Degradation of Sterol Regulatory Element-binding Protein Precursor Requires the Endoplasmic Reticulum-associated Degradation Components Ubc7 and Hrd1 in Fission Yeast*

Received for publication, January 21, 2009, and in revised form, May 19, 2009 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 11, 2009, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M109.002436 Bridget T. Hughes^{1,2}, Christine C. Nwosu¹, and Peter J. Espenshade³

From the Department of Cell Biology, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

Sre1, the fission yeast sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP), is an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranebound transcription factor that is a principal regulator of hypoxic gene expression. Under low oxygen, Sre1 is cleaved from its inactive ER precursor form to generate an active nuclear transcription factor that up-regulates genes required for low oxygen growth. To maintain a constant supply of Sre1, Sre1 precursor synthesis must be regulated to replenish Sre1 precursor lost to proteolytic cleavage under low oxygen. In this study, we investigated the mechanisms controlling Sre1 precursor levels. We found that positive feedback regulation at the $sref^+$ pro**moter increases the synthesis of the Sre1 precursor under low oxygen and that this regulation is required for maximal Sre1 activation and target gene expression.We also demonstrate that the Sre1 precursor is rapidly degraded by the proteasome in the absence of its binding partner Scp1, which is required for oxygen-regulated Sre1 cleavage. Degradation of Sre1 in the absence of Scp1 requires the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) components Ubc7, an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme, and Hrd1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase. We conclude that positive feedback regulation to up-regulate Sre1 precursor synthesis under low oxygen is essential for Sre1 function and propose that excess Sre1 precursor is removed by ERAD to ensure complex formation between Sre1 and its binding partner Scp1. Thus, Sre1 is a new example of an endogenous ERAD substrate, establishing fission yeast as an organism for the study of this important degradative pathway.**

Activation of low oxygen gene expression in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is primarily controlled by the Sre1 transcription factor. Sre1 is the fission yeast homolog of the mammalian sterol regulatory element-binding protein $(SREBP)^4$ (1). SREBPs are ER-membrane bound, basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors that control expression of genes required for uptake and synthesis of cholesterol under sterol limiting conditions (2). SREBP forms a tight complex with its binding partner Scap (SREBP cleavage activating protein).When sterol levels are low, Scap escorts SREBP to the Golgi where SREBP is sequentially cleaved by Site 1 and Site 2 proteases to release the N-terminal transcription factor domain (3). Sterols regulate access of SREBP to the Golgi-localized proteases by controlling entrance of SREBP-Scap into ER transport vesicles (4). Following SREBP cleavage in the Golgi, Scap is thought to recycle to the ER and bind to newly synthesized SREBP to participate in additional rounds of cleavage (2).

In fission yeast, Sre1 is proteolytically cleaved and activated in response to low oxygen (1). Under low oxygen conditions, oxygen-dependent ergosterol synthesis is inhibited, leading to Sre1 cleavage and release of the N-terminal transcription factor domain (Sre1N). In addition, oxygen also controls the degradation of Sre1N. In the presence of oxygen, the prolyl hydroxylase family member Ofd1 accelerates the turnover of Sre1N (5). In the absence of oxygen, Ofd1 is inhibited leading to rapid accumulation of cleaved Sre1N and expression of Sre1 target genes. Sre1 activates expression of genes in pathways involved in nonrespiratory oxygen consumption required for low oxygen growth (6).

Like mammalian SREBP, activation of Sre1 also requires binding to Scap, called Scp1 in fission yeast (1). Scap contains a sterol sensing domain in transmembrane domains 2– 6 that is required for sterol-regulated cleavage of SREBPs (2). Interestingly, in both yeast and mammals, the SREBP precursor is reduced in the absence of Scap (1, 7). The mechanism by which the SREBP precursor is regulated in the absence of Scap is unknown.

Both luminal and membrane-bound ER proteins are subject to quality control and misfolded proteins are degraded by a process termed ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) (8). ERAD involves recognition and polyubiquitination of misfolded protein substrates, extraction from the ER, and degradation by the proteasome (9–11). In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* the ERAD pathway involves two ubiquitin ligases, Hrd1p and Doa10p, both of which contain cytosolic RING finger domains (12). Hrd1p is required for degradation of protein substrates with misfolded ER-luminal or membrane domains and Doa10p

^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health

 1 -Both authors contributed equally to this work.

² Recipient of an American Heart Association Predoctoral Fellowship. Current address: Division of Clinical Research, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research

Center, 1100 Fairview Ave. North, Seattle, WA 98109.
³ Established Investigator of the American Heart Association and a recipient of an Investigator in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease Awardfrom the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. To whom correspondence should be addressed: 725 N. Wolfe St., Physiology 107B, Baltimore, MD 21205. Tel.:
443-287-5026; Fax: 410-502-7826; E-mail: peter.espenshade@jhmi.edu.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: SREBP, sterol regulatory element-binding protein; Scap, SREBP cleavage activating protein; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERAD, endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation; SRE, Sre1 regulatory element; YES, yeast extract plus supplements; CaMV, cauliflower

mosaic virus; MP, mutant promoter; HMG-CoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A.

is required for degradation of proteins with misfolded cytosolic domains (13, 14). Both the Hrd1p and Doa10p E3 ubiquitin ligases can catalyze the polyubiquitination of substrates through the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc7p (15). In the sterol pathway, *S. cerevisiae* Hrd1 and the mammalian E3 ligase gp78 catalyze the ubiquitination and degradation of the sterol biosynthetic enzyme HMG-CoA reductase in sterol-overloaded cells (9, 16). In addition, gp78 mediates sterol-regulated degradation of Insig-1, a central regulator of cholesterol homeostasis (17). Therefore, ERAD functions in the physiological regulated degradation of proteins as well as degradation of misfolded proteins.

