

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 3.

Published in final edited form as:


Org Lett. 2009 September 3; 11(17): 3878–3881. doi:10.1021/ol901449y.

Direct Synthesis of Medium-Bridged Twisted Amides via a Transannular Cyclization Strategy

Michal Szostak and Jeffrey Aubé*

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Malott Hall, Room 4070, Lawrence, Kansas 66045-7852

Abstract



The sequential RCM to construct a challenging medium-sized ring followed by a transannular cyclization across a medium-sized ring delivers previously unattainable twisted amides from simple acyclic precursors.

Many lactams incorporating nitrogen at a bridgehead position contain non-planar or "twisted" amides. 1 Due to limited overlap of the nitrogen lone pair of electrons with the π -system of the carbonyl group, such compounds are extremely sensitive to hydrolysis and display other kinds of reactivity that are sharply divergent from that of standard lactams. 2 Moreover, since a fully twisted amide represents the transition state of the cis—trans amide bond isomerization essential in protein folding, 3 it has been suggested that compounds that contain nonplanar amides could be useful as inhibitors of proline isomerases. 4 However, due to inherent strain and the enhanced lability to water, twisted amides have not fulfilled their promise as biological tools.

The vast majority of bridged amides place the carbonyl group on a bridge containing two or more carbons (Figure 1a). Although less common, we have recently shown that one-carbon bridged twisted amides 1 (Figure 1b) are substantially more persistent in aqueous solutions.⁵

This arises from the relatively relaxed ring sizes present in **1** and the fact that the ring-opened amino acid corresponding to this structure is destabilized by transannular interactions.

jaube@ku.edu.

However, the amide bond in ${\bf 1}$ is substantially distorted from planarity and the lactam displays reactivity that belie this nature. 6

In general, existing synthetic approaches to one-carbon bridged twisted amides are limited to particular structural types⁹ and do not allow for synthesis of larger number of diverse analogues. ¹⁰ There is no general method of synthesis of one-carbon bridged twisted amides. The observation that lactams **1** can reform in water once hydrolyzed, plus the rich history of transannular cyclizations in synthesis, ¹¹ (including limited precedent from the twisted amide chemistry), ¹² suggested that such ring systems might be accessible using a direct cyclization approach. Although only limited precedent supported the synthesis of medium-ring nitrogen containing heterocycles with appropriately placed amine and carboxylic acid derivative functionalities, ¹³ we believed that, if successful, RCM would allow for rapid construction of diverse precursors to the key cyclization. ¹⁴ Herein, we report the realization of these ideas to provide a highly general solution to the problem of one-carbon bridged twisted amide synthesis (Scheme 1).

Our initial investigations focused on the preparation of the [4.3.1] bicyclic ring system previously studied in this laboratory. ^{5,6,8b} Thus, malonate **2a** was prepared and subjected to range of RCM conditions. After extensive experimentation it was found that Hoveyda–Grubbs 2 catalyst ¹⁵ most effectively led to the 9-membered heterocycle **3a** (Table 1). Use of these conditions allowed synthesis of a series of analogues containing various amine substitutions, including readily removable carbamate groups (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). ¹⁶

We now wished to determine whether the desired lactams could be obtained via direct cyclization of the substrates. Previously, we had determined that some bicyclic amino acids analogous to **3** were in equilibrium with their closed forms (even in water), but that the hydrolysis reactions were irreversible if the medium-sized ring adopted a conformation with the carboxylic acid in an exo position. In the present cases, we controlled for this through the use of gem-diester substitution. In the event, deprotection and cyclization of the Ns precursor could be carried out in a single operation to deliver **4b** under very mild conditions (Scheme 2). Although this material showed modest sensitivity to flash chromatography, it could be isolated in ca. 50% yield after PTLC.

We have also determined that the Boc precursor **3c** could be utilized for preparation of twisted amides (Scheme 3, top). In contrast, the use of Cbz derivatives could be problematic. Deprotection and cyclization of **3d** (Scheme 3, bottom) proceeded smoothly, but the twisted amide proved to be unstable to the hydrogenation conditions, giving piperidone **4d** by C-N ring cleavage.⁶

