



Published in final edited form as:

*Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009 September ; 18(9): 2549–2552. doi:  
10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0605.

## Polymorphic variants in hereditary pancreatic cancer genes are not associated with pancreatic cancer risk

Robert R. McWilliams, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, William R. Bamlet, M.S.<sup>2</sup>, Mariza de Andrade, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, David N. Rider, M.S.E.<sup>3</sup>, Fergus J. Couch, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Julie M. Cunningham, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Martha E. Matsumoto<sup>2</sup>, Kari G. Rabé, M.S.<sup>2</sup>, Traci J. Hammer<sup>2</sup>, and Gloria M. Petersen, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

<sup>2</sup> Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

<sup>3</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

### Abstract

**Background**—Inherited risk of pancreatic cancer has been associated with mutations in several genes, including *BRCA2*, *CDKN2A* (p16), *PRSS1*, and *PALB2*. We hypothesized that common variants in these genes, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), may also influence risk for pancreatic cancer development.

**Methods**—A clinic based case-control study in non-Hispanic white persons compared 1,143 patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma with 1,097 healthy controls. Twenty-eight genes directly and indirectly involved in the Fanconi/BRCA pathway (includes *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, and *PALB2*) were identified and 248 tag-SNPs were selected. In addition, 11 SNPs in *CDKN2A*, *PRSS1*, and *PRSS2* were selected. Association studies were performed at the gene level by principal components analysis, while recursive partitioning analysis was utilized to investigate pathway effects. At the individual SNP level, adjusted additive, dominant, and recessive models were investigated, and gene-environment interactions were also assessed.

**Results**—Gene level analyses showed no significant association of any genes with altered pancreatic cancer risk. Multiple single SNP analyses demonstrated associations, which will require replication. Exploratory pathway analyses by recursive partitioning demonstrated no association between SNPs and risk for pancreatic cancer.

**Conclusion**—In a candidate gene and pathway SNP association study analysis, common variations in the Fanconi/BRCA pathway and other candidate familial pancreatic cancer genes are not associated with risk for pancreatic cancer.

### Introduction

The double-stranded break repair pathway is a unique pathway of response to DNA crosslinking and subsequent repair, the exact mechanism of which is as yet undetermined.(1) High penetrance mutations in double-stranded break repair genes such as *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* increase susceptibility to cancer, most notably breast and ovarian cancers(2,3), but also have been reported in familial pancreatic cancer kindreds.(4–6) Truncating mutations in *FANCC* and *FANCG* have been reported in a few cases of sporadic young-onset pancreatic cancer, though their contribution to pancreatic cancer risk is unclear,(7–9) while truncating mutations in *PALB2* have also recently been documented in familial pancreatic cancer

kindreds.(10) Other genes involved in hereditary susceptibility to pancreatic cancer include *CDKN2A* (familial melanoma) and *PRSSI* (hereditary pancreatitis).

We hypothesized that low penetrance polymorphisms could confer a modest increase in risk for pancreatic cancer. Unlike highly penetrant truncating mutations or large deletions, these polymorphic variants may be associated with alterations in gene function or expression to a more limited extent.

## Methods

Written, informed consent was obtained from each subject for participation in this study and provision of biospecimens. This study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board.

## Cases

From October 2000 through March 2007, patients with clinically documented pancreatic adenocarcinoma were recruited to a prospective registry during their visit to Mayo Clinic (Rochester, Minnesota or Jacksonville, Florida), as previously described.(11)

## Controls

From May 2004 to February 2007, healthy controls were recruited from the General Internal Medicine clinics at Mayo Clinic (Rochester). Controls were frequency matched to cases on sex, location of residence, age at time of recruitment (in 5-year increments), and race/ethnicity, as previously described.(11)

## Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Selection

A linkage disequilibrium (LD) based tag-SNP strategy was employed. (12). Known genes directly and indirectly involved in double-stranded break repair were identified (n=28, Table 1); as well as *PRSSI*, *PRSS2*, and *CDKN2A*. Genotype data were compiled from HapMap, SeattleSNPs and NIEHS SNPs. We used LdSelect software (Version 1.0, Seattle, Washington) (13) for SNP selection from each gene including 5kb upstream/downstream using criteria of  $r^2 \geq 0.9$  and minor allele frequency (MAF)  $\geq 0.05$ . A total of 259 SNPs in 31 genes were selected.

