

Published in final edited form as:


Org Lett. 2009 October 1; 11(19): 4330–4333. doi:10.1021/ol901669k.

Preparation of Potassium Azidoaryltrifluoroborates and Their Cross-Coupling with Aryl Halides

 Young Ae Cho[†], Dong-Su Kim[†], Hong Ryul Ahn[†], Belgin Canturk[‡], Gary A. Molander[‡], and Jungyeob Ham[†]

Korea Institute of Science and Technology, 290 Daejeon-dong, Gangneung 210-340, Korea, Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

Abstract



Potassium azidoaryltrifluoroborates have been prepared from the corresponding haloaryltrifluoroborates in 73–98% yields. Also, we successfully cross-coupled the azido-functionalized organotrifluoroborates and carried out a one-pot sequential cross-coupling/1,3-dipolar cycloaddition and a one-pot cross-coupling/azide reduction process.

The azide functional group has been used as an important moiety for the formation of nitrogen-containing compounds in fields ranging from synthetic organic chemistry, to pharmaceutical chemistry, materials science, and biology.¹ Alkyl and aryl azides have gained prominence in particular because they may be used for the preparation of [1,2,3]-triazoles by Cu-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions onto terminal alkynes (via “Click” chemistry).² Unfortunately, the preparation of certain classes of organic azides has presented considerable challenges. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction with boron reagents bearing the azide functional group has not been reported, perhaps because of the inherent difficulty in preparing such bifunctional molecules and the perceived instability of the azide under cross-coupling reaction conditions.

Recently, organotrifluoroborate salts have been used as important synthetic reagents in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, providing many advantages over the corresponding boronic acids or boronate esters.³ The organotrifluoroborates are air- and moisture-stable, crystalline solids that are inert to various nucleophilic reagents owing to the tetracoordinate nature of the boron.⁴

ham0606@kist.re.kr; gmolandr@sas.upenn.edu.

[†]Korea Institute of Science and Technology (Gangneung Institute).

[‡]University of Pennsylvania.

 Supporting Information **Available:** General experimental procedures, compound characterization data, and NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Consequently, it seemed likely that they would be tolerant of conditions allowing the incorporation of the azide functional group. Herein, we describe the first preparation of azidoaryltrifluoroborates from the corresponding haloaryltrifluoroborates and reaction conditions permitting Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions of the azidoaryltrifluoroborates thus generated.

As a starting point, potassium haloaryltrifluoroborates were generated via the one-pot synthesis of aryl dihalides and B(OⁱPr)₃ using 1.0 equiv of *n*-BuLi (Table 1). When dibromo or diiodobenzenes were used as starting materials, the target compounds were obtained in good yields except when 1,2-diiodobenzene was used. Interestingly, the reaction of dibromo pyridines gave the corresponding organotrifluoroborates in excellent yields. The reaction of 2,5-dibromo-*p*-xylene was also problematic, providing the desired product in much lower yield (Table 1, **5a** 47%) under the same reaction conditions.

Next, using conditions previously developed for the preparation of aryl azides with aryl halide and Cu/amine-ligand,^{1, 5} we attempted the formation of azidoaryltrifluoroborates (Table 2).

We first carried out the reaction of potassium 4-azidophenyltrifluoroborate (**1b**) generated in situ by treatment of **1a-I** with 1.0 equiv of NaN₃ in the presence of 10 mol % of Cu(I) and various amine ligands.


A number of different amine ligands were screened for their efficacy in promoting the azidation, and it was found that *N,N'*-dimethylethylenediamine (ligand **f**) provided the fastest reaction time and the highest converted yield (Table 2, entry 6). Although both CuI and CuBr catalysts generated the target compound **1b** under the same reaction conditions (Table 2, entries 6 and 10), the isolated yield and purity of compound **1b** using CuBr were better than those of using CuI. A decrease in the catalyst loading from 10 to 5 mol % effectively doubled the reaction time (Table 2, entries 6 and 9).

