

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Clin Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 September 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Cancer Res. 2008 May 1; 14(9): 2647-2655. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1442.

Use of yeast-secreted *in vivo* biotinylated recombinant antibodies (biobodies) in bead-based ELISA

Nathalie Scholler¹, Kimberly A. Lowe¹, Lindsay A. Bergan¹, Archana V. Kampani¹, Vivian Ng², Robin M. Forrest¹, Jason D. Thorpe¹, Jenny A. Gross¹, Barbara M. Garvik¹, Ronny Drapkin^{2,3}, Garnet L. Anderson⁴, and Nicole Urban¹

¹Molecular Diagnostics Program, Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington

²Harvard Medical School, Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts

³Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

⁴Cancer Prevention Program, Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington.

Abstract

Purpose—To measure circulating antigens, sandwich ELISA assays require two complementary affinity reagents. Mouse monoclonal antibodies (mAb) and polyclonal antibodies (pAb) are commonly used, but because their production is lengthy and costly, recombinant antibodies (rAb) are emerging as an attractive alternative.

Methods—We developed a new class of rAb referred to as biobodies (Bbs) and compared them to mAb for use in serodiagnosis. Bbs were secreted biotinylated *in vivo* by diploid yeast and used as affinity reagents after Ni-purification. Bead-based assays for HE4 and mesothelin were developed using Bbs in combination with pAbs (Bp/pAb assays). To assess precision, reproducibility studies were performed using 4 runs of 16 replicates at 6 analyte levels for each marker. Pearson correlations and ROC analyses were performed in 214 patient serum samples to directly compare the Bb/pAb assays to mAb assays. Diagnostic performance of the Bb/pAb assay was further assessed in an expanded set of 336 ovarian cancer cases and controls.

Results—On average across analyte levels, Bb/pAb assays yielded within- and between-run CVs of 11.7 and 23.8 respectively for HE4 and 14.0 and 14.5 respectively for mesothelin. In the subset (n=214), Pearson correlations of 0.95 for HE4 and 0.92 for mesothelin were observed between mAb and Bb/pAb assays. The AUCs for the mAb and Bb/pAb assays were not significantly different for HE4 (0.88 and 0.84, respectively, p=0.20) or mesothelin (0.74 and 0.72, respectively, p=0.38).

Conclusion—Yeast-secreted Bbs can be used reliably in cost-effective yet highly sensitive beadbased assays for use in large validation studies.

Keywords

HE4; mesothelin; recombinant antibodies; diagnostic markers; ovarian cancer

Request for reprints: Nathalie Scholler, MD, PhD, FHCRC 1100 Fairview Ave. N., PHS/TOR Laboratory, Mailstop M5-A864, PO Box 19024, Seattle, WA 98109–1024. Phone: 206–667–3170; Fax: 206–667–2537; E-mail: nscholle@fhcrc.org..

Introduction

Large-scale screening studies to evaluate candidate ovarian cancer early detection biomarkers with immunoassays are challenged both by limitations in the quantity of patient sample required and by the need for large amounts of expensive and work-intensive affinity reagents. To overcome the first limitation, we adapted double-determinant ELISA assays to a bead-based platform that uses spectrally discrete polystyrene beads, or microspheres, instead of flat surfaces to immobilize the capture antibody (1,2). Each antibody-coupled microsphere captures soluble antigens that are then detected with biotinylated antibody and phycoerythrin-conjugated streptavidin (SA-PE). A reading system based on flow cytometry (Bio-Plex Protein Array System, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) measures the fluorescent signals generated by SA-PE and the microspheres when they are close to each other after formation of antigen/antibody complexes. The data are reported as Median Fluorescence Intensity (MFI). We developed beadbased assays with available mouse monoclonal antibodies to detect CA125 and HE4 (3), two biomarkers for ovarian carcinoma (4-7), and we showed that the CA125 and HE4 assays performed comparably to the standard CA125II RIA and the HE4 ELISA, respectively, while requiring only 15 µl of serum.

To address the second limitation, we simplified the generation and reduced the cost of producing affinity reagents, by developing a new class of reagents known as biobodies (Bbs) (8). Bbs are recombinant antibodies secreted by diploid yeast as HIS-tagged, in vivo biotinylated proteins. Diploid yeast result from the fusion of two haploid yeast of opposite mating type. In our system, one haploid yeast carries a cDNA encoding an antibody recognition sequence fused at the N-terminus to the alpha prepro-secretion leader and at the C-terminus to a His₆ tag, a prolinker of the IgA1 hinge and a biotin acceptor site; the other yeast carries a cDNA encoding an Escherichia coli biotin ligase (BirA) fused to the yeast KEX2 golgilocalization sequences. BirA can then catalyze biotin transfer to the fusion protein as it transits the yeast secretory compartment. Bbs can bind to labeled streptavidin and streptavidin-coated surfaces while still in yeast culture supernatant or after a simple Ni-purification. This makes supplementary steps of chemical biotinylation unnecessary thereby reducing preparation time relative to hybridoma supernatant or ascites purification. In addition and importantly, in vivo biotinylation preserves the recognition function of recombinant antibodies through a targeted biotinylation (8). We previously generated biobodies against HE4 that demonstrated specificity and sensitivity by ELISA assays, flow cytometry analysis and western blots prior to any maturation. The biobody dissociation equilibrium constants as measured by surface plasmon resonance sensor were of K_d =4.8×10⁻⁹ M and K_d =5.1×10⁻⁹ M, before and after purification respectively (8). We also developed and validated anti-mesothelin biobodies of high affinity that could detect both membrane-bound and soluble forms of mesothelin (9). Mesothelin is an epithelial marker highly expressed by cancer cells from diverse origins, including ovarian and pancreatic adenocarcinomas and mesotheliomas (10,11). Elevated serum mesothelin levels have been reported in ovarian cancer (12-15) and mesothelioma (16,17). In mesothelioma patients, mesothelin serum levels correlate with tumor size and increase during tumor progression (16). Here we describe the development, validation and diagnostic performance of bead-based assays utilizing Bbs and pAbs for the measurement of serum HE4 and mesothelin in ovarian carcinoma patients and controls obtained through the Pacific Ovarian Cancer Research Consortium (POCRC). We assessed the precision of the assays in reproducibility experiments using 16 replicates of 6 analyte levels in each of 4 runs (plates), yielding 64 replicates of each level of the two analytes. We assessed diagnostic performance of the novel Bb/pAb assays in 336 samples collected; a subset of these sera (n=214) was used to assess the validity of the assays and to compare their diagnostic accuracy in serous ovarian cancer. We demonstrate that Bb/pAb assays are reproducible, correlate highly with assays using mAbs (mAb assays), and perform as well as the mAb assays in distinguishing between case and control sera. This system will allow us to develop cost-effective yet highly sensitive and reliable

reagents for use in large population-based validation studies, for evaluation of novel markers discovered through emerging proteomics technologies.

Materials and Methods

1) Antibodies and Secondary Reagents

Anti-HE4 (8) and anti-mesothelin (9) Bbs were Ni-purified from yeast culture supernatants as previously described (8) and dialyzed against phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Fisher BioReagents, Fair Lawn, NJ). The anti-mesothelin pAb was acquired from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) and the anti-HE4 pAb was developed as described previously (18). Briefly, HE4-specific pAb were raised by immunizing rabbits with a GST fusion protein composed of the mature form of HE4 (amino acids 31-125) and GST. Affinity purified antibodies were generated by adsorption of the crude antisera to a GST affinity column (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL) to remove all the GST antibodies. The GST antibody-depleted serum was then affinity-purified by passing it over a GST-HE4 column generated using an AminoLink Coupling Gel column (Pierce Biotechnology Inc). Anti-mesothelin 4H3 and ovcar569 mAbs, and anti-HE4 2H5 and 3D8 mAbs were kind gifts from Dr. Ingegerd Hellström. Horse-radish peroxidase conjugated anti-human Ig (HRP anti-hIg) and anti-mouse Ig (HRP anti-mIg) antibodies were purchased from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc (West Grove, PA). Bbs and biotinylated mAbs were detected with streptavidin-phycoerythrin (SA-PE) (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), or PhycoLink® Streptavidin-R-Phycoerythrins PJ31S, PJ35S, PJLS, PH37S, PH39S and PJ33S (Prozyme, San Leandro, CA). Antibodies were dialyzed against PBS when needed. Carboxy-coated microspheres (Bio-Rad Laboratories) were covalently coupled with various concentrations (0.2, 1, 5, 10, 20, and 50 μ g/mL) of pAb according to manufacturer's instructions.

2) Biobody and Assay Development Overview (Figure 1)

We previously isolated anti-HE4 and anti-mesothelin recognition sequences encoding antigenspecific scFv from a yeast-display scFv library (19) using HEK293F cell-secreted HE4 protein fused to an Ig domain (HE4-Ig, (3)) and a yeast-secreted mesothelin recombinant protein (meso-7, (9)), respectively. Briefly, a yeast-display scFv library was enriched for scFv binding to HE4 (3) or mesothelin (9), referred to as "marker", by 2 magnetic enrichments (fig. 1A) and three fluorescent cell sortings (fig. 1B). ScFv selected for HE4 binding but that also bound non-specifically to meso-Ig (3) were removed by magnetic depletion (fig. 1C). The recognition sequences of the marker-specific yeast-display scFv were PCR amplified and co-transfected into yeast with the vector pTOR2 (3) for cloning by gap repair (fig. 1D). Transformed yeast colonies were grown in 1ml of medium in 2ml 96-well plates (fig. 1E) and induced in presence of galactose to produce secreted marker-specific, tagged scFv. Yeast supernatants were highthroughput purified as described in (9) (fig. 1F) and analyzed by capture ELISA (fig. 1G) for specific binding to the marker. ScFv selected for mesothelin binding but that also bound nonspecifically to CA125 repeat domain (9) were eliminated by ELISA screening (fig. 1G). Yeast that secreted marker-specific scFv were then mated with yeast that produced a golgi-localized biotin ligase (3) (fig. 1H) to generate diploid able to secrete marker-specific Bbs. Diploid yeast were finally grown in liquid medium (fig. 11) and induced to produce secreted Bbs that were Ni-purified (fig. 1J). Ni-purified Bbs were combined with PE-labeled streptavidin, and tested for their sensitivity and their specificity (8.9) and their ability to best complement pAb for the detection of serum antigens in double determinant assays ("sandwich" ELISA or bead-based assay) (fig. 1K).

3) Production and Validation by Detection ELISA of Yeast-Secreted HE4 and Mesothelin Recombinant Proteins

HE4 cDNA (4) was reverse-transcribed and amplified from primary ovarian cancer tissue using the primers that exclude the leader sequence, forward 5'-

gattataaagatgacgataaaggtggtggtggttgttcgctagc accgatgcagagaaacccg-3' and reverse 5'-sequence was necessary to obtain a high yield of yeast-secreted protein (data not shown). The 350 base pair product was then cloned into the pTOR2 plasmid by gap repair via cotransformation into the YVH10 secretion yeast (EasyComp Transformation kit, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Transformed yeast secreted a His-tagged HE4 protein referred to in the rest of the study as HE4y. After mating with pTOR BIR-carrying yeast as described in (8), the resulting diploid secreted in vivo biotinylated HE4y (b-HE4y) was validated by detection ELISA (fig. 2A) using anti-HE4 3D8 or 2H5 mAbs. ELISA immunoassays were performed in Streptavidin ImmobilizerTM plates (Nunc, Rochester, NY) coated with biotinylated proteins diluted in PBST [1X PBS, plus 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)]. Incubations and washes were done with PBST at room temperature (RT) with gentle agitation. Colorimetric signals were generated with 50 µl TMB One Solution (Promega, Madison, WI), stopped with 50 µl 1N H₂SO₄ (Acros Organics USA, Morris Planes, NJ) and read at 450 nm on a Spectra Max 250 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Mesothelin antigen production in yeast was described previously (9) using similar methods.

4) Study Population and Serum Samples

Assay precision was assessed in reproducibility experiments using pooled sera from ovarian cases and controls obtained from the POCRC repository. Case-pool serum was formed by combining 1ml of serum from each of 50 patients diagnosed with late stage ovarian cancer; control-pool serum was made from serum collected from 7 healthy female volunteers. Intermediate pools of serum were created by serial dilution of the case pool with control pool by factors of two, yielding 4 pools with 1:1, 1:3, 1:7, and 1:15 ratios of the case-pool serum and control-pool serum (Notation; Parts Case pool : Parts Control Pool). The resulting 6 sera dilutions include high, low and intermediate levels of the two analytes. A reproducibility experiment was conducted for each analyte using 16 replicates of each serum dilution in each of 4 runs (plates), providing a total of 64 replicates for each analyte level. Replicates were randomized onto the 96 well plates, and each of three operators ran at least one plate for each analyte.

Diagnostic performance of the Bb/pAb assays for HE4 and mesothelin was evaluated in a set of 336 serum samples including 116 cases (73 serous and 43 non-serous) and 220 controls randomly selected from the POCRC repository. The validity (correlation between) and relative diagnostic performance of the Bb/pAb and mAb assays for HE4 and mesothelin were assessed in a subset of 214 samples (71 cases and 143 controls) that was characterized for both the mAb and the Bb/pAb assays for both HE4 and mesothelin.

Cases were defined as having invasive epithelial ovarian carcinoma confirmed by standardized review of medical records and pathologist examination of paraffin-embedded tissue. Each set included serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell and other histologies as well as FIGO stage I-IV tumors. The control population was composed of healthy women free of gynecological abnormalities (healthy controls), women undergoing surgery for benign ovarian conditions (benign controls), and women undergoing gynecological surgery who were free of any ovarian disease (surgical controls). The composition of the sample is summarized in Table 1. Sera from cases, benign controls and surgical controls were collected at the clinic visit prior to surgery if possible, or in the operating room before surgical removal of the ovaries and prior to any treatment. Sera from healthy controls were obtained from women participating in a screening

Scholler et al.

trial (20,21) or a routine mammography screening (DAM 17–02–1–0691) and for women at average or intermediate risk for ovarian cancer. Controls were distribution-matched to cases based on age. The composition of the subset used to compare Bp/bAb assays to mAb assays (n=214) is similar in terms of case status, histological groups and stages of disease to the full set (Table 1).

Blood samples for all cases and controls were collected in Serum Separator Tubes (SST) tubes (BD Vacutainer, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and were processed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Blood was allowed to coagulate at room temperature for at least thirty minutes but no longer than four hours. The serum was aliquoted and stored at -80° C until analysis (three to five years). All specimens were coded with a unique vial identification number to assure that all assays would be performed blinded to case status.

5) Bead-Based Immunoassays

The mesothelin mAb bead-based assay was performed similarly to the HE4 mAb assay that was described previously (3). Briefly, assays were performed using filter plates (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) with a vacuum manifold (Millipore) to remove assay reagents and wash the coupled microspheres. All incubations were carried out at RT, in the dark, with gentle agitation. Capture mAb (anti-Mesothelin 4H3) was covalently coupled to carboxy-coated microspheres with the following modified buffers: the first bead activation buffer (AB1) was made with 0.1 M Sodium Phosphate (NaH₂PO₄), pH 6.2 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and the second (AB2) with 1-ethyl-3-[3dimethylaminopropyl] carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (S-NHS) (Pierce) diluted respectively to 38 mg/mL and to 109 mg/mL in AB1. The coupling buffer was made with 0.05 M 2[N-Morpholino] ethanesulfonic acid (MES), pH 5.0 (Sigma-Aldrich). Washes were performed with PBST; assays, bead blocking and storage were performed in PBS supplemented with 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (PBS 1% BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich). All assays of patient samples were run using the same lot of coupled microspheres and of biotin-conjugated antibody ovcar569 mAb detected with SAPE.

Mesothelin and HE4 Bb/pAb bead-based assays were performed as described above with the following differences: 50 μ g/mL of anti-HE4 (18) or anti-mesothelin (R&D Systems) pAbs were covalently coupled to the carboxy-coated microspheres and used to capture recombinant proteins spiked in buffer or in control-pool serum (NHS). Captured proteins were detected by 5 μ g/ml of anti-HE4 Bbs or 1 μ g/ml of anti-mesothelin Bbs preincubated with PJ31S diluted one thousand fold for HE4 or two thousand fold for mesothelin in PBS 1% BSA on ice, in the dark for 30 minutes. Bbs pre-incubated with PJ31S were added to microspheres pre-incubated with diluted sera and incubated for 30 minutes. Plates were analyzed with the Bio-Plex Array Reader.

6) Statistical Analyses

The precision of Bb/pAb assays was assessed by calculating the coefficients of variation (CVs) among the pooled serum replicates within each run (plate) and across all runs using the R statistical programming language [version 2.3.1, R Development Core Team, Seattle, WA]. CVs were calculated and reported on the raw scale to ensure comparability with other standard assays. For all other analyses, the serum levels were transformed from the raw scale as follows: after a log transformation, all markers were transformed by centering and scaling observations so that healthy controls have mean 0 and variance 1. This standardized scale promotes comparison between two markers because their scales are the same. These transformations leave Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) curves and their p-values unchanged (23). The STATA statistical software package [version 9.0, Stata Corporation, College Station, TX] was used for these analyses.

The equivalence of the Bb/pAb and mAb assays was assessed in a subset (n=214) of the full serum set by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient (24) between the serum concentration in the mAb assay and the Bb/pAb assay. For each marker, equivalence was assessed both overall and within subgroups defined by case status, within cases by stage and histologic group, and within controls by source.

The diagnostic accuracy of the Bb/pAb assays was assessed by estimating the ROC curves and area under the curve (AUC) statistics (26) for cases versus all controls, cases versus healthy controls and cases versus benign surgical controls in the full serum set (n=336). An AUC value of 1.0 represents perfect performance of the marker and 0.50 indicates a level of performance that is expected by chance alone. We also compared the classification performance and equivalency of the mAb and Bb/pAb assays for each marker in the serum subset (n=214) using the non-parametric methods developed by DeLong *et al.* (25).

As serous carcinoma is the most common and the most lethal type of ovarian cancer, it is of particular interest for early detection research. A composite marker (CM) between the HE4 and mesothelin Bb/pAb assays was defined using weighted linear combinations of the standardized markers in the full serum set excluding the non-serous cases for serous cases vs. controls (n=293). Logistic regression was used to estimate the weights for the combination of the two markers and to test whether or not the CM improves prediction over either marker alone (27). We then compared the ROC curves for the CM to that for each individual marker.

Results

1) Development and Optimization of Bb/pAb Assays

Anti-HE4 Bbs (8) and anti-mesothelin Bbs (9) were tested for their ability to complement antigen-specific pAbs in ELISA assays performed on fluorescent microspheres (bead-based assays) (fig. 1). Assays were first calibrated with HE4 or mesothelin recombinant antigens secreted as fusion protein by mammalian cells (meso-Ig (22)) or yeast (HE4y and meso-7 (9)). Diploid secreted, *in vivo* biotinylated HE4y (b-HE4y) was validated by detection ELISA. Figure 2A shows that b-HE4y secreted by yeast clones #9 and #17 were strongly detected with two anti-HE4 mAbs (3D8 or 2H5). b-HE4y secreted by yeast clone #17 was used for the rest of the study.

We compared the ability of two anti-mesothelin Bbs P2 and P4 (9) to detect meso-Ig in a double determinant ELISA format (fig. 2B). P2 and P4 Bbs specificity for mesothelin was detailed in a previous publication (9). Both Bbs were able to quantify meso-Ig but the background generated by P2 Bbs was much higher than that of P4 (figure 2B), and thus P4 Bbs were selected for use in the rest of the study. In addition, the signal intensity could be increased ten-fold using the modified streptavidin conjugates PhycoLink Streptavidin R-PE PJ31S or PJLS (fig. 2C). Finally, anti-mesothelin biobodies could also accurately quantify meso-Ig spiked in serial dilutions of buffer or NHS (fig. 2D). The sensitivity of the assay was in the ng/ml range at all tested dilutions of meso-Ig recombinant protein. But when the test was used to measure native serum mesothelin, the greatest difference between case and control serum pools was observed with a serum dilution of 5 fold (data not shown). Thus serum dilution 5 was chosen for the rest of the study.

The HE4 Bb/pAb assay was developed similarly to the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay. Anti-HE4 Bb pool (8) was premixed with Streptavidin R-PE PJ31S and the assay was calibrated using HE4y recombinant protein (fig.2E). Anti-HE4 pAb was immobilized on carboxy-coated microspheres. Dilution of HE4y protein in serial dilution of NHS changed the slope of the curves compared to the signal generated by HE4y protein diluted in buffer (fig. 2E) but the

overall assay sensitivity remained in the ng/ml range. Serum dilution 10 was chosen for the rest of the study.

2) Reproducibility of HE4 and Mesothelin Bb/pAb Assays

Results of the reproducibility experiments are reported in Table 2. Across all dilution levels the average within-run and total (across runs) CV's for the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay were 14.0 and 14.5 respectively. The average within-run and total CV's for the HE4 Bb/pAb assay were 11.7 and 23.8 respectively. The CV's showed a moderate trend by dilution level, being somewhat higher for serum pools from healthy women than from cases.

Validity of HE4 and Mesothelin Bb/pAb relative to mAb Assays

We used the serum subset (n=214) to directly compare the HE4 Bb/pAb assay to a previously developed HE4 bead-based assay using mAbs (3), and the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay to a mesothelin bead-based assay developed with 4H3 and ovcar 569 mAbs (12). As reported in Table 3, the mesothelin and HE4 Bb/pAb assays were both highly correlated with their mAb counterpart when all cases and controls were included in the analyses (0.90 and 0.89, respectively). This correlation was strengthened to 0.92 for mesothelin and 0.95 for HE4 when cases alone were evaluated. The correlation remained strong for mesothelin assays regardless of the histologic group or stage of disease under evaluation (Table 2). In addition, there was a strong correlation between the HE4 Bb/pAb and HE4 mAb assays among early stage cases (0.78), late stage cases (0.95), and women with serous (0.95) or endometrioid histologies (0.99). The correlation between the two HE4 assays among the healthy, benign and surgical controls (Table 2) was relatively low, due in part to the very low values and variability of HE4 in these categories of samples.

3) Diagnostic Accuracy of HE4 and Mesothelin Bb/pAb Assays

We also compared the classification performance of the mAb and Bb/pAb assays for each marker in the serum subset (n=214). The AUCs for the mesothelin mAb and Bb/pAb assays were not significantly different (0.74 and 0.72, respectively, (25) p=0.38). For HE4, the corresponding AUCs (0.88 and 0.84, respectively) were slightly higher than for mesothelin and not significantly different (p=0.20) (Figure 3A, B).

We evaluated the analytical sensitivity of the HE4 and mesothelin Bb/pAb assays at 95% and 98% specificity in the expanded serum set (n=336). At 95% and 98% specificity, the HE4 Bb/ pAb assay obtained sensitivity levels of 62.07% and 55.17%, respectively. The corresponding sensitivity levels for the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay were 37.93% and 26.72%. The performance and diagnostic accuracy of the Bb/pAb assays to discern cases from all controls, cases from healthy controls and cases from surgical controls was also evaluated in the expanded serum set (n=336). The AUCs for the HE4 Bb/pAb assay in differentiating cases from all controls, cases from healthy controls, and cases from surgical controls were indistinguishable from each other (0.84) (Figure 3C). The diagnostic performance of the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay was not quite as good, with AUC values of 0.73, 0.69, and 0.76 respectively (Figure 3D).

Compared to controls, HE4 and mesothelin serum titers were significantly elevated in serous but not among other histologic subtypes (Kruskil-Wallis test p<0.001 for HE4 and p<0.001 for mesothelin) (figure 4 A,B). Because serous cancer is of particular interest with respect to early detection, the performance of HE4, mesothelin and their composite marker was evaluated for serous cases vs. controls. The CM was defined using a weighted linear combination of the normalized marker values with the following formula: CM=1.12(HE4) + 0.45(mesothelin). Although HE4 carried 71% of the weight in the CM, both markers were significant predictors in the logistic regression model (HE4 p<0.001; mesothelin p=0.013). As illustrated in Figure

4C, the AUCs for HE4 and mesothelin alone were 0.92 and 0.84, respectively. The AUC for the CM was 0.92, with sensitivity of 77% at 95% specificity and 75% at 98% specificity.

Discussion

New proteomics technologies are producing hundreds of candidate biomarkers for potential use in diagnosis, early detection and risk assessment of cancer. Evaluation and validation of these candidates are challenged by the need for high-quality annotated human samples, particularly "preclinical" blood samples collected several months to several years prior to the diagnosis of cancer, and especially by the need for high-affinity reagents for use in the development of immunoassays. We sought to develop a strategy for rapid development of specimen-efficient assays when complementary mAbs are not commercially available for use in a sandwich assay. For novel markers for which no mAbs are available, it will not be possible to compare a novel assay to a gold standard. We therefore sought to demonstrate the validity of the assay method using two serum markers for which mAbs are available.

We found the Bb/pAb assays to be comparable in their precision and performance to researchquality assays using mAbs in our laboratory. The total CV for the HE4 Bb/pAb assay ranged from 17.8 for the case pool sera to 26.1 for the healthy pool sera, which compares favorably to the same estimates for the HE4 mAb bead-based assay which ranged from 26.6 for case pool sera to 32.6 for the healthy pool sera [3]. The average total CV for the mesothelin Bb/pAb assay was 14.5, which is acceptable for a research assay. We found that the Bb/pAb and mAb assay results correlated highly and the diagnostic performance or ability to discriminate between cases and controls did not differ between the Bb/pAb and mAb assays, suggesting that the former are appropriate for use in validation studies. Material required for Bb/pAb assays was even less than the 15 μ l of serum needed for the mAb bead-based assays: the mesothelin Bb/pAb requires 12 μ L per sample and the HE4 Bb/pAb requires 6 μ L per sample.

Preclinical samples from large studies such as the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) and the Prostate, Lung, Colon and Ovary (PLCO) trial are accessible for serum marker validation studies, but the quantity of material available for each participant is very limited. Standard ELISAs using mAbs often require $50-200 \,\mu$ l of serum. Moreover, development of assays that depend on mAbs produced in mice is time-consuming and expensive, and identification of compatible pairs of mAbs for sandwich ELISAs can be challenging. To accelerate the assay development process we used a new class of recombinant antibodies (Bbs) in combination with available polyclonal antibodies (Bb/pAb assays) to form sandwich assays that can be run as bead-based assays. The procedure to obtain antigen-specific Bbs is remarkably short compared with the classical methods of antibody production. The first step, identification of a pool of antigen-specific yeast-display scFv from a naïve library [19] performed by magnetic and flow sortings, takes two to three weeks. Conversion of the scFv from yeast-display to yeast-secreted Bbs is next achieved within two weeks by a PCR amplification of the scFv-encoding cDNA from the whole yeast DNA, followed by a cloning by gap repair in the vector pTOR2 and mating of the yeast secreting scFv with BIRA-transformed yeast. Resulting diploids secrete Bbs, whose specificity and sensitivity can be determined using classical immunological methods such as Biacore, flow cytometry and ELISA assays [8].

Bead-based assays require smaller amounts of serum and are efficient for high throughput screening, while isolation of Bbs from yeast is rapid, easy and relatively inexpensive. This novel approach enabled us to develop sensitive and reliable assays for use in large population validation studies. Bb/pAb assays for the detection of serum HE4 and mesothelin in ovarian carcinoma patients performed well in 336 samples collected through the POCRC, yielding performance comparable to that of mAb bead-based assays.

We used our novel assays to evaluate the performance of the two markers alone and in combination in serous ovarian cancer. Our results suggest that HE4 and mesothelin are better serum markers of serous than of endometrioid, clear cell or mucinous ovarian cancers. Serous cancer is the most common form of ovarian cancer and the least likely to be diagnosed while it is confined to the ovary. Recent reports suggest that at least in BRCA1 mutation carriers, serous pelvic cancer may arise from dysplasia and/or early malignancy in the fimbrial end of the fallopian tube (28), metastasizing to other epithelial cells including those on the surface of the ovary as well as those that line the peritoneum. HE4 is expressed by epithelial cells in the normal fallopian tube but not by epithelial cells of the normal ovary; interestingly, cells lining inclusion cysts of the ovary do express HE4, and both serous and endometrioid epithelial ovarian cancers overexpress HE4 (18). Mesothelin is a GPI-anchored protein constitutively expressed by the epithelial cells on the peritoneal wall and by cancer cells from diverse origins including ovarian, pancreatic and mesothelioma (10). However, despite its normal expression by peritoneal cells, soluble mesothelin is found only in ovarian cancer sera and ascites, and in mesothelioma sera and pleural effusions (12,16,17). Altogether, this strongly suggests that the cell-surface expression or overexpression of a protein cannot be simply correlated to its specific presence in patient fluids. Multiple other factors such as a higher level of GPI-PLD enzyme activity (29) may contribute to the production of serum biomarkers, and underlines the critical need for high-quality tools for serum marker validation studies. The novel approach using biobodies described in this manuscript enables the development of sensitive and reliable, yet cost and time-effective assays for use in large population validation studies.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Charles Drescher for providing us with access to his patients and for facilitating the collection of the specimens used in this study. We also thank Dr. Martin McIntosh for his statistical expertise in the design of the reproducibility experiments. Finally, we thank Kathy O'Briant and Carole Shaw for excellent technical assistance regarding access to the POCRC repository.

Grant Support: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute, P50 CA83636 and RO1 CA 75494, Department of Defense: Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, DAMD 17-02-1-0691, Canary Foundation, Marsha Rivkin Center for Ovarian Cancer Research, Fannie E. Rippel Foundation and Phi Beta Psi Sorority Charitable Trust.

Reference

- Oliver KG, Kettman JR, Fulton RJ. Multiplexed analysis of human cytokines by use of the FlowMetrix system. Clin Chem 1998;44:2057–60. [PubMed: 9733011]
- Vignali DA. Multiplexed particle-based flow cytometric assays. J Immunol Methods 2000;243:243– 55. [PubMed: 10986418]
- Scholler N, Crawford M, Sato A, et al. Bead-based ELISA assays for validation of ovarian cancer early detection markers. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:2117–24. [PubMed: 16609024]
- Schummer M, Ng WV, Bumgarner RE, et al. Comparative hybridization of an array of 21,500 ovarian cDNAs for the discovery of genes overexpressed in ovarian carcinomas. Gene 1999;238:375–85. [PubMed: 10570965]
- Hellström I, Raycraft J, Hayden-Ledbetter M, et al. The HE4 (WFDC2) protein is a biomarker for ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res 2003;63:3695–700. [PubMed: 12839961]
- Bast RC Jr. Klug TL, St John E, et al. A radioimmunoassay using a monoclonal antibody to monitor the course of epithelial ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 1983;309:883–7. [PubMed: 6310399]
- Bast RC Jr. Badgwell D, Lu Z, et al. New tumor markers: CA125 and beyond. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2005;15(Suppl 3):274–81. [PubMed: 16343244]
- Scholler N, Garvik B, Quarles T, Jiang S, Urban N. Method for generation of in vivo biotinylated recombinant antibodies by yeast mating. J Immunol Methods 2006;317:132–43. [PubMed: 17113097]

- Bergan L, Gross JA, Nevin B, Urban N, Scholler N. Development and in vitro Validation of anti-Mesothelin Biobodies that prevent CA125/Mesothelin-dependent Cell Attachment. Cancer Letters. in press
- Chang K, Pastan I. Molecular cloning of mesothelin, a differentiation antigen present on mesothelium, mesotheliomas, and ovarian cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:136–40. [PubMed: 8552591]
- Drapkin R, Crum CP, Hecht JL. Expression of candidate tumor markers in ovarian carcinoma and benign ovary: evidence for a link between epithelial phenotype and neoplasia. Hum Pathol 2004;35:1014–21. [PubMed: 15297969]
- Scholler N, Fu N, Yang Y, et al. Soluble member(s) of the mesothelin/megakaryocyte potentiating factor family are detectable in sera from patients with ovarian carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;96:11531–6. [PubMed: 10500211]
- Urban N, McIntosh MW, Andersen M, Karlan BY. Ovarian cancer screening. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2003;17:989–1005. ix. [PubMed: 12959188]
- Rosen DG, Wang L, Atkinson JN, et al. Potential markers that complement expression of CA125 in epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2005;99:267–77. [PubMed: 16061277]
- Hellström I, Raycraft J, Kanan S, et al. Mesothelin variant 1 is released from tumor cells as a diagnostic marker. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:1014–20. [PubMed: 16702385]
- Robinson BW, Creaney J, Lake R, et al. Mesothelin-family proteins and diagnosis of mesothelioma. Lancet 2003;362:1612–6. [PubMed: 14630441]
- Hassan R, Remaley AT, Sampson ML, et al. Detection and quantitation of serum mesothelin, a tumor marker for patients with mesothelioma and ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:447–53. [PubMed: 16428485]
- Drapkin R, von Horsten HH, Lin Y, et al. Human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) is a secreted glycoprotein that is overexpressed by serous and endometrioid ovarian carcinomas. Cancer Res 2005;65:2162–9. [PubMed: 15781627]
- Feldhaus MJ, Siegel RW, Opresko LK, et al. Flow-cytometric isolation of human antibodies from a nonimmune Saccharomyces cerevisiae surface display library. Nat Biotechnol 2003;21:163–70. [PubMed: 12536217]
- Drescher CW, Nelson J, Peacock S, Andersen MR, McIntosh MW, Urban N. Compliance of averageand intermediate-risk women to semiannual ovarian cancer screening. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13:600–6. [PubMed: 15066925]
- 21. Andersen M, Drescher C, Zheng Y, et al. Changes in Cancer Worry Associated with Participation in Ovarian Cancer Screening. Psycho-Oncology. 2007
- Scholler N, Garvik B, Hayden-Ledbetter M, Kline T, Urban N. Development of a CA125-mesothelin cell adhesion assay as a screening tool for biologics discovery. Cancer Lett 2007;247:130–6. [PubMed: 16677756]
- McIntosh MW, Drescher C, Karlan B, et al. Combining CA 125 and SMR serum markers for diagnosis and early detection of ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2004;95:9–15. [PubMed: 15385104]
- 24. Ott, RL.; Longnecker, MP. Linear regression and correlation.. In: Learning, PGDT., editor. An Introduction to Statistical Methods and Data Analysis. Vol. 5th ed. 2001.
- DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics 1988;44:837–45. [PubMed: 3203132]
- 26. Bamber D. The area above the ordinal dominance graph and the area below the receiver operating characteristic graph. Journal of Mathematical Psychology 1975;12:387–415.
- Sato AH, Anderson GL, Urban N, McIntosh MW. Comparing adaptive and non-adaptive algorithms for cancer early detection with novel biomarkers. Cancer Biomark 2006;2:151–62. [PubMed: 17192068]
- Crum CP, Drapkin R, Kindelberger D, Medeiros F, Miron A, Lee Y. Lessons from BRCA: The Tubal Fimbria Emerges as an Origin for Pelvic Serous Cancer. Clin Med Res 2007;5:35–44. [PubMed: 17456833]
- 29. Xiaotong H, Hannocks MJ, Hampson I, Brunner G. GPI-specific phospholipase D mRNA expression in tumor cells of different malignancy. Clin Exp Metastasis 2002;19:291–9. [PubMed: 12090469]



Figure 1. Method overview

A-B, Enrichment of a yeast-display scFv library for scFv binding to markers by (A) 2 magnetic enrichments and (B) 3 fluorescent cell sortings. *C*, Depletion of the enriched library for cross-reactive scFv. *D*, PCR amplification of marker-specific recognition sequences and yeast co-transformation with the vector pTOR2 for cloning by gap repair. *E*, Growth and induction of yeast-secreting scFv in liquid medium. *F*, High-throughput Ni- purification of secreted scFv. G, Analysis for marker specificity by capture ELISA. *H*, Mating of yeast secreting marker-specific scFv with yeast producing biotin ligase. *I*, Growth and induction of diploid yeast to secrete marker-specific Bbs. *J*, large scale Ni-purification to obtain reagents for double determinant assays. *K*, Reagent ready for diagnostic tests such as bead-based assays.



Figure 2. Development of Bb/pAb assays

A, Validation of diploid-secreted biotinylated HE4 recombinant protein: Ni-purified b-HE4y was immobilized on a streptavidin plate and detected with 3D8 mAb (black bars) or 2H5 mAb (gray bars) followed by HRP-anti-mIg. As a negative control, wells were coated with PBST only; B, Comparison of anti-mesothelin Bbs' ability to capture a mesothelin recombinant protein (meso-Ig): anti-mesothelin P4 (black bars) and P2 (gray bars) Bbs were immobilized on a streptavidin plate and incubated with serial dilutions of meso-Ig. Captured recombinant proteins were detected with HRP-anti-hIg; C, Optimization of anti-mesothelin Bb/pAb assay: anti-mesothelin pAb-coated fluorescent microspheres were incubated with serial dilutions of meso-7 in buffer. Captured proteins were detected with P4 Bb premixed with seven different types of modified fluorescent Streptavidin R-PE: black diamonds PJ31S; black squares PJLS; white triangles PJ35S; white squares PJ37S; stars PJ39S; white circles PJ33S and black circles SA-PE; D-E, Detection ranges of anti-mesothelin and HE4 Bb/pAb assays: anti-mesothelin (D) or anti-HE4 (E) pAb-coated fluorescent microspheres were incubated with serial dilutions of meso-Ig (D) or HE4y (E) recombinant proteins diluted in buffer (white squares) or in serial dilutions of NHS (20 fold, gray diamonds; 10 fold, black triangles; five fold, black squares). Captured proteins were detected with P4 Bb (D) or anti-HE4 Bb pool (E) premixed with PJ31S streptavidin.

Scholler et al.



Figure 3. Comparison of diagnostic performance of Bb/pAb and mAb bead-based assays in the serum subset (n=214) and in the full serum set (n=336) for cases vs. controls, cases vs. healthy controls, and cases vs. surgical controls

A, ROCs for HE4 *B*, ROC's for mesothelin *C*, ROCs for HE4 Bb/pAb assay *D*, ROCs for mesothelin Bb/pAb assay.

Scholler et al.



Figure 4. Diagnostic performance of HE4 and mesothelin Bb/pAb assays in the full serum set (n=336)

A, *B*, Diagnostic performance by histology: MFI values of mesothelin *A*, and HE4 *B*, as measured by Bb/pAb assays; controls (1) and (2–6) ovarian cancers (gray circles, early stages; crosses, late stages): type clear cell (2), serous (3), mucinous (4), endometrioid (5) and others (6). *C*, Composite marker (CM) =1.12(HE4) + 0.45(mesothelin). Weight for HE4=0.71. Weight for mesothelin=0.29.

Characteristics of the women in the serum sets

Samples were obtained through the POCRC and were collected before surgical removal of the ovaries. Cases were defined as having invasive epithelial carcinoma confirmed by standardized review of medical records and pathologist examination of paraffin-embedded tissue. Histologies represented in each set included serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell and others. FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) stage I-IV tumors were also included in each serum set. * Note: the serum subset contains all of the samples included within the full serum set.

Characteristics	Serum Subset n = 214	Full Serum Set n = 336
Age, median (range)	57.0 (25.0 - 83.0)	57.0 (19.0 - 87.0)
Case Status, n (%)		
Healthy controls	58 (27.10)	94 (27.98)
Benign controls	53 (24.77)	81 (24.11)
Surgical controls	32 (14.95)	45 (13.39)
Cases	71 (33.18)	116 (34.52)
Stage, n (%) for cases only		
Stage I	23 (32.40)	33 (28.45)
Stage II	4 (5.63)	9 (7.76)
Stage III	43 (60.56)	72 (62.07)
Stage IV	1 (1.41)	1 (0.86)
Unstaged	0	1 (0.86)
Histology, n (%) for cases only		
Serous	44 (61.97)	73 (62.93)
Mucinous	6 (8.45)	9 (7.76)
Endometrioid	6 (8.45)	10 (8.62)
Clear Cell	5 (7.04)	11 (9.48)
Other	10 (14.09)	13 (11.21)

Table 2

Coefficients of variability (CV) by dilution level, within run and total

Assay precision was assessed in reproducibility experiments using pooled sera from ovarian cases and controls obtained from the POCRC repository. Dilution levels represent case-pool serum (formed by combining 1ml of serum from each of 50 patients diagnosed with late stage ovarian cancer), control-pool serum (made from serum collected from 7 healthy female volunteers), and intermediate pools of serum (created by serial dilution of the case pool with control pool by factors of two). The reproducibility experiment was conducted for each analyte using 16 replicates of each serum dilution in each of 4 runs (plates), providing a total of 64 replicates for each analyte level. The column titled 'within run' represents the average CVs within each plate. The column titled 'total' represents the CVs across all plates.

	HE4		Mesothe	lin
Dilution Level	Withinrun	Total	Within run	Total
Case Pool Only	7.3	17.8	14.7	15.6
1:1 Dilution Pool	12.6	21.7	12.8	13.4
1:3 Dilution Pool	11.1	24.5	13.9	13.9
1:7 Dilution Pool	12.5	25.8	14.6	15.3
1:15 Dilution Pool	12.6	26.7	12.0	12.4
Healthy Pool Only	14.0	26.1	16.1	16.1
Across Levels	11.7	23.8	14.0	14.5

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3

Pearson's correlation coefficients between the mAb and Bb/pAb assays for each marker by case status, stage, and histology. The correlation coefficient quantifies the strength of the relationship between the mAb and Bb/pAb assays, where 1.0 indicates a perfect correlation Correlation between the Bb/pAb and mAb assays by markers and characteristics of the women in the serum subset (n=214) between the assays.

Scholler et al.

Г

1

ImateristicsnrpvaluerpvalueAll Cases and Controls 214 0.893 <0001 0.8920 <0001 All Cases and Controls 214 0.893 <0001 0.8920 <0001 Cases Only 71 0.9147 <0001 0.9504 <0001 Cases Only 23 0.9147 <0001 0.9364 <0001 Early Stage (tage 1) 23 0.9147 <0001 0.9435 <0001 Early Stage (tage 1) 23 0.9147 <0001 0.9435 <0001 Healthy Controls 53 0.7440 <0001 0.9435 <0001 Bengin Controls 53 0.7440 <0001 0.9461 0.022 Sugcial Controls 32 0.7943 <0001 0.9536 <0001 Mucinous 6 0.8945 0.0044 0.9536 <0001 Mucinous 6 0.8634 0.027 0.9905 <0001 Under Histolgies 10 0.905 <0019 <0.016 Mucinous 6 0.9367 0.0906 <00118 <0.016			Mesothelin bea	ıd-based assays	HE4 bead-b	ased assays
	Characteristics	г	r	p-value	r	p-value
Cases Only710.9192<0.001 0.9504 <0.001Early Sage (stage 1)230.9147<0.001	All Cases and Controls	214	0.8993	<0.001	0.8920	<0.001
Early Stage (stuge 1) 23 0.9147 <0.001 0.7885 <0.001 Stages II-IV 48 0.8955 <0.001	Cases Only	71	0.9192	<0.001	0.9504	<0.001
Bages II-IV 48 0.8955 <0.01 0.9493 <0.01 Healthy Controls 58 0.7440 <0.001	Early Stage (stage I)	23	0.9147	<0.001	0.7885	<0.001
Healthy Controls 58 0.7440 <0.001 0.1508 0.2629 Benign Controls 53 0.7225 <0.001	Stages II-IV	48	0.8955	<0.001	0.9493	<0.001
Benign Controls53 0.725 <0.001 0.4161 0.002 Surgical Controls 32 0.7943 <0.001 0.5293 0.002 Serous 44 0.8945 <0.001 0.9536 <0.001 Morinous 6 0.8237 0.044 0.9536 <0.001 Mucinous 6 0.8237 0.044 0.9905 <0.001 Clear Cell 5 0.9367 0.027 0.9905 <0.011 Other Histologies 10 0.9078 <0.001 <0.018 <0.001	Healthy Controls	58	0.7440	<0.001	0.1508	0.2629
Burgical Controls 32 0.7943 <0.001 0.5293 0.002 Serous 44 0.8945 <0.001	Benign Controls	53	0.7225	<0.001	0.4161	0.002
Berous 44 0.8945 <0.001 0.9536 <0.001 Mucinous 6 0.8237 0.044 0.9536 <0.001	Surgical Controls	32	0.7943	<0.001	0.5293	0.002
Mucinous 6 0.8237 0.044 0.4914 0.3222 Endometrioid 6 0.8634 0.027 0.905 <0.01	Serous	44	0.8945	<0.001	0.9536	<0.001
Endometrioid 6 0.8634 0.027 0.905 <0.01 Clear Cell 5 0.9367 0.019 0.7118 0.178 0.178 Other Histologies 10 0.9078 <0.001	Mucinous	9	0.8237	0.044	0.4914	0.3222
Clear Cell 5 0.9367 0.019 0.7118 0.178 Other Histologies 10 0.9078 <0.001	Endometrioid	9	0.8634	0.027	0.9905	<0.001
Other Histologies 10 0.9078 <0.001 0.9548 <0.001	Clear Cell	5	0.9367	0.019	0.7118	0.178
	Other Histologies	10	0.9078	<0.001	0.9548	<0.001

٦