

Published in final edited form as:


*J Am Chem Soc.* 2009 June 17; 131(23): 7970–7972. doi:10.1021/ja902210f.

## Macrocyclic Design Strategies for Small, Stable Parallel $\beta$ -Sheet Scaffolds

Felix Freire and Samuel H. Gellman\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

### Abstract



Pairs of short peptide strands can be induced to adopt antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in aqueous solution via a macrocyclic constraint, as illustrated by many natural and designed peptides. We show that an analogous strategy is successful for creation of small units of *parallel*  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in aqueous solution. Cyclization in this case requires non-peptide segments for N-to-N and C-to-C interstrand linkage. Surprisingly, we find that only one of these segments need be preorganized.

Cyclization is a powerful strategy for inducing antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in relatively short peptide segments. Biological examples feature cyclization via the backbone,<sup>1</sup> as seen in gramicidin S and  $\theta$ -defensin, and cyclization via side chains (disulfide formation),<sup>2</sup> as seen in tachyplesins and protegrins. These natural prototypes have inspired the use of cyclization in  $\beta$ -sheet design efforts aimed at both structural and functional goals. For example, both backbone and side chain cyclization have been used to generate peptides that serve as spectroscopic references for the  $\beta$ -sheet conformations adopted by flexible, linear peptides.<sup>3</sup> Cyclic  $\beta$ -sheet scaffolds have provided a fruitful basis for development of peptides with a variety of biological activities, including antibiotics, vaccine epitopes, RNA ligands and, perhaps most intriguingly, helix-mimetic inhibitors of protein-protein recognition.<sup>4</sup>

gellman@chem.wisc.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details, compound characterizations, and NMR data.

The demonstrated utility of cyclically enforced antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet scaffolds raises the prospect that analogous parallel  $\beta$ -sheet scaffolds would be comparably useful. Biology does not offer a clear basis for achieving this structural goal in relatively small molecules. Interstrand disulfides seem to be incompatible with parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure, given the rarity of such crosslinks in proteins.<sup>5</sup>  $\beta$ -Strand-forming segments must be linked N-terminus-to-C-terminus within peptides and proteins; therefore, covalent connection of  $\beta$ -strands in parallel orientation requires a peptidic linker that is at least as long as the  $\beta$ -strands themselves. This topological limitation has inspired many efforts to devise small non-peptide units that can be used to connect peptide segments in C-to-C or N-to-N fashion.<sup>6–8</sup> Such units should ideally have a strong turn-forming propensity that can encourage  $\beta$ -sheet interactions between attached peptide segments. We have developed both preorganized C-to-C linkers and preorganized N-to-N linkers that promote (but do not enforce) parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation between peptide segments in aqueous solution (Figure 1a).<sup>9</sup> Here we evaluate these linkers in the context of backbone cyclization. Unexpectedly, we find that only one of the two turn units need to be preorganized to enforce a high level of parallel  $\beta$ -sheet folding.

We previously showed that a linker containing D-proline and 1,2-diamino-1,1-dimethylethane (D-Pro-DADME) promotes parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation between peptide segments attached via their C-termini,<sup>9a–b</sup> and that linkers containing *cis*-1,2-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid and glycine (*cis*-CHDA-Gly) promote parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation between peptide segments attached via their N-termini.<sup>9c</sup> Substituting L-Pro for D-Pro in the C-to-C linker abolished folding, for strands containing exclusively L-residues, but the two configurations of the *cis*-CHDA unit in the N-to-N linker (that is, the *cis*-CHDA-Gly unit shown in Fig. 1a and its enantiomer) displayed comparable promotion of parallel  $\beta$ -sheet. Macrocycles **1–4** contain varying combinations of these two types of preorganized linkers and flexible analogues, along with an invariant pair of hexapeptide strands. In **1**, both linkers are preorganized to promote folding. In **2**, however, only the N-to-N linker is preorganized, because the C-to-C linker contains Gly in place of D-Pro. A linear analogue containing the two strand segments connected via only the flexible Gly-DADME unit showed no evidence for folding,<sup>10</sup> which confirms the importance of linker preorganization in the absence of a macrocyclic constraint. Both **1** and **2** contain one particular configuration of the *cis*-CHDA unit; the diastereomers with the other *cis*-CHDA configuration displayed very similar behavior.<sup>10</sup> In **3**, only the C-to-C linker is preorganized, because the N-to-N linker contains a succinyl unit in place of *cis*-CHDA. In **4**, neither linker is preorganized.