The sequential RCM/transannular cyclization strategy was extended to a series of dienes, thus providing a systematic series of twisted lactam ring systems (Table 2). In general, the RCM reactions proceeded in very good yields. All of the medium-sized rings save one (entry 3) were obtained as exclusive cis double bond isomers. This study provides very rare examples of the successful use of catalytic RCM in the formation of 9- and 10-membered nitrogen containing ring systems with minimal conformational constraints. ¹⁷ Furthermore, the cyclization of the medium ring amino diesters to bridged lactams proved gratifyingly general. Although the cyclization of compound **3g** proved sluggish under our initially identified conditions, the [5.3.1] twisted amide could be generated by treatment with DBU after deprotection (entry 3). While malonate could not be applied for preparation of the [4.4.1] system (entry 5) due to competing decarboxylation, use of the phenyl acetate (entry 6) allowed for preparation of the desired compound, albeit in conservative yield. The experiment in entry 7 was performed to explore the effect of leaving group on cyclization reaction. Replacing methoxide with

phenoxide dramatically improved the yield of the transannular cyclization, delivering lactam 4j in 86% yield.

Several methods to prepare saturated lactams were also investigated (Table 3). This normally straightforward process was complicated by the tendency of some twisted amides to undergo unusual C-N cleavage reaction under mild hydrogenolysis conditions.⁶ Thus, when twisted amides prepared in the current study were treated with standard hydrogenolysis conditions, [4.3.1] and [4.4.1] scaffolds showed the highest reactivity, participating in C-N cleavage to the corresponding monocyclic amides (Table 3; entries 1 and 2), while [4.2.1], [5.2.1], [5.3.1] and [6.2.1] twisted amides were less reactive, undergoing only traditional reduction to the saturated analogues (entry 3; only products shown). As expected, allylic olefins are more susceptible to hydrogenolysis than isolated bonds. Interestingly, when hydrogenation of [4.4.1] scaffold was carried out in the presence of Willkinson's catalyst, the amide bond remained intact and the saturated amide was obtained in high yield (entry 4).

One goal of the present project is to obtain a series of varied ring systems to allow systematic exploration of the effect of amide twist on chemical and spectroscopic properties. For example, non-planar amides often display spectral features consistent with less "amide-like" and greater "ketone-like" nature of the carbonyl group. ¹⁸ Although the medium-bridged lactams prepared herein do not contain perfectly orthogonal N_{lone pair}—carbonyl groupings, most of the ring systems prepared here show substantially higher ¹³C chemical shifts for the carbonyl carbon and higher carbonyl stretches in the infrared spectra, consistent with a substantial degree of twist (Table 4). As expected, several of the ring systems containing larger rings are able to relax into values closer to those of analogous fused lactams (entries 3 and 5). We note that the infrared stretching frequences cover a range that begins at that for a "normal" amide (entry 6) and go all the way to a value that would be expected for a saturated, "normal" ketone (entry 1). This bodes well for the use of this suite of compounds for the systematic evaluation of the effect of amide bond geometry on reactivity. Interestingly, there is not a perfect correlation between IR stretching frequencies and ¹³C NMR carbonyl chemical shifts (also noted by Yamada¹⁸), suggesting that subtle electronic effects beyond bond angle likely effect these parameters.

In summary, a sequential RCM/transannular cyclization strategy has been developed that provides access to an expanded family of one-carbon bridged lactams. This route highlights the rapid assembly of previously inaccessible, functionalized ring systems from readily available starting materials. The mild conditions for the transannular cyclization allow for isolation of strained amides by a route similar to classical amide bond formation but facilitated by close proximity of the reactive functionalities. Work is currently underway on further development of this methodology and its application to the synthesis of a whole gamut of twisted amides. As already exemplified by hydrogenolysis reactions, this in turn will allow for the systematic study of strain influence on chemical and biological properties of amide bonds.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM-49093).

References

1. For reviews see: (a)Hall HK, Elshekeil A. Chem. Rev 1983;83:549. (b)Greenberg A. Greenberg A, Breneman CM, Liebman JF. The Amide Linkage: Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry,


and Materials Science 2000Wiley-Interscience:47. (c)Clayden J, Moran WJ. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2006;45:7118.