When Cs₂CO₃ was used as a base instead of K₂CO₃, the reaction time decreased from 1 h to 30 min (Table 2, entries 10 and 11), and DMSO-*d*₆ appeared to be a better solvent than DMF-*d*₇ (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). Using these conditions (Table 2, entry 11), the azidation of various potassium haloaryltrifluoroborates was examined (Table 3). As a general rule, isolated yields and reaction rates of the corresponding azidophenyltrifluoroborates increased in the order para > meta > ortho under the same conditions (Table 3, **1b–3b**). Both mono- and dimethyl-substituted aryltrifluoroborates afford the corresponding azidoaryltrifluoroborates in satisfactory yields (Table 3, **4b–5b**). Surprisingly, when organotrifluoroborates **7a**, **8a**, and **13a** were used as starting materials, aminoaryltrifluoroborates were generated in good yields instead of azidoaryltrifluoroborates.

On the other hand, the azidation reactions of bromopyridinyl and iodonaphthyl organotrifluoroborates proceeded readily to give the desired azide compounds in excellent yields (Table 3, **9b–12b** and **16b**). Naphthyl organotrifluoroborates were not suitable for this azidation because the resulting products were contaminated with the starting material or mixtures of azido- and aminoaryltrifluoroborates (Table 3, **14b** and **15b**).

We next examined the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of 4-azidophenyltrifluoroborate (**1b**) and various aryl halides in the presence of Pd catalyst and 3.0 equiv of Cs₂CO₃ (Table 4). As expected, the coupling of aryl and heteroaryl bromides led to the corresponding target products in good yields. Aryl chlorides were generally ineffective as coupling partners.

Finally, we examined one-pot sequential reactions that incorporated cross-coupling followed by 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition or NaBH₄ reduction to the amine (eqs 1 and 2).⁶ These processes provided the desired compounds in good overall yields.



In summary, we have developed a new synthetic method for the preparation of potassium azidoaryltrifluoroborates from the corresponding haloaryltrifluoroborates. Additionally, we successfully cross-coupled the azido-functionalized organotrifluoroborates and carried out a one-pot sequential cross-coupling/1,3-dipolar cycloaddition and a one-pot cross-coupling/azide reduction process. Further investigations on transformations of azido-substituted trifluoroborates are currently underway in our laboratory.

Supplementary Material


Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by KIST Institutional Program (2Z03270) and a grant from Marine Biotechnology Program funded by Ministry of Land, Transport and Maritime Affairs, Republic of Korea. Support from the NIH General Medical Sciences Institute (USA) is also acknowledged.

References


1. Sheradsky, T. *Chemistry of the Azido Group*. Patai, S., editor. Wiley; New York: 1971. b Scriven EFV, Turnbull K. *Chem. Rev* 1988;88:297. c Bräse S, Gil C, Knepper K, Zimmermann V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2005;44:5188.and references therein
2. Huisgen, R. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry*. Chapter 1. Padwa, A., editor. Wiley; New York: 1984. p. 1 Padwa, A. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Vol. 4. Pergamon; Oxford: 1991. p. 1069 c Gothelf KV, Jørgensen KA. *Chem. Rev* 1998;98:863. [PubMed: 11848917] d Kolb HC, Finn MG, Sharpless KB. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2001;40:2004. e Gil MV, Arévalo MJ, Lopez Ó. *Synthesis* 2007;11:1589. f Meldal M, Tornøe CW. *Chem. Rev* 2008;108:2952. [PubMed: 18698735]and references therein
3. a Molander GA, Figueroa R. *Aldrichimica Acta* 2005;38:49. b Stefani HA, Cella R, Vieira AS. *Tetrahedron* 2007;63:3623. c Molander GA, Ellis N. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2007;40:275. [PubMed: 17256882] d Darses S, Genet J-P. *Chem. Rev* 2008;108:288. [PubMed: 18095714]
4. a Molander GA, Ham J. *Org. Lett* 2006;8:2031. [PubMed: 16671774] b Molander GA, Sandrock DL. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:1597. [PubMed: 17367156] c Molander GA, Canturk B. *Org. Lett* 2008;10:2135. [PubMed: 18439019] d Ahn HR, Cho YA, Kim D-S, Chin J, Gyoung Y-S, Lee S, Kang H, Ham J. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:361. [PubMed: 19072318]
5. a Zhu W, Ma D. *Chem. Commun* 2004:888. b Andersen J, Madsen U, Björkling F, Liang X. *Synlett* 2005;14:2209.and references therein
6. For detailed procedures, see Supporting Information.




