers. We found, for example, that AROMP of **4a** and phenyl ester **2b** proceeded with high conversion (>95%) at room temperature in 4 hours to yield (**2b-4a**)₂₀ (Table 1). This type of product is viewed as a precursor to polymers with a variety of sidechains because of the electrophilicity of phenyl esters. Next, we investigated the effect of substituents on the cyclohexene. Neither 1-methylcyclohexene nor 1-methoxy-cyclohexene generated AROMP polymer with **2a**. We inferred that increased substitution at the alkene prevents addition. Indeed, 4-(methoxymethyl)-cyclohexene, **4b** underwent AROMP with **2a** to generate the corresponding alternating polymer (**2a-4b**)₂₀, with 95% conversion in 4 hours (Table 1). The regiochemistry of metathesis could not be determined in the polymerization of **4b** and is most likely random. 4-Substituted cyclohexenes are attractive monomers for AROMP because they are readily available through Diels-Alder chemistry.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that synthetically accessible, select monomer pairs undergo AROMP with the reactive precatalyst **1** to form $(AB)_n$ heteropolymers with an alternating backbone and alternating functionality. The regiocontrol of heteropolymer formation derives from the inability of the cyclobutene ester and cyclohexene monomers to undergo homopolymerization in combination with the favorable kinetics of cross polymerization.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge NIH grants R01HD38519 S10RR021008 (NSS), and R01GM39287 (KAP), NYSTAR grant (FDP C040076, NSS) and NSF grant CHE0131146 (NMR). We thank Dr. F. Picart for his assistance with NMR spectroscopy.

References

- 1. (a) Klok HA, Lecommandoux S. Adv Mat 2001;13:1217–1229. (b) Grayson SM, Frechet JMJ. Chem Rev 2001;101:3819–3867. [PubMed: 11740922] (c) Cho I. Prog Polym Sci 2000;25:1043–1087.
- (a) Murphy JJ, Furusho H, Paton RM, Nomura K. Chem Eur J 2007;13:8985–8997. (b) Kumar R, Chen MH, Parmar VS, Samuelson LA, Kumar J, Nicolosi R, Yoganathan S, Watterson AC. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:10640–10644. [PubMed: 15327322] (c) Bronich TK, Keifer PA, Shlyakhtenko LS, Kabanov AV. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:8236–8237. [PubMed: 15941228]
- Furuta PT, Deng L, Garon S, Thompson ME, Frechet JMJ. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:15388–15389. [PubMed: 15563159]
- 4. (a) Braunecker WA, Matyjaszewski K. Progr Polymer Sci 2007;32:93–146. (b) Maki Y, Mori H, Endo T. Macromolecules 2008;41:8397–8404.and references therein
- 5. Hamilton JG, Ivin KJ, Rooney JJ, Waring LC. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun 1983;4:159-61.
- Choi TL, Rutenberg IM, Grubbs RH. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2002;41:3839–3841. [PubMed: 12386864]
- 7. Ilker MF, Coughlin EB. Macromolecules 2002;35:54-58.
- (a) Bornand M, Torker S, Chen P. Organometallics 2007;26:3585–3596.Bornand M, Chen P. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2005;44:7909–7911. (b) Vehlow K, Wang D, Buchmeiser MR, Blechert S. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2008;47:2615–2618. [PubMed: 18278778] (c) Amir-Ebrahimi V, Rooney JJ. J Mol Catal

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 18.

A 2004;208:115–121.Al Samak B, Carbill AG, Hamilton JG, Rooney JJ, Thompson JM. Chem Comm 1997:2057–2058.

- 9. Love JA, Morgan JP, Trnka TM, Grubbs RH. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2002;41:4035–4037. [PubMed: 12412073]
- 10. Lee J, Parker KA, Sampson NS. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:4578–4579. [PubMed: 16594687]
- 11. Ivin, KJ.; Mol, JC. Olefin metathesis and metathesis polymerization. Academic Press; London: 1997.
- (a) Ulman M, Belderrain TR, Grubbs RH. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;41:4689–4693. (b) Choi TL, Lee CW, Chatterjee AK, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:10417–10418. [PubMed: 11604005] (c) Fomine S, Tlenkopatchev MA. Organometallics 2007;26:4491–4497.
- Thorn-Csanyi E, Ruhland K. Macromol Chem Phys 1999;200:1662–1671. (b) Kamau SD, Hodge P, Hall AJ, Dad S, Ben-Haida A. Polymer 2007;48:6808–6822.

Song et al.





Alkene region of ¹H-NMR spectra (CD_2Cl_2) of polymers (**2a-4a**)₂₀ and (**2a-4a-D**₁₀)₂₀. Proton integrations and assignments are indicated above the peaks.

Song et al.



Figure 2.

Possible Substructures Generated in the Copolymerization of **2a** with Cyclohexene- D_{10} .^a ^aREd carbons are perdeuterated. Blue carbons bear hydrogen.

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 18.

Song et al.





JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 18.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Song et al.

_	В	[Ru] (M)	[A]:[B]:[Ru]	Rxn time (h)	Prod.	% conv
8	4a	0.05	3:6:1	9	(2a-4a) ₃	74 ^c
8	4a	0.01	10:20:1	3	$(2a-4a)_{10}$	98
B	4a	0.01	20:40:1	c,	$(2a-4a)_{20}$	98
8	4a	0.01	50:100:1	3	$(2a-4a)_{50}$	98
в	4a	0.01	100:200:1	3	(2a-4a) ₁₀₀	<i>L</i> 6
8	4a	0.005	200:400:1	9	(2a-4a) ₂₀₀	73
8	$4a-D_{10}$	0.01	20:24:1	3	$(2a-4a- D_{10})_{20}$	76
8	$4a-D_{10}$	0.01	20:160:1	3	$(2a-4a- D_{10})_{20}$	76
ą	4a	0.01	20:40:1	4	$(2b-4a)_{20}$	96
8	4b	0.01	20:40:1	4	$(2a-4b)_{20}$	95

All ROMP reactions were performed in CD2Cl2 and monitored by ¹H-NMR

spectroscopy at rt.

 $b_{\rm Percent}$ conversion determined by integration of ¹H-NMR spectra unless specified otherwise.

^cReaction was performed in CH2Cl2 and the isolated yield was determined after flash column chromatography purification.