- For leading references see: (a) Greenberg A, Moore DT, DuBois TD. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1996;118:8658.
 (b) Kirby AJ, Komarov IV, Feeder N. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2001;4:522. (c) Brown R.
 Greenberg A, Breneman CM, Liebman JF. The Amide Linkage: Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Materials Science 2000Wiley-Interscience:85. (d) Mujika JI, Mercero JM, Lopez X. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:4445. [PubMed: 15783227]
- (a) Shao H, Jiang X, Gantzel P, Goodman M. Chem. Biol 1994;1:231. [PubMed: 9383395]Fischer, G.; Schmid, FX. Molecular Chaperones and Folding Catalysts: Regulation, Cellular Functions and Mechanisms. Bakau, B., editor. CRC; 1999. p. 461Schmid, FX. Protein Folding in the Cell. Vol. Vol. 59. 2002. p. 243
- 4. Greenberg, A. The Amide Linkage: Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Materials Science. Greenberg, A.; Breneman, CM.; Liebman, JF., editors. Wiley-Interscience; 2000. p. 78
- 5. Szostak M, Yao L, Aube J. J. Org. Chem 2009;74:1869.
- Lei Y, Wrobleski AD, Golden JE, Powell DR, Aube J. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:4552. [PubMed: 15796502]
- 7. (a) Tani K, Stoltz BM. Nature 2006;441:731. [PubMed: 16760973] (b) Somayaji V, Brown RS. J. Org. Chem 1986;51:2676.
- (a) Williams RM, Lee BH, Miller MM, Anderson OP. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1989;111:1073.
 (b) Yao L, Aube J. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:2766. [PubMed: 17302421]
- 9. For the only examples of one-carbon medium bridged amides, see: (a) two examples from Ref. 8. (b) Arata Y, Kobayash T. Chem. Pharm. Bull 1972;20:325. (c)Schill G, Priester CU, Windhovel UF, Fritz H. Tetrahedron 1987;43:3747.
- For failed attempts to form one-carbon bridged amides, see: Amide coupling reactions: (a) Ref. 9c. Intramolecular S_N2 displacement: (b)Smissman EE, Wirth PJ, Abernethy D, Ayres JW. J. Org. Chem 1972;37:3486. [PubMed: 5077644] (c)Smissman EE, Ayres JW. J. Org. Chem 1971;36:2407. (d) Smissman EE, Robinson RA, Matuszak AJ. J. Org. Chem 1970;35:3823. [PubMed: 5474329] (e) Brouillette WJ, Friedrich JD, Muccio DD. J. Org. Chem 1984;49:3227. Selenium-mediated electrophilic cyclization: (f)Toshimitsu A, Terao K, Uemura S. Tetrahedron Lett 1984;25:5917. (g) Toshimitsu A, Terao K, Uemura S. J. Org. Chem 1987;52:2018. Claisen condensation: (h)Smissman EE, Wirth PJ, Glynn DR. J. Org. Chem 1975;40:281. Direct RCM: (i)Doodeman R. 2002University of Amsterdam (j)Preston AJ, Gallucci JC, Paquette LA. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:6573. [PubMed: 16901145] (k)Lei Y. 2006University of Kansas (bond isomerization was observed rather than formation of strained twisted amides). [2+2] cycloaddition: (l)Booker-Milburn KI, Anson CE, Clissold C, Costin NJ, Dainty RF, Murray M, Patel D, Sharpe A. Eur. J. Org. Chem 2001;8:1473. Oxidative coupling: (m)Szostak M, Aubé J. Unpublished results.
- 11. For a pioneering work, see: (a)Leonard NJ, Fox RC, Oki M, Chiavarelli S. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1954;76:630. For selected examples from the recent literature, see: (b)Balskus EP, Jacobsen EN. Science 2007;317:1736. [PubMed: 17885133] (c)Chandler CL, List B. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:6737. [PubMed: 18454521] (d)Vital P, Hosseini M, Shanmugham MS, Gotfredsen CH, Harris P, Tanner D. Chem. Commun 2009;14:1888.
- (a) Ref. 9c. Bashore CG, Samardjiev IJ, Bordner J, Coe JW. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:3268.
 [PubMed: 12630882]
- 13. For reviews, see: (a)Maier ME. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2000;39:2073. (b)Nubbemeyer U. Stereoselective Heterocyclic Synthesis Iii 2001;Vol. 216:125. (c)Michaut A, Rodriguez J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2006;45:5740. For selected approaches from the recent literature, see: (d)Kan T, Fujiwara A, Kobayashi H, Fukuyama T. Tetrahedron 2002;58:6267. (e)David O, Meester WJN, Bieraugel H, Schoemaker HE, Hiemstra H, van Maarseveen JH. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2003;42:4373. (f)Klapars A, Parris S, Anderson KW, Buchwald SL. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:3529. [PubMed: 15025480]
- 14. For selected reviews, see: (a)Phillips AJ, Abell AD. Aldrichimica Acta 1999;32:75. (b)Fürstner A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2000;39:3013. (c)Deiters A, Martin SF. Chem. Rev 2004;104:2199. [PubMed: 15137789] (d)Chattopadhyay SK, Karmakar S, Biswas T, Majumdar KC, Rahaman H, Roy B. Tetrahedron 2007;63:3919. (e)Compain P. Adv. Synth. Catal 2007;349:1829.