Our previous studies revealed that oxygen controls Sre1 proteolytic activation and nuclear Sre1 protein stability to regulate Sre1-dependent target gene expression (1, 5). However, to achieve sustained activation of Sre1 target genes under low oxygen, cleaved Sre1N must be continually produced from the Sre1 precursor. Here, we investigated the mechanisms regulating Sre1 precursor levels independent of oxygen-regulated proteolytic cleavage.We found that positive feedback regulation of the *sre1* promoter is necessary to maintain Sre1 precursor levels and is required for maximal Sre1 activation and function. We also demonstrate that Sre1 precursor levels are dependent upon Scp1. In the absence of Scp1, the Sre1 precursor is rapidly degraded by the proteasome in a mechanism requiring the ERAD components, Ubc7 and Hrd1. These results demonstrate that positive feedback is required for Sre1 pathway function and suggest that Sre1 is an endogenous substrate for ER-associated degradation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—Yeast extract was obtained from BD Biosciences; amino acids from Sigma; horseradish peroxidase-conjugated affinity purified donkey anti-rabbit and anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) from Jackson ImmunoResearch; oligonucleotides from Integrated DNA Technologies; cobalt chloride and cycloheximide from Sigma; proteasome inhibitor II ((benzyloxycarbonyl)-Leu-Leu-phenylalaninal) from Calbiochem.

Yeast Strains and Culture—Wild-type haploid *S. pombe* KGY425 (*h*-*, his3-D1, leu1-32, ura4-D18, ade6-M210*) and KGY461 (*h, his3-D1, leu1-32, ura4-D18, ade6-M210*) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (18). *S. pombe* strains *scp1*::*ura4, Nmt** *-scp1*, *ubc7*::*kanMX6* (*SPBP16F5.04*), *hrd1*::*kanMX6* (*SPBC17D11.02c*), and *doa10*::*kanMX6* (*SPBC14F5.07*) were generated from wildtype haploid yeast (KGY425 or KGY461) by homologous recombination using established techniques (19). The *sre1*::*kanMX6* and *scp1*::*kanMX6* strains were described previously (1). *hrd1–13xMyc*:*kanMX6* was tagged at the C terminus by homologous recombination in $\frac{scp1\Delta:}{\alpha^4}$ haploid yeast using established techniques (18). The resulting strain *scp1*::*ura4 hrd1–13xMyc*:*kanMX6* was then crossed to *ubc7* \triangle :*kanMX6*. The *mts3-1* strain was a kind gift from Colin Gordon (Medical Research Council, UK).

Strain *sre1-MP* (MP, mutant promoter) contains mutated Sre1 DNA binding sequences SRE2 and SRE3 in the *sre1*⁺ promoter to prevent positive feedback regulation by Sre1 (6). The sequence of SRE2 was changed from 5'-ATCACCCCAT-3' to

Degradation of Yeast Sre1 Requires ERAD Machinery

5-ATATACCATA-3 and the sequence of SRE3 was changed from 5'-GTCAGTCCAC-3' to 5'-GTATATCATA-3'. To generate sre1-MP base pairs -550 to -450 upstream of the sre1⁺ open reading frame were replaced with $ura4^+$ by homologous recombination. This strain was subsequently transformed with a 850-bp PCR product containing sequences directly upstream of the *sre1*⁺ open reading frame amplified using oligonucleotides $SRE2 + 3F$ and $SRE2 + 3R$ and the $SRE2$ ^{*}SRE3^{*} plasmid template described previously (6). Transformants were selected on minimal medium containing 5-fluoroorotic acid to counterselect for expression of the $ura4^+$ gene product (20). Transformants were screened by PCR and confirmed by sequencing. Standard genetic techniques were used to generate the *scp1* $mts3-1$, $scp1\Delta$ $ubc7\Delta$, $scp1\Delta$ $hrd1\Delta$, and $scp1\Delta$ $doa10\Delta$ strains (21).

Yeast strains were grown to exponential phase at 30 °C (unless otherwise indicated) in yeast extract plus supplements (YES) (225 μ g/ml each of histidine, leucine, adenine, lysine, and uracil) or in Edinburgh minimal medium where indicated using standard techniques (1). Anaerobic growth conditions were maintained using an *In vivo*₂ 400 work station (Biotrace, Inc.) as described previously (1, 6). For plate assays, yeast grown on YES $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells})$ were 5-fold serially diluted on YES agar or YES agar containing 1.6 mm CoCl₂ and were grown for $3-6$ days.

Plasmids—pCaMV-Sre1, which expresses *sre1*⁺ from the constitutive cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) promoter was generated in three steps. First, *sre1* was reverse transcribed from *S. pombe* RNA and cloned into pSL1180 (GE Healthcare Bioscience) using primers 5'-GATCGCGGCCGCATG-CAAGCTCAATTCCGTCAGTT-3' and 5'-GATCGTC- $GAC(T)_{18}-3'$ to yield PJE426. Next, this plasmid was digested with XhoI and the 1.6-kb XhoI *sre1*⁺ fragment was cloned into the XhoI-SalI restriction sites of pCaMV-Sre1N plasmid previously described to yield PJE569 (1). This plasmid was then digested with BamHI-PstI and the *sre1* fragment was cloned into BamHI-PstI-digested pSLF101 to yield pCaMV-Sre1 (pBT102) (22).

Northern and Western Blotting—Total RNA isolation and Northern blot analysis as well as probes for *sre1*⁺, *hem13*⁺, and $tub1⁺$ have been described previously $(1, 5)$. Whole cell yeast extract preparation, membrane protein extraction, Western blot analysis, anti-Sre1 IgG polyclonal antibody, and anti-Scp1 monoclonal antibodies 8G4C11, 1G1D6, and 7B4A3 have been described (1, 23).

