

Published in final edited form as:


Org Lett. 2008 July 17; 10(14): 3141–3144. doi:10.1021/ol801047k.

Catalytic Asymmetric Stetter Reaction Onto Vinylphosphine Oxides and Vinylphosphonates

Steven C. Cullen and Tomislav Rovis*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523

Abstract



An intramolecular Stetter reaction of vinylphosphine oxides and vinylphosphonates has been developed. Treatment of an aldehyde with a nucleophilic *N*-heterocyclic carbene catalyst allows for addition of an acyl anion equivalent into a vinylphosphine oxide or vinylphosphonate Michael acceptor in yields up to 99% and ee's up to 96%.

Organophosphorus compounds are abundant in many facets of chemistry including agrochemistry¹ and medicinal chemistry.² They have also found much utility as ligands in asymmetric catalysis.³ Owing to the multiple ways in which they can be employed, the synthesis of small molecules containing phosphorus has garnered significant attention.⁴ More importantly, the ability to generate phosphorus compounds with chiral centers at or near phosphorus in an asymmetric fashion is particularly attractive.⁵

Since the first report of *N*-heterocyclic carbenes in 1991,⁶ carbene catalysis has become a burgeoning field. NHCs have been used to propagate Umpolung reactivity of aldehydes to form new carbon-carbon bonds catalytically and enantioselectively.⁷ Over the past several years, we have been engaged in developing a family of chiral nucleophilic carbenes (Figure 1)⁸ and applying them to a variety of transformations. These catalysts, in particular **1a-d** and **2a-d** have proven especially useful in the catalytic asymmetric Stetter reaction providing ketoester products in typically high yield and enantioselectivity.⁹

Although there are numerous examples that exploit the nucleophilic nature of the acyl anion equivalent,¹⁰ the α , β -unsaturated acceptors of the Stetter reaction¹¹ have been largely limited to simple enones and enoates. We envisaged that vinylphosphine oxides and vinylphosphonates would make excellent acceptors for the intramolecular Stetter reaction due to their highly electrophilic nature at the β -position.¹²

While many nucleophiles add readily to the β -position of vinylphosphines and vinylphosphine oxides, few examples have been shown to do so asymmetrically.¹³ Recently, the first

rovis@lamar.colostate.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P spectra and chiral separations. This material is available free of charge via the internet <http://pubs.acs.org>.

organocatalytic asymmetric transformation employing highly activated bis-phosphonates as electrophilic acceptors has been achieved by Alexakis and co-workers yielding chiral bis-phosphonates in good yields and enantioselectivities.¹⁴ During the preparation of this manuscript, Jørgensen also reported a method complimentary to Alexakis' work utilizing cinchona alkaloid derived catalysts in an asymmetric Michael-type addition affording high yields and enantioselectivities.¹⁵

We decided to employ a series of vinylphosphine oxides and vinylphosphonates as electrophilic acceptors in the intramolecular Stetter reaction. The vinylphosphine oxides of type **4** were prepared using a modified procedure described by Tanaka and co-workers (Scheme 1).¹⁶ The use of the bromide salt of Wilkinson's catalyst¹⁷ on alkynes **3** provides a smooth transformation to the desired vinylphosphine oxides in good yields. Deprotection of the 1,3-dithiane proved trivial and provided the desired aldehydes **4** in excellent yields.

The requisite vinylphosphonates of type **6** were prepared by treatment of the corresponding aldehyde **5** with a preformed bis-phosphonate complex, as demonstrated by Ojea and Ruiz (Scheme 2).¹⁸

With a series of vinylphosphine oxides and vinylphosphonates prepared, we were able to test our hypothesis on the viability of these Michael acceptors for the intramolecular Stetter reaction.

Structures with an aromatic backbone employing a vinylphosphine oxide or vinylphosphonate as the electrophilic acceptor afford the Stetter product in typically high yields and enantioselectivities (Table 1). Vinylphosphine oxide **4a** provides higher yields than its vinylphosphonate congener **8** while enantioselectivities are increased as well (entries 1 and 9). The presence of halogen substituents on the aromatic ring (entries 2–4) is well tolerated as long as reaction time is limited to 30 minutes. When the reaction time is extended to 12 hours, enantioselectivities drop significantly to 80% and 70% ee respectively, due to epimerization. Electron donating *p*-methoxy aldehyde **4e** shows only a slight increase in selectivity over sigma withdrawing *m*-methoxy aldehyde **4d** and only a slight decrease in chemical yield.¹⁹ The presence of sulfur in the tether (**4f**) leads to higher enantioselectivities, albeit in lower chemical yields than when an oxygen is used in the tether (e.g. **4a**). Five membered carbocycle **7g** was also obtained in excellent yield and high enantioselectivity.^{20,21}

Previously, we demonstrated that aliphatic aldehydes are competent substrates to undergo the intramolecular Stetter reaction.^{9a,f} By exchanging the acceptor to a vinylphosphonate or vinylphosphine oxide, the intramolecular Stetter reaction proceeds smoothly to give the desired products in high yields and enantioselectivities (Table 2).

Phosphonate **11a** was obtained in modest yield and enantioselectivity. Gratifyingly, when the diethyl vinylphosphonate was switched to the diphenyl phosphonate **10b**, the yield and enantioselectivity of the desired product **11b** were both improved substantially (entry 2). This increase in ee is most likely due to the increased steric demand of the diaryloxy group, but indicates that tuning of selectivities is possible using this approach.

Introduction of an oxygen in the aliphatic chain **10c** gives higher yields and enantioselectivities in the Stetter product **11c** compared to its alkyl congener **11a** (entry 3 vs entry 1). It is also possible to form a carbocycle bearing a phosphine oxide moiety **11d** which proceeds in good yield and enantioselectivity.²²

Treatment of **11d** under Luche-type conditions affords the global reduction product **12** (Scheme 3) bearing a syn relationship (>19:1), presumably due to the intervention of a Lewis acid chelation during the reduction step.^{23,24} Hydrolysis of **11a** with bromotrimethylsilane in DCM

overnight followed by a methanol quench affords phosphonic acid **13** in quantitative yield which was then subjected to esterification with (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane²⁵ to generate methyl ester **14** with no loss of ee.

In summary, we have developed an intramolecular Stetter reaction employing vinylphosphine oxides and vinylphosphonates as electrophilic acceptors. Both aromatic and aliphatic substrates are tolerated providing keto phosphonates and phosphine oxides in good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities. This extension of the Stetter reaction leads to interesting new enantioenriched scaffolds of phosphorus containing compounds not easily obtainable by other methods.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


Acknowledgement

We thank NIGMS (GM72586) and the Herman Frasch Foundation for support of this research. We acknowledge Eli Lilly, Johnson & Johnson and Boehringer-Ingelheim for additional support. T. R. thanks the Monfort Family Foundation for a Monfort Professorship. We thank Donald Gauthier (Merck) for a generous gift of aminoindanol. We thank Brian Newell and Professor Matthew P. Shores (CSU) for X-ray analysis.

References

1. Kukhar, VP.; Hudson, HR. *Aminophosphonic and Aminophosphinic Acids: Chemistry and Biological Activity*. Wiley; 2000. (b) Eto M. *Biosci. Biotech. Bioch* 1997;61:1.
2. (a) Krise JP, Stella VJ. *Adv. Drug Deliver. Rev* 1996;19:287. (b) Hwang JT, Choi JR. *Drug Future* 2004;29:163–177.
3. (a) Tang WJ, Zhang XM. *Chem. Rev* 2003;103:3029–3069. [PubMed: 12914491] (b) Zhou YG. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2007;40:1357–1366. [PubMed: 17896823]
4. (a) Mader MM, Bartlett PA. *Chem. Rev* 1997;97:1281. [PubMed: 11851452] (b) Hanessian S, Rogel O. *J. Org. Chem* 2000;65:2667. [PubMed: 10808439] (c) Bricklebank N. *Organophosphorus Chem* 2003;33:289. (d) McReynolds MD, Dougherty JM, Hanson PR. *Chem. Rev* 2004;104:2239. [PubMed: 15137790] (e) Montchamp J-L. *J. Organomet. Chem* 2005;690:2388. (f) Baumgartner T, Réau R. *Chem. Rev* 2006;106:4681. [PubMed: 17091932]
5. (a) Denmark SE, Fu J. *Chem. Rev* 2003;103:2763. [PubMed: 12914480] (b) Denmark SE, Fan Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003;125:7825. [PubMed: 12823000]
6. Arduengo AJ III, Harlow RL, Kline M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1991;113:361. Also see: Igau A, Grutzmacher H, Baceiredo A, Bertrand G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1988;110:6463.
7. For recent reviews see: (a) Zeitler K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2005;44:7506. (b) Enders D, Niemeier O, Henseler A. *Chem. Rev* 2007;107:5606. [PubMed: 17956132] (c) Marion N, Díez-González S, Nolan SP. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2007;46:2988. (d) Rovis T. *Chem. Lett* 2008;37:2.
8. Kerr MS, Read de Alaniz J, Rovis T. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:5725. [PubMed: 15989360]
9. (a) Kerr MS, Read de Alaniz J, Rovis T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:10298. [PubMed: 12197730] (b) Kerr MS, Rovis T. *Synlett* 2003:1934. (c) Kerr MS, Rovis T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:8876. [PubMed: 15264801] (d) Liu Q, Rovis T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:2552. [PubMed: 16492036] (e) Moore JL, Kerr MS, Rovis T. *Tetrahedron* 2006;62:11477. (f) Read de Alaniz J, Kerr MS, Moore JL, Rovis T. *J. Org. Chem* 2008;73:2033. [PubMed: 18302407] (g) Read de Alaniz J, Rovis T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:6284. [PubMed: 15853335]
10. (a) Takikawa H, Hachisu Y, Bode JW, Suzuki K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006;45:3492. (b) Mattson AE, Bharadwaj AR, Zuhl AM, Scheidt KA. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:5715. [PubMed: 16839153] (c) See ref. 7f
11. Stetter H, Kuhlmann H. *Org. React* 1991;40:407.
12. For 1,4 additions using: Amines, see: (a) Collins DJ, Rowley LE, Swan JM. *Aust. J. Chem* 1974;27:841. (b) Pietrusiewicz KJ, Zablocka M. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1988;29:1991. (c) Cavalla D,