## Genotyping

DNA samples were analyzed in the Mayo Clinic Genotyping Shared Resource using an Illumina Golden Gate® Custom 768-plex OPA panel using the standard protocol. BeadStudio II software was used to analyze the data and prepare reports. DNA samples from cases and controls were randomly placed on plates.

**Quality Control**—Positive and negative controls were run in parallel to assess the quality of genotyping. All genotype clusters were manually inspected by a molecular geneticist (JC). Call rates were high for SNPs overall, at 99.6% rate for samples, and 95.1% for loci. Forty-seven pairs were used for duplicate concordance, with a 99.9% concordance rate. Eighteen SNPs failed to amplify and 91 samples had a call rate of 0.

## Statistical Methods

Risk factor questionnaires (RFQs) were completed by 100% of controls and 71% of cases. For cases missing RFQs, clinical data were extracted from available medical records, with a high inter-method reliability as previously reported.(12) Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was

confirmed in controls for each SNP by  $\chi^2$  test. Those failing were excluded from the analysis (n=2). A principal components analysis(14) approach was utilized in order to test for an overall association between disease and the multiple SNPs genotyped within each gene. The necessary number of principal components needed for each gene was determined using a 90% explained variance criteria. Once the necessary principal components were determined, multivariable logistic regression models were constructed to assess the significance of each gene. We had 88% power to detect an OR of 1.35 with a MAF 0.10, and 90% power to detect an OR of 1.25 with a MAF of 0.25. Allele associations were assessed using the Pearson  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact test (when sample sizes were small), and genotype associations were assessed using the Cochran-Armitage trend test. Multivariate analysis compared genotype frequencies in cases and controls adjusted for age, sex, ever/never smoking status, family history of pancreatic cancer (1<sup>st</sup> degree), and body-mass index (BMI).

## Results

Demographic characteristics of cases and controls are shown in Table 1. There were differences in BMI, sex, percent of ever-smokers, percent reporting a first degree relative with pancreatic cancer, and diabetes. Adjusted principal components analyses for each gene (Table 2) showed no association for any gene with pancreatic cancer risk. Logistic regression analyses at the single SNP level for each gene were also performed using multivariable additive, dominant, and recessive models. Statistically significant associations are shown in Supplemental Table s1, though no associations would remain significant after Bonferroni adjustment. The proportion of positive findings (4.0–4.2% for the three models) are within the range expected by chance ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Recursive partitioning analysis was performed as an exploratory method to assess SNP-SNP associations within the pathway and SNP-environment interactions. No partitions by SNPs reached statistical significance in these analyses, and no interaction was identified from this analysis. (Supplemental Figure s1)

## Discussion

This large case-control study designed to assess common variants in genes associated with hereditary cancer or familial pancreatic cancer did not find associations of polymorphic variants with pancreatic cancer risk. Therefore, we conclude that functional variations of modest effect that might be associated with common polymorphisms in these genes do not appear to confer increased risk for pancreatic cancer. For instance, for the DNA repair genes, it is probable that only somatic loss of heterozygosity in the setting of a defective allele results in a neoplastic transformation, but minor germline variation in DNA repair capacity does not appear to meaningfully influence risk for pancreatic cancer. When high-throughput DNA sequencing is practically scaled to large numbers of subjects, it may be possible to identify high-penetrance mutations in key pathways that confer risk in “sporadic” pancreatic cancer patients as well as in the familial pancreatic cancer setting.