For protein half-life analysis, the indicated yeast strains were grown in the presence or absence of oxygen to exponential phase where indicated and then shifted to aerobic conditions in the presence of cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) (Sigma). Samples were collected, and whole cell lysates (40 μ g) were subjected to Western blot analysis. Blots were developed using the HyGLO HP Detection Kit (Denville Scientific) or the SuperSignal[®] West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Pierce), exposed to film and imaged using the Versadoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Quantification was performed using Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad). Each half-life analysis figure shows results representative of at least three independent experiments.

Immunoprecipitation—Yeast cells (5 \times 10⁷) were lysed with glass beads in TAP lysis buffer (6 mm Na_2HPO_4 , 4 mm

FIGURE 1. **Positive feedback regulation at the** *sre1* **promoter is required for maximal Sre1 activation and growth.** *A*, diagram of the *sre1* promoter. The Sre1 regulatory elements (*SREs*) are shown in *black*. *B*, wild-type (WT) and *sre1-MP* cells were grown in rich medium \pm oxygen for 6 h. Whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with an antibody directed against the N terminus of Sre1 (anti-Sre1 IgG). *P* and *N* denote the precursor and Sre1N nuclear forms, respectively. Total RNA (5 µg) was subjected to Northern analysis with the indicated ³²P-labeled probes. α -Tubulin (*tub1*⁺) mRNA served as a loading control. C, wild-type and sre1-MP cells were grown for increasing times - oxygen. Whole cell lysates were analyzed as in *B*. D, 5-fold serial dilutions of wild-type, sre1-MP, and sre1 Δ cells were plated YES or YES containing 1.6 mm CoCl₂.

NaH₂PO₄, 1% (w/v) digitonin (Calbiochem), 150 mm NaCl, 4 mm EDTA, 50 mm sodium fluoride, and 0.3 mm $Na₃VO₄$) and protease inhibitors. Cleared lysates were incubated with anti-Myc 9E10 monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and Protein G-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) overnight at 4 °C. Bound fraction was washed three times with TAP lysis buffer, and bound and unbound fractions were subjected to immunoblot analysis.

RESULTS

Positive Feedback Regulation Is Required for Maximal Sre1 Activation and Function—The amount of Sre1 precursor is controlled by rates of synthesis, oxygen-regulated proteolytic cleavage, and degradation. Previously, we showed that Sre1 binds to its own promoter and up-regulates expression of *sre1* mRNA in a positive feedback mechanism (1, 5, 6). To determine the contribution of this positive feedback to Sre1 precursor levels and activity of the Sre1 pathway, we mutated two Sre1 binding sites (SRE2 and SRE3) in the *sre1*⁺ promoter required for positive feedback regulation and designated this strain *sre1-MP* (mutant promoter) (Fig. 1*A*) (5, 6). To test if mutations in SRE2 and SRE3 blocked the low oxygen increase in *sre1*⁺ mRNA, we grew wild-type and *sre1-MP* cells in the presence or absence of oxygen for 6 h. Wild-type cells grown in the absence of oxygen showed a dramatic increase in Sre1N and a large increase in the $srel^+$ mRNA (Fig. 1*B*, *lanes 1* and 2). In contrast, $srel^+$ mRNA did not increase in *sre1-MP* cells in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 1*B*, *lanes 3* and *4*). Sre1 cleavage was significantly reduced and expression of *hem13*⁺, a known Sre1 target gene, was also reduced in *sre1-MP* cells compared with wild-type cells grown

in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 1*B*) (6). *sre1* mRNA and Sre1 precursor levels were similar in wild-type and *sre1-MP* cells in the presence of oxygen, indicating that the SRE2 and SRE3 elements were not required for synthesis of the *sre1* mRNA or Sre1 precursor in normoxic conditions (Fig. 1*B*, *lanes 1* and *3*). Importantly, Sre1 precursor was significantly reduced *sre1-MP* cells in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 1*B*, *lanes 2* and *4*), suggesting that increased *sre1*⁺ mRNA expression under low oxygen is required to maintain a supply of Sre1 precursor.

To examine the kinetics of Sre1 precursor depletion in *sre1-MP* cells, wild-type and *sre1-MP* cells were grown in the absence of oxygen for increasing times. As shown previously, in wild-type cells Sre1 cleavage was maximal at 4– 6 h and Sre1 precursor increased under low oxygen (Fig. 1*C*, *lanes 1– 6*) (1). However, in *sre1-MP* cells Sre1 precursor decreased over time and was

depleted by 4 h of low oxygen growth (Fig. 1*C*, *lanes 7–12*). Additionally, accumulation of Sre1N was maximal at 2 h and then decreased at 4 and 6 h mirroring the depletion of Sre1 precursor (Fig. 1*C*, *lanes 7–12*). Together, these results indicate that positive feedback regulation of the *sre1* promoter is required for maximal Sre1 activation and target gene expression under low oxygen.

Our previous studies showed that Sre1 cleavage is activated by cobalt chloride, a hypoxia mimetic that inhibits fungal sterol synthesis (24), and that SFR^{-1} is required for growth on cobalt chloride containing medium. To test if positive feedback regulation at the *sre1*⁺ promoter is physiologically required for Sre1 function, we assayed growth of wild-type, *sre1-MP*, or *sre1* cells on rich medium containing cobalt chloride. *sre1* Δ cells showed wild-type growth on rich medium, but failed to grow on medium containing cobalt chloride (Fig. 1*D*) (24).*sre1-MP* cells grew similar to wild-type cells on rich medium. However, *sre1-MP* cells displayed a dramatic growth defect on medium containing cobalt chloride consistent with reduced Sre1 function (Fig. 1*D*). Collectively, these results demonstrate that positive feedback regulation of *sre1*⁺ is required for maximal Sre1 activation and Sre1 pathway function.

