- 3 Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Cumming R, Lamb SE, Rowe BH. Interventions to reduce the incidence of falling in the elderly. *Cochrane Library* 1997;4:1-29.
- 4 Van Haastregt JCM, Diederiks JPM, van Rossum E, de Witte LP, Crebolder HFJM. Effects of preventive home visits to elderly people living in the community: systematic review. BMJ 2000;320:754-8.
- Tinetti ME, Baker DI, McAvay G, Claus EB, Garrett P, Gottschalk M, et al. A multifactorial intervention to reduce the risk of falling among elderly people living in the community. N Engl J Med 1994;331.821-7.
 O'Loughlin JL, Robitaille Y, Boivin J, Suissa S. Incidence of and risk fac-
- 6 O'Loughlin JL, Robitaille Y, Boivin J, Suissa S. Incidence of and risk factors for falls and injurious falls among the community-dwelling elderly. Am J Epidemiol 1993;137:342-54.
- 7 Stalenhoef PA, Crebolder HFJM, Knottnerus JA, van der Horst FGEM. Incidence, risk factors and consequences of falls among elderly subjects living in the community. Eur J Public Health 1997;7:328-34.
- 8 Cunha UV. Differential diagnosis of gait disorders in the elderly. Geriatrics 1988;43:33-42.
- 9 Wickham C, Cooper C, Margetts BM, Barker DJP. Muscle strength, activity, housing and the risk of falls in elderly people. Age Ageing 1989;18:47-51.
- 10 Schiricke K, Vloet M. Mobiliteit bij ouderen. Thesis. Hoensbroek, Netherlands: Institute for Rehabilitation Research, 1993.
- 11 Pocock SJ. The size of a clinical trial. In: Clinical trials: a practical approach. Chichester: John Wiley, 1983;123-41.
- 12 De Bruin AF, Diederiks JPM, de Witte LP, Stevens JA, Philipsen H. The development of a short generic version of the sickness impact profile. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1994;47:407-18.
- 13 De Bruin AF, Buys M, de Witte LP, Diederiks JPM. The sickness impact profile: SIP68, a short generic version; first evaluation of the reliability and reproducibility. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47:863-71.
- 14 Stalenhoef P, Diederiks J, Knottnerus A, de Witte L, Crebolder H. How

- predictive is a home-safety checklist of indoor fall risk for the elderly living in the community? Eur J Gen Pract 1998;4:114-20.
- 15 Rand 36-item health survey. Rand health science program. Santa Monica, CA: Rand, 1992.
- 16 Van der Zee I, Sanderman R. Het meten van de algemene gezondheidstoestand met de RAND-36: een handleiding. Groningen: Noordelijk Centrum voor Gezondheidsvraagstukken, 1993.
 17 Holbrook M, Skilbeck CE. An activities index for use with stroke patients.
- 17 Holbrook M, Skilbeck CE. An activities index for use with stroke patients. Age Ageing 1983;12:166-70.
- 18 Schuling J, de Haan R, Limburg M, Groenier KH. The Frenchay activities index: assessment of functional status in stroke patients. Stroke 1993;24:1173-7.
- 19 Tinetti ME, Richman D, Powell L. Falls efficacy as a measure of fear of falling. J Gerontol 1990;45:239-43P.
- 20 Buchner DM, Hornbrook MC, Kutner NG, Tinetti ME, Ory MG, Mulrow CD, et al. Development of the common data base for the FICSIT trials. J Am Geriatr Soc 1993:41:297-308.
- 21 Donald CA, Ware JE, Brook RH, Davies-Avery A. Conceptualization and measurement of health for adults in the health insurance study. Santa Monica, CA: Rand. 1978.
- 22 Fabacher D, Josephson K, Pietruszka F, Linderborn K, Morley JE, Rubenstein LZ. An in-home preventive assessment programme for independent older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 1994;42:630-8.
- 23 Rubenstein LZ, Robbins AS, Josephson KR, Schulman BL, Osterweil D. The value of assessing falls in an elderly population. A randomized clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;113:308-16.
- 24 Wagner EH, LaCroix AZ, Grothaus L, Leveille SG, Hecht JA, Artz K, et al. Preventing disability and falls in older adults: a population-based randomized trial. Am J Public Health 1994;84:1800-6.

(Accepted 3 August 2000)

Rectal bleeding and colorectal cancer in general practice: diagnostic study

Hans Wauters, Viviane Van Casteren, Frank Buntinx

Although most cases of rectal bleeding are due to local conditions, this symptom is a major sign of colorectal cancer. Little research exists on whether to refer a patient with rectal bleeding for further evaluation. ¹⁻³ We therefore studied the diagnostic value of rectal bleeding in relation to a subsequent diagnosis of colorectal cancer.

Subjects, methods, and results

In Belgium, a network of sentinel practices, covering 1% of the population, registers epidemiological data. The methods used to estimate the denominator (in patient years) have been published. 4

We analysed data on all patients with colorectal cancer diagnosed in 1993-4 to evaluate sensitivity (retrospective study). We chose rectal bleeding as the reason for visiting a general practitioner before colorectal cancer was diagnosed as the main outcome measure.

To obtain a positive predictive value (prospective part of study), we included all patients presenting with rectal bleeding in 1993-4. Our reference standard was colorectal cancer diagnosed during a clinical follow up of 18-30 months. Investigations, such as endoscopy, were not systematically performed. To obtain the number of all new cases of cancer, we sent recall letters to the practices every six months and at the end of the follow up period.

Patients were recorded as having rectal bleeding if they mentioned to their doctor of any blood of rectal origin on stool, underwear, or toilet paper, irrespective of the duration. Colorectal cancer was defined Positive predictive values of rectal bleeding for diagnosis of colorectal cancer, stratified for age

Rectal bleeding

Age group	All	With colorectal cancer	Positive predictive value (95% CI)
≥80	51	3	5.8 (1.2 to 16.2)
70-79	66	14	21.2 (12.0 to 33.0)
60-69	71	8	11.2 (5.0 to 21.0)
50-59	57	1	1.7 (0 to 9.4)
<50	141	1	0.7 (0 to 4.9)
Total	386	27	7 (4.6 to 10.0)

as any histologically confirmed malignancy of the colorectum.

Associated signs and symptoms that were recorded were fatigue, weight loss, pain, or cramps mentioned to the doctor and a palpable rectal tumour. Ethical approval for our study was obtained from the local ethics committee.

We calculated sensitivity and positive prospective values from the retrospective and prospective data, and we estimated negative predictive values and specificity on the basis of both results. We estimated the effect of the variables of age, sex, and additional signs or symptoms by comparing the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values in patients with and without each variable.

We recorded 83 890 patient years. Overall, 106 patients had colorectal cancer (table), and of these 31 had visited their doctor with rectal bleeding in the weeks preceding the diagnosis. Sensitivity was 29.2% (95% confidence interval 20.8% to 38.8%). We found no relation between sensitivity and age.

BMJ 2000;321:998-9

Department of

General Practice,

of Leuven, 3000

Leuven, Belgium

general practitioner

Scientific Institute of Public Health,

B 1050, Brussels

Correspondence to:

Viviane Van Casteren

epidemiologist

F Buntinx

frank.buntinx@

Hans Wauters

Frank Buntinx professor

Department of Epidemiology,

Catholic University



Members of the network of sentinel practices appear on the BMJ's website Of 386 patients with rectal bleeding, 27 had colorectal cancer, giving a positive predictive value of 7.0% (4.6% to 10.0%). The positive predictive value strongly increased with age (table). Positive predictive values in patients with additional other symptoms were: 0% (0% to 10.2%) for pain, 5.4% (2.0% to 11.4%) for spasms, 7.1% (8.3% to 15.8%) for fatigue, 16.0% (4.5% to 36.1%) for weight loss, and 31.5% (12.5% to 56.5%) for palpable tumour.

The negative predictive value and specificity were 99.9% and 99.5% respectively. The likelihood ratio was 68.3 (49.9 to 93.4) for presence of rectal bleeding and 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8) for its absence.

Comment

Although most cases of rectal bleeding are due to self limiting diseases, the probability of colorectal cancer increases greatly both in people older than 60 years and in association with fatigue, weight loss, or a palpable tumour, indicating the need for a more thorough investigation in such instances. People, particularly those older than 60 years, should be better informed and encouraged to seek medical advice if rectal bleeding occurs. However, a negative likelihood ratio of 0.71 indicates that absence of rectal bleeding is not predictive for the absence of cancer.

A follow up period of 18-30 months is acceptable because colorectal malignancy is not self limiting and

would progress to overt disease within this period. The completeness of our data is supported by the similarity of our data on incidence (63/100 000 patient years) with that of the Limburg Cancer Registry (men 63/100 000, women 47/100 000).⁵

We thank Professor Jan Vandenbroucke, department of clinical epidemiology, University of Leiden (Netherlands) for his remarks on the epidemiological analysis of the data.

Contributors: FB and VVC designed the study. VVC is the coordinator of the network and was responsible for data collection. HW performed the initial analyses and was responsible for the first draft of the report. FB supervised the analyses; he will act as guarantor for the paper. All authors discussed the results and approved the final report.

Funding: The Belgian sentinel practices network is funded by the Flemish and French community government.

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Fijten GH. Rectal bleeding, a danger signal? [dissertation]. University of Limburg, Netherlands, 1993.
- 2 Goulston KJ, Cook I, Dent OF. How important is rectal bleeding in the diagnosis of bowel cancer and polyps? *Lancet* 1986;ii:261-5.
- 3 Mant A, Bokey EL, Chapuis PH, Killingback M, Hughes W, Korrey SG, et al. Rectal bleeding. Do other symptoms aid in diagnosis? *Dis Col Rect* 1980;39:101.6
- 4 Lobet MP, Stroobant A, Mertens R, van Casteren V, Walckiers D, Masuy-Stroobant G, et al. Tool for validation of the network of sentinel general practitioners in the Belgian health care system. Int J Epidemiol 1987;16:612-8.
- 5 Buntinx F, Cloes E, Dhollander D, Lousbergh D, Op de Beeck L, Rummens JL, et al. Incidence of cancer in the Belgian province of Limburg in 1996-1998. Hasselt LIKAS: Limburg Cancer Registry, 2000.

(Accepted 12 May 2000)

Untied

Dress code in medicine has always been important, perhaps dating from the 1856 Medical Act when barber surgeons needed to establish their respectability and equal standing with their Harley Street colleagues. For me, as an unreconstructed scruff, it has been a problem since medical school days. As London clinical students in the 1960s we heard dark tales of students being sent home from St Thomas's down the road for not wearing suits with matching shoes. At liberal University College Hospital we were not exactly flower power, but we prided ourselves on a relaxed attitude towards jackets and woolly jumpers. For the men, though, ties were still de rigueur on the wards.

Evidence based medicine reveals that patients like their doctors to look respectable (bmj.com/cgi/content/full/320/S3-7230). It would have been unthinkable for a male doctor progressing through the hospital grades in the 1970s to appear without a tie, although medical students were increasingly idiosyncratic in their dress. When I was doing a Saturday GP locum in casual clothes, but still with a tie, a patient told me archly, "This is the first time I have ever been treated by a doctor in jeans." She seemed to find it more amusing than disreputable.

Different medical specialties subtly proclaim themselves via dress code. A surgical colleague told me that he could always pick out the psychiatrists in the canteen by their corduroy suits—especially if bottle green. This from someone who had just come back from a year's sabbatical in Australia, who also told me that Hawaiian shirts and Bermuda shorts are commonly to be found there on professorial ward rounds. I reminded him that he and his anaesthetist colleagues often appeared at lunch in their operating pyjamas.

Clothing is eloquent: sometimes our sartorial vernacular says, "Ignore my clothes, I am saving lives"; sometimes, "Trust me, I am a pillar of the establishment"; occasionally, perhaps, "I am making lots of money, so I must be good." Recently, I encountered a distinguished cardiothoracic surgeon doing his Sunday rounds in the intensive care unit in track shoes and muddy jogging bottoms,

which, it seemed to me, proclaimed modestly, "I too am human, all that matters is skill and compassion and vigilance."

Now to my continuing struggle with the tie. I hate the things, constricting and functionless in our overheated hospitals. For most of my working life I have dutifully worn one, ripping it off the moment I left for home at the end of the day (a spell in east Africa being the exception where not to be open necked was to risk heat exhaustion). Then, a few years ago, the rot began to set in. I started to allow myself a tieless, shirtsleeve drill in August. When speaking at conferences I began to carry my tie in my pocket, donning it just before mounting the podium. Finally, I suddenly decided that it was time to come out completely, and wear my elderly and wrinkled neck with pride.

Near enough to retirement to get away with it, I am almost always untied, except, naturally, when due to be questioned by the Mental Health Act Commission. Colleagues vary in their reactions. Some come up to me and whisper their support. Others say they enjoy their ties—one, recently remarried, now sports a different strip of sleek and colourful silk for each day of the week. I remain unrepentant, fondly hoping that the "tie-de" is turning. Hence, I hereby announce a national "tie off" campaign for male doctors. Members will be issued with a suitable neck garment, to be worn only at annual conventions, over a bare chest.

Jeremy Holmes consultant psychiatrist/psychotherapist, north Devon

We welcome articles of up to 600 words on topics such as *A memorable patient, A paper that changed my practice, My most unfortunate mistake,* or any other piece conveying instruction, pathos, or humour. If possible the article should be supplied on a disk. Permission is needed from the patient or a relative if an identifiable patient is referred to. We also welcome contributions for "Endpieces," consisting of quotations of up to 80 words (but most are considerably shorter) from any source, ancient or modern, which have appealed to the reader.