yield

86%
90%1a-Br
1a-I**BF₃K**


product





product	yield
	56%
	85%



product	yield
	52%




yield

81%

product

4a

BF₃K




product

product




yield

5a


47%





product	yield
	82%
	82%
	72%



yield	product
90%	 <p>9a</p>




yield

87%


10a

BF₃K


product






product	yield
	90%



product	yield
	12a 85%



product	yield
	93%





yield

94%

14a

product

BF₃K







product	yield
 <chem>K[B-](F)(F)c1ccc(cc1)-c2cc(I)ccc2</chem>	80%

Table 2
 Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the Preparation of Potassium 4-Azidophenyltrifluoroborate (1b)^a



entry	CuX	ligand	base	reaction time (h)	conversion yield (%) ^b
1	CuI	a	K ₂ CO ₃	24	66
2	CuI	b	K ₂ CO ₃	5	98
3	CuI	c	K ₂ CO ₃	16	100
4	CuI	d	K ₂ CO ₃	24	81
5	CuI	e	K ₂ CO ₃	15	100
6	CuI	f	K ₂ CO ₃	1	100 (88) ^c
7	CuI	g	K ₂ CO ₃	24	trace
8	CuI	h	K ₂ CO ₃	48	68
9	CuI ^d	f	K ₂ CO ₃	2	100 (89) ^c
10	CuBr	f	K ₂ CO ₃	1	100 (92) ^c
11	CuBr	f	Cs ₂ CO ₃	0.5	100 (94) ^c
12 ^e	CuBr	f	Cs ₂ CO ₃	2.5	100


^aAll reactions were performed on a 0.05 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of DMSO-*d*₆ in an NMR tube.


^bPercent conversions were determined by ¹H NMR of the reaction mixtures. The conversion yield was based on the integration of peaks at 7.14 (**1a-I**) ppm and 6.85 (**1b**) ppm, respectively.

^cReactions were performed on a 0.1 mmol scale and isolated yields are reported.

^d5 mol % of CuI was used.

^eReaction was performed in DMF-*d*₇.






NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath

reaction time (h)

9
6

2a-Br
2a-I


product



2b

90%
93%

yield



NaN₃ (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs₂CO₃ (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

reaction time (h)

3a


24


product

3b

yield

73%





NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

reaction time (h)

8


4a

product

4b

93%






NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath

reaction time (h)

5a


12

product



5b

90%



NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath


reaction time (h)


4

6a

product

6b

92%^c



NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

reaction time (h)


7a


12

product

7b

yield

83%^c



NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath


reaction time (h)


12

8a

product


8b

91%^c




NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

reaction time (h)	product	yield
1	<p>9b</p>	98%
1	<p>10b</p>	98%




NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

11a	reaction time (h)	product	yield
	3		95%





NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

	reaction time (h)	product	yield
12a	3		95%
13a	12		90%




NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath

14a	reaction time (h)	product	14b	yield
	10			(82%) ^{c,d}



NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90°C / oil bath

reaction time (h)	product	yield
13		(82%) ^e



NaN_3 (1.0 equiv)
 10 mol % of CuBr
 20 mol % of ligand **f**
 Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 equiv)
 DMSO / 90 °C / oil bath

reaction time (h)


16a

5 min

product

16b

96%




^b Reaction was performed on a 3.0 mmol scale.

^c Products were obtained as amorphous solids.


^d Product was contaminated with about 10% of **14a**.


^e Product was contaminated with about 15% of the azide product.

reaction time (h)	product	yield
0.5		85%


6

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 October 1.

reaction time (h)	product	yield
1		83%
6		48%

reaction time (h)	product	yield
0.5		58%
3		45%

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 October 1.

reaction time (h)	product	yield
3	 <chem>Cc1ccc(cc1)/C=C/c2cc(Br)ccc2</chem>	81%

3