Chemical shifts observed for protons attached to amino acid residue  $\alpha$ -carbons have proven to be useful site-specific indicators of secondary structure formation.<sup>11</sup>  $\beta$ -Sheet secondary structure is suggested by sets of three or more sequential  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$  values that are downfield by  $\geq 0.1$  ppm relative to  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$  expected for the random coil state. Measurement of  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H = \delta C_{\alpha}H$  (observed) –  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$ (random coil), which is referred to as the “chemical shift deviation” (CSD), requires a source of “random coil” data. We used non-cyclic molecule **5** to provide these data (Figure 2a), because the flexible succinyl-Gly linker does not induce parallel  $\beta$ -sheet interactions between the attached strands. Indeed,  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$  values for **5** are very similar to  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$  values that have been used to represent sequence-independent random coil references.<sup>12</sup> Figure 2b shows  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H$  data for the 12 strand residues common to **1–4**. These NMR data were acquired in 9:1 H<sub>2</sub>O:D<sub>2</sub>O containing 100 mM sodium acetate, pH = 3.8, with 2.5 mM peptide samples.<sup>13</sup> DOSY measurements<sup>14</sup> showed that peptide diffusion coefficients are invariant in 0.3 and 5 mM solutions, which suggests that there is little or no peptide aggregation under these conditions.

The  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H$  data indicate extensive parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation for **1–3**, but not for **4**, in aqueous buffer (Figure 2b). Among **1–3**, 11 of 12 strand residues show  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H > 0.1$  ppm, and the absolute values for each residue are very similar in these three cyclic peptides. The  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H$

values change only slightly in the presence of 50 vol % TFE (Figure 2c), which suggests that the antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet populations for **1–3** are very high in pure aqueous buffer. In contrast, the  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H$  data for **4** suggest that this molecule forms little or no  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in aqueous solution. The behavior of **4** shows that merely placing the two strand segments in a macrocyclic context by using flexible linkers is not sufficient to induce parallel  $\beta$ -sheet folding; conformational preorganization of linking segments plays a vital role. However, the lack of significant distinction among **1–3** reveals a conclusion that we did not anticipate: only one of the two linkers must be preorganized in order to achieve maximum  $\beta$ -sheet promotion. The data suggest that the D-Pro-DADME (C-to-C) and *cis*-CHDA-Gly (N-to-N) linkers have comparable sheet-promoting propensities.

In order to examine the conformations adopted by **1–3** in greater detail, we used NOE-restrained dynamics to determine the structures in aqueous buffer.<sup>15</sup> Superimposition of the 10 most favorable conformations identified by this approach for each macrocycle led to very good structural overlap (RMSD among backbone atoms =  $0.035 \pm 0.017$  Å for **1**,  $0.284 \pm 0.179$  Å for **2** and  $0.300 \pm 0.186$  Å for **3**). Figure 3 shows an overlay of the most favorable conformation for each of **1–3** according to the NOE-restrained dynamics analysis. Each molecule forms a two-stranded parallel  $\beta$ -sheet, as intended. The  $\beta$ -strand segments overlap quite well, and the major deviations are seen in the linkers. In contrast to the many NOEs between protons from sequentially non-adjacent residues observed for **1–3**, no medium- or long-range NOEs were detected for **4**, in which both linkers are flexible.

The two six-residue strand segments common to **1–4** were designed to be prone to parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation, based on inter-strand neighbor preferences deduced by Fooks et al. from the protein structure database.<sup>16</sup> Previously we showed that N-to-N linkage of these two strands via a *cis*-CHDA-Gly unit, as in **6** or **7**, leads to significant population of parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure.<sup>9c</sup> The lack of detectable folding in analogue **5**, in which *cis*-CHDA has been replaced by succinyl, shows the importance of linker preorganization for parallel  $\beta$ -sheet formation. However, our earlier study revealed that if the strand positions are swapped on a *cis*-CHDA-Gly linker, to generate **8**, then no parallel  $\beta$ -sheet forms.<sup>9c</sup> The dramatic difference between strand-swapped isomers **6** and **8** shows that a *cis*-CHDA-Gly cannot enforce parallel  $\beta$ -sheet interactions between strands that have a low intrinsic propensity to pair in this way.