Scp1 Is Required for Sre1 Stability—Having established that positive feedback regulation is required to maintain the Sre1 precursor supply, we next investigated how Sre1 precursor degradation is regulated. For these experiments, cells were grown in the presence of oxygen to inhibit Sre1 proteolytic cleavage. In the ER, Sre1 forms a stable complex with Scp1 (1). In the absence of Scp1, Sre1 precursor was reduced (Fig. 2*A*, *lanes 1* and *2*). To test whether the reduction in Sre1 precursor was due

FIGURE 2. **Scp1 is required for Sre1 precursor stability.** *A*, *scp1*, wild-type (*WT*), and cells overexpressing *scp1* from the thiamine repressible nmt* promoter were grown in Edinburgh minimal medium in the absence of thiamine. Whole cell extracts or urea-solubilized membranes were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG or a mixture of anti-Scp1 IgG monoclonal antibodies 8G4C11, 1G1D6, and 7B4A3, respectively. *B*, wild-type and *scp1* Δ cells were grown in YES, at $t = 0$ cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added and samples were collected at the indicated times. Whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG. Images for wild-type and *scp1* cells were from the same film and both long and short exposure times are shown. The percentage of Sre1 precursor remaining relative to $t=0$ is quantified below. *C*, *sre1* Δ and wild-type cells containing an empty vector or a plasmid expressing Sre1 from the constitutive CaMV promoter were grown in Edinburgh minimal medium. Whole cell extracts or urea-solubilized membranes were analyzed as in *A*.

to decreased Sre1 stability, we performed a cycloheximide chase experiment to measure Sre1 half-life in wild-type and $scp1\Delta$ cells. Wild-type and $scp1\Delta$ cells were treated with cycloheximide to inhibit protein translation and whole cell lysates were collected after increasing time. In wild-type cells, Sre1 precursor half-life was 15–30 min (Fig. 2*B*, *short exposure*). However, in $\frac{scp1}{\Delta}$ cells Sre1 degradation was accelerated (Fig. 2*B*, *long exposure*). These results indicate that Scp1 is required for Sre1 precursor stability in addition to its role in Sre1 proteolytic cleavage. Interestingly, overexpression of $\frac{\epsilon pI^{+}}{\epsilon pI^{+}}$ only slightly increased Sre1 precursor (Fig. 2*A*, *lanes 2* and *3*), suggesting that excess Scp1 has little additional effect on steadystate levels of Sre1.

To test if Sre1 in turn controls the stability of Scp1, we measured Scp1 in $\text{srel}\Delta$ cells, wild-type cells, and cells overexpressing *sre1*. We detected no change in Scp1 levels among these three samples (Fig. 2*C*), and cycloheximide chase experiments revealed that Scp1 is a long lived protein whose half-life is not dependent upon Sre1 ($t_{1/2}$ > 120 min, data not shown). Together, these results demonstrate that Sre1 precursor protein stability is dependent on Scp1 and that Scp1 is a stable protein under these conditions.

Degradation of Sre1 Requires the Proteasome—Because Sre1 is unstable in the absence of Scp1, we next sought to determine the mechanism by which Sre1 is degraded. To test whether Sre1 degradation in $\frac{scp1}{\Delta}$ cells requires the proteasome, wild-type and $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ cells were treated with a proteasome inhibitor for 4 h. Treatment with the proteasome inhibitor increased Sre1 precursor levels in $scp1\Delta$ cells (Fig. 3A, *lanes* 3 and 4). Interestingly, treatment with proteasome inhibitor also slightly increased the Sre1 precursor in wild-type cells (Fig. 3*A*, *lanes 1*

and *2*), indicating that Sre1 precursor is subject to proteasomal regulation in wild-type cells. To test if the increase in Sre1 precursor in wildtype cells is due to increased protein stability, we performed a cycloheximide chase experiment using wildtype cells treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (vehicle) or proteasome inhibitor for 4 h. Treatment with proteasome inhibitor increased the stability of the Sre1 precursor compared with the vehicle only control (Fig. 3*B*), demonstrating that pharmacological inhibition of the proteasome increases Sre1 stability.

To test genetically if the proteasome is required for Sre1 degradation, we examined the level of Sre1 precursor in *mts3-1* cells that have a temperature-sensitive mutation in a regulatory subunit of the proteasome (25). Wild-type, *mts3-1*, $scp1\Delta$, and *mts3-1 scp1* Δ cells were grown for increasing times at the non-permissive temperature (35.5 °C) and samples were col-

lected for Western blotting. At $t = 0$, $mts3-1$ scp1 Δ cells had more Sre1 precursor than $\frac{scp1}{\Delta}$ cells (Fig. 3*C*, *lanes* 7 and 10), suggesting that at the permissive temperature the proteasome was partially inhibited in these cells and Sre1 was stabilized. Growth at 35.5 °C further increased Sre1 precursor in *mts3-1* $scp1\Delta$ cells, but not in $scp1\Delta$ cells (Fig. 3*C*, *lanes* 7–12), demonstrating that inhibition of the proteasome restored Sre1 precursor in the absence of Scp1. In addition, growth of *mts3-1* cells containing $\frac{\text{sept}^+}{\text{sept}}$ also increased the Sre1 precursor (Fig. 3*C*, *lanes 4 – 6*). Cycloheximide chase experiments showed that the Sre1 precursor was stabilized in *mts3-1* cells (Fig. 3*D*). Collectively, these results demonstrate that degradation of the Sre1 precursor requires the proteasome.