15. For a review, see: (a)Hoveyda AH, Gillingham DG, Van Veldhuizen JJ, Kataoka O, Garber SB, Kingsbury JS, Harrity JPA. Org. Biomol. Chem 2004;2:8. [PubMed: 14737653] For recent examples of the use of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalysts in demanding RCM reactions, see: (b)Brown MK, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:12904. [PubMed: 18778057] (c)Farina V, Shu C, Zeng X, Wei X, Han Z, Yee NK, Senanayake CH. Org. Process Res. Dev 2009;13:250.

- For selected examples of RCM reactions influenced by the presence of carbamate or ester functionalities, see: (a)Campagne JM, Ghosez L. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:6175. (b)Brouwer AJ, Liskamp RMJ. J. Org. Chem 2004;69:3662. [PubMed: 15152994] (c)Fürstner A, Langemann K. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1997;119:9130.
- 17. For selected examples of RCM reactions in formation of 9- and 10-membered nitrogen containing ring systems, see: (a)Bamford SJ, Goubitz K, van Lingen HL, Luker T, Schenk H, Hiemstra H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000;3:345. (b)Fürstner A, Guth O, Duffels A, Seidel G, Liebl M, Gabor B, Mynott R. Chem.--Eur. J 2001;7:4811. (c)Enders D, Lenzen A, Backes M, Janeck C, Catlin K, Lannou MI, Runsink J, Raabe G. J. Org. Chem 2005;70:10538. [PubMed: 16323869]
- 18. Yamada S. Rev. Heteroat. Chem 1999;19:203.

a)



C=O on ≥2-carbon bridge
•limited utility due to hydrolytic instability




C=O on 1-carbon bridge
•desirable structures

•no general method of synthesis

Figure 1. Some twisted amides (a) with the C=O bond placed on a 2- or 3-carbon⁷ or (b) on a 1-carbon bridge.⁸

Scheme 1. RCM/cyclization strategy.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of [4.3.1] lactam.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ & \begin{array}{c} 1. \text{ H}_2, \text{ Pd/C} \\ \hline & 2. \text{ TFA} \\ \hline & 3. \text{ Cs}_2\text{CO}_3 \\ \hline & 73\% \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \hline & \\ \text{Cbz} \\ \textbf{3d} \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \hline & \\ \text{63\%} \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \hline & \\ \text{63\%} \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \hline & \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \end{array}$$

Scheme 3. Synthesis from orthogonally protected systems.

Table 1

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	MeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me
Optimization of RCM.	MeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me catalyst DCM or DCE c = 0.003 M

entry	diene [P]	catalyst	mol [%]	r [°C]	t [b]	yield [%] ^d
1	Ts (2a)	GI	100	40	26	87
2	Ts (2a)	Fürstner	50	24	25	54
3	Ts (2a)	Fürstner	20		22	41
4	Ts (2a)	G2	50	40	21	43
5	Ts (2a)	G2	20	80	21	76
9	Ts (2a)	G2	S	80	21	72
7	Ts (2a)	$G2^{\mathcal{C}}$	2	08	21	69
~	Ts (2a)	HG2	52	80	21	81
6	Ts (2a)	$HG2^d$	2	08	∞	q^{L8}
10	Ts (2a)	$HG2^e$	2	08	∞	₉ 26
11	$N_{S}\left(\mathbf{2b}\right)$	$HG2^d$	2	08	16	q^{2}
12	Boc (2c)	$HG2^d$	5	08	17	q\$8
13	Cbz (2d)	c	٠	08	∞	q^{68}

 $^{^{}a}$ Determined by 1 H NMR.

b Isolated yields.

 $^{^{}C}$ With Ti(OiPr)4.

 $d_{\mbox{Argon bubbled through the reaction.}}$

Popen to air. G1 = Grubbs catalyst 1, G2 = Grubbs catalyst 2, Fürstner = Fürstner catalyst, HG2 = Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst 2. RCM = ring closing metathesis. Ns = 2-Nitrobenzenesulfonyl. Cbz Carbobenzyloxy.

