Phylogenetic relationships among *Acanthamoeba* spp. based on PCR-RFLP analyses of mitochondrial small subunit rRNA gene

Hak-Sun YU, Mee-Yul HWANG, Tae-Ook KIM, Ho-Cheol YUN, Tae-Ho KIM, Hyun-Hee KONG and Dong-Il CHUNG*

Department of Parasitology, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, Taegu 700-422, Korea

Abstract: We investigated the value of mitochondrial small subunit rRNA gene (mt SSU rDNA) PCR-RFLP as a taxonomic tool for Acanthamoeba isolates with close interrelationships. Twenty-five isolates representing 20 species were included in the analysis. As in nuclear 18S rDNA analysis, two type strains (A. astronyxis and A. tubiashi) of morphological group 1 diverged earliest from the other strains, but the divergence between them was less than in 18S riboprinting. Acanthamoeba griffini of morphological group 2 branched between pathogenic (A. culbertsoni A-1 and A. healyi OC-3A) and nonpathogenic (A. palestinensis Reich, A. pustulosa GE-3a, A. royreba Oak Ridge, and A lenticulata PD2S) strains of morphological group 3. Among the remaining isolates of morphological group 2, the Chang strain had the identical mitochondrial riboprints as the type strain of A. hatchetti. AA2 and AA1, the type strains of A. divionensis and A. paradivionensis, respectively, had the identical riboprints as A. quina Vil3 and A. castellanii Ma. Although the branching orders of A. castellanii Neff, A. polyphaga P23, A. triangularis SH621, and A. lugdunensis L3a were different from those in 18S riboprinting analysis, the results obtained from this study generally coincided well with those from 18S riboprinting. Mitochondrial riboprinting may have an advantage over nuclear 18S rDNA riboprinting because the mt SSU rDNAs do not seem to have introns that are found in the 18S genes of Acanthamoeba and that distort phylogenetic analyses.

Key words: Acanthamoeba, phylogenetic relationship, PCR-RFLP, mitochondrial SSU rDNA

INTRODUCTION

Acanthamoeba spp. are the most ubiquitous protozoa among environments such as soil,

air, freshwater and ocean sediment (De Jonckheere, 1991). Sometimes the amoebae can be carriers or vectors in the dispersion and dissemination of pathogenic microbes such as *Legionella* spp., *Listeria* spp., *Mycobacterium* spp., and *Vibrio* spp. (Jadin, 1973; Ly and Muller, 1990; Field, 1991; Thom et al., 1992; Kong and Chung, 1996; Chung et al, 1997). Furthermore, several members of the genus *Acanthamoeba* play direct roles as human pathogens causing life-threatening granulomatous amebic encephalitis and vision-threatening keratitis (Sisson et al.,

[•] Received 14 June 1999, accepted after revision 30 August 1999.

[•] This authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997 (No 1997-002-F00038).

^{*} Corresponding author (e-mail: dichung@ kyungpook.ac.kr)

1995).

Recent molecular systematic studies provided a new classification of the genus Acathamoeba. Stothard et al. (1998) classified 53 strains of Acanthamoeba spp. into 12 Rns sequence types based on sequence analysis of 18S rDNA. The results obtained were inconsistent with some previous species designation and indicated that the taxonomy of Acanthamoeba should be revised. Although 18S rDNA sequence data are useful for identification and differentiation of Acanthamoeba isolates, generation of sequence data is too laborintensive and expensive for routine identification and classification of Acanthamoeba. Riboprinting, PCR-RFLP analysis of 18S rDNA, is a simpler and less expensive substitute for 18S rDNA sequence analysis (Clark and Diamond, 1997). Chung et al., (1998) applied riboprinting to subgenus classification of Acantamoeba. The results were congruent with those of sequence analysis. However, 18S rDNA of some Acanth-amoeba strains contains an intron, which therefore can generate misleading results (Chung et al., 1998).

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is a molecular clone within mitochondriate eukaryotic cells like *Acanthamoeba*. Although variation of the mitochondrial genome size limited the availability of the RFLP analysis of whole mitochondrial genome as a phylogenetic tool, usefulness of mitochondrial small subunit (SSU) rDNA remains unknown. In this study, we evaluated PCR-RFLP of *Acanthamoeba* mitochondrial SSU rDNA as a phylogenetic tool and compared the results with those from nuclear riboprinting analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Acanthamoeba

Twenty-five strains including 20 type strains of the genus *Acanthamoeba* which were previously assigned to 20 species, were obtained from ATCC (Table 1). They were cultured axenically in Proteose peptone-Yeast extract-Glucose (PYG) or Proteose peptone-Yeast extract-Glucose-Cysteine (PYGC) media at 25°C or 37°C (Chung et al., 1996).

Extraction of mtDNA

mtDNA of Acanthamoeba was extracted using phenol and phenol/chloroform (1:1) and recovered by precipitation with cold absolute ethanol in the presence of sodium acetate (Yagita and Endo, 1990). The DNA was stored at -20°C until used as template DNA for PCR reactions.

PCR amplification of mitochondrial SSU rRNA coding DNA (SSU rDNA)

The primers for the PCR, FP16; 5'-TTGTATAAACAATCGTTGGGTTTTATT-3', RP16; 5'-GTCCAGCAGCAGGTTCCCCTAC CGCTA-3', are designed to hybridize to highly conserved sequences at the extreme 5' (P3) and 3' (P4) termini of mitochondrial SSU rDNA (Lonergan and Gray, 1994). The PCR was done using a kit of premixed PCR reagents (Bioneer, Chongwon, Korea) and a thermal cycler (Perkin Elmer Cetus, CA, USA). Fifty μ l scale premix was dissolved in 47 μ l of distilled water and vortexed vigorously. One μ l of template DNA and 1 µl of each primer (25 nmol concentration) were added to the premix and mixed thoroughly. The whole mixture was covered with 20 μ l of mineral oil. Each PCR process was performed through 30 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 58°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 2 min followed by an extension time of 10 min. After amplification, the mineral oil was removed by treating with chloroform and the amplified DNA was stored at -20°C until used (Chung et al., 1998).

RFLP of mitochondrial SSU rDNA

The PCR products of 25 strains were electrophoresed in a 1.5% agarose gel with DNA size standards (Amplisize, Biorad, CA, USA). Eight kinds of restriction endonucleases (*Hae* III, *Hsp*92 I, *Hinf* I, *Dde* I, *Mbo* I, *Sau*96 I, *Rsa* I, and *Taq* I; Poscochem, Seoul, Korea), which have recognition sequences of four nucleotides, were used to generate comparative riboprints. The amplified DNA (1 μ g) was digested with 5-10 units of the enzymes for 2 hr with recommended buffers at 37°C, except for *Taq* I (67°C), and electrophoresed in a 2.5% agarose gel (agarose 3: Nusieve 1) for 1.5 hr. To differentiate small DNA fragments, which