For design purposes, it would be very valuable to identify a strategy that induces parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure even when the strand segments have a low intrinsic propensity for parallel sheet interactions. We therefore examined macrocycle **9**, which has the same strand juxtaposition as in **8** and features a preorganized D-Pro-DADME linker for C-to-C linkage and a flexible N-to-N linker. Macrocycle **9** displays numerous NOEs between protons on residues that are not adjacent in sequence; these data are consistent with parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in the strand segments. NOE-restrained dynamics<sup>15</sup> (Figure 4b) suggest a backbone conformation very similar to that of **3**, which features the same pair of linkers.  $\Delta\delta C_{\alpha}H$  data for **9** are consistent with high population of parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure in aqueous solution (Figure 4c). Thus, using a macrocyclic backbone to link two peptide strands in parallel orientation, with at least one linker appropriately preorganized, appears to be a robust strategy for inducing parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure.

The results reported here provide new and apparently general guidelines for creating peptidic scaffolds that display substantial conformational stability in aqueous solution. There are well-established strategies for creating relatively short peptides that display high population of  $\alpha$ -helix<sup>17</sup> or antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet<sup>18</sup> secondary structure in aqueous solution; the approach documented above complements these strategies by providing *parallel*  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure. Two non-peptide linkers are necessary to generate macrocycles that promote parallel strand interactions, and the finding that only one of these linkers need to be preorganized in

cyclic systems is useful because the chiral element in the D-Pro-DADME linker is commercially available, while the chiral element in a *cis*-CHDA-Gly linker must be generated via asymmetric synthesis.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the NIH (GM61238). F. F. was supported in part by a MEC-Fulbright Post-Doctoral Fellowship. NMR spectrometers were purchased in part by grants from NIH and NSF.


## References

1. (a) Tishchenko GN, Adrianov VI, Vainstein BK, Woolfson MM, Dodson E. *Acta Cryst* 1997;D53:151–159. (b) Gallo SA, Wang W, Rawat SS, Jung G, Waring AJ, Cole AM, Lu H, Yan X, Daly NL, Craik DJ, Jiang S, Lehrer RI, Blumenthal R. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:18787. [PubMed: 16648135]
2. (a) Nakamura T, Furunaka H, Miyata T, Tokunagas F, Mutas T, Iwanagall S. *J Biol Chem* 1988;263:16709. [PubMed: 3141410] (b) Kokryakov VN, Harwig SSL, Panyutich EA, Shevchenko AA, Aleshina GM, Shamova OV, Korneva HA, Lehrer RI. *FEBS Letters* 1993;327:231. [PubMed: 8335113]
3. Syud FA, Espinosa JF, Gellman SH. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:11577. (b) Tatko CD, Waters ML. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:9372. [PubMed: 12167022]
4. (a) Robinson JA. *Acc Chem Res* 2008;41:1278. [PubMed: 18412373] (b) Seneque O, Bourles E, Lebrun V, Bonnet E, Dunny P, Latour JM. *Angew Chem Int* 2008;47:6888.
5. Cootes AP, Curmi PM, Cunningham R, Donnelly C, Torda AE. *Proteins* 1998;32:175. [PubMed: 9714157]
6. (a) Khakshoor O, Nowick JS. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2008;12:722. [PubMed: 18775794] (b) Levin S, Nowick JS. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:13043. [PubMed: 17918935] Nowick JS, Smith EM, Noronha G. *J Org Chem* 1995;60:7386. (c) Nowick JS, Insaf S. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:10903. (d) Nowick JS. *Acc Chem Res* 1999;32:287.
7. (a) Wagner G, Feigel M. *Tetrahedron* 1993;49:10831. (b) Ranganathan D, Haridas V, Kurur S, Thomas A, Madhusudanan KP, Nagaraj R, Kunwar AC, Sarma AVS, Karle IL. *J Am Chem Soc* 1998;120:8448. (c) Fisk JD, Powell DR, Gellman SH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:5443.
8. For analysis of parallel  $\beta$ -sheet model systems in aqueous or mixed aqueous-organic solvents, see: (a) Kemp, D. S.; Blanchard, D. E.; Muendel, C. C. In *Peptides-Chemistry and Biology*; Smith, J., Rivier, J., Eds.; ESCOM: Leiden, 1992; p 319. (b) Junquera E, Nowick JS. *J Org Chem* 1999;64:2527. (c) Chitnumsub P, Fiori WR, Lashuel HA, Diaz H, Kelly JW. *Bioorg Med Chem* 1999;7:39. [PubMed: 10199655]
9. (a) Fisk JD, Gellman SH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:343. [PubMed: 11456526] (b) Fisk JD, Schmitt MA, Gellman SH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:7148. [PubMed: 16734453] (c) Freire F, Fisk JD, Peoples AJ, Ivancic M, Guzei IA, Gellman SH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:7839. [PubMed: 18507461]
10. Please see Supporting Information.
11. Wishart DS, Sykes BD, Richards FM. *J Mol Biol* 1991;222:311. [PubMed: 1960729] (b) Wishart DS, Sykes BD, Richards FM. *Biochemistry* 1992;31:1647. [PubMed: 1737021]
12. See <http://andersenlab.chem.washington.edu/CSDb> for a good source of  $\delta C_{\alpha}H$  (random coil) values..
13. COSY: Aue WP, Bartholdi E, Ernst RR. *J Chem Phys* 1976;64:2229. TOCSY: Bax A, Davis DG. *J Magn Reson* 1985;65:355. ROESY: Bothner-by AA, Stephens RL, Lee JM, Warren CD, Jeanloz RW. *J Am Chem Soc* 1984;106:811.
14. (a) Cohen Y, Avram L, Frish L. *Angew, Chem Int Ed* 2005;44:520. (b) Dehner A, Kessler H. *Chem Bio Chem* 2005;6:1550. (c) Altieri AS, Hinton DP, Byrd RA. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;117:7561.