Synthesis of bridged lactams.

entry series R ring system step 1/2 [Pol] notes 1 e (1, 1) CO ₂ Me [4.2.1] 9075 — 2 f (1, 2) CO ₂ Me [5.2.1] 94/85 a 3 g (2, 2) CO ₂ Me [5.3.1] 90/64 b, c 4 h (1, 3) CO ₂ Me [6.2.1] 92/41 d 5 i (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 76/33 e 6 j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 60/86 8	MeO ₂ C R Step 1	Meo ₂ C R PhSH, CH ₂ CN, E	22 CS ₂ CO ₃ SO °C, 2 h			
e (1,1) CO ₂ Me [4.2.1] 90/75 f (1,2) CO ₂ Me [5.2.1] 94/85 g (2,2) CO ₂ Me [5.3.1] 90/64 h (1,3) CO ₂ Me [6.2.1] 92/41 j (3,1) Ph [4.4.1] 79/0 j (3,1) Ph [4.4.1] 60/86	entry	series (n, m)	×	ring system	yields, step 1/2 [%]	notes
f (1, 2) CO ₂ Me [5.2.1] 94/85 g (2, 2) CO ₂ Me [5.3.1] 90/64 h (1,3) CO ₂ Me [6.2.1] 92/41 j (3, 1) CO ₂ Me [4.4.1] 79/0 j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 76/33 j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 60/86	_	e (1, 1)	CO ₂ Me	[4.2.1]	90/75	1
g (2, 2) CO_2Me [5.3.1] $90/64$ h (1, 3) CO_2Me [6.2.1] $92/41$ i (3, 1) CO_2Me [4.4.1] $79/0$ j (3, 1) Ph $76/3$ $76/3$ j (3, 1) Ph $76/3$ $60/86$	2	f(1, 2)	${\rm CO_2Me}$	[5.2.1]	94/85	a
h (1,3) CO_2Me [6.2.1] $92/41$ i (3, 1) CO_2Me $[4.4.1]$ $79/0$ j (3, 1) Ph $[4.4.1]$ $76/33$ j (3, 1) $Ph^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $[4.4.1]$ $60/86$	3	g (2, 2)	${\rm CO_2Me}$	[5.3.1]	90/64	b, c
i (3, 1) CO_2Me [4.4.1] 79/0 j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 76/33 j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] 60/86	4	h (1, 3)	${\rm CO_2Me}$	[6.2.1]	92/41	p
j (3, 1) Ph [4.4.1] $76/33$ j (3, 1) Ph $76/3$ Ph $76/33$	5	i (3, 1)	${\rm CO_2Me}$	[4.4.1]	0/62	I
$j(3,1)$ ph^f $[4.4.1]$ $60/86$	9	j (3, 1)	Ph	[4.4.1]	76/33	в
	7	j (3, 1)	Ph^f	[4.4.1]	98/09	88

^aStep 2 run for 13 h.

 $^b\mathrm{Compound}\,\mathbf{5c}$ obtained as 5:1 mixture of Z/E isomers.

 $^{\text{C}}\mathrm{Step}$ 2: (i) PhSH, Cs₂CO₃; (ii) DBU, PhMe, 200 °C, 3 h.

 $^d{\rm Step}$ 2: (i) PhSH, Cs2CO3; (ii) DBU, PhMe, 180 °C, 12 h.

 $^e\mathrm{Step}$ 2: (i) PhSH, Cs₂CO₃; (ii) DBU, PhMe, 220 °C, 10 h.

fPhO2C instead of MeO2C.

 $^{\it g}{\rm Step}$ 2 run at 110 °C for 16 h.

Table 3 Hydrogenation/Hydrogenolysis of bicyclic lactams.

entry	lactam		hydrogena	tion products
1	O CO ₂ Me 4b [4.3.1]	H ₂ , Pd/C MeOH 1:3, 95%	CO ₂ Me	HN CO ₂ Me
2	O Ph Aj [4.4.1]	H ₂ , Pd/C MeOH 1:1, 54%	O Ph 5j	+ HN Ph
3 ^a	CO ₂ Me 5e [4.2.1]	CO ₂ Me	5g [5.3.1]	5h [6.2.1]
4	74% Ph H ₂ [4.4.1]	72% Rh(Ph ₃ P) ₃ CI THF 86%	79% O Ph 5j	89%

 $[^]a\mathrm{Only}$ products of hydrogenation of corresponding unsaturated lactams $\mathbf{4e}\mathbf{-h}$ shown.

 Table 4

 Spectroscopic properties of saturated lactams.

entry	lactam	ring system	lactam C=O ¹³ C [ppm]	lactam IR vC=0 [cm ⁻¹]
1	5e	[4.2.1]	183.4	1716
2	5f	[5.2.1]	180.1	1693
3	5h	[6.2.1]	173.4	1685
4	4c	[4.3.1]	181.0	1679
5	5g	[5.3.1]	176.6	1647
6	5 j	[4.4.1]	186.3	1643