## Conclusion

In a tag-SNP analysis of genes associated with familial pancreatic cancer and genes associated with DNA double-stranded break repair, polymorphic variants were not associated with risk for pancreatic cancer.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

Sources of Support: National Cancer Institute CA K07 116303 (R.M.), P50 CA 102701 (G.P.), R01 CA 97075

## References

1. Kennedy RD, D' Andrea AD. The Fanconi Anemia/BRCA pathway: new faces in the crowd. *Genes Dev* 2005;19:2925–40. [PubMed: 16357213]
2. Hall JM, Lee MK, Newman B, et al. Linkage of early onset familial breast cancer to chromosome 17q21. *Science* 1990;250:1684–9. [PubMed: 2270482]
3. Wooster R, Bignell G, Lancaster J, et al. Identification of the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA2. *Nature* 1995;378:789–92. [PubMed: 8524414]
4. Hahn SA, Greenhalf B, Ellis I, et al. BRCA2 germline mutations in familial pancreatic carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:214–21. [PubMed: 12569143]
5. Martin ST, Matsubayashi H, Rogers CD, et al. Increased prevalence of the BRCA2 polymorphic stop codon K3326X among individuals with familial pancreatic cancer. *Oncogene* 2005;24:3652–6. [PubMed: 15806175]
6. Naderi A, Couch FJ. BRCA2 and pancreatic cancer. *Int J Gastrointest Cancer* 2002;31:99–106. [PubMed: 12622420]
7. Couch, FJ.; Johnson, M.; Rabe, K., et al. The Contribution of Germline Mutations in BRCA2 and FANCC to Pancreatic Cancer. *Proc SPORE Invest Workshop*; 2004; Baltimore, MD. (Abst)
8. van der Heijden MS, Yeo CJ, Hruban RH, Kern SE. Fanconi anemia gene mutations in young-onset pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:2585–8. [PubMed: 12750283]
9. Rogers CD, van der Heijden MS, Brune K, et al. The genetics of FANCC and FANCG in familial pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2004;3:167–9. [PubMed: 14726700]
10. Jones S, Hruban RH, Kamiyama M, et al. Exomic Sequencing Identifies PALB2 as a Pancreatic Cancer Susceptibility Gene. *Science* 2009;324:217. [PubMed: 19264984]
11. McWilliams RR, Bamlet WR, de Andrade M, Rider DN, Cunningham JM, Petersen GM. Nucleotide Excision Repair Pathway Polymorphisms and Pancreatic Cancer Risk: Evidence for role of MMS19L. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18:1295–302. [PubMed: 19318433]
12. McWilliams RR, Bamlet WR, Cunningham JM, et al. Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes, smoking, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma risk. *Cancer Res* 2008;68:4928. [PubMed: 18544627]
13. Carlson CS, Eberle MA, Rieder MJ, Yi Q, Kruglyak L, Nickerson DA. Selecting a maximally informative set of single-nucleotide polymorphisms for association analyses using linkage disequilibrium. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004;74:106–20. [PubMed: 14681826]
14. Gauderman WJ, Murcray C, Gilliland F, Conti DV. Testing association between disease and multiple SNPs in a candidate gene. *Genet Epidemiol* 2007;31:383–95. [PubMed: 17410554]

**Table 1**  
Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Cases and Controls

Variable	Cases (N=1143)	Controls (N=1097)	P <sup>****</sup>
Age at diagnosis (Cases) or study entry (Controls) ( $\pm$ SD)	65.5	65.6	$\pm$ 10.8
Age < 60	329	297	(27%)
Male Sex	668	557	(51%)
Non-Hispanic Whites <sup>*</sup>	1143	1097	(100%)
Ever-Smoker	682	505	(46%)
Smoking status			
Never-smoker <sup>**</sup>	455	592	(54%)
Former smoker	527	458	(42%)
Current smoker	148	41	(4%)
Missing	13	6	
Years smoked ( $\pm$ SD)	22.4	18.2	$\pm$ 14.0
Pack-years smoked ( $\pm$ SD)	17.0	9.3	$\pm$ 17.2
Body Mass Index ( $\pm$ SD)	27.8	27.2	$\pm$ 4.7
Region			
Minnesota, Iowa, or Wisconsin (Tristate)	579	748	(68%)
North Dakota or South Dakota	94	40	(4%)
Other USA	448	308	(28%)
Other Country	22	1	(0%)
Diabetes Mellitus (DM)			
No	801	1008	(92%)
Yes	342	89	(8%)
DM (> 2 yrs before Pancreatic Cancer dx)	224	0	
Pancreas Cancer Stage at Enrollment			
Resectable	328	0	--
Locally advanced	379	0	--
Metastatic	430	0	--
Not otherwise specified	6	0	--
Family History of Pancreatic Cancer (1 <sup>st</sup> degree)	79	43	(4%)