The E2 Ubiquitin-conjugating Enzyme Ubc7 Is Required for Sre1 Degradation—Based on the fact that Sre1 is an ER-membrane protein, its stability decreased in the absence of Scp1 (Fig. 2), and its turnover required the proteasome (Fig. 3), we hypothesized that components of the ERAD pathway may be required for Sre1 degradation. Interestingly, $ubc7^+$, the *S*. *pombe* homolog of the *S. cerevisiae UBC7*, which codes for an E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, was identified as a Sre1-dependent target gene by microarray analysis (6, 26). Because other Sre1 target genes regulate Sre1, we investigated whether Ubc7 is required for Sre1 degradation (5). We generated a $scp1\Delta$ *ubc7* Δ strain and assayed Sre1 precursor by Western blotting. In $\frac{\text{gcd}\Delta}{\text{cells}}$ the Sre1 precursor was decreased, but deletion of *ubc7* restored the Sre1 precursor (Fig. 4*A*, *lanes 2* and *4*). Importantly, despite equivalent amounts of Sre1 precursor in wild-type and $\frac{\text{scp1}\Delta \text{ ubc7}}{\Delta \text{ cells}}$, Sre1N was not detectable in the absence of oxygen confirming that Scp1 is required for Sre1 cleavage in addition to Sre1 stability (Fig. 4*B*, *lanes 1–2*

	A Western Blot	
	Strain WT scp1 Δ	
	Prot II (4 h) ÷ ÷	
	2 1 3 4 Lane	
	anti-Sre1	
	B Western Blot: WT cells	100
	Prot Inhibitor II DMSO Treatment	
	Time (min) 10 20 30 45 60 10 20 30 45 60 0 0	Protll
	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ 3 5 8 6 9 10 11 12 Lane 1 7 4	scale)
	anti-Sre1	Sre1 Remaining log \geq DMSO 10 $\overline{50}$ 30 40 60 10 20 Ó
		Time (min)
	C Western Blot $mts3-1$	
	scp1 Δ $mts3-1$ WT Strain scp1 Δ	
	1.5 1.5 1.5 0 3 3 Time (h) 0 3 1.5 3 0 0	
	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ 5 \mathbf{z} 6 8 1 9 10 ◢ ∟ane	
	anti-Sre1	
D	Western Blot	100 ₁
	Strain WT $mts3-1$	
	Time (min) 10 20 30 45 60 10 20 30 45 60 0 0	$mts3-1$
	$\mathbf{2}$ 3 5 8 9 10 11 12 Lane 1 6 7 4	scale)
	anti-Sre1	% Sre1 Remaining ipol) WT 10 10 20 30 40 50 60 0
		Time (min)

FIGURE 3. Degradation of Sre1 requires the proteasome. A, wild-type (WT) and scp1 Δ cells were grown in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (*DMSO*) or Proteasome Inhibitor II (200 μM) for 4 h. *B*, wild-type cells were grown in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide or Proteasome Inhibitor II (200 μ *M*) for 4 h. At $t = 0$, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1 precursor remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. C, wild-type, mts3-1, scp1 Δ , and mts3-1 scp1 Δ cells were grown overnight at 25 °C. At $t = 0$, cells were shifted to 35.5 °C and samples were collected at the indicated times. *D*, wild-type and *mts3-1* cells were grown overnight at 25 °C. Cells were then shifted to 35.5 °C for 1.5 h. At $t = 0$, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1 precursor remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. In each experiment, cells were grown in YES and whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG.

and *7*–8). Cells lacking *ubc7*⁺ displayed wild-type levels of Sre1 cleavage in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 4*B*, *lanes 5* and *6*).

To determine whether the increase in Sre1 precursor in $\frac{\text{scp1}\Delta \text{ ubc7}}{\Delta}$ cells was due to increased protein stability, we performed a cycloheximide chase experiment using $\frac{scp1}{\Delta}$ and *scp1* Δ *ubc7* Δ cells. Deletion of *ubc7*⁺ increased the half-life of the Sre1 precursor in $scp1\Delta$ cells, indicating that Ubc7 is required for rapid degradation of Sre1 in the absence of Scp1 (Fig. 4*C*). To determine whether the stabilization of Sre1 was specific to the precursor form of Sre1, we measured the half-life of Sre1N in wild-type and $ubc7\Delta$ cells. To measure the half-life of Sre1N, cells were grown in the absence of oxygen for 6 h to generate Sre1N by proteolytic cleavage. Cells were then shifted to the presence of oxygen to block Sre1 precursor cleavage, cycloheximide was then added, and whole cell lysates were collected after increasing times. Sre1N showed a similar rate of turnover in both wild-type and $ubc7\Delta$ cells (Fig. 4*D*), indicating that the function of Ubc7 in Sre1 degradation is specific for the Sre1 precursor. Scp1 levels were equal in wild-type and *ubc7*

cells, indicating that Scp1 is not targeted for degradation by the Ubc7 dependent pathway (Fig. 4*A*, *lanes 1* and *3*, *lower panel*). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc7 is required for Sre1 precursor degradation.

The E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Hrd1 Is Required for Sre1 Degradation— Two E3 ubiquitin ligases, Doa10 and Hrd1, catalyze polyubiquitination of ER protein substrates through Ubc7 in *S. cerevisiae* (15). Sequence data base searches identified homologs of *HRD1* and *DOA10* in *S. pombe* (27). To test if either Doa10 or Hrd1 are required for Sre1 degradation in $\frac{\text{sepl}\Delta}{\text{cells}}$, we generated $scp1\Delta$ *doa10* Δ and $scp1\Delta$ *hrd1* Δ yeast strains and assayed the Sre1 precursor. Deletion of *hrd1* increased the Sre1 precursor in $scp1\Delta$ cells, whereas deletion of *doa10* had no effect (Fig. 5*A*, *lanes 2*, *4*, and *6*). Consistent with the results in Fig. 4*B*, restoration of Sre1 precursor in $\frac{\frac{s}{\rho}}{\frac{\delta}{\rho}}$ *hrd1* Δ cells did not bypass the requirement of Scp1 for Sre1 cleavage under low oxygen (Fig. 5*B*, *lanes 7* and *8*).

To determine whether Hrd1 is required for stability of Sre1 in $scp1\Delta$ cells, Sre1 degradation was measured in a cycloheximide chase experiment using $scp1\Delta$ and $hrd1\Delta$ *scp1* cells. Sre1 was rapidly degraded in $scp1\Delta$ cells (Fig. 5C, *lanes 1–5*), and deletion of *hrd1*

stabilized Sre1 (Fig. 5*C*, *lanes 6 –10*). Hrd1 specifically functions to degrade the Sre1 precursor insomuch as deletion of *hrd1* had no effect on the degradation of Sre1N (Fig. 5*D*). Last, *hrd1* and *doa10* Δ cells showed equal levels of Scp1 compared with wild-type cells indicating that Hrd1 and Doa10 do not control Scp1 levels (Fig. 5*A*, *lower panel*).

E3 ubiquitin ligases impart specificity to the ubiquitin-proteasome system by binding and targeting substrate proteins for degradation (10). To test whether Hrd1 forms a complex with the Sre1 precursor, we performed an immunoprecipitation experiment in $hrd1-Myc$ scp1 Δ ubc7 Δ cells. In the absence of Scp1, Sre1 precursor should be targeted for ERAD by Hrd1, but ubiquitination and degradation should be blocked due to deletion of Ubc7. Hrd1-Myc immunoisolated from detergent cell extracts specifically formed a complex with the Sre1 precursor, but not the stable ER membrane protein HMG-CoA reductase, Hmg1 (Fig. 5*E*, *lanes 3* and *4*). Taken together, these results indicate that the E3 ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 is required to degrade the Sre1 precursor in the absence of Scp1.

FIGURE 4. **The E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc7 is required for Sre1 degradation.** *A*, indicated yeast strains were cultured in YES. Whole cell extracts or urea-solubilized membranes were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG or a mixture of anti-Scp1 IgG monoclonal antibodies 8G4C11, 1G1D6, and 7B4A3, respectively. *B*, indicated yeast strains were grown in YES \pm oxygen for 6 h. *C*, *scp1* Δ and *scp1* Δ *ubc7* Δ cells were grown in YES + oxygen. At $t = 0$ cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of the Sre1 precursor remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. *D*, wild-type (*WT*) and *ubc7*∆ cells were grown in YES in the absence of oxygen for 6 h. At *t* = 0, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, the cultures were shifted to the presence of oxygen, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1N remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. Whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG. *P* and *N* denote the precursor and Sre1N nuclear forms, respectively.

Blocking Sre1 Precursor Degradation Does Not Bypass Need for Positive Feedback Regulation—Sre1 precursor supply is controlled by new synthesis and Ubc7-Hrd1-dependent degradation. To evaluate the contribution of the degradative pathway to Sre1 cleavage, we tested whether blocking degradation of the Sre1 precursor would result in increased Sre1 cleavage in cells lacking positive feedback regulation of Sre1. To test this, we generated a $\textit{srel-MP}$ hrd1 Δ strain to simultaneously inhibit positive feedback regulation and degradation of the Sre1 precursor. We grew wild-type, *sre1-MP, sre1-MP hrd1*, and $hrd1\Delta$ cells in the presence and absence of oxygen for 6 h and harvested samples for Western blot and Northern blot analysis. Under low oxygen, *sre1-MP* cells showed decreased Sre1 precursor, cleaved Sre1, and *hem13* mRNA compared with wildtype cells (Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 1– 4*). Interestingly, deletion of *hrd1* in *sre1-MP* cells had no effect on Sre1 cleavage or *hem13* mRNA under low oxygen conditions (Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 1– 6*). Consistent with these results, deletion of $hrd1^+$ did not rescue growth of *sre1-MP* cells on medium containing cobalt chloride (data not shown). Thus, inhibition of Sre1 precursor degradation does not result in increased Sre1 cleavage and is not sufficient to bypass the requirement for positive feedback regulation of *sre1*.

Ubc7-Hrd1 Degrade Sre1 Precursor in Wild-type Cells—Our data indicate that Ubc7 and Hrd1 function to degrade the Sre1 precursor that is not bound to Scp1 (Figs. 2–5). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that the Ubc7-Hrd1 degradative pathway functions to remove Sre1 produced in excess of available Scp1. To determine the contribution of Ubc7 and Hrd1 to Sre1 precursor degradation when Scp1 is present, we performed a cycloheximide chase experiment in wild-type, $ubc7\Delta$, and *hrd1* Δ cells in the presence of oxygen. Cells lacking Ubc7 or Hrd1 showed elevated Sre1 precursor at $t = 0$ (Fig. 6*B*). However, the half-life of the Sre1 precursor was similar in all three strains (20–30 min), indicating that only a minor fraction of Sre1 precursor is degraded by ERAD in wild-type cells in the presence of oxygen. The continued turnover of Sre1 precursor in $ubc7\Delta$ and $hrd1\Delta$ cells suggests that Sre1 is proteolytically activated to produce Sre1N at a basal rate in the presence of oxygen.

To confirm that Ubc7-Hrd1 also function to degrade Sre1 not bound to Scp1 in wild-type cells, we cre-

ated a situation in which Sre1 synthesis would exceed available Scp1. Under low oxygen, cells up-regulate *sre1*⁺ transcription through positive feedback regulation to maintain a supply of Sre1 precursor for proteolytic cleavage (Fig. 1). When oxygen is reintroduced, Sre1 cleavage is blocked (5), the Sre1-Scp1 complex accumulates, and the amount of free Scp1 available to bind to the newly synthesized Sre1 precursor is reduced. Thus, under this reoxygenated condition we expected that the elevated rate of Sre1 synthesis would exceed the amount of available Scp1.