Number	Strain	ATCC No.	Virulence	Environmental source	Geographic source	Reference	Former species designation
1	Castellani	30011	+	yeast culture	England	Douglas (1930)	A. castellanii
3	L3a	50240	+	swimming pool	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. lugdunensis
33	Vil3	50241	pu	swimming pool	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. quina
4	Jones	30461	+	keratitis	USA	Jones et al. (1975)	A. polyphaga
5	SH621	50254	pu	human feces	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. triangularis
9	Nagington	30873	+	keratitis	England	Nagington et al. (1974)	A. polyphaga
7	Singh	30973	I	soil	England	Singh (1952)	A. rhysodes
8	1652	50253	ı	soil	Morocco	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. mauritaniensis
6	AA2	50238	ı	soil	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. divionensis
10	AA1	50251	ı	soil	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. paradivionensis
11	Neff	30010	ı	soil	USA	Neff (1957)	A. castellanii
12	Ma	50370	+	keratitis	USA	Ma et al. (1981)	A. castellanii
13	P23	30871	I	fresh-water	USA	Page (1967)	A. polyphaga
14	Chang	30898	+	fresh water	USA	Byers et al. (1990)	A. castellanii
15	BH-2	30730	+	ocean sediment	USA	Sawyer et al. (1977)	A. hatchetti
16	RB-F-1	50388	pu	ocean sediment	USA	Sawyer et al. (1993)	A. stevensoni
17	S-7	30731	+	beach-bottom	USA	Sawyer (1971)	A. griffini
18	A-1	30171	+	tissue culture	USA	Singh & Das (1970)	A. culbertsoni
19	OC-3A	30866	+	GAE	USA	Moura et al. (1992)	A. healyi
20	GE-3a	50252	ı	swimming pool	France	Pussard & Pons (1977)	A. pustulosa
21	Reich	30870	ı	soil	Israel	Reich (1933)	A. palestinensis
22	PD2S	30841	+	swimming pool	France	Molet & Ermolieff-Braun (1976)	A. lenticulata
23	Oak Ridge	30884	+	cell culture	USA	Willaert et al. (1978)	A. royreba
24	Ray & Hayes	30137	pu	soil	USA	Ray & Hayes (1954)	A. astronyxis
25	0C-15C	30867	pu	river	USA	Lewis & Sawyer (1979)	A. tubiashi

Table 1. List of 25 strains of Acanthamoeba spp. analysed in this study

were unclear in the agarose gel, digested samples were electrophoretically separated in 12% polyacrylamide gels (Chung et al., 1998). The gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under an UV transilluminator.

Sequence divergence estimates were calculated by the Nei and Li equation (1979) from a fragment comigration dataset which was obtained by comparison of the riboprints of 25 *Acanthamoeba* strains with each other. A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) using the computer program Phylip, version 3.5 (Felsenstein, 1989).

RESULTS

Only a single PCR product was amplified from each *Acanthamoeba* strain (Fig 1). The PCR products of 25 *Acanthamoeba* strains were approximately 1,550 nucleotides in length as predicted.

Mitochondrial riboprint patterns of 25 Acanthamoeba strains using eight restriction endonucleases are shown schematically in Fig 2. The patterns of *A. divionensis* AA2 and *A. paradivionensis* AA1 were identical with all enzymes used. The Chang strain which was previously assigned to *A. castellanii* had identical riboprints with BH-2, the type strain of *A. hatchetti.* Vil3, the type strain of *A. quina* had identical mitochondrial riboprints with the Ma strain of *A. castellanii.*

Proportions of co-migrating fragments and estimated genetic distance between amoebae strains are shown in Table 2, and the dendrogram constructed based on the estimated genetic distance is presented in Fig 3. *Acanthamoeba astronyxis* Ray & Hayes and *A.* tubiashi OC-15C of morphological group 1 (Pussard and Pons, 1977) were the earliest branching strains. Among the remaining strains, the most divergent were A. culbertsoni A-l and A. healyi OC-3A, which belong to morphological group 3 and are known to be highly virulent. Acanthamoeba griffini, with an intron in its nuclear 18S rDNA (Gast et al., 1996: Ledee et al., 1996) branched between virulent strains A-1 and OC-3A and avirulent strains GE-3a, Reich Oak Ridge and PD2S of morphological group 3. Strain P23 which is the neotype strain of A. polyphaga of morphological group 2 formed a cluster with the Oak Ridge strain (the type strain of A. royreba; morphological group 3). RB-F-1, the type strain of A. stevensoni was the earliest branching strain among the remaining 15 strains of morphological group 2. The Castellani, Ma, Vil3, and Jones strains formed a cluster separated from the remaining ten strains. The Nagington, 1652, L3a, Singh, AA2 and AA1 strains formed another cluster.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of mtDNA, using either sequence or RFLP data, may permit the identification of problematic specimens (Wells and Sperling, 1999). Among mitochondrial genes, the SSU rRNA gene has been widely and successfully applied to investigation of relationships at subgeneric levels as well as among very distantly related groups (Flook and Rowell, 1997). Although RFLP analyses of the mitochondrial genome have been used in phylogenetic and taxonomic studies of *Acanthamoeba* spp. (Byers et al., 1983; Yagita and Endo, 1990), the results were somewhat ambiguous partly because of the quite



Fig. 1. Agarose gel electrophoretic patterns of PCR products from mitochondrial small subunit rRNA gene of 25 *Acanthamoeba* strains. *Hind* III digested λ phage DNA was used as the DNA size marker (M).



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of mt riboprints of 25 strains of *Acanthamoeba* by eight restriction enzymes. *Hae* III digested Φ X174 DNA was used as the size marker (M).

difference of genome size among strains. Recently, riboprinting of nuclear 18S rDNA has been widely applied to classification of morphologically indistinguishable protozoa including Acanthamoeba and recognized as an inexpensive and rapid tool for taxonomic and phylogenetic studies (Clark et al., 1995; Clark and Diamond, 1997; Chung et al., 1998). However, some strains of Acanthamoeba have introns in their nuclear 18S rDNA (Stothard et al., 1998) and identification of these strains can be problematic with nuclear riboprinting. PCR-RFLP analysis of mt SSU rDNA (mt riboprinting) has not been used previously for phylogenetic and taxonomic studies of Acanthamoeba.

In this study, we obtained similar but not identical results from mt riboprinting as we obtained previously with nuclear 18S riboprinting. A dendrogram constructed in this study (Fig 3) coincided generally with the grouping of Pussard and Pons (1977) based on the morphological features of cysts. Some of the results strongly support the observations of Chung et al. (1998) based on nuclear 18S riboprinting. For example, AA2 and AA1, the type strains of A. divionensis and A. paradivionensis respectively, showed the identical mt riboprints with all restriction enzymes used. Both strains were isolated simultaneously from soil of France by the same group of investigators (Pussard and Pons,

	Group										=															-	
No.	Species	Strain	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	53	14	25
-	A. castellanii	Castellani	1	52/68	64/67	58/67	20/6	8 56/6	3 50/68	54/67	54/68	54/68	58/71	64/67	48/68	52/68	52/68	14/67 4	0/66 3	3/64 4	0/68 4	1/69 42	2/71 38	3/66 42	/66 30	/60 3(09/0
2	A. lugdunensis	L3a	0.067	Ι	54/67	50/67	2/09 2	8 58/6	3 66/68	60/67	66/68	66/68	60/71	54/67	50/68	58/68	58/68	52/67 4	2/66 3	4/64 3	6/68 5()/69 4(5/71 46	3/66 42	/62 28	/60 3(09/0
S	A. quina	Vil3	0.011	0.054	I	99/09	5 52/6	6 52/6	7 52/67	56/66	56/67	56/67	60/70	99/99	50/67	54/67	54/67	46/66 4	2/65 4	0/63 4	2/67 40	5/68 4/	4/70 4()/65 42	/65 32	/59 32	2/59
4	A. polyphaga	Jones	0.036	0.073	0.024	Ι	48/6	7 48/6	7 48/67	50/66	52/67	52/67	56/70	60/66	48/67	48/67	48/67	12/66 4	0/65 4	2/63 4	2/67 48	3/68 46	3/70 4()/65 42	/65 30	/59 3(0/59
5	A. trisngularis	SH621	0.077	0.031	090.0	0.083		56/6	8 62/68	56/67	62/68	62/68	64/71	54/67	50/68	56/68	56/68	52/67 4	0/66 3	4/64 3	6/68 50)/69 4(3/71 46	3/66 4/	/66 28	/60 3(09/0
9	A. polyphaga	Nagington	0.049	0.049	0.063	0.083	0.049	- 6	62/65	60/68	62/69	62/69	54/72	50/68	44/69	52/69	52/69	50/68 4	0/67 3	0/65 3	8/69 4	4/70 4(0/72 46	3/67 38	/67 26	/61 28	3/61
7	A. rhysodes	Singh	0.077	0.007	0.063	0.083	0.02	3 0.027		62/67	64/68	64/68	58/71	52/67	48/68	56/68	56/68	52/67 4	0/66 3	2/64 3	8/68 48	3/69 4/	41/71 48	3/66 38	/66 26	/60 28	3/60
8	A. mauritaniensis	1652	0.054	0.028	0.041	0.069	0.04	5 0.031	0.019	Ι	62/67	62/67	54/70	56/66	48/67	58/67	58/67	50/66 4	4/65 33	2/63 4	2/67 48	3/68 4/	46/70 46	3/65 40	/65 28	/59 3(0/59
6	A. divionensis	AA2	0.058	0.007	0.045	0.063	0.02	3 0.027	0.015	0.019	Ι	68/68	60/71	56/67	50/68	58/68	58/68	54/67 4	4/66 3	4/64 4	0/68 50)/69 4(3/71 48	3/66 44	/66 30	/60 32	09/7
10	A. paradivionensis	s AAI	0.058	0.007	0.045	0.063	0.02	3 0.027	0.015	0.019	0	I	60/71	56/67	50/68	58/68	58/68	54/67 4	4/66 3	4/64 4	0/68 50)/69 4(3/71 48	3/66 44	/66 30	/60 32	2/60
11	A. castellanii	Neff	0.051	0.042	0.039	0.056	0.02	6 0.072	0.051	0.065	0.042	0.042	I	60/70	50/71	54/71	54/71	50/70 4	0/69 3	8/67 4	0/71 50)/72 48	8/74 44	t/69 44	/69 32	/63 3(0/63
12	A. castellanii	Ma	0.011	0.054	0	0.024	0.05	4 0.077	0.063	0.041	0.045	0.045	0.039	I	50/67	54/67	54/67	46/66 4	2/65 4	0/63 4	2/67 40	3/68 4/	4/70 40)/65 42	/65 32	/59 32	2/59
13	A. polyphaga	P23	0.087	0.077	0.073	0.083	.0.0	7 0.112	0.087	0.083	0.077	0.077	0.088	0.073	I	50/67	20/67	44/66 3	6/65 3	5/63 4	8/67 40)/68 4(0/70 36	3/65 48	/65 32	/59 3(0/59
14	A. castellanii	Chang	0.067	0.040	0.054	0.083	0.049	9 0.071	0.049	0.036	0.040	0.040	0.068	0.054	0.073	I	68/68	50/67 4	8/66 3	0/64 3	8/68 50)/69 4(3/71 40)/66 42	/66 30	/60 3(09/0
15	A. hachetti	BH-2	0.067	0.040	0.054	0.083	0.04	9 0.071	0.049	0.036	0.040	0.040	0.068	0.054	0.073	0	1	50/67 4	8/66 3	0/64 3	8/68 50)/69 4(3/71 40)/66 42	/66 30	/60 3(09/0
16	A. stevensoni	RB-F-1	0.105	0.063	060.0	0.113	0.06	3 0.077	7 0.063	0.069	0.054	0.054	0.084	0.090	0.101	0.073	0.073	4	2/65 2	8/63 3	8/67 40)/68 38	3/70 42	2/65 42	/65 30	/59 32	2/59
17	A. griffini	S-7	0.125	0.113	0.109	0.121	0.12	5 0.129	0.125	0.098	0.101	0.101	0.136	0.109	0.148	0.080	0.080	0.109	بې رې	4/62 3	0/66 45	2/67 38	3/69 36	5/64 36	(64 28	/58 28	3/58
18	A. culbertsoni	A-1	0.130	0.158	0.114	0.101	0.158	8 0.193	3 0.173	0.169	0.158	0.158	0.142	0.114	0.140	0.189	0.189	0.203 (.150	ي ب	6/64 30)/65 3(0/67 28	3/62 36	(62 26	/56 2(3/56
19	A. healyi	0C-3A	0.133	0.159	0.117	0.117	0.15	9 0.149	0.145	0.117	0.133	0.133	0.143	0.117	0.083	0.145	0.145	0.142 (0.197 0	.144	3	5/69 40	0/71 34	1/66 4(/66 30	/60 3(09/0
20	A. pustulosa	GE-3a	0.112	0.081	0.098	0.087	0.08	1 0.116	0.091	0.087	0.081	0.081	0.091	0.098	0.133	0.081	0.081	0.133 (0.117 0	.193 0	.163	ق ا	4/72 4()/67 36	(/67 24	/61 26	3/61
21	A. palestinensis	Reich	0.131	0.109	0.116	0.105	0.10	9 0.147	0.120	0.116	0.109	0.109	0.108	0.116	0.140	0.109	0.109	0.153 (.149 0	201 0	.143 0	.029	ж Н	3(69 36	(69 26	/63 2(5/63
22	A. lenticulata	PD2S	0.138	060.0	0.121	0.121	0.09(0 0.094	t 0.080	0.086	0.080	0.080	0.112	0.121	0.148	0.125	0.125	0.109 (.144 0	.199 0	.166 0	.129 0	.163	- 4(/64 18	/58 22	2/58
23	A. royreba	Oak Ridge	0.113	0.113	0.109	0.109	0.10	1 0.142	0.138	0.121	0.101	0.101	0.112	0.109	0.076	0.113	0.113	0.109 (.144 0	.136 0	.125 0	.155 0	.163 0.	.118	30	/58 32	2/58
24	A. astronyxis	Ray & Hayes	0.173	0.191	0.153	0.169	0.19	1 0.213	3 0.209	0.186	0.173	0.173	0.169	0.153	0.153	0.173	0.173	0.169 (0.182 0	.192 0	.173 0	.233 0	.221 0.	293 0.	165	- 40	3/52
25	A. tubiashi	0C-15C	0.173	0.173	0.153	0.169	0.17	3 0.195	5 0.191	0.169	0.157	0.157	0.185	0.153	0.169	0.173	0.173	0.153 (0.182 0	.192 0	.173 0	213 0	.221 0.	242 0	149 0.	331	Ι

—186—



Fig. 3. Dendrogram of 25 *Acanthamoeba* strains constructed by UPGMA method using Phylip ver. 3.5 based on genetic divergence estimates.

1977), and differed slightly in mor-phology. Furthermore, they showed the same mt genome RFLP, alloenzyme (unpublished data) and nuclear riboprint patterns (Chung et al., 1998). As Chung et al. (1998) proposed, both strains should be a species and closely related with the Singh strain, the type strain of A. rhysodes. Also, the Chang strain, previously assigned to A. castellanii revealed the identical mt riboprints with BH-2, the type strain of A. hatchetti. Both strains were pathogenic for mice (unpublished data) and were found to be closely related based on nuclear riboprinting. In addition, these strains had lots of comigrating DNA fragments on mtDNA RFLP analyses and revealed very similar alloenzyme patterns (unpublished data).

In contrast to our previous studies, L3a, the type strains of *A. lugdunensis*, which clustered with the Castellani, Vil3, Jones, and Ma strains in a dendrogram reconstructed by 18S riboprinting, formed a cluster with the Nagington, 1652, Singh, AA2, and AA1 strains

here. The Neff, SH621, and P23 strains also branched at different positions in this dendrogram. Estimated divergence between *A. astronyxis* and *A. tubiashi* was lower in this study than in 18S riboprinting analysis. These differences may result from a difference in evolutionary rate between the mitochondrial and nuclear genes.

In spite of the likely difference in evolutionary rate between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, similar results with mt and nuclear riboprint patterns were observed with several strains assigned to different species. These results suggest that the taxonomic status of some species of the genus *Acanthamoeba* should be revised. This study has shown that mt riboprinting is another tool for the phylogenetic study of *Acanth moba*. In addition, the mt riboprinting confirms the basic features of the evolutionary history of the genus as revealed in studies of the 18S rDNA. One important advantage is that it avoids problems caused by isolates with introns

-187-

within nuclear 18S rDNA.