<sup>\*</sup> Only Non-Hispanic whites included in the analysis

\*\* Defined as less than 100 cigarettes in lifetime.

\*\*\* p-value unadjusted

Gene-Level Principal Components Analysis of Pancreatic Cancer Risk Associations

Table 2

Gene Name	# SNPs	Chromosome Location	Unadjusted p-value *	Adjusted p-value **	Principal Components ***
<i>ATM</i>	11	1q22.3	0.7714	0.70308	5
<i>ATR</i>	10	3q22-24	0.7710	0.69677	5
<i>BRCA1</i>	7	17q21	0.7091	0.70542	3
<i>BRCA2</i>	22	13q12.3	0.8191	0.69003	7
<i>BRIP1</i>	16	17q22	0.2312	0.57038	6
<i>CDKN2A</i>	7	9p21	0.8854	0.80569	5
<i>CHEK1</i>	10	11q22-23	0.5427	0.43054	5
<i>FANCA</i>	12	16q24.3	0.8330	0.99897	4
<i>FANCC</i>	17	9q22.3	0.5472	0.18791	6
<i>FANCD2</i>	5	3p25.3	0.2406	0.19372	4
<i>FANCE</i>	4	6p21-22	0.6380	0.34821	2
<i>FANCF</i>	3	11p15	0.1601	0.16791	2
<i>FANCG</i>	1	9p13	0.7913	0.91465	1
<i>FANCL (PHF9)</i>	22	2p16.1	0.3318	0.45418	5
<i>FANCM</i>	7	14q21.3	0.2517	0.19150	4
<i>PALB2</i>	5	16p12	0.1992	0.38942	3
<i>MCPHI</i>	1	8p23	0.1268	0.20708	1
<i>MDC1</i>	2	6pter-p21.3	0.1056	0.12931	2
<i>MRE11A</i>	18	11q21	0.3097	0.54468	4
<i>NBN (NBS1)</i>	21	8q21	0.3246	0.52926	8
<i>PRSS1</i>	1	7q35	0.3033	0.40413	1
<i>PRSS2</i>	3	7q35	0.8843	0.87790	2
<i>RAD50</i>	4	5q31	0.9222	0.98552	3
<i>RAD51</i>	6	15q15.1	0.5609	0.88217	3
<i>RAD51L3</i>	4	17q11	0.3954	0.42135	3
<i>RAD54</i>	1	8q21.3-22	0.4097	0.17993	1
<i>RBBP8</i>	7	18q11.2	0.5038	0.69827	3
<i>SHFM1</i>	8	17q21	0.8274	0.72815	4
<i>TOPBP1</i>	12	3q22.1	0.3638	0.23532	4
<i>TP53BP1</i>	9	15q15-21	0.9888	0.98525	3

Gene Name	# SNPs	Chromosome Location	Unadjusted p-value*	Adjusted p-value**	Principal Components***
<i>USP1</i>	3	1p31-32	0.2560	0.27569	2
<u>Total</u>					
31	259				

\* Likelihood ratio test

\*\* Likelihood ratio test adjusted for age, sex, ever/never smoking, body mass index, diabetes, 1st degree family history of pancreatic cancer

\*\*\* Number of Principal Components needed to meet 90% explained variance criteria