For this experiment, we grew wild-type, $ubc7\Delta$, and $hrd1\Delta$ cells for 6 h in the presence of oxygen, the absence of oxygen, or the absence of oxygen for 6 h and then plus oxygen for 1 h. In wild-type cells, Sre1 was proteolytically activated under low oxygen and the Sre1 precursor increased slightly (Fig. 6*C*, *lanes 1* and *2*). After shifting back to the presence of oxygen, Sre1N disappeared indicating that Sre1 cleavage was inhibited under these conditions (Fig. 6*C*, *lane 3*). In *ubc7* and *hrd1* cells, the

FIGURE 5. **The E3 ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 is required for Sre1 degradation.** *A*, indicated yeast strains were cultured in YES. Whole cell extracts or urea-solubilized membranes were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG or a mixture of anti-Scp1 IgG monoclonal antibodies 8G4C11, 1G1D6, and 7B4A3, respectively. *B*, indicated yeast strains were grown in YES \pm oxygen for 6 h. P and *N* denote the precursor and Sre1N nuclear forms, respectively. *C, scp1*∆ and *scp1*∆ *hrd1*∆ cells were grown in YES + oxygen. At $t=0$, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1 precursor remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. *D*, wild-type (WT) and $hrd1\Delta$ cells were grown in YES in the absence of oxygen for 6 h. At $t = 0$, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, the cultures were shifted to the presence of oxygen, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1N remaining relative to $t = 0$ is quantified to the *right*. Whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG. *E*, cells (5 \times 10⁷) were harvested and detergent-solubilized extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-Myc 9E10 IgG. Unbound and bound fractions were immunoblotted using the indicated antibodies. Bound fractions were 10 \times overloaded relative to unbound.

FIGURE 6. **Abrogation of Sre1 degradation does not bypass the need for positive feedback regulation.** A, wild-type (WT), *sre1-MP, sre1-MP hrd1*Δ, and
*hrd1*Δ cells were grown in YES ± oxygen for 6 h. Total RNA (5 μg) wa mRNA served as a loading control. *P* and *N* denote the precursor and Sre1N nuclear forms, respectively. *B*, the indicated yeast strains were grown in YES in the presence of oxygen. At $t = 0$, cycloheximide (100 μ g/ml) was added, and samples were collected at the indicated times. The percentage of Sre1 precursor remaining relative to *t* = 0 is quantified to the *right*. C, wild-type, ubc7∆ and *hrd1*∆ cells were grown in YES either ± oxygen for 6 h or $-$ oxygen for 6 h and then shifted to +oxygen for 1 h (-/+). Whole cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Sre1 IgG.

Sre1 precursor was elevated in the presence of oxygen and these cells accumulated higher levels of Sre1 precursor under low oxygen (Fig. 6*C*, *lanes 4*, *5*, *7*, and *8*). Importantly, both *ubc7* and *hrd1* Δ cells accumulated high levels of Sre1 precursor relative to wild-type cells when shifted back to the presence of oxygen for 1 h (Fig. 6*C*, *lanes 3*, *6*, and *9*). These results indicate that Ubc7 and Hrd1 function to degrade the Sre1 precursor in wildtype cells. The requirement for Ubc7 and Hrd1 is apparent upon reoxygenation when the elevated synthesis of Sre1 exceeds the amount of Scp1 available to bind newly synthesized Sre1.

DISCUSSION

Under low oxygen, Sre1-dependent target gene expression increases due to proteolytic cleavage of the Sre1 precursor and

FIGURE 7. **Model for Sre1 precursor regulation.** Sre1 precursor is regulated by a balance of synthesis, degradation, and proteolytic cleavage. Under low oxygen, Scp1 facilitates Sre1 precursor proteolytic cleavage. The released N-terminal basic helix-loop-helix (*bHLH*) transcriptionfactor domain Sre1N up-regulates expression of *sre1* mRNA by a positive feedback mechanism to replenish Sre1 precursor depleted by cleavage. In the ER, Sre1 precursor molecules not complexed to Scp1 are subject to ER-associated degradation via Ubc7, Hrd1, and the proteasome.

stabilization of nuclear Sre1N (1, 5). However, to achieve sustained activation of Sre1 target genes Sre1N must be continually produced from the Sre1 precursor by proteolytic cleavage. Here, we investigated the mechanisms by which the Sre1 precursor is regulated independent of oxygen-regulated proteolytic cleavage. Previously, we showed that Sre1 up-regulates its own expression by a positive feedback mechanism (5, 6). Using a yeast strain containing engineered mutations in the *sre1* promoter, we found here that positive feedback regulation of Sre1 synthesis is required for sustained activation of Sre1N under low oxygen and growth of cells on cobalt chloride medium (Fig. 1). These data highlight the importance of this positive feedback regulation for Sre1 pathway function.

We also investigated mechanisms regulating Sre1 precursor degradation. We found that in the absence of the Sre1-binding partner Scp1, the Sre1 precursor is rapidly degraded by the proteasome (Figs. 2 and 3). Moreover, this degradation requires Ubc7 and Hrd1, known components of the ERAD pathway, and the Sre1 precursor formed a specific complex with Hrd1 (Figs. 4 and 5) (12). Finally, we showed that Sre1 is an endogenous substrate for the Ubc7-Hrd1 pathway in wild-type cells (Fig. 6). These data suggest that Sre1 is a substrate for the E3 ubiquitin ligase Hrd1. However, to date we have been unable to detect Sre1 ubiquitinylation, leaving alternate explanations for the Hrd1-dependent degradation of Sre1.

Our data support amodel in which there are three primary ways to regulate Sre1 precursor (Fig. 7): 1) synthesis of the Sre1 precursor is controlled by positive feedback regulation; 2) the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc7 and the E3 ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 mediate the proteasomal degradation of Sre1 precursor not bound to Scp1; and 3) the Sre1 precursor is subject to Scp1-dependent cleavage under low oxygen to produce Sre1N (1, 6). In the ER, the Sre1 precursor exists as either free Sre1 or Sre1- Scp1 complex (Fig. 7, *middle*). Under low oxygen, Sre1 bound to Scp1 is proteolytically cleaved to release Sre1N, the N-terminal transcription factor domain. Sre1N enters the nucleus and up-regulates *sre1*⁺ expression by a positive feedback mechanism that results in increased synthesis of the Sre1 precursor and sustained cleavage under low oxygen (Fig. 1).