- Warren S. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1987;52:2170. Oxygen, see: (d)Johnson CR, Imamoto TJ. *J. Org. Chem* 1987;52:2170. (e)Kawashima T, Nakamura M, Inamoto N. *Heterocycles* 1997;44:487. Sulfur, see: (f)Pietrusiewicz KM, Wisniewski W, Zablocka M. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1989;45:337. Carbanions, see (g)Berlan J, Battioni JP, Koosha K. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1976:3351. (h)Pietrusiewicz KM, Zablocka M. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1988;29:937.
13. (a) Minowa N, Hirayama M, Fukatsu S. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1984;25:1147. (b) Ruiz M, Vicente O, Shapiro G, Weber HP. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1994;35:4551. (c) Hayashi T, Senda T, Takaya Y, Ogasawara M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1999;121:11591. (d) Fernández MC, Diaz A, Guillin JJ, Blanco O, Ruiz M, Vicente OJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:6958. [PubMed: 16930050] (e) Kondoh A, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:6996. [PubMed: 17497784] (f) Nishida G, Noguchi K, Hirano M, Tanaka K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2008;47:3410.
 14. Sulzer-Mossé S, Tissot M, Alexakis A. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:3749. [PubMed: 17715930]
 15. Capuzzi M, Perdicchia D, Jørgensen KA. *Chem. Eur. J* 2008;14:128.
 16. Han L-B, Zhao C-Q, Tanaka M. *J. Org. Chem* 2001;66:5929. [PubMed: 11511276]
 17. Osborn JA, Jardine FH, Young JF, Wilkinson G. *J. Chem. Soc. (A)* 1966;1711 Also see ref. 16.
 18. Ruiz M, Fernandez MC, Diaz A, Quintela JM, Ojea V. *J. Org. Chem* 2003;63:7634. [PubMed: 14510536]
 19. σ value for *p*-MeO = -0.27 , σ value for *m*-MeO = 0.12 : Carey FA, Sundberg RJ. *Advanced Organic Chemistry Part A: Structure and Mechanisms* (5th ed.) 2007 5th ed. New York:Springer:339.
 20. Substrate **4g** was also cyclized on 0.72 mmol scale with 20 mol% **1c** to provide **7g** in 95% yield and 89% ee.
 21. Absolute stereochemistry was unequivocally assigned for **7b** and **7g** by X-ray analysis; the rest were assigned by analogy to these products and those found in reference 9f. See Supporting Information for details.
 22. Six membered aliphatic carbocycles bearing phosphonate Michael acceptors were not competent substrates in this reaction.
 23. (a) Constantino MG, Matias LGD, da Silva GVJ, Barbieri E, Gambardella MTD. *Quim. Nova* 1998;21:719. (b) Dalpozzo R, Bartoli G, Bosco M, De Nino A, Procopio A, Sambri L, Tagarelli A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem* 2001:2971. (c) Kison C, Opatz T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem* 2008:2740.
 24. The use of NaBH₄ provides the hydroxy phosphine oxide in lower diastereoselectivity (6:1).
 25. Guy DJ, Jacobsen NE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:4102. [PubMed: 15053588]




1a Ar = Ph

1b Ar = 4-OMe-C₆H₄

1c Ar = C₆F₅

1d Ar = 4-CF₃C₆H₄




2a Ar = Ph

2b Ar = 4-OMe-C₆H₄


2c Ar = C₆F₅

2d Ar = 4-CF₃C₆H₄


Figure 1.
Chiral triazolium salts



Scheme 1.
Rhodium Catalyzed Hydrophosphinylation



Scheme 2.
Aliphatic Vinylphosphonates



Scheme 3.
Elaboration of Substrates

Table 1
Scope of Aromatic Aldehyde Substrates

entry ^a	aldehyde	product	yield(%)	ee(%) ^b
1			90	86(74)
2			88	96 ^c
3			80	93 ^d
4			90	94(75)
5			75	87(91)
6			86	93
7			70	92
8			99	90
9			65	80

^a All reactions conducted in the presence of 20 mol % catalyst **1c**, 20 mol % KHMDS (.25M in PhMe) in PhMe for 12 h at 23 °C.

^b Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase - ee's in parentheses obtained with catalyst **2c**.

^c Using catalyst **1a**, no reaction was observed.

^d 10 mol % **1c** and 10 mol % KHMDS.

Table 2
Scope of Aliphatic Aldehyde Substrates

Reaction scheme showing the conversion of an aldehyde (6,10) to a cyclic phosphonate (11) using 20 mol % of catalyst **1c**, 20 mol % of KHMDS, PhMe, at 23 °C for 12 h.

entry ^a	aldehyde	product	yield(%)	ee(%) ^b
1	<p>6</p>	<p>11a</p>	66	74
2	<p>10b</p>	<p>11b</p>	80	90
3	<p>10c</p>	<p>11c</p>	94	88
4	<p>10d</p>	<p>11d</p>	96	90

^{a,b} See footnotes Table 1