REFERENCES

- Byers TJ, Bogler SA, Burianek LL (1983) Analysis of mitochondrial DNA variation as an approach to systematic relationships in the genus Acanthamoeba. J Protozool **30:** 198-203.
- Chung DI, Kong HH, Kim TH, et al. (1997) Bacterial endosymbiosis within the cytoplasm of *Acanthamoeba lugdunensis* isolated from a contact lens storage case. *Korean J Prasitol* **35:** 127-133 (in Korean).
- Chung DI, Kong HH, Yu HS, Oh YM, Yee ST, Kim YJ (1996) Biochemical and molecular characterization of a strain KA/S2 of *Acanthamoeba castellanii* isolated from Korean soil. *Korean J Parasitol* **34**: 79-85.
- Chung DI, Yu HS, Hwang MY, et al. (1998) Subgenus classification of *Acanthamoeba* by riboprinting. *Korean J Parasitol* **36:** 69-80.
- Clark CG, Diamond LS (1997) Intraspecific variation and phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Entamoeba* as revealed by riboprinting. *J Euk Microbiol* **44:** 142-154.
- Clark CG, Martin DS, Diamond LS (1995) Phylogenetic relationships among anuran trypanosomes as revealed by riboprinting. J Euk Microbiol **42**: 92-96.
- De Jonckheere JF (1991) Ecology of Acanthamoeba. Rev Infect Dis 13: 385-387.
- Felsenstein J (1989) PHYLIP: Phylogenetic inference package (version 3.5). Cladistics 5: 164-166. manual, version 3.5. Department of Genetics, University of Washington.
- Field BS (1991) The role of amoebae in legionellosis. *Clin Microbiol Newsletter* **13:** 92-93.
- Flook PK, Rowell CHF (1997) The effectiveness of mitochondrial rRNA gene sequences for the reconstruction of the phylogeny of an insect order (Orthoptera). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **8**: 177-192.
- Gast RJ, Ledee DR, Fuerst PA, Byers TJ (1996) Subgenus systematics of *Acanthamoeba*: four nuclear 18S rDNA sequence types. *J Euk Microbiol* **43**: 498-504.

- Jadin JB (1973) De la meningoencephalite amibienne et du pouvoir pathogene des amibes limax. Annee Biologique **12**: 305-342.
- Kong HH, Chung DI (1996) Bacterial endosymbionts of Acanthamoeba sp. isolated from cooling tower water. Japanese J Parasitol 45: 505-511.
- Ledee DR, Hay J, Byers TJ, Seal DV, Kirkness CM (1996) Acanthamoeba griffini: Molecular Characterization of a new corneal pathogen. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci **37:** 544-550.
- Lonergan KM, Gray MW (1994) The ribosomal RNA gene region in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* mitochondrial DNA. A case of evolutionary transfer of introns between mitochondria and plastids? *J Mol Biol* **239:** 476-499.
- Ly TMC, Muller HE (1990) Ingested Listeria monocytogenes survive and multiply in protozoa. J Med Microbiol **33**: 51-54.
- Nei M, Li WH (1979) Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonuclease. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 76: 5269-5273.
- Pussard M, Pons R (1977) Morphologie de la paroi kystique et taxonomie du genre Acanthamoeba (Protozoa, Amoebida). Protistologica 13: 557-598.
- Sisson JP, Kemper CA, Loveless M, McShane D, Visvesvara GS, Deresinski SC (1995) Disseminated *Acanthamoeba* infection in patients with AIDS: Case reports and review. *Clin Infect Dis* **20**: 1207-1216.
- Stothard DR, Schroeder-Diedrich JM, Awwad MH, et al. (1998) The evolutionary history of the genus *Acanthamoeba* and the identification of eight new 18S rRNA gene sequence types. *J Euk Microbiol* **45**: 45-54.
- Thom S, Warhurst D, Drasar BS (1992) Association of Vibrio cholerae with fresh water amoebae. J Med Microbiol **36:** 303-306.
- Well JD, Sperling FA (1999) Molecular phylogeny of Chrysomya albiceps and C. rufifacies. J Med Entomol 36: 222-226.
- Yagita K, Endo T (1990) Restriction enzyme analysis of mitochondrial DNA of Acanthamoeba strains in Japan. J Protozool **37:** 570-575.