In this study, we also demonstrated that Sre1 is highly unstable in the absence of Scp1 and is degraded by the proteasome via the Ubc7-Hrd1 pathway (Figs. 2–5). Based on these data, we hypothesize that free Sre1 is recognized as a misfolded, orphan subunit and is degraded by an ERADlike mechanism requiring Ubc7 and Hrd1 (Fig. 7, *step 2*) (8, 12). Similar degradative mechanisms control het-

ero-oligomeric complex formation of the acetylcholine receptor, immunoglobulins, major histocompatibility complex class I molecules, and the yeast SNARE Ufe1 (8, 28). By interacting with Scp1, Sre1 is no longer recognized as misfolded and is protected from proteasomal destruction. Importantly, the rapid degradation of free Sre1 ensures that Sre1 exists in a complex with Scp1, thereby guaranteeing that Sre1 cleavage is regulated by Scp1 and oxygen supply. Given that the Sre1 precursor is a physiological substrate for ER quality control, our studies establish fission yeast as a new organism for the dissection of thisimportant degradative pathway.

Like fission yeast Sre1, mammalian SREBP precursor is also reduced in the absence of Scap, likely due to decreased protein stability (7). It is unknown whether an ERAD-like mechanism also controls this degradation. Interestingly, overexpression of the ERassociated ubiquitin ligase TRC8 decreased expression of the SREBP target genes encoding HMG-CoA reductase and fatty-acid synthase in HEK293 cells, implicating TRC8 in regulation of SREBP activity (29). Additional studies are required to delineate the pathways regulating SREBP protein stability in mammalian cells and the potential role for TRC8 in this process.

Acknowledgments—We thank Espenshade Lab members and Susan Michaelis for experimental suggestions and for reviewing the manuscript. We also kindly thank Colin Gordon (Medical Research Council, UK) for providing the mts3-1 strain.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hughes, A. L., Todd, B. L., and Espenshade, P. J. (2005) *Cell* **120,** 831–842
- 2. Espenshade, P. J., and Hughes, A. L. (2007) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **41,** 401–427
- 3. Goldstein, J. L., DeBose-Boyd, R. A., and Brown, M. S. (2006) *Cell* **124,** 35–46
- 4. Nohturfft, A., Yabe, D., Goldstein, J. L., Brown, M. S., and Espenshade, P. J. (2000) *Cell* **102,** 315–323
- 5. Hughes, B. T., and Espenshade, P. J. (2008) *EMBO J.* **27,** 1491–1501
- 6. Todd, B. L., Stewart, E. V., Burg, J. S., Hughes, A. L., and Espenshade, P. J. (2006) *Mol. Cell Biol.* **26,** 2817–2831
- 7. Rawson, R. B., DeBose-Boyd, R., Goldstein, J. L., and Brown, M. S. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 28549–28556
- 8. Ellgaard, L., and Helenius, A. (2003) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4,** 181–191
- 9. Hampton, R. Y. (2002) *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **14,** 476–482
- 10. Meusser, B., Hirsch, C., Jarosch, E., and Sommer, T. (2005) *Nat. Cell Biol.* **7,** 766–772
- 11. Sayeed, A., and Ng, D. T. (2005) *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **40,** 75–91
- 12. Nakatsukasa, K., and Brodsky, J. L. (2008) *Traffic* **9,** 861–870
- 13. Carvalho, P., Goder, V., and Rapoport, T. A. (2006) *Cell* **126,** 361–373
- 14. Huyer, G., Piluek, W. F., Fansler, Z., Kreft, S. G., Hochstrasser, M., Brodsky, J. L., and Michaelis, S. (2004) *J. Biol. Chem.* **279,** 38369–38378
- 15. Kostova, Z., Tsai, Y. C., and Weissman, A. M. (2007) *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **18,** 770–779
- 16. Song, B. L., Javitt, N. B., and DeBose-Boyd, R. A. (2005) *Cell Metab.* **1,** 179–189
- 17. Lee, J. N., Song, B., DeBose-Boyd, R. A., and Ye, J. (2006) *J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 39308–39315
- 18. Burke, J. D., and Gould, K. L. (1994) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **242,** 169–176
- 19. Bähler, J., Wu, J. Q., Longtine, M. S., Shah, N. G., McKenzie, A., 3rd, Steever, A. B., Wach, A., Philippsen, P., and Pringle, J. R. (1998) *Yeast* **14,** 943–951
- 20. Boeke, J. D., Trueheart, J., Natsoulis, G., and Fink, G. R. (1987) *Methods Enzymol.* **154,** 164–175
- 21. Moreno, S., Klar, A., and Nurse, P. (1991) *Methods Enzymol.* **194,** 795–823
- 22. Forsburg, S. L. (1993) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21,** 2955–2956
- 23. Hughes, A. L., Stewart, E. V., and Espenshade, P. J. (2008) *J. Lipid Res.* **49,** 2001–2012
- 24. Lee, H., Bien, C. M., Hughes, A. L., Espenshade, P. J., Kwon-Chung, K. J., and Chang, Y. C. (2007) *Mol. Microbiol.* **65,** 1018–1033
- 25. Gordon, C., McGurk, G., Wallace, M., and Hastie, N. D. (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* **271,** 5704–5711
- 26. Nielsen, I. S., Nielsen, O., Murray, J. M., and Thon, G. (2002) *Eukaryot. Cell* **1,** 613–625
- 27. Swanson, R., Locher, M., and Hochstrasser, M. (2001) *Genes Dev.* **15,** 2660–2674
- 28. Braun, S., and Jentsch, S. (2007) *EMBO Rep.* **8,** 1176–1182
- 29. Brauweiler, A., Lorick, K. L., Lee, J. P., Tsai, Y. C., Chan, D., Weissman, A. M., Drabkin, H. A., and Gemmill, R. M. (2007) *Oncogene* **26,** 2263–2271