15. Brünger AT, Adams PD, Clore GM, DeLano WL, Gros P, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Jiang JS, Kuszewski J, Nilges M, Pannu NS, Read RJ, Rice LM, Simonson T, Warren GL. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 1998;D54:905.
16. Fooks HM, Martin ACR, Woolfson DN, Sessions RB, Hutchinson EG. *J Mol Biol* 2006;356:32. [PubMed: 16337654]
17. Chakrabartty A, Baldwin RL. *Adv Protein Chem* 1995;46:141. [PubMed: 7771317]
18. (a) Gellman SH. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 1998;2:717. [PubMed: 9914187] (b) De Alba E, Rico M, Jiménez MA. *Protein Sci* 1999;8:2234. [PubMed: 10595526] (c) Lacroix E, Kortemme T, de la Paz ML, Serrano L. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 1999;9:487. [PubMed: 10449370] (d) Searle MS, Ciani B. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2004;14:458. [PubMed: 15313241] (e) Hughes RM, Waters ML. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2006;16:514–524.



**Figure 1.**  
 (a) Linkers used to promote parallel  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure between attached peptide strands. (b) Macrocycles **1–4**.


**Figure 2.**

(a) N-linked peptides 5–7. (b)  $\Delta\delta_{C\alpha H} = \delta_{C\alpha H}(\text{observed}) - \delta_{C\alpha H}(\text{random coil})$ , or CSD, for  $\alpha$ -amino acid residues of macrocycles 1–4 dissolved in aqueous buffer. (c) CSD for  $\alpha$ -amino acid residues of macrocycles 1–4 dissolved in a 1:1 vol:vol solution of aqueous buffer and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE).



**Figure 3.** Overlay of NMR-derived conformations for macrocycles **1–3** in aqueous buffer. RMSD for backbone atoms in the strand segments is 0.659 Å for **1** vs. **3**, and 0.691 for **2** vs. **3**.





**Figure 4.**

(a) Peptides **8** and **9**. (b) Overlay of the 10 best structures for **9** in aqueous buffer obtained via NOE-restrained dynamics (see text for details). RMSD for the backbone atoms in the strand segments is  $0.320 + 0.117 \text{ \AA}$ . (c)  $\Delta\delta_{\alpha\text{H}} = \delta_{\alpha\text{H}}(\text{observed}) - \delta_{\alpha\text{H}}(\text{random coil})$ , or CSD, for  $\alpha$ -amino acid residues of macrocycle **9** dissolved in aqueous buffer or in a 1:1 vol:vol solution of aqueous